

# ENVELOPING ALGEBRA $U(\mathfrak{gl}(3))$ AND ORTHOGONAL POLYNOMIALS IN SEVERAL DISCRETE INDETERMINATES

A. SERGEEV

**ABSTRACT.** Let  $A$  be an associative algebra over  $\mathbb{C}$  and  $L$  an invariant linear functional on it (trace). Let  $\omega$  be an involutive antiautomorphism of  $A$  such that  $L(\omega(a)) = L(a)$  for any  $a \in A$ . Then  $A$  admits a symmetric invariant bilinear form  $\langle a, b \rangle = L(a\omega(b))$ . For  $A = U(\mathfrak{sl}(2))/\mathfrak{m}$ , where  $\mathfrak{m}$  is any maximal ideal of  $U(\mathfrak{sl}(2))$ , Leites and I have constructed orthogonal basis whose elements turned out to be, essentially, Chebyshev and Hahn polynomials in one discrete variable.

Here I take  $A = U(\mathfrak{gl}(3))/\mathfrak{m}$  for the maximal ideals  $\mathfrak{m}$  which annihilate irreducible highest weight  $\mathfrak{gl}(3)$ -modules of particular form (generalizations of symmetric powers of the identity representation). In this way we obtain multivariable analogs of Hahn polynomials.

This paper appeared in: Duplij S., Wess J. (eds.) *Noncommutative structures in mathematics and physics*, Proc. NATO Advanced Research Workshop, Kiev, 2000. Kluwer, 2001, 113–124; I just want to make it more accessible.

## §1. BACKGROUND

**1.1. Lemma .** *Let  $A$  be an associative algebra generated by a set  $X$ . Denote by  $[X, A]$  the set of linear combinations of the form  $\sum [x_i, a_i]$ , where  $x_i \in X$ ,  $a_i \in A$ . Then  $[A, A] = [X, A]$ .*

*Proof.* Let us apply the identity ([Mo], p.561)

$$[ab, c] = [a, bc] + [b, ca]. \quad (1.1.1)$$

Namely, let  $a = x_1 \dots x_n$ ; let us induct on  $n$  to prove that  $[a, A] \subset [X, A]$ . For  $n = 1$  the statement is obvious. If  $n > 1$ , then  $a = xa_1$ , where  $x \in X$  and due to (1.1.1) we have

$$[a, c] = [xa_1, c] = [x, a_1c] + [a_1, cx]$$

□

**1.2. Lemma .** *Let  $A$  be an associative algebra and  $a \mapsto \omega(a)$  be its involutive antiautomorphism (transposition for  $A = \text{Mat}(n)$ ). Let  $L$  be an invariant functional on  $A$  (like trace, i.e.,  $L([A, A]) = 0$ ) such that  $L(\omega(a)) = L(a)$  for any  $a \in A$ . Define the bilinear form on  $A$  by setting*

$$\langle u, v \rangle = L(u\omega(v)) \text{ for any } u, v \in A. \quad (1.2.1)$$

*Then*

- i)  $\langle u, v \rangle = \langle v, u \rangle$ ;
- ii)  $\langle xu, v \rangle = \langle u, \omega(x)v \rangle$ ;

---

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 17B10 (Primary) 17B65, 33C45, 33C80 (Secondary).

*Key words and phrases.* Lie algebra, difference operator, orthogonal polynomial.

I am thankful to D. Leites for encouragement and help and to ESI, Vienna, for hospitality and support.

- iii)  $\langle ux, v \rangle = \langle u, v\omega(x) \rangle;$
- iv)  $\langle [x, u], v \rangle = \langle u, [\omega(x), v] \rangle.$

*Proof.* (Clearly, iii) is similar to ii)).

- i)  $\langle u, v \rangle = L(u\omega(v)) = L(\omega(u\omega(v))) = L(v\omega(u)) = \langle v, u \rangle.$
- ii)  $\langle xu, v \rangle = L(xu\omega(v)) = L(u\omega(v)x) = L(u\omega(\omega(x)v)) = \langle u, \omega(x)v \rangle.$
- iv)  $\langle [x, u], v \rangle = \langle xu, v \rangle - \langle ux, v \rangle$   
 $\langle u, \omega(x)v \rangle - \langle u, v\omega(x) \rangle = \langle u, [\omega(x), v] \rangle.$

□

**1.3. Traces and forms on  $U(\mathfrak{g})$ .** Let  $\mathfrak{g}$  be a finite dimensional Lie algebra,  $Z(\mathfrak{g})$  the center of  $U(\mathfrak{g})$ ,  $W$  the Weyl group of  $\mathfrak{g}$  and  $\mathfrak{h}$  a Cartan subalgebra of  $\mathfrak{g}$ . The following statements are proved in [Di].

**1.3.1. Proposition .** i)  $U(\mathfrak{g}) = Z(\mathfrak{g}) \oplus [U(\mathfrak{g}), U(\mathfrak{g})]$ .

ii) Let  $\sharp : Z(\mathfrak{g}) \oplus [U(\mathfrak{g}), U(\mathfrak{g})] \rightarrow Z(\mathfrak{g})$  be the natural projection. Then

$$(uv)^\sharp = (vu)^\sharp \text{ and } (zv)^\sharp = z(v)^\sharp \text{ for any } u, v \in U(\mathfrak{g}) \text{ and } z \in Z(\mathfrak{g}).$$

iii)  $U(\mathfrak{g}) = S(\mathfrak{h})^W \oplus [U(\mathfrak{g}), U(\mathfrak{g})]$ .

iv) Let  $\lambda$  be the highest weight of the irreducible finite dimensional  $\mathfrak{g}$ -module  $L^\lambda$  and  $\varphi$  the Harish-Chandra homomorphism. Then

$$\varphi(u^\sharp)(\lambda) = \frac{\text{tr}(u|_{L^\lambda})}{\dim L^\lambda}.$$

**1.3.2.** On  $U(\mathfrak{g})$ , define a form with values in  $Z(\mathfrak{g})$  by setting

$$\langle u, v \rangle = (u\omega(v))^\sharp, \tag{*}$$

where  $\omega$  is the Chevalley involution in  $U(\mathfrak{g})$ .

**Lemma .** The form  $(*)$  is nondegenerate on  $U(\mathfrak{g})$ .

*Proof.* Let  $\langle u, v \rangle = 0$  for any  $v \in U(\mathfrak{g})$ . By Proposition 1.3.1

$$\text{tr}(u\omega(v)) = \varphi((u\omega(v))^\sharp)(\lambda) \cdot \dim L(\lambda) = \varphi(\langle u, v \rangle)(\lambda) \cdot \dim L(\lambda) = 0;$$

hence,  $u = 0$  on  $L(\lambda)$  for any irreducible finite dimensional  $L(\lambda)$ , and, therefore,  $u = 0$  in  $U(\mathfrak{g})$ . □

**1.3.3. Lemma .** For any  $\lambda \in \mathfrak{h}^*$  define a  $\mathbb{C}$ -valued form on  $U(\mathfrak{g})$  by setting

$$\langle u, v \rangle_\lambda = \varphi(\langle u, v \rangle)(\lambda).$$

The kernel of this form is a maximal ideal in  $U(\mathfrak{g})$ .

*Proof.* The form  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_\lambda$  arises from a linear functional  $L(u) = \varphi(u^\sharp)(\lambda)$ ; hence, by Lemma 1.2 its kernel is a twosided ideal  $I$  in  $U(\mathfrak{g})$ . On  $A = U(\mathfrak{g})/I$ , the form induced is nondegenerate. If  $z \in Z(\mathfrak{g})$ , then

$$\langle z, v \rangle_\lambda = L(z\omega(v)) = L(z)L(\omega(v));$$

hence,  $z - L(z) \in I$ . Therefore, the only  $\mathfrak{g}$ -invariant elements in  $A$  are those from  $\text{Span}(1)$ .

Let  $J$  be a twosided nontrivial ( $\neq A, 0$ ) ideal in  $A$  and  $J = \bigoplus_{\mu} J^{\mu}$  be the decomposition into irreducible finite dimensional  $\mathfrak{g}$ -modules (with respect to the adjoint representation). Since  $J \neq A$ , it follows that  $J^0 = 0$ . Hence,  $L(J) = 0$  and  $\langle J, A \rangle$ . Thus,  $J = 0$ .  $\square$

**1.4. Gelfand–Tsetlin basis and transvector algebras.** (For recapitulation on transvector algebras see [Zh].)

Let  $E_{ij}$  be the matrix units. In  $\mathfrak{gl}(3)$ , we fix the subalgebra  $\mathfrak{gl}(2)$  embedded into the left upper corner and let  $\mathfrak{h}$  denote the Cartan subalgebra of  $\mathfrak{gl}(3) = \text{Span}(E_{ii} : i = 1, 2, 3)$ .

There is a one-to-one correspondence between finite dimensional irreducible representations of  $\mathfrak{gl}(3)$  and the sets

$$(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3) \text{ such that } \lambda_1 - \lambda_2, \lambda_2 - \lambda_3 \in \mathbb{Z}_+.$$

Such sets are called highest weights of the corresponding irreducible representation whose space is denoted  $L^{\lambda}$ . With each such  $\lambda$  we associate a Gelfand–Tsetlin diagram  $\Lambda$ :

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \lambda_{31} & & \lambda_{32} & & \lambda_{33} \\ & \lambda_{21} & & \lambda_{22} & \\ & & \lambda_{11} & & \end{array}, \quad (1.4.1)$$

where the upper line coincides with  $\lambda$  and where “betweenness” conditions hold:

$$\lambda_{k,i} - \lambda_{k-1,i} \in \mathbb{Z}_+; \quad \lambda_{k-1,i} - \lambda_{k,i+1} \in \mathbb{Z}_+ \text{ for any } i = 1, 2; \quad k = 2, 3. \quad (1.4.2)$$

Set

$$\begin{aligned} z_{21} &= E_{21}, \quad z_{12} = E_{12}; \quad z_{13} = E_{13}, \quad z_{32} = E_{32}; \\ z_{31} &= (E_{11} - E_{22} + 2)E_{31}, \quad z_{23} = (E_{11} - E_{22} + 2)E_{23} - E_{21}E_{13}. \end{aligned} \quad (1.4.3)$$

Set  $(L^{\lambda})^+ = \text{Span}(u : u \in L^{\lambda}, E_{12}u = 0)$ .

**1.4.1. Theorem .** (see [Mo]) *Let  $v$  be a nonzero highest weight vector in  $L^{\lambda}$ , and  $\Lambda$  a Gelfand–Tsetlin diagram. Set*

$$v_{\Lambda} = z_{21}^{\lambda_{21}-\lambda_{11}} z_{31}^{\lambda_{31}-\lambda_{21}} z_{32}^{\lambda_{32}-\lambda_{22}} v$$

and let  $l_{ki} = \lambda_{ki} - i + 1$ . Then

- i) *The vectors  $v_{\Lambda}$  parametrized by Gelfand–Tsetlin diagrams form a basis in  $L^{\lambda}$ .*
- ii) *The  $\mathfrak{gl}(3)$ -action on vectors  $v_{\Lambda}$  is given by the following formulas*

$$E_{11}v_{\Lambda} = \lambda_{11}v_{\Lambda};$$

$$E_{22}v_{\Lambda} = (\lambda_{21} + \lambda_{22} - \lambda_{11})v_{\Lambda};$$

$$E_{33}v_{\Lambda} = \left( \sum_{i=1}^3 \lambda_{3i} - \sum_{j=1}^2 \lambda_{2j} \right) v_{\Lambda};$$

$$E_{12}v_{\Lambda} = -(l_{11} - l_{21})(l_{11} - l_{22})v_{\Lambda+\delta_{11}};$$

$$E_{21}v_{\Lambda} = v_{\Lambda-\delta_{11}};$$

$$E_{23}v_{\Lambda} = -\frac{(l_{21} - l_{31})(l_{21} - l_{32})(l_{21} - l_{33})}{(l_{21} - l_{22})}v_{\Lambda+\delta_{11}} - \frac{(l_{22} - l_{31})(l_{22} - l_{32})(l_{22} - l_{33})}{(l_{22} - l_{21})}v_{\Lambda+\delta_{22}};$$

$$E_{32}v_{\Lambda} = \frac{(l_{21} - l_{11})}{(l_{21} - l_{22})}v_{\Lambda-\delta_{11}} + \frac{(l_{22} - l_{11})}{(l_{22} - l_{21})}v_{\Lambda-\delta_{22}},$$

where  $\Lambda \pm \delta_{ki}$  is obtained from  $\Lambda$  by replacing  $\lambda_{ki}$  with  $\lambda_{ki} \pm 1$  and we assume that  $v_\Lambda = 0$  if  $\Lambda$  does not satisfy conditions on GTs-diagrams.

iii) The vectors  $v_\Lambda$  corresponding to the GTs-diagrams with  $\lambda_{21} = \lambda_{11}$  form a basis of  $(L^\lambda)^+$ .

**1.5.1. Twisted generalized Weyl algebras.** Recall definition of twisted generalized Weyl algebras introduced in [MT]. Let  $R$  be a commutative algebra over  $\mathbb{C}$ ,  $\Gamma$  a finite nonoriented tree with  $\Gamma_0$  being the set of its vertices and  $\Gamma_1$  that of edges. Let also  $\{\sigma_i : i \in \Gamma_0\}$  be a set of pairwise commuting automorphisms of  $R$  and  $\{t_i : i \in \Gamma_0\}$  the set of nonzero elements from  $R$  satisfying the following conditions:

$$\begin{aligned} t_i t_j &= \sigma_i^{-1}(t_j) \sigma_j^{-1}(t_i) \text{ if } (i, j) \in \Gamma_1, \\ \sigma_i(t_j) &= t_j \text{ if } (i, j) \notin \Gamma_1. \end{aligned} \tag{1.5.1}$$

**Lemma .** ([MT]) *Let  $\mathfrak{A}'$  be the algebra generated by  $X_i, Y_i : i \in \Gamma_0$  subject to the relations (for any  $r \in R$ )*

- 1)  $X_i r = \sigma_i(r) X_i$ ,
- 2)  $Y_i r = \sigma_i^{-1}(r) Y_i$ ,
- 3)  $X_i Y_j = Y_j X_i$  if  $i \neq j$ ,
- 4)  $Y_i X_i = t_i$ ,
- 5)  $X_i Y_i = \sigma_i(t_i)$ .

*Then  $\mathfrak{A}' \neq 0$ ,  $\mathfrak{A}'$  is  $\mathbb{Z}$ -graded, and among homogeneous (with respect to the grading) twosided ideals of  $\mathfrak{A}'$  whose intersection with  $R$  is trivial is a maximal one,  $I$ .*

The quotient  $\mathfrak{A} = \mathfrak{A}'/I$  is called the *twisted generalized Weyl algebra*.

**1.5.2. Example.** Let  $\gamma$  be the Dynkin graph for the root system  $A_{n-1}$ . Let  $V$  be an  $n$ -dimensional vector space with basis  $e_1, \dots, e_n$ , and let  $\varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_n$  be the dual basis of  $V^*$ . Let  $T = \{\varepsilon_i - \varepsilon_j\}$  be the root system of  $A_{n-1}$  in  $V^*$  and  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{n-1}$  the system of simple roots. Set  $R = S(V)$  and for  $h \in V$  define:

$$\sigma_i(h) = h - \alpha_i(h) \text{ for } i = 1, 2, \dots, n-1$$

and having extending  $\sigma_i$  to an automorphism of  $R$ . Clearly, the  $\sigma_i$  pairwise commute for  $i \in \Gamma_0$ . In  $V$ , select vectors  $h_1, \dots, h_{n-1}$  such that  $\alpha_i(h_j) = \delta_{ij}$  and for an arbitrary collection  $f_1, \dots, f_{n-1}$  of polynomials in one indeterminate set

$$\begin{aligned} t_1(v) &= f_1(h_1) f_2(h_2 - h_1), \quad t_2(v) = f_2(h_2 - h_1 + 1) f_3(h_3 - h_2), \dots, \\ t_{n-1}(v) &= f_{n-1}(h_{n-1} - h_{n-2} + 1) f_n(h_{n-1}). \end{aligned}$$

It is not difficult to verify that conditions (1.5.1) are satisfied.

## §2. FORMULATIONS OF MAIN RESULTS

**2.1. Modules  $S^\alpha(V)$ .** Let  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{gl}(3)$  be the Lie algebra of  $3 \times 3$  matrices over  $\mathbb{C}$ . For any  $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$  denote by  $S^\alpha(V)$  the irreducible  $\mathfrak{g}$ -module with highest weight  $(\alpha, 0, 0)$ .

If  $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ , then  $S^\alpha(V)$  is the usual  $\alpha$ -th symmetric power of the identity  $\mathfrak{g}$ -module  $V$ . Namely:

$$S^\alpha(V) = \text{Span}(x_1^{k_1} x_2^{k_2} x_3^{k_3} : k_1 + k_2 + k_3 = \alpha; \quad k_1, k_2, k_3 \in \mathbb{Z}_+).$$

For  $\alpha \notin \mathbb{Z}_+$  we have (like in semi-infinite cohomology of Lie superalgebras)

$$S^\alpha(V) = \text{Span}(x_1^{k_1} x_2^{k_2} x_3^{k_3} : k_1 + k_2 + k_3 = \alpha; \ k_2, k_3 \in \mathbb{Z}_+).$$

*Remark .* The expression  $x^k$  for  $k \in \mathbb{C}$  is understood as a formal one, satisfying  $\frac{\partial x^k}{\partial x} = kx^{k-1}$ .

On  $S^\alpha(V)$  the  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{gl}(3)$ -action is given by  $E_{ij} \mapsto x_i \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j}$ .

**2.2. Theorem .** i)  $S^\alpha(V)$  is an irreducible  $\mathfrak{g}$ -module for any  $\alpha$ .

ii) The kernel  $J^\alpha$  of the corresponding to  $S^\alpha(V)$  representation of  $U(\mathfrak{g})$  is a maximal ideal if  $\alpha \notin \mathbb{Z}_{<0}$ .

Set  $\mathfrak{A}^\alpha = U(\mathfrak{g})/J^\alpha$  and let  $\theta$  be the highest weight of the adjoint representation of  $\mathfrak{g}$ . Now consider  $\mathfrak{A}^\alpha$  as  $\mathfrak{g}$ -module with respect to the adjoint representaiton.

iii)  $\mathfrak{A}^\alpha = \bigoplus_{k=0}^{\infty} L^{k\theta}$  if  $\alpha \notin \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ .

iv)  $\mathfrak{A}^\alpha = \bigoplus_{k=0}^{\alpha} L^{k\theta}$  if  $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ .

v) The algebra  $\mathfrak{A}^\alpha$  for  $\alpha \notin \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$  is isomorphic to the following twisted generalized Weyl algebra

$$\begin{aligned} R &= \mathbb{C}[E_{11}, E_{22}, E_{33}]/(E_{11} + E_{22} + E_{33} - \alpha), \\ \sigma_1(E_{11}) &= E_{11} - 1, \quad \sigma_1(E_{22}) = E_{22} + 1, \quad \sigma_1(E_{33}) = E_{33}, \\ \sigma_2(E_{11}) &= E_{11}, \quad \sigma_2(E_{22}) = E_{22} - 1, \quad \sigma_2(E_{33}) = E_{33} + 1, \end{aligned}$$

vi) The form  $\langle u, v \rangle_\alpha = \varphi(u\omega(v)^\sharp)(\alpha, 0, 0)$  is nondegenerate on  $\mathfrak{A}^\alpha$  for  $\alpha \notin \mathbb{Z}_{<0}$ .

**2.3.** Let  $\mathfrak{h} = \text{Span}(E_{11}, E_{22}, E_{33})$  be Cartan subalgebra in  $\mathfrak{g}$  and  $\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2, \varepsilon_3$  the dual basis of  $\mathfrak{h}^*$ . Let  $Q = \{\sum k_i \varepsilon_i : \sum k_i = 0\}$  be the root lattice of  $\mathfrak{g}$ . For any  $\mu \in Q$  define

$$(\mathfrak{A}^\alpha)_\mu = \{u \in \mathfrak{A}^\alpha : [h, u] = \gamma(h)u \text{ for any } h \in \mathfrak{h}\}. \quad (2.3.1)$$

Clearly,  $\mathfrak{A}^\alpha$  is  $Q$ -graded:

$$\mathfrak{A}^\alpha = \bigoplus_{\mu \in Q} (\mathfrak{A}^\alpha)_\mu.$$

Theorem 2.4 below shows that  $(\mathfrak{A}^\alpha)_\mu = Ru_\mu$ , where  $u_\mu \in \mathfrak{A}^\alpha$  is defined uniquely up to a constant factor and  $R = \mathbb{C}[E_{11}, E_{22}, E_{33}]/(E_{11} + E_{22} + E_{33} - \alpha)$ .

Denote by  $(\mathfrak{A}^\alpha)^+$  the subalgebra of  $\mathfrak{g}$  consisting of vectors highest with respect to the fixed  $\mathfrak{gl}(2)$ :

$$(\mathfrak{A}^\alpha)^+ = \{u \in \mathfrak{A}^\alpha : [E_{12}, u] = 0\}. \quad (2.3.2)$$

The algebra  $(\mathfrak{A}^\alpha)^+$  also admits  $Q$ -grading:

$$(\mathfrak{A}^\alpha)^+ = \bigoplus_{\nu \in Q} (\mathfrak{A}^\alpha)_\nu^+. \quad (2.3.3)$$

Denote:  $Q^+ = \{\nu \in Q : (\mathfrak{A}^\alpha)_\nu^+ \neq 0\}$ .

Theorem 2.4 below shows that  $(\mathfrak{A}^\alpha)_\nu^+ = \mathbb{C}[E_{33}]u_\nu^+$ , where  $\nu \in Q^+$ . For  $f, g \in \mathbb{C}[E_{33}]$  and  $\nu \in Q^+$  set

$$\langle f, g \rangle_\nu^+ = \langle fu_\nu, gu_\nu \rangle_\alpha. \quad (2.3.4)$$

For  $f, g \in R$  and  $\mu \in Q$  set

$$\langle f, g \rangle_\mu = \langle fu_\mu, gu_\mu \rangle_\alpha. \quad (2.3.5)$$

For  $k \geq 0$  and  $\nu \in Q^+$  set

$$f_{k,\nu}(E_{33})u_\nu = \begin{cases} (\text{ad}z_{31})^k(u_{\nu+k(\varepsilon_1-\varepsilon_3)}) & \text{for } \nu(E_{33}) \leq 0 \\ (\text{ad}z_{23})^k(u_{\nu+k(\varepsilon_3-\varepsilon_2)}) & \text{for } \nu(E_{33}) \geq 0 \end{cases} \quad \begin{matrix} ((2.3.6)) \\ ((2.3.7)) \end{matrix}$$

For  $k, l \geq 0$  and  $\nu \in Q$  set

$$f_{l,k}^\nu(E_{11}, E_{22}, E_{33})u_\nu = \begin{cases} (\text{ad}z_{21})^l(\text{ad}z_{31})^k(u_{\nu+k(\varepsilon_1-\varepsilon_3)+l(\varepsilon_1-\varepsilon_2)}) & \text{for } \nu(E_{33}) \leq 0 \\ (\text{ad}z_{21})^l(\text{ad}z_{23})^k(u_{\nu+k(\varepsilon_3-\varepsilon_2)+l(\varepsilon_1-\varepsilon_2)}) & \text{for } \nu(E_{33}) \geq 0 \end{cases} \quad \begin{matrix} ((2.3.8)) \\ ((2.3.9)) \end{matrix}$$

**2.4. Theorem .** 0)  $(\mathfrak{A}^\alpha)_\nu^+ = \mathbb{C}[E_{33}]u_\nu^+$ , where  $u_\nu$  is determined uniquely up to a constant factor.

- 1)  $\langle (\mathfrak{A}^\alpha)_\nu^+, \mathfrak{A}^\alpha)_\nu^+ \rangle_\alpha = 0$  for  $\nu \neq \mu$ .
- 2) The polynomials  $f_{k,\nu}(E_{33})$  are orthogonal relative  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_\nu^+$ .
- 3) The polynomials  $f_{k,\nu}(E_{33})$  satisfy the difference equation

$$(E_{33}-\nu(E_{33})+1)(E_{33}+\nu(E_{11})-\alpha)\Delta f - E_{33}(E_{33}+\nu(E_{22})-\alpha-2)\nabla f = k(k+2\nu(E_{11}+2))f \text{ if } \nu(E_{33}) < 0$$

$$(E_{33}+1)(E_{33}+\nu(E_{11})-\alpha)\Delta f - (E_{33}-\nu(E_{33}))(E_{33}+\nu(E_{22})-\alpha-2)\nabla f = k(k-2\nu(E_{11}+2))f \text{ if } \nu(E_{33}) \geq 0$$

- 4) Explicitely,  $f_{k,\nu}(E_{33})$  is of the form

$$f_{k,\nu}(E_{33}) = \text{const} \times {}_3F_2 \left( \begin{matrix} -k, k+2k_1+2, -E_{33} \\ 1-k_3k_1-\alpha \end{matrix} \mid 1 \right),$$

where

$${}_3F_2 \left( \begin{matrix} \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3 \\ \beta_1, \beta_2 \end{matrix} \mid z \right) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\alpha_1)_i(\alpha_2)_i(\alpha_3)_i}{(\beta_1)_i(\beta_2)_i} \frac{z^i}{i!}$$

is a generalized hypergeometric function,  $(\alpha)_0 = 1$  and  $(\alpha)_i = \alpha(\alpha+1)\dots(\alpha+i-1)$  for  $i > 0$ .

**2.5. Theorem .** 0)  $(\mathfrak{A}^\alpha)_\nu = \mathbb{C}[E_{11}, E_{22}, E_{33}]u_\nu$ , where  $u_\nu$  is determined uniquely up to a constant factor.

- 1)  $\langle (\mathfrak{A}^\alpha)_\nu, \mathfrak{A}^\alpha)_\nu \rangle_\alpha = 0$  for  $\nu \neq \mu$ .
- 2) The polynomials  $f_{l,k}^\nu(E_{11}, E_{22}, E_{33})$  form an orthogonal basis of  $R$  relative  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_\nu$ .
- 3) The polynomials  $w(f_{l,k})(E_{11}, E_{22}, E_{33})$  for  $w \in W$  form an orthogonal basis of  $R$  relative  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{w(\nu)}$  provided polynomials  $f_{l,k}(E_{11}, E_{22}, E_{33})$  form an orthogonal basis of  $R$  relative  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_\nu$ .

4) The polynomials  $f_{l,k}'(E_{11}, E_{22}, E_{33})$  for  $\nu \in Q^+$  and  $\nu(E_{33}) \leq 0$  satisfy the system of two difference equations

$$\begin{aligned} & [f(H_1 + 2, H_2) - f(H_1, H_2)] \cdot \frac{1}{4}(H_1 - H_2 + \alpha + 1)(H_1 + H_2 - \alpha) - \\ & [f(H_1, H_2) - f(H_1 - 2, H_2)] \cdot \frac{1}{4}(H_1 - H_2 + \alpha - \nu(E_{11}))(H_1 + H_2 - \alpha - 1 + \nu(E_{22})) = \\ & [l^2 + l(\nu(E_{11}) + \nu(E_{22}) + 1)) + \nu(E_{22}) - \nu(E_{11})]f, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & [2\alpha - \nu(H_2)(\alpha + 2 + \nu(H_2)) + H_2(2\alpha + 1 + 2\nu(H_2)) - 2H_2^2]f(H_1, H_2) - \\ & \frac{1}{2}(H_2 + 1 - \nu(H_2))(H_1 - H_2 + \alpha - 2\nu(E_{11}))f(H_1 - 1, H_2 + 1) - \\ & \frac{1}{2}H_2(H_1 - H_2 + \alpha + 2)f(H_1 + 1, H_2 + 1) - \\ & \frac{1}{2}(H_2 + 1 - \nu(H_2))(\alpha - H_1 - H_2)f(H_1 + 1, H_2 + 1) - \\ & \frac{1}{2}H_2(\alpha - H_1 - H_2 + 2 - 2\nu(E_{22}))f(H_1 - 1, H_2 - 1) = \\ & [2k^2 + 4kl + 4k(1 + \nu(E_{11})) + 2l(1 + \nu(E_{11}) - \nu(E_{22})) + \\ & \nu(E_{11})^2 - \nu(E_{22})^2 + 4\nu(E_{11})]f(H_1, H_2). \end{aligned}$$

### §3. PROOF OF THEOREM 2.2

i) The module  $S^\alpha(V)$  is irreducible if and only if it has no vacuum vectors (i.e, vectors annihilated by  $E_{12}$  and  $E_{23}$ . This is subject to a direct verification.

ii) Follows from Exercise 858 of Ch. 8 of [Di].

iii) Let  $A_3$  be the Weyl algebra (i.e., it is generated by the  $p_i$  and  $q_i$  for  $i = 1, 2, 3$  satisfying

$$p_i p_j - p_j p_i = q_i q_j - q_j q_i = 0; \quad p_i q_j - q_j p_i = -\delta_{ij}. \quad (3.1)$$

Setting  $E_{ij} \mapsto p_i q_j$  we see that the homomorphism  $\varphi : U(\mathfrak{g}) \rightarrow \text{End}(S^\alpha(V))$  factors through  $A_3$  and  $A_3$  acts on  $S^\alpha(V)$  so that  $p_i \mapsto x_i$  and  $q_i \mapsto \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}$ . Let us describe the image of  $\varphi$ . To this end, on  $A_3$ , introduce a grading by setting

$$\deg p_i = 1 \quad \deg q_i = -1 \text{ for } i = 1, 2, 3. \quad (3.2)$$

Now it is clear that  $\text{Im} \varphi$  is the algebra  $B_3$  of elements of degree 0.

To describe highest weight elements in  $B_3$ , it suffices to describe same in  $S^k(V) \otimes S^k(V^*)$ . Let us identify  $S^k(V) \otimes S^k(V^*)$  with  $\text{End}(S^k(V))$ , let  $u \in \text{End}(S^k(V))$  commutes with the action of  $E_{12}$  and  $E_{23}$  on  $S^k(V)$ . But then  $u$  is uniquely determined by its value on the lowest weight vector  $x_3^k \in S^k(V)$ ; moreover,  $E_{12}x_3^k = 0$ . Hence,

$$u(x_3^k) = a_0 x_3^k + \sum_{i=0}^k a_i x_1^i x_3^{k-i},$$

so

$$u(x_3^k) = \frac{1}{k} a_0 \left( \sum_{i=0}^k x_i \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \right) x_3^k + \sum_{i=0}^k \frac{(k-i)!}{k!} a_i \left( x_1 \frac{\partial}{\partial x_3} \right)^i x_3^k.$$

This shows that the algebra of highest weight vectors in  $B_3$  is generated by  $p_1 q_3$  and  $z = p_1 q_1 + p_2 q_2 + p_3 q_3$ . If  $\alpha \notin \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ , then  $\mathfrak{A}_\alpha$  is the quotient of  $B_3$  modulo  $(z - \alpha)$ . This proves iii).

iv) In this case  $\mathfrak{A}_\alpha = \text{End}(S^k(V))$  and the proof follows from the arguments at the end of the above paragraph.

v) For the canonical homomorphism  $\varphi : U(\mathfrak{g}) \longrightarrow \mathfrak{A}_\alpha$  and any  $H \in R$  set

$$\begin{aligned} X_1 &= \varphi(E_{12}), \quad X_2 = \varphi(E_{23}), \quad Y_1 = \varphi(E_{21}), \quad Y_2 = \varphi(E_{32}); \\ R &= \mathbb{C}[E_{11}, E_{22}, E_{33}]/(z - \alpha); \\ \sigma_1(H) &= H - (\varepsilon_1 - \varepsilon_2)(H), \quad \sigma_2(H) = H - (\varepsilon_2 - \varepsilon_3)(H); \\ t_1 &= E_{22}(E_{11} + 1), \quad t_2 = E_{33}(E_{22} + 1). \end{aligned}$$

Now, as is easy to verify, all the relations of sec. 1.5.1 are satisfied. If  $I$  is a twosided ideal of  $\mathfrak{A}_\alpha$ , then thanks to iii) and iv) it contains  $L^{k\omega}$  for some  $k \geq 0$  and, therefore, elements of weight 0 with respect to the adjoint action of Cartan subalgebra of  $\mathfrak{g}$ . Hence,  $I \cap R \neq 0$ . Hence, there exists a surjection  $\psi : \mathfrak{A}' \longrightarrow \mathfrak{A}_\alpha$ .

vi) By 1.3.3 the kernel of  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_\alpha$  in  $U(\mathfrak{g})$  is a maximal ideal. But  $\mathfrak{A}_\alpha = U(\mathfrak{g})/J^\alpha$ , where  $J^\alpha$  is maximal due to i). So  $J^\alpha$  coincides with the kernel of  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_\alpha$  in  $U(\mathfrak{g})$  and the form is nondegenerate on  $\mathfrak{A}_\alpha$ .

#### §4. PROOF OF THEOREM 2.4

0) Direct computations show that the set of elements from  $A_3$  commuting with  $E_{12}$  is a subalgebra generated by  $p_1, q_2, p_3, q_3$  and  $z = p_1q_1 + p_2q_2 + p_3q_3$ . So this algebra is the linear span of the elements of the form

$$u = p_1^{k_1} q_2^{k_2} p_3^{k_3} q_3^{k_4} z^{k_5}.$$

If  $u \in B_3$ , then  $k_1 + k_3 = k_2 + k_4$ , so

$$u = \begin{cases} p_1^{k_1} q_2^{k_2} p_3^{k_3-k_4} p_3^{k_4} q_3^{k_4} z^{k_5} & \text{if } k_3 \geq k_4 \\ p_1^{k_1} q_2^{k_2} p_3^{k_3} q_3^{k_3} q_3^{k_4-k_3} z^{k_5} & \text{if } k_3 \leq k_4. \end{cases} \quad (4.1)$$

Hence, setting for  $\nu = \sum k_i \varepsilon_i$  such that  $\sum k_i = 0$ ,  $k_1 \geq 0$  and  $k_2 \leq 0$

$$u_\nu^+ = \begin{cases} p_1^{k_1} q_2^{-k_2} p_3^{k_3} & \text{if } k_3 \geq 0 \\ p_1^{k_1} q_2^{-k_2} q_3^{-k_3} & \text{if } k_3 \leq 0 \end{cases} \quad (4.2)$$

we obtain the statement desired.

1) Let  $u \in (\mathfrak{A}_\alpha^+)_\mu$ ,  $v \in (\mathfrak{A}_\alpha^+)_\nu$ , and  $h \in \mathfrak{h}$ . Then by heading iv) of Lemma 1.2 we obtain:

$$\langle [h, u], v \rangle = \mu(h) \langle u, v \rangle = \langle u, [h, v] \rangle = \nu(h) \langle u, v \rangle.$$

So  $\langle u, v \rangle = 0$  if  $\mu \neq \nu$ .

2) Let  $\nu(E_{33}) \leq 0$ . We have:

$$\begin{aligned} f_{k,\nu} u_\nu^+ &= (\text{ad} z_{31})^k (u_{\nu+k(\varepsilon_1-\varepsilon_3)}^+) = \text{ad} z_{31} (\text{ad} z_{31})^{k-1} (u_{\nu+(k-1)(\varepsilon_1-\varepsilon_3)+(\varepsilon_1-\varepsilon_3)}^+) = \\ &= (\text{ad} z_{31}) f_{k-1,\nu+(\varepsilon_1-\varepsilon_3)} u_{\nu+(\varepsilon_1-\varepsilon_3)}^+. \end{aligned}$$

Direct verification shows that

$$\begin{aligned} (\text{ad} z_{31})(f u_\nu^+) &= \{(E_{33} - \nu(E_{33}))[(E_{33} - \alpha)\nu(E_{11}) + (\nu(E_{11}) - 1)\nu(E_{11})]f(h) - \\ &E_{33}[(E_{33} - \alpha)\nu(E_{11}) - (\nu(E_{22}) + 2)\nu(E_{11})]f(h-1)\} u_{\nu-(\varepsilon_1-\varepsilon_3)}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.3)$$



It easily follows from Lemma 1.2 that for any  $z \in U(\mathfrak{g})$  we have

$$\langle (\text{ad}z)(u), v \rangle = \langle u, (\text{ad}\omega(z))(v) \rangle,$$

but

$$\omega(z_{31}) = \omega((E_{11} - E_{22} + 2)E_{31} + E_{21}E_{32}) = E_{13}(E_{11} - E_{22} + 2) + E_{23}E_{12}.$$

Since  $fu_\nu$  is a highest weight vector with respect to the fixed  $\mathfrak{gl}(2)$ , it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} (\text{ad}\omega(z_{31}))(fu_\nu) &= (\text{ad}(E_{13}(E_{11} - E_{22} + 2)))(fu_\nu) = \\ &= (\nu(E_{11}) - \nu(E_{22}) + 2)\Delta f \cdot u_{\nu+(\varepsilon_1-\varepsilon_3)}. \end{aligned}$$

Now, let us induct on  $k$ . For  $k = 0$  the statement is obvious. For  $k > 0$  and  $\deg g < k$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle f_{k,\nu}, g \rangle_\nu &= \langle f_{k,\nu}u_\nu^+, gu_\nu^+ \rangle = \\ &= \langle f_{k-1,\nu+(\varepsilon_1-\varepsilon_3)}u_{\nu+(\varepsilon_1-\varepsilon_3)}^+, (\text{ad}(\omega(z_{31}))) (gu_\nu^+) \rangle = \\ &= \langle f_{k-1,\nu+(\varepsilon_1-\varepsilon_3)}, (\nu(E_{11}) - \nu(E_{22}) + 2)\Delta g \rangle_{\nu+(\varepsilon_1-\varepsilon_3)} = 0 \end{aligned}$$

by inductive hypothesis.

The case  $\nu(E_{33}) \geq 0$  is similar.  $\square$

3) Observe that  $z = E_{13}E_{31} + E_{23}E_{32}$  belongs to the centralizer of  $\mathfrak{gl}(2)$  in  $U(\mathfrak{g})$ . Let  $\nu(E_{33}) \leq 0$ . Then  $u_\nu^+ = p_1^{k_1}q_2^{k_2}q_3^{k_3}$  as in (4.1.2). Having applied  $\text{ad}z$  to  $fu_\nu^+$  we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} (\text{ad}z)(fu_\nu^+) &= E_{13}E_{31}fu_\nu^+ + fu_\nu^+E_{13}E_{31} - E_{13}fu_\nu^+E_{31} - E_{31}fu_\nu^+E_{13} + \\ &+ E_{23}E_{32}fu_\nu^+ + fu_\nu^+E_{23}E_{32} - E_{23}fu_\nu^+E_{32} - E_{32}fu_\nu^+E_{23} = \\ &= E_{11}(E_{33} + 1)fu_\nu^+ + fu_\nu^+E_{33}(E_{11} + 1) - f(E_{33} + 1)u_\nu^+E_{11}(E_{33} + 1) - f(E_{33} - 1)E_{33}(E_{11} + 1)u_\nu^+ + \\ &+ E_{22}(E_{33} + 1)fu_\nu^+ + fu_\nu^+E_{33}(E_{22} + 1) - f(E_{33} + 1)E_{22}u_\nu^+(E_{33} + 1) - f(E_{33} - 1)E_{33}u_\nu^+(E_{22} + 1) = \\ &= (E_{11} + E_{22})(E_{33} + 1)fu_\nu^+ + (E_{33} - \nu(E_{33}))(E_{11} + 1 - \nu(E_{11}) + E_{22} + 1 - \nu(E_{22}))fu_\nu^+ - \\ &- f(E_{33} + 1) \cdot (E_{33} + 1 - \nu(E_{33}))(E_{11} + E_{22} - \nu(E_{11}))u_\nu^+ - f(E_{33} - 1)E_{33}(E_{11} + E_{22} - \nu(E_{22}) + 2)u_\nu^+ = \\ &= [f(E_{33} + 1) \cdot (E_{33} + 1 - \nu(E_{33}))(E_{33} - \alpha + \nu(E_{11})) + f(E_{33} - 1)E_{33}(E_{33} + \nu(E_{22}) - \alpha - 2) - \\ &- (E_{33} - \alpha)(E_{33} + 1)f - (E_{33} - \nu(E_{33}))(E_{33} + \nu(E_{11}) + \nu(E_{22}) - \alpha - 2)f]u_\nu^+. \end{aligned}$$

This gives us the right hand side of the first equation of heading 3).

Since  $\text{ad}z$  commutes with the  $\mathfrak{gl}(2)$ -action and preserves the degree of polynomial  $f$ , it follows that  $(\text{ad}z)(fu_\nu) = c \cdot (fu_\nu)$ . Counting the constant factor, we arrive to the first equation of heading 3).

The proof of the second equation is similar.

## §5. PROOF OF THEOREM 2.5

0) Recall that  $B_3$  is the subalgebra of  $A_3$  of the elements of degree 0 relative grading (3.2).

For  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$  set  $r_i^k = \begin{cases} p_i^k & \text{if } k \geq 0 \\ q_i^{-k} & \text{if } k \leq 0 \end{cases}$ . For  $\gamma = \sum k_i \varepsilon_i$ , where  $\sum k_i = 0$ , set

$$u_\gamma = r_1^{k_1} r_2^{k_2} r_3^{k_3}.$$

Clearly,  $B_3$  is the linear span of the elements of the form

$$p_1^{m_1} q_1^{l_1} p_2^{m_2} q_2^{l_2} p_3^{m_3} q_3^{l_3}, \text{ where } m_1 + m_2 + m_3 = l_1 + l_2 + l_3.$$

It is also clear that each such element can be represented in the form

$$f(E_{11}, E_{22}, E_{33}) r_1^{k_1} r_2^{k_2} r_3^{k_3}.$$

This completes the proof of heading 0).

1) Proof is similar to that from sec. 4.2.

2) Let  $\nu(E_{33}) \leq 0$ . By setting  $H_1 = E_{11} - E_{22}$ ,  $H_2 = E_{22} - E_{33}$  we identify  $R = \mathbb{C}[E_{11}, E_{22}, E_{33}]/(E_{11} + E_{22} + E_{33} - \alpha)$  with  $\mathbb{C}[H_1, H_2]$ . Let  $\Lambda$  is a Gelfand–Tsetlin diagram of the following form:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \nu(E_{11}) + k + l & & 0 & & -(\nu(E_{11}) + k + l) \\ & \nu(E_{11}) + l & & -(\nu(E_{22}) + l) & \\ & & \nu(E_{11}) & & \end{array}, \quad (5.0)$$

From the explicit formula for  $f_{k,l}^\nu$  we derive that

$$f_{k,l}^\nu u_\nu = v_\Lambda. \quad (5.1)$$

Now, consider the following operators from the maximal commutative subalgebra of  $U(\mathfrak{g})$ :

$$\begin{aligned} E_{11}, E_{22}, \\ \Omega_2 = E_{11}^2 + E_{22}^2 + E_{11} - E_{22} + 2E_{21}E_{12}, \\ \Omega_3 = E_{11}^2 + E_{22}^2 + E_{33}^2 + E_{11} - E_{22} + E_{11} - E_{33} + E_{22} - E_{33} + \\ 2E_{21}E_{12} + 2E_{31}E_{13} + 2E_{32}E_{23}. \end{aligned} \quad (5.2)$$

Then we have:

$$\begin{aligned} E_{11}v_\Lambda &= \nu(E_{11})v_\Lambda; \quad E_{22}v_\Lambda = -\nu(E_{22})v_\Lambda; \\ \Omega_2v_\Lambda &= [2l^2 + 2l(\nu(E_{11}) + \nu(E_{22}) + 1) + \\ &\quad \nu(E_{11})^2 + \nu(E_{22})^2]v_\Lambda; \\ \Omega_3v_\Lambda &= 2(\nu(E_{11}) + k + l)(\nu(E_{11}) + k + l + 2)v_\Lambda. \end{aligned} \quad (5.3)$$

It is easy to check that the operators (5.2) satisfy

$$\omega(E_{11}) = E_{11}; \quad \omega(E_{22}) = E_{22}; \quad \omega(\Omega_2) = \Omega_2; \quad \omega(\Omega_3) = \Omega_3$$

and, therefore, they are selfadjoint relative the form  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ . Formula (5.3) makes it manifest that operators (5.2) separate the vectors  $v_\Lambda$ , hence, these vectors are pairwise orthogonal. Moreover, it is easy to see that  $f_{k,l}^\nu$  is of the form

$$f_{k,l}^\nu = H_1^l H_2^k + \dots,$$

where the dots designate the summands of degrees  $\leq k + l$  of the form  $H_1^a H_2^b$ , where  $(a, b) < (l, k)$  with respect to the lexicographic ordering. Thus, the  $f_{l,k}^\nu$  constitute a basis of  $\mathbb{C}[H_1, H_2]$ .

3) The statement follows from the fact that the Weyl group acts on  $\mathfrak{A}_\alpha$  and preserves the form  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ .

4) Since the polynomials  $f_{l,k}^\nu u_\nu$  are elements of a Gelfand–Tsetlin basis, they are eigenvectors for  $\Omega_2$  and  $\Omega_3$  with respect to the adjoint action of  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{gl}(3)$  on  $\mathfrak{A}_\alpha$ . As we have shown in sec 5.2, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\Omega_2 f_{l,k}^\nu u_\nu &= [2l^2 + 2l(\nu(E_{11}) + \nu(E_{22}) + 1) + \\ &\quad \nu(E_{11})^2 + \nu(E_{22})^2] f_{l,k}^\nu u_\nu; \\ \Omega_3 f_{l,k}^\nu u_\nu &= 2(\nu(E_{11}) + k + l)(\nu(E_{11}) + k + l + 2) f_{l,k}^\nu u_\nu.\end{aligned}$$

To derive the corresponding equations, we have to explicitly compute the actions of  $\Omega_2$  and  $\Omega_3$  on  $f u_\nu$ . Let  $(\nu(E_{33}) \leq 0)$ ; then

$$\begin{aligned}\Omega_2 f u_\nu &= [\nu(E_{11})^2 + \nu(E_{22})^2 + \nu(E_{11}) - \nu(E_{22})] f u_\nu + \\ &\quad 2[f(H_1, H_2) - f(H_1 + 2, H_2)] \cdot \frac{1}{4}(H_1 - H_2 + \alpha + 1)(\alpha - H_1 - H_2) u_\nu + \\ &\quad 2[f(H_1, H_2) - f(H_1 - 2, H_2)] \cdot \frac{1}{4}(H_1 - H_2 + \alpha - \nu(E_{11}))(\alpha - H_1 - H_2 + 1 - \nu(E_{22})) u_\nu;\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}(\Omega_3 - \Omega_2) f u_\nu &= (E_{33}^2 - E_{11} - E_{22} + 2E_{33} + 2E_{31}E_{13} + 2E_{32}E_{23}) f u_\nu = \\ &= (E_{33}^2 - E_{11} - E_{22} + 2E_{33} + E_{13}E_{31} + E_{23}E_{32}) f u_\nu = \\ &= (\nu(E_{33})^2 - \nu(E_{11}) - \nu(E_{22}) + 2\nu(E_{33})) f u_\nu + \\ &\quad (E_{11} + E_{22})(E_{33} + 1) f(H_1, H_2) u_\nu + \\ &\quad (E_{33} - \nu(E_{33}))(E_{11} + E_{22} + 2 - \nu(E_{11}) - \nu(E_{22})) f(H_1, H_2) u_\nu - \\ &\quad f(E_{11} - 1, E_{33} + 1)(E_{11} - \nu(E_{11}))(E_{33} + 1 - \nu(E_{33})) u_\nu - \\ &\quad f(E_{11} + 1, E_{33} - 1) E_{33}(E_{11} + 1) u_\nu - \\ &\quad f(E_{22} - 1, E_{33} + 1) E_{22}(E_{33} + 1 - \nu(E_{33})) u_\nu - \\ &\quad f(E_{22} + 1, E_{33} - 1) E_{33}(E_{22} + 1 - \nu(E_{22})) u_\nu = \\ &= [(\alpha - H_2)(H_2 + 1) f(H_1, H_2) + (H_2 - \nu(H_2))(\alpha - H_2 + 2 + \nu(H_2)) f(H_1, H_2) - \\ &\quad f(H_1 - 1, H_2 + 1)(H_2 + 1 - \nu(H_2)) \frac{1}{2}(H_1 - H_2 + \alpha - 2\nu(E_{11})) - \\ &\quad f(H_1 + 1, H_2 + 1) H_2 \frac{1}{2}(H_1 - H_2 + \alpha + 2) - \\ &\quad f(H_1 + 1, H_2 + 1) \frac{1}{2}(\alpha - H_1 - H_2)(H_2 + 1 - \alpha - \nu(H_2)) - \\ &\quad f(H_1 - 1, H_2 - 1) H_2 \frac{1}{2}(\alpha - H_1 - H_2 + 2 - 2\nu(E_{22}))] u_\nu.\end{aligned}$$

This implies the second equation.

## REFERENCES

- [Di] Dixmier J. *Algèbres enveloppantes*, Gautier-Villars, Paris, 1974; *Enveloping algebras*, AMS, 1996
- [KLP] Kwon K. H., Lee D.W., Park S. B., New characterization of discrete classical orthogonal polynomials. J. Approx. Theory, 89 (1977), 156–171
- [KS] Koekoek R., Swarttouw R., The Askey-scheme of hypergeometric orthogonal polynomials and its  $q$ -analogue. math.CA/9602214

- [LS] Leites D., Sergeev A., Orthogonal polynomials of discrete variable and Lie algebras of complex size matrices. Theor. and Math. Physics, 2000, to appear, preprinted in: Proceedings of M. Saveliev memorial conference, MPI, Bonn, February, 1999, MPI-1999-36, 49–70
- [M] Marcellan F., Complex path integral representation for semiclassical linear functionals, J. Approximation theory, 94 (1998), 107–127
- [MBP] Marcellan F., Branquinho A., Petronillo T., Classical orthogonal polynomials: a functional approach. Acta Applicandae Math. 34 (1994), 283–303
- [MT] Mazarchuk V., Turowska L., Simple weight modules over twisted generalized Weyl algebras, Comm. Alg. 27 (1999), no. 6, 2613–2625
- [Mo] Montgomery S., Constructing simple Lie superalgebras from associative graded algebras. J. Algebra 195 (1997), no. 2, 558–579
- [MO] Molev A.I., Yangians and transvector algebras. math.RT/9902060
- [NSU] Nikiforov A. F.; Suslov S. K.; Uvarov V. B. *Classical orthogonal polynomials of a discrete variable*. Translated from the Russian. Springer Series in Computational Physics. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1991. xvi+374 pp.
- [OO] Okunkov A., Olshanski G., Shifted Schur functions. (Russian) Algebra i Analiz 9 (1997), no. 2, 73–146; translation in St. Petersburg Math. J. 9 (1998), no. 2, 239–300; id., Shifted Schur functions. II. The binomial formula for characters of classical groups and its applications. Kirillov’s seminar on representation theory, 245–271, Amer. Math. Soc. Transl. Ser. 2, 181, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1998.
- [Pi] Pinczon G., The enveloping algebra of the Lie superalgebra  $\mathfrak{osp}(1|2)$ . J. Algebra, 132 (1990), 219–242
- [Zh] Zhelobenko, D. P. *Predstavleniya reduktivnykh algebr Li*. (Russian) [Representations of reductive Lie algebras] VO Nauka, Moscow, 1994. 352 pp.; Zhelobenko, D. P.; Shtern, A. I. *Predstavleniya grupp Li*. (Russian) [Representations of Lie groups] Spravochnaya Matematicheskaya Biblioteka. [Mathematical Reference Library] , Nauka, Moscow, 1983. 360 pp.

(CORRESPONDENCE) DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF STOCKHOLM, KRÄFTRIKET HUS 6, S-106 91, STOCKHOLM, SWEDEN; MLEITES@MATEMATIK.SU.SE (ON LEAVE OF ABSENCE FROM BALAKOVO INSTITUTE OF TECHNIQUE OF TECHNOLOGY AND CONTROL, BRANCH OF SARATOV TECHNICAL UNIVERSITY, BALAKOVO, SARATOV REGION, RUSSIA)