

# BEREZIN KERNELS AND ANALYSIS ON MAKAREVICH SPACES

JACQUES FARAUT, MICHAEL PEVZNER

*Dedicated to Gerrit van Dijk on the occasion of his 65-th birthday*

ABSTRACT. Following ideas of van Dijk and Hille we study the link which exists between maximal degenerate representations and Berezin kernels.

We consider the conformal group  $\text{Conf}(V)$  of a simple real Jordan algebra  $V$ . The maximal degenerate representations  $\pi_s$  ( $s \in \mathbb{C}$ ) we shall study are induced by a character of a maximal parabolic subgroup  $\bar{P}$  of  $\text{Conf}(V)$ . These representations  $\pi_s$  can be realized on a space  $I_s$  of smooth functions on  $V$ . There is an invariant bilinear form  $\mathfrak{B}_s$  on the space  $I_s$ . The problem we consider is to diagonalize this bilinear form  $\mathfrak{B}_s$ , with respect to the action of a symmetric subgroup  $G$  of the conformal group  $\text{Conf}(V)$ . This bilinear form can be written as an integral involving the Berezin kernel  $B_\nu$ , an invariant kernel on the Riemannian symmetric space  $G/K$ , which is a Makarevich symmetric space in the sense of Bertram. Then we can use results by van Dijk and Pevzner who computed the spherical Fourier transform of  $B_\nu$ . From these, one deduces that the Berezin kernel satisfies a remarkable Bernstein identity :

$$D(\nu)B_\nu = b(\nu)B_{\nu+1},$$

where  $D(\nu)$  is an invariant differential operator on  $G/K$  and  $b(\nu)$  is a polynomial. By using this identity we compute a Hua type integral which gives the normalizing factor for an intertwining operator from  $I_{-s}$  to  $I_s$ . Furthermore we obtain the diagonalization of the invariant bilinear form with respect to the action of the maximal compact group  $U$  of the conformal group  $\text{Conf}(V)$ .

---

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 43A35, 22E46.

*Key words and phrases.* Berezin Kernel, Spherical Fourier Transform, Conformal Groups, Makarevich Spaces.

First author partially supported by the European Commission (IHP Network HARP).

## 1. BEREZIN KERNELS ON MAKAREVICH SPACES

In this section we shall introduce the notion of a Berezin kernel on a symmetric space of a particular type by mean of Jordan algebraic methods.

**1.1. Jordan algebras and their conformal groups.** A finite dimensional vector space  $V$  on  $\mathbb{R}$  or  $\mathbb{C}$  is a *Jordan algebra* if it is endowed with a bilinear map  $(x, y) \rightarrow xy$  from  $V \times V$  into  $V$  satisfying the two following axioms:

- (J1)  $xy = yx, \quad \forall x, y \in V,$
- (J2)  $x(x^2y) = x^2(xy), \quad x, y \in V.$

Let  $L(x) \in \text{End}(V)$  denote for every  $x \in V$  the linear map defined by  $L(x)y = xy$  for every  $y \in V$ .

Let  $r$  and  $n$  denote respectively the rank and the dimension of the Jordan algebra  $V$ . For a regular element  $x$ , the minimal polynomial  $f_x$  is of degree  $r$ ,

$$f_x(\lambda) = \lambda^r - a_1(x)\lambda^{r-1} + \cdots + (-1)^r a_r(x).$$

The coefficient  $a_j$  is a homogeneous polynomial of degree  $j$ ,  $\Delta(x) = a_r(x)$  is the *Jordan polynomial*, and  $\text{tr}(x) = a_1(x)$  is the *Jordan trace* of  $x$ . (See [13] p.28 for more details).

Define the so-called *quadratic representation* of  $V$  by:

$$P(x) = 2L(x)^2 - L(x^2), \quad x \in V.$$

One checks that  $\Delta(x)^2 = \det(P(x))^{\frac{r}{n}}$ , where  $P(x)$  is seen as an endomorphism of  $V$ .

A real Jordan algebra  $V$  is said to be *semi-simple* if the bilinear form  $\text{Tr } L(xy)$  is non degenerate on  $V$ , such an algebra is unital. Furthermore it is called *Euclidean* if the above bilinear form is positive definite.

An involutive automorphism  $\alpha$  of  $V$  is called *Euclidean* if the bilinear form  $\text{Tr } L(\alpha(x)y)$  is positive definite on  $V$ . For a semi-simple Jordan algebra such a Euclidean involution always exists.

Assume from now on that  $V$  is a simple real Jordan algebra. According to the general construction of Kantor-Köcher-Tits one associates to  $V$  a simple Lie group which can be understood as a group of conformal transformations of the corresponding Jordan algebra (in a sense we shall explain).

Let us remind this classical construction. The *structure group*  $\text{Str}(V)$  of  $V$  can be defined as the subgroup of  $GL(V)$  of elements  $g$  such that

there exists a real number  $\chi(g)$  for which

$$(1.1) \quad \Delta(g.x) = \chi(g)\Delta(x), \quad x \in V.$$

The map  $g \rightarrow \chi(g)$  is a character of  $\text{Str}(V)$  which is a reductive Lie group.

The Jordan algebra  $V$  can be identified with the abelian group  $N$  of its own translations via the map  $y \rightarrow n_y$  from  $V$  to  $N$ , where  $n_y(x) = x + y$ ,  $\forall x \in V$ . The *conformal group*  $\text{Conf}(V)$  (or the Kantor-Köcher-Tits group) of the Jordan algebra  $V$  is the group of rational transformations of  $V$  generated by translations, elements in  $\text{Str}(V)$  and the inversion map  $j : x \rightarrow -x^{-1}$ . It is a simple Lie group. A transformation  $g \in \text{Conf}(V)$  is conformal in the sense that, at each point  $x$ , where  $g$  is well defined, its differential  $(Dg)_x$  belongs to the structure group  $\text{Str}(V)$ .

The subgroup of all affine conformal transformations  $P = \text{Str}(V) \ltimes N$  is a maximal parabolic subgroup of  $\text{Conf}(V)$ . Let  $\sigma$  be the involution of  $\text{Conf}(V)$  given by

$$\sigma(g) = j \circ g \circ j, \quad g \in \text{Conf}(V),$$

where  $j \in \text{Conf}(V)$  is the inversion map. We define  $\overline{N} = \sigma(N)$  and  $\overline{P} := \text{Str}(V) \ltimes \overline{N}$ .

From the geometric point of view the subgroup  $\overline{P}$  can be characterized in the following way:

$$\overline{P} = \{g \in \text{Conf}(V)' \mid g(0) = 0\},$$

where  $\text{Conf}(V)'$  is the subset of  $\text{Conf}(V)$  of all conformal transformations well defined at  $0 \in V$ . It is open and dense in  $\text{Conf}(V)$ . Moreover  $\text{Conf}(V)' = N \text{Str}(V) \overline{N}$ . The map  $N \times \text{Str}(V) \times \overline{N} \rightarrow \text{Conf}(V)'$  is a diffeomorphism. We shall refer to this decomposition as to the *Gelfand-Naimark decomposition* of the conformal group. Furthermore, for every transformation  $g \in \text{Conf}(V)$  which is well defined at  $x \in V$ , the transformation  $gn_x$  belongs to  $\text{Conf}(V)'$  and its Gelfand-Naimark decomposition is given by :

$$(1.2) \quad gn_x = n_{g.x}(Dg)_x \tilde{n}',$$

where  $(Dg)_x \in \text{Str}(V)$  is the differential of the conformal map  $x \rightarrow g.x$  at  $x$  and  $\tilde{n}' \in \overline{N}$  (see [21] Prop. 1.4).

The flag variety  $\mathcal{M} = \text{Conf}(V)/\overline{P}$ , which is compact, is the *conformal compactification* of  $V$ . In fact the map  $x \rightarrow (n_x \circ j)P$  gives rise to an embedding of  $V$  into  $\mathcal{M}$  as an open dense subset, and every transformation in  $\text{Conf}(V)$  extends to  $\mathcal{M}$ .

The Euclidean involution  $\alpha$  of  $V$  introduced above also defines an involution  $\theta$  of  $\text{Conf}(V)$  by:

$$(1.3) \quad \theta(g) = \alpha \circ j \circ g \circ j \circ \alpha.$$

It turns out that  $\theta$  is a Cartan involution of  $\text{Conf}(V)$  (see [21] Prop. 1.1). So the fix points subgroup of  $\theta : U = \text{Conf}(V)^\theta$  is a maximal compact subgroup of  $\text{Conf}(V)$ .

Let us remind that a simple real Jordan algebra is either a real form of a simple complex Jordan algebra or a simple complex Jordan algebra considered as a real one. We conclude this section with the classification of simple Jordan algebras, together with their conformal groups and maximal compact subgroups  $U$ .

	Complex Non split	Euclidean Split	Non Euclidean Split	Non Euclidean Non split ( $m = 2\ell$ )
$V$	$Sym_m(\mathbb{C})$	$Sym_m(\mathbb{R})$	$\times$	$Sym_{2\ell}(\mathbb{R}) \cap M_\ell(\mathbb{H})$
$\text{Conf}(V)$	$Sp_m(\mathbb{C})$	$Sp_m(\mathbb{R})$	$\times$	$Sp(\ell, \ell)$
$U$	$Sp(m)$	$U(m)$	$\times$	$Sp(\ell) \times Sp(\ell)$
$V$	$M_m(\mathbb{C})$	$Herm_m(\mathbb{C})$	$M_m(\mathbb{R})$	$M_\ell(\mathbb{H})$
$\text{Conf}(V)$	$SL_{2m}(\mathbb{C})$	$SU(m, m)$	$SL_{2m}(\mathbb{R})$	$SL_{2\ell}(\mathbb{H})$
$U$	$SU(2m)$	$S(U_m \times U_m)$	$SO(2m)$	$SO_{2\ell}(\mathbb{H})$
$V$	$Skew_{2m}(\mathbb{C})$	$Herm_m(\mathbb{H})$	$Skew_{2m}(\mathbb{R})$	$\times$
$\text{Conf}(V)$	$SO_{4m}(\mathbb{C})$	$SO^*(4m)$	$SO(2m, 2m)$	$\times$
$U$	$SO(4m)$	$U(2m)$	$SO_{2m} \times SO_{2m}$	$\times$
$V$	$\mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{C}^{n-1}$	$\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$	$\mathbb{R}^p \times \mathbb{R}^q$	$\mathbb{R}^n$
$\text{Conf}(V)$	$SO_{n+2}(\mathbb{C})$	$SO_o(2, n)$	$SO_o(p+1, q+1)$	$SO_o(1, n+1)$
$U$	$SO_{n+2}$	$SO_2 \times SO_n$	$SO_{p+1} \times SO_{q+1}$	$SO(n+1)$
$V$	$Herm(3, \mathbb{O})_{\mathbb{C}}$	$Herm(3, \mathbb{O})$	$Herm(3, \mathbb{O}_s)$	$\times$
$\text{Conf}(V)$	$E_7(\mathbb{C})$	$E_{7(-25)}$	$E_{7(7)}$	$\times$
$U$	$E_7$	$E_{6(6)} \times SO(2)$	$SU(8)$	$\times$
Type	IV	I	II	III

We shall refer to the Jordan algebras given in the first and forth columns as to the *non split case*, and to those of the second and third columns as to the *split case*.

**1.2. Makarevich Riemannian Symmetric Spaces.** A Makarevich symmetric space is a reductive symmetric space which can be realized as an open symmetric orbit in the conformal compactification  $\mathcal{M}$  of a simple real Jordan algebra  $V$ . We refer the reader to [3, 4] and literature

cited there for a detailed description. We shall concentrate our interest on Makarevich symmetric spaces carrying an invariant Riemannian metric.

Let  $\alpha$  be as previously a Euclidean involution of the Jordan algebra  $V$  and let

$$V_0 := \{x \in V \mid \alpha(x) = x\}, \quad V_1 := \{x \in V \mid \alpha(x) = -x\},$$

be the corresponding eigenspaces of  $\alpha$  on  $V$ . Notice that the set  $V_0$  is a Euclidean Jordan algebra, whose dimension and rank will be denoted by  $n_0$  and  $r_0$ . This fact implies that the interior  $\Omega_0$  of the set  $\{x^2 \mid x \in V_0\}$  of "positive" elements in  $V_0$  is a symmetric cone in  $V_0$ . Notice that  $r = r_0$  in the split case and  $r = 2r_0$  in the non split case. The Jordan algebra  $W = V_0 + iV_1$  is a Euclidean real form of the complexification  $V^{\mathbb{C}} = V + iV$ . We will denote by  $\Omega$  the symmetric cone of  $W$ . If  $V$  is a simple Jordan algebra of type I, II, or III, then  $W$  is simple, while, if  $V$  is of type IV,  $W \simeq V_0 \times V_0$ .

According to [3] one introduces two groups:

$$G := \{g \in \text{Conf}(V) \mid (-\alpha) \circ g \circ (-\alpha) = g\}_o, \text{ and } K := \{g \in G \mid g.e = e\},$$

where the subscript  $_o$  stands as usually for the connected component of the identity transformation and  $e$  denotes the identity element of the Jordan algebra  $V$ . It follows that  $K$  is a maximal compact subgroup of  $G$ . Moreover, the associated Riemannian symmetric space is a real tube domain:

$$(1.4) \quad \mathcal{X} := G/K = \Omega_0 + V_1.$$

The set  $\mathcal{X}$  is a Riemannian *Makarevich symmetric space*. We shall refer to the previous description as to the tube realization of  $\mathcal{X}$ .

Such a Riemannian Makarevich symmetric space can be obtained as a real form of a Hermitian symmetric space of tube type. The transform

$$x \mapsto u = (x - e)(x + e)^{-1}.$$

maps the symmetric space  $\mathcal{X}$  onto a bounded domain  $\mathcal{D}$ , which is the unit ball in  $V$  with respect to a so-called spectral norm. Its inverse is the *Cayley transform*

$$c : u \mapsto x = c(u) = (e + u)(e - u)^{-1}.$$

If  $V$  is a Euclidean Jordan algebra, then  $V_0 = \{0\}$ , and  $\mathcal{X}$  is a symmetric cone. If  $V$  has a complex structure, then  $\mathcal{X}$  is a Hermitian symmetric tube.

The following table gives the classification of Riemannian Makarevich symmetric spaces obtained in this way.

	Complex	Euclidean	Non Euclidean Split	Non Euclidean Non split ( $m = 2\ell$ )
	$C_m$	$A_{m-1}$	$D_m$	$C_\ell$
$V$	$Sym_m(\mathbb{C})$	$Sym_m(\mathbb{R})$	$\times$	$Sym_{2\ell}(\mathbb{R}) \cap M_\ell(\mathbb{H})$
$G$	$Sp(m, \mathbb{R})$	$SL(m, \mathbb{R}) \times \mathbb{R}_+$	$\times$	$Sp(\ell, \mathbb{C})$
$K$	$U_m$	$SO_m$	$\times$	$Sp(\ell)$
$V$	$M_m(\mathbb{C})$	$Herm_m(\mathbb{C})$	$M_m(\mathbb{R})$	$M_\ell(\mathbb{H})$
$G$	$SU(m, m)$	$SL(m, \mathbb{C}) \times \mathbb{R}_+$	$SO(m, m)$	$Sp(\ell, \ell)$
$K$	$S(U_m \times U_m)$	$SU_m$	$SO_m \times SO_m$	$Sp(\ell) \times Sp(\ell)$
$V$	$Skew_{2m}(\mathbb{C})$	$Herm_m(\mathbb{H})$	$Skew_{2m}(\mathbb{R})$	$\times$
$G$	$SO^*(4m)$	$SL(m, \mathbb{H}) \times \mathbb{R}_+$	$SO(2m, \mathbb{C})$	$\times$
$K$	$U_{2m}$	$Sp(m)$	$SO_{2m}$	$\times$
$V$	$\mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{C}^{n-1}$	$\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$	$\mathbb{R}^p \times \mathbb{R}^q$	$\mathbb{R}^n$
$G$	$SO_0(2, n)$	$SO_0(1, n-1) \times \mathbb{R}_+$	$SO_0(1, p) \times SO_0(1, q)$	$SO_0(1, n)$
$K$	$SO_2 \times SO_n$	$SO(n-1)$	$SO(p) \times SO_q$	$SO_n$
$V$	$Herm(3, \mathbb{O})_{\mathbb{C}}$	$Herm(3, \mathbb{O})$	$Herm(3, \mathbb{O}_s)$	$\times$
$G$	$E_{7(-25)}$	$E_{6(-26)} \times \mathbb{R}_+$	$SU^*(8)$	$\times$
$K$	$E_6 \times SO_2$	$F_4$	$Sp(4)$	$\times$

The second row of this table represents the root system of the pair  $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{a})$ , where  $\mathfrak{g}$  is the Lie algebra of  $G$ , and  $\mathfrak{a}$  is a Cartan subspace.

*Example 1.* Let  $V = M(m, \mathbb{R})$ , the space of  $m \times m$  real matrices, be equipped with the Jordan product  $x \circ y = \frac{1}{2}(xy + yx)$ . Then the Jordan determinant coincides with the usual matrix determinant :  $\Delta(x) = \det x$ . The structure group  $\text{Str}(V)$  is the group  $S(GL(m, \mathbb{R}) \times GL(m, \mathbb{R}))$ , acting on  $V$  by

$$x \mapsto g_1 x g_2^{-1} \quad (g_1, g_2 \in GL(m, \mathbb{R})).$$

The conformal group  $\text{Conf}(V)$  is the group  $SL(2m, \mathbb{R})/\{\pm I\}$  acting on  $V$  by

$$x \mapsto (ax + b)(cx + d)^{-1} \quad \text{if } g = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}.$$

The differential of a conformal transformation  $g$  is given by  $(Dg)_x y = h_1(x) y h_2(x)$ , where, under the condition that  $\det c \neq 0$ ,

$$h_1(x) = (ac^{-1}d - b)(cx + d)^{-1}c, \quad h_2(x) = (cx + d)^{-1}.$$

Since  $\det(ac^{-1}d - b) \det c = \det \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} = 1$  we finally get:

$$\chi((Dg)_x) = \det(cx + d)^{-2}.$$

The Euclidean involution  $\alpha$  on  $V = M(m, \mathbb{R})$  is given by the usual matrix transposition :  $\alpha(x) = x^T$ , and

$$V_0 = \text{Sym}_m(\mathbb{R}), \quad V_1 = \text{Skew}_m(\mathbb{R}).$$

Remind that an element  $g \in \text{Conf}(V)$  belongs to the group  $G$  if and only if

$$g \circ (-\alpha) = (-\alpha) \circ g.$$

If  $g.x = (ax + b)(cx + d)^{-1}$ , the above condition on  $g$  to be in  $G$  leads to

$$(xc^T + d^T)(-ax + b) = -(xa^T + b^T)(-cx + d),$$

or equivalently,

$$a^T c + c^T a = 0, \quad b^T d + d^T b = 0,$$

and, for every  $x \in V$ ,

$$x(a^T + dc^T b) = (d^T a + b^T c)x.$$

By Schur's lemma this last condition says that there is  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$  such that  $a^T d + c^T b = \lambda \text{Id}_m$ .

Define the matrix

$$\Upsilon = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \text{Id}_m \\ \text{Id}_m & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then the element  $g$  belongs to  $G$  if and only if  $g^T \Upsilon g = \lambda \Upsilon$ . Since  $\det g = 1$ , it follows that  $\lambda^{2m} = 1$ , and since  $G$  is connected,  $\lambda = 1$ .

Finally we have shown that  $G = SO_o(\Upsilon)/\pm \text{Id}$ , where  $SO(\Upsilon)$  stands for the special orthogonal group of the quadratic form defined by the matrix  $\Upsilon$  which has signature  $(m, m)$ . Therefore  $G \simeq SO_o(m, m)/\pm \text{Id}$ .

The conformal compactification  $\mathcal{M}$  of  $V$  is the Grassmann manifold  $\mathcal{M} = \text{Gr}(2m, m)$  of  $m$ -dimensional vector subspaces in  $\mathbb{R}^{2m}$ .

The corresponding Riemannian Makarevich symmetric space  $\mathcal{X}$  in its tube realization is the set of matrices  $x \in M(m, \mathbb{R})$  with a positive definite symmetric part:

$$x + x^T \gg 0.$$

Its bounded realization is given by:

$$\mathcal{D} = \{x \in M(m, \mathbb{R}) \mid \|x\|_{\text{op}} < 1\}.$$

*Example 2.* Let  $V$  be the space  $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$ . One writes  $x = (x_0, x_1)$ ,  $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $x_1 \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$ . Then the Jordan product is defined as follows :  $z = x \circ y$  if  $z_0 = x_0 y_0 - (x_1 | y_1)$ ,  $z_1 = x_0 y_1 + y_0 x_1$ , where  $(x_1 | y_1)$  is the usual inner product on  $\mathbb{R}^{n-1}$ . The Jordan determinant is then given by  $\Delta(x) = x_0^2 + \|x_1\|^2$ . (This Jordan algebra is actually a Jordan field.)

The corresponding structure group is the group  $\text{Str}(V) = \mathbb{R}_+ \times O(n)$ , and the conformal group  $\text{Conf}(V)$  is equal to  $O(1, n+1)$ . The conformal compactification of  $V$  is the  $n$ -sphere  $S^n$ .

Consider the Euclidian involution  $\alpha : (x_0, x_1) \mapsto (x_0, -x_1)$ . The corresponding groups  $G$  and  $K$  are isomorphic respectively to  $SO_0(1, n)$  and  $SO(n)$ .

The Riemannian symmetric space  $\mathcal{X}$  is the real hyperbolic space of dimension  $n$ , realized as a half-space

$$\mathcal{X} = \{(x_0, x_1) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^{n-1} \mid x_0 > 0\}.$$

The bounded realization of this symmetric space is isomorphic to the Euclidean ball:

$$\mathcal{D} = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid \|x\| < 1\}.$$

### 1.3. Iwasawa decomposition and spherical Fourier Transform.

According to (1.3) the Cartan involution of the group  $G$  is given by

$$\theta(g) = (-j) \circ g \circ (-j), \quad g \in G.$$

The Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$ , which is an algebra of quadratic vector fields on  $V$ , decomposes under  $d\theta$  into a direct sum of two eigenspaces:

$$\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{k} + \mathfrak{p},$$

where the Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{k}$  is the Lie algebra of the group  $K$  introduced above. Let us fix a Jordan frame  $\{c_1, \dots, c_{r_0}\}$  in  $V_0$ . Then the space  $\mathfrak{a}$  of the linear vector fields

$$\xi(x) = \sum_{j=1}^{r_0} t_j L(c_j) x \quad (t_j \in \mathbb{R}),$$

is a Cartan subspace in  $\mathfrak{p}$ . The root system of the pair  $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{a})$  is of type  $A$ ,  $C$ , or  $D$ . We choose the Weyl chamber  $\mathfrak{a}^+$  defined by  $t_1 < \dots < t_{r_0}$  in case of type  $A$ , or  $0 < t_1 < \dots < t_{r_0}$  in case of type  $C$  or  $D$ .

For type  $A$  the positive roots are :  $\left\{ \frac{t_j - t_i}{2}, i < j \right\}$ , for type  $D$  :  $\left\{ \frac{t_j - t_i}{2}, i < j, \frac{t_j + t_i}{2}, i \neq j \right\}$  and for type  $C$ :  $\left\{ \frac{t_j - t_i}{2}, i < j, \frac{t_j + t_i}{2}, i \neq j, t_i \right\}$ .



We define  $A = \exp \mathfrak{a}$ , and, as usual the nilpotent subgroup  $N$ . It can be written  $N = N_0 \ltimes N_1$ , where  $N_0$  is a triangular subgroup in  $\text{Str}(V_0)$ , and  $N_1$  is the group of translations

$$x \mapsto x + v \quad \text{with } v \in V_1.$$

The *Iwasawa decomposition* can be described as follows: every  $x \in \mathcal{X}$  can be uniquely written

$$x = na \cdot e = n_0 a \cdot e + v,$$

with  $a \in A$ ,  $n_0 \in N_0$ ,  $v \in V_1$ . One writes

$$a = \exp \mathcal{A}(x) \quad \text{with } \mathcal{A}(x) \in \mathfrak{a}.$$

If  $\lambda \in \mathfrak{a}_{\mathbb{C}}^*$ , we write, for  $\xi(x) = \sum_j t_j L(c_j)x$ ,

$$\langle \lambda, \xi \rangle = \sum_{j=1}^{r_0} \lambda_j t_j.$$

Then

$$e^{\langle \lambda, \mathcal{A}(x) \rangle} = \Delta_\lambda(x_0),$$

where  $\Delta_\lambda$  is the power function of the symmetric cone  $\Omega_0$  in the Euclidean Jordan algebra  $V_0$ , and  $x_0$  denotes the  $V_0$ -component of  $x$ :

$$x = x_0 + x_1, \quad x_0 \in V_0, \quad x_1 \in V_1.$$

The *spherical functions*  $\varphi_\lambda$  for  $\mathcal{X} = G/K$  are given by

$$(1.5) \quad \varphi_\lambda(x) = \int_K \Delta_{\rho-\lambda}((k \cdot x)_0) dk,$$

where  $dk$  is the normalized Haar measure of  $K$ , and  $\rho$  is the half-sum of the positive roots:

$$\langle \rho, \xi \rangle = \frac{1}{2} \text{Tr}(\text{ad } \xi)|_{\text{Lie}(N)} = \frac{1}{2} \text{Tr}(\text{ad } \xi)|_{\text{Lie}(N_0)} + \frac{1}{2} \text{Tr}(\text{ad } \xi)|_{\text{Lie}(N_1)}.$$

One obtains  $\rho_j = \frac{d_0}{4}(2j - r_0 - 1) + \frac{n_1}{2r_0}$ , where the integer  $d_0$  is defined by  $n_0 = r_0 + \frac{d_0}{2}r_0(r_0 - 1)$ .

The *spherical Fourier transform* of a  $K$ -invariant integrable function  $f$  on  $\mathcal{X}$  is defined on  $i\mathfrak{a}^*$  by

$$\mathcal{F}f(\lambda) = \int_{\mathcal{X}} \varphi_{-\lambda}(x) f(x) \mu(dx) = \int_{\mathcal{X}} \Delta_{\rho+\lambda}(x_0) f(x) \mu(dx),$$

where  $\mu(dx) = \Delta(x_0)^{-\frac{n}{r}} dx$  is a  $G$ -invariant measure on  $\mathcal{X}$ . Here and elsewhere further the measure  $dx$  denotes the Euclidean measure associated to the Euclidean structure defined on  $V$  by  $(x | y) = \text{tr}(x\alpha(y))$ .

**1.4. Berezin kernels and the Kantor cross-ratio.** The *Kantor cross ratio* of four points of a simple Jordan algebra  $V$  is the rational function

$$(1.6) \quad \{x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4\} = \frac{\Delta(x_1 - x_3)}{\Delta(x_2 - x_3)} : \frac{\Delta(x_1 - x_4)}{\Delta(x_2 - x_4)}.$$

It is invariant under conformal transformations and extends to the conformal compactification  $\mathcal{M}$  of  $V$ .

The invariance by translations is obvious. The invariance under  $\text{Str}(V)$  comes from the fact that  $\Delta$  is semi-invariant under  $\text{Str}(V)$ . The invariance under the inverse  $j : x \mapsto -x^{-1}$  follows from the formula ([13], Lemma X.4.4):

$$\Delta(j(x) - j(y)) = \frac{\Delta(y - x)}{\Delta(x)\Delta(y)}.$$

Furthermore it is proved that a local transformation which preserves the cross ratio is the restriction of an element of  $\text{Conf}(V)$  (cf. [16], Theorem 6). Being given the Kantor cross-ratio (1.6) we shall introduce the kernel  $F$  on  $\mathcal{X}$  by

$$(1.7) \quad \begin{aligned} F(x, y) &= \{x, y, -\alpha(x), -\alpha(y)\} \\ &= \frac{\Delta(x + \alpha(x))\Delta(y + \alpha(y))}{\Delta(x + \alpha(y))\Delta(y + \alpha(x))}. \end{aligned}$$

This function is invariant under  $G$  and positive. The *Berezin kernel*  $B_\nu$  can be defined for every  $\nu \in \mathbb{C}$  as

$$(1.8) \quad B_\nu(x, y) = F(x, y)^{\frac{r_0\nu}{r}} = \begin{cases} F(x, y)^\nu & \text{in the split case} \\ F(x, y)^{\frac{\nu}{2}} & \text{in the non split case} \end{cases}$$

In virtue of the  $G$ -invariance of the kernel  $B_\nu$  the *Berezin function*

$$\psi_\nu(x) = B_\nu(x, e) = \left( \frac{\Delta(x_0)}{\Delta\left(\frac{x+e}{2}\right)^2} \right)^{\frac{r_0\nu}{r}}$$

is  $K$ -invariant. In this formula  $x_0$  denotes as before the  $V_0$ -component of  $x$  in the decomposition  $V = V_0 + V_1$ ,  $x = x_0 + x_1$ .

If  $\{c_1, \dots, c_{r_0}\}$  is a Jordan frame of  $V_0$ , and if  $x = \sum_{j=1}^{r_0} e^{t_j} c_j$ , then

$$\psi_\nu(x) = \prod_{j=1}^{r_0} \left( \cosh \frac{t_j}{2} \right)^{-2\nu}.$$

**Theorem 1.1.** *Assume  $\Re \nu > \frac{n}{r_0} - 1$ . Then the function  $\psi_\nu$  is integrable and its spherical Fourier transform is given by*

$$(1.9) \quad \mathcal{F}\psi_\nu(\lambda) = \frac{P(\lambda, \nu)P(-\lambda, \nu)}{Q(\nu)},$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} P(\lambda, \nu) &= \prod_{j=1}^{r_0} \Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2} + \nu - \delta + \lambda_j\right), \quad \delta = \frac{n}{2r_0} \\ Q(\nu) &= c \prod_{j=1}^{2r_0} \Gamma(\nu - \beta_j). \end{aligned}$$

where the constant  $c^1$  and the real numbers  $\beta_j$ 's depend on the Jordan algebra  $V$ . In particular, for  $\nu$  real and  $\lambda \in i\mathfrak{a}^*$ ,  $\bar{\lambda} = -\lambda$  and

$$\mathcal{F}\psi_\nu(\lambda) = \frac{|P(\lambda, \nu)|^2}{Q(\nu)} \geq 0,$$

Remind that the Gindikin  $\Gamma$ -function of a symmetric cone  $\Omega$  is defined by

$$\Gamma_\Omega(\nu) = \int_{\Omega} e^{-\text{tr}(x)} \Delta(x)^{\nu - \frac{n}{r}} dx.$$

If the cone  $\Omega$  is irreducible (the corresponding Euclidean Jordan algebra  $W$  is simple), then

$$\Gamma_\Omega(\nu) = (2\pi)^{\frac{n-r}{2}} \prod_{j=1}^r \Gamma\left(\nu - (j-1)\frac{d}{2}\right),$$

where the integer  $d$  is defined by  $n = r + \frac{d}{2}r(r-1)$ .

Therefore, for types I and II:

$$Q(\nu) = c2^{-2r\nu}\Gamma_\Omega(2\nu).$$

For type III:

$$Q(\nu) = c\Gamma_\Omega(\nu).$$

For type IV:

$$\Gamma_\Omega(\nu) = (\Gamma_{\Omega_0}(\nu))^2,$$

notice that in this case the Jordan algebra  $W$  is not simple anymore :  $W \simeq V_0 \times V_0$ .

The above theorem was proved by different methods in [9, 29, 25].

Let  $h(z, w)$  stand for the so-called *canonical polynomial* defined on  $V^{\mathbb{C}} \times V^{\mathbb{C}}$  by the following conditions (see [13] p.262):

---

<sup>1</sup>We denote by  $c$  throughout this paper different constants depending only on  $V$ .

-It is holomorphic in the first variable and anti-holomorphic in the second one.

-For every  $g \in \text{Str}(V^{\mathbb{C}})$  one has  $h(gz, w) = h(z, g^*w)$ .

-For every  $x \in W$  one has  $h(x, x) = \Delta(e - x^2)$ .

Recall that the Bergman kernel of the Hermitian symmetric space, whose  $\mathcal{X}$  is a real form, is given by  $h(z, w)^{-\frac{2n}{r}}$ .

*Example 1.* For  $V = M(m, \mathbb{R})$  the canonical polynomial is given by  $h(x, x) = \det(I - xx^T)$ .

*Example 2.* For  $V = \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$  the canonical polynomial is given by  $h(x, x) = (1 - \|x\|^2)^2$ .

Remind that the Cayley transform

$$c : u \mapsto c(u) = (e + u)(e - u)^{-1},$$

maps the bounded domain  $\mathcal{D}$  onto the tube  $\mathcal{X} = \Omega_0 + V_1$ .

Define

$$\tilde{F}(u, v) = F(c(u), c(v)).$$

Since  $-\alpha(c(u)) = c(\alpha(u^{-1}))$  we obtain

$$\tilde{F}(u, v) := \{u, v, \alpha(u^{-1}), \alpha(v^{-1})\} = \frac{\Delta(u - \alpha(u^{-1}))\Delta(v - \alpha(v^{-1}))}{\Delta(u - \alpha(v^{-1}))\Delta(v - \alpha(u^{-1}))}.$$

Furthermore  $\tilde{F}(u, 0) = \lim_{v \rightarrow 0} \tilde{F}(u, v) = \Delta(\alpha(u^{-1}) - u)\Delta(\alpha(u))$ , and one can show that  $\tilde{F}(u, 0) = h(u, u)$ .

Define similarly

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{B}_\nu(u, v) &= B_\nu(c(u), c(v)), \\ \tilde{\psi}_\nu(u) &= \psi_\nu(c(u)). \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\tilde{\psi}_\nu = \begin{cases} h(u, u)^\nu & \text{in the split case,} \\ h(u, u)^{\frac{\nu}{2}} & \text{in the non split case.} \end{cases}$$

Consider the integral

$$I(\nu) = \int_{\mathcal{D}} \tilde{\psi}_\nu(u) h(u, u)^{-\frac{n}{r}} du.$$

It can be written as

$$\int_{\mathcal{D}} h(u, u)^{\frac{r_0}{r}\nu - \frac{n}{r}} du.$$

Since  $h(u, u)^{-\frac{n}{r}} du$  is an invariant measure on  $\mathcal{D}$  the last integral equals

$$I(\nu) = c \int_{\mathcal{X}} \psi_\nu(x) \mu(dx) = c\mathcal{F}\psi_\nu(\rho).$$

Therefore we obtain

**Corollary 1.2.**

$$I(\nu) = \text{vol } \mathcal{D} \frac{Q(\frac{n}{r})}{P(\rho, \frac{n}{r})P(-\rho, \frac{n}{r})} \cdot \frac{P(\rho, \nu)P(-\rho, \nu)}{Q(\nu)}.$$

Eventually we may notice that according to the Jordan algebra's type some simplifications in the above formula, due to explicit expressions of  $\Gamma$ -factors, are possible, see examples below.

*Example 1.* Let  $V = M(m, \mathbb{R})$ . Then  $V_0 = \text{Sym}_m(\mathbb{R})$ ,  $V_1 = \text{Skew}_m(\mathbb{R})$ , the invariant measure on  $\mathcal{X}$  is given by  $\det(x_0)^{-m} dx_0 dx_1$ , and the Berezin function is

$$\psi_\nu(x) = 4^{m\nu} \left[ \frac{\det x_0}{\det(e+x)^2} \right]^\nu, \text{ with } \phi_\nu(e) = 1.$$

Its push-forward to  $\mathcal{D} = \{u \in V \mid \|u\|_{\text{op}} < 1\}$  equipped with the invariant measure  $\det(e - uu^T)^{-m} du$  is given by

$$\tilde{\psi}_\nu(u) = \det(e - uu^T)^\nu.$$

The spherical Fourier transform of  $\psi_\nu$  is the given by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{F}\psi_\nu(\lambda) &= \int_{\omega_0 + V_1} \left[ \frac{\det x_0}{\det(e+x)^2} \right]^\nu \Delta_{\rho-\lambda}^o(x_0) \Delta^o(x_0)^{-m} dx_0 dx_1 \\ &= c \frac{\prod_{j=1}^m \Gamma(\nu - \frac{m-1}{2} + \lambda_j) \Gamma(\nu - \frac{m-1}{2} - \lambda_j)}{4^{-m\nu} \prod_{j=1}^m \Gamma(2\nu - j + 1)}. \end{aligned}$$

Notice that in this case

$$\begin{aligned} Q(\nu) &= c 4^{-m\nu} \Gamma_\Omega(2\nu) = c' \Gamma_{\Omega_0}(\nu) \Gamma_{\Omega_0}(\nu + \frac{1}{2}) \\ &= c'' \prod_{j=1}^m \Gamma(\nu - \frac{j-1}{2}) \prod_{j=1}^m \Gamma(\nu + \frac{1}{2} - \frac{j-1}{2}). \end{aligned}$$

Moreover

$$I(\nu) = \frac{\Gamma_{\Omega_0}(\nu - \frac{m-1}{2})}{\Gamma_{\Omega_0}(\nu + \frac{1}{2})}.$$

*Example 2.* In the case when  $V = \mathbb{R}^n = \mathbb{R} + \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$  the Jordan determinant is given by  $\Delta(x) = x_0^2 + \|x_1\|^2$  and the Berezin function is given by

$$\psi_\nu(x) = \left[ \frac{4x_0}{(1+x_0)^2 + \|x_1\|^2} \right]^\nu.$$

Its spherical Fourier transform is equal to

$$\mathcal{F}\psi_\nu(\lambda) = c \frac{\Gamma(\nu + \lambda - \frac{n-1}{2})\Gamma(\nu - \lambda - \frac{n-1}{2})}{\Gamma(\nu)\Gamma(\nu + \frac{1}{2} - \frac{n-1}{2})}.$$

And finally,

$$I(\nu) = c \frac{\Gamma(\nu - n + 1)}{\Gamma(\nu + \frac{1}{2} - \frac{n-1}{2})}.$$

**1.5. A Bernstein identity.** An interesting consequence of Theorem (1.1) is a Bernstein identity. Let  $D(\nu)$  be the invariant differential operator on  $\mathcal{X} = G/K$  whose symbol, *i.e.* its image through the Harish-Chandra isomorphism

$$\gamma : \mathbb{D}(\mathcal{X}) \rightarrow S(\mathbb{C}^{r_0}), \quad D \mapsto \gamma_D(\lambda),$$

is

$$\gamma_{D(\nu)}(\lambda) = \gamma_\nu(\lambda) = \prod_{j=1}^{r_0} (\nu + \frac{1}{2} - \delta + \lambda_j)(\nu + \frac{1}{2} - \delta - \lambda_j).$$

**Corollary 1.3.** *The Berezin kernel (1.8) satisfies the following identity:*

$$D(\nu)B_\nu = b(\nu)B_{\nu+1},$$

where  $b(\nu)$  is the polynomial of degree  $2r_0$  given by:

$$b(\nu) = \frac{Q(\nu+1)}{Q(\nu)} = \prod_{j=1}^{2r_0} (\nu - \beta_j).$$

In the split case (types I and II):

$$b(\nu) = \prod_{j=1}^{r_0} \left( \nu - \frac{d}{4}(j-1) \right) \left( \nu + \frac{1}{2} - \frac{d}{4}(j-1) \right).$$

In case when  $V$  is of type III ( $r = 2r_0$ ),

$$b(\nu) = \prod_{j=1}^{2r_0} \left( \nu - \frac{d}{2}(j-1) \right),$$

where the integer  $d$  is defined through  $n = r + \frac{d}{2}r(r-1)$ .

In case when  $V$  is complex (type IV):

$$b(\nu) = \prod_{j=1}^{r_0} \left( \nu - \frac{d_0}{2}(j-1) \right)^2.$$

This identity has been established for  $V$  complex by Engliš [10], also in a slightly different form by Unterberger and Upmeyer [25], and has been generalized in [11].

In general we do not know any explicit expression for the differential operator  $D(\nu)$ . However an explicit formula has been obtained in the special case of a simple complex Jordan algebra, and for  $\nu = \delta$  [17]:

$$D(\delta) = \Delta(y)^{1+\frac{n}{r}} \Delta\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial z}\right) \Delta\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}}\right) \Delta(y)^{1-\frac{n}{r}},$$

where  $z = x + iy$ .

Observe that, for  $V = \mathbb{C}$  we get:

$$D(\delta) = y^2 \frac{\partial^2}{\partial z \partial \bar{z}}$$

is nothing but the Laplace-Beltrami operator of the upper hyperbolic half-plane.

*Example 1.* When  $V = M(m, \mathbb{R})$  the Bernstein polynomial and the Harish-Chandra symbol are respectively given by

$$\begin{aligned} b(\nu) &= \prod_{j=1}^m \left( \nu - \frac{j-1}{2} \right) \left( \nu + \frac{1}{2} - \frac{j-1}{2} \right), \\ \gamma_\nu(\lambda) &= \prod_{j=1}^m \left( \nu - \frac{1}{2}(m-1) + \lambda_j \right) \left( \nu - \frac{1}{2}(m-1) - \lambda_j \right). \end{aligned}$$

*Example 2.* When  $V = \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$  the Bernstein polynomial and the Harish-Chandra symbol are respectively given by

$$b(\nu) = \nu \left( \nu - \frac{n}{2} + 1 \right), \quad \gamma_\nu(\lambda) = \left( \nu + \lambda - \frac{n-1}{2} \right) \left( \nu - \lambda - \frac{n-1}{2} \right).$$

**1.6. Hua Integral.** Let us introduce the compact dual  $U/K$  of the symmetric space  $\mathcal{X} = G/K$  in the complexification  $\mathcal{X}^\mathbb{C} = G^\mathbb{C}/K^\mathbb{C}$  of the symmetric space  $\mathcal{X}$ .

Define  $\mathfrak{u} = \mathfrak{k} + i\mathfrak{p}$  and let  $U$  be the analytic subgroup of  $G^\mathbb{C}$  with the Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{u}$ . The compact symmetric space  $\mathcal{Y} = U/K$  is isomorphic to the conformal compactification  $\mathcal{M}$  of the Jordan algebra  $V$ .

The set  $\mathcal{Y}'$  of invertible elements  $y$  in  $V^\mathbb{C}$  such that  $\bar{y} = y^{-1}$  is open and dense in  $\mathcal{Y}$ .

For  $\nu = -\kappa$ , ( $\kappa \in \mathbb{N}$ ) the function  $\psi_{-\kappa}$  extends as a meromorphic function on  $\mathcal{X}^{\mathbb{C}}$ . For  $y = \sum_{j=1}^{r_0} e^{i\theta_j} c_j$  we have

$$\psi_{-\kappa}(y) = \prod_{j=1}^{r_0} \left( \cos^2 \frac{\theta_j}{2} \right)^{\kappa}.$$

This shows that this function is well defined on  $\mathcal{Y}$  for  $\kappa \in \mathbb{C}$ , and bounded for  $\Re \kappa \geq 0$ .

Denote by  $\mu_o$  the normalized invariant measure on  $\mathcal{Y}$  and define

$$(1.10) \quad J(\kappa) = \int_{\mathcal{Y}} \psi_{-\kappa}(y) \mu_o(dy).$$

**Theorem 1.4.** *For  $\Re \kappa \geq 0$  the following identity holds:*

$$J(\kappa) = c \frac{\prod_{j=1}^{2r_o} \Gamma(\kappa + 1 + \beta_j)}{\prod_{j=1}^{r_o} \Gamma(\kappa + \frac{1}{2} + \delta - \rho_j) \Gamma(\kappa + \frac{1}{2} + \delta + \rho_j)}.$$

*Proof.* Assume first that  $\kappa \in \mathbb{N}$ . The Bernstein identity (1.3) implies that

$$D_{-\kappa} \psi_{-\kappa} = b(-\kappa) \psi_{-\kappa+1},$$

By integrating this identity on  $\mathcal{Y}$  we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} b(-\kappa) J(\kappa + 1) &= \int_{\mathcal{Y}} D_{-\kappa} \psi_{-\kappa} \mu_o(dy) \\ &= \int_{\mathcal{Y}} \psi_{-\kappa}(y) D_{-\kappa} 1 \mu_o(dy), \end{aligned}$$

since the operator  $D_{-\kappa}$  is self adjoint. The constant term of the differential operator  $D_{-\kappa}$  is given by

$$D_{-\kappa} 1 = \gamma_{-\kappa}(\rho).$$

We finally get

$$(1.11) \quad \gamma_{-\kappa}(\rho) J(\kappa) = b(-\kappa) J(\kappa - 1).$$

On the other hand the sequence

$$\phi(\kappa) = \frac{\prod_{j=1}^{2r_o} \Gamma(\kappa + 1 + \beta_j)}{\prod_{j=1}^{r_o} \Gamma(\kappa + \frac{1}{2} + \delta - \rho_j) \Gamma(\kappa + \frac{1}{2} + \delta + \rho_j)}$$

satisfies the same recursion relation. Indeed,

$$\phi(\kappa) = \frac{\prod_{j=1}^{2r_o} (\kappa + \beta_j)}{\prod_{j=1}^{r_o} (\kappa + \frac{1}{2} + \delta - \rho_j) (\kappa + \frac{1}{2} + \delta + \rho_j)} \phi(\kappa - 1).$$



Therefore  $J(\kappa) = c\phi(\kappa)$  and because of the “initial” condition  $J(0) = 1$ , we finally get

$$J(\kappa) = \frac{\phi(\kappa)}{\phi(0)}.$$

The functions  $\phi(\kappa)$  and  $J(\kappa)$  are holomorphic for  $\Re \kappa > 0$  and grow polynomially :

$$\begin{aligned} |\phi(\kappa)| &\leq c_1(1 + |\kappa|)^{N_1} \\ |J(\kappa)| &\leq J(0) = 1. \end{aligned}$$

Indeed,  $0 \leq \psi_{-\kappa}(y) \leq 1$ . Therefore, the Carlson’s theorem implies that

$$J(\kappa) = \frac{\phi(\kappa)}{\phi(0)},$$

for every  $\kappa \in \mathbb{C}$  such that  $\Re \kappa > 0$ .  $\square$

By writing  $y' = c(iv)$ ,  $J(\kappa)$  becomes an integral over  $iV$ . One shows that

$$\tilde{\psi}_{-\kappa}(iv) := \psi_{-\kappa}(c(iv)) = h(v, -v)^{-\frac{r_0}{r}\kappa}.$$

Therefore,

$$J(\kappa) = \int_V h(v, -v)^{-\frac{r_0}{r}\kappa - \frac{n}{r}}.$$

In fact, in this realization an invariant measure  $\mu_o$  on  $\mathcal{Y}$  is given by  $h(v, -v)^{-\frac{n}{r}} dv$ .

The above integral has been considered and computed by Hua in [15], Chapter II in several special cases.

## 2. BEREZIN KERNELS AND REPRESENTATIONS

Following ideas developed in [6, 7, 14] we shall now give an alternative approach to the theory of Berezin kernels considering intertwining operators for the maximal degenerate series representations of a conformal group.

**2.1. Maximal degenerate series representations.** The character  $\chi$  of the structure group  $\text{Str}(V)$  (cf. (1.1)) can be trivially extended to the whole parabolic subgroup  $\overline{P}$  by  $\chi(h\bar{n}) := \chi(h)$  for every  $h \in \text{Str}(V)$ ,  $\bar{n} \in \overline{N}$ .

For every  $s \in \mathbb{C}$  we define a character  $\chi_s$  of  $\overline{P}$  by

$$\chi_s(\bar{p}) := |\chi(\bar{p})|^{s + \frac{n}{2r}}.$$

The induced representation  $\tilde{\pi}_s = \text{Ind}_{\overline{P}}^{\text{Conf}(V)}(\chi_s)$  of the conformal group acts on the space

$$\tilde{I}_s := \{f \in C^\infty(\text{Conf}(V)) \mid f(h\bar{p}) = \chi_s(\bar{p})f(h), \forall h \in \text{Str}(V), \bar{p} \in \overline{P}\},$$

by left translations. A pre-Hilbert structure on  $\tilde{I}_s$  is given by

$$\|f\|^2 = \int_U |f(u)|^2 du,$$

where  $U$  is the maximal compact subgroup of  $\text{Conf}(V)$  associated with the Cartan involution  $\theta$ , and  $du$  is the normalized Haar measure of  $U$ .

According to the Gelfand-Naimark decomposition a function  $f \in \tilde{I}_s$  is determined by its restriction  $f_V(x) = f(n_x)$  on  $N \simeq V$ . Let  $I_s$  be the subspace of  $C^\infty(V)$  of functions  $f_V$  with  $f \in \tilde{I}_s$ . The conformal group acts on  $I_s$  by:

$$(2.1) \quad \pi_s(g)f(x) = |A(g, x)|^{s+\frac{n}{2r}} f(g^{-1}.x), \quad g \in \text{Conf}(V), \quad x \in V,$$

where

$$A(g, x) := \chi_s((Dg^{-1})_x).$$

This action is usually called the *even maximal degenerated series* representation of  $\text{Conf}(V)$ .

One shows that the norm of a function  $f(n_x) = f_V(x) \in I_s$  is given by:

$$(2.2) \quad \|f\|^2 = \int_V |f_V(x)|^2 h(x, -x)^{2\Re s} dx,$$

The formula (2.2) implies that for  $\Re s = 0$  the space  $I_s$  is contained in  $L^2(V)$  and the representation  $\pi_s$  extends as a unitary representation on  $L^2(V)$ .

In order to address the question of irreducibility and unitarity of these representations we refer the reader to [21], [22, 24] and to [27].

Following the standard procedure we introduce an intertwining operator between  $\pi_s$  and  $\pi_{-s}$ .

Consider the map  $\tilde{A}_s$  defined on  $\tilde{I}_s$  by

$$(2.3) \quad f \longrightarrow (\tilde{A}_s f)(g) := \int_N f(gjn) dn, \quad \forall g \in \text{Conf}(V),$$

where  $dn$  is a left invariant Haar measure on  $N$ . We will see that this integral converges for  $\Re s > \frac{n}{2r_0}$ .

**Proposition 2.1.** *For every  $f \in \tilde{I}_s$  the function  $\tilde{A}_s f$  belongs to  $\tilde{I}_{-s}$  and the map  $\tilde{A}_s$  given by (2.3) intertwines the corresponding representations of the conformal group:*

$$(2.4) \quad \tilde{\pi}_{-s}(g)(\tilde{A}_s f) = \tilde{A}_s(\tilde{\pi}_s(g)f), \quad \forall f \in \tilde{I}_s, \quad g \in \text{Conf}(V).$$

*Proof.* The map  $\tilde{A}_s$  obviously commutes with the left action of  $\text{Conf}(V)$ . We have to check that  $\tilde{A}_s f$  transforms in an appropriate way under the right action of  $\overline{P}$ . Notice first that  $\tilde{A}_s f$  is  $\overline{N}$  right invariant. Indeed

$$\begin{aligned} (\tilde{A}_s f)(g\bar{n}) &= \int_N f(g\bar{n}jn)dn = \int_N f(gjn'jjn)dn \\ &= \int_V f(gjn'')dn'' = (\tilde{A}_s f)(g). \end{aligned}$$

For what concerns the action of  $\text{Str}(V)$  we have for every  $f \in \tilde{I}_s$ :

$$(2.5) \quad (\tilde{A}_s f)(g\ell) = \int_N f(g\ell jn)dn = \int_N f(gj(\ell^t)^{-1}n)dn,$$

where  $h^t$  denotes the transformation adjoint to  $h \in \text{Str}(V)$  with respect to the bilinear form  $\text{Tr } L(xy)$  on  $V$ .

Indeed, one shows, (see [13]Prop.VIII.2.5) that  $j\ell j = (\ell^t)^{-1}$ ,  $\forall \ell \in \text{Str}(V)$ . Thus the equality (2.5) implies that

$$\begin{aligned} (\tilde{A}_s f)(g\ell) &= \int_N f(gj(\ell^t)^{-1}n\ell^t(\ell^t)^{-1})dn \\ &= |\det \ell|^{\frac{n}{r}} \int_N f(gjn'(\ell^t)^{-1})dn' \\ &= |\det \ell|^{\frac{n}{r}-s\frac{n}{2r}} (\tilde{A}_s f)(g) = \chi_{-s}(\ell)(\tilde{A}_s f)(g) \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

Define the map  $A_s : I_s \rightarrow I_{-s}$  by

$$A_s(f_V) = (\tilde{A}_s f)_V \quad (f \in \tilde{I}_s).$$

**Proposition 2.2.** *For every  $f \in I_s$  we have,*

$$(A_s f)(x) = \int_V |\Delta(x-u)|^{2s-\frac{n}{r}} f(u)du.$$

*Proof.* By definition of  $\tilde{A}_s$ ,

$$(\tilde{A}_s f)(n_x) = \int_V f(n_x j n_v) dv \quad (f \in \tilde{I}_s).$$

According to the Gelfand-Naimark decomposition (1.2) for  $g = n_x j$  we have

$$n_x j n_v = n_{(n_x \circ j) \cdot v} (D(n_x \circ j))_v \bar{n}' = n_{(x-v^{-1})} P(v^{-1}) \bar{n}'.$$

Thus

$$(A_s f)(x) = \int_V f(x - v^{-1}) \chi_s(P(v)^{-1}) dv.$$

Let  $u = x - v^{-1}$ . The Jacobian of this transformation being equal to  $|\Delta(v)|^{\frac{2n}{r}}$ , we finally get:

$$(2.6) \quad (A_s f)(x) = \int_V f(u) |\Delta(x - u)|^{2s - \frac{n}{r}} du. \quad \square$$

The bilinear form on  $\tilde{I}_{-s} \times \tilde{I}_s$  defined by

$$\langle f_1, f_2 \rangle = \int_U f_1(u) f_2(u) du$$

is  $\text{Conf}(V)$ -invariant. By using the fact that

$$\langle f_1, f_2 \rangle = c \int_V (f_1)_V(x) (f_2)_V(x) dx.$$

it gives rise to a  $\text{Conf}(V)$ -invariant bilinear form on  $I_s \times I_s$ :

**Proposition 2.3.** *For  $\Re s > \frac{n}{2r_0}$ , the bilinear form  $\mathfrak{B}_s$ ,*

$$\mathfrak{B}_s(f_1, f_2) = \int \int_{V \times V} |\Delta(x - y)|^{2s - \frac{n}{r}} f_1(x) f_2(y) dx dy,$$

*is well defined on  $I_s \times I_s$  and is  $\text{Conf}(V)$ -invariant.*

*Proof.* The invariance follows from the intertwining property of  $A_s$ . It can also be shown by a straightforward verification. Indeed, let us recall following property of conformal transformations (*cf.* [12], Lemma 6.6):

$$(2.7) \quad \Delta(g.x - g.y)^2 = A(g, x) A(g, y) \Delta(x - y)^2, \quad g \in \text{Conf}(V), \quad x, y \in V.$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathfrak{B}_s(\pi_s(g) f_1, \pi_s(g) f_2) = \\ &= \int \int_{V \times V} |\Delta(x - y)|^{2s - \frac{n}{r}} |A(g^{-1}, x)|^{s + \frac{n}{2r}} |A(g^{-1}, y)|^{s + \frac{n}{2r}} f_1(g^{-1}.x) f_2(g^{-1}.y) dx dy \end{aligned}$$

Denote  $x = g.x', y = g.y'$ . Thus  $dx = A(g, x')^{\frac{n}{r}} dx'$  and  $dy = A(g, y')^{\frac{n}{r}} dy'$ . Notice that  $g \cdot g^{-1} = \text{Id}$  and therefore  $(Dg^{-1})_{g.x} \circ (Dg)_x = \text{Id}$ . It implies that  $A(g^{-1}, g.x) A(g, x) = 1$ .

Taking into account the identity (2.7) we finally get

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathfrak{B}_s(\pi_s(g) f_1, \pi_s(g) f_2) = \\ &= \int \int_{V \times V} |\Delta(x' - y')|^{2s - \frac{n}{r}} |A(g^{-1}, x')|^{s - \frac{n}{2r}} |A(g^{-1}, y')|^{s - \frac{n}{2r}} \\ & \quad \cdot A(g, x')^{-s - \frac{n}{2r}} A(g, y')^{-s - \frac{n}{2r}} |A(g, x')|^{\frac{n}{r}} |A(g, y')|^{\frac{n}{r}} f_1(x') f_2(y') dx' dy' \\ &= \mathfrak{B}_s(f_1, f_2). \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

According to the general theory (see for instance [18]) these maximal degenerate series representations  $\pi_s$  are spherical. We shall determine the corresponding  $U$ -fixed vector of the representation  $\pi_s$ .

Using the Iwasawa decomposition of  $\text{Conf}(V)$  we write  $g = u\ell(g)\bar{n}$ , where  $\ell(g) \in \text{Str}(V)$ , which is defined up to a multiplication by an element of  $U \cap \text{Str}(V)$  on the left. Notice by the way that with the above notation we have  $\theta(g^{-1})g = n^{-1}\ell(g)^2\bar{n}$ .

Consider  $n_x \in N$  admitting the decomposition  $n_x = u\ell(n_x)\bar{n}$ . Therefore

$$\ell(n_x)^2 = D(\theta(n_x)n_x)(0) = P(x^{-1} + \alpha(x))^{-1}P(x)^{-1}.$$

Let  $f^o \in \tilde{I}_s$  be a  $U$ -invariant function, with  $f^o(e) = 1$ . Then

$$f^o(g) = f^o(u\ell(g)\bar{n}) = \chi_s(\ell(g))f^o(u) = \chi_s(\ell(g)).$$

Eventually we get that the  $U$ -fixed vector  $f_V^o$  in  $I_s$  is given by

$$f_V^o(x) = |\Delta(x^{-1} + \alpha(x))\Delta(x)|^{-(s+\frac{n}{2r})} = h(x, -x)^{-(s+\frac{n}{2r})}.$$

In order to conclude this section we shall discuss conditions under which the intertwining operator  $A_s$  is well defined.

Let us evaluate  $A_s f^o$  at the identity of the conformal group.

$$\tilde{A}_s f^o(e) = \int_N f^o(jn)dn = \int_N f^o(n)dn = \int_V h(x, -x)^{-(s+\frac{n}{2r})}dx.$$

Notice that the value  $C(s)$  of this integral equals

$$C(s) = J\left(\frac{r}{r_0}\left(s - \frac{n}{2r}\right)\right),$$

where  $J(\nu)$  is given by (1.10). For  $u \in U$ ,  $f^o(u) \neq 0$ . therefore, for  $f \in \tilde{I}_s$ ,

$$|f(g)| \leq M|f^o(g)|,$$

with

$$M = \sup_{u \in U} \frac{|f(u)|}{|f^o(u)|}.$$

Now it is clear that the integral defining  $A_s$  is well defined for  $\Re s > \frac{n}{2r_0}$ . One shows that the map  $s \rightarrow A_s$  can be actually extended to whole complex plane as a meromorphic function. Because of the intertwining property we eventually get:

$$A_s \circ A_{-s} = C(s)C(-s)\text{Id}_{I_s}.$$

As it has been noticed by several authors [5, 19, 27] for some particular values of the parameter  $s$  the intertwining operator  $A_s$  turns out to be a differential operator, which, furthermore, satisfies a generalized Capelli identity. Indeed, the map  $A_s$  may be seen as a convolution

operator with the kernel  $|\Delta(x-y)|^{2s-\frac{n}{r}}$  which has a meromorphic continuation with respect to  $s$ . One shows that, for  $2s - \frac{n}{r} = -2\ell$ ,  $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$ , this is a differential operator proportional to  $\Delta \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x}\right)^{2\ell}$ .

**2.2. Restriction of  $\pi_s$  and Canonical representations.** We shall study the representations  $\pi_s$  when restricted to the subgroup  $G$ . The representation space  $\tilde{I}_s$  can be seen as a line bundle over the conformal compactification  $\mathcal{M}$ .

The Makarevich space  $\mathcal{X}$  is one of the open orbits of  $G$  acting on  $\mathcal{M}$ . The space  $I_s(\mathcal{X})$  of functions in  $I(s) = I_s(V)$  supported in the closure  $\overline{\mathcal{X}} \subset V$  is then invariant. We shall consider the corresponding representation  $T_s$  of  $G$  on  $I_s(\mathcal{X})$ .

The problem is to determine for which values of the parameter  $s$  the representation  $T_s$  of the group  $G$  is unitarizable, and then to decompose it into irreducible ones. According to an established terminology taking its roots in [26] one calls such representations *canonical representations* of the group  $G$ .

A key observation is the connection between the canonical representation  $T_s$  and the Berezin kernel. We shall make this link clear.

Consider the bilinear form on  $I_s(\mathcal{X}) \times I_s(\mathcal{X})$  given by

$$(2.8) \quad \tilde{\mathfrak{B}}_s^\alpha(f_1, f_2) := \mathfrak{B}_s(f_1, f_2 \circ (-\alpha)),$$

where  $\mathfrak{B}_s(f_1, f_2)$  is the bilinear form on  $I_s(V) \times I_s(V)$  introduced in Proposition (2.3).

**Proposition 2.4.** *The bilinear form  $\tilde{\mathfrak{B}}_s^\alpha$  is invariant under the action of the group  $G$ .*

The proof uses the invariance property of the cross-ratio, and the two following lemmæ.

**Lemma 2.5.** *For every  $g \in G$  the following identity holds:*

$$A(g, -\alpha(x)) = A(g, x).$$

*Proof.* By definition the transformation  $g$  commutes with  $-\alpha$  for every  $g \in G$ :  $g \circ (-\alpha) = (-\alpha) \circ g$ . Differentiating this equality we have

$$(Dg)_{-\alpha(x)} \circ (-\alpha) = (-\alpha) \circ (Dg)_x,$$

or equivalently  $(Dg)_{-\alpha(x)} = (-\alpha) \circ (Dg)_x \circ (-\alpha)$ . Notice that for every  $h \in \text{Str}(V)$  one has

$$(-\alpha) \circ h \circ (-\alpha) = \alpha \circ h \circ \alpha \in \text{Str}(V),$$

and on the other hand  $\chi(\alpha \circ h \circ \alpha) = \chi(h)$ . Since  $\Delta(\alpha(x)) = \Delta(x)$  we finally get

$$\Delta(\alpha \circ h \circ \alpha(x)) = \chi(h)\Delta(x),$$

which implies the statement for  $h = (Dg)_x$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 2.6.** *Let  $x$  be an element in  $V$ , then for every  $g \in G$  the following identity holds*

$$\Delta((gx)_0) = A(g, x)\Delta(x_0).$$

(Recall that the subscript  $_0$  means the  $V_0$ -component.)

Notice that  $\Delta((gx)_0) = \Delta(g.x - g.(-\alpha(x)) = \Delta(g.x + \alpha(g.x))$  for every  $g \in G$ . Let us substitute  $y$  by  $-\alpha(x)$  in (2.7), then

$$\Delta(g.x - g.(-\alpha(x)))^2 = A(g, x)A(g, -\alpha(x))\Delta(x + \alpha(x))^2.$$

Therefore, according to the lemma (2.5), we have

$$\Delta((gx)_0) = \pm A(g, x)\Delta(x_0).$$

Since for  $g = Id$  the sign in the above equation is positive, and the group  $G$  being connected it remains positive for every  $g \in G$ .  $\square$

Let us introduce the multiplication operator on the space  $I_s(\mathcal{X})$  by

$$M_s f(x) = \Delta(x_0)^{s+\frac{n}{2r}} f(x).$$

These operators intertwine the canonical representation  $T_s$  and the left regular action  $L$  of the group  $G$ ,

$$M_s \circ T_s(g) = L(g) \circ M_s, \quad g \in G,$$

where  $(L(g)f)(x) = f(g^{-1} \cdot x)$ .

**Proposition 2.7.** *Let us define the bilinear form  $\mathfrak{B}_s^\alpha$  on  $\mathcal{C}_c(\mathcal{X}) \times \mathcal{C}_c(\mathcal{X})$  by*

$$\mathfrak{B}_s^\alpha(F_1, F_1) = \mathfrak{B}_s^\alpha(M_s f_1, M_s f_2) = \tilde{\mathfrak{B}}_s^\alpha(f_1, f_2).$$

Then

$$(2.9) \quad \mathfrak{B}_s^\alpha(F_1, F_2) = \iint_{\mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{X}} B_\nu(x, y) F_1(x) F_2(y) \mu(dx) \mu(dy),$$

where  $B_\nu(x, y)$  is the Berezin kernel introduced in (1.8) and  $\nu = -\frac{r}{r_0} \left(s - \frac{n}{2r}\right)$ .

Therefore the decomposition of the canonical representations reduces now to a classical problem in spherical harmonic analysis.

Let  $\Lambda$  be the set of parameters  $\lambda \in \mathfrak{a}_\mathbb{C}^*$  for which the spherical function  $\varphi_\lambda$  given by (1.5) is positive definite. Let  $\psi$  be a continuous function of

positive type on  $G$  which is  $K$ -biinvariant. By the Bochner-Godement theorem there is a unique bounded positive measure  $m$  on  $\Lambda$  such that

$$\psi(x) = \int_{\Lambda} \varphi_{\lambda}(x) m(d\lambda).$$

If  $\psi$  is integrable, then the measure  $m$  is absolutely continuous with respect to the Plancherel measure, with a density given by the Fourier transform of  $\psi$ :

$$m(d\lambda) = \mathcal{F}\psi(\lambda) \frac{d\lambda}{|c(\lambda)|},$$

where  $c(\lambda)$  is the Harish-Chandra  $c$ -function of the symmetric space  $\mathcal{X}$ .

Therefore the problem is :

- (1) Determine the set  $\mathcal{W} \subset \mathbb{R}$  of values  $\nu$  for which the Berezin kernel  $\mathfrak{B}_{\nu}^{\alpha}$  (or the corresponding  $K$ -biinvariant function  $\psi_{\nu}$ ) is of positive type.
- (2) For  $\nu \in \mathcal{W}$ , determine the positive measure  $m_{\nu}$  on  $\Lambda$  such that

$$\psi_{\nu}(x) = \int_{\Lambda} \varphi_{\lambda}(x) m_{\nu}(d\lambda).$$

These problems have been solved for hyperbolic spaces by van Dijk, Hille, Pasquale [6, 8]. Notice however that only real hyperbolic spaces are Makarevich spaces as introduced above.

The case  $G = U(p, q)$  was studied by Hille, and Neretin [14, 20], and the case when  $G = SO(p, q)$  by Neretin in [20].

For  $\nu > \frac{n}{r_0} - 1$  the function  $\psi_{\nu}$  is integrable and, for  $\lambda \in i\mathfrak{a}^*$ :

$$\mathcal{F}\psi_{\nu}(\lambda) = \frac{|P(\lambda, \nu)|^2}{Q(\nu)} \geq 0.$$

It follows that  $\psi_{\nu}$  is of positive type, and

$$\psi_{\nu}(x) = \int_{i\mathfrak{a}^*} \phi_{\lambda}(x) \frac{|P(\lambda, \nu)|^2}{Q(\nu)} \frac{d\lambda}{|c(\lambda)|^2}.$$

According to Helgason we define the Fourier transform of an integrable function  $f$  on  $\mathcal{X}$ . The Iwasawa decomposition of the group  $G$  can be written as  $G = NAK$ . If  $k^{-1}g \in N \exp(H)K$  with  $H \in \mathfrak{a}$ , one writes

$$H = \mathcal{A}(x, b), \quad x \in gK, \quad b \in kM \in B = K/M,$$

where  $M$  is the centralizer of  $A$  in  $K$ .

The Fourier transform of  $f$  is the function defined on  $i\mathfrak{a}^* \times B$  by

$$\hat{f}(\lambda, b) = \int_{\mathcal{X}} f(x) e^{\langle -\lambda + \rho, \mathcal{A}(x, b) \rangle} dx.$$



We consider  $\hat{f}(\lambda) := \hat{f}(\lambda, \cdot)$  as an element of the space  $\mathcal{H}_\lambda \simeq L^2(B)$  which carries a unitary spherical principal series  $\Pi_\lambda$  of  $G$ . Then the map  $f \mapsto \hat{f}(\lambda)$  intertwines the left regular representation  $L$  and  $\Pi_\lambda$ .

**Theorem 2.8.** *For  $\nu > \frac{n}{r_0} - 1$ , the Berezin form is positive definite. Therefore the representation  $T_s$  is unitarizable, decomposes multiplicity free as a direct integral of spherical principal series  $\Pi_\lambda$  of  $G$ , which corresponds to the following Plancherel formula :*

$$\mathfrak{B}_s^\alpha(f, \bar{f}) = \int_{i\mathfrak{a}^*} \|\hat{f}(\lambda)\|^2 \frac{|P(\lambda, \nu)|^2}{Q(\nu)} \frac{d\lambda}{|c(\lambda)|^2},$$

where  $\nu = -\frac{r}{r_0}(s - \frac{n}{2r})$  and  $f \in C_c(\mathcal{X})$ .

**2.3. Berezin kernel on the Riemannian Compact Dual.** In order to study the "deformation" of the regular representation of the compact group  $U$  we shall investigate the spherical Fourier transform of the Berezin kernels on the compact dual symmetric space  $\mathcal{Y}$ .

The spherical functions of the symmetric space  $\mathcal{Y} = U/K$  are given by

$$(2.10) \quad \Phi_{\mathbf{m}}(x) = \int_K \Delta_{\mathbf{m}}((k.x)_0) dk = \varphi_{\mathbf{m}-\rho}(x),$$

where the weights  $\mathbf{m} = (m_1, \dots, m_n) \in \mathbb{Z}^n$  are given according to different cases by following conditions :

- If  $V$  is a Euclidean Jordan algebra (root system of type A), then  $m_1 \geq m_2 \geq \dots \geq m_n$ .
- If  $V$  is a non Euclidean algebra of split type (root system of type D), then  $-|m|_1 \geq m_2 \geq \dots \geq m_n$ .
- If  $V$  is either a complex or a non Euclidean Jordan algebra of non split type (root system of type C), then  $0 \geq m_1 \geq m_2 \geq \dots \geq m_n$ .

The spherical Fourier coefficients of a  $K$ -invariant integrable function  $f$  on  $\mathcal{Y}$  are given by

$$a(\mathbf{m}) = \int_{\mathcal{Y}} f(y) \Phi_{\mathbf{m}}(y) \mu_0(dy).$$

For  $\Re \kappa \geq 0$ , the Berezin function  $\psi_{-\kappa}$  is bounded on  $\mathcal{Y}$ . We will determine its spherical Fourier coefficients

$$a_\kappa(\mathbf{m}) = \int_{\mathcal{Y}} \psi_{-\kappa}(y) \Phi_{\mathbf{m}}(y) d\mu_0(dy).$$

Notice that  $a_\kappa(0) = J(\kappa)$ .

**Theorem 2.9.** *The Fourier coefficients of the Berezin kernel function  $\psi_{-\kappa}$  are given by*

$$a_{\kappa}(\mathbf{m}) = J(\kappa) \frac{\prod_{j=1}^n \Gamma(\kappa + \frac{1}{2} + \delta - \rho_j) \Gamma(\kappa + \frac{1}{2} + \delta + \rho_j)}{\prod_{j=1}^n \Gamma(\kappa + \frac{1}{2} + \delta - \rho_j + m_j) \Gamma(\kappa + \frac{1}{2} + \delta + \rho_j - m_j)}.$$

*Proof* We use the same method as in [28].

(a) We show first that the measure on  $\mathcal{Y}$  given by

$$\frac{1}{J(\kappa)} \psi_{-\kappa}(y) \mu_0(dy)$$

converges to the Dirac measure  $\delta$  at the base point  $e$  of  $\mathcal{Y}$  in the sense of tight convergence of measures. The proof of this fact is based on the following lemma.

**Lemma 2.10.** *Let  $\mathcal{K}$  be a compact topological space, and  $\mu$  a positive measure on it such that every non empty open set has a positive measure. Let  $q \geq 0$  be a continuous function on  $\mathcal{K}$  which attains its maximum at only one point  $x_0$ . Define, for  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,*

$$a_n = \int_{\mathcal{K}} q(x)^n \mu(dx),$$

and, for a continuous function  $\varphi$  on  $\mathcal{K}$ ,

$$L_n(\varphi) = \frac{1}{a_n} \int_{\mathcal{K}} \varphi(x) q(x)^n \mu(dx).$$

Then

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} L_n(\varphi) = \varphi(x_0).$$

*Proof* For  $0 < \alpha < M = \max q$ , there exists a constant  $C_{\alpha}$  such that

$$a_n \geq C_{\alpha} \alpha^n.$$

In fact there is a neighborhood  $\mathcal{V}$  of  $x_0$  such that  $q(x) \geq \alpha$  for  $x \in \mathcal{V}$ , and

$$a_n \geq \mu(\mathcal{V}) \alpha^n.$$

Let  $\mathcal{W}$  be a neighborhood of  $x_0$ . For  $x \in \mathcal{K} \setminus \mathcal{W}$ ,  $q(x) \geq \beta < M$ . Choose  $\alpha$  such that  $\beta < \alpha < M$ . then

$$\frac{1}{a_n} \int_{\mathcal{K} \setminus \mathcal{W}} q(x)^n \mu(dx) \leq \frac{1}{C_{\alpha}} \mu(\mathcal{K}) \left( \frac{\beta}{\alpha} \right)^n,$$

and

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{a_n} \int_{\mathcal{K} \setminus \mathcal{W}} q(x)^n \mu(dx) = 0. \quad \square$$

The function  $\psi_{-\kappa}$  attains its maximum  $M = 1$  only at  $y = e$ . Therefore Lemma (2.10) applies. It follows that, for every  $\mathbf{m}$ ,

$$\lim_{\kappa \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{J(\kappa)} a_{\kappa}(\mathbf{m}) = 1.$$

(b) Assume that  $\kappa \in \mathbb{N}$ . From the Bernstein identity (1.3) it follows that the spherical Fourier coefficients  $a_{\kappa}(\mathbf{m})$  satisfy the following recursion relation :

$$\gamma_{-\kappa}(\rho - \mathbf{m}) a_{\kappa}(\mathbf{m}) = b(-\kappa) a_{\kappa-1}(\mathbf{m}).$$

Since, for  $\mathbf{m} = 0$ ,  $\gamma_{-\kappa}(\rho) a_{\kappa}(0) = b(-\kappa) a_{\kappa-1}(0)$  we obtain

$$\frac{\gamma_{-\kappa}(\rho - \mathbf{m})}{\gamma_{-\kappa}(\rho)} \frac{a_{\kappa}(\mathbf{m})}{a_{\kappa}(0)} = \frac{a_{\kappa-1}(\mathbf{m})}{a_{\kappa-1}(0)}.$$

Furthermore, since  $a_{\kappa}(0) = J(\kappa)$ , by (a)

$$\lim_{\kappa \rightarrow \infty} \frac{a_{\kappa}(\mathbf{m})}{a_{\kappa}(0)} = 1.$$

The sequence given by

$$\phi(\kappa) = \frac{\prod_{j=1}^n \Gamma(\kappa + \frac{1}{2} + \delta - \rho_j) \Gamma(\kappa + \frac{1}{2} + \delta + \rho_j)}{\prod_{j=1}^n \Gamma(\kappa + \frac{1}{2} + \delta - \rho_j + m_j) \Gamma(\kappa + \frac{1}{2} + \delta + \rho_j - m_j)},$$

satisfies the same recursion relation as  $\frac{a_{\kappa}(\mathbf{m})}{a_{\kappa}(0)}$  does. Moreover, from the asymptotic equivalence

$$\frac{\Gamma(z + a)}{\Gamma(z + b)} \sim z^{a-b} \left( 1 + \frac{1}{2z} (b - a)(b + a - 1) + O(z^{-2}) \right), \quad \text{as } z \rightarrow \infty,$$

it follows that

$$\lim_{\kappa \rightarrow \infty} \phi(\kappa) = 1.$$

Therefore we have proved the theorem for  $\kappa \in \mathbb{N}$ .

(c) By using Carlson's theorem, as we did in the proof of Theorem (1.4), we conclude that the statement is still valid for  $\Re \kappa \geq 0$ .  $\square$

**2.4. Restriction of the representation  $\pi_s$  to the compact group  $U$ .** The compact symmetric space  $\mathcal{Y} = U/K$  is also of Makarevich type. Indeed,

$$U = \{g \in \text{Conf}(V) \mid \alpha \circ j \circ g \circ j \circ \alpha = g\}_o.$$

From the generalized cross-ratio (1.6) we define the kernel  $F^c(u, v)$  by

$$\begin{aligned} F^c(u, v) &= \{u, v, -\alpha(u^{-1}), -\alpha(v^{-1})\} \\ &= \frac{\Delta(u + \alpha(u^{-1})) \Delta(v + \alpha(v^{-1}))}{\Delta(u + \alpha(v^{-1})) \Delta(v + \alpha(u^{-1}))} \end{aligned}$$

and  $F^c(u, 0) = h(u, -u)$ .

Similarly to what we did in Section 2.2 we twist the bilinear form  $\mathfrak{B}_s$  by the involution  $j \circ \alpha$ , in other words we replace  $y$  by  $\alpha(y^{-1})$ , and by introducing multiplication operators

$$M_s^c f(x) = h(x, -x)^{s + \frac{n}{2r}} f(x)$$

we eventually obtain a  $U$ -invariant bilinear form on  $C^\infty(\mathcal{Y})$ :

$$\mathfrak{B}_s^c(F_1, F_2) = \iint_{\mathcal{Y} \times \mathcal{Y}} B_s^c(x, y) F_1(x) F_2(y) \mu_0(dx) \mu_0(dy),$$

where  $B_s^c(x, y) = F^c(x, y)^{\frac{r_0}{r}\nu}$ .

The spherical dual  $\hat{U}_K$  is parameterized by the set of weights  $\mathbf{m} = (m_1, \dots, m_n)$  described in the previous section. To such a weight  $\mathbf{m}$  corresponds a (class of) unitary spherical representation  $\Pi_{\mathbf{m}}$  on a finite dimensional vector space  $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{m}}$  of dimension  $d_{\mathbf{m}}$ . The highest weight  $\mu$  of  $\Pi_{\mathbf{m}}$  is given by

$$\langle \mu, \xi \rangle = - \sum_{j=1}^{r_0} m_j t_j,$$

if  $\xi(x) = \sum t_j L(c_j)x$ . In the space  $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{m}}$  there is a normalized  $K$ -fixed vector  $v_{\mathbf{m}}$ . The Fourier coefficient  $\hat{f}(\mathbf{m})$  of an integrable function  $f$  on  $\mathcal{Y}$  is the vector in  $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{m}}$  defined by

$$\hat{f}(\mathbf{m}) = \int_{U/K} \Pi_{\mathbf{m}}(g) v_{\mathbf{m}} f(g) dg.$$

The map  $f \mapsto \hat{f}(\mathbf{m})$  intertwines the left regular representation of  $U$  and  $\Pi_{\mathbf{m}}$ .

**Theorem 2.11.** *For a  $\kappa \in \mathbb{N}$  the Berezin form  $\mathfrak{B}_s^c$  is positive definite. Therefore the restriction of  $\pi_s$  to the compact group  $U$  decomposes into a direct sum of spherical principal series representations  $\Pi_{\mathbf{m}}$  of  $U$  according to the following Plancherel formula*

$$\mathfrak{B}_s^c(f, \bar{f}) = \sum_{\mathbf{m} \in \hat{U}_K} d_{\mathbf{m}} a_{\kappa}(\mathbf{m}) \|\hat{f}(\mathbf{m})\|^2,$$

where  $\kappa = \frac{r}{r_0}(s - \frac{n}{2r})$ ,  $f \in C(U/K)$ .

By the first part of the proof of Theorem 2.8, in some sense, the canonical representations of the compact dual of  $G$  tend to the left regular representation when the parameter  $\kappa$  goes through the negative integer points. In fact, for  $f \in \mathcal{C}_c(\mathcal{Y})$ ,

$$\lim_{\kappa \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{J(\kappa)} \int_{\mathcal{Y} \times \mathcal{Y}} \mathfrak{B}_{\kappa}^c(x, y) f(x) f(y) \mu_0(dx) \mu_0(dy) = \int_{\mathcal{Y}} |f(y)|^2 \mu_0(dy).$$

Similarly, in the non compact case, as  $\nu \rightarrow \infty$ , the probability measure  $I(\nu)^{-1}\psi_\nu(x)\mu(dx)$  converges to the Dirac measure  $\delta_e$  at the identity element  $e$ . It follows that, for  $f \in \mathcal{C}_c(\mathcal{X})$ ,

$$\lim_{\nu \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{I(\nu)} \int_{\mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{X}} \mathcal{B}_\nu^\alpha(x, y) f(x) \overline{f(y)} \mu(dx) \mu(dy) = \int_{\mathcal{X}} |f(x)|^2 \mu(dx).$$

In some sense, as  $\nu \rightarrow \infty$ , the canonical representation  $T_\nu$  tends to the regular representation of  $G$  on  $L^2(\mathcal{X})$ .

In conclusion we should mention that originally the one parameter family of Berezin kernels was introduced in the context of quantization of Kählerian symmetric spaces in [2]. The last remark says that the Berezin symbolic calculus "deforms" the usual point-wise multiplication of functions. We refer the reader to [1, 25] for more details on asymptotics of the Berezin transform.

## REFERENCES

1. J.Arazy, B.Ørsted, Asymptotic expansions of Berezin transforms. *Indiana Univ. Math. J.* **49** (2000), no. 1, pp.7–30.
2. F.A.Berezin, Quantization, *Math. USSR Izvestija*, **8**, (1974), pp.1109–1165.
3. W.Bertram, On some causal and conformal groups, *J. Lie Theory* **6.2**, (1996), pp.215–247.
4. W.Bertram, Algebraic Structures of Makarevič spaces. I. *Transf. Groups*, Vol **3**, No1, 1998, pp.3–32.
5. B.Blind, Distributions homogènes sur une algèbre de Jordan. *Bull. Soc. Math. France*, **125**, (1997), pp. 493–528.
6. G. van Dijk, S.Hille, Maximal degenerate representations, Berezin kernels and canonical representations, in "Lie Groups and Lie algebras, their representations, generalizations and applications" (B.Komrakov, G.Litvinov, A.Sossinsky Edt.), Kluwer Academic, Dordrecht, 1997.
7. G. van Dijk, V.Molchanov, Tensor products of maximal degenerate series representations of the group  $SL(n, \mathbb{R})$ , *J. Math. Pures Appl.*, **78**, (1999), pp. 99–119.
8. G. van Dijk, A.Pasquale, Harmonic analysis on vector bundles over  $Sp(1, n)/Sp(1) \times Sp(n)$ . *Enseign. Math.* (2) **45** (1999), no. 3–4, pp.219–252.
9. G. van Dijk, M.Pevzner, Berezin kernels of tube domains. *J. Funct. Anal.*, **181**, (2001), no.2, pp.189–208.
10. M.Engliš, A mean value theorem on bounded symmetric domains, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **127**, pp. 3259–3268.
11. J.Faraut, Intégrales de Riesz sur un espace symétrique ordonné. Geometry and analysis on finite- and infinite-dimensional Lie groups (Będlewo, 2000), pp.289–308, Banach Center Publ., **55**, Polish Acad. Sci., Warsaw, 2002.
12. J.Faraut, S.Gindikin, Pseudo-Hermitian symmetric spaces of tube type. *Topics in geometry*, Progr.Nonlinear Diff. Equat. Appl., **20** Birkhäuser Boston, 1996, pp. 123–154.
13. J. Faraut and A. Korányi: Analysis on Symmetric Cones. Oxford Science Publications, 1994.

14. S.Hille, Canonical representations, Ph.D Thesis, Leiden Univeristy, 1999.
15. L.K.Hua, *Harmonic analysis of functions of several complex variables in the classical domains*. Translations of Mathematical monographs, 6, AMS, Providence, 1963.
16. I.L.Kantor, Non-linear groups of transformations defined by general norms of Jordan algebras. *Soviet Math Dokl.*, **8**, (1967), pp.176-180.
17. Y.Khlif, In tégrales de Riesz sur un espace symétrique de type Cayley, Thèse de doctorat, Université de Paris VI (2000).
18. B.Kostant, On the existence and irreducibility of certain series representations, Proceedings of the summer school on group representations, Bolyai Jonos Math. Soc. Budapest, 1971.
19. B.Kostant, S.Sahi, Jordan algebras and Capelli identities. *Invent. Math.* **112**, (1993), pp. 657–664.
20. Yu.Neretin, Matrix analogues of the  $B$ -function, and the Plancherel formula for Berezin kernel representations. *Sb. Math.* **191** (2000), no. 5-6, pp.683–715
21. M.Pevzner, Analyse conforme sur les algèbres de Jordan, *J. Austral. Math. Soc.* **73**,(2002), 1–21.
22. S.Sahi, Explicit Hilbert spaces for certain unipotent representations, *Invent. math.* **110** (1992), pp. 409-418.
23. S.Sahi, The Capelli identity and unitary representations, *Compositio Math.* **81** (1992), pp.247-260.
24. S.Sahi, Jordan algebras and degenerate principal series *J. reine angew. Math* **462** (1995), pp. 1–18.
25. A.Unterberger, H.Upmeyer, The Berezin transform and invariant differential operators. *Comm. Math. Phys.* **164** (1994), no.3, pp.563–597.
26. A.M.Vershik, I.M.Gelfand, M.I.Graev, Representations of the group  $SL(2, R)$ , where  $R$  is a ring of functions. (Russian) *Uspehi Mat. Nauk*, **28** (1973), no.5(173), pp.83–128.
27. G.Zhang, Jordan algebras and generalized principal series representations. *Math. Ann.* **302**, (1995), pp. 773–786.
28. G.Zhang, Berezin transform on compact Hermitian symmetric spaces, *Manuscripta Math.* **97** (1998), pp.371-388.
29. G.Zhang, Berezin transform on real bounded symmetric domains. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **353** (2001), no.9, pp. 3769–3787.

J.FARAUT : INSTITUT DE MATHÉMATIQUES DE JUSSIEU, UMR 7586, UNIVERSITÉ DE PARIS 6, PIERRE-ET-MARIE-CURIE, CASE 247, 4 PLACE JUSSIEU, F-75252 PARIS CEDEX

M.PEVZNER : LABORATOIRE DE MATHÉMATIQUES, UMR 6056 CNRS, UNIVERSITÉ DE REIMS, MOULIN DE LA HOUSSE, BP 1039, F-51687, REIMS  
*E-mail address:* faraut@math.jussieu.fr, pevzner@univ-reims.fr