Formal Proofs and their Lengths III

Frege systems II

Definition 1 (Frege rule). Let L be a complete system of logical connectives. An ℓ -ary *Frege rule* is a an $(\ell + 1)$ -tuple of formulas A_1, \ldots, A_l, A_0 (using just the connectives from L, L-formulas) written as

$$\frac{A_1,\ldots,A_l}{A_0},$$

such that $A_1, \ldots, A_n \models A_0$. A 0-ary Frege rule is called a Frege axiom scheme.

Definition 2 (Frege proof). Let F be a finite set of Frege rules in a finite set of connectives L. An F-proof of an L-formula C from formulas B_1, \ldots, B_t is any sequence of formulas D_1, \ldots, D_k , such that:

- \bullet $D_k = C$
- For all i = 1, ..., k at least one of the following holds:
 - $D_i \in \{B_1, \dots, B_t\}$
 - There is a Frege rule

$$\frac{A_1, \dots, A_\ell}{A_0} \in F,$$

and numbers $j_1, \ldots, j_{\ell} < i$ and a substitution σ such that

$$\sigma(A_1) = D_{i_1}, \dots, \sigma(A_{\ell}) = D_{i_{\ell}}, \text{ and } \sigma(A_0) = D_i.$$

The fact that π is an F-proof of C from B_1, \ldots, B_t is denoted

$$\pi: B_1, \ldots, B_t \vdash_F C,$$

if we drop the ' π :' part, we just mean that such a π exists.

We call the number of formulas in a proof k the number of steps and denote it $\mathbf{k}(\pi)$. We call the length of the longest formula in π the width of π and denote it $\mathbf{w}(\pi)$. We call the size of π the sum of the lengths of all formulas in π and denote it $|\pi|$.

Definition 3 (Frege system). A finite set of Frege rules F, with formulas using the connectives from a finite complete set L, is a Frege proof system if it is sound (cannot derive a non-tautology) and implicationally complete that is: For any L-formulas B_1, \ldots, B_t, C we have

$$B_1, \ldots, B_t \models C \iff B_1, \ldots, B_t \vdash_F C.$$

Fact 4. The textbook Frege system is implicationally complete.

¹A mapping from variables to formulas, when applied to a formula it outputs a formula where each variable is replaced by the respective formula according to σ .

Exercise 5. Show that the textbook Frege system is a Frege system. How many Frege rules does it have?

Exercise 6 (Frege can prove substitutions!). Show that if F is a Frege system in finite complete set of connectives L and $\pi = (D_1, \ldots, D_k)$ fulfills

$$\pi: B_1, \ldots, B_t \vdash_F C,$$

and σ is a substitution then for some π' ,

$$\sigma(B_1),\ldots,\sigma(B_t)\vdash_F \sigma(C).$$

What's the smallest $\mathbf{k}(\pi')$ you can achieve?

Lemma 7 (Deduction lemma). Let F be a Frege system. Assume that

$$\pi: A, B_1, \ldots, B_t \vdash_F C,$$

then there is π' such that

$$\pi': B_1, \ldots, B_t \vdash_F A \to C,$$

with
$$\mathbf{k}(\pi') = O(\mathbf{k}(\pi))$$
, $\mathbf{w}(\pi') = O(\pi)$ and $|\pi'| \le O(|\pi|^2)$.

Exercise 8. Show that there is a proof of $\neg \neg a \rightarrow a$ in the textbook Frege system using the Deduction lemma. That is, find a proof:

$$\pi : \neg \neg a \vdash_{\text{textbook Frege}} a$$

Fact 9. Let C be a tautology which is not a substitution instance of any shorter tautology and let F be a Frege system. Then any $\pi: \vdash_F C$, must have $\mathbf{k}(\pi) = \Omega(ldp(C))$ and $|\pi| = \Omega(m)$, where ldp(C) is the logical depth of C which is defined to be the length of the longest path in the representation tree of C and m is the sum of all lengths of subformulas of C.

Exercise 10. Use the previous fact to prove that any Frege proof

$$\pi: \vdash_F \overbrace{\lnot \dots \lnot}^{2n} (a \to a),$$

must have $\mathbf{k}(\pi)$ at least $\Omega(n)$ and $|\pi|$ at least $\Omega(n^2)$.

Fact 11 (Reckhow's Theorem). Any two Frege systems F_1 and F_2 have sizes of their shortest proofs of any particular sequence of tautologies polynomially related.

Open problem 12. Let F be a Frege system. Prove any lower bound on the size of F-proofs on a sequence aby sequence that is larger than $\Omega(n^2)$.

Propositional sequent calculus

Definition 13. Let A_1, \ldots, A_n and B_1, \ldots, B_m be propositional formulas. A sequent is a symbol of the form

$$A_1, \ldots, A_n \longrightarrow B_1, \ldots, B_m$$
.

The semantics for a sequent are the same as for the formula

$$\bigwedge_{i} A_{i} \to \bigvee_{i} B_{i},$$

which is semantically equivalent to

$$\bigvee_{i} \neg A_{i} \lor \bigvee_{i} B_{i}.$$

Definition 14. The Sequent calculus is a propositional proof system (which proves sequents), whose proves are given as follows.

A proof of a sequent S is a sequence of sequents, S_1, \ldots, S_k , where $S_k = S$ and each S_i is either an *initial sequent*

$$x \longrightarrow x$$

where x is a propositional variable or was derived from $S_j, S_l, 1 \leq j \leq l \leq$ by one of the following rules.

Weak Structural Rules

$$\begin{split} &(\text{Exchange:L})\frac{\Gamma,A,B,\Pi\longrightarrow\Delta}{\Gamma,B,A,\Pi\longrightarrow\Delta} & (\text{Exchange:R})\frac{\Gamma\longrightarrow\Delta,A,B,\Lambda}{\Gamma\longrightarrow\Delta,B,A,\Lambda} \\ &(\text{Contraction:L})\frac{\Gamma,A,A,\Pi\longrightarrow\Delta}{\Gamma,A,\Pi\longrightarrow\Delta} & (\text{Contraction:R})\frac{\Gamma\longrightarrow\Delta,A,A,\Lambda}{\Gamma\longrightarrow\Delta,A,A,\Lambda} \\ &(\text{Weakening:L})\frac{\Gamma\longrightarrow\Delta}{A,\Gamma\longrightarrow\Delta} & (\text{Weakening:R})\frac{\Gamma\longrightarrow\Delta,A,A,\Lambda}{\Gamma\longrightarrow\Delta,A} \end{split}$$

The Cut Rule

$$(Cut)\frac{\Gamma \longrightarrow \Delta, A \qquad \Gamma, A \longrightarrow \Delta}{\Gamma \longrightarrow \Delta}$$

The Propositional Rules

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (\neg : \mathbf{L}) \frac{\Gamma \longrightarrow \Delta, A}{\Gamma, \neg A \longrightarrow \Delta} & (\neg : \mathbf{R}) \frac{\Gamma, A \longrightarrow \Delta}{\Gamma \longrightarrow \Delta, \neg A} \\ (\wedge : \mathbf{L}) \frac{\Gamma, A, B \longrightarrow \Delta}{\Gamma, A \wedge B \longrightarrow \Delta} & (\wedge : \mathbf{R}) \frac{\Gamma \longrightarrow \Delta, A}{\Gamma \longrightarrow \Delta, A \wedge B} \\ (\vee : \mathbf{L}) \frac{\Gamma, A \longrightarrow \Delta}{\Gamma, A \vee B \longrightarrow \Delta} & (\vee : \mathbf{R}) \frac{\Gamma \longrightarrow \Delta, A, B}{\Gamma \longrightarrow \Delta, A \vee B} \end{array}$$

Sequent calculus is denoted LK (for Logischer Kalkülus) or PK for the propositional version.

Fact 15. $LK \equiv_p F$

Definition 16. LK^- is the subsystem of LK, which forbids the use of the cut rule.

Exercise 17. Prove $LK^- \vdash \longrightarrow A \lor \neg A$

Exercise 18. Prove $LK^- \vdash \longrightarrow (A \lor \neg A) \land (B \lor \neg B)$

Exercise 19. Prove $LK^- \vdash \longrightarrow (A \land B) \lor (A \land \neg B) \lor (A \land \neg B) \lor (\neg A \land \neg B)$

Exercise 20. Prove LK^- is complete.

Exercise 21. Is LK^- implicationally complete.