

PARABOLIC CHARACTER SHEAVES, I

G. LUSZTIG

Dedicated to Pierre Cartier on the occasion of his 70th birthday

INTRODUCTION

0.1. Let G be a connected reductive algebraic group over an algebraically closed field \mathbf{k} . Let Z be the variety (of the same dimension as G) consisting of all pairs (P, gU_P) where P runs through a fixed conjugacy class \mathcal{P} of parabolics of G and $gU_P \in G/U_P$ (U_P is the unipotent radical of P). Now G acts on Z by $h : (P, gU_P) \mapsto (hPh^{-1}, hgh^{-1}U_{hPh^{-1}})$. In this paper we study a class of simple G -equivariant perverse sheaves on Z which we call "parabolic character sheaves". In the case where $\mathcal{P} = \{G\}$, these are the character sheaves on $Z = G$, in the sense of [L3]. In general, they have several common properties with the character sheaves: they are defined in arbitrary characteristic, and in the case where \mathbf{k} is an algebraic closure of a finite field \mathbf{F}_q and G, \mathcal{P} are defined over \mathbf{F}_q ,

(a) *the characteristic functions of the parabolic character sheaves that are defined over \mathbf{F}_q form a basis of the space of $G(\mathbf{F}_q)$ -invariant functions on $Z(\mathbf{F}_q)$ (under a mild assumption on the characteristic of \mathbf{k}).*

0.2. We now review the contents of this paper in more detail.

In Section 1 we describe a partition of a partial flag manifold of G (defined over \mathbf{F}_q) into pieces that are $G(\mathbf{F}_q)$ -stable, generalizing the partition [DL] of the full flag manifold. This partition has been considered by the author in 1977 (unpublished); the associated combinatorics has been developed by Bédard [B] (see Section 2). Although this partition is not needed in our theory of parabolic character sheaves, it serves as motivation for it. In Section 3 we define a partition of Z into pieces which is governed by the same combinatorics as that in Section 2. In Section 4 we define the "parabolic character sheaves" on Z in two apparently different ways. The first one uses the partition of Z mentioned above and the usual notion of character sheaf on a connected component of a possibly disconnected reductive group. The second one imitates the definition of character sheaves in [L3]. One of our main results is that these two definitions coincide (4.13, 4.16). In Section 4, we define a map from the set of parabolic character sheaves on Z to the set of "tame"

Supported in part by the National Science Foundation

local systems on a maximal torus of G modulo the action of a certain subgroup of the Weyl group (this extends a known property of character sheaves). In Section 5 we prove property 0.1(a). The main result of Section 6 is Corollary 6.8. This has the following consequence. Assume that G and \mathcal{P} (in 0.1) are defined over \mathbf{F}_q . Let ρ be the character of an irreducible representation of $G(\mathbf{F}_q)$ over $\bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l$. By summing ρ over each fibre of $G(\mathbf{F}_q) \rightarrow (G/U_P)(\mathbf{F}_q)$ for $P \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbf{F}_q)$, we obtain a $G(\mathbf{F}_q)$ -invariant function $Z(\mathbf{F}_q) \rightarrow \bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l$. We would like to express this function as a linear combination of a small number of elements in a fixed basis of the space of all $G(\mathbf{F}_q)$ -invariant functions on $Z(\mathbf{F}_q)$. (Here "small" means "bounded by a number independent of q ".) The basis formed by the characteristic functions of the $G(\mathbf{F}_q)$ -orbits on $Z(\mathbf{F}_q)$ does not have this property. However, the basis 0.1(a) defined by the parabolic character sheaves does have the required property (under a mild assumption) as a consequence of Corollary 6.8.

0.3. The theory of parabolic character sheaves on Z continues to make sense with only minor modifications when G and \mathcal{P} (with G simply connected) are replaced by $G(\mathbf{k}((x)))$, x an indeterminate, and a class of parahoric subgroups. (There is no known theory of character sheaves on $G(\mathbf{k}((x)))$ itself.) I believe that this theory is a necessary ingredient for establishing the (conjectural) geometric interpretation of affine Hecke algebras with unequal parameters proposed in [L8].

CONTENTS

1. A partition of a partial flag manifold.
2. Results of Bédard.
3. The variety $Z_{J,\delta}$ and its partition.
4. Parabolic character sheaves on $Z_{J,\delta}$.
5. Central character.
6. The functors $f_{J'}^J, e_J^{J'}$.
7. Characteristic functions.

1. A PARTITION OF A PARTIAL FLAG MANIFOLD

1.1. For any affine connected algebraic group H let U_H be the unipotent radical of H . Let G be as in 0.1. If P, Q are parabolics of G then so is

$$P^Q = (P \cap Q)U_P$$

and we have

$$U_{(PQ)} = U_P(P \cap U_Q).$$

1.2. Assume now that \mathbf{k} is an algebraic closure of the finite field \mathbf{F}_q and that we are given an \mathbf{F}_q -rational structure on G ; let $F : G \rightarrow G$ be the corresponding Frobenius map. Let \mathcal{P} be a conjugacy class of parabolics in G . To any $Q \in \mathcal{P}$ we attach a sequence of parabolics ${}^0Q \supset {}^1Q \supset {}^2Q \supset \dots$ by

$${}^0Q = Q, {}^nQ = ({}^{n-1}Q)^{F^{-1}({}^{n-1}Q)} \text{ for } n \geq 1.$$

We say that $Q, Q' \in \mathcal{P}$ are equivalent if for any $n \geq 0$ there exists an element of G that conjugates nQ to ${}^nQ'$ and $F({}^nQ)$ to $F({}^nQ')$. The equivalence classes form a partition of \mathcal{P} into finitely many G^F -stable subvarieties, or "pieces". (In the case where \mathcal{P} is the variety of Borels, the pieces above are precisely the subvarieties $X(w)$ (see [DL, 1.4]) of the flag manifold.) In the general case, using results in [DL],[L2] one can show that each piece has Euler characteristic in $1 + q\mathbf{Z}$. Hence

(a) *the number of pieces in \mathcal{P} is equal to the Euler characteristic of \mathcal{P}*

(at least for $q \gg 0$). Based on this observation, around 1979, I asked R. Bédard (my Ph.D. student at the time) to find a combinatorial explanation for the equality

(a). Bédard's solution of this problem is reviewed in Section 2.

1.3. Let V be a vector space of dimension $n \geq 2$ over \mathbf{k} (as in 1.2) with a fixed \mathbf{F}_q -rational structure with corresponding Frobenius map $F : V \rightarrow V$. Then $G = GL(V)$ is as in 1.2. We identify parabolics in G with partial flags in V in the usual way. Following [DL, 2.3] we partition the set of lines in V into locally subvarieties X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n where X_j is the set of all lines L in V such that $\dim(L + F(L) + F^2(L) + \dots) = j$. The pieces X_j are special cases of the pieces in 1.2.

1.4. Let V be a vector space of dimension $2n \geq 4$ over \mathbf{k} (as in 1.2) with a given non-degenerate symplectic form $\langle, \rangle : V \times V \rightarrow \mathbf{k}$ and with a fixed \mathbf{F}_q -rational structure with corresponding Frobenius map $F : V \rightarrow V$ such that $\langle F(x), F(y) \rangle = \langle x, y \rangle^q$ for all $x, y \in V$. Then the symplectic group $G = Sp(V)$ is as in 1.2. We identify parabolics in G with partial isotropic flags in V in the usual way. Following [L1] we partition the set of lines in V into locally closed subvarieties $X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n, X'_n, \dots, X'_2, X'_1$ where X_j is the set of all lines L in V such that $L + F(L) + F^2(L) + \dots$ is an isotropic subspace of dimension j and X'_j is the set of all lines L in V such that

$$\langle L, F(L) \rangle = \langle L, F^2(L) \rangle = \dots = \langle L, F^{j-1}(L) \rangle = 0, \langle L, F^j(L) \rangle \neq 0.$$

The pieces X_j, X'_j are special cases of the pieces in 1.2.

2. RESULTS OF BÉDARD

2.1. Let W be a Coxeter group, let I be the set of simple reflections and let $l : W \rightarrow \mathbf{N}$ be the length function. Let $\epsilon : W \xrightarrow{\sim} W$ be a group isomorphism.

In this section we reformulate results of Bédard [B] in a more general setting. (In [B] it is assumed that W is finite and $\epsilon(I) = I$; we do not assume this.)

If A, A' are subsets of a group and g is an element of that group we set ${}^gA = \text{Ad}(g)A = gAg^{-1}$, $N_A(A') = \{h \in A'; {}^hA = A\}$.

For a subset X of W we write $x = \min(X)$ if $x \in X$ and $l(x) < l(x')$ for all $x' \in X - \{x\}$. For $J \subset I$ let W_J be the subgroup of W generated by J . For $J, K \subset I$ let

$${}^KW = \{w \in W; w = \min(W_K w)\}, W^J = \{w \in W; w = \min(wW_J)\},$$

$${}^KW^J = {}^KW \cap W^J.$$

We recall three known results.

(a) If $x \in {}^K W^{K'}$, $u \in {}^{K' \cap \text{Ad}(x^{-1})K} W$, $u \in W_{K'}$, then $xu \in {}^K W$ and $l(xu) = l(x) + l(u)$.

(b) If $x \in {}^K W^{K'}$, $x' \in W_K x W_{K'}$, $x' \in {}^K W$, then $x' = xu$ where $u \in W_{K'}$, $u \in {}^{K' \cap \text{Ad}(x^{-1})K} W$.

(c) If $x \in W^K$, $x' \in W_K$, $K' \subset K$, then $x' \in W^{K'} \Leftrightarrow xx' \in W^{K'}$.

2.2. Let $J, J' \subset I$ be such that $\epsilon(J) = J'$. Let $\mathcal{T}(J, \epsilon)$ be the set of all sequences $(J_n, w_n)_{n \geq 0}$ where $J_n \subset I$ and $w_n \in W$ are such that

$$(a) \quad J = J_0 \supset J_1 \supset J_2 \supset \dots,$$

$$(b) \quad J_n = J_{n-1} \cap \epsilon^{-1} \text{Ad}(w_{n-1}) J_{n-1}, \text{ for } n \geq 1,$$

$$(c) \quad w_n \in \epsilon^{(J_n)} W^{J_n}, \text{ for } n \geq 0,$$

$$(d) \quad w_n \in W_{\epsilon(J_n)} w_{n-1} W_{J_{n-1}}, \text{ for } n \geq 1.$$

2.3. In the setup of 2.2, let $S(J, \epsilon)$ be the set of all sequences $(J_n, J'_n, u_n)_{n \geq 0}$ where J_n, J'_n are subsets of I and u_n are elements of W such that

$$(a) \quad J = J_0 \supset J_1 \supset J_2 \supset \dots,$$

$$(b) \quad \epsilon(J_n) = \epsilon(J_{n-1}) \cap \text{Ad}(u_0 u_1 \dots u_{n-1}) J_{n-1}, \text{ for } n \geq 1,$$

$$(c) \quad J'_0 = J', J'_n = J_{n-1} \cap \text{Ad}(u_0 u_1 \dots u_{n-1})^{-1} \epsilon(J_{n-1}), \text{ for } n \geq 1,$$

$$(d) \quad u_n \in W_{J_{n-1}}, \text{ for } n \geq 1,$$

$$(e) \quad u_n \in {}^{J'_n} W^{J_n}, \text{ for } n \geq 0.$$

From (b),(c) we see that

$$(f) \quad \epsilon(J_n) = \text{Ad}(u_0 u_1 \dots u_{n-1}) J'_n, \text{ for } n \geq 1.$$

Proposition 2.4. *There is a unique bijection $S(J, \epsilon) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{T}(J, \epsilon)$ such that $(J_n, J'_n, u_n)_{n \geq 0} \mapsto (J_n, u_0 u_1 \dots u_n)_{n \geq 0}$.*

Let $(J_n, w_n)_{n \geq 0} \in \mathcal{T}(J, \epsilon)$. For $n \geq 1$ we set $J'_n = J_{n-1} \cap \text{Ad}(w_{n-1}^{-1})\epsilon(J_{n-1})$. We set $J'_0 = J'$, $u_0 = w_0$. Then $u_0 \in {}^{J'_0}W^{J_0}$. Let $n \geq 1$. We can find $v \in W_{\epsilon(J_{n-1})}$ such that $v^{-1}w_n \in \epsilon(J_{n-1})W$. We have

$$v^{-1}w_n \in W_{\epsilon(J_{n-1})}w_{n-1}W_{J_{n-1}}, w_{n-1} \in \epsilon(J_{n-1})W^{J_{n-1}}.$$

Hence, by 2.1(b) and 2.1(a) we have $v^{-1}w_n = w_{n-1}u_n$ with $u_n \in W_{J_{n-1}}$, $u_n \in {}^{J'_n}W$, $l(w_{n-1}u_n) = l(w_{n-1}) + l(u_n)$. From 2.2(d) we deduce that $vw_{n-1} \in W_{\epsilon(J_n)}w_{n-1}W_{J_{n-1}}$. From 2.2(b) we have $W_{\epsilon(J_n)}w_{n-1} \subset w_{n-1}W_{J_{n-1}}$ hence $vw_{n-1} \in w_{n-1}W_{J_{n-1}}$ and $v \in w_{n-1}W_{J_{n-1}}w_{n-1}^{-1} \cap W_{\epsilon(J_{n-1})} = W_{\epsilon(J_n)}$. From $v \in W_{\epsilon(J_n)}$, $w_n \in \epsilon(J_n)W$, $v^{-1}w_n \in \epsilon(J_n)W$, we deduce that $v = 1$. Hence $w_n = w_{n-1}u_n$. Let $v' \in W_{J_n}$. Since $w_n \in W^{J_n}$, we have

$$l(w_nv') = l(w_n) + l(v') = l(w_{n-1}u_n) + l(v') = l(w_{n-1}) + l(u_n) + l(v').$$

Since $w_{n-1} \in W^{J_{n-1}}$ and $u_nv' \in W_{J_{n-1}}$, we have $l(w_nv') = l(w_{n-1}u_nv') = l(w_{n-1}) + l(u_nv')$. Thus, $l(w_{n-1}) + l(u_n) + l(v') = l(w_{n-1}) + l(u_nv')$ hence $l(u_n) + l(v') = l(u_nv')$. We see that $u_n \in W^{J_n}$. Thus, $u_n \in {}^{J'_n}W^{J_n}$ and $(J'_n, J_n, u_n)_{n \geq 0} \in S(J, \epsilon)$. Thus, we have a map $\mathcal{T}(J, \epsilon) \rightarrow S(J, \epsilon)$, $(J_n, w_n)_{n \geq 0} \mapsto (J_n, J'_n, u_n)_{n \geq 0}$ where $u_0 = w_0$, $u_n = w_{n-1}^{-1}w_n$ for $n \geq 1$. We now construct an inverse of this map. Let $(J_n, J'_n, u_n)_{n \geq 0} \in S(J, \epsilon)$.

(a) *For any $0 \leq k \leq n$ we have $u_k u_{k+1} \dots u_n \in {}^{J'_k}W$ and $l(u_k u_{k+1} \dots u_n) = l(u_k) + l(u_{k+1}) + \dots + l(u_n)$.*

We use descending induction on $k \in [0, n]$. For $k = n$, (a) is clear. Assume now that $k < n$ and that (a) is true when k is replaced by $k+1$. We have

$$u_k \in {}^{J'_k}W^{J_k}, u_{k+1} \dots u_n \in {}^{J'_{k+1}}W = {}^{J_k \cap \text{Ad}(u_k^{-1})J'_k}W,$$

$u_{k+1} \dots u_n \in W_{J_k}$ and $l(u_{k+1} \dots u_n) = l(u_{k+1}) + \dots + l(u_n)$. Using 2.1(a) we deduce $u_k u_{k+1} \dots u_n \in {}^{J'_k}W$ and

$$l(u_k u_{k+1} \dots u_n) = l(u_k) + l(u_{k+1} \dots u_n) = l(u_k) + l(u_{k+1}) + \dots + l(u_n).$$

(a) is proved.

(b) *For any $n \geq 0$ we have $u_0 u_1 \dots u_n \in {}^{J'}W$.*

This is a special case of (a).

(c) *For any $n \geq 0$ we have $u_0 u_1 \dots u_n \in W^{J_n}$.*

We use induction on n . For $n = 0$, (c) is clear. Assume now that $n > 0$ and that (c) is true when n is replaced by $n-1$. We have

$$u_0 u_1 \dots u_{n-1} \in W^{J_{n-1}}, u_n \in W^{J_n}, u_n \in W_{J_{n-1}}, J_n \subset J_{n-1}.$$

Using 2.1(c) we deduce $u_0 u_1 \dots u_{n-1} u_n \in W^{J_n}$. This proves (c).

(d) For any $n \geq 0$ we have $u_0 u_1 \dots u_n \in {}^{J'} W^{J_n}$.

This follows from (b) and (c).

(e) For any $n \geq 0$ we have $u_0 u_1 \dots u_n \in {}^{\epsilon(J_n)} W^{J_n}$.

This follows from (d) since $\epsilon(J_n) \subset J'_0$.

Using (e), define $S(J, \epsilon) \rightarrow \mathcal{T}(J, \epsilon)$ by $(J_n, J'_n, u_n)_{n \geq 0} \mapsto (J_n, u_0 u_1 \dots u_n)_{n \geq 0}$. Clearly, we have defined two inverse bijections between $S(J, \epsilon)$ and $\mathcal{T}(J, \epsilon)$. The proposition is proved.

Proposition 2.5. $(J_n, J'_n, u_n)_{n \geq 0} \mapsto u_0 u_1 \dots u_m$ for $m \gg 0$ is a well defined bijection $\phi : S(J, \epsilon) \rightarrow {}^{J'} W$.

Let $(J_n, w_n)_{n \geq 0} \in \mathcal{T}(J, \epsilon)$. There exists $n_0 \geq 1$ such that $J_{n-1} = J_n$ for $n \geq n_0$. For such n we have

$$w_n \in {}^{\epsilon(J_n)} W^{J_n}, w_{n-1} \in {}^{\epsilon(J_n)} W^{J_n}, w_n \in W_{\epsilon(J_n)} w_{n-1} W_{J_n}$$

hence $w_n = w_{n-1}$. Thus, if $(J_n, J'_n, u_n)_{n \geq 0} \in S(J, \epsilon)$ then for $n \gg 0$ we have $u_n = 1$. Hence there is a well defined element $w \in W$ such that $w = u_0 u_1 \dots u_n$ for $n \gg 0$. By 2.4(d), we have $w \in {}^{J'} W$. Hence ϕ is a well defined map.

(a) For any $n \geq 0$ we have $u_0 u_1 \dots u_n = \min(W_{J'} w W_{J_n})$.

By 2.4(d), we have $u_0 u_1 \dots u_n \in {}^{J'} W^{J_n}$. Hence it suffices to show that $w \in u_0 u_1 \dots u_n W_{J_n}$. Take $N > n$ such that $u_0 u_1 \dots u_N = w$. Then

$$w = (u_0 \dots u_n) u_{n+1} u_{n+2} \dots u_N$$

and it suffices to show that $u_{n+1} u_{n+2} \dots u_N \in W_{J_n}$. This follows from

$$u_{n+1} \in W_{J_n}, u_{n+2} \in W_{J_{n+1}} \subset W_{J_n}, \dots, u_N \in W_{J_{N-1}} \subset W_{J_n}.$$

This proves (a).

We show that ϕ is a bijection. Assume that the images w, \tilde{w} of

$$(J_n, J'_n, u_n)_{n \geq 0} \in S(J, \epsilon), \quad (\tilde{J}_n, \tilde{J}'_n, \tilde{u}_n)_{n \geq 0} \in S(J, \epsilon)$$

under ϕ satisfy $w = \tilde{w}$. We show by induction on $n \geq 0$ that

(b) $J'_k = \tilde{J}'_k, J_k = \tilde{J}_k, u_k = \tilde{u}_k$ for $k \in [0, n]$.

For $n = 0$ this holds since $J_0 = \tilde{J}_0 = J, J'_0 = \tilde{J}'_0 = J'$ and $u_0 = \tilde{u}_0 = \min(W_{J'_0} w W_{J_0})$ (see (a)). Assume now that $n > 0$ and that (b) holds when n is replaced by $n - 1$. From 2.3(b),(c) we deduce that $J_n = \tilde{J}_n, J'_n = \tilde{J}'_n$. From (a) we have

$$u_0 u_1 \dots u_n = \min(W_{J'_0} w W_{J_n}) = \min(W_{J'_0} w W_{\tilde{J}_n}) = \tilde{u}_0 \tilde{u}_1 \dots \tilde{u}_n = u_0 u_1 \dots u_{n-1} \tilde{u}_n$$

hence $u_n = \tilde{u}_n$. Thus (b) holds. We see that $(J_n, J'_n, u_n)_{n \geq 0} = (\tilde{J}_n, \tilde{J}'_n, \tilde{u}_n)_{n \geq 0}$. Thus, ϕ is injective.

We define an inverse to ϕ . Let $w \in {}^{J'}W$. We define by induction on $n \geq 0$ a sequence $(J_n, J'_n, u_n)_{n \geq 0}$ as follows. We set $J_0 = J, J'_0 = J', u_0 = \min(W_{J'_0} w W_{J_0})$. Assume that $n > 0$ and that J'_k, J_k, u_k are defined for $k \in [0, n-1]$. We define subsets J_n, J'_n of J_{n-1} by

$$\begin{aligned}\epsilon(J_n) &= \epsilon(J_{n-1}) \cap \text{Ad}(u_0 u_1 \dots u_{n-1}) J_{n-1}, \\ J'_n &= J_{n-1} \cap \text{Ad}(u_0 u_1 \dots u_{n-1})^{-1} \epsilon(J_{n-1})\end{aligned}$$

and we define u_n by $u_0 u_1 \dots u_n = \min(W_{J'_n} w W_{J_n})$. This completes the inductive definition. Using $\epsilon(J_{n-1}) \subset J'$, we see that

$$(c) \quad J'_n \subset \text{Ad}(u_0 u_1 \dots u_{n-1})^{-1} J' \text{ for } n \geq 1.$$

We show that

$$(d) \quad u_n \in W_{J_{n-1}} \text{ for } n \geq 1.$$

Now $u_0 u_1 \dots u_n \in W_{J'} w W_{J_n} \subset W_{J'} w W_{J_{n-1}}$ and $u_0 u_1 \dots u_{n-1} = \min(W_{J'} w W_{J_{n-1}})$. Moreover, $u_0 u_1 \dots u_n \in {}^{J'}W$. By 2.1(b) we have $u_0 u_1 \dots u_n = (u_0 u_1 \dots u_{n-1})u$ with

$$u \in W_{J_{n-1}}, u \in {}^{J_{n-1} \cap \text{Ad}(u_0 u_1 \dots u_{n-1})^{-1} J'} W.$$

Thus $u = u_n$ and (d) follows. We show by induction on $n \geq 0$ that

$$(e) \quad u_n \in {}^{J'_n} W^{J_n}.$$

For $n = 0$ this is clear. Assume now that $n > 0$ and that (e) holds when n is replaced by $n-1$. By the argument in the proof of (d) we have $u_n \in J_{n-1} \cap \text{Ad}(u_0 u_1 \dots u_{n-1})^{-1} J' W$. Since $J'_n \subset J_{n-1}$, we see from (c) that

$$J'_n \subset J_{n-1} \cap \text{Ad}(u_0 u_1 \dots u_{n-1})^{-1} J'.$$

Hence $u_n \in {}^{J'_n} W$. Now

$$u_0 u_1 \dots u_{n-1} \in W^{J_{n-1}}, u_n \in W_{J_{n-1}}, (u_0 u_1 \dots u_{n-1}) u_n \in W^{J_n}, J_n \subset J_{n-1}.$$

Using 2.1(c) we deduce that $u_n \in W^{J_n}$. Combining this with $u_n \in {}^{J'_n} W$ gives $u_n \in {}^{J'_n} W^{J_n}$. Thus (e) is established. We see that $(J_n, J'_n, u_n)_{n \geq 0} \in S(J, \epsilon)$. We show that

$$(f) \text{ if } n \gg 0, \text{ then } u_0 u_1 \dots u_n = w.$$

For any $n \geq 0$ we have $u_0 u_1 \dots u_n = \min(W_{J'_n} w W_{J_n})$. Also, $w \in {}^{J'}W$. Using 2.1(b) we have $w = (u_0 u_1 \dots u_n)u$ with

$$u \in W_{J_n}, u \in {}^{J_n \cap \text{Ad}(u_0 u_1 \dots u_n)^{-1} J'} W.$$

By (c), we have $J'_{n+1} \subset J_n \cap \text{Ad}(u_0 u_1 \dots u_n)^{-1} J'$. Hence $u \in {}^{J'_{n+1}} W$. Now $J'_{n+1} \subset J_n$. Assuming that $n \gg 0$, we have $J_n = J_{n+1}$. From 2.3(f) we see that $\#J'_{n+1} = \#J_{n+1}$, hence $\#J'_{n+1} = \#J_n$. Hence the inclusion $J'_{n+1} \subset J_n$ must be an equality. We see that $u \in {}^{J_n} W$. This, combined with $u \in W_{J_n}$ implies $u = 1$ and proves (f). Thus, we have defined $\psi : {}^{J'}W \rightarrow S(J, \epsilon)$ such that $\phi\psi = 1$. Hence ϕ is bijective.

2.6. Let $(J_n, J'_n, u_n)_{n \geq 0} \in S(J, \epsilon)$ and let w be its image under ϕ . For $n \gg 0$ we have $u_0 u_1 \dots u_{n-1} = w$ hence $\text{Ad}(w)J'_n = \epsilon(J_n)$. By the proof of 2.5(f), for $n \gg 0$ we have also $J_n = J'_n = J_{n+1} = J'_{n+1} = \dots$. Thus there is a well defined subset J_∞ of J such that $J_n = J'_n = J_\infty$ for $n \gg 0$ and $\text{Ad}(w)J_\infty = \epsilon(J_\infty)$.

2.7. Let G be as in 0.1. Let \mathcal{B} be the variety of Borel subgroups of G . Let W be the set of G -orbits on $\mathcal{B} \times \mathcal{B}$ (G acts by conjugation on both factors). Then W is naturally a finite Coxeter group; let I be the set of simple reflections (the G -orbits of dimension $\dim \mathcal{B} + 1$). For $B, B' \in \mathcal{B}, w \in W$ we write $\text{pos}(B, B') = w$ if the G -orbit of (B, B') is w . If P is a parabolic of G , the set of all $w \in W$ such that $w = \text{pos}(B, B')$ for some $B, B' \in \mathcal{B}, B \subset P, B' \subset P$, is of the form W_J (as in 2.1) for a well defined subset $J \subset I$. We then say that P has type J . For $J \subset I$, let \mathcal{P}_J be the set of all parabolics of type J of G .

For $P \in \mathcal{P}_J, Q \in \mathcal{P}_K$ there is a well defined element $u = \text{pos}(P, Q) \in {}^J W^K$ such that $\text{pos}(B, B') \geq u$ (standard partial order on W) for any $B, B' \in \mathcal{B}, B \subset P, B' \subset Q$ and $\text{pos}(B_1, B'_1) = u$ for some $B_1, B'_1 \in \mathcal{B}, B_1 \subset P, B'_1 \subset Q$; we then have $B_1 \subset P^Q, B'_1 \subset Q^P$. We have

$$(a) \quad P^Q \in \mathcal{P}_{J \cap \text{Ad}(u)K}.$$

Now $(P, Q) \mapsto u$ defines a bijection between the set of G -orbits on $\mathcal{P}_J \times \mathcal{P}_K$ and ${}^J W^K$.

2.8. Let G, F be as in 1.2. Let W, I be as in 2.7. The bijection $\delta : W \rightarrow W$ induced by $F : G \rightarrow G$ satisfies $\delta(I) = I$. Let $J \subset I$. We show that the pieces of \mathcal{P}_J defined in 1.2 are naturally indexed by $\mathcal{T}(J, \delta)$.

Let $Q \in \mathcal{P}_J$. To Q we associate a sequence $(J_n, w_n)_{n \geq 0}$ with $J_n \subset I, w_n \in W$ and a sequence $({}^n Q)_{n \geq 0}$ with ${}^n Q \in \mathcal{P}_{J_n}$. We set

$${}^0 Q = Q, J_0 = J, w_0 = \text{pos}(F({}^0 Q), {}^0 Q).$$

Assume that $n \geq 1$, that ${}^m Q, J_m, w_m$ are already defined for $m < n$ and that $w_m = \text{pos}(F({}^m Q), {}^m Q), {}^m Q \in \mathcal{P}_{J_m}$ for $m < n$. Let

$$J_n = J_{n-1} \cap \delta^{-1} \text{Ad}(w_{n-1}) J_{n-1},$$

$${}^n Q = ({}^{n-1} Q)^{F^{-1}({}^{n-1} Q)} \in \mathcal{P}_{J_n},$$

$$w_n = \text{pos}(F({}^n Q), {}^n Q) \in \delta(J_n) W^{J_n}.$$

This completes the inductive definition. From Lemma 3.2(c) (with P, P', Z replaced by ${}^{n-1} Q, F({}^{n-1} Q), {}^n Q$) we see that $w_n \in w_{n-1} W_{J_{n-1}}$ for $n \geq 1$. Thus, $(J_n, w_n)_{n \geq 0} \in \mathcal{T}(J, \delta)$. Thus, $Q \mapsto (J_n, w_n)_{n \geq 0}$ is a map $\mathcal{P}_J \rightarrow \mathcal{T}(J, \delta)$. The fibre of this map at $\mathbf{t} \in \mathcal{T}(J, \delta)$ is denoted by ${}^{\mathbf{t}} \mathcal{P}_J$. Clearly, $({}^{\mathbf{t}} \mathcal{P}_J)_{\mathbf{t} \in \mathcal{T}(J, \delta)}$ is a partition of \mathcal{P}_J into locally closed subvarieties (the same as in 1.2). The G^F -action on \mathcal{P}_J given by $h : Q \mapsto {}^h Q$ preserves each of the pieces ${}^{\mathbf{t}} \mathcal{P}_J$.

3. THE VARIETY $Z_{J,\delta}$ AND ITS PARTITION

3.1. Let G be as in 0.1. Let W, I be as in 2.7. Let \hat{G} be a possibly disconnected reductive algebraic group over \mathbf{k} with identity component G and let G^1 be a fixed connected component of \hat{G} . There is a unique isomorphism $\delta : W \xrightarrow{\sim} W$ such that $\delta(I) = I$ and

$$P \in \mathcal{P}_J, g \in G^1 \implies {}^gP \in \mathcal{P}_{\delta(J)}.$$

Let $P \in \mathcal{P}_J, Q \in \mathcal{P}_K, u = \text{pos}(P, Q)$. We say that P, Q are *in good position* if they have a common Levi or, equivalently, if $\text{Ad}(u^{-1})(J) = K$. In this case we have $P^Q = P, Q^P = Q$.

We fix $J \subset I$.

Lemma 3.2. *Let $P \in \mathcal{P}_J, P' \in \mathcal{P}_{J'}, J' \subset I$. Let $a = \text{pos}(P', P)$. Let $X = P'^P, Y = P^{P'}$ and let Z be a parabolic subgroup of P . Let $b = \text{pos}(Y, Z)$.*

(a) Let Y' be a parabolic subgroup of P of the same type as Y such that X, Y' are in good position and $\text{pos}(X, Y') = a$. Then $Y' = Y = P^X$.

(b) X contains a Levi of $Y \cap Z$.

(c) $\text{pos}(X, Z) = ab$.

(d) $X^{(Y^Z)} = X^Z$.

We prove (a). Since X, Y' are in good position, for any Borel B' in Y' there exists a Borel B in X such that $\text{pos}(B, B') = a$. Since $\text{pos}(X, P) = a$ and $B' \subset P$ we have $B' \subset P^X$. Since Y' is the union of its Borels, we have $Y' \subset P^X$. Now Y' is of type $J \cap \text{Ad}(a^{-1})J'$, and P^X is of type $J \cap \text{Ad}(a^{-1})(J' \cap \text{Ad}(a)J) = J \cap \text{Ad}(a^{-1})J'$. Thus Y', P^X have the same type, hence $Y' = P^X$. Replacing in this argument Y' by Y we obtain $Y = P^X$.

We prove (b). Since $Z \subset P$ we have $U_P \subset Z$. Hence $Y \cap Z = P^{P'} \cap Z = ((P \cap P')U_P) \cap Z = (P \cap P' \cap Z)U_P$. Now $U_P \subset U_{Y \cap Z}$. Hence if L is a Levi of $P \cap P' \cap Z$ then L is a Levi of $Y \cap Z$. Now $L \subset P \cap P' \subset X$.

We prove (c). Let $\tilde{a} = \text{pos}(X, Z)$. We have $X \in \mathcal{P}_K$ with $K = J' \cap \text{Ad}(a)J$. We have $a \in {}^{J'}W^J$ and $K \subset J'$ hence $a \in {}^KW^J$. From the definition of \tilde{a} we have $\tilde{a} \in {}^KW$. Since $Z \subset P$, we have $\tilde{a} \in W_K a W_J$. Using 2.1(c) with x, x' replaced by a, \tilde{a} , we see that $\tilde{a} = av$ with $v \in W_J$. Let $B, B' \in \mathcal{B}$ be such that $B \subset X, B' \subset Z, \text{pos}(B, B') = \tilde{a}$. Since $a \in W^J$, we have $l(av) = l(a) + l(v)$ and there is a unique $B'' \in \mathcal{B}$ such that $\text{pos}(B, B'') = a, \text{pos}(B'', B') = v$. Since $B' \subset P$ and $\text{pos}(B'', B') \in W_J$, we have $B'' \subset P$. Since $B \subset P', B'' \subset P$ and $\text{pos}(B, B'') = \text{pos}(P', P) = a$, we have $B'' \subset P^{P'} = Y$. Since $B'' \subset Y, B' \subset Z$, we have $v \geq b$. We can find $B_1, B_2 \in \mathcal{B}$ such that $B_1 \subset Y, B_2 \subset Z, \text{pos}(B_1, B_2) = b$. Since $\text{pos}(P', P) = a$ and $B_1 \subset P^{P'}$, we can find $B_0 \in \mathcal{B}$ such that $B_0 \subset P', \text{pos}(B_0, B_1) = a$. Since $a \in W^J, b \in W_J$, we have $\text{pos}(B_0, B_2) = ab$. We have $B_0 \subset P'^P = X, B_2 \subset Z$ hence $\text{pos}(B_0, B_2) \geq \text{pos}(X, Z)$, that is, $ab \geq \tilde{a} = av$. Thus, we have $v \geq b$ and $ab \geq av$. Since $a \in W^J$ and $b, v \in W_J$ we have $b = v$. Thus, $\tilde{a} = ab$.

We prove (d). Let B be a Borel in X^Z . Using (c), we can find a Borel B' in Z such that $\text{pos}(B, B') = ab$. Let B'' be the unique Borel such that $\text{pos}(B, B'') = a$, $\text{pos}(B'', B') = b$. Now $B'' \subset P$ since $B' \subset P$ and $\text{pos}(B'', B') = b \in W_J$. Since $\text{pos}(X, P) = \text{pos}(B, B'') = a$, we have $B'' \subset P^X$ hence $B'' \subset Y$ (see (a)). Since $\text{pos}(Y, Z) = \text{pos}(B'', B') = b$, we have $B'' \subset Y^Z$. Since $B \subset X, B'' \subset Y^Z$ and $\text{pos}(B, B'') = a = \text{pos}(P', P)$ where $X \subset P', Y^Z \subset P$, we have $\text{pos}(B, B'') = a = \text{pos}(X, Y^Z)$. Hence $B \subset X^{(Y^Z)}$. Thus any Borel in X^Z is contained in $X^{(Y^Z)}$. Since X^Z is the union of its Borels, we have $X^Z \subset X^{(Y^Z)}$. To show that $X^Z = X^{(Y^Z)}$, it suffices to show that $X^Z, X^{(Y^Z)}$ have the same type, or that $K \cap \text{Ad}(ab)K'' = K \cap \text{Ad}(a)(K' \cap \text{Ad}(b)K'')$ (where X, Y, Z are of type K, K', K'') or that $\text{Ad}(a)K' = K$ which follows from $K = J' \cap \text{Ad}(a)J, K' = J \cap \text{Ad}(a^{-1})J'$. The lemma is proved.

3.3. For $(P, P') \in \mathcal{P}_J \times \mathcal{P}_{\delta(J)}$,

$$A(P, P') = \{g \in G^1; {}^gP = P'\}$$

is a single P -orbit (resp. P' -orbit) for right (resp. left) translation on G . Let $\mathcal{Z}_{J,\delta}$ be the set of all triples (P, P', g) where $P \in \mathcal{P}_J, P' \in \mathcal{P}_{\delta(J)}, g \in A(P, P')$.

Let $(P, P', g) \in \mathcal{Z}_{J,\delta}$; let $z = \text{pos}(P', P)$. Let $J_1 = J \cap \delta^{-1}\text{Ad}(z)(J)$. We set

$$P^1 = g^{-1}P'P g \in \mathcal{P}_{J_1}, P'^1 = P'^P \in \mathcal{P}_{\delta(J_1)}.$$

We write $(P^1, P'^1) = \alpha'(P, P', g)$. We have $(P^1, P'^1, g) \in \mathcal{Z}_{J_1,\delta}$. We have $P^1 \subset P, P'^1 \subset P'$ and, by Lemma 3.2(c) (with $Z = P^1$), we have $\text{pos}(P'^1, P^1) \in zW_J$.

Lemma 3.4. *Let $g, g' \in A(P, P'), u' \in U_{P'}, u \in U_P$ be such that $g' = u'gu$. Then*

- (a) $g' = u'_1 g u_1$ where $u'_1 \in U_{P'^1}, u_1 \in U_{P^1}$;
- (b) we have $\alpha'(P, P', g') = (P^1, P'^1)$.

To prove (a), we may assume that $u' = 1$ (since $U_{P'}g = gU_P$). Since $P^1 \subset P$, we have $U_P \subset U_{P^1}$ hence $g' \in gU_{P^1}$. This proves (a). To prove (b), we may assume that $u = 1$ (since $U_{P'}g = gU_P$). Since $U_{P'} \subset P'^P$, we have $u' \in P'^P$ hence $g'^{-1}(P'^P) = g^{-1}(u'^{-1}(P'^P)) = P^1$. The lemma is proved.

Lemma 3.5. *Let $g, g' \in A(P, P')$. Assume that $\alpha'(P, P', g) = \alpha'(P, P', g') = (P^1, P'^1)$ and that $g' \in U_{P'^1}g = gU_{P^1}$. There exist $x \in U_P \cap P', w' \in U_{P'}$ such that $g' = w'xg$.*

We have $g' = u'g$ where $u' \in U_{P'^P}$. We have $u' = w'x$ where $w' \in U_{P'}, x \in U_P \cap P'$. Hence $g' = w'xg$.

Lemma 3.6. *Let $z \in {}^{\delta(J)}W^J, J_1 = J \cap \delta^{-1}\text{Ad}(z)(J)$. Let*

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{Z}_{J,\delta}^* &= \{(P, P', g) \in \mathcal{Z}_{J,\delta}; \text{pos}(P', P) = z\}, \\ \mathcal{Z}_{J_1,\delta}^\dagger &= \{(Q, Q', g) \in \mathcal{Z}_{J_1,\delta}; \text{pos}(Q, Q') \in zW_J\}. \end{aligned}$$

Define $f : \mathcal{Z}_{J,\delta}^ \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}_{J_1,\delta}^\dagger$ by $(P, P', g) \mapsto (P^1, P'^1, g)$ where $(P^1, P'^1) = \alpha'(P, P', g)$. Then f is an isomorphism.*

We show only that f is bijective. For $(P, P', g) \mapsto \mathcal{Z}_{J, \delta}^*$, P (resp. P') is the unique parabolic of type J (resp. $\delta(J)$) that contains P^1 (resp. P'^1). Hence f is injective. We show that f is surjective. Let $(Q, Q', g) \in \mathcal{Z}_{J_1, \delta}^\dagger$. Let P (resp. P') be the unique parabolic of type J (resp. $\delta(J)$) that contains Q (resp. Q'). Clearly, $\text{pos}(P', P) = z$ and ${}^g P = P'$. It suffices to show that $P'^P = Q'$. We have $\text{pos}(Q', Q) = zu$ where $u \in W_J$. We can find $B, B' \in \mathcal{B}$ such that $B \subset Q, B' \subset Q', \text{pos}(B', B) = zu$. Since $l(zu) = l(z) + l(u)$, we can find $B'' \in \mathcal{B}$ such that $\text{pos}(B', B'') = z, \text{pos}(B'', B) = u$. Since $u \in W_J$ and $B \subset P$, we have $B'' \subset P$. Since $B' \subset P', B'' \subset P$, $\text{pos}(B', B'') = z$, we have $B' \subset P'^P$. Since Q', P'^P are in $\mathcal{P}_{\delta(J_1)}$ and both contain B' , we have $Q' = P'^P$. The lemma is proved.

3.7. We fix $z \in {}^{\delta(J)}W^J$. Let $J_1 = J \cap \delta^{-1}\text{Ad}(z)J$. Let $(Q, Q', \gamma_1) \in \mathcal{Z}_{J_1, \delta}$ be such that $\text{pos}(Q', Q) \in zW_J$. Let \mathcal{F} be the set of all $(P, P', \gamma) \in \mathcal{Z}_{J, \delta}$ such that $\text{pos}(P', P) = z, \gamma \subset \gamma_1$ and $\alpha'(P, P', g) = (Q, Q')$ for some/any $g \in \gamma$ (see 3.4). (Note that P, P' are uniquely determined by Q, Q' .) By Lemma 3.6 we have $\mathcal{F} \neq \emptyset$.

Lemma 3.8. *Let $(P, P', \gamma) \in \mathcal{F}$. Let $g \in \gamma$. Then $v \mapsto (P, P', U_{P'}vgU_P)$ is a well defined, surjective map $\kappa : U_P \cap P' \rightarrow \mathcal{F}$,*

Let $(P, P', \gamma') \in \mathcal{F}$. Let $g' \in \gamma'$. By Lemma 3.5 there exist $v \in U_P \cap P', w' \in U_{P'}$ such that $g' = w'vg$. Then $\gamma' = U_{P'}vgU_P$. The lemma is proved.

Lemma 3.9. *In the setup of Lemma 3.8, the following two conditions for v, v' in $U_P \cap P'$ are equivalent:*

- (i) $\kappa(v) = \kappa(v')$;
- (ii) $v' = dv$ for some $d \in U_P \cap U_{P'}$.

Assume that (i) holds. We have $vg \in U_{P'}v'gU_P = U_{P'}v'U_{P'}g$ hence $v \in U_{P'}v'U_{P'} = U_{P'}v'$. Thus, $v' = dv$ where $d \in U_{P'}$ and we have automatically $d \in U_P \cap U_{P'}$. Thus, (ii) holds. The converse is immediate.

3.10. We see that κ defines a bijection $(U_P \cap U_{P'}) \setminus (U_P \cap P') \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{F}$. One can check that this is an isomorphism of algebraic varieties. Since $U_P \cap P'$ is a connected unipotent group and $U_P \cap U_{P'}$ is a connected closed subgroup of it, we see that

- (a) \mathcal{F} is isomorphic to an affine space of dimension $\dim((U_P \cap U_{P'}) \setminus (U_P \cap P'))$.

3.11. To any $(P, P', g) \in \mathcal{Z}_{J, \delta}$ we associate a sequence $(J_n, w_n)_{n \geq 0}$ with $J_n \subset I, w_n \in W$ and a sequence $(P^n, P'^n, g)_{n \geq 0}$ with $(P^n, P'^n, g) \in \mathcal{Z}_{J_n, \delta}$. We set

$$P^0 = P, P'^0 = P', J_0 = J, w_0 = \text{pos}(P'^0, P^0).$$

Assume that $n \geq 1$, that P^m, P'^m, J_m, w_m are already defined for $m < n$ and that $w_m = \text{pos}(P'^m, P^m), P^m \in \mathcal{P}_{J_m}, P'^m = {}^g P^m$ for $m < n$. Let

$$J_n = J_{n-1} \cap \delta^{-1}\text{Ad}(w_{n-1})(J_{n-1}),$$

$$P^n = g^{-1}(P'^{n-1})^{P^{n-1}}g \in \mathcal{P}_{J_n}, \quad P'^n = (P'^{n-1})^{P^{n-1}} \in \mathcal{P}_{\delta(J_n)},$$

$$w_n = \text{pos}(P'^n, P^n) \in {}^{\delta(J_n)}W^{J_n}.$$

This completes the inductive definition. From Lemma 3.2(c) (with P, P', Z replaced by P^{n-1}, P'^{n-1}, P^n) we see that $w_n \in w_{n-1}W_{J_{n-1}}$ for $n \geq 1$. Thus, $(J_n, w_n)_{n \geq 0} \in \mathcal{T}(J, \delta)$. We write $(J_n, w_n)_{n \geq 0} = \beta'(P, P', g)$. For $\mathbf{t} \in \mathcal{T}(J, \delta)$ let

$${}^{\mathbf{t}}\mathcal{Z}_{J,\delta} = \{(P, P', g) \in \mathcal{Z}_{J,\delta}; \beta'(P, P', g) = \mathbf{t}\},$$

$${}^{\mathbf{t}}Z_{J,\delta} = \{(P, P', \gamma) \in Z_{J,\delta}; \beta'(P, P', g) = \mathbf{t} \text{ for some/any } g \in \gamma\}.$$

(The equivalence of "some/any" follows from Lemma 3.4.) Clearly, $({}^{\mathbf{t}}\mathcal{Z}_{J,\delta})_{\mathbf{t} \in \mathcal{T}(J,\delta)}$ is a partition of $\mathcal{Z}_{J,\delta}$ into locally closed subvarieties and $({}^{\mathbf{t}}Z_{J,\delta})_{\mathbf{t} \in \mathcal{T}(J,\delta)}$ is a partition of $Z_{J,\delta}$ into locally closed subvarieties. The G -action on $\mathcal{Z}_{J,\delta}$ given by $h : (P, P', g) \mapsto ({}^hP, {}^hP', {}^hg)$ preserves each of the pieces ${}^{\mathbf{t}}\mathcal{Z}_{J,\delta}$. Similarly, the natural action of G on $Z_{J,\delta}$ preserves each of the pieces ${}^{\mathbf{t}}Z_{J,\delta}$. Clearly, $(P, P', g) \mapsto (P^1, P'^1, g)$ is a morphism $\vartheta' : {}^{\mathbf{t}}\mathcal{Z}_{J,\delta} \rightarrow {}^{\mathbf{t}_1}\mathcal{Z}_{J_1,\delta}$ where for $\mathbf{t} = (J_n, w_n)_{n \geq 0} \in \mathcal{T}(J, \delta)$ we set $\mathbf{t}^1 = (J_n, w_n)_{n \geq 1} \in \mathcal{T}(J_1, \delta)$; it induces a morphism

$$\vartheta : {}^{\mathbf{t}}Z_{J,\delta} \rightarrow {}^{\mathbf{t}_1}Z_{J_1,\delta}.$$

Lemma 3.12. (a) *The morphism $\vartheta' : {}^{\mathbf{t}}\mathcal{Z}_{J,\delta} \rightarrow {}^{\mathbf{t}_1}\mathcal{Z}_{J_1,\delta}$ is an isomorphism.*

(b) *The morphism $\vartheta : {}^{\mathbf{t}}Z_{J,\delta} \rightarrow {}^{\mathbf{t}_1}Z_{J_1,\delta}$ is an affine space bundle with fibres of dimension $\dim((U_P \cap U_{P'}) \setminus (U_P \cap P'))$ where (P, P', γ) is any triple in ${}^{\mathbf{t}}Z_{J,\delta}$.*

(c) *Consider the map ϑ from the set of G -orbits on ${}^{\mathbf{t}}Z_{J,\delta}$ to the set of G -orbits on ${}^{\mathbf{t}_1}Z_{J_1,\delta}$ induced by ϑ . Then $\bar{\vartheta}$ is a bijection.*

(a) follows from Lemma 3.6. We prove (b). Now $\dim((U_P \cap U_{P'}) \setminus (U_P \cap P'))$ in (b) is independent of the choice of (P, P', γ) ; it depends only on $\text{pos}(P, P')$ which is constant on ${}^{\mathbf{t}}Z_{J,\delta}$. From 3.10(a) we see that each fibre of ϑ is an affine space of the indicated dimension. The verification of local triviality is omitted.

We prove (c). Since ϑ is surjective (see (b)) and G -equivariant, $\bar{\vartheta}$ is well defined and surjective. We show that $\bar{\vartheta}$ is injective. Let $(P, P', \gamma), (\tilde{P}, \tilde{P}', \tilde{\gamma})$ be two triples in ${}^{\mathbf{t}}Z_{J,\delta}$ whose images under ϑ are in the same G -orbit; we must show that these two triples are in the same G -orbit. Since ϑ is G -equivariant, we may assume that $\vartheta(P, P', \gamma) = \vartheta(\tilde{P}, \tilde{P}', \tilde{\gamma}) = (Q, Q', \gamma_1) \in {}^{\mathbf{t}_1}Z_{J_1,\delta}$. Define \mathcal{F} in terms of (Q, Q', γ_1) as in 3.7. Then $(P, P', \gamma) \in \mathcal{F}, (\tilde{P}, \tilde{P}', \tilde{\gamma}) \in \mathcal{F}$. Since P, \tilde{P} are parabolics of the same type containing Q we have $P = \tilde{P}$. Since P', \tilde{P}' are parabolics of the same type containing Q' we have $P' = \tilde{P}'$. Let $g \in \gamma$. By Lemma 3.8, we have $\tilde{\gamma} = U_{P'}vgU_P$ for some $v \in U_P \cap P'$. We have also $\tilde{P} = {}^vP$ (since $v \in P$), $\tilde{P}' = {}^vP'$ (since $v \in P'$),

$$\tilde{\gamma} = U_{P'}vgU_P = vU_{P'}gU_P = vU_{P'}gU_Pv^{-1} = v\gamma v^{-1}$$

(since v normalizes $U_{P'}$ and $v \in U_P$). Thus, $(\tilde{P}, \tilde{P}', \tilde{\gamma})$ is obtained by the action of $v \in G$ on (P, P', γ) , hence $(\tilde{P}, \tilde{P}', \tilde{\gamma})$ is in the G -orbit of (P, P', γ) . The lemma is proved.

Lemma 3.13. *Let $\mathbf{t} = (J_n, w_n)_{n \geq 0} \in \mathcal{T}(J, \delta)$. Then ${}^{\mathbf{t}}Z_{J, \delta}$ is an iterated affine space bundle over a fibre bundle over \mathcal{P}_{J_n} with fibres isomorphic to P/U_P where $P \in \mathcal{P}_{J_n}$ ($n \gg 0$). In particular, ${}^{\mathbf{t}}Z_{J, \delta} \neq \emptyset$.*

Assume first that \mathbf{t} is such that $J_n = J$ for all n and $w_n = w$ for all n (here $w \in W$). In this case, ${}^{\mathbf{t}}Z_{J, \delta}$ is the set of all (P, P', γ) where $P \in \mathcal{P}_J$, $P' \in \mathcal{P}_{\delta(J)}$, $\text{pos}(P', P) = w$, P', P are in good position, $\gamma \in U_{P'}A(P, P')/U_P$. (The associated sequence P^n, P'^n is in this case $P^n = P, P'^n = P'$.) Thus, in this case, ${}^{\mathbf{t}}Z_{J, \delta}$ is a locally trivial fibration over \mathcal{P}_J with fibres isomorphic to P/U_P for $P \in \mathcal{P}_J$ so the lemma holds.

We now consider a general \mathbf{t} . For any $r \geq 0$ let

$$\mathbf{t}_r = (J_n, w_n)_{n \geq r} \in \mathcal{T}(J_r, \delta).$$

By 3.12(b) we have a sequence of affine space bundles

$$(a) \quad {}^{\mathbf{t}}Z_{J, \delta} \rightarrow {}^{\mathbf{t}_1}Z_{J_1, \delta} \rightarrow {}^{\mathbf{t}_2}Z_{J_2, \delta} \rightarrow \dots$$

where for $r \gg 0$, ${}^{\mathbf{t}_r}Z_{J_r, \delta}$ is as in the first part of the proof. The lemma follows.

3.14. In the setup of 3.13, the maps in 3.13(a) induces bijections on the sets of G -orbits (see 3.12(c)). Thus we obtain a canonical bijection between the set of G -orbits on ${}^{\mathbf{t}}Z_{J, \delta}$ and the set of G -orbits on ${}^{\mathbf{t}_r}Z_{J_r, \delta}$ where r is chosen large enough so that $J_r = J_{r+1} = \dots$, and $w_r = w_{r+1} = \dots = w$. This last set of orbits is canonically the set of $(P \cap P')$ -orbits on $U_{P'} \backslash A(P, P')/U_P$ where $P \in \mathcal{P}_{J_r}$, $P' \in \mathcal{P}_{\delta(J_r)}$ and $\text{pos}(P', P) = w$ (good position). Let $L_{\mathbf{t}}$ be a common Levi of P, P' . Then $C_{\mathbf{t}} = \{g \in G^1; {}^g L_{\mathbf{t}} = L_{\mathbf{t}}, \text{pos}({}^g P, P) = w\}$ is a connected component of $N_{\tilde{G}}(L_{\mathbf{t}})$. Under the obvious bijection $C_{\mathbf{t}} \xrightarrow{\sim} U_{P'} \backslash A(P, P)/U_P$, the conjugation action of $L_{\mathbf{t}}$ on $C_{\mathbf{t}}$ corresponds to the conjugation action of $P \cap P'$ on $U_{P'} \backslash A(P, P')/U_P$. Thus we obtain a canonical bijection between the set of G -orbits on ${}^{\mathbf{t}}Z_{J, \delta}$ and the set of $L_{\mathbf{t}}$ -conjugacy classes in $C_{\mathbf{t}}$ (a connected component of an algebraic group with identity component $L_{\mathbf{t}}$). Putting together these bijections we obtain a bijection

$$G \backslash Z_{J, \delta} \leftrightarrow \sqcup_{\mathbf{t} \in \mathcal{T}(J, \delta)} L_{\mathbf{t}} \backslash C_{\mathbf{t}}$$

where $G \backslash Z_{J, \delta}$ is the set of G -orbits on $Z_{J, \delta}$ and $L_{\mathbf{t}} \backslash C_{\mathbf{t}}$ is the set of $L_{\mathbf{t}}$ -orbits on $C_{\mathbf{t}}$ (for the conjugation action).

4. PARABOLIC CHARACTER SHEAVES ON $Z_{J, \delta}$

4.1. Our notation for perverse sheaves follows [BBD]. For an algebraic variety X over \mathbf{k} we write $\mathcal{D}(X)$ instead of $\mathcal{D}_c^b(X, \bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l)$; l is a fixed prime number invertible in \mathbf{k} . If $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is a smooth morphism with connected fibres of dimension d , and K is a perverse sheaf on Y , we set $\tilde{f}(K) = f^*(K)[d]$, a perverse sheaf on X . If $K \in \mathcal{D}(X)$ and A is a simple perverse sheaf on X we write $A \dashv K$ instead of " A is a composition factor of ${}^p H^i(K)$ for some $i \in \mathbf{Z}$ ".

We preserve the setup of 3.1. Let $J \subset I$. Let B^* be a Borel of G and let T be a maximal torus of B^* . Let $N^1 = \{n \in G^1; nTn^{-1} = T\}$. The map $N^1 \rightarrow W, n \mapsto \text{pos}(B^*, {}^n B^*)$ induces a bijection $T \backslash N^1 = N^1/T \xrightarrow{\sim} W$; let N_x^1 be the T -coset in N^1 corresponding to $x \in W$. We choose $\dot{x} \in N_x^1$. For $x \in W$ we define a morphism of algebraic varieties $\alpha_x : U_{B^*} N_x^1 U_{B^*} \rightarrow N_x^1$ by $\alpha_x(unu') = n$ for $u, u' \in U_{B^*}, n \in N_x^1$. Consider the diagram

$$N_x^1 \xleftarrow{\phi} \hat{Y}_x \xrightarrow{\rho} Y_x \xrightarrow{\pi} Z_{J,\delta}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} Y_x &= \{(B, B', g) \in \mathcal{Z}_{\emptyset,\delta}; \text{pos}(B, B') = x\}, \\ \hat{Y}_x &= \{(hU_{B^*}, g) \in G/U_{B^*} \times G^1; h^{-1}gh \in U_{B^*} N_x^1 U_{B^*}\}, \\ \rho(hU_{B^*}, g) &= ({}^h B^*, {}^{gh} B^*, g), \quad \phi(hU_{B^*}, g) = \alpha_x(h^{-1}gh), \\ \pi(B, B', g) &= (P, P', U_{P'} g U_P), B \subset P, B' \subset P'. \end{aligned}$$

Let $\mathcal{S}(T)$ be the set of isomorphism classes of $\bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l$ -local systems \mathcal{L} of rank 1 on T such that $\mathcal{L}^{\otimes m} \cong \bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l$ for some integer $m \geq 1$ invertible in \mathbf{k} . For $\mathcal{L} \in \mathcal{S}(T)$ let $W_{\mathcal{L}}^1 = \{x \in W; \text{Ad}(\dot{x}^*)\mathcal{L} \cong \mathcal{L}\}$. (We have $\text{Ad}(\dot{x}) : T \rightarrow T$.) Let $\mathcal{L} \in \mathcal{S}(T), x \in W_{\mathcal{L}}^1$. The inverse image $\mathcal{L}_{\dot{x}}$ of \mathcal{L} under $N_x^1 \rightarrow T, n \mapsto \dot{x}^{-1}n$, is a T -equivariant local system on N_x^1 for the conjugation action of T on N_x^1 . Now T acts on \hat{Y}_x by $t : (x, g) \mapsto (xt^{-1}, g)$ and on Y_x , trivially. The T -actions are compatible with ϕ, ρ, π . Since $\mathcal{L}_{\dot{x}}$ is T -equivariant, $\phi^* \mathcal{L}_{\dot{x}}$ is a T -equivariant local system on \hat{Y}_x ; it is of the form $\rho^* \tilde{\mathcal{L}}$ for a well defined local system $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}$ on Y_x , since ρ is a principal T -bundle. We set

$$K_x^{\mathcal{L}} = \pi_! \tilde{\mathcal{L}} \in \mathcal{D}(Z_{J,\delta}).$$

Let $\hat{\mathcal{L}}$ be the simple perverse sheaf on $\mathcal{Z}_{\emptyset,\delta}$ whose support is the closure of Y_x and whose restriction to Y_x is a shift of $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}$. Let $\bar{K}_x^{\mathcal{L}} = \hat{\pi}_! \hat{\mathcal{L}}$ where $\hat{\pi} : \mathcal{Z}_{\emptyset,\delta} \rightarrow Z_{J,\delta}$ is given by the same formula as π .

Occasionally we shall write \spadesuit for a local system of type $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}$ (as above) on Y_x or on a subvariety of Y_x .

4.2. Let $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_r)$ be a sequence in W , let $x = x_1 x_2 \dots x_r$ and let

$$\begin{aligned} Y_{\mathbf{x}} &= \{(B_0, B_1, \dots, B_r, g) \in \mathcal{B} \times \mathcal{B} \times \dots \times \mathcal{B} \times G^1; \text{pos}(B_{i-1}, B_i) = x_i, i \in [1, r], \\ &\quad B_r = {}^g B_0\}. \end{aligned}$$

Define $\pi_{\mathbf{x}} : Y_{\mathbf{x}} \rightarrow Z_{J,\delta}$ by $(B_0, B_1, \dots, B_r, g) \mapsto (Q, Q', g)$ where $Q \in \mathcal{P}_J, Q' \in \mathcal{P}_{\delta(J)}$ are given by $B_0 \subset Q, B_r \subset Q'$. Let

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{Y}_{\mathbf{x}} &= \{(h_0 U_{B^*}, h_1 B^*, \dots, h_r B^*, g) \in G/U_{B^*} \times G/B^* \times \dots \times G/B^* \times G^1; \\ &\quad h_{i-1}^{-1} h_i \in B^* x_i B^* \text{ for } i \in [1, r], h_r^{-1} g h_0 \in N_{G^1}(B^*)\}. \end{aligned}$$

Define $\rho_{\mathbf{x}} : \hat{Y}_{\mathbf{x}} \rightarrow Y_{\mathbf{x}}, \phi_{\mathbf{x}} : \hat{Y}_{\mathbf{x}} \rightarrow N_x^1$ by

$$\begin{aligned}\rho_{\mathbf{x}}(h_0 U_{B^*}, h_1 B^*, \dots, h_r B^*, g) &= ({}^{h_0} B^*, {}^{h_1} B^*, \dots, {}^{h_r} B^*, g) \\ \phi_{\mathbf{x}}(h_0 U_{B^*}, h_1 B^*, \dots, h_r B^*, g) &= n_1 n_2 \dots n_r n\end{aligned}$$

where $n_i \in N_G(T)$ is given by $h_{i-1}^{-1} h_i \in U_{B^*} n_i U_{B^*}$ and $n \in N^1 \cap N_{G^1}(B^*)$ is given by $h_r^{-1} g h_0 \in U_{B^*} n$. Now $\phi_{\mathbf{x}}$ is T -equivariant where T acts on $\hat{Y}_{\mathbf{x}}$ by

$$t : (h_0 U_{B^*}, h_1 B^*, \dots, h_r B^*, g) \mapsto (h_0 t^{-1} U_{B^*}, h_1 B^*, \dots, h_r B^*, g).$$

Hence, if $\mathcal{L} \in \mathcal{S}(T)$ and $x \in W_{\mathcal{L}}^1$ then $\phi_{\mathbf{x}}^*(\mathcal{L}_{\dot{x}})$ is T -equivariant (see 4.1); it is of the form $\rho_{\mathbf{x}}^* \tilde{\mathcal{L}}$ for a well defined local system $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}$ on $Y_{\mathbf{x}}$, since $\rho_{\mathbf{x}}$ is a principal T -bundle. We set

$$K_{\mathbf{x}}^{\mathcal{L}} = (\pi_{\mathbf{x}})_! \tilde{\mathcal{L}} \in \mathcal{D}(Z_{J,\delta}).$$

In the case where \mathbf{x} reduces to a single element x , we have clearly $K_{\mathbf{x}}^{\mathcal{L}} = K_x^{\mathcal{L}}$. In general, $Y_{\mathbf{x}}$ is smooth and connected. An equivalent statement is that

$$\begin{aligned}\{(h_0, h_1, \dots, h_r, g) \in G^{r+1} \times G^1; h_{i-1}^{-1} h_i \in B^* x_i B^* (i \in [1, r]), \\ h_r^{-1} g h_0 B^* = B^* h_r^{-1} g h_0\}\end{aligned}$$

is smooth and connected. By the substitution $n = h_r^{-1} g h_0, h_{i-1}^{-1} h_i = y_i, i \in [1, r]$, we are reduced to the statement that

$$\{(h_0, y_1, y_2, \dots, y_r, n) \in G^{r+1} \times G^1; {}^n B^* = B^*, y_i \in B^* x_i B^*\}$$

is smooth and connected, which is clear.

4.3. Let $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_r)$ be a sequence in $I \sqcup \{1\}$ and let $\mathcal{L} \in \mathcal{S}(T)$ be such that $x_1 x_2 \dots x_r \in W_{\mathcal{L}}^1$. Let

$$\begin{aligned}\bar{Y}_{\mathbf{x}} = \{(B_0, B_1, \dots, B_r, g) \in \mathcal{B} \times \mathcal{B} \times \dots \times \mathcal{B} \times G^1; \\ \text{pos}(B_{i-1}, B_i) \in \{x_i, 1\}, i \in [1, r], B_r = {}^g B_0\}.\end{aligned}$$

Define $\bar{\pi}_{\mathbf{x}} : \bar{Y}_{\mathbf{x}} \rightarrow Z_{J,\delta}$ by $(B_0, B_1, \dots, B_r, g) \mapsto (Q, Q', g)$ where $Q \in \mathcal{P}_J, Q' \in \mathcal{P}_{\delta(J)}$ are given by $B_0 \subset Q, B_r \subset Q'$. Now $Y_{\mathbf{x}}$ (see 4.2) is an open dense subset of $\bar{Y}_{\mathbf{x}}$. By 4.2, $Y_{\mathbf{x}}$ carries a natural local system $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}$ and the intersection cohomology complex $IC(\bar{Y}_{\mathbf{x}}, \tilde{\mathcal{L}})$ is a constructible sheaf $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}$ on $\bar{Y}_{\mathbf{x}}$ (this is shown in [L3, 2.7, 2.8] in the case where $G^1 = G$; a similar proof holds in general). We set

$$\bar{K}_{\mathbf{x}}^{\mathcal{L}} = (\bar{\pi}_{\mathbf{x}})_! \tilde{\mathcal{L}} \in \mathcal{D}(Z_{J,\delta}).$$

Proposition 4.4. *Let $\mathcal{L} \in \mathcal{S}(T)$ and let A be a simple perverse sheaf on $Z_{J,\delta}$. The following conditions on A are equivalent:*

- (i) $A \dashv K_x^{\mathcal{L}}$ for some $x \in W_{\mathcal{L}}^1$.
- (ii) $A \dashv K_{\mathbf{x}}^{\mathcal{L}}$ for some sequence $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_r)$ in W with $x_1 x_2 \dots x_r \in W_{\mathcal{L}}^1$.
- (iii) $A \dashv K_{\mathbf{x}}^{\mathcal{L}}$ for some sequence $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_r)$ in $I \sqcup \{1\}$ with $x_1 x_2 \dots x_r \in W_{\mathcal{L}}^1$.
- (iv) $A \dashv \bar{K}_{\mathbf{x}}^{\mathcal{L}}$ for some sequence $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_r)$ in $I \sqcup \{1\}$ with $x_1 x_2 \dots x_r \in W_{\mathcal{L}}^1$.
- (v) $A \dashv \bar{K}_x^{\mathcal{L}}$ for some $x \in W_{\mathcal{L}}^1$.

In the case where $G^1 = G$, the proof follows word by word that in [L3, 2.11-2.16], [L4, 12.7]. The general case can be treated in a similar way.

4.5. Let $\mathcal{C}_{J,\delta}^{\mathcal{L}}$ be the set of (isomorphism classes) of simple perverse sheaves on $Z_{J,\delta}$ which satisfy the equivalent conditions 4.4(i)-(v) with respect to \mathcal{L} . The simple perverse sheaves on $Z_{J,\delta}$ which belong to $\mathcal{C}_{J,\delta}^{\mathcal{L}}$ for some $\mathcal{L} \in \mathcal{S}(T)$ are called *parabolic character sheaves*; they (or their isomorphism classes) form a set $\mathcal{C}_{J,\delta}$. In particular, the notion of parabolic character sheaf on $Z_{I,\delta} = G^1$ is well defined; we thus recover the definition of character sheaves in [L3],[L6].

We describe the set $\mathcal{C}_{\emptyset,\delta}$. For any x, \mathcal{L} as in 4.1, the simple perverse sheaf $\hat{\mathcal{L}}_x$ on $\mathcal{Z}_{\emptyset,\delta}$ (see 4.1) is a shift of the inverse image under the obvious map $\mathcal{Z}_{\emptyset,\delta} \rightarrow Z_{\emptyset,\delta}$ of a well defined simple perverse sheaf on $Z_{\emptyset,\delta}$. These simple perverse sheaves on $Z_{\emptyset,\delta}$ constitute $\mathcal{C}_{\emptyset,\delta}$.

4.6. Let $\mathbf{t} = (J_n, w_n)_{n \geq 0} \in \mathcal{T}(J, \delta)$. For $r \gg 0$ we have $J_r = J_{r+1} = \dots$, and $w_r = w_{r+1} = \dots = w$. For such r we define a class $\mathcal{C}'_{\mathbf{t},\delta}$ of simple perverse sheaves on ${}^{\mathbf{t}}Z_{J_r,\delta}$. Let $P \in \mathcal{P}_{J_r}, P' \in \mathcal{P}_{\delta(J_r)}$ and $\text{pos}(P', P) = w$ (good position). Let L be a common Levi of P', P . Then $C = \{g \in G^1; {}^g L = L, {}^g P = P'\}$ is a connected component of $N_{\hat{G}}(L)$. Let X be a character sheaf on C (the definition in 4.5 is applicable since C is a connected component of an algebraic group with identity component L). Now X is L -equivariant for the conjugation action of L hence also $P \cap P'$ equivariant ($P \cap P'$ acts via its quotient $(P \cap P')/U_{P \cap P'} = L$). Hence there is a well defined simple perverse sheaf X' on $G \times_{P \cap P'} C$ (here $P \cap P'$ acts on G by right translation) whose inverse image under $G \times C \rightarrow G \times_{P \cap P'} C$ is a shift of the inverse image of X under $pr_2 : G \times C \rightarrow C$. We may regard X' as a simple perverse sheaf on ${}^{\mathbf{t}}Z_{J_r,\delta}$ via the isomorphism

$$G \times_{P \cap P'} C \xrightarrow{\sim} {}^{\mathbf{t}}Z_{J_r,\delta}, (g, c) \mapsto ({}^g P, {}^g P', gU_{P'}cU_P g^{-1}).$$

Now let $\vartheta : {}^{\mathbf{t}}Z_{J,\delta} \rightarrow {}^{\mathbf{t}}Z_{J_r,\delta}$ be a composition of maps in 3.13(a); thus ϑ is smooth with connected fibres. Then $\tilde{X} = \tilde{\vartheta}(X')$ is a simple perverse sheaf on ${}^{\mathbf{t}}Z_{J,\delta}$. Let \hat{X} be the simple perverse sheaf on $Z_{J,\delta}$ whose support is the closure in $Z_{J,\delta}$ of $\text{supp } \tilde{X}$ and whose restriction to ${}^{\mathbf{t}}Z_{J,\delta}$ is \tilde{X} .

Let $\mathcal{C}'_{\mathbf{t},\delta}$ be the class of simple perverse sheaves on ${}^{\mathbf{t}}Z_{J,\delta}$ consisting of all \hat{X} as above. (It is independent of the choice of r .) Let $\mathcal{C}'_{J,\delta}$ be the class of simple perverse

sheaves on $Z_{J,\delta}$ consisting of all \hat{X} as above. The set of isomorphism classes of objects in $\mathcal{C}'_{J,\delta}$ is in bijection with the set of pairs (\mathbf{t}, X) where $\mathbf{t} \in \mathcal{T}(J, \delta)$ and X is a character sheaf on C (as above).

4.7. We fix $b \in W$. Let V be a locally closed subvariety of Y_b (see 4.1). For $x, z \in W$ let

$$X_{x,z} = \{(\tilde{B}, B, \tilde{B}', B', g) \in \mathcal{B}^4 \times G^1; (\tilde{B}, \tilde{B}', g) \in V, {}^g B = B', \\ \text{pos}(\tilde{B}, B) = x, \text{pos}(B, \tilde{B}') = z, \text{pos}(\tilde{B}', B') = \delta(x)\}.$$

Define $\kappa : X_{x,z} \rightarrow V$ by $\kappa(\tilde{B}, B, \tilde{B}', B', g) = (\tilde{B}, \tilde{B}', g)$. Let $\mathcal{L} \in \mathcal{S}(T)$ be such that $z\delta(x) \in W_{\mathcal{L}}^1$. The inverse image of the local system $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}$ on $Y_{z,\delta(x)}$ (see 4.2) under

$$X_{x,z} \rightarrow Y_{z,\delta(x)}, \quad (\tilde{B}, B, \tilde{B}', B', g) \mapsto (B, \tilde{B}', B', g)$$

is denoted again by $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}$.

Lemma 4.8. *Let $m : V \rightarrow Z$ be a morphism of varieties. Let A be a simple perverse sheaf on Z such that $A \dashv (m\kappa)_! \tilde{\mathcal{L}}$. Then there exists $\mathcal{L}_1 \in \mathcal{S}(T)$ such that $b \in W_{\mathcal{L}_1}^1$ and such that $A \dashv m_! \tilde{\mathcal{L}}_1$. (Here $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_1$ is the local system on Y_b (or its restriction to V) defined as in 4.1 for b, \mathcal{L}_1 instead of x, \mathcal{L} .)*

We argue by induction on $l(z)$. If $l(z) = 0$ then $x = b$, κ is an isomorphism and the result is obvious. Assume now that $l(z) > 0$. We can find $s \in W$ such that $l(s) = 1, l(z) > l(sz)$.

Assume first that $l(xs) = l(x) + 1$. Consider the isomorphism

$$\iota : X_{x,z} \xrightarrow{\sim} X_{xs,sz}, \quad (\tilde{B}, B, \tilde{B}', B', g) \mapsto (\tilde{B}, B_1, \tilde{B}', B'_1, g)$$

where $B_1 \in \mathcal{B}$ is given by

$$(a) \quad \text{pos}(B, B_1) = s, \text{pos}(B_1, \tilde{B}') = sz$$

and $B'_1 = {}^g B_1$. We have $\kappa = \kappa' \iota$ where $\kappa' : X_{xs,sz} \rightarrow V$ is given by

$$\kappa'(\tilde{B}, B_1, \tilde{B}', B'_1, g) = (\tilde{B}, \tilde{B}', g).$$

Now $\iota_! \tilde{\mathcal{L}}$ is a local system of the same type as $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}$ (relative to $sz\delta(x)\delta(s)$ instead of $z\delta(x)$) and $A \dashv (m\kappa')_! \iota_! \tilde{\mathcal{L}}$. Using the induction hypothesis for $xs, sz, \iota_! \tilde{\mathcal{L}}$ instead of $x, z, \tilde{\mathcal{L}}$, we get the conclusion of the lemma.

Assume next that $l(xs) = l(x) - 1$. Then we have a partition $X_{x,z} = X'_{x,z} \sqcup X''_{x,z}$ where $X'_{x,z}$ is the open subset defined by $\text{pos}(\tilde{B}, B_1) = x$ (and B_1 is given by (a)) and $X''_{x,z}$ is the closed subset defined by $\text{pos}(\tilde{B}, B_1) = xs$ (and B_1 is given by (a)). Let $j' = \kappa|_{X'_{x,z}}, j'' = \kappa|_{X''_{x,z}}$. By general principles, either

- (b) $A \dashv (mj')_! \tilde{\mathcal{L}}$, or
- (c) $A \dashv (mj'')_! \tilde{\mathcal{L}}$;

here the restriction of $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}$ to $X'_{x,z}$ or $X''_{x,z}$ is denoted again by $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}$.

Assume that (c) holds. We have $j'' = \kappa'' \iota''$ where $\kappa'' : X_{xs,sz} \rightarrow V$ is given by $\kappa'(\tilde{B}, B_1, \tilde{B}', B'_1, g) = (\tilde{B}, \tilde{B}', g)$ and

$$\iota'' : X''_{x,z} \rightarrow X_{xs,sz}, \quad (\tilde{B}, B, \tilde{B}', B', B'_1, g) \mapsto (\tilde{B}, B_1, \tilde{B}', B'_1, g)$$

(where B_1, B'_1 are as in a) is a line bundle; also $\iota''_!(\tilde{\mathcal{L}})$ is (up to shift) a local system $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}''$ of the same type as $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}$ (relative to $sz\delta(x)\delta(s)$ instead of $z\delta(x)$). Hence $A \dashv (mk'')_! \tilde{\mathcal{L}}''$. Using the induction hypothesis for $xs, sz, \tilde{\mathcal{L}}''$ instead of $x, z, \tilde{\mathcal{L}}$, we get the conclusion of the lemma.

Assume now that (b) holds. We have $j' = \kappa' \iota'$ where $\kappa' : X_{x,sz} \rightarrow V$ is given by $\kappa'(\tilde{B}, B_1, \tilde{B}', B'_1, g) = (\tilde{B}, \tilde{B}', g)$ and

$$\iota' : X'_{x,z} \rightarrow X_{x,sz}, \quad (\tilde{B}, B, \tilde{B}', B', B'_1, g) \mapsto (\tilde{B}, B_1, \tilde{B}', B'_1, g)$$

(with B_1, B'_1 as in (a)) makes $X'_{x,z}$ into the complement of the zero section of a line bundle over $X_{x,sz}$. In the case where

(d) *the inverse image of \mathcal{L} under the coroot $\mathbf{k}^* \rightarrow T$ corresponding to s is $\bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l$* , we have $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}|_{X'_{x,z}} = \iota'^* \tilde{\mathcal{L}}'$ where $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}'$ is a local system on $X_{x,sz}$ of the same type as $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}$ (relative to $sz\delta(x)$ instead of $z\delta(x)$) hence we have an exact triangle consisting of $\iota'_!(\tilde{\mathcal{L}})$, $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}'$ and a shift of $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}'$. Hence $A \dashv (mk')_! \tilde{\mathcal{L}}'$. Using the induction hypothesis for $x, sz, \tilde{\mathcal{L}}'$ instead of $x, z, \tilde{\mathcal{L}}$, we get the conclusion of the lemma.

If (d) does not hold, the direct image $\iota'_!(\tilde{\mathcal{L}})$ is 0 hence

$${}^p H^i((mj')_! \tilde{\mathcal{L}}) = {}^p H^i(m_! k'_! \iota'_! \tilde{\mathcal{L}}) = 0$$

and we have a contradiction. The lemma is proved.

4.9. Let $\mathbf{t} = (J_n, w_n)_{n \geq 0} \in \mathcal{T}(J, \delta)$. Let $Y_{\mathbf{t}}$ be the set of all $(B, B', g) \in \mathcal{Z}_{\emptyset, \delta}$ such that, if $P \in \mathcal{P}_J, P' \in \mathcal{P}_{\delta(J)}$ are given by $B \subset P, B' \subset P'$, then $(P, P', g) \in {}^{\mathbf{t}}\mathcal{Z}_{J, \delta}$. Then $(B, B', g) \mapsto (P, P', g)$ is a morphism $\xi_{\mathbf{t}} : Y_{\mathbf{t}} \rightarrow {}^{\mathbf{t}}\mathcal{Z}_{J, \delta}$ and $(B, B', g) \mapsto (P, P', U_{P'} g U_P)$ is a morphism $\xi'_{\mathbf{t}} : Y_{\mathbf{t}} \rightarrow {}^{\mathbf{t}}\mathcal{Z}_{J, \delta}$.

For $a \in W$ let $Y_{\mathbf{t}, a} = \{(B, B', g) \in Y_{\mathbf{t}}; \text{pos}(B, B') = a\}$. Let $\xi_{\mathbf{t}, a}, \xi'_{\mathbf{t}, a}$ be the restrictions of $\xi_{\mathbf{t}}, \xi'_{\mathbf{t}}$ to $Y_{\mathbf{t}, a}$.

Lemma 4.10. *Let A' be a simple perverse sheaf on ${}^{\mathbf{t}}\mathcal{Z}_{J, \delta}$ with $A' \dashv (\xi'_{\mathbf{t}, a})_! \spadesuit$. (Notation of 4.1.) Then $A' \dashv \vartheta^*(\xi'_{\mathbf{t}_1, b})_! \spadesuit$ for some $b \in W$ ($\vartheta : {}^{\mathbf{t}}\mathcal{Z}_{J, \delta} \rightarrow {}^{\mathbf{t}_1}\mathcal{Z}_{J_1, \delta}$ as in 3.12(b)).*

It suffices to prove the following variant of the lemma.

(*) *Let A be a simple perverse sheaf on ${}^{\mathbf{t}}\mathcal{Z}_{J, \delta}$ such that $A \dashv (\xi_{\mathbf{t}, a})_! \spadesuit$. Then there exists $b \in W$ such that $A \dashv \vartheta'^*(\xi_{\mathbf{t}_1, b})_! \spadesuit$ where $\vartheta' : {}^{\mathbf{t}}\mathcal{Z}_{J, \delta} \xrightarrow{\sim} {}^{\mathbf{t}_1}\mathcal{Z}_{J_1, \delta}$ is as in 3.12(a).*

Define $f : Y_{\mathbf{t},a} \rightarrow Y_{\mathbf{t}_1}$ by $f(B, B', g) = (g^{-1}(\tilde{P}'^B), \tilde{P}'^B, g)$ where $\xi_{\mathbf{t},a}(B, B', g) = (P, P', g)$ and $\tilde{P}' = P'^P$. We have a partition $Y_{\mathbf{t}_1} = \sqcup_{b \in W} Y_{\mathbf{t}_1,b}$. Setting $Y_{\mathbf{t},a,b} = f^{-1}(Y_{\mathbf{t}_1,b})$ we get a partition $Y_{\mathbf{t},a} = \sqcup_{b \in W} Y_{\mathbf{t},a,b}$ into locally closed subvarieties. Let $\xi_{\mathbf{t},a,b} : Y_{\mathbf{t},a,b} \rightarrow {}^{\mathbf{t}}\mathcal{Z}_{J,\delta}$ be the restriction of $\xi_{\mathbf{t},a}$. If A is as in $(*)$ then, by general principles, $A \dashv (\xi_{\mathbf{t},a,b})_! \spadesuit$ for some $b \in W$. We have $\vartheta' \xi_{\mathbf{t},a} = \xi_{\mathbf{t}_1} f$. Hence, if $f_b : Y_{\mathbf{t},a,b} \rightarrow Y_{\mathbf{t}_1,b}$ is the restriction of f , we have $\vartheta' \xi_{\mathbf{t},a,b} = \xi_{\mathbf{t}_1,b} f_b$ hence $\xi_{\mathbf{t},a,b} = \vartheta'^{-1} \xi_{\mathbf{t}_1,b} f_b$. Thus, $A \dashv (\vartheta'^{-1})_! (\xi_{\mathbf{t}_1,b})_! (f_b)_! \spadesuit$ and

$$(a) \quad \vartheta'_! A \dashv (\xi_{\mathbf{t}_1,b})_! (f_b)_! \spadesuit.$$

We can write uniquely $a = a_2 a_1$ where $a_1 \in W_{\delta(J)}$, $a_2 \in W^{\delta(J)}$. We show that for $(\tilde{B}, \tilde{B}', g) \in Y_{\mathbf{t}_1,b}$, we have

$$(b) \quad \begin{aligned} & f_b^{-1}(\tilde{B}, \tilde{B}', g) \\ &= \{(B, B', g); B, B' \in \mathcal{B}, {}^g B = B', \text{pos}(B, \tilde{B}') = a_2, \text{pos}(\tilde{B}, B) = \delta^{-1}(a_1)\}. \end{aligned}$$

Assume first that $(B, B', g) \in f_b^{-1}(\tilde{B}, \tilde{B}', g)$. Define $P \in \mathcal{P}_J, P' \in \mathcal{P}_{\delta(J)}$ by $B \subset P, B' \subset P'$. Set $\tilde{P}' = P'^P$. We know that $\text{pos}(B, B') = a, \tilde{B}' = \tilde{P}'^B$. We have $\text{pos}(P'^B, B') \in W_{\delta(J)}$ (both B', P'^B are contained in P') and $\text{pos}(B, P'^B) = \text{pos}(B, P') \in W^{\delta(J)}$. We have automatically $\text{pos}(P'^B, B') = a_1, \text{pos}(B, P'^B) = a_2$. It also follows that $\text{pos}(g^{-1}(P'^B), B) = \delta^{-1}(a_1)$. We show that $P'^B = \tilde{P}'^B$. We have $P' \cap B = P' \cap P \cap B \subset P'^P \cap B = \tilde{P}' \cap B$. Also $U_{P'} \subset U_{\tilde{P}'}$ (since $\tilde{P}' \subset P'$). Hence $(P' \cap B)U_{P'} \subset (\tilde{P}' \cap B)U_{\tilde{P}'}$, that is $P'^B \subset \tilde{P}'^B$; since P'^B, \tilde{P}'^B are Borels, we have $P'^B = \tilde{P}'^B = \tilde{B}'$. We see that $\text{pos}(B, \tilde{B}') = a_2, \text{pos}(g^{-1} \tilde{B}', B) = \delta^{-1}(a_1)$. Thus, (B, B', g) belongs to the right hand side of (b).

Conversely, assume that (B, B', g) belongs to the right hand side of (b). Since $l(a_2 a_1) = l(a_2) + l(a_1)$ we have $\text{pos}(B, B') = a_2 a_1 = a$. Define $P \in \mathcal{P}_J, P' \in \mathcal{P}_{\delta(J)}$ by $B \subset P, B' \subset P'$. Set $\tilde{P}' = P'^P$. As in the earlier part of the proof we see that $\text{pos}(P'^B, B') \in W_{\delta(J)}$, $\text{pos}(B, P'^B) \in W^{\delta(J)}$ and $P'^B = \tilde{P}'^B$. This forces $P'^B = \tilde{B}'$ hence $\tilde{B}' = \tilde{P}'^B$. Thus, $(B, B', g) \in f_b^{-1}(\tilde{B}, \tilde{B}', g)$, proving (b).

We see that we may identify $Y_{\mathbf{t},a,b}$ with $X_{\delta^{-1}(a_1), a_2}$ defined as in 4.7 relative to $V = Y_{\mathbf{t}_1,b}$. Moreover, f_b may be identified with κ in 4.7. Now $\vartheta'_! A$ (a simple perverse sheaf on ${}^{\mathbf{t}_1}\mathcal{Z}_{J_1,\delta}$) satisfies the hypothesis of Lemma 4.8 with $Z = {}^{\mathbf{t}_1}\mathcal{Z}_{J_1,\delta}$ and $m = \xi_{\mathbf{t}_1,b}$ (see (a)). Applying Lemma 4.8, we see that there exists $\mathcal{L}_1 \in \mathcal{S}(T)$ such that $b \in W_{\mathcal{L}_1}^1$ and such that $\vartheta'_! A \dashv (\xi_{\mathbf{t}_1,b})_! \tilde{\mathcal{L}}_1$ ($\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_1$ as in 4.8). Since ϑ' is an isomorphism, we see that $A \dashv \vartheta'^*(\xi_{\mathbf{t}_1,b})_! \tilde{\mathcal{L}}_1$ and $(*)$ is proved. The lemma is proved.

Lemma 4.11. *Let $\mathcal{L} \in \mathcal{S}(T)$ and let $a \in W_{\mathcal{L}}^1$. Let $\mathbf{t} = (J_n, w_n)_{n \geq 0} \in \mathcal{T}(J, \delta)$. Let $\xi'_{\mathbf{t},a} : Y_{\mathbf{t},a} \rightarrow {}^{\mathbf{t}}\mathcal{Z}_{J,\delta}$ be as in 4.9. Let $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}$ be as in 4.1 (or its restriction to $Y_{\mathbf{t},a}$). Then any composition factor of $\oplus_i {}^p H^i((\xi'_{\mathbf{t},a})_! \tilde{\mathcal{L}})$ belongs to $\mathcal{C}'_{\mathbf{t},\delta}$.*

More generally we show that the lemma holds whenever J, \mathbf{t} are replaced by J_n, \mathbf{t}_n , $n \geq 0$. First we show:

(a) if the result is true for $n = 1$ then it is true for $n = 0$.

Let A' be a composition factor of $\oplus_i {}^p H^i((\xi'_{\mathbf{t},a})! \tilde{\mathcal{L}})$. By Lemma 4.10 there exists $b \in W$ such that $A' \dashv \vartheta^*(\xi'_{\mathbf{t},b})! \spadesuit$ hence $A' \dashv \vartheta^* \oplus_i {}^p H^i((\xi'_{\mathbf{t},b})! \spadesuit)$. (Notation of 4.1.) Since ϑ is an affine space bundle, there exists a composition factor A'' of $\oplus_i {}^p H^i((\xi'_{\mathbf{t},b})! \spadesuit)$ such that $A' = \vartheta^* A''$. By our hypothesis we have $A'' \in \mathcal{C}'_{\mathbf{t},\delta}$. From the definitions we have $\vartheta^* A'' \in \mathcal{C}'_{\mathbf{t},\delta}$. Thus, (a) holds.

Similarly, if the result holds for some $n \geq 1$, then it holds for $n - 1$. (The proof is the same as for $n = 1$.) In this way we see that it suffices to prove the result for $n \gg 0$. Thus, we may assume that $J_0 = J_1 = \dots = J$, and $w_0 = w_1 = \dots = w$. We can write uniquely $a = a_2 a_1$ where $a_1 \in W_{\delta(J)}$, $a_2 \in W^{\delta(J)}$. We have $w \in {}^{\delta(J)}W$ and $a \in W_J w^{-1} W_{\delta(J)} = w^{-1} W_{\delta(J)}$. Thus, $a_2 = w^{-1}$.

Let $P \in \mathcal{P}_J, P' \in \mathcal{P}_{\delta(J)}$ be such that $\text{pos}(P', P) = w$. Let L be a common Levi of P', P . Let $C = \{c \in G^1; {}^c L = L, {}^c P = P'\}$. Let Y' be the set of all (β, β', c) where β, β' are Borels of L such that $\text{pos}(\beta, \beta') = a_1$ (position relative to L with Weyl group $W_{\delta(J)}$) and $c \in C$ satisfies ${}^c \beta = \beta'$. Then $P \cap P'$ acts on $Y' \times U_{P'}$ by $p : (\beta, \beta', c, u) \mapsto ({}^l \beta, {}^l \beta', {}^l c, {}^p u)$ where $l \in L, p \in lU_{P \cap P'}$. We have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} G \times_{P \cap P'} Y' & \xrightarrow{\sim} & Y_{\mathbf{t},a} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \xi'_{\mathbf{t},a} \\ G \times_{P \cap P'} C & \xrightarrow{\sim} & {}^{\mathbf{t}}Z_{J,\delta} \end{array}$$

where the upper horizontal map is $(g, \beta, \beta', c, u) \mapsto ({}^g B, {}^g B', {}^g(cu))$ with $B' = \beta' U_{P'}, B = {}^{c^{-1}} B'$, the lower horizontal map is as in 4.6, the left vertical map is $(g, \beta, \beta', c, u) \mapsto (g, c)$. This commutative diagram shows that any composition factor of $\oplus_i {}^p H^i((\xi'_{\mathbf{t},a})! \tilde{\mathcal{L}})$ is of the form X' where X is a character sheaf on C (notation of 4.6); hence it is in $\mathcal{C}'_{\mathbf{t},\delta}$. The lemma is proved.

Lemma 4.12. *For $A \in \mathcal{C}_{J,\delta}, \mathbf{t} \in \mathcal{T}(J, \delta)$, we set ${}^{\mathbf{t}}A = A|_{{}^{\mathbf{t}}Z_{J,\delta}}$. Then any composition factor of $\oplus_i {}^p H^i({}^{\mathbf{t}}A)$ belongs to $\mathcal{C}'_{\mathbf{t},\delta}$.*

We can find $\mathcal{L} \in \mathcal{S}(T)$ and $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_r)$ as in 4.3 such that $x_1 x_2 \dots x_r \in W_{\mathcal{L}}^1$ and $A \dashv \bar{K}_{\mathbf{x}}^{\mathcal{L}}$ (see 4.3, 4.5). By the decomposition theorem [BBD] applied to $\bar{\pi}_{\mathbf{x}}$ (see 4.3), we have $\bar{K}_{\mathbf{x}}^{\mathcal{L}} \cong A[m] \oplus K'$ for some $K' \in \mathcal{D}(Z_{J,\delta})$ and some $m \in \mathbf{Z}$. Hence $\bar{K}_{\mathbf{x}}^{\mathcal{L}}|_{{}^{\mathbf{t}}Z_{J,\delta}} \cong {}^{\mathbf{t}}A[m] \oplus K'_1$ for some $K'_1 \in \mathcal{D}({}^{\mathbf{t}}Z_{J,\delta})$. It suffices to show that, if A' is a composition factor of $\oplus_i {}^p H^i(\bar{K}_{\mathbf{x}}^{\mathcal{L}}|_{{}^{\mathbf{t}}Z_{J,\delta}})$, then $A' \in \mathcal{C}'_{\mathbf{t},\delta}$. As in [L3, 2.11-2.16] we see that there exists $\mathcal{L} \in \mathcal{S}(T), x \in W_{\mathcal{L}}^1$ such that $A' \dashv K_x^{\mathcal{L}}|_{{}^{\mathbf{t}}Z_{J,\delta}}$. Using 4.11 we have $A' \in \mathcal{C}'_{\mathbf{t},\delta}$. The lemma is proved.

Lemma 4.13. *If $A \in \mathcal{C}_{J,\delta}$, then $A \in \mathcal{C}'_{J,\delta}$.*

Since $Z_{J,\delta} = \sqcup_{J \subset I} {}^{\mathbf{t}}Z_{J,\delta}$, we can find $\mathbf{t} \in \mathcal{T}(J, \delta)$ such that $\text{supp}(A) \cap {}^{\mathbf{t}}Z_{J,\delta}$ is open dense in $\text{supp}(A)$. Then ${}^{\mathbf{t}}A = A|_{{}^{\mathbf{t}}Z_{J,\delta}}$ is a simple perverse sheaf on ${}^{\mathbf{t}}Z_{J,\delta}$ and

${}^t A \in \mathcal{C}'_{\mathbf{t},\delta}$ (Lemma 4.12). Now $A, {}^t A$ are related just as \hat{X}, \tilde{X} are related in 4.6. Hence $A \in \mathcal{C}'_{J,\delta}$. The lemma is proved.

Lemma 4.14. *Let $\mathbf{t} = (J_n, w_n)_{n \geq 0} \in \mathcal{T}(J, \delta)$. Define $a \in W^{\delta(J)}$ by $a^{-1} = w_n$ for $n \gg 0$. Let $b \in W_{\delta(J_\infty)}$. Let $(B, B', g) \in Y_{ab}$. Define $P \in \mathcal{P}_J, P' \in \mathcal{P}_{\delta(J)}$ by $B \subset P, B' \subset P'$.*

(a) *We have $(P, P', g) \in {}^t \mathcal{Z}_{J,\delta}$.*

(b) *If $b = 1$, $(B, B', g) \mapsto (P, P', g)$ is a surjective map $Y_{ab} \rightarrow {}^t \mathcal{Z}_{J,\delta}$.*

We prove (a). Recall that $w_n = \min(W_{\delta(J)} a^{-1} W_{J_n}) = \min(W_{\delta(J)} b^{-1} a^{-1} W_{J_n})$ for $n \geq 0$. In particular, $\text{pos}(P', P) = \min(W_{\delta(J)} b^{-1} a^{-1} W_J) = w_0$. Define P^n, P'^n in terms of (P, P', g) as in 3.11. We have $P'^1 = P'^P \in \mathcal{P}_{\delta(J_1)}$, $P^1 \in \mathcal{P}_{J_1}$. As in the proof of Lemma 4.10 we have $ab = a_2 a_1$, $\text{pos}((P'^1)^B, B') = a_1 \in W_{\delta(J)}$, $a_2 \in W^{\delta(J)}$. Since $a \in W^{\delta(J)}$, we have $a_1 = b \in W_{\delta(J_\infty)}$. Thus, $\text{pos}((P'^1)^B, B') \in W_{\delta(J_1)}$ hence $B' \subset P'^1$ and $B \subset P^1$. We have

$$\text{pos}(P'^1, P^1) = \min(W_{\delta(J_1)} \text{pos}(B', B) W_{J_1}) = \min(W_{\delta(J_1)} b^{-1} a^{-1} W_{J_1}) = w_1.$$

By the same argument for $B, B', P^1, P'^1, g, \mathbf{t}_1$ instead of $B, B', P, P', g, \mathbf{t}$, we see that $\text{pos}(P'^2, P^2) = w_2$ and $B \subset P^2, B' \subset P'^2$. (We have $a^{-1} \in {}^{\delta(J_1)} W$ since $\delta(J_1) \subset \delta(J)$.) Continuing in this way, we find $\text{pos}(P'^n, P^n) = w_n$ and $B \subset P^n, B' \subset P'^n$ for all $n \geq 0$. In particular, $(P, P', g) \in {}^t \mathcal{Z}_{J,\delta}$. This proves (a).

We prove (b). Assume that $(P, P', g) \in {}^t \mathcal{Z}_{J,\delta}$. Define P^n, P'^n in terms of (P, P', g) as in 3.11. By assumption, if $n \gg 0$ we have $\text{pos}(P'^n, P^n) = a^{-1}$ (good position). Hence

$$pr_1 : \{(B, B') \in \mathcal{B} \times \mathcal{B}; B' \subset P'^n, B \subset P^n, \text{pos}(B, B') = a\} \rightarrow \{B \in \mathcal{B}; B \subset P^n\}$$

is an isomorphism with inverse $B \mapsto (B, (P'^n)^B)$. The condition that (B, B') in the domain of pr_1 satisfies $B' = {}^g B$ is that B is fixed by the map $B \mapsto g^{-1}((P'^n)^B)$ of the flag manifold of P^n into itself. This map has at least one fixed point. Hence there exist Borels $B \subset P^n, B' \subset P'^n$ such that $B' = {}^g B$ and $\text{pos}(B, B') = a$. We then have $B \subset P, B' \subset P'$, proving (b).

Lemma 4.15. *Let $\mathbf{t} = (J_n, w_n)_{n \geq 0} \in \mathcal{T}(J, \delta)$. Define $a_2 \in W^{\delta(J)}$ by $w_n = a_2^{-1}$ for $n \gg 0$. Let $a_1 \in W_{\delta(J_\infty)}$ and let $a = a_2 a_1, a' = \delta^{-1}(a_1) a_2$. Let A' be a simple perverse sheaf on ${}^{\mathbf{t}_1} Z_{J_1,\delta}$ such that $A' \dashv (\xi'_{\mathbf{t}_1, a'})_! \spadesuit$. Then $\tilde{\vartheta} A'$ (a simple perverse sheaf on ${}^t Z_{J,\delta}$) is a composition factor of $\oplus_i {}^p H^i((\xi'_{\mathbf{t}, a})_! \spadesuit)$.*

It suffices to prove the following variant of the lemma.

(*) *Let $\mathbf{t} = (J_n, w_n)_{n \geq 0} \in \mathcal{T}(J, \delta)$. Define $a_2 \in W^{\delta(J)}$ by $w_n = a_2^{-1}$ for $n \gg 0$. Let $a_1 \in W_{\delta(J_\infty)}$ and let $a = a_2 a_1, a' = \delta^{-1}(a_1) a_2$. Let A be a simple perverse sheaf on ${}^{\mathbf{t}_1} Z_{J_1,\delta}$ such that $A \dashv (\xi_{\mathbf{t}_1, a'})_! \spadesuit$. Then $\vartheta'^* A$ (a simple perverse sheaf on ${}^t Z_{J,\delta}$) is a composition factor of $\oplus_i {}^p H^i((\xi_{\mathbf{t}, a})_! \spadesuit)$.*

Define $f : Y_{\mathbf{t},a} \rightarrow Y_{\mathbf{t}_1}$ as in the proof of Lemma 4.10. Assume that $f(B, B', g) = (\tilde{B}, \tilde{B}', g)$. As in the proof of 4.10 we have

$$\text{pos}(\tilde{B}, B) = \delta^{-1}(a_1), \text{pos}(B, \tilde{B}') = a_2, \text{pos}(\tilde{B}', B') = a_1;$$

By 2.6 we have $\text{Ad}(a_2^{-1})J_\infty = \delta(J_\infty)$ hence $a_2^{-1}\delta^{-1}(a_1)a_2 \in W_{\delta(J_\infty)}$ and $l(a_2^{-1}\delta^{-1}(a_1)a_2) = l(\delta^{-1}(a_1))$; since $a_2 \in W^{\delta(J)} \subset W^{\delta(J_\infty)}$, we have

$$l(\delta^{-1}(a_1)a_2) = l(a_2(a_2^{-1}\delta^{-1}(a_1)a_2)) = l(a_2) + l(a_2^{-1}\delta^{-1}(a_1)a_2) = l(a_2) + l(\delta^{-1}(a_1)).$$

Hence $\text{pos}(\tilde{B}, \tilde{B}') = \delta^{-1}(a_1)a_2 = a'$. We see that f defines a map $f' : Y_{\mathbf{t},a} \rightarrow Y_{\mathbf{t}_1,a'}$. Define $f'' : Y_{\mathbf{t}_1,a'} \rightarrow Y_{\mathbf{t},a}$ by $f(\tilde{B}, \tilde{B}', g) = (B, B', g)$ with $B \in \mathcal{B}$ given by $\text{pos}(\tilde{B}, B) = \delta^{-1}(a_1)$, $\text{pos}(B, \tilde{B}') = a_2$ and $B' = {}^g B$. (From $\text{pos}(B, \tilde{B}') = a_2$, $\text{pos}(\tilde{B}', B') = a_1$ and $l(a_2a_1) = l(a_2) + l(a_1)$ we deduce that $\text{pos}(B, B') = a_2a_1$.) From the proof of 4.10 we see that f'' is an inverse to f' . From the definitions we have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Y_{\mathbf{t},a} & \xrightarrow{f'} & Y_{\mathbf{t}_1,a'} \\ \xi_{\mathbf{t},a} \downarrow & & \xi_{\mathbf{t}_1,a'} \downarrow \\ {}^{\mathbf{t}}\mathcal{Z}_{J,\delta} & \xrightarrow{\vartheta'} & {}^{\mathbf{t}_1}\mathcal{Z}_{J_1,\delta} \end{array}$$

This proves (*) since f' and ϑ' are isomorphisms. The lemma is proved.

Lemma 4.16. *If $A \in \mathcal{C}'_{J,\delta}$, then $A \in \mathcal{C}_{J,\delta}$.*

Let $\mathbf{t} = (J_n, w_n)_{n \geq 0}, r, C, X, X', \tilde{X}, \hat{X}$ be as in 4.6. We may assume that $A = \hat{X}$. Define $a_2 \in W^{\delta(J)}$ by $a_2^{-1} = w_n$ for $n \gg 0$. Now $X \dashv (pr_3)_! \spadesuit$ where $pr_3 : Y' \rightarrow C$ (notation of 4.11).

For $r' \in [0, r]$ we denote by $\vartheta_{r'} : {}^{\mathbf{t}_{r'}}Z_{J_{r'},\delta} \rightarrow {}^{\mathbf{t}_r}Z_{J_r,\delta}$ the appropriate composition of maps in 3.13(a). Consider the following statement.

$S_{r'}$. The simple perverse sheaf $\widetilde{\vartheta_{r'} X'}$ on ${}^{\mathbf{t}_{r'}}Z_{J_{r'},\delta}$ is a composition factor of $\oplus_i {}^p H^i((\xi'_{\mathbf{t}_{r'},a_2 b_{r'}})_! \spadesuit)$ for some $b_{r'} \in W_{\delta(J_\infty)}$.

Using the commutative diagram in 4.11 with \mathbf{t} replaced by \mathbf{t}_r , we see that S_r holds. If $0 < r' \leq r$ and if $S_{r'}$ holds then $S_{r'-1}$ holds (we use Lemma 4.15 with $\mathbf{t}, \mathbf{t}_1, J, J_1$ replaced by $\mathbf{t}_{r'-1}, \mathbf{t}_{r'}, J_{r'-1}, J_{r'}$). Using this repeatedly, we see that S_0 holds. Thus, \tilde{X} (a simple perverse sheaf on ${}^{\mathbf{t}}Z_{J,\delta}$) is a composition factor of $\oplus_i {}^p H^i((\xi'_{\mathbf{t},a_2 b})_! \spadesuit)$ for some $b \in W_{\delta(J_\infty)}$. Let $\pi : Y_x \rightarrow Z_{J,\delta}$ be as in 4.1 (with $x = a_2 b$). By Lemma 4.14, π factors through a map $\pi' : Y_x \rightarrow {}^{\mathbf{t}}Z_{J,\delta}$ and $\tilde{X} \dashv \pi'_! \spadesuit$. Thus there exists a simple perverse sheaf on $Z_{J,\delta}$ whose support is the closure in $Z_{J,\delta}$ of $\text{supp } \tilde{X}$, whose restriction to ${}^{\mathbf{t}}Z_{J,\delta}$ is \tilde{X} and which is a composition factor of $\oplus_i {}^p H^i(\pi_! \spadesuit)$; this is necessarily \hat{X} . We see that $\hat{X} \in \mathcal{C}_{J,\delta}$. The lemma is proved.

5. CENTRAL CHARACTER

5.1. We preserve the setup of 3.1. Let $J \subset I$. The following result is similar to [L4, 11.3].

Lemma 5.2. *Let $\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{L}' \in \mathcal{S}(T)$, $x \in W_{\mathcal{L}}^1$, $x' \in W_{\mathcal{L}'}^1$. Then $x \in W_{\mathcal{L}^{-1}}^1$. Assume that $\mathcal{L}' \not\cong \text{Ad}(w)^* \mathcal{L}$ for any $w \in W_J$. Then $H_c^i(Z_{J,\delta}, K_x^{\mathcal{L}^{-1}} \otimes K_{x'}^{\mathcal{L}'}) = 0$ for all $i \in \mathbf{Z}$. Then*

An equivalent statement is $\oplus_i H_c^i(Y_x \times_{Z_{J,\delta}} Y_{x'}, \widetilde{\mathcal{L}^{-1}} \boxtimes \tilde{\mathcal{L}}') = 0$ (notation of 4.1). Here the fibre product is taken with respect to the maps $Y_x \rightarrow Z_{J,\delta} \leftarrow Y_{x'}$ as in 4.1. This fibre product is the set of all $(B_1, B_2, B_3, B_4, g, g')$ in $\mathcal{B}^4 \times G^1 \times G^1$ such that ${}^g B_1 = B_2, {}^{g'} B_3 = B_4, \text{pos}(B_1, B_2) = x, \text{pos}(B_3, B_4) = x', B_1, B_3$ are contained in the same parabolic P of type J and $g^{-1}g' \in U_P$. We partition this set into locally closed pieces $Z_w (w \in W_J)$; here Z_w is defined by the condition $\text{pos}(B_1, B_3) = w$. The restriction of $\widetilde{\mathcal{L}^{-1}} \boxtimes \tilde{\mathcal{L}}'$ to a subvariety of the fibre product is denoted in the same way. It suffices to show that $H_c^i(Z_w, \widetilde{\mathcal{L}^{-1}} \boxtimes \tilde{\mathcal{L}}') = 0$ for all $w \in W_J$. For fixed $w \in W_J$, we have an obvious map ζ from Z_w to

$$\{(B_1, B_2, B_3, B_4) \in \mathcal{B}^4; \text{pos}(B_1, B_2) = x, \text{pos}(B_3, B_4) = x', \text{pos}(B_1, B_3) = w, \\ \text{pos}(B_2, B_4) = \delta(w)\}.$$

Using the Leray spectral sequence of ζ we see that it suffices to show that H_c^i of any fibre of ζ with coefficient in the local system above is 0. Let

$$\Psi = \zeta^{-1}(g_1 B^*, g_2 B^*, g_3 B^*, g_4 B^*)$$

where $g_1, g_2, g_3, g_4 \in G$. We can find $g_0 \in G^1$ such that ${}^{g_0 g_1} B^* = {}^{g_2} B^*, {}^{g_0 g_3} B^* = {}^{g_4} B^*$. We can assume that $g_0 g_1 = g_2, g_0 g_3 = g_4$. A point in Ψ is completely determined by its (g, g') -component. Thus we may identify Ψ with the set of all $(g, g') \in G^1 \times G^1$ such that ${}^{g g_1} B^* = {}^{g_0 g_1} B^*, {}^{g' g_3} B^* = {}^{g_0 g_3} B^*$ and $g^{-1}g' \in U_P$ where $P \in \mathcal{P}_J$ contains ${}^{g_1} B^*$. Thus we may identify

$$\Psi = \{(g, u) \in G^1 \times U_P; g_0^{-1}g \in {}^{g_1} B^* \cap {}^{g_3} B^*\}$$

where P is as above. Here $g_1, g_3 \in G$ are fixed such that $\text{pos}(B^*, {}^{g_1^{-1}g_3} B^*) = w$. Define $\tau : \Psi \rightarrow T$ by $\widetilde{g_1^{-1}g_0^{-1}gg_1} \in \tau(g, u)U_{B^*}$ (an affine space bundle). One checks that the local system $\widetilde{\mathcal{L}^{-1}} \boxtimes \tilde{\mathcal{L}}'$ on Ψ is $\tau^*(\mathcal{L}^{-1} \otimes \text{Ad}(w^{-1})^* \mathcal{L}')$. It then suffices to show that $H_c^i(T, \mathcal{L}^{-1} \otimes \text{Ad}(w^{-1})^* \mathcal{L}') = 0$ for all i . This follows from the fact that $\mathcal{L}^{-1} \otimes \text{Ad}(w^{-1})^* \mathcal{L}' \in \mathcal{S}(T)$ is not isomorphic to $\bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l$. The lemma is proved.

5.3. From Lemma 5.2 we deduce as in [L4, p.268] that there is a well defined map $\mathcal{C}_{J,\delta} \rightarrow \{W_J\text{-orbits in } \mathcal{S}(T)\}, A \mapsto (W_J\text{-orbit of } \mathcal{L})$ where $A \in \mathcal{C}_{J,\delta}^{\mathcal{L}}$.

6. THE FUNCTORS $f_{J'}^J, e_J^{J'}$

6.1. We preserve the setup of 3.1. Let $J \subset J' \subset I$. Let $Z_{J,J',\delta}$ be the set of all triples (P, P', gU_Q) where $(P, P') \in \mathcal{P}_J \times \mathcal{P}_{\delta(J)}$, $Q \in \mathcal{P}_{J'}$ is given by $P \subset Q$ and $gU_Q \in G^1/U_Q$ is such that ${}^gP = P'$ (the last condition is well defined since $U_Q \subset U_P$). Consider the diagram

$$Z_{J,\delta} \xleftarrow{c} Z_{J,J',\delta} \xrightarrow{d} Z_{J',\delta}$$

where $c(P, P', gU_Q) = (P, P', gU_P)$ and $d(P, P', gU_Q) = (Q, Q', gU_Q)$ (with Q as above and $Q' = {}^gQ$). Define

$$f_{J'}^J : \mathcal{D}(Z_{J,\delta}) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}(Z_{J',\delta}), e_J^{J'} : \mathcal{D}(Z_{J',\delta}) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}(Z_{J,\delta})$$

by $f_{J'}^J(A) = d_! c^* A$, $e_J^{J'}(A') = c_! d^*(A')$.

Lemma 6.2. *For $J \subset J' \subset J'' \subset I$ we have $f_{J''}^{J'} f_{J'}^J = f_{J''}^J$, $e_J^{J'} e_{J'}^{J''} = e_J^{J''}$.*

We have a diagram

$$Z_{J,\delta} \xleftarrow{c} Z_{J,J',\delta} \xrightarrow{d} Z_{J',\delta} \xleftarrow{c'} Z_{J',J'',\delta} \xrightarrow{d'} Z_{J'',\delta}$$

where c, d are as in 6.1 and c', d' are analogous to c, d . We have a cartesian diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Z_{J,J'',\delta} & \xrightarrow{d''} & Z_{J',J'',\delta} \\ c'' \downarrow & & c' \downarrow \\ Z_{J,J',\delta} & \xrightarrow{d} & Z_{J',\delta} \end{array}$$

where c'', d'' are the obvious maps. Using the change of basis theorem we have

$$\begin{aligned} f_{J''}^{J'} f_{J'}^J &= d'_! c'^* d_! c^* = d'_! d''_! c''^* c^* = (d' d'')_! (c c'')^* = f_{J''}^J, \\ e_J^{J'} e_{J'}^{J''} &= c_! d^* c'_! d'^* = c_! c'_! d''^* d'^* = (c c')_! (d' d'')^* = e_J^{J''}. \end{aligned}$$

The lemma is proved.

6.3. For $J \subset I$, let $\mathcal{D}_0(Z_{J,\delta})$ be the full subcategory of $\mathcal{D}(Z_{J,\delta})$ whose objects are the $A \in \mathcal{D}(Z_{J,\delta})$ such that any composition factor of $\oplus_i {}^p H^i(A)$ belongs to $\mathcal{C}_{J,\delta}$.

Lemma 6.4. *For $J \subset J' \subset I$ and $A \in \mathcal{D}_0(Z_{J,\delta})$, we have $f_{J'}^J(A) \in \mathcal{D}_0(Z_{J',\delta})$.*

We may assume that $A \in \mathcal{C}_{J,\delta}$. Then there exists $A_0 \in \mathcal{C}_{\emptyset,\delta}$ such that $A \dashv f_J^\emptyset(A_0)$. By the decomposition theorem [BBD], $f_J^\emptyset(A_0)$ is a semisimple complex, hence some shift of A is a direct summand of $f_J^\emptyset(A_0)$. Hence $f_{J'}^J(A)$ is a direct summand of $f_{J'}^J f_J^\emptyset(A_0) = f_{J'}^\emptyset(A_0)$. Using the definitions and the decomposition theorem, we see that $f_{J'}^\emptyset(A_0)$ is a direct sum of shifts of objects in $\mathcal{C}_{J',\delta}$. In particular, $f_{J'}^\emptyset(A_0) \in \mathcal{D}_0(Z_{J',\delta})$. Since $f_{J'}^J(A)$ is a direct summand of $f_{J'}^\emptyset(A_0)$, we must have $f_{J'}^J(A) \in \mathcal{D}_0(Z_{J',\delta})$. The lemma is proved.

Lemma 6.5. *Let $J \subset I$. If $A \in \mathcal{D}_0(Z_{J,\delta})$, then $e_\emptyset^J(A) \in \mathcal{D}_0(Z_{\emptyset,\delta})$.*

We can assume that $A = \bar{K}_x^\mathcal{L}$ where \mathcal{L}, x are as in 4.1. Using the known relationship between $\bar{K}_x^\mathcal{L}, K_x^\mathcal{L}$ (compare [L4, 12.7]) we may assume that $A = K_x^\mathcal{L}$. For simplicity we assume also that $\mathcal{L} = \bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l$. Thus, $A = K_x^{\bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l}$ where $x \in W$. Let

$$Z = \{(B, B', B_1, B'_1, g) \in \mathcal{B}^4 \times G^1; {}^gB = B', {}^gB_1 = B'_1, \\ \text{pos}(B, B') = x, \text{pos}(B, B_1) \in W_J\}.$$

Define $\phi : Z \rightarrow Z_{\emptyset,\delta}$ by $\phi(B, B', B_1, B'_1, g) = (B_1, B'_1, gU_{B_1})$. It suffices to show that $\phi_! \bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l \in \mathcal{D}_0(Z_{\emptyset,\delta})$. For any $z \in W_J$ let

$$Z^z = \{(B, B', B_1, B'_1, g) \in Z; \text{pos}(B, B_1) = z\}.$$

Now $(Z^z)_{z \in W_J}$ is a partition of Z into locally closed subvarieties. Let $\phi^z : Z^z \rightarrow Z_{\emptyset,\delta}$ be the restriction of ϕ . It suffices to show that $\phi_!^z \bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l \in \mathcal{D}_0(Z_{\emptyset,\delta})$. Consider the partition $Z_{\emptyset,\delta} = \sqcup_{w \in W} Z_{\emptyset,\delta}^w$ where

$$Z_{\emptyset,\delta}^w = \{(B_1, B'_1, gU_{B_1}) \in Z_{\emptyset,\delta}; \text{pos}(B_1, B'_1) = w\}.$$

It suffices to show that for any w , the restriction of $\phi_!^z \bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l$ to $Z_{\emptyset,\delta}^w$ has cohomology sheaves which are local systems with all composition factors isomorphic to $\bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l$. Let us fix $(B_1, B'_1) \in \mathcal{B} \times \mathcal{B}$ such that $\text{pos}(B_1, B'_1) = w$. It suffices to show that the restriction of $\phi_!^z \bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l$ to $\{(B_1, B'_1, gU_{B_1}); g \in G^1, {}^gB_1 = B'_1\}$ has cohomology sheaves which are local systems with all composition factors isomorphic to $\bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l$. Let T_1 be a maximal torus of $B_1 \cap B'_1$. We can find $\zeta \in G^1, h \in G$ such that

$$\zeta B_1 = B'_1, \zeta T_1 = T_1, {}^hT_1 = T_1, \text{pos}({}^hB_1, B_1) = z.$$

Let

$$Z' = \{(B, u, t) \in \mathcal{B} \times U_{B_1} \times T_1; \text{pos}(B, {}^{\zeta tu}B) = x, \text{pos}(B, B_1) = z\}.$$

Consider the projection $pr'_3 : Z' \rightarrow T_1$. We must show that $(pr'_3)_! \bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l \in \mathcal{D}(T_1)$ has cohomology sheaves which are local systems with all composition factors isomorphic to $\bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l$. Let

$$Z'' = \{(v, u, t) \in U_{B_1} \times U_{B_1} \times T_1; \text{pos}({}^{vh}B_1, {}^{\zeta tuv^h}B_1) = x\}.$$

Define $Z'' \rightarrow Z'$ by $(v, u, t) \mapsto ({}^{vh}B_1, u, t)$ (an affine space bundle). Consider the projection $pr''_3 : Z'' \rightarrow T_1$. It suffices to show that $(pr''_3)_! \bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l \in \mathcal{D}(T_1)$ has cohomology sheaves which are local systems that are direct sums of copies of $\bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l$. We make the change of variable $(v, u, t) \mapsto (v, u', t)$ where $u' = tuv t^{-1}$. Since $th \in {}^hB_1$, Z'' becomes $\tilde{Z} \times T_1$ where

$$\tilde{Z} = \{(v, u') \in U_{B_1} \times U_{B_1}; \text{pos}({}^{vh}B_1, {}^{\zeta u'^h}B_1) = x\}$$

and pr''_3 becomes the second projection $\tilde{Z} \times T_1 \rightarrow T_1$. The desired conclusion follows. The case where $\mathcal{L} \not\cong \bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l$ is treated similarly (compare with [L7, 2.2]).

Lemma 6.6. *Let $J \subset I$. If $A \in \mathcal{D}(Z_{J,\delta})$, then some shift of A is a direct summand of $f_J^\emptyset e_\emptyset^J(A)$.*

The argument in this proof is inspired by one in [G, 8.5.1]. Let

$$\mathcal{V} = \{(Q, hU_Q); Q \in \mathcal{P}_J, hU_Q \in Q/U_Q\}.$$

Define $m : Z_{J,\delta} \times_{\mathcal{P}_J} \mathcal{V} \rightarrow Z_{J,\delta}$ by $m((P, hU_P), (P, P', gU_P)) = (P, P', ghU_P)$. For $X \in \mathcal{D}(Z_{J,\delta})$, $C \in \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{V})$ we set $X \circ C = m_!(X \boxtimes C) \in \mathcal{D}(Z_{J,\delta})$.

Let $\mathcal{V}_0 = \{(Q, hU_Q) \in \mathcal{V}; h \in U_Q\}$ and let $j : \mathcal{V}_0 \rightarrow \mathcal{V}$ be the inclusion. Let $\tilde{\mathcal{V}}$ be the set of all pairs (B, hU_Q) where $B \in \mathcal{B}$ and $hU_Q \in U_B/U_Q$ (with $Q \in \mathcal{P}_J$ given by $B \subset Q$). Define $\pi : \tilde{\mathcal{V}} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}$ by $\pi(B, hU_Q) = (Q, hU_Q)$. Clearly, the lemma is a consequence of (a), (b), (c) below.

$$(a) A \circ (j_! \bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l) = A,$$

$$(b) A \circ (\pi_! \bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l) = f_J^\emptyset e_\emptyset^J(A),$$

$$(c) j_! \bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l[n] \text{ is a direct summand of } p_! \bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l \text{ for some } n.$$

Now (a) is obvious. We prove (b). We have $f_J^\emptyset e_\emptyset^J(A) = d_! c^* c_! d^*(A)$ where

$$Z_{\emptyset,\delta} \xleftarrow{c} Z_{\emptyset,J,\delta} \xrightarrow{d} Z_{J,\delta}$$

are defined by

$$c(B, B', gU_P) = (B, B', gU_B), \quad d(B, B', gU_P) = (P, P', gU_P)$$

(with $P \in \mathcal{P}_J, P' \in \mathcal{P}_{\delta(J)}$ given by $B \subset P, B' \subset P'$). Let Z' be the set of all quadruples $(B, B', gU_P, g'U_P)$ where $(B, B') \in \mathcal{B} \times \mathcal{B}, P \in \mathcal{P}_J$ is given by $B \subset P$ and $gU_P, g'U_P \in G^1/U_P$ are such that ${}^g B = B'$ and $gU_B = g'U_B$. Let b, b' be the projections $pr_{123} : Z' \rightarrow Z_{\emptyset,J,\delta}, pr_{124} : Z' \rightarrow Z_{\emptyset,J,\delta}$. Define $a, a' : Z' \rightarrow Z_{J,\delta}$ by

$$a(B, B', gU_P, g'U_P) = (P, P', gU_P), \quad a'(B, B', gU_P, g'U_P) = (P, P', g'U_P),$$

where P, P' are as above. Then $a = db, a' = db'$. By the change of basis theorem we have $c^* c_! = b'_! b^*$ hence

$$f_J^\emptyset e_\emptyset^J(A) = d_! b'_! b^* d^*(A) = (db')_! (db)^*(A) = a'_! a^*(A).$$

Let Z'' be the set of all triples (B, gU_P, hU_P) where $B \in \mathcal{B}, P \in \mathcal{P}_J$ is given by $B \subset P, gU_P \in G^1/U_P$ and $h \in U_B$. Define $\tilde{a}, \tilde{a}' : Z'' \rightarrow Z_{J,\delta}$ by

$$\tilde{a}(B, gU_P, hU_P) = (P, {}^g P, gU_P), \quad \tilde{a}'(B, gU_P, hU_P) = (P, {}^g P, ghU_P)$$

with P as above. From the definitions we have $A \circ (\pi_! \bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l) = \tilde{a}'_! \tilde{a}^*(A)$. The isomorphism

$$\iota : Z'' \xrightarrow{\sim} Z', \quad (B, gU_P, hU_P) \mapsto (B, {}^g B, gU_P, ghU_P)$$

satisfies $a\iota = \tilde{a}$, $a'\iota = \tilde{a}'$. Thus, $\tilde{a}'\tilde{a}^*(A) = a'_!\iota_!\iota^*a^*(A) = a'_!a^*(A)$ and (b) is proved.

We prove (c). Let $Q \in \mathcal{P}_J$. Let

$$\widetilde{Q/U_Q} = \{(B, hU_Q); B \in \mathcal{B}, B \subset Q, hU_Q \in U_B/U_Q\}.$$

Let $\pi' : \widetilde{Q/U_Q} \rightarrow Q/U_Q$ be the second projection. It is known that π' is a semismall map onto its image (the set of unipotent elements in Q/U_Q) and that $\pi'_!\bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l$ contains as a direct summand a shift of the skyscraper sheaf of Q/U_Q at the unit element of Q/U_Q . Using G -equivariance, we see that a shift of $j_!\bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l$ is a direct summand of $\pi_!\bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l$. This proves (c). The lemma is proved.

Proposition 6.7. (a) Let $J \subset I$. Let $A \in \mathcal{D}(Z_{J,\delta})$. We have $A \in \mathcal{D}_0(Z_{J,\delta})$ if and only if $e_\emptyset^J(A) \in \mathcal{D}_0(Z_{\emptyset,\delta})$.

(b) Let $J \subset J' \subset I$. If $A' \in \mathcal{D}_0(Z_{J',\delta})$ then $e_J^{J'}(A') \in \mathcal{D}_0(Z_{J,\delta})$.

We prove (a). If $A \in \mathcal{D}_0(Z_{J,\delta})$ then $e_\emptyset^J(A) \in \mathcal{D}_0(Z_{\emptyset,\delta})$ by Lemma 6.5. Conversely, assume that $e_\emptyset^J(A) \in \mathcal{D}_0(Z_{\emptyset,\delta})$. Using Lemma 6.4, we have $f_J^\emptyset e_\emptyset^J(A) \in \mathcal{D}_0(Z_{J,\delta})$. Using this and Lemma 6.6, we see that $A \in \mathcal{D}_0(Z_{J,\delta})$.

We prove (b). Applying (a) to $A = e_J^{J'}(A')$ we see that it suffices to show that $e_\emptyset^J e_J^{J'}(A') \in \mathcal{D}_0(Z_{\emptyset,\delta})$ or equivalently (see Lemma 6.2) that $e_\emptyset^{J'}(A') \in \mathcal{D}_0(Z_{\emptyset,\delta})$. But this follows from Lemma 6.5.

Corollary 6.8. Let $J \subset I$. Let A be a character sheaf on G^1 . Then $e_J^I(A) \in \mathcal{D}_0(Z_{J,\delta})$.

7. CHARACTERISTIC FUNCTIONS

7.1. We preserve the setup of 3.1. Assume that \mathbf{k}, \mathbf{F}_q are as in 1.2 and that we are given an \mathbf{F}_q -rational structure on \hat{G} with Frobenius map $F : \hat{G} \rightarrow \hat{G}$ such that G^1 is defined over \mathbf{F}_q . Now F induces on the Weyl group W an automorphism denoted by $F : W \rightarrow W$; it commutes with $\delta : W \rightarrow W$ and it carries I onto itself. Let $J \subset I$ be such that $F(J) = J$. Then $\mathcal{P}_J, \mathcal{P}_{\delta(J)}$ are defined over \mathbf{F}_q and $Z_{J,\delta}$ is defined over \mathbf{F}_q with corresponding Frobenius map $F : Z_{J,\delta} \rightarrow Z_{J,\delta}$ given by $F(P, P', gU_P) = (F(P), F(P'), F(g)U_{F(P)})$. The natural G -action on $Z_{J,\delta}$ (see 3.11) restricts to a $G(\mathbf{F}_q)$ -action on $Z_{J,\delta}(\mathbf{F}_q)$. Let $\mathcal{E}_{J,\delta}$ be the vector space of all functions $Z_{J,\delta}(\mathbf{F}_q) \rightarrow \bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l$ that are constant on the orbits of $G(\mathbf{F}_q)$.

7.2. If X is an algebraic variety over \mathbf{k} with a fixed \mathbf{F}_q -structure and with Frobenius map $F : X \rightarrow X$ and if we are given $K \in \mathcal{D}(X)$ together with an isomorphism $\phi : F^*K \rightarrow K$, we denote by $\chi_{K,\phi} : X(\mathbf{F}_q) \rightarrow \bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l$ the corresponding characteristic function; for $x \in X(\mathbf{F}_q)$, $\chi_{K,\phi}(x)$ is the alternating sum over $i \in \mathbf{Z}$ of the trace of the map induced by ϕ on the stalk at x of the i -th cohomology sheaf of K .

7.3. In the setting of 7.1, let $\mathcal{C}_{J,\delta}^F = \{A \in \mathcal{C}_{J,\delta}; F^*A \cong A\}$. For any $A \in \mathcal{C}_{J,\delta}^F$ we choose an isomorphism $\phi_A : F^*A \rightarrow A$. (It is unique up to multiplication by an

element in $\bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l^*$.) Then $\chi_{A,\phi_A} : Z_{J,\delta}^F \rightarrow \bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l$ is constant on the orbits of $G(\mathbf{F}_q)$ since A is G -equivariant.

Define $F : \mathcal{T}(J, \delta) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{T}(J, \delta)$ by $F((J_n, w_n)_{n \geq 0}) = (F(J_n), F(w_n))_{n \geq 0}$. Clearly, $F : Z_{J,\delta} \rightarrow Z_{J,\delta}$ carries ${}^t Z_{J,\delta}$ onto ${}^F({}^t Z_{J,\delta})$. In particular, ${}^t Z_{J,\delta}$ is F -stable if and only if $\mathbf{t} \in \mathcal{T}(J, \delta)^F$ (that is, $F(\mathbf{t}) = \mathbf{t}$). For any $\mathbf{t} \in \mathcal{T}(J, \delta)^F$, let $\mathcal{E}_{\mathbf{t},\delta}$ be the vector space of all functions ${}^t Z_{J,\delta}(\mathbf{F}_q) \rightarrow \bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l$ that are constant on the orbits of $G(\mathbf{F}_q)$. We may identify $\mathcal{E}_{\mathbf{t},\delta}$ with a subspace $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_{\mathbf{t},\delta}$ of $\mathcal{E}_{J,\delta}$ by associating to $f \in \mathcal{E}_{\mathbf{t},\delta}$ the function $\tilde{f} \in \mathcal{E}_{J,\delta}$ whose restriction to $\mathcal{E}_{\mathbf{t},\delta}$ is f and which is 0 on $Z_{J,\delta}(\mathbf{F}_q) - {}^t Z_{J,\delta}(\mathbf{F}_q)$. Clearly,

$$(a) \quad \mathcal{E}_{J,\delta} = \bigoplus_{\mathbf{t} \in \mathcal{T}(J,\delta)^F} \tilde{\mathcal{E}}(\mathbf{t}, \delta).$$

For $\mathbf{t} \in \mathcal{T}(J, \delta)^F$ let $\mathcal{C}'_{\mathbf{t},\delta}{}^F = \{A' \in \mathcal{C}'_{\mathbf{t},\delta}; F^* A' \cong A'\}$. For any $A' \in \mathcal{C}'_{\mathbf{t},\delta}{}^F$ we choose an isomorphism $\phi_{A'} : F^* A' \rightarrow A'$. (It is unique up to multiplication by an element in $\bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l^*$.) Then $\chi_{A',\phi_{A'}} : {}^t Z_{J,\delta}^F \rightarrow \bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l$ is constant on the orbits of $G(\mathbf{F}_q)$. Thus $\chi_{A',\phi_{A'}} \in \mathcal{E}(\mathbf{t}, \delta)$ and $\widetilde{\chi_{A',\phi_{A'}}} \in \tilde{\mathcal{E}}(\mathbf{t}, \delta) \subset \mathcal{E}(J, \delta)$.

Lemma 7.4. *Let $A \in \mathcal{C}_{J,\delta}^F$. Let $d = \dim \text{supp}(A)$. For any $\mathbf{t} \in \mathcal{T}(J, \delta)$ let ${}^t A = A|_{{}^t Z_{J,\delta}}$. Define $\mathbf{t}^0 \in \mathcal{T}(J, \delta)$, $A^0 \in \mathcal{C}'_{\mathbf{t},\delta}$ by ${}^t A = A^0$, ${}^t A = A^0$ (see Lemma 4.13). We have $\mathbf{t}^0 \in \mathcal{T}(J, \delta)^F$, $A^0 \in \mathcal{C}'_{\mathbf{t},\delta}{}^F$ and*

$$\chi_{A,\phi_A} = \lambda \widetilde{\chi_{A^0,\phi_{A^0}}} + \sum_{\mathbf{t} \in \mathcal{T}(J,\delta)^F, \mathbf{t} \neq \mathbf{t}^0} \sum_{A' \in \mathcal{C}'_{\mathbf{t},\delta}{}^F, \dim \text{supp}(A') < d} \lambda_{\mathbf{t},A'} \widetilde{\chi_{A',\phi_{A'}}}$$

where $\lambda_{\mathbf{t},A'} \in \bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l$, $\lambda \in \bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l^*$.

For any $\mathbf{t} \in \mathcal{T}(J, \delta)^F$, ϕ_A induces an isomorphism $F^*({}^t A) \rightarrow {}^t A$ denoted again by ϕ_A and we have

$$\chi_{A,\phi_A} = \sum_{\mathbf{t} \in \mathcal{T}(J,\delta)^F} \widetilde{\chi_{{}^t A,\phi_A}}.$$

For $\mathbf{t} = \mathbf{t}^0$ we have $\widetilde{\chi_{{}^t A,\phi_A}} = \lambda \widetilde{\chi_{A^0,\phi_{A^0}}}$ where $\lambda \in \bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l^*$. It remains to show that, for any $\mathbf{t} \in \mathcal{T}(J, \delta)^F - \{\mathbf{t}^0\}$, $\chi_{{}^t A,\phi_A}$ is a $\bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l$ -linear combination of functions $\chi_{A',\phi_{A'}}$ where $A' \in \mathcal{C}'_{\mathbf{t},\delta}{}^F$, $\dim \text{supp}(A') < d$. Clearly,

$$\chi_{{}^t A,\phi_A} = \sum_i (-1)^i \chi_{{}^p H^i({}^t A),\phi_A}$$

where the isomorphism $F^*({}^p H^i({}^t A)) \rightarrow {}^p H^i({}^t A)$ induced by ϕ_A is denoted again by ϕ_A . It then suffices to show that

(a) $\chi_{{}^p H^i({}^t A),\phi_A}$ is a $\bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l$ -linear combination of functions $\chi_{A',\phi_{A'}}$ where $A' \in \mathcal{C}'_{\mathbf{t},\delta}{}^F$, $\dim \text{supp}(A') < d$.

From Lemma 4.13 we see that $\dim \text{supp } {}^t A < d$. Hence $\dim \text{supp } {}^p H^i({}^t A) < d$ and any composition factor A' of ${}^p H^i({}^t A)$ has support of dimension $< d$. By Lemma 4.12, any such A' is in $\mathcal{C}'_{\mathbf{t},\delta}$ and (a) follows. The lemma is proved.

7.5. We consider the following statement.

(*) *The functions χ_{A,ϕ_A} where A runs over $\mathcal{C}_{J,\delta}^F$ form a $\bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l$ -basis of $\mathcal{E}_{J,\delta}$.*

In the case where $J = I$, so that $Z_{J,\delta} = G^1$, and assuming that the characteristic of \mathbf{k} is not too small, statement (*) appears without proof in [L6, 5.2.1] and with proof (when $G^1 = G$) in [L5, 25.2]. In the remainder of this section we assume that (*) holds when $J = I$ (for G and for groups of smaller dimension).

We show that (*) holds. By Lemma 7.4, the functions χ_{A,ϕ_A} are related to the functions $\widetilde{\chi_{A',\phi_{A'}}}$ (with $\mathbf{t} \in \mathcal{T}(J,\delta)^F$, $A' \in \mathcal{C}'_{\mathbf{t},\delta}{}^F$) by an upper triangular matrix with invertible entries on the diagonal. Hence it suffices to show that the functions $\widetilde{\chi_{A',\phi_{A'}}}$ (with $\mathbf{t} \in \mathcal{T}(J,\delta)^F$, $A' \in \mathcal{C}'_{\mathbf{t},\delta}{}^F$) form a $\bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l$ -basis of $\mathcal{E}_{J,\delta}$. Using 7.3(a), we see that it suffices to show that for any $\mathbf{t} \in \mathcal{T}(J,\delta)^F$, the functions $\chi_{A',\phi_{A'}}$ (with $A' \in \mathcal{C}'_{\mathbf{t},\delta}{}^F$) form a $\bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l$ -basis of $\mathcal{E}_{\mathbf{t},\delta}$. Using 3.14 and the definition of $\mathcal{C}'_{\mathbf{t},\delta}$ (see 4.6), we see that it suffices to prove the statement in the previous sentence when ${}^{\mathbf{t}}Z_{J,\delta}$ are replaced by ${}^{\mathbf{t}_r}Z_{J_r,\delta}$ (notation of 3.14) for r large. We may assume that $P, P', L_{\mathbf{t}}, C_{\mathbf{t}}$ (as in 3.14) are defined over \mathbf{F}_q . We are reduced to the statement that the characteristic functions of the character sheaves on $C_{\mathbf{t}}$ (relative to the \mathbf{F}_q -structure) form a $\bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l$ -basis for the space of $L_{\mathbf{t}}(\mathbf{F}_q)$ -invariant functions $C_{\mathbf{t}}(\mathbf{F}_q) \rightarrow \bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l$, which is part of our assumption.

REFERENCES

- [B] R.Bédard, *On the Brauer liftings for modular representations*, J.Algebra **93** (1985), 332-353.
- [BBB] A.A.Beilinson, J.Bernstein and P.Deligne, *Faisceaux pervers*, Astérisque **100** (1982).
- [DL] P.Deligne and G.Lusztig, *Representations of reductive groups over finite fields*, Ann. Math. **103** (1976), 103-161.
- [G] V.Ginzburg, *Admissible complexes on a symmetric space*, Astérisque **173-174** (1989), 199-255.
- [L1] G.Lusztig, *On the Green polynomials of classical groups*, Proc.Lond.Math.Soc. **33** (1976), 443-475.
- [L2] G.Lusztig, *On the finiteness of the number of unipotent classes*, Inv.Math. **34** (1976), 201-213.
- [L3] G.Lusztig, *Character sheaves, I*, Adv.Math. **56** (1985), 193-237.
- [L4] G.Lusztig, *Character sheaves, III*, Adv.Math. **57** (1985), 266-315.
- [L5] G.Lusztig, *Character sheaves, V*, Adv.Math. **61** (1986), 103-155.
- [L6] G.Lusztig, *Introduction to character sheaves*, Proc.Symp.Pure Math. **47** (1987), 165-179.
- [L7] G.Lusztig, *Green functions and character sheaves*, Ann.Math. **131** (1990), 355-408.
- [L8] G.Lusztig, *Hecke algebras with unequal parameters*, RT/0208154.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, M.I.T., CAMBRIDGE, MA 02139