

# The $c$ -function for non-compactly causal symmetric spaces

Bernhard Krötz\* and Gestur Ólafsson\*\*

## Introduction

In this paper we prove a product formula for the  $c$ -function associated to a non-compactly causal symmetric space  $\mathcal{M}$ . Let us recall here the basic facts. Let  $G$  be a connected semisimple Lie group,  $\tau : G \rightarrow G$  be a non-trivial involution and  $H = G^\tau$ . Then  $\mathcal{M} := G/H$  is a semisimple symmetric space. The space  $\mathcal{M}$  is called non-compactly causal, if  $\mathfrak{q} := \{X \in \mathfrak{g} : \tau(X) = -X\}$  contains an open  $H$ -invariant hyperbolic cone  $C \neq \emptyset$ . In this case  $S := H \exp(C)$  is a open subsemigroup of  $G$ . A *spherical function* on  $\mathcal{M}$  is an  $H$ -biinvariant continuous function on  $S/H \subseteq \mathcal{M}$ , which defines an eigendistribution of the algebra of  $H$ -invariant differential operators on  $\mathcal{M}$ , see [FHÓ94], [KNÓ98], [Ó197]. There exists a maximal abelian hyperbolic subspace  $\mathfrak{a}$  of  $\mathfrak{q}$  such that  $C = \text{Ad}(H).(\mathfrak{a} \cap C)$ . Let

$$\varphi_\lambda(g.x_0) = \int_H a_H(gh)^{\lambda-\rho} d\mu_H(h)$$

be a spherical function given by a convergent integral similar to the expression for the spherical functions on the Riemannian symmetric spaces  $G/K$ . Here  $x_0 \in \mathcal{M}$  is the coset  $\{H\}$  and  $a_H(g) \in A := \exp(\mathfrak{a})$  is determined by  $g \in Ha_H(g)N$ . The asymptotic behaviour of  $\varphi_\lambda(a.x_0)$  along  $S \cap A$  is given by  $\varphi_\lambda(a.x_0) \sim c(\lambda)a^{\lambda-\rho}$ , where  $\rho$  is half the sum over the positive roots counted with multiplicities. The function  $c(\lambda)$  is the *c-function of the space  $\mathcal{M}$* . It turns out that the  $c$ -function is a product of two  $c$ -function,  $c(\lambda) = c_\Omega(\lambda)c_0(\lambda)$  where  $c_0(\lambda)$  is the Harish-Chandra  $c$ -function of a Riemannian subsymmetric space  $G(0)/K(0)$  and  $c_\Omega(\lambda)$  is a function associated to the real bounded symmetric domain  $H/(H \cap K)$ , where  $K$  is a  $\tau$ -stable maximal compact subgroup of  $G$ . The  $c$ -function was first introduced by Oshima-Sekiguchi in [OS80], whereas  $c_\Omega(\lambda)$  was first introduced in [FHÓ94].

The  $c$ -function for a Riemannian symmetric space  $G/K$  can be written as a product of  $c$ -functions of rank one symmetric spaces associated to each restricted root of  $\mathfrak{g}$  (Gindikin-Karpelevic formula). For general non-Riemannian symmetric spaces  $G/H$  one cannot expect this type of result. However, for non-compactly causal symmetric spaces we show in this paper (cf. Theorem III.5) that such a product formula holds. The case of Cayley type spaces has already been treated by J. Faraut in [Fa95] by the use of Jordan algebra methods and in [Gr97] the case  $\text{Sl}(n, \mathbb{R})/\text{SO}(p, q)$  is dealt. The approach presented here is general, different and relies on new insights on the fine convex geometry of the real bounded symmetric domain  $\Omega$  (cf. Theorem II.5 and Theorem II.7.)

Our result has important applications. The  $c$ -function was the last unknown part in the formula for the formal degree of the spherical holomorphic discrete series representations

---

\* Supported by the DFG-project HI 412/5-2

\*\* Supported by LEQSF grant (1996-99)-RD-A-12

representations (cf. [Kr99]). Further it gives us important information on the normalized spherical functions  $\tilde{\varphi}_\lambda := c_\Omega(\lambda)^{-1} \varphi_\lambda$ . One knows that the function  $\lambda \mapsto \tilde{\varphi}_\lambda(s.x_0)$  has a meromorphic continuation to  $\mathfrak{a}_\mathbb{C}^*$  (cf. [Öl97]) and the product formula gives us important information on the poles. In particular, this allows more detailed analysis of the spherical Laplace transform, in particular Paley-Wiener type theorems.

## I. Non-compactly causal symmetric spaces and Lie algebras

In this section we introduce notation and recall some facts concerning non-compactly causal symmetric Lie algebras and their associated symmetric spaces. Our source of reference is [HiÖ196].

### Algebraic preliminaries

Let  $\mathfrak{g}$  be a simple finite dimensional real Lie algebra. Let  $\tau : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$  be a non-trivial involution. Then  $(\mathfrak{g}, \tau)$  is a *symmetric Lie algebra*. We write  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{h} + \mathfrak{q}$  for the  $\tau$ -eigenspace decomposition of  $\mathfrak{g}$  corresponding to the eigenvalues  $+1$  and  $-1$ . Let  $\theta$  be a Cartan involution of  $\mathfrak{g}$  which commutes with  $\tau$  and let  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{k} + \mathfrak{p}$  be the associated Cartan decomposition.

For  $\mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{b} \subseteq \mathfrak{g}$  let  $\mathfrak{z}_\mathfrak{a}(\mathfrak{b}) := \{X \in \mathfrak{a} : [X, Y] = 0, Y \in \mathfrak{b}\}$  be the *centralizer of  $\mathfrak{b}$  in  $\mathfrak{a}$* . We call  $(\mathfrak{g}, \tau)$  *non-compactly causal*, or simply NCC, if  $\mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{q} \cap \mathfrak{p}}(\mathfrak{h} \cap \mathfrak{k}) \neq \{0\}$ . We call  $(\mathfrak{g}, \tau)$  *non-compactly Riemannian (NCR)* if  $\tau$  is a Cartan involution. If not otherwise stated from now on  $(\mathfrak{g}, \tau)$  denotes a NCC symmetric Lie algebra. Then  $\mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{q} \cap \mathfrak{p}}(\mathfrak{h} \cap \mathfrak{k}) = \mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{q} \cap \mathfrak{p}) = \mathbb{R}X_0$  is one dimensional. Let  $\mathfrak{a} \subseteq \mathfrak{q} \cap \mathfrak{p}$  be a maximal abelian subspace and note that  $\mathbb{R}X_0 \subseteq \mathfrak{a}$  and that  $\mathfrak{a}$  is maximal abelian in  $\mathfrak{p}$ . We write  $\Delta = \Delta(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{a})$  for the root system of  $\mathfrak{g}$  with respect to  $\mathfrak{a}$  and

$$\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{z}_\mathfrak{g}(\mathfrak{a}) \oplus \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \Delta} \mathfrak{g}^\alpha$$

for the corresponding root space decomposition. We write  $\mathfrak{g}(0) := \mathfrak{h} \cap \mathfrak{k} + \mathfrak{q} \cap \mathfrak{p}$  and note that  $(\mathfrak{g}(0), \tau(0))$ , with  $\tau(0) := \tau|_{\mathfrak{g}(0)}$ , is NCR. If  $\alpha \in \Delta$  then either  $\mathfrak{g}^\alpha \subseteq \mathfrak{g}(0)$  or  $\mathfrak{g}^\alpha \subseteq \mathfrak{q} \cap \mathfrak{k} + \mathfrak{h} \cap \mathfrak{p}$ . A root  $\alpha \in \Delta$  is called *compact* if  $\mathfrak{g}^\alpha \subseteq \mathfrak{g}(0)$  and *non-compact* if  $\mathfrak{g}^\alpha \subseteq \mathfrak{q} \cap \mathfrak{k} + \mathfrak{h} \cap \mathfrak{p}$ . We write  $\Delta_k$  and  $\Delta_n$  for the collection of compact and non-compact roots, respectively. Note that  $\Delta = \Delta_k \dot{\cup} \Delta_n$ .

We can and will normalize  $X_0$  such that  $\text{Spec}(\text{ad } X_0) = \{-1, 0, 1\}$ . Then  $\Delta_k = \{\alpha \in \Delta : \alpha(X_0) = 0\}$  and we can choose a positive system  $\Delta^+$  of  $\Delta$  such that

$$\Delta_n^+ := \Delta_n \cap \Delta^+ = \{\alpha \in \Delta_n : \alpha(X_0) = 1\}$$

and such that  $\Delta_k^+ := \Delta_k \cap \Delta^+$  is a positive system in  $\Delta_k$ . Let  $\Delta^- := -\Delta^+$ ,  $\Delta_n^- := -\Delta_n^+$  and  $\Delta_k^- := -\Delta_k^+$ .

We recall now few facts about the structure of the root system  $\Delta$ . Two roots  $\alpha, \beta \in \Delta$  are said to be *strongly orthogonal* if  $\alpha \pm \beta$  is not a root. Let  $\Gamma := \{\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_r\}$  be a system of strongly orthogonal roots in  $\Delta_n^+$  of maximal length, i.e.,  $\Gamma$  consists of pairwise strongly orthogonal roots and has maximal number of elements with respect to this property. We set

$$\mathcal{W} := N_{\text{Inn}(\mathfrak{h} \cap \mathfrak{k})}(\mathfrak{a}) / Z_{\text{Inn}(\mathfrak{h} \cap \mathfrak{k})}(\mathfrak{a})$$

and call  $\mathcal{W}$  the *small Weyl group* of  $\Delta$ .

**Proposition I.1.** *For the root system  $\Delta = \Delta(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{a})$  of a non-compactly causal symmetric Lie algebra  $(\mathfrak{g}, \tau)$  the following assertions hold:*

- (i) *The root system  $\Delta$  is reduced, i.e., if  $\alpha \in \Delta$  then  $2\alpha \notin \Delta$ . In particular, there exists at most two root lengths.*
- (ii) *All long roots in  $\Delta_n^+$  are conjugate under the small Weyl group  $\mathcal{W}$ . Moreover, all roots  $\gamma_i$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq r$ , are long.*
- (iii) *Write  $\Delta_{n,s}^+$  for the short roots in  $\Delta_n^+$ . Then, if  $\Delta_{n,s}^+ \neq \emptyset$ , one has*

$$\Delta_{n,s}^+ = \left\{ \frac{1}{2}(\gamma_i + \gamma_j) : 1 \leq i < j \leq r \right\}$$

*and all elements of  $\Delta_{n,s}^+$  are conjugate under  $\mathcal{W}$ .*

**Proof.** (i) [HiÓ196, Th. 3.2.4] or [NÓ99, Lemma 2.12].

(ii) [NÓ99, Lemma 2.26].

(iii) [NÓ99, Lemma 2.22, Lemma 2.24]. ■

For  $\alpha \in \Delta$  let  $H_\alpha \in \{[X, \tau(X)] : X \in \mathfrak{g}^\alpha\} \subseteq \mathfrak{a}$  be such that  $\alpha(H_\alpha) = 2$ . For each  $1 \leq i \leq r$  let  $H_i = H_{\gamma_i}$ . We set  $\mathfrak{c} := \text{span}_{\mathbb{R}}\{H_1, \dots, H_r\} \subseteq \mathfrak{a}$  and write  $\mathfrak{b}$  for the orthogonal complement of  $\mathfrak{c}$  in  $\mathfrak{a}$  with respect to the Cartan-Killing form; in particular  $\mathfrak{a} = \mathfrak{c} \oplus \mathfrak{b}$ .

**Proposition I.2.** *The positive system  $\Delta_k^+$  can be chosen such that for the restriction of  $\Delta = \Delta(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{a})$  to  $\mathfrak{c}$  the following assertions hold:*

$$\Delta_n^+|_{\mathfrak{c}} = \left\{ \frac{1}{2}(\gamma_i + \gamma_j) : 1 \leq i, j \leq r \right\} \cup \left\{ \frac{1}{2}\gamma_i : 1 \leq i \leq r \right\},$$

$$\Delta_k^+|_{\mathfrak{c}} \setminus \{0\} = \left\{ \frac{1}{2}(\gamma_i - \gamma_j) : 1 \leq j < i \leq r \right\} \cup \left\{ -\frac{1}{2}\gamma_i : 1 \leq i \leq r \right\}.$$

*Moreover, the second sets in the two unions from above may or may not occur simultaneously.*

**Proof.** [NÓ99, Th. 2.21] or [Kr99, Th. IV.4]. ■

Since we have free choice for  $\Delta_k^+$  we assume in the sequel that  $\Delta_k^+|_{\mathfrak{c}} \setminus \{0\} \subseteq \left\{ \frac{1}{2}(\gamma_i - \gamma_j) : 1 \leq j < i \leq r \right\} \cup \left\{ -\frac{1}{2}\gamma_i : 1 \leq i \leq r \right\}$ .

**Lemma I.3.** *Assume that  $\Delta_{n,s} \neq \emptyset$  and let  $\Pi_k$  be the set of simple roots corresponding to  $\Delta_k^+$ . Then there exists  $\beta_1, \dots, \beta_m \in \mathfrak{b}^*$ ,  $\beta_j(X_0) = 0$ , and  $\delta_1, \dots, \delta_l \in \mathfrak{b}^*$ ,  $\delta_i(X_0) = \frac{1}{2}$ , such that*

$$\Pi_k = \left\{ \frac{1}{2}(\gamma_{i+1} - \gamma_i) : 1 \leq i \leq r-1 \right\} \cup \{\beta_1, \dots, \beta_m\} \cup \left\{ -\frac{1}{2}\gamma_r + \delta_i : 1 \leq i \leq l \right\}.$$

*Here the last set occurs if and only if there exists half roots in  $\Delta|_{\mathfrak{c}}$ .*

**Proof.** For each  $\alpha \in \Delta$  let  $s_\alpha$  denote the corresponding reflection. Then  $s_{\gamma_j}(\frac{1}{2}(\gamma_i + \gamma_j)) = \frac{1}{2}(\gamma_i - \gamma_j)$ ,  $i \neq j$  together with Proposition I.1(iii) shows that  $\Delta_k \supseteq \left\{ \frac{1}{2}(\gamma_i - \gamma_j) : 1 \leq i \neq j \leq r \right\}$ . Thus Proposition I.2 yields that

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_k^+ &\subseteq \left\{ \frac{1}{2}(\gamma_i - \gamma_j) : 1 \leq j < i \leq r \right\} + (\mathfrak{b}^* \cap X_0^\perp) \\ &\quad \cup \left\{ -\frac{1}{2}\gamma_i : 1 \leq i \leq r \right\} + \left\{ \delta \in \mathfrak{b}^* : \delta(X_0) = \frac{1}{2} \right\} \cup \mathfrak{b}^*. \end{aligned}$$

Now the assertion follows easily from Proposition I.2 and the fact that  $\Delta$  is a root system. ■

We define the *maximal cone* in  $\mathfrak{a}$  is defined by

$$C_{\max} := \{X \in \mathfrak{a} : (\forall \alpha \in \Delta_n^+) \alpha(X) \geq 0\}.$$

**Lemma I.4.** *Let  $X_0 = X_0^b + X_0^c$  with  $X_0^b \in \mathfrak{b}$  and  $X_0^c \in \mathfrak{c}$ . Then we have  $X_0^b, X_0^c \in C_{\max}$ .*

**Proof.** First note that  $X_0^c = \frac{1}{2}(H_1 + \dots + H_r)$  and so  $X_0^c \in C_{\max}$  by Proposition I.2. To show  $X_0^b \in C_{\max}$  let  $\alpha \in \Delta_n^+$ . Then Proposition I.2 shows that  $\alpha = \frac{1}{2}(\gamma_i + \gamma_j) + \beta$  with  $\beta \in \mathfrak{b}^*$ ,  $\beta(X_0) = \beta(X_0^b) = 0$ , or  $\alpha = -\frac{1}{2}\gamma_i + \delta$  with  $\delta \in \mathfrak{b}^*$  and  $\delta(X_0) = \delta(X_0^b) = \frac{1}{2}$ . In any case we have  $\alpha(X_0^b) \geq 0$  concluding the proof of the lemma.  $\blacksquare$

Finally we define subalgebras of  $\mathfrak{g}$  by

$$\mathfrak{n} := \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \Delta^+} \mathfrak{g}^\alpha, \quad \bar{\mathfrak{n}} := \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \Delta^-} \mathfrak{g}^\alpha, \quad \mathfrak{n}_k^\pm := \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \Delta_k^\pm} \mathfrak{g}^\alpha, \quad \mathfrak{n}_n^\pm := \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \Delta_n^\pm} \mathfrak{g}^\alpha$$

and note that  $\mathfrak{n} = \mathfrak{n}_n^+ \rtimes \mathfrak{n}_k^+$  and  $\bar{\mathfrak{n}} = \mathfrak{n}_n^- \rtimes \mathfrak{n}_k^-$  are semidirect products.

### Analytic preliminaries

Let  $G_{\mathbb{C}}$  be a simply connected Lie group with Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}$  and let  $G$  be the analytic subgroup of  $G_{\mathbb{C}}$  corresponding to  $\mathfrak{g}$ . Let  $H = G^\tau = \{X \in G : \tau(g) = g\}$ . We write  $A$ ,  $K$ ,  $N$ ,  $\bar{N}$ ,  $N_k^\pm$ ,  $N_n^\pm$  for the analytic subgroups of  $G$  which correspond to  $\mathfrak{a}$ ,  $\mathfrak{g}(0)$ ,  $\mathfrak{h}$ ,  $\mathfrak{k}$ ,  $\mathfrak{n}$ ,  $\bar{\mathfrak{n}}$ ,  $\mathfrak{n}_k^\pm$ ,  $\mathfrak{n}_n^\pm$ . Note that the groups  $A$ ,  $N$ ,  $\bar{N}$ ,  $N_k^\pm$ ,  $N_n^\pm$  are all simply connected and that the corresponding exponential mappings  $\exp_A : \mathfrak{a} \rightarrow A$ ,  $\exp_N : \mathfrak{n} \rightarrow N$  etc. are all diffeomorphisms. Let  $G(0) = Z_G(X_0) = \{g \in G : \text{Ad}(g).X_0 = X_0\}$ . Then  $H$  and  $G(0)$  are  $\tau$  and  $\theta$  invariant,  $H = (H \cap K) \exp(\mathfrak{h} \cap \mathfrak{p})$  and  $G(0) = (H \cap K) \exp(\mathfrak{q} \cap \mathfrak{p})$ .

The Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$  decomposes as  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{h} + \mathfrak{a} + \mathfrak{n}$  and the multiplication mapping

$$H \times A \times N \rightarrow G, \quad (h, a, n) \mapsto han$$

is an analytic diffeomorphism onto its open image  $HAN$ .

Note that  $\bar{N} = N_n^- \rtimes N_k^-$ . We have

$$(1.1) \quad \bar{N} \cap HAN = \exp(\Omega)N_k^- = N_k^- \exp(\Omega)$$

with  $\Omega \cong H/H \cap K$  a real bounded symmetric domain in  $\mathfrak{n}_n^-$ .

## II. The geometry of the real bounded symmetric domain $\Omega$

We denote by  $\kappa$  the Cartan-Killing form on  $\mathfrak{g}$  and define an inner product on  $\mathfrak{g}$  by  $\langle X, Y \rangle := -\kappa(X, \theta(Y))$  for  $X, Y \in \mathfrak{g}$ . Let  $X_i \in \mathfrak{g}^{\gamma_i}$  be such that  $H_i = [X_i, X_{-i}]$ , with  $X_{-i} = \tau(X_i)$ . By [HiOl96] and Herman's Convexity Theorem we have

$$(2.1) \quad \Omega = \{X \in \mathfrak{n}_n^- : \|\text{ad}(X + \tau(X))\| < 1\}$$

$$(2.2) \quad = \text{Ad}(H \cap K) \cdot \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^r t_j X_{-j} : -1 < t_j < 1, j = 1, \dots, r \right\},$$

where  $\|\cdot\|$  denotes the operator norm corresponding to the scalar product  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  on  $\mathfrak{g}$ . Note that (2.1) implies that  $\Omega$  is a convex balanced subset of  $\mathfrak{n}_n^-$ .

**Remark II.1.** Recall the definition of the maximal cone  $C_{rmax}$  in  $\mathfrak{a}$ . Then it is clear from the characterization (2.1) of  $\Omega$  that  $e^{\text{ad } X}.\Omega \subseteq \Omega$  for all  $X \in C_{max}$ . We also have a *minimal cone* in  $\mathfrak{a}$  defined by

$$C_{\min} := \text{cone}(\{[X, \tau(X)]: X \in \mathfrak{g}^\alpha, \alpha \in \Delta^+\}) = \overline{\sum_{\alpha \in \Delta_n^+} \mathbb{R}^+ H_\alpha}.$$

We note that  $C_{\min} \subseteq C_{max}$  and in particular  $H_i \in C_{max}$  for each  $1 \leq i \leq r$ .  $\blacksquare$

The following concept turns out to be very useful for the investigation of the fine convex geometry of  $\Omega$ .

**Definition II.2.** (Oshima-Sekiguchi) By a *signature* of  $\Delta$  we understand a map  $\varepsilon: \Delta \rightarrow \{-1, 1\}$  with the following properties:

(S1)  $\varepsilon(\alpha) = \varepsilon(-\alpha)$  for all  $\alpha \in \Delta$ .

(S2)  $\varepsilon(\alpha + \beta) = \varepsilon(\alpha)\varepsilon(\beta)$  for all  $\alpha, \beta \in \Delta$  with  $\alpha + \beta \in \Delta$ .  $\blacksquare$

If  $\varepsilon: \Delta \rightarrow \{-1, 1\}$  is a signature then  $\theta_\varepsilon: \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$  defined by  $\theta_\varepsilon(X) = \varepsilon(\alpha)\theta(X)$ ,  $X \in \mathfrak{g}^\alpha$  and  $\theta_\varepsilon|_{\mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{g}}(\mathfrak{a})} = \theta|_{\mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{g}}(\mathfrak{a})}$  is an involution on  $\mathfrak{g}$  that commutes with  $\theta$  (see [OS80, Def. 1.2]). As  $\tau|_{\mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{g}}(\mathfrak{a})} = \theta|_{\mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{g}}(\mathfrak{a})}$  and  $\tau|_{\mathfrak{g}^\alpha} = \pm\theta|_{\mathfrak{g}^\alpha}$ , with  $+$  if  $\alpha$  is compact and  $-1$  if  $\alpha$  non-compact, it follows that  $\theta_\varepsilon$  also commutes with  $\tau$ .

**Lemma II.3.** Keep the notation of Definition II.2.

(i) If  $\varepsilon$  is a signature of  $\Delta$ , then the prescription

$$\sigma_\varepsilon(X) := \begin{cases} X & \text{for } X \in \mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{g}}(\mathfrak{a}), \\ \varepsilon(\alpha)X & \text{for } X \in \mathfrak{g}^\alpha, \alpha \in \Delta \end{cases}$$

defines an involutive automorphism of  $\mathfrak{g}$ . The involution  $\sigma_\varepsilon$  commutes with both  $\tau$  and  $\theta$ .

(ii) Let  $\Pi := \{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n\}$  be a basis of  $\Delta$ . Then for any collection  $(\varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_n) \in \{-1, 1\}^n$  one can define a signature  $\varepsilon$  of  $\Delta$  by setting

$$\varepsilon(\pm \sum_{i=1}^n n_i \alpha_i) := \prod_{i=1}^n \varepsilon_i^{n_i} \quad \text{for} \quad \sum_{i=1}^n n_i \alpha_i \in \Delta.$$

(iii) Let the notation be as in (ii). Then  $\varepsilon \mapsto (\varepsilon(\alpha_i))_{i=1}^n$  defines a bijection between the set of signatures of  $\Delta$  and  $\{-1, 1\}^n$ .

**Proof.** (i) This follows by the Oshima-Sekiguchi construction because  $\sigma_\varepsilon = \tau_\varepsilon \theta$ . (ii) is clear and (iii) follows from (ii).  $\blacksquare$

In the sequel we identify signatures with elements in  $\{-1, 1\}^n$ .

**Lemma II.4.** Let  $\varepsilon$  be a signature of  $\Delta$ . Then  $\sigma_\varepsilon(\Omega) = \Omega$ .

**Proof.** Let  $X \in \Omega$ . By (2.2) there is a  $k \in H \cap K$  and  $Y = \sum_{j=1}^r t_j X_{-j}$ ,  $-1 < t_j < 1$  such that  $\text{Ad}(k).Y = X$ . As  $\sigma_\varepsilon$  commutes with  $\tau$  and  $\theta$  it follows that  $\sigma_\varepsilon(k) \in K \cap H$ . Hence  $\sigma_\varepsilon(X) = \text{Ad}(\sigma_\varepsilon(k)).\sum_{j=1}^r \varepsilon(\gamma_j) t_j X_{-j} \in \Omega$ .  $\blacksquare$

Recall that there is basis  $\Pi \subseteq \Delta^+$  having the form

$$\Pi = \{\alpha_0, \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n\}$$

with  $\alpha_0$  long and non-compact and  $\alpha_i$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq n$  compact. Thus every non-compact negative root  $\gamma \in \Delta_n^-$  can be written as  $\gamma = -\alpha_0 - \sum_{i=1}^n m_i \alpha_i$ ,  $m_i \in \mathbb{N}_0$ . By our choice of  $\Delta_k^+$  we have  $\alpha_0 = \gamma_1$ .

**Theorem II.5.** For each  $\gamma \in \Delta_n^-$  let  $p_\gamma: \mathfrak{n}_n^- \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}^\gamma$  be the orthogonal projection. Then

$$X \in \Omega \Rightarrow p_\gamma(X) \in \Omega.$$

**Proof.** Let  $X = \sum_{\gamma \in \Delta_n^-} X_\gamma \in \Omega$  with  $X_\gamma \in \mathfrak{g}^\gamma$ ,  $\gamma \in \Delta_n^-$ . We have to show that  $X_\gamma \in \Omega$ . Recall that there are at most two root length in  $\Delta$  (cf. Proposition I.1(i)).

Case 1:  $\gamma$  is a long root.

By Proposition I.1(ii) there exists an element  $h \in N_{\text{Inn}(\mathfrak{h} \cap \mathfrak{k})}(\mathfrak{a})$  such that  $h \cdot \gamma = -\alpha_0$ . Thus we may assume that  $\gamma = -\alpha_0 = -\gamma_1$ . Let  $H := \sum_{j=2}^r H_j$ . By Remark II.1 we have

$$X_1 := \lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} e^{t \text{ad } H} \cdot X \in \Omega.$$

If we express  $X_1 = \sum_{\beta \in \Delta_n^-} X_\beta$  as a sum of root vectors, then Proposition I.2 implies that  $\beta|_{\mathfrak{c}} = -\gamma_1$  or  $\beta = \frac{1}{2}\gamma_1 - \delta$  with  $\delta(X_0^b) = \frac{1}{2}$ . Since  $X_0^b \in C_{\max}$  (cf. Lemma I.4), we now get

$$X_\gamma = \lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} e^{t \text{ad } X_0^b} \cdot X_1 \in \Omega.$$

Case 2:  $\gamma$  is a short root.

By Proposition I.1(iii) we may assume that  $\gamma = \frac{1}{2}(\gamma_1 + \gamma_2)$  and by Lemma I.3 we may suppose  $\alpha_0 = \gamma_1$ ,  $\alpha_j = \frac{1}{2}(\gamma_{j+1} - \gamma_j)$  for  $1 \leq j \leq r-1$ . Write

$$X = \sum_{m_i \geq 0} X_{m_1, \dots, m_n},$$

where  $X_{m_1, \dots, m_n} \in \mathfrak{g}^{-(\alpha_0 + \sum_{i=1}^n m_i \alpha_i)}$ . Then we have to show that  $X_{1,0,\dots,0} \in \Omega$ . Set

$$X_{\text{ev}} := \sum_{m_n \equiv 0(2)} X_{m_1, \dots, m_n} \quad \text{and} \quad X_{\text{odd}} := \sum_{m_n \equiv 1(2)} X_{m_1, \dots, m_n}.$$

Then  $X = X_{\text{ev}} + X_{\text{odd}}$  and we claim that  $X_{\text{ev}}, X_{\text{odd}} \in \Omega$ . Let  $\varepsilon = (1, 1, 1, \dots, -1)$ . Then by Lemma II.4 we get:

$$\sigma_\varepsilon(X) = \sigma_\varepsilon(X_{\text{ev}} + X_{\text{odd}}) = X_{\text{ev}} - X_{\text{odd}} \in \Omega.$$

Since  $\Omega$  is balanced and convex we moreover have

$$X_{\text{ev}} = \frac{1}{2}(X + \sigma_\varepsilon(X)) \in \Omega \quad \text{and} \quad X_{\text{odd}} = \frac{1}{2}(X - \sigma_\varepsilon(X)) \in \Omega.$$

By repeating this argument we thus may assume that

$$X = \sum_{\substack{m_1 \equiv 1(2) \\ m_j \equiv 0(2), j > 1}} X_{m_1, \dots, m_n}.$$

Now we apply the contraction semigroup generated by  $H = \sum_{j=3}^r H_j \in C_{\max}$  and obtain

$$X_1 := \lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} e^{t \text{ad } H} \cdot X \in \Omega.$$

Thus we may assume  $X = X_1$  and  $X = \sum_{\beta \in \Delta_n^-} X_\beta$  with  $-\beta = \gamma, \gamma_1, \gamma_2, \frac{1}{2}(\gamma_1 + \gamma_2) + \beta, -\frac{1}{2}\gamma_1 + \sigma_1, -\frac{1}{2}\gamma_2 + \sigma_2$  and  $\beta, \sigma_1, \sigma_2 \in \mathfrak{b}^*$ ,  $\sigma_1(X_0) = \sigma_2(X_0) = \frac{1}{2}$  (cf. Proposition I.2). Write  $\beta = -\gamma_1 - \sum_{j=1}^m m_j \alpha_j$ . The cases  $\beta = \gamma_1$  and  $\beta = \gamma_2$  are excluded, since we have  $m_1 = 0$ , resp.  $m_1 = 2$ , contradicting  $m_1 \equiv 1(2)$ . Applying to  $X$  the contraction semigroup generated by  $X_0^b \in C_{\max}$  excludes the case  $\beta = -\frac{1}{2}\gamma_1 + \sigma_1$  and  $\beta = -\frac{1}{2}\gamma_2 + \sigma_2$ . Let now  $Y \in \mathfrak{b}$  such that  $\delta_j(Y) > 0$ ,  $1 \leq j \leq l$ , and  $\beta_j(Y) > 0$ ,  $1 \leq j \leq m$  (cf. Lemma I.3). Then  $\Delta_n^+ \subseteq \mathbb{N}_0[\text{II}]$  shows that  $Y \in C_{\max}$ . But then

$$X_\gamma = \lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} e^{t \text{ad } Y} \cdot X \in \Omega,$$

completing the proof Case 2 and hence of the theorem. ■

### Subdomains of rank one

For  $\alpha \in \Delta^+$  we set

$$\mathfrak{g}(\alpha) := (\mathfrak{g}^\alpha + \mathfrak{g}^{-\alpha} + [\mathfrak{g}^\alpha, \mathfrak{g}^{-\alpha}])'$$

and  $\tau(\alpha) := \tau|_{\mathfrak{g}(\alpha)}$ . Then  $(\mathfrak{g}(\alpha), \tau(\alpha))$  is a symmetric subalgebra of  $(\mathfrak{g}, \tau)$  of real rank one, that is  $\mathfrak{a}(\alpha) := \mathfrak{a} \cap \mathfrak{g}(\alpha)$  is one dimensional. Further we set  $\mathfrak{h}(\alpha) := \mathfrak{h} \cap \mathfrak{g}(\alpha)$  etc. We denote by  $G(\alpha)$ ,  $A(\alpha)$  etc. the analytic subgroups of  $G$  corresponding to  $\mathfrak{g}(\alpha)$ ,  $\mathfrak{a}(\alpha)$  etc. Let  $H(\alpha) = G(\tau)^{\tau(\alpha)} = G(\alpha) \cap H$ .

Assume that  $\alpha \in \Delta_n^+$ . Then  $(\mathfrak{g}(\alpha), \tau(\alpha))$  is NCC and  $\mathfrak{n}(\alpha) = \mathfrak{n}_n^+(\alpha) = \mathfrak{g}^\alpha$ . Let  $\Omega(\alpha) \cong H(\alpha)/(K(\alpha) \cap H(\alpha))$  be the real bounded symmetric domain in  $\overline{\mathfrak{n}}(\alpha) = \mathfrak{n}_n^-(\alpha)$ .

**Lemma II.6.** *Let  $\alpha \in \Delta_n^+$  and  $s_\alpha \in G(\alpha)$  be a representative of the one element big Weyl group  $N_{G(\alpha)}(\mathfrak{a}(\alpha))/Z_{G(\alpha)}(\mathfrak{a}(\alpha))$  of  $\mathfrak{g}(\alpha)$ . Then*

$$(\overline{N}(\alpha) \cap H(\alpha)A(\alpha)N(\alpha)) \dot{\cup} (\overline{N}(\alpha) \cap H(\alpha)s_\alpha A(\alpha)N(\alpha))$$

is open and dense in  $\overline{N}(\alpha)$ .

**Proof.** This follows by Matsukis Theorem (cf. [Ma79, Theorem 3]), if we can show that  $M(\alpha) := Z_{K(\alpha)}(\mathfrak{a}(\alpha)) \subseteq H(\alpha)$  because  $s_\alpha M(\alpha) = M(\alpha)s_\alpha$ . Let  $F = \exp(i\mathfrak{a}(\alpha)) \cap G(\alpha)$ . Then one has  $M(\alpha) = FZ_{H(\alpha)_o}(\mathfrak{a}(\alpha))$  by [NÓ99, Lemma 5.7]. But if  $f \in F$  then  $\tau(\alpha)(f) = f^{-1} = f$ , by the same lemma. Hence  $F \subseteq H(\alpha)$ , which implies that  $M(\alpha) \subseteq H(\alpha)$ . ■

**Theorem II.7.** *Let  $\alpha \in \Delta_n^+$ . Then  $\Omega \cap \overline{\mathfrak{n}}(\alpha) = \Omega(\alpha)$ .*

**Proof.** " $\supseteq$ ": This is clear.

" $\subseteq$ ": Note that  $\Omega \cap \overline{\mathfrak{n}}(\alpha)$  is open and convex in  $\overline{\mathfrak{n}}(\alpha)$ . We have

$$(2.3) \quad \exp(\Omega) \cap (H(\alpha)s_\alpha A(\alpha)N(\alpha)) = \emptyset,$$

since  $\exp(\Omega) \subseteq HAN$  and  $HAN \cap Hs_\alpha AN = \emptyset$  by Matsukis Theorem. In view of (2.3), Lemma II.7 implies that there exists an open dense subset  $\Omega_\alpha$  of  $\Omega \cap \overline{\mathfrak{n}}(\alpha)$  such that  $\Omega_\alpha \subseteq \Omega(\alpha)$ . Now the assertion follows from the fact that both  $\Omega(\alpha)$  and  $\Omega \cap \overline{\mathfrak{n}}(\alpha)$  are open and convex. ■

## III. The product formula for the $c$ -function

Recall the  $HAN$ -decomposition in  $G$  from Section I. For each  $\lambda \in \mathfrak{a}_\mathbb{C}^*$  and  $g$  in  $G$  we set

$$a_H(g)^\lambda := \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } g \notin HAN, \\ e^{\lambda(\log a)} & \text{if } g = han \in HAN. \end{cases}$$

For a locally compact group  $G$  we write  $\mu_G$  for a left Haar measure on  $G$ .

**Definition III.1.** (The  $c$ -functions) For each  $\alpha \in \mathfrak{a}^*$  let  $m_\alpha := \dim \mathfrak{g}^\alpha$  and put  $\rho := \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\alpha \in \Delta^+} m_\alpha \alpha$ . For  $\lambda \in \mathfrak{a}_\mathbb{C}^*$  we now set

$$c(\lambda) := \int_{\overline{N}} a_H(\overline{n})^{-(\lambda+\rho)} d\mu_{\overline{N}}(\overline{n}) = \int_{\overline{N} \cap HAN} a_H(\overline{n})^{-(\lambda+\rho)} d\mu_{\overline{N}}(\overline{n}),$$

$$c_\Omega(\lambda) := \int_{N_n^-} a_H(\bar{n})^{-(\lambda+\rho)} d\mu_{N_n^-}(\bar{n}) = \int_{\Omega} a_H(\bar{n})^{-(\lambda+\rho)} d\mu_{N_n^-}(\bar{n}),$$

and

$$c_0(\lambda) := \int_{N_k^-} a_H(\bar{n})^{-(\lambda+\rho)} d\mu_{N_k^-}(\bar{n})$$

whenever the defining integral exist. We write  $\mathcal{E}$ ,  $\mathcal{E}_\Omega$  and  $\mathcal{E}_0$  for the domain of definition of  $c$ ,  $c_\Omega$  and  $c_0$ , respectively. We call  $c$  the *c-function of the non-compactly causal symmetric space  $G/H$*  and  $c_\Omega$  the *c-function of the real bounded symmetric domain  $\Omega$* , while  $c_0$  is the usual *c-function of the non-compact Riemannian symmetric space  $G(0)/K(0)$* . ■

**Remark III.2.** (a) The choice of the particular analytic realization  $G/H$  of  $(\mathfrak{g}, \tau)$  as a symmetric space is immaterial for the definition of the  $c$ -function.

(b) We have  $\mathcal{E} = \mathcal{E}_0 \cap \mathcal{E}_\Omega$  and for all  $\lambda \in \mathcal{E}$  one has the splitting

$$c(\lambda) = c_0(\lambda)c_\Omega(\lambda)$$

(cf. [FHÓ94, Lemma 9.2]).

(c) The  $c$ -functions can be written as Laplace transforms (cf. [KNÓ98]). Let us explain this for the  $c$ -function  $c$ . For  $c_0$  and  $c_\Omega$  one has analogous statements.

There exists a positive Radon measure  $\mu$  on  $\mathfrak{a}$  such that

$$(\forall \lambda \in \mathcal{E}) \quad c(\lambda) = \mathcal{L}_\mu(\lambda) := \int_{\mathfrak{a}} e^{\lambda(X)} d\mu(X),$$

i.e.,  $c$  is the Laplace transform of  $\mu$ . In particular we see that the domain of definition  $\mathcal{E}$  is a tube domain over a convex set, i.e., one has

$$\mathcal{E} = i\mathfrak{a}^* + \mathcal{E}_{\mathbb{R}}$$

with  $\mathcal{E}_{\mathbb{R}} \subseteq \mathfrak{a}^*$  a convex subset of  $\mathfrak{a}^*$ . One knows that  $\text{int } \mathcal{E}$  is non-empty. Moreover, the fact that  $c$  is a Laplace transform implies that  $c$  is holomorphic on  $\text{int } \mathcal{E}$  and that  $c$  has no holomorphic extension to a connected open tube domain strictly larger than  $\text{int } \mathcal{E}$ . ■

Now we are going to prove the product formula for the  $c$ -function  $c_\Omega$ . Our strategy is a modified Gindikin-Karpelevic approach as presented in [GaVa88, p. 175–177] or [Hel84, Ch. IV].

For a positive system  $R \subseteq \Delta$  we set  $\bar{\mathfrak{n}}_R := \bigoplus_{\alpha \in -(\Delta^+ \cap R)} \mathfrak{g}^\alpha$  and write  $\bar{N}_R$  for the corresponding analytic subgroup of  $G$ . We define an auxiliary  $c$ -function by

$$c_R(\lambda) := \int_{\bar{N}_R} a_H(\bar{n})^{-(\lambda+\rho)} d\mu_{\bar{N}_R}(\bar{n})$$

whenever the integral exists.

For a single root  $\alpha \in \Delta^+$  we set  $\rho_\alpha := \frac{1}{2}m_\alpha\alpha$  and write

$$c_\alpha(\lambda) := \int_{\bar{N}(\alpha)} a_{H(\alpha)}(\bar{n})^{-(\lambda+\rho_\alpha)} d\mu_{\bar{N}(\alpha)}(\bar{n}).$$

We denote by  $\mathcal{E}_\alpha \subseteq \mathfrak{a}_{\mathbb{C}}^*$  the domain of definition of  $c_\alpha$ .



**Proposition III.3.** *For any positive system  $R \subseteq \Delta$  we have that*

$$c_R(\lambda) = \prod_{\alpha \in (R \cap \Delta^+)} c_\alpha(\lambda)$$

and  $c_R(\lambda)$  is defined if and only if  $\lambda \in \bigcap_{\alpha \in (R \cap \Delta^+)} \mathcal{E}_\alpha$ .

**Proof.** We proceed by induction on  $|R \cap \Delta^+|$ . If  $R \cap \Delta^+ = \emptyset$ , then the assertion is clear.

Assume that  $R \cap \Delta^+ \neq \emptyset$ . Then we find an element  $\beta \in R \cap \Delta^+$  which is simple in  $R$ . Set  $Q := s_\beta \cdot R$ . Then  $Q = R \setminus \{\beta\} \cup \{-\beta\}$  since  $\Delta$  is reduced (cf. Proposition I.1(i)). Thus we have  $(Q \cap \Delta^+) \dot{\cup} \{\beta\} = R \cap \Delta^+$ . We now have to distinguish to cases.

Case 1:  $\beta$  is compact.

In this case, the  $HAN$ -decomposition of  $G(\beta)$  coincides with the Iwasasa decomposition, i.e.  $G(\beta) = K(\beta)A(\beta)N(\beta)$ . Thus  $c_R(\lambda) = c_\beta(\lambda)c_Q(\lambda)$  follow as in [GaVa88, Prop. 4.7.6].

Case 2:  $\beta$  is non-compact.

Set  $\overline{N}_Q^k := \overline{N}_Q \cap N_k^-$ ,  $\overline{N}_Q^n := \overline{N}_Q \cap N_n^-$  and note that  $\overline{N}_Q = \overline{N}_Q^n \rtimes \overline{N}_Q^k$ . Since  $\overline{N}_R = \overline{N}(\beta)\overline{N}_Q$  we thus get

$$c_R(\lambda) = \int_{\overline{N}(\beta)} \int_{\overline{N}_Q^n} \int_{\overline{N}_Q^k} a_H(\overline{n}_\beta \overline{n}_n \overline{n}_k)^{-(\lambda+\rho)} d\mu_{\overline{N}(\beta)}(\overline{n}_\beta) d\mu_{\overline{N}_Q^n}(\overline{n}_n) d\mu_{\overline{N}_Q^k}(\overline{n}_k).$$

If  $\overline{n}_\beta \overline{n}_n \overline{n}_k \in \overline{N} \cap HAN$ , then (1.1) implies that  $\overline{n}_\beta \overline{n}_n \in \exp(\Omega)$ . Since  $\mathfrak{n}_n^-$  is abelian, Theorem II.5 therefore implies that  $\overline{n}_\beta \in \exp(\Omega)$  and so  $\overline{n}_\beta \in \exp(\Omega(\beta))$  by Theorem II.7. Therefore we can write  $\overline{n}_\beta = h_\beta a_\beta n_\beta$  with  $h_\beta \in H(\beta)$ ,  $a_\beta \in A(\beta)$  and  $n_\beta \in N(\beta)$ . Now one can proceed as in [GaVa88, p. 175–177] and one gets  $c_R(\lambda) = c_\beta(\lambda)c_Q(\lambda)$ .  $\blacksquare$

**Remark III.4.** If we choose  $R = -\Delta_n^+ \cup \Delta_k^+$  (this is a positive system since  $\Delta_n^+$  is  $\mathcal{W}$ -invariant), then we have  $c_0 = c_R$  and Proposition III.3 results in the Gindikin-Karpelevic product formula

$$c_0(\lambda) = \prod_{\alpha \in \Delta_k^+} c_\alpha(\lambda)$$

of the  $c$ -function  $c_0$  on  $G(0)/K(0)$  (cf. [GaVa88, Th. 4.7.5] or [Hel84, Ch. IV, Th. 6.13, 6.14]).  $\blacksquare$

**Theorem III.5.** (The product formula for  $c_\Omega$ ) *For the  $c$ -function  $c_\Omega$  of the real bounded symmetric domain  $\Omega$  one has*

$$\mathcal{E}_\Omega = \{\lambda \in \mathfrak{a}_\mathbb{C}^*: (\forall \alpha \in \Delta_n^+) \operatorname{Re} \lambda(H_\alpha) < 2 - m_\alpha\}$$

and

$$c_\Omega(\lambda) = \kappa \prod_{\alpha \in \Delta_n^+} B\left(\frac{m_\alpha}{2}, -\frac{\lambda(H_\alpha)}{2} - \frac{m_\alpha}{2} + 1\right)$$

where  $B$  denotes the Beta function and  $\kappa$  is a positive constant only depending on  $(\mathfrak{g}, \tau)$ .

**Proof.** Set  $\mathcal{E}'_\Omega := \bigcap_{\alpha \in \Delta_n^+} \mathcal{E}_\alpha$ . We want to apply Proposition III.3 to  $R = \Delta^+$ . In view of Remark III.2(b) and Remark III.4, we thus get

$$(3.1) \quad (\forall \lambda \in \mathcal{E} \cap \mathcal{E}'_\Omega) \quad c_\Omega(\lambda) = \prod_{\alpha \in \Delta_n^+} c_\alpha(\lambda) = \prod_{\alpha \in \Delta_n^+} c_{\Omega(\alpha)}(\lambda).$$

By [FHÓ94, (10.3)] one has

$$(3.2) \quad c_{\Omega(\alpha)}(\lambda) = 2^{m_\alpha-1} B\left(\frac{m_\alpha}{2}, -\frac{\lambda(H_\alpha)}{2} - \frac{m_\alpha}{2} + 1\right)$$

and

$$(3.3) \quad \mathcal{E}_{\Omega(\alpha)} = \{\lambda \in \mathfrak{a}_{\mathbb{C}}^* : \operatorname{Re} \lambda(H_\alpha) < 2 - m_\alpha\}.$$

It follows from (3.3) that

$$(3.4) \quad \mathcal{E}'_\Omega = \{\lambda \in \mathfrak{a}_{\mathbb{C}}^* : (\forall \alpha \in \Delta_n^+) \operatorname{Re} \lambda(H_\alpha) < 2 - m_\alpha\}.$$

Besides  $\mathcal{E}_\Omega = \mathcal{E}'_\Omega$  all assertions of the theorem now follow from (3.1)-(3.4). Finally,  $\mathcal{E}_\Omega = \mathcal{E}'_\Omega$  follows from the fact that all  $c$ -functions involved are Laplace transforms (cf. Remark III.2(c)). ■

The following simple fact that shows that we can split off all the non-compact roots to get the  $c_\Omega$ -function before we come to the compact roots.

**Lemma III.6.** *Let  $R$  be a any positive system of roots in  $\Delta$ . If  $R \cap \Delta_n^+ \neq \emptyset$ , then  $R \cap \Delta_n^+$  contains a root that is simple in  $R$ .*

**Proof.** Let  $\{\beta_0, \dots, \beta_n\}$  be the set of simple roots in  $R$ . Let  $\gamma \in R \cap \Delta_n^+$ . Then  $\gamma = \sum_{i=0}^n n_i \beta_i$  with  $n_i \in \mathbb{N}_0$ . Thus  $1 = \gamma(X_0) = \sum_{i=0}^n n_i \beta_i(X_0)$  which implies that  $\beta_i(X_0) > 0$  for at least one  $\beta_i$ . But then  $\beta_i \in \Delta_n^+$ . ■

## References

- [Fa95] Faraut, J., *Fonctions Sphériques sur un Espace Symétrique Ordonné de Type Cayley*, Contemp. Math. **191** (1995), 41–55.
- [FHÓ94] Faraut, J., J. Hilgert, and G. Ólafsson, *Spherical functions on ordered symmetric spaces*, Ann. Inst. Fourier **44** (1994), 927–966.
- [GaVa88] Gangolli, R., and V.S. Varadarajan, “Harmonic Analysis of Spherical Functions on Real Reductive Groups,” *Ergebniss der Mathematik* **101**, Springer, 1988.
- [Gr97] Graczyk, P., *Function  $c$  on an ordered symmetric space*, Bull. Sci. math. **121** (1997), 561–572.
- [Hel84] Helgason, S., “Groups and Geometric Analysis”, Acad. Press, London, 1984.
- [HiÓ196] Hilgert, J. and G. Ólafsson, “Causal Symmetric Spaces, Geometry and Harmonic Analysis,” Acad. Press, 1996.
- [Kr99] Krötz, B., *Formal dimension of semisimple symmetric spaces*, Compositio math., ■ to appear.
- [KNÓ98] Krötz, B., K.-H. Neeb, and G. Ólafsson, *Spherical Functions on Mixed Symmetric Spaces*, submitted.
- [Ma79] Matsuki, T., *The orbits of affine symmetric spaces under the action of minimal parabolic subgroups*, J. Math. Soc. Jpn. **31**, 331–357 (1979).
- [NÓ99] Neumann, A., and G. Ólafsson, *Minimal and Maximal Semigroups Related to Causal Symmetric Spaces*, Semigroup Forum, to appear.
- [Ó197] Ólafsson, G., *Spherical Functions and Spherical Laplace Transform on Ordered Symmetric Spaces*, submitted.

- [OS80] Oshima, S., Sekiguchi, J, *Eigenspaces of Invariant Differential Operators on an Affine Symmetric Spaces*, Invent. math. **57** (1980), 1–81.

Bernhard Krötz  
 Mathematical Institute  
 TU Clausthal  
 Erzstraße 1  
 D-38678 Clausthal–Zellerfeld  
 Germany  
 e-mail: mabk@math.tu-clausthal.de

Gestur Ólafsson  
 Department of Mathematics  
 Louisiana State University  
 Baton Rouge  
 LA 70803  
 e-mail: olafsson@math.lsu.edu