

Irreducible Representations for Toroidal Lie-algebras

S. Eswara Rao

School of Mathematics

Tata Institute of Fundamental Research

Homi Bhabha Road

Mumbai - 400 005 India

email: senapati@math.tifr.res.in

Introduction Let \mathcal{G} be simple finite dimensional Lie algebra over complex numbers \mathbb{C} . The universal central extension of $\mathcal{G} \otimes \mathbb{C}[t_1^{\pm 1}, \dots, t_n^{\pm 1}]$ are called toroidal Lie algebras. For $n = 1$ they are precisely the affine Kac-Moody Lie-algebras so that toroidal Lie-algebras are generalisations of affine Kac-Moody Lie-algebras. The major difference in the toroidal case is that the centre is infinite dimensional unlike the affine case where the centre is one dimensional. This poses a major difficulty in studying representation theory of toroidal Lie algebras. The toroidal Lie-algebras are naturally \mathbb{Z}^n -graded and there is an infinite dimensional centre with non-zero degree. Generally in an irreducible representation the non-zero degree central operator does not act as scalars but acts as a invertible central operators. The main purpose of this paper is to prove that the study of irreducible representation for toroidal Lie-algebra is reduced to the study of irreducible representation where the infinite centre acts as scalars.

We now give a more details of the paper. We fix an integer $n \geq 2$. Let $A_n = \mathbb{C}[t_1^{\pm 1}, \dots, t_n^{\pm 1}]$ be a Laurent polynomial in n commuting variables. We consider the universal central extension of $\mathcal{G} \otimes A_n$ and add degree derivations $D = \{d_1, \dots, d_n\}$ which we call the toroidal Lie-algebra τ . (see section 1). Let V be irreducible representation for the toroidal Lie-algebra with finite dimensional weight spaces. We prove in section 1 that such a representation is actually a representation for $\mathcal{G}_{\text{aff}} \otimes A_{n-1}$ up to an automorphism of τ (see 1.12).

In the process we prove an important result which is independent interest. Let $\bar{\tau}$ be the quotient of τ by non-zero degree central elements. Then $\bar{\tau}$ does not admit representations with finite dimensional weight spaces where some zero degree central operator acts non-trivially (Corollary (1.11)). See [BC], [MJT] and [F] where representations for $\bar{\tau}$ are studied.

We prove in section 2 that the above irreducible representation admits an irreducible quotient V_1 for $\mathcal{G}_{\text{aff}} \otimes A_{n-1} \oplus \mathbb{C}d_n$ (Theorem (2.5)). Note that

the quotient is only graded by d_n which comes from the gradation of \mathcal{G}_{aff} . In this quotient the central operators act by scalars (Lemma (3.1)).

In the last section we give a $\mathcal{G}_{\text{aff}} \otimes A_{n-1} \oplus D$ module structure on $V_1 \otimes A_{n-1}$ and prove complete reducibility in Proposition (3.8). In Theorem (3.9) we prove that the original module V is isomorphic to a component of $V_1 \otimes A_{n-1}$. In fact all components are isomorphic up to a grade shift. Thus we conclude that the study of irreducible representations for τ with finite dimensional weight spaces is reduced to the study of irreducible representation for $\mathcal{G}_{\text{aff}} \otimes A_{n-1} \oplus \mathbb{C}d_n$ with finite dimensional weight spaces where the centre acts as scalars.

It may be appropriate to mention that irreducible integrable representations for τ with finite dimensional weight spaces are classified by the author in [E3] and [E4]. See also [Y]. The integrable modules are not completely reducible. Indecomposable modules which are not necessarily irreducible has been studied by [CT]. Further vertex representations are constructed and studied in [MEY], [EM], [E1], [JMT] and [CO].

Section 1 Let \mathcal{G} be simple finite dimensional Lie algebra over complex numbers \mathbb{C} . Let $(,)$ be \mathcal{G} -invariant non-degenerate symmetric bilinear form. Fix a positive integer $n \geq 2$. Let $A = A_n = \mathbb{C}[t_1^{\pm 1}, \dots, t_n^{\pm 1}]$ be a Laurent polynomial ring in n commuting variables. For $\underline{m} = (m_1, \dots, m_n) \in \mathbb{Z}^n$, let $t^{\underline{m}} = t_1^{m_1} \dots t_n^{m_n} \in A$. For any vector space V , let $V_A = V \otimes A$. Let $v(\underline{m}) = v \otimes t^{\underline{m}}$. Let $Z = \Omega_A/d_A$ be the module of differentials. That is Z is spanned by vectors $t^{\underline{m}}K_i, 1 \leq i \leq n, \underline{m} \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ with a relation.

$$(1.1) \quad \sum m_i t^{\underline{m}} K_i = 0.$$

Let $\tau = \mathcal{G} \otimes A \oplus Z \oplus D$ where D is spanned by d_1, \dots, d_n . We will now define a Lie algebra structure on τ .

(1.2) F or $X, Y \in \mathcal{G}, \underline{r}, \underline{s} \in \mathbb{Z}^n$.

(1) $[X(\underline{r}), Y(\underline{s})] = [X, Y](\underline{r} + \underline{s}) + (X, Y)d(t^{\underline{r}})t^{\underline{s}}$ where $d(t^{\underline{r}})t^{\underline{s}} = \sum r_i t^{\underline{r} + \underline{s}} K_i$.

(2) Z is central in $\mathcal{G} \otimes A \oplus Z$.

(3) $[d_i, X(\underline{r})] = r_i X(\underline{r})$, $[d_i, d(t^{\underline{r}})t^{\underline{s}}] = (r_i + s_i)d(t^{\underline{r}})t^{\underline{s}}$, $[d_i, d_j] = 0$.

Proposition (3.1) ([MY], [K]). $\mathcal{G} \otimes A \oplus Z$ is the universal central extension of $\mathcal{G} \otimes A$.

(1.4) Let h be a Cartan subalgebra of \mathcal{G} . Let \tilde{h} be the span of h, K_1, \dots, K_n and d_1, \dots, d_n . We call \tilde{h} a Cartan subalgebra of τ . Let $\delta_1, \dots, \delta_n$ be in \tilde{h}^* defined by $\delta_i(h) = 0, \delta_i(K_j) = 0$ and $\delta_i(d_j) = \delta_{ij}$. For $\underline{r} \in \mathbb{Z}^n, \delta_{\underline{r}} = \sum r_i \delta_i$. Let $\overset{\circ}{\Delta}$ be the root system of \mathcal{G} and let $\mathcal{G} = \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \overset{\circ}{\Delta}} \mathcal{G}_{\alpha} \oplus h$ be the root space decomposition. Let $\Delta = \{\alpha + \delta_{\underline{r}}, \delta_{\underline{s}}, \alpha \in \overset{\circ}{\Delta}, \underline{r}, \underline{s} \in \mathbb{Z}^n\}$. Let

$$\begin{aligned} \tau_{\alpha + \delta_{\underline{r}}} &= \mathcal{G}_{\alpha} \otimes t^{\underline{r}}, \alpha \in \overset{\circ}{\Delta}, \underline{r} \in \mathbb{Z}^n \\ \tau_{\delta_{\underline{r}}} &= h \otimes t^{\underline{r}}, \underline{r} \in \mathbb{Z}^n, \underline{r} \neq 0 \\ \tau_0 &= \tilde{h} \end{aligned}$$

Then clearly $\tau = \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \Delta} \tau_{\alpha}$ is a root space decomposition of τ .

(1.5) Let $G = GL(n, \mathbb{Z})$ be the set of $n \times n$ matrices with entries in \mathbb{Z} whose determinant is ± 1 . For every B in G we define an automorphism of τ again denoted by B . Let $\underline{r}, \underline{s} \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ and let $\underline{m}^T = B\underline{r}^T$ and $\underline{n}^T = B\underline{s}^T$. Define

$$BX(\underline{r}) = X(\underline{m}), X \in \mathcal{G}$$

$$Bd(t^{\underline{r}})t^{\underline{s}} = d(t^{\underline{m}})t^{\underline{n}}$$

Let $(d_1^1, \dots, d_n^1)^T = A^{-1}(d_1, \dots, d_n)^T$. Define $Bd_i = d_i^1$. It is easy to check that B is an automorphism of τ .

(1.6) We recall some simple results from [E4].

Let V be irreducible weight module for τ with finite dimensional weight spaces with respect to \tilde{h} . the following is proved in [E4].

Lemma (1.7) (Lemma (4.3) and (4.4) of [E4]). Let z be in \mathbb{Z} of degree \underline{m} .

(1) Suppose $zv \neq 0$ for some v in V . Then $zv \neq 0$ for all non-zero v in V .

(2) Suppose $z \neq 0$. Then there exists a central operator T on V such that $zT = Tz = Id$.

Let $L = \{\underline{r}, -\underline{r} \mid t^{\underline{r}}K_i \neq 0 \text{ on } V \text{ for some } i\}$.

Let T be the \mathbb{Z} linear span of L . Clearly T is a subgroup of \mathbb{Z}^n . Let k be the rank of T . Clearly k is invariant under the automorphism defined above. Now by standard basis theorem there exists a \mathbb{Z} basis $\underline{m}_1, \dots, \underline{m}_n$ of \mathbb{Z}^n such that $k_1\underline{m}_1, \dots, k_k\underline{m}_k$ is a basis of T for some non-zero integers k_1, \dots, k_k . It is a standard fact that there exists a B in G such that $B\underline{m}_i = (0, \dots, 1, 0 \dots 0)$ (1 in the i th place). Thus up to automorphism, we can assume that there exists non-zero central operator z_1, \dots, z_k on V such that their degree are $(k_1, 0 \dots 0) \dots (0, \dots k_k, 0 \dots 0)$. We have the following:

Proposition 1.8 (Theorem (4.5) of [E4]). Let V be irreducible module for τ with finite dimensional weight spaces with respect to \tilde{h} . Then up to automorphism the following is true on V .

- (1) There exists non-zero central operators z_1, \dots, z_k of degree $(k_1, 0 \dots 0) \dots (0 \dots k_k, 0 \dots 0)$.
- (2) $k < n$
- (3) $t^{\underline{r}}K_i = 0$ for all i and for all \underline{r} such that for some $j, k+1 \leq j \leq n, r_j \neq 0$.
- (4) $t^{\underline{r}}K_i = 0 \quad 1 \leq i \leq k, \forall \underline{r} \in \mathbb{Z}^n$.

- (5) There exists a proper submodule W of $\mathcal{G} \otimes A \oplus Z \oplus D_k$ (where D_k is spanned by d_{k+1}, \dots, d_n) such that V/W has finite dimensional weight spaces with respect to $h \oplus \sum \mathbb{C}K_i \oplus D_k$.

Theorem (1.9) Let V be an irreducible τ -module with finite dimensional weight spaces with respect to \tilde{h} . Let k be as defined above. Suppose some central operator is non-zero. Then $k = n - 1$.

Before we prove the theorem, we prove an important proposition which is also of independent interest.

Let H be a finite dimensional vector space over \mathbb{C} with a non-degenerate bilinear form \langle, \rangle . Consider the Lie-algebra $\tilde{H} = H \otimes \mathbb{C}[t_1^{\pm 1}, t_2^{\pm 1}] \oplus \mathbb{C}C_1 \oplus \mathbb{C}C_2 \oplus \mathbb{C}d_1 \oplus \mathbb{C}d_2$ with the following Lie bracket

$$(1) [h_1 \otimes t_1^{r_1} t_2^{r_2}, h_2 \otimes t_1^{s_1} t_2^{s_2}] = (h_1, h_2) \delta_{r_1+s_1, 0} \delta_{r_2+s_2, 0} (r_1 C_1 + r_2 C_2).$$

$$(2) C_1, C_2 \text{ are central}$$

$$(3) [d_i, h_1 t_1^{r_1} t_2^{r_2}] = r_i h_1 t_1^{r_1} t_2^{r_2}, [d_i, d_j] = 0.$$

$$\text{Let } h = H \oplus \mathbb{C}C_1 \oplus \mathbb{C}C_2 \oplus \mathbb{C}d_1 \oplus \mathbb{C}d_2.$$

Proposition (1.10) Let W be a h -weight module for \tilde{H} with finite dimensional weight spaces. Suppose, at least on C_i acts non trivially. Then such a W does not exists.

Proof The proof is inspired by Futorny's work [F].

First note that $GL(2, \mathbb{Z})$ acts on \tilde{H} as automorphism. Now twisting by automorphism we can assume that both central elements act non trivially on W .

Let $W = \bigoplus_{\underline{n} \in \mathbb{Z}^2} W_{\underline{n}}$ be the weight space decomposition. Let $h t_1^{r_1} t_2^{r_2} = h \otimes t_1^{r_1} t_2^{r_2}$.

Choose $h \in H$ such that $(h, h) = 1$. Let $e = ht_1ht_1^{-1}$ and $f = ht_2ht_2^{-1}$. Then e and f leaves each finite dimensional space $W_{\underline{n}}$ invariant.

Fix $\underline{n} \in \mathbb{Z}^2$ such that $W_{\underline{n}} \neq 0$. Let v be in $W_{\underline{n}}$ such that, v is a common eigen vector for e and f . Let v be a submodule of W generated by v . Let $V_{\underline{m}} = V \cap W_{\underline{m}}$. We call a vector of the form $h_1t_1^{r_{11}}t_2^{r_{21}} \cdots h_nt_1^{r_{n1}}t_2^{r_{n2}}v$ a monomial. Note that each monomial is a eigen vector for e and f . Conversely any eigen vector of e or f is a linear combination of monomials with same eigenvalue. From Futorny's work (Lemma (4.2) of [F]) it follows that the eigen values of e and f are of the form m_1C_1 and m_2C_2 for some $m_i \in \mathbb{Z}$. Choose a eigen vector w in $V_{\underline{n}}$ of eigenvalue m_2C_2 for f such that the absolute value of m_2 is maximal.

Suppose $m_2 > 0$. From the above observations we can assume w is a monomial. Suppose $ew = mw$.

Claim 1 Suppose $m > 0$. Then $ht_1^\ell t_2^k w = 0$ for all $k \geq 2$ and for all $\ell > 0$. Suppose $ht_1^\ell t_2^k w \neq 0$ for some $k \geq 2$ and for some $\ell > 0$. Consider $ht_2ht_2^{-1}ht_1^\ell t_2^k w = m_2C_2ht_1^\ell t_2^k w$. We are using the fact that $k \geq 2$ so that f commutes with $ht_1^\ell t_2^k$. This proves that $ht_2^{-1}ht_1^\ell t_2^k w \neq 0$. Let $w_s = (ht_2^{-1})^s ht_1^\ell t_2^k w$. We prove by induction on s that $w_s \neq 0$. Consider $ht_2w_{s+1} = fw_s = (s + m_2)w_s$. By induction $w_s \neq 0$ and we know $s + m_2 > 0$. This proves $w_{s+1} \neq 0$. In particular $w_k \neq 0$.

Sub-claim $(ht_1^{-1})^\ell w_k \neq 0$. Consider $ht_1ht_1^{-1}w_k = mw_k$. Since $m \neq 0$, this proves $ht_1^{-1}w_k \neq 0$. Consider $v_s = (ht_1^{-1})^s w_k$. We prove by induction on s that $v_s \neq 0$. Consider $ht_1v_{s+1} = ev_s = (s + m)v_s$. By induction $v_s \neq 0$ and we know that $s + m > 0$. This proves $v_{s+1} \neq 0$. In particular $v_\ell = (ht_1^{-1})^\ell w_k \neq 0$. But $fv_\ell = (k + m_2)v_\ell, v_\ell \in V_{\underline{n}}$. This is a contradiction to the maximality of m_2 . This proves the claim 1.

Claim 2. suppose $m \leq 0$. Then $ht_1^\ell t_2^k w = 0$ for all $k \geq 2$ and for all $\ell < 0$. Consider $ht_1^{-1}ht_1w = ht_1 \cdot ht_1^{-1}w - C_1w$

$$= (m - 1)C_1w$$

.

Note that $m - 1 < 0$. By arguing as in the claim 1 we prove that

$$(ht_2^{-1})^k ht_1^\ell t_2^k w \neq 0.$$

Now we claim that

$$b = (ht_1)^{-\ell}(ht_2^{-1})^k ht_1^\ell t_2^k w \neq 0.$$

This can be established by arguing as in the claim 1. Now using $ht_1^{-1}ht_1$ instead of $ht_1ht_1^{-1}$, noting $m - 1 < 0$. Now $fb = (m_2 + k)b$. It is a contradiction to the maximality to m_2 .

Claim 3 $ht_1^\ell t_2^{-k}w \neq 0$ for all $k \geq 2$ and for all $\ell > 0$ or for all $\ell < 0$ such that $\ell C_1 \pm kC_2 \neq 0$. This follows from claim 1 and 2 by applying $ht_1^{-\ell}t_2^k$ on the above vector.

Case 1 Assume $ht_1^\ell t_2^{-k}w \neq 0$ for all $k \geq 2$ and all $\ell \geq 1$. Suppose $ht_1^{-\ell}t_2^{-k}w \neq 0$ for some $k \geq 2$ and for all $\ell > 0$ such that $\ell C + 1 \pm kC_2 \neq 0$. Then we claim that

$$\{ht_1^{-\ell}t_2^{-k}ht_1^\ell t_2^{-k}w, \ell C_1 \pm kC_2 \neq 0\}$$

is linearly independent. Suppose the claim is true. Then $V_{\underline{n}+(0,2k)}$ is infinite dimension a contradiction. This prove the proposition.

Suppose

$$\sum a_{\ell_i} ht_1^{-\ell_i} t_2^{-k} ht_1^{\ell_i} t_2^{-k} w = 0.$$

Apply $ht_1^{-\ell_1}t_2^k$ to the above sum. It commutes with the first term. Thus we get

$$a_{\ell_1}ht_1^{-\ell_1}t_2^{-k}(\ell C_1 - kC_2)w.$$

This forces from our assumptions that $a_{\ell_1} = 0$. Similarly $a_{\ell_i} = 0$. Thus the above set is linearly independent. Now suppose $ht_1^{-\ell}t_2^{-k}w = 0$ for all $k \geq 2$ and for some $\ell > 0 \ni \ell C_1 \pm kC_2 \neq 0$. Then it follows that $ht_1^\ell t_2^k w \neq 0$. Now consider the set

$$\{ht_1^\ell t_2^k ht_1^{-\ell}t_2^{-k}w, \ell C_1 \pm kC_2 \neq 0\}$$

which can be shown to be linearly independent.

This contradicts the finite dimensionality of the weight space.

Case 2 $ht_1^\ell t_2^{-k}w \neq 0$ for all $k \geq 2$ and for all $\ell < 0$. This case can be dealt with as in the Case 1.

We are now left with the case $m_2 \leq 0$. Consider

$$\begin{aligned} ht_2^{-1}ht_2w &= ht_2ht_2^{-1}w - C_2w \\ &= (m_2 - 1)C_2w. \end{aligned}$$

Now we have $m_2 - 1 < 0$. Now the above proof works with $ht_2^{-1}ht_2$ instead of $ht_2ht_2^{-1}$. [QED]

We first deduce an interesting corollary. Let $\bar{\tau} = \mathcal{G} \otimes A \oplus \sum \mathbb{C}K_i \oplus \sum \mathbb{C}d_i$ be a Lie-algebra defined by

$$[X(\underline{r}), Y(\underline{s})] = [X, Y](\underline{r} + \underline{s}) + (X, Y)\delta_{\underline{r}+\underline{s}, 0} \sum r_i K_i$$

K_i are central.

$$[d_i, X(\underline{r})] = r_i X(\underline{r}), \quad [d_i, d_j] = 0.$$

Corollary (1.11) Let V be a weight module for $\bar{\tau}, n \geq 2$ generated by a weight vector with finite dimensional weight spaces. Assume at least one K_i acts non trivially on the generator. Then such a V does not exist.

Proof Follows from the above proposition by restricting the module to the subalgebra generated by $h \otimes t_i^r t_{i\pm 1}^s$.

Proof of the Theorem (1.9).

From Proposition (1.8) we know that $k < n$. Suppose $k < n - 1$.

Case 1 Assume $K_i \neq 0$ on V for some i . Then $i \geq k + 1$ from Proposition (1.8) (4). Let us say $i = k + 1$. Then $k + 2 \leq n$. Consider the Lie sub algebra generated by $ht_k^r t_{k+1}^s$. Consider $[h_1 t_k^{r_1} t_{k+1}^{s_1}, h_2 t_k^{r_2} t_{k+1}^{s_2}] = (h_1, h_2) \delta_{r_1+r_2,0} \delta_{s_1+s_2,0} (r_1 K_{k+1} 1 + s_1 K_{k+2})$. This is because $d(t_k^{r_1} t_{k+1}^{s_1}) t_k^{r_2} t_{k+1}^{s_2} = 0$ unless $r_1 + r_2 = 0$ and $s_1 + s_2 = 0$ from Proposition (1.8) (3). Now by restriction the module to the above sub algebra, we get a contradiction to Proposition (1.10).

Case 2 Assume $t^m K_i$ is non zero on V . Then by Proposition (1.8) (3), $i \geq k + 1$. Further $m_{k+1} = 0 \cdots = m_n$. Let us say $i = k + 1$. Consider the subalgebra generated by

- (1) $ht_k^m t_{k+1}^r t_{k+1}^s, s > 0$ or $s = 0$ but $r > 0$,
- (2) $ht_k^r t_{k+1}^s, s < 0$ or $s = 0, r < 0$
- (3) ht_k^m .

Consider in the above sub algebra,

$$\begin{aligned} & [h_1 t_k^m t_{k+1}^{r_1} t_{k+1}^{s_1}, h_2 t_k^{r_2} t_{k+1}^{s_2}] \\ &= (h_1, h_2) \delta_{r_1+s_1,0} \delta_{r_2+s_2,0} (r_1 t_k^m K_{k+1} + r_2 t_k^m K_{k+2}). \end{aligned}$$

All other brackets of the above sub algebra are zero. This is due to the Proposition (1.8). It is easy to see that the above sub algebra is isomorphic to \tilde{H} defined earlier to Proposition (1.10). By abuse of notation we call the above sub algebra \tilde{H} . Now we can not appeal to Proposition (1.10) as the central operator $t^m K_{k+1}$ has a non zero degree. But now by Proposition (1.8) (5), there exists a proper submodule W for $\mathcal{G} \otimes A \oplus \Omega_A / d_A \oplus D_k$ such

that V/W has finite dimensional weight space for $h \oplus \sum \mathbb{C}K_i \oplus D_k$. Now in this new module the central operator $t^m K_i$ has zero degree and leaves finite dimensional weight space invariant. We now claim that $t^m K_{k+1}$ is non zero on V/W . Suppose it is zero. Then $t^m K_{k+1} V \subseteq W$. But $V = t^m K_{k+1} V \subseteq W$ a contradiction as W is proper. Thus the \tilde{H} module generated by any $t^m K_{k+1}$ invariant vector in V/W has all the properties of the Proposition 1.10. So such a module does not exist. This proves $k = n - 1$.

(1.12) Let V be an irreducible τ -module with finite dimensional weight spaces. After twisting the module by an automorphism we can assume that the following central operators are zero.

$$\begin{aligned} t^m K_i &= 0 & 1 \leq i \leq n-1 \\ t^m K_n &= 0 & \text{for } m_n \neq 0 \end{aligned}$$

Consider $\mathcal{G}_{\text{aff}} = \mathcal{G} \otimes \mathbb{C}[t_n, t_n^{-1}] \oplus \mathbb{C}K_n$ with the obvious Lie bracket. Consider the Lie algebra homomorphism

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi = \tau &\rightarrow \mathcal{G}_{\text{off}} \otimes A_{n-1} \oplus D. \\ X \otimes t^x &\rightarrow (X \otimes t_n^{r_n}) \otimes t^{\underline{x}^1} \\ \underline{x}^1 &= (r_1, r_2 \cdots r_{n-1}) \\ t^m K_i &= \begin{cases} 0 & \text{for } 1 \leq i \leq n-1 \\ 0 & i = n, m_n \neq 0 \\ K_n \otimes t^m, m_n = 0, i = n \end{cases} \\ d_i &\mapsto d_i \end{aligned}$$

It is easy to check that φ defines a Lie algebra homomorphism. From the above observation it follows that on an irreducible module for τ with finite dimensional weight spaces, the $\text{Ker } \varphi$ vanishes after twisting by an automorphism.

Thus we can assume V is actually a module for $\mathcal{G}_{\text{aff}} \otimes A_{n-1} \oplus D$. Thus we study only such module.

Section 2.

(2.1) We will first introduce some notation

Let $J = \mathcal{G}_{\text{aff}} \otimes A_{n-1}$ where $\mathcal{G}_{\text{aff}} = \mathcal{G} \otimes \mathbb{C}[t_n^{\pm 1}]$ and $A_n = \mathbb{C}[t_1^{\pm 1}, \dots, t_{n-1}^{\pm 1}]$. Let D_k be the linear span of d_{k+1}, \dots, d_n . Let $h_k = h \oplus \mathbb{C}k_n \oplus D_k$ so that $h_{k-1} = h_k \oplus \mathbb{C}d_k$ and $h_0 = h \oplus \mathbb{C}k_n \oplus D$.

We start with an irreducible $J \oplus D$ -module V with finite dimensional weight spaces with respect to h_0 . We further assume that there exists non-zero central operators z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} with degree $(k_1, 0 \dots 0), \dots, (0, \dots 0, k_{n-1}, 0)$ without loss of generality we can assume that each k_i is a positive integer. Our aim in this section is to prove that a maximal $J \oplus \mathbb{C}d_n$ -sub module W_1 exists such that V/W_1 is an irreducible $J \oplus d_n$ -module with finite dimensional weight spaces with respect to h_{n-1} .

We will first produce an irreducible quotient of V for the Lie-algebra $J \oplus D_1$ with finite dimensional weight space with respect to h_1 .

(2.2) First notice that $\{z_1 v - v \mid v \in V\}$ is a $J \oplus D_1$ proper submodule of V . Thus V is reducible as $J \oplus D_1$ -module. So let W be a non-zero proper $J \oplus D_1$ submodule of V . Let $\bar{\mu}$ be a weight of V with respect to h_0 . Let $\mu = \bar{\mu} \mid h_1$. Let $w \in W$ be any μ -weight vector.

Let $w = \sum_{1 \leq i \leq m} w_i, w_i \in V_{\bar{\mu} + k_i \delta_i}$ where $k_1 < k_2 < \dots < k_m$. Note that $m \geq 2$ as W can not contain h_0 -weight vectors. If it contains then it will not be proper. Define $d(W) = k_\ell - k_1$. A vector w in W is called minimal if $d(W)$ is minimal for all the μ -weight vector. Now one can prove, that $d(W)$ depends on W but not on w . The proof is same as given in Lemma (3.8) of [E2]. (In the proof of the Lemma one has to assume $k_n - k_1 + \ell_1 \leq \ell_m$ to conclude $d(Xw - v) < \ell_m - \ell_1$. The rest of the proofs works as it is). As in the proof of Lemma (3.9) of [E2], we can prove that V/W has finite dimensional weight space for h_1 . We can further prove, as in Proposition

(3.11) of [E2]. V has a maximal $J \oplus D_1$ -submodule so that the quotient is irreducible. Thus we have proved the following:

(2.3) Proposition Let V be as defined in 2.1. Then there exists a maximal $J \oplus D_1$ submodule W_1 of V such that V/W_1 is irreducible and has finite dimensional weight spaces with respect to h_1 .

(2.4) Let V/W_1 as above. Consider $\{z_2v - v \mid v \in V/W_1\}$. This is a $J \oplus D_2$ non-zero submodule of V/W_1 and vectors are not d_2 invariant. But V/W_1 contains d_2 -invariant vector. Thus $\{Z_2v - v \mid v \in V/W_1\}$ is a non-zero proper submodule of V/W_1 . In particular V/W_1 is $J \oplus D_2$ reducible. Now by repeating the above process. We get an irreducible quotient of V/W_1 which $J \oplus D_2$ - module with finite dimensional weight spaces with respect to h_2 . By repeating the process $n - 1$ times we have the following theorem.

(2.5) Theorem Let V be as defined in (2.1). Then there exists a maximal $J \oplus D_{n-1}$ submodule W of V so that V/W is an irreducible $J \oplus D_{n-1}$ -module and has finite dimensional weight spaces with respect to h_{n-1} . Further we can choose W such that W contains $\{z_i v - v \mid v \in V, i = 1, \dots, n - 1\}$.

Section 3

Let V be irreducible $J \oplus D$ -module with finite dimensional weight spaces with respect to h_0 . Assume that there exists non-zero central operators z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{n-1} of degree $\underline{k}_1 = (k_1, 0 \dots 0) \dots \underline{k}_{n-1} = (0, \dots (0, \dots k_{n-1}, 0)$. Let W be a maximal $J \oplus D_{n-1}$ submodule of V so that V/W is irreducible $J \oplus D_{n-1}$ -module with finite dimensional weight space with respect to h_{n-1} . Let $V_1 = V/W$.

(3.1) Lemma Each z in Z acts as scalar on V_1 . Further each z in Z which acts as non-zero on V acts as non-zero scale on V_1 .

Proof First note that for any z that acts non trivially on V is of degree $(*, \dots, *, 0)$. Such a z leaves each finite dimensional weight space of V_1 invariant. Thus z has a eigen vector with eigen value ℓ . Since V_1 is irreducible z acts by the same scalar on the full module V_1 . Suppose z is non-zero on V . Then we claim $\ell \neq 0$. Suppose $\ell = 0$ then it follows that $zV \subseteq W$. But $V = zV \subseteq W$ which contradicts the fact that W is proper. So $\ell \neq 0$. This proves the Lemma.

(3.2) Let V_1 be as above. We now define $J \oplus D$ module structure on $V_1 \otimes A_{n-1}$. Recall $J = \mathcal{G}_{\text{aff}} \otimes A_{n-1}$. Let $\overline{m} = (m_1, \dots, m_{n-1}) \in \mathbb{Z}^{n-1}$. Let $X(\overline{m}) = X \otimes t^{\overline{m}}, X \in \mathcal{G}_{\text{aff}}, t^{\overline{m}} = t_1^{m_1} \dots t_{n-1}^{m_{n-1}} \in A_{n-1}$. Define a module structure $\pi(\alpha)$ on $V_1 \otimes A_{n-1}$ where $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{n-1}) \in \mathbb{C}^{n-1}$.

$$\begin{aligned} \pi(\alpha) \cdot X(\overline{m})v(\overline{n}) &= (X(\overline{m})v)(\overline{m} + \overline{n}) \\ d_n v(\overline{n}) &= (d_n v)(\overline{n}) \\ d_i v(\overline{n}) &= (\alpha_i + n_i)v(\overline{n}), 1 \leq i \leq n-1 \end{aligned}$$

The aim of this section is to prove that $(V_1 \otimes A_{n-1}, \pi(\alpha))$ is completely reducible and V is isomorphic to some component of $V_1 \otimes A_{n-1}$ for a suitable α . First consider the $J \oplus D_{n-1}$ map S from $V_1 \otimes A_{n-1} \rightarrow V_1$ given by

$$S(v(\overline{n})) = v.$$

(3.3) Lemma Let W be any non-zero $J \oplus D$ -submodule of $V_1 \otimes A_{n-1}$.

- (1) $S(W) = V_1$
- (2) If λ is a weight of V_1 then $\lambda + \delta_{\overline{m}}$ is a weight of W for some \overline{m} .

Proof Since V_1 is irreducible, it is sufficient to prove that $S(W)$ is non-zero. But W is h_0 weight module and hence contains vectors of the form $v(\overline{m})$. Then $S(v(\underline{m})) = v \neq 0$.

(2) Let $w \in V_1$ be a weight vector of weight λ . Then there exists $v \in W$ such that $S(w) = v$. Now write $w = \sum w_{\overline{m}}$. Since w is a weight module it follows that $w_{\overline{m}} \in W$. Thus (2) follows.

(3.4) Suppose z_i acts by ℓ_i on V_1 and we know that $\ell_i \neq 0$.

Consider $z_i v(\overline{m}) = \ell_i v(\overline{m} + \underline{k}_i)$. Clearly z_i is central operator and invertible on $V_1 \otimes A_{n-1}$. Let

$$\Gamma = k_1 \mathbb{Z} \oplus k_2 \mathbb{Z} \oplus \cdots \oplus k_{n-1} \mathbb{Z} \subseteq \mathbb{Z}^{n-1}.$$

It is easy to see that for each $\overline{m} \in \Gamma$ there exists a central operator $z_{\overline{m}}$ on $V_1 \otimes A_{n-1}$ which is invertible.

Let W be a submodule of $V_1 \otimes A_{n-1}$.

We claim then $\dim W_\lambda = \dim W_{\lambda + \delta_{\overline{m}}}$ for all $\underline{m} \in \Gamma$. Note that $z_{\overline{m}} W_\lambda \subseteq W_{\lambda + \delta_{\overline{m}}}$ and equality holds as $z_{\overline{m}}$ is invertible. Thus the claim follows. let λ be a fixed weight of V_1 . Let $K(W) = \sum_{0 \leq m_i < k_i} \dim (W_{\lambda + \delta_{\underline{m}}})$.

Now from Lemma 3.3 (2) and the above claim it follows that $K(W) \neq 0$.

(3.5) Proposition $V_1 \otimes A_{n-1}$ contains an irreducible submodule.

Proof We will prove that for any non-zero submodule W of $V_1 \otimes A_{n-1}$ either W contains an irreducible submodule or W contains a module $W_1 \ni K(W_1) < K(W)$. Fix a non-zero submodule W of $V_1 \otimes A_{n-1}$. Consider $S = \bigoplus_{\overline{m} \in \mathbb{Z}^{n-1}} W_{\lambda + \delta_{\overline{m}}}$. Let \tilde{W} be a submodule of W generated by S . Then clearly $K(\tilde{W}) = K(W)$. Suppose \tilde{W} has a proper submodule W_1 .

Claim $K(W_1) < K(\tilde{W})$. Suppose the claim is false. Consider

$$(*) \quad W_1 \cap (V_1 \otimes A_{n-1})_{\lambda + \delta_{\bar{m}}} \subseteq \tilde{W} \cap (V_1 \otimes A_{n-1})_{\lambda + \delta_{\bar{m}}}.$$

Now $K(W_1) = K(\tilde{W})$ implies that equality holds for all $\bar{m} \ni 0 \leq m_i < k_i$. Now by the claim in (3.4) it follows that equality holds in $*$ for all \bar{m} in \mathbb{Z}^{n-1} . This proves W_1 contain S and hence contain \tilde{W} . This contradicts the fact that W_1 is proper in \tilde{W} . Hence the claim. Now by repeating the above process we get an irreducible submodule of $V_1 \otimes A_{n-1}$. We now prove complete reducibility of $V_1 \otimes A_{n-1}$.

(3.6) Let W be an irreducible submodule of $V_1 \otimes A_{n-1}$. We have fixed a weight λ of V_1 . By Lemma 3.3 (b) there exists a weight vector v in V of weight λ such that $v(\bar{r}) \in W$.

Let \bar{U} be the universal enveloping algebra of $J \oplus D$. Write

$$\bar{U} = \otimes_{\bar{m} \in \mathbb{Z}^{n-1}} \bar{U}_{\bar{m}}$$

$$\bar{U}_{\bar{m}} = \{X \in U \mid [d_i, X] = r_i X, 1 \leq i \leq n-1\}$$

Clearly $\bar{U}v(\bar{r}) = W$ where $\bar{U}v(\bar{r})$ is the $J \oplus D$ -module generated by $v(\bar{r})$

(3.7) Lemma (1) $\bar{U}v(\bar{s})$ is irreducible $J \oplus D$ -module for any $\bar{s} \in \mathbb{Z}^{n-1}$.

$$(2) \quad \sum_{0 \leq s_i < k_i} \bar{U}v(\bar{s}) = V_1 \otimes A_{n-1}.$$

Proof Consider the map $\varphi : \bar{U}v(\bar{r}) \rightarrow \bar{U}v(\bar{s})$ given by $\varphi(w(\underline{k})) = w(\bar{k} + \bar{s} - \bar{r})$. It is a $J \oplus D_{n-1}$ module map. But need not be $J \oplus D$ - module map. Nevertheless it is an isomorphism. It is easy to see that $\bar{U}v(\bar{s})$ is irreducible.

Claim $\sum_{\bar{s} \in \mathbb{Z}^{n-1}} \bar{U}v(\bar{s}) = V_1 \otimes A_{n-1}$. Let $w(\underline{s}) \in V_1 \otimes A_{n-1}$, $w \in V_1$. Since V_1 is irreducible there exists $X \in \bar{U} \ni Xv = w$. Write $X = \sum X_{\bar{r}}$ where $X_{\bar{r}} \in \bar{U}_{\bar{r}}$.

Consider

$$\sum X_{\bar{r}} \cdot v(\bar{s} - \bar{r}) = \sum (X_{\bar{r}}v)(\bar{s}) = w(\bar{s}).$$

This proves the claim. Now recall that there exists a non-zero central operator $z_{\bar{m}}$ for any $\bar{m} \in \Gamma$. Further $z_{\bar{m}}$ is invertible.

Thus $z_{\bar{m}}\bar{U}v(\bar{r}) = U(\bar{r} + \bar{m})$. From this it follows that

$$\sum_{\bar{s} \in \mathbb{Z}^{n-1}} \bar{U}v(\bar{s}) = \sum_{0 \leq s_i < k_i} \bar{U}v(\bar{s}).$$

(3.8) Proposition $V_1 \otimes A_{n-1}$ is completely reducible as $J \oplus D$ -module.

Proof We have already seen that $\sum_{0 \leq s_i < k_i} \bar{U}v(\bar{s}) = V_1 \otimes A_{n-1}$. The sum is finite. Let $T = \{\underline{s} \mid 0 \leq s_i < k_i\}$. Suppose $\bar{U}v(\bar{s}) \cap \sum_{\substack{\underline{r} \in T \\ \underline{r} \neq \underline{s}}} \bar{U}v(\bar{r}) \neq 0$. Since $\bar{U}v(\bar{s})$ is irreducible it follows that $\bar{U}v(\bar{s}) \subseteq \sum_{\substack{\bar{r} \in T \\ \bar{s} \neq \bar{r}}} \bar{U}v(\bar{r})$. Thus we have reduced the finite sum by 1. Repeating this process we can replace the \sum by direct sum with fewer terms.

Let $\bar{U} = \oplus_{\eta \in h_0^*} \bar{U}_\eta$, $\bar{U}_\eta = \{X \in \bar{U} \mid [h, X] = \eta(h)X \forall h \in h_0\}$. Let U be the universal enveloping algebra of $J \oplus D_n$. Let $U = \oplus_{\eta \in h_\eta \in h_{n-1}^*} U_\eta$, $U_\eta = \{X \in U \mid [h, X] = \eta(h)X \forall h \in h_{n-1}\}$

(3.9) Theorem V is isomorphic to a component of $V_1 \otimes A_{n-1}$ as $J \oplus D$ -module.

Proof It can be proved similar to the techniques of 3.13, 3.14, 3.15 and 3.16 of [E2]. The U and \bar{U} is taken as defined by us. The d in [E2] is taken as the space spanned by d_1, \dots, d_{n-1} . We do not need the condition (2.2) of [E2]. In stead we have non-zero central operators z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} which are invertible in V as well as $V_1 \otimes A_{n-1}$.

(3.10) Remark The study of irreducible τ -modules with finite dimensional weight space where some part of the center acts non-trivially is now reduced to the study of irreducible modules of $J \oplus D_n$ with finite dimensional weight spaces. The advantage of the later modules is that the infinite dimensional center acts as scalars.

REFERENCES

- [BC] Berman, S. and Cox, B. Enveloping algebras and representations of toroidal Lie-algebras, Pacific Journal of Math. 165 (1994), 239-267.
- [CO] Cox, Ben. Two Realizations of Toroidal $s\ell_2(\mathbb{C})$, Contemporary Mathematics, 297, (2002), 47-68.
- [CT] Chari, V. and Thang Le, Representations of double affine Lie- algebras, Preprint, arxiv / org - 0205312.
- [E1] Eswara Rao, S. Iterated loop modules and a filtration for vertex representation of toroidal Lie-algebras, Pacific Journal of Mathematics, 171 (2), (1995), 511-528.
- [E2] Eswara Rao, S. Classification of loop modules with finite dimensional weight spaces, Math. Anal., 305 (1996), 651-663.
- [E3] Eswara Rao, S. Classification of irreducible integrable modules for multi-loop algebras with finite dimensional weight spaces, Journal of Algebra, 246, (2001), 215-225.
- [E4] Eswara Rao, S. Classification of irreducible integrable modules for toroidal Lie-algebras with finite dimensional weight spaces, TIFR Preprint (2001) arxiv / org. 0209060.

- [EM] Eswara Rao, S. and Moody, R.V. Vertex representations for n -toroidal Lie-algebras and a generalization of Virasoro algebra, *Comm. Math. Physics*, 159 (1994), 239-264.
- [EMY] Eswara Rao, S., Moody, R.V., and Yokonuma, T. Toroidal Lie-algebras and vertex representations, *Geom. Ded.* 35, (1990), 283-307.
- [FK] Futorny, V. and Kashuba, I. Verma type modules for toroidal Lie algebras, *Comm.in Math.* 27 (8), (1999), 3979-3991.
- [F] Futorny, V. Representations of affine Lie-algebras, *Queen's papers in Pure and Applied Mathematics*, (1997).
- [JMT] Jing, N., Misra, K. and Tan, S. Bosonic realization of higher level toroidal Lie-algebra, Preprint 2002.
- [K] Kassel, C., Kahler differentials and coverings of complex simple Lie-algebra extended over a commutative algebra, *J Pure Appl. Algebra*, 34 (1985), 266-275.
- [MY] Morita, J. and Yoshi, Y. Universal central extensions of Chevalley Algebras over Laurent polynomials rings and GIM Lie algebra, *Proc. Japan Acad. Ser.A* 61 (1985), 179-181.
- [Y] Youngsun, Yoon. On the polynomial representations of current algebras, *Journal of Algebra*, 252 (2) (2002), 376-393.