# Simple Lie algebras which generalize Witt algebras

Ki-Bong Nam \*

August 14, 2013

#### Abstract

We introduce a new class of simple Lie algebras W(n,m) (see Definition 1) that generalize the Witt algebra by using "exponential" functions, and also a subalgebra  $W^*(n,m)$  thereof; and we show each derivation of  $W^*(1,0)$  can be written as a sum of an inner derivation and a scalar derivation (Theorem. 2) [10]. The Lie algebra W(n,m) is Z-graded and is infinite growth [4].

#### 1 Introduction

Let F be a field of characteristic zero. Let us start by recalling the **general algebra**  $W^+(n)$ . (For more details, please refer to [14].)

The Lie algebra W(n) has a basis

$$\{x_1^{i_1}\cdots x_n^{i_n}\partial_k \mid i_1,\cdots,i_n\in Z, 1\leq k\leq n\},$$

and a Lie bracket on basis elements given by

$$[x_1^{i_1} \cdots x_n^{i_n} \partial_k, x_1^{j_1} \cdots x_n^{j_n} \partial_t]$$

$$= j_k x_1^{i_1+j_1} \cdots x_k^{i_k+j_k-1} x_{k+1}^{i_{k+1}+j_{k+1}} \cdots x_n^{i_n+j_n} \partial_t$$

$$- i_t x_1^{i_1+j_1} \cdots x_t^{i_t+j_t-1} x_{t+1}^{i_{t+1}+j_{t+1}} \cdots x_n^{i_n+j_n} \partial_k$$

$$(1)$$

where  $\partial_t$  is the partial derivative with respect to  $x_t$ ,  $1 \le t \le n$ .

It is well known that the Witt algebra  $W^+(n)$  is defined to be the subalgebra of W(n), with the basis

$$\{x_1^{i_1}\cdots x_n^{i_n}\partial_k \mid i_1,\cdots,i_n\in Z^+\cup\{0\}, 1\leq k\leq n\}.$$

In [5] Kawamoto generalizes Witt algebras; we call the algebra W(G, I) in [5] Kawamoto algebra. Dokovic and Zhao [2] show that their algebras generalize Kawamoto algebras. But their algebras are different from our algebras because their algebras have ad semi-simple elements (see [2]), whereas our algebras have none.

<sup>\*</sup>Department of Mathematics, University of Wisconsin, Madison, WI 53706

The main results of this paper are following:

**Theorem 1** The Lie algebras W(n,m), W(n,m,r,s) and  $W^*(n,m)$  are simple Lie algebras.

(See Definition 1, Definition 2 and Definition 3.)

**Theorem 3** Each derivation of  $W^*(1,0)$  is a sum of an inner derivation and a scalar derivation.

# 2 Generalized Witt algebras

We now give the definition of the algebra W(n, m).

**Definition 1** For any pair of natural numbers n, m, define the Lie algebra W(n, m) to be the algebra spanned linearly by a basis

$$\{e^{a_1x_1}\cdots e^{a_nx_n}x_1^{b_1}\cdots x_{m+n}^{b_{m+n}}\partial_i \quad | \quad a_1,\cdots,b_1,\cdots,b_{m+n}\in Z, 1\leq i\leq m+n\},\$$

with Lie bracket on basis elements given by

$$[e^{a_1x_1} \cdots e^{a_nx_n} x_1^{l_1} \cdots x_{m+n}^{l_{m+n}} \partial_i \quad , \quad e^{b_1x_1} \cdots e^{b_nx_n} x_1^{t_1} \cdots x_{m+n}^{t_{m+n}} \partial_j]$$

$$= b_i e^{a_1x_1 + b_1x_1} \cdots e^{a_nx_n + b_nx_n} x_1^{l_1 + t_1} \cdots x_{m+n}^{t_{m+n} + l_{n+m}} \partial_j$$

$$+ t_i e^{a_1x_1 + b_1x_1} \cdots e^{a_nx_n + b_nx_n} x_1^{l_1 + t_1} \cdots x_{m+n}^{t_{m+n} + l_{n+m}} x_i^{-1} \partial_j$$

$$- a_j e^{a_1x_1 + b_1x_1} \cdots e^{a_nx_n + b_nx_n} x_1^{l_1 + t_1} \cdots x_{m+n}^{t_{m+n} + l_{n+m}} \partial_i$$

$$- l_i e^{a_1x_1 + b_1x_1} \cdots e^{a_nx_n + b_nx_n} x_1^{l_1 + t_1} \cdots x_{m+n}^{t_{m+n} + l_{n+m}} x_j^{-1} \partial_i,$$
(2)

where  $b_i = 0$  if  $n + 1 \le i \le n + m$ , and  $a_j = 0$  if  $n + 1 \le j \le n + m$  (1).

**Definition 2** Define  $W^*(n,m)$  to be the Lie subalgebra of the Lie algebra W(n,m) spanned by the basis

$$e^{a_1x_1}\cdots e^{a_nx_n}x_1^{b_1}\cdots x_{m+n}^{b_{m+n}}\partial_i \tag{3}$$

where  $a_1, \dots, a_n \in Z, b_1, \dots, b_{m+n} \in N$ , and  $1 \le i \le m+n$ .

Remarks: It can be checked that the Lie bracket of any two such basis elements produces a linear combination of the same form.

**Definition 3** Define W(n, m, r, s) as the Lie subalgebra of W(n, m) spanned by the basis

$$\{e^{a_1x_1} \cdots e^{a_nx_n} x_1^{i_1} \cdots x_r^{i_r} x_{r+1}^{i_{r+1}} \cdots x_n^{i_n} x_{n+1}^{i_{n+1}} \cdots x_{n+s}^{i_{n+s}} x_{n+s+1}^{i_{n+s}+1} \cdots x_{m+n}^{b_{m+n}} \partial_k \quad | \quad a_1, \cdots, a_n \in Z, i_1, \cdots, i_r, i_{n+1}, \cdots, i_{n+s} \in Z, i_{r+1}, \cdots, i_n, i_{n+1+s}, \cdots, i_{n+s} \in N, \\ 1 \le k \le m+n \}$$

where N is the set of non-negative integers and  $r \leq n \in N$ ,  $s \leq m \in N$ .

W(0, s + r, 0, r) is the Lie subalgebra of W(n, m) spanned by the basis

$$\{ x_1^{i_1} \cdots x_r^{i_r} x_{r+1}^{i_{r+1}} \cdots x_{r+s}^{i_{r+s}} \partial_j \mid i_1, \dots, i_r \in Z, i_{r+1}, \dots, i_{r+s} \in N, 1 \le j \le r+s \},$$

where  $r, s \in N$ .

Note that the Lie algebra W(0, s, 0, r) is isomorphic to a Lie algebra in the class  $W^*$  of [11].

Let  $Z^k$  denotes the product of k factors of Z. We consider an n-tuple  $\alpha = (a_1, \dots, a_n) \in Z^n$  and an (n+m)-tuple  $\beta = (b_1, \dots, b_{n+m}) \in Z^{n+m}$ . If we put

$$e^{\alpha} := e^{a_1 x_1} \cdots e^{a_n x_n}$$

and

$$x^{\beta} := x_1^{b_1} \cdots x_{m+n}^{b_{m+n}},$$

then any element l in W(n, m) has the form

$$l = \sum_{\alpha,\beta,p} a_{\alpha,\beta,p} e^{\alpha} x^{\beta} \partial_{p},$$

for  $a_{\alpha,\beta,p} \in F$ . Let us write  $e^{ax_i}\partial_p = e^{a\epsilon_i}\partial_p$ , and  $x_i^b\partial_p = x^{b\epsilon_i}\partial_p$ , for  $1 \leq p \leq n+m$ , where  $a,b \in Z$ .

The Lie algebra W(n,m) has a  $Z^k$ -gradation for  $1 \le k \le n$  as follows:

$$W(n,m) = \bigoplus_{(a_1,\dots,a_k)\in Z^k} W_{(a_1,\dots,a_k)}$$

$$\tag{4}$$

where  $W_{(a_1,\dots,a_k)}$  is a subspace of W(n,m) with basis

$$\{e^{\alpha x}x^{\beta}\partial_k \mid \alpha = (a_1, \cdots, a_k, a_{k+1}, \cdots, a_n) \in \mathbb{Z}^n, \beta \in \mathbb{Z}^{n+m}, 1 < k < n+m\}.$$

Let us call  $W_{\alpha}$  the  $\alpha$ -homogeneous component of W(n,m) and elements in  $W_{\alpha}$  the  $\alpha$ -homogeneous elements. Note that the  $(0, \dots, 0)$ -homogeneous component is isomorphic to the Witt algebra W(n+m). From now on let us denote the  $(0, \dots, 0)$ -homogeneous component as the 0-homogeneous component.

We introduce a lexicographic ordering of the basis elements of W(n,m) as follows:

For 
$$l_1 = e^{a_1 x_1} \cdots e^{a_n x_n} x_1^{b_1} \cdots x_{m+n}^{b_{n+m}} \partial_p$$
 (5)  
and  $l_2 = e^{c_1 x_1} \cdots e^{c_n x_n} x_1^{d_1} \cdots x_{m+n}^{d_{n+m}} \partial_q$ ,  
we have  $l_1 \ge l_2$   
if  $(a_1, \dots, a_n, b_1, \dots, b_{n+m}, p) \ge (c_1, \dots, c_n, d_1, \dots, d_{n+m}, q)$ 

by the natural lexicographic ordering in  $Z^n \times Z^{n+m} \times Z$ .

For any element  $l \in W(n, m)$ , l can be written as follows using the ordering and gradation,

$$l = \sum_{\beta_1} a_{\alpha_1,\beta_1,p} e^{\alpha_1} x^{\beta_1} \partial_p$$

$$+ \sum_{\beta_2} a_{\alpha_2,\beta_2,p} e^{\alpha_2} x^{\beta_2} \partial_r$$

$$+ \cdots$$

$$+ \sum_{\beta_s} a_{\alpha_s,\beta_s,r} e^{\alpha_s} x^{\beta_s} \partial_q,$$
(6)

where  $\alpha_1 > \alpha_2 > \cdots > \alpha_s$ .

Let us define the **string number** st(l) = s for l.

For any  $l \in W(n, m)$ , let us define a largest power  $lp_{(a_1, \dots, a_n)}(l)$  as the largest power of polynomials in  $(a_1, \dots, a_n)$ -homogeneous elements.

Similarly for any  $l \in W(n, m)$ , we can define a largest power  $lp_l(l)$  as the largest power of polynomials in l-homogeneous elements.

For the sake of clarity, from now on we generally omit subsripts.

**Lemma 1** If  $l \in W(n, m)$  is any non-zero element then the ideal  $\langle l \rangle$  generated by l contains an element l' whose powers of polynomial parts are positive integers.

*Proof.* Since l is a non-zero element of W(n,m), there is a non-zero  $\alpha$ -homogeneous component. Then we can take an element  $s=x^u\partial_i$  with large positive integers  $u\in Z^{n+m}$  such that  $l'=[s,l]\neq 0$ .  $\square$ 

**Lemma 2** If an ideal I of W(n,m) contains any  $\partial_i$  where  $(1 \leq i \leq n+m)$ , then I = W(n,m).

*Proof.* Since the Witt algebra W(n+m) is simple [5],[11],[14] and  $\partial_i \in I$ , the Witt algebra  $W(n+m) \subset I$ . For any basis element  $e^{\alpha}x^{\beta}\partial_t$  of W(n,m), we can assume  $a_k \neq 0$  for some  $k \in \{1, \dots, n\}$  because if all  $a_k = 0$ , then the basis  $x^{\beta}\partial_t \in W(n+m)$  where W(n+m) is a simple Lie algebra [5], [11].

If  $\beta = (0, \dots, 0)$ , then there is  $a_k \neq 0$ . We have

$$[\partial_k \quad , \quad e^{\alpha} \partial_t] = a_k e^{\alpha} \partial_t \in I.$$

For any basis element  $e^{\alpha}x^{\beta}\partial_t$  such that  $a_u \neq 0$  for  $1 \leq u \leq n$ , we have

$$[\partial_u, e^{\alpha} x^{\beta} \partial_t] = a_u e^{\alpha} x^{\beta} \partial_t + b_u e^{\alpha} x^{\beta - \epsilon_u} \partial_t. \tag{7}$$

$$[e^{a_u \epsilon_u} \partial_u, e^{\alpha - a_u \epsilon_u} x^{\beta} \partial_t] = b_u e^{\alpha} x^{\beta - \epsilon_u} \partial_t - \delta_{u,t} a_t e^{\alpha} x^{\beta} \partial_u$$
 (8)

where  $\delta_{u,t}$  is the Knonecker delta.

Case I. If  $u \neq t$ ,

$$(7) - (8) = a_u e^{\alpha} x^{\beta} \partial_t \in I.$$

Case II. If u = t,

$$(7) - (8) = 2a_u e^{\alpha} x^{\beta} \partial_t \in I.$$

Therefore, we have proved the lemma.  $\Box$ 

**Lemma 3** If  $\sum c_{\alpha,\beta}e^{\alpha x}x^{\beta}\partial_{j}$  is a non-zero element of W(n,m) where  $\alpha = (a_{1}, \dots, a_{u}, \dots, a_{n})$  with  $a_{u} \neq 0$ , then  $[\partial_{u}, \sum c_{\alpha,\beta}e^{\alpha x}x^{\beta}\partial_{j}] \neq 0$ .

*Proof.* We have

$$[\partial_{u} , \sum_{\alpha,\beta} c_{\alpha,\beta} e^{\alpha} x^{\beta} \partial_{j}]$$

$$= \sum_{\alpha} a_{u} c_{\alpha,\beta} e^{\alpha} x^{\beta} \partial_{j} + \sum_{\alpha} b_{u} c_{\alpha,\beta} e^{\alpha} x^{\beta - \epsilon_{u}} \partial_{j} \neq 0,$$

$$(9)$$

by  $c_{\alpha,\beta}a_u \neq 0$  and the ordering in W(n,m).

**Theorem 1** The Lie algebras W(n,m), W(n,m,r,s) and  $W^*(n,m)$  are simple Lie algebras.

*Proof.* If I is a non-zero ideal of W(n,m), (or  $W^*(n,m)$  or W(n,m,r,s)) then by Lemma 1 there is a non-zero element l in I such that all the polynomial parts are positive powers. We show that I = W(n,m) by induction on st(l). If st(l) = 1, then l can be written as follows:

$$l = c_1 e^{\alpha} x^{\beta_1} \partial_{i_1} + \dots + c_t e^{\alpha} x^{\beta_t} \partial_{i_t},$$

where  $c_1, \dots, c_t \in F$   $\alpha = (a_1, \dots, a_n) \in Z^n$ , and  $\beta_1, \dots, \beta_{m+n} \in Z^{n+m}$ . If  $\alpha = (0, \dots, 0)$ , then  $l \in W_0^n = W(n+m)$  and  $I \cap W(n+m)$  is a non-zero ideal of W(n+m). Thus,  $W(n+m) \subset I$  by the simplicity of W(n+m) and I = W(n,m) by Lemma 2. If  $\alpha = (a_1, \dots, a_n) \neq (0, \dots, 0)$ , then without loss of generality we can assume  $a_1 \neq 0$ . Then,

$$l_{1} = [e^{-\alpha}\partial_{1} \quad , \quad c_{1}e^{\alpha}x^{\beta_{1}}\partial_{j_{1}} + \dots + c_{t}e^{\alpha}x^{\beta_{t}}\partial_{j_{t}}]$$

$$= c_{1}a_{j_{1}}x^{\beta_{1}}\partial_{1} + c_{1}a_{1}x^{\beta_{1}}\partial_{j_{1}} + c_{1}b_{11}x^{\beta_{1}-\epsilon_{1}}\partial_{j_{1}}$$

$$+ \dots$$

$$+ c_{1}a_{j_{t}}x^{\beta_{t}}\partial_{1} + c_{1}a_{1}x^{\beta_{t}}\partial_{j_{t}} + c_{1}b_{t_{1}}x^{\beta_{t}-\epsilon_{1}}\partial_{j_{t}}.$$

$$(10)$$

If  $a_{j_1} \neq 0$  and  $j_1 = 1$ , then we have from (10),

$$l_{1} = 2a_{1}c_{1}x^{\beta_{1}}\partial_{1} + c_{2}a_{j_{2}}x^{\beta_{2}}\partial_{1}$$

$$+ \cdots$$

$$+ c_{t}a_{j_{t}}x^{\beta_{t}}\partial_{1} + c_{1}b_{11}x^{\beta_{1}-\epsilon_{1}}\partial_{1}$$

$$+ c_{2}b_{21}x^{\beta_{t}-\epsilon_{1}}\partial_{j_{2}} + \cdots + c_{t}b_{t1}x^{\beta_{t}-\epsilon_{1}}\partial_{j_{t}} \neq 0,$$

$$(11)$$

since  $2a_1c_1 \neq 0$  and the maximality of  $x^{\beta_1}\partial_1$ . Since  $l_1 \in W(n+m)$ , we have I = W(n,m) by the simplicity of W(n+m) and by Lemma 2.

If  $a_{j_1} \neq 0$  and  $j_1 \neq 1$ , then from (10),

$$l_{1} = c_{1}a_{j_{1}}x^{\beta_{1}}\partial_{1} + c_{1}a_{1}x^{\beta_{1}}\partial_{j_{1}} + c_{1}b_{1}x^{\beta_{1}-\epsilon_{1}}\partial_{j_{1}}$$

$$+ \cdots$$

$$+ c_{t}a_{j_{t}}x^{\beta_{t}}\partial_{1} + c_{t}a_{1}x^{\beta_{t}}\partial_{j_{t}} + c_{t}b_{t_{1}}x^{\beta_{t}-\epsilon_{1}}\partial_{j_{t}}.$$

$$(12)$$

If  $a_{j_1} \neq 0$  and  $j_1 \neq 1$ , then  $c_1 a_{j_1} x^{\beta_1} \partial_1$  is the non-zero maximal element by the ordering in  $l_1$ . Thus,  $l_1$  is a non-zero element of  $I \cap W(n+m)$  and it follows I = W(n,m) as the above case.

If  $a_{j_1} = 0$  and  $j_1 = 1$ , then from (10)

$$l_{1} = c_{2}a_{j_{2}}x^{\beta_{1}}\partial_{1} + \dots + c_{t}a_{j_{t}}x^{\beta_{t}}\partial_{1}$$

$$+ \dots$$

$$+ c_{1}a_{1}x^{\beta_{t}}\partial_{j_{1}} + c_{1}b_{11}x^{\beta_{1}-\epsilon_{1}}\partial_{j_{1}}$$

$$+ \dots$$

$$+ c_{t}a_{1}x^{\beta_{t}}\partial_{j_{t}} + c_{t}b_{1t}x^{\beta_{t}-\epsilon_{1}}\partial_{j_{t}}.$$

$$(13)$$

Since  $l_1 \neq 0$ ,  $x^{\beta_1} > x^{\beta_2}$  by assumption.

We can assume  $a_{j_1} = 0$ . Then from (10) the term  $c_1 a_1 x^{\beta_1} \partial_{j_1}$  is maximal in  $l_1$ , so that element of  $I \cap W(n+m)$  has a non-zero element. In this case as before I = W(n,m). Let  $n \geq 2$  and suppose that I = W(n,m) for an ideal I containing a non-zero element l with  $st(l) \neq n-1$ .

Let  $l \in I$  and st(l) = n. Then l can be written as follows:

$$l = \sum_{\beta,k} c_{\alpha,\beta,k} e^{\alpha} x^{\beta} \partial_{k} + \cdots$$

$$+ \sum_{\psi,p} c_{\phi,\psi,p} e^{\phi} x^{\psi} \partial_{p}$$
(14)

where  $\alpha > \cdots > \phi$  and  $\beta > (0, \cdots, 0)$  and  $\psi > (0, \cdots, 0)$  by Lemma 1.

If some terms with  $e^0$  occur in (14), then we can assume there is  $a_u \neq 0$  for some u in  $\alpha = (a_1, \dots, a_u, \dots, a_n)$ . Let

$$l_2 = [\partial_u, [\partial_u, [\cdots, [\partial_u, l] \cdots]]$$

where we applied Lie bracket (lp(l)+1)-times. Then the  $(0, \dots, 0)$ -homogeneous part is zero,  $st(l_2) = n-1$  and  $l_2 \neq 0$  by Lemma 3. Thus, we have I = W(n, m) by induction hypothesis.

If  $\phi = (h_1, \dots, h_n) \neq (0, \dots, 0)$ , then we have  $h_u \neq 0$  for some  $l \leq u \leq n$ . Then we have

$$[e^{-\phi}\partial_u, l] = [e^{-\phi}\partial_u \quad , \quad \sum_{\beta,k} c_{\alpha,\beta,k} e^{\alpha} x^{\beta} \partial_k + \dots + \sum_{\psi,p} c_{\phi,\psi,p} e^{\phi} x^{\psi} \partial_p]$$
 (15)

$$= \sum_{\beta,k} a_{u} c_{\alpha,\beta,k} e^{\alpha-\phi} x^{\beta} \partial_{k}$$

$$+ \sum_{\beta,k} b_{u} c_{\alpha,\beta,k} e^{\alpha-\phi} x^{\beta-\epsilon_{u}} \partial_{k}$$

$$+ \sum_{\beta,k} h_{k} c_{\alpha,\beta,k} e^{\alpha-\phi} x^{\beta} \partial_{u}$$

$$\vdots$$

$$+ \sum_{\psi,p} h_{u} c_{\phi,\psi,p} x^{\psi} \partial_{p}$$

$$+ \sum_{\psi,p} \psi_{u} c_{\phi,\psi,p} x^{\psi-\epsilon_{u}} \partial_{p}$$

$$+ \sum_{\psi,p} h_{p} c_{\phi,\psi,p} x^{\psi} \partial_{u}$$

such that  $\beta > (0, \dots, 0), \dots, \psi > (0, \dots, 0)$  by Lemma 1.

 $l_3$  is non-zero by the argument similar to the case st(l) = 1. If the  $(\alpha - \psi)$ -homogeneous component is zero, then we can apply the induction hypothesis to have I = W(n, m). If the  $(\alpha - \psi)$ -homogeneous component is non-zero, then we have

$$l_4 = [\partial_i, \cdots, [\partial_i, l_3] \cdots]$$

the Lie bracket (lp(l)+1)-times. Then  $l_4$  has no  $(0,\dots,0)$ -homogeneous components, and we can apply induction hypothesis to have I=W(n,m). This completes the proof.

# 3 Some Properties of W(n, m).

Consider the gradations of the Witt algebra W(n). The Z-gradation of Witt algebra W(n) is well known [14]. In fact W(n) has a  $Z^k$ -gradation for  $1 \le k \le n$  as follows:

$$W(n) = \bigoplus_{(a_1, \dots, a_k) \in Z^k} W_{(a_1, \dots, a_k)}$$

$$\tag{16}$$

where  $W_{(a_1,\dots,a_k)}$  is the subspace of W(n) with basis

$$\{x_1^{a_1} \cdots x_{u-1}^{a_{u-1}} x_u^{a_u+1} x_{u+1}^{a_{u+1}} \cdots x_k^{a_k} x_{k+1}^{a_{k+1}} \cdots x_n^{a_n} \partial_u \mid 1 \le u \le k, a_{k+1}, \cdots, a_n \in Z\} \cup \{x_1^{a_1} \cdots x_k^{a_k} x_{k+1}^{a_{k+1}} \cdots x_n^{a_n} \partial_j \mid k+1 \le j \le n, a_{k+1}, \cdots, a_n \in Z\}.$$

Let us denote  $W_{(0,\cdots,0)\in Z^k}$  by  $W_0^k$ , where  $k\in\{1,\cdots,n\}$ . Note that  $W_0\supset W_0^2\supset\cdots\supset W_0^n$ .

Actually W(n, m) has a  $Z^{n+m}$ -gradation as follows:

$$W(n,m) = \bigoplus_{(a_1, \cdots, a_n, a_{n+1}, \cdots, a_{n+m}) \in \mathbb{Z}^{n+m}} W^{n+m}_{(a_1, \cdots, a_n, a_{n+1}, \cdots, a_{n+m})}$$

where  $W^{n+m}_{(a_1,\cdots,a_n,a_{n+1},\cdots,a_{n+m})}$  is a subspace of W(n,m) with basis

$$\{e^{a_1x_1} \cdots e^{a_nx_n} x_1^{i_1} \cdots x_n^{i_n} x_{n+1}^{a_{n+1}} \cdots x_{n+m}^{a_{n+m}} \partial_j \mid \\ 1 \leq j \leq n, i_1, \cdots, i_n \in Z\}$$

$$\cup \{e^{a_1x_1} \cdots e^{a_nx_n} x_1^{p_1} \cdots x_n^{p_n} x_{n+1}^{a_{n+1}} \cdots x_{n+j}^{a_{n+j}+1} x_{n+j+1}^{a_{n+j+1}} \cdots x_{n+m}^{a_{n+m}} \partial_{n+j} \mid \\ 1 \leq j \leq m, p_1, \cdots, p_n \in Z\}.$$

 $W_{(0,\cdots,0)}^{n+m}$  is the subalgebra of W(n,m) with basis

$$\{x_1^{i_1}\cdots x_n^{i_n}\partial_j \mid 1 \le j \le n, i_1, \cdots, i_n \in Z\}$$

$$\tag{17}$$

$$\cup \{x_1^{p_1} \cdots x_n^{p_n} \partial_{n+j} \mid 1 \le j \le m, p_1, \cdots, p_n \in Z\}. \tag{18}$$

 $W^{n+m}_{(0,\cdots,0)}$  can be decomposed as follows:

$$W_{(0,\cdots,0)}^{n+m} = W(n) \oplus A,$$

where W(n) has a basis (17), and A has a basis (18). A is an abelian ideal of  $W_{(0,\cdots,0)}^{n+m}$ .

To compare W(n, m) with other algebras, we need to find the ad-semisimple elements. Since W(n, m) has a sub-algebra W(0, m), we see the element  $x^{\epsilon_t}\partial_t$ , where  $t \in \{n + 1, \dots, n + m\}$  and  $\epsilon_t = (0, \dots, 0, 1, 0, \dots, 0)$ , is an ad-semisimple element of W(0, m).

W(n,m) has a subalgebra W(n) where n comes from the exponential parts. Thus  $x^{\epsilon_t}\partial_t$  is the candidate of ad-semisimple elements for  $t \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ . But

$$[e^{-\epsilon_t}\partial_t \quad , \quad x^{\epsilon_t}\partial_t] = e^{-\epsilon_t}\partial_t + e^{-\epsilon_t}x^{\epsilon_t}\partial_t.$$

This shows  $x^{\epsilon_t} \partial_t$  can not be an ad semisimple element of W(n, m). Thus we have the following proposition.

**Proposition 1** The Lie algebra W(n,m) has an m-dimensional maximal torus which has the basis  $\{x^{\epsilon_t}\partial_t \mid n+1 \leq t \leq n+m\}$  with respect to the basis  $(\ref{eq:total_tot$ 

*Proof.* Let l be an ad-semisimple element with respect to the basis (??). Then l can be written as follows:

$$l = \sum_{i} f_i \partial_i.$$

Then for any j

$$\sum_{i} \partial_{j}(f_{i})\partial_{i} = [\partial_{j}, l] \in F\partial_{j}.$$

So  $\partial_j(f_i) = 0$  for  $j \neq i$  and  $\partial_i(f_i) = a_i \in F$ . It follows that  $\partial_j(f_i - a_i x_i) = 0$  for all i, j. So  $f_i - a_i x_i$  is a constant. Say  $f_i - a_i x_i = b_i$ . Thus  $f_i = a_i x_i + b_i$  with  $a_i, b_i \in F$ . Next

$$[x_j \partial_j, l] = [x_j \partial_j, \sum_i (a_i x_i + b_i) \partial_i]$$
  
=  $(a_j x_j - (a_j x_j + b_j)) \partial_j = -b_j \partial_j$ 

is a multiple of  $x_j \partial_j$ . Thus  $b_j = 0$  and  $l = \sum_i a_i x_i \partial_i$ .

Finally if  $j \leq n$ , then

$$[e^{x_j}\partial_j, l] = [e^{x_j}\partial_j, \sum_i a_i x_i \partial_i]$$
$$= e^{x_j}(a_j - a_j x_j)\partial_j$$

is a scalar multiple of  $e^{x_j}\partial_j$  so  $a_j=0$ .

Thus  $l = \sum_{i=n+1}^{n+m} a_i x_i \partial_i$ .

Obviously we have following corollary.

Corollary 1 The Lie algebra W(n,0) has no ad-diagonal element with respect to the basis

$$\{e^{a_1x_1}\cdots e^{a_nx_n}x_1^{b_1}\cdots x_n^{b_n}\partial_i|a_1,\cdots,a_n,b_1,\cdots,b_n\in Z, 1\leq i\leq n\}.$$

Consider the Lie subalgebra  $W^+(1,0)$  of W(n,0) which is spanned by

$$\{e^{ax}x^i\partial \mid a,i\in N\}$$

This is a subalgebra of W(1,0) and we have the following proposition.

**Proposition 2** The Lie algebra  $W^+(1,0)$  is a non-simple Lie algebra [4].

*Proof.* Consider the subalgebra  $I_m$  which is spanned by

$$\{e^{ax}x^i\partial \qquad |a\geq m, i\in N\}$$

where  $m \geq 0$  and a is any fixed positive integer. Then  $I_m$  is a non-trivial ideal of  $W^+(1,0)$ .  $\square$ 

This proposition shows that if we want a simple Lie algebra, we need to include the terms of the form  $e^{ax}x^i\partial$  where a can be any negative integer. We have proved that the Lie algebra W(1,0) is simple from Theorem 1.

We have the following Lie embedding:

$$W(1) \subset W(2) \subset \cdots \subset W(m) \subset \cdots,$$

$$W(1,1) \subset W(1,2) \subset \cdots \subset W(1,m) \subset \cdots,$$

$$\cdots$$

$$W(n,1) \subset W(n,2) \subset \cdots \subset W(n,m) \subset \cdots.$$

Let us study a simple Lie algebra which has no toral element. Let  $0 \neq q \in C$ , the complex numbers, be a fixed non-root of unity  $(q^n \neq 1 \text{ for any } n \in N)$ . The skew polynomial ring  $C_q[x, y]$ , where yx = qxy, has been called the quantum plane. The quantum plane can be localized at the Ore set of powers of x, y to give a non-commutative associative ring of Laurent polynomials  $C_q[x, y, x^{-1}, y^{-1}]$  [8].

**Proposition 3** [8] The Lie algebra of derivations of  $C_q[x, y, x^{-1}, y^{-1}]$  is generated by the inner derivations and the derivations  $D_{\alpha,\beta}(x^iy^j) = (\alpha i + \beta j)x^iy^j$ .

*Proof.* See Theorem 1.2 of [8] on page 3757.  $\Box$ 

Let  $V_q$  be the Lie algebra of inner derivations on  $C_q[x, y, x^{-1}, y^{-1}]$ . Clearly  $\{ad(x^hy^k)|(h,k)\in Z \times Z-\{(0,0)\}\}$  forms a basis of  $V_q$ , and

$$[ad(x^hy^k), ad(x^ry^s)] = ad([x^hy^k, x^ry^s]).$$

**Proposition 4** The Lie algebra  $V_q$  is a simple Lie algebra.

*Proof.* This case is Theorem 1.3 of [8].

Let us consider the Lie algebra  $\bar{V}_q$  on  $C_q[x, x^{-1}, y, y^{-1}]$  with a different viewpoint from Kirkman, Processi and Small's idea [8].

The Lie algebra  $\bar{V}_q$  has a basis  $\{x^iy^j \mid i,j \in Z\}$  and a Lie bracket on the basis given by

$$[x^i y^j, x^l y^m] = x^i y^j x^l y^m - x^l y^m x^i y^j$$

for any pair of basis elements  $x^i y^j, x^l y^m \in \bar{V}_q$ .

Corollary 2  $V_q \cong \bar{V}_q$ .

*Proof.* It is straightforward.  $\Box$ 

Consider the Lie algebra  $\bar{V}$  which is spanned by

$$\{(a,i) \mid a,i \in Z\}$$

with Lie bracket

$$[(a,i),(b,j)] = (q^{bi} - q^{aj})(a+b,i+j).$$

Proposition 5  $V_q \cong \bar{V}$ .

*Proof.* Define the F-linear map  $\theta$  from  $V_q$  to  $\bar{V}$  by  $\theta(ad(x^hy^k)) = (h,k)$ . Then clearly  $\theta$  is a bijective Lie algebra homomorphism. Therefore, we have proved the proposition.

Corollary 3  $\bar{V} \cong V_q \cong \bar{V}_q$ .

*Proof.* It is straightforward.  $\Box$ 

Let us study  $\bar{V}$  more closely. (0,0) is a basis of the center of  $\bar{V}$ , since [(0,0),(a,i)]=(0,0) for any  $(a,i)\in \bar{V}$ . Let (h,k) be the toral element of  $\bar{V}$ , then for any  $(a,i)\in \bar{V}$ ,

$$[(h,k),(a,i)] = (q^{ka} - q^{hi})(a+h,i+k) = f(a,i)$$

for some  $f \in C$ . Thus (h, k) = (0, 0). This contradicts the simplicity of  $\bar{V} \cong V_q$ . (0, 0) cannot be a basis, thus, there is no toral element. The above argument shows that  $\bar{V}$  cannot contain the Witt algebra W(1) or  $W^+(1)$ . Since W(n, m) contains W(1), W(n, m) cannot be isomorphic to  $\bar{V}$ .

#### 4 Derivations of $W^*(1,0)$

In this section we determine all the derivations of the Lie subalgebra  $W^*(1,0)$  of  $W^*(n,m)$ . Ikeda and Kawamoto found all the derivations of the Kawamoto algebra W(G,I) in their paper [7]. It is very important to find the derivations of a given Lie algebra to compare with other Lie algebras. Let L be a Lie algebra over any field F. An F-linear map D from L to L is a derivation if  $D([l_1,l_2]) = [D(l_1),l_2] + [l_1,D(l_2)]$  for any  $l_1,l_2 \in L$ .

Let L be a Lie algebra over any field F. Define the derivation D of L to be a scalar derivation if for all basis elements l of L,  $D(l) = f_l l$  where  $f_l$  is a scalar depending on l [1].

Let d be any additive function of Z to F. Then it is to see that the linear transformation  $D_d$  determined by the mapping of the basis elements of  $W^*(1,0)$  by  $D_d(e^{ax}x^i\partial) = d(a)e^{ax}x^i\partial$  is a derivation of  $W^*(1,0)$ .

In this section we consider the stable F-algebra  $F[e^{\pm x}, x]$  in F[[x]] with F-algebra basis  $\{e^{ax}x^i|a\in Z, i\in N\}$  [4].

Let us calculate all the derivations of the Lie subalgebra  $W^*(1,0)$  of W(n,m). We need the following lemma for the main theorem.

**Lemma 4** Let D be a derivation of  $W^*(1,0)$ . If  $D(\partial) = 0$ , then  $D = fad_{\partial} + S$  where  $f \in F$  and S is a scalar derivation.

*Proof.* Let D be a derivation of  $W^*(1,0)$  such that  $D(\partial) = 0$ . We have

$$D([\partial, x\partial]) = D(\partial) = 0. \tag{19}$$

On the other hand, this equals

$$D([\partial, x\partial]) = [D(\partial), x\partial] + [\partial, D(x\partial)] = [\partial, D(x\partial)].$$
 (20)

We can put  $D(x\partial) = f\partial$  for  $f \in F[e^{\pm x}, x]$ . Then from (19) and (20),

$$0 = [\partial, D(x\partial)] = [\partial, f\partial] = f'\partial. \tag{21}$$

Since f is an analytic function satisfying f' = 0, we have f = c for  $c \in F$ . From (21) we have  $D(x\partial) = c\partial$ .

Inductively, we assume

$$D(x^n \partial) = ncx^{n-1} \partial \tag{22}$$

for  $n \in N$ .

We have

$$D([\partial, x^{n+1}\partial]) = (n+1)D(x^n\partial) = n(n+1)cx^{n-1}\partial.$$
(23)

On the other hand, this equals

$$D([\partial, x^{n+1}\partial]) = [D(\partial), x^{n+1}\partial] + [\partial, D(x^{n+1}\partial)] = [\partial, D(x^{n+1}\partial)].$$
 (24)

From (23) and (24), we have

$$D(x^{n+1}\partial) = \phi\partial + (n+1)cx^n\partial$$

for some  $\phi \in F$ .

Also we have

$$D([x\partial, x^{n+1}\partial]) = nD(x^{n+1}\partial) = n\phi\partial + n(n+1)cx^n\partial.$$
 (25)

On the other hand, this equals

$$D([x\partial, x^{n+1}\partial]) = [D(x\partial), x^{n+1}\partial] + [x\partial, D(x^{n+1}\partial)]$$

$$= c[\partial, x^{n+1}\partial] + [x\partial, \phi\partial + (n+1)cx^n\partial]$$

$$= c(n+1)x^n\partial - \phi\partial + (n-1)(n+1)cx^n\partial$$

$$= c(n+1)nx^n\partial - \phi\partial.$$
(26)

From (25) and (26), we have  $\phi = 0$ .

Therefore, for any  $n \in N$  we have  $D(x^n \partial) = ncx^{n-1}\partial$ . We have

$$D([\partial, e^x \partial]) = D(e^x \partial). \tag{27}$$

On the other hand, this equals

$$D([\partial, e^x \partial]) = [D(\partial), e^x \partial] + [\partial, D(e^x \partial)] = [\partial, D(e^x \partial)].$$
 (28)

From (27) and (28), we have

$$\partial(D(e^x\partial)) = D(e^x\partial).$$

Thus, we have

$$D(e^x\partial) = de^x\partial$$

for  $d \in F$ .

Inductively assume  $D(e^{px}\partial) = pde^{px}\partial$  for  $1 \neq p \in N$ . We have

$$D([e^x \partial, e^{px} \partial]) = (p-1)D(e^{px+x} \partial). \tag{29}$$

On the other hand, this equals

$$[D(e^{x}\partial), e^{px}\partial] + [e^{x}\partial, D(e^{px}\partial)]$$

$$= [de^{x}\partial, e^{px}\partial] + [e^{x}\partial, pde^{px}\partial]$$

$$= (p-1)(p+1)de^{px+x}\partial.$$
(30)

From (29) and (30), we have

$$D(e^{px+x}\partial) = d(p+1)e^{px+x}\partial.$$

Therefore, we have  $D(e^{px}\partial) = pde^{px}\partial$  for  $p \in N$ .

Also we have

$$D([\partial, e^{-x}\partial]) = -D(e^{-x}\partial). \tag{31}$$

On the other hand, this equals

$$D([\partial, e^{-x}\partial]) = [D(\partial), e^{-x}\partial] + [\partial, D(e^{-x}\partial)] = [\partial, D(e^{-x}\partial)]. \tag{32}$$

From (31) and (32), we have

$$\partial(D(e^{-x}\partial)) = D(e^{-x}\partial).$$

Thus, we have

$$D(e^{-x}\partial) = he^{-x}\partial$$

for  $h \in F$ .

We have

$$D([e^{-x}\partial, e^x\partial]) = 2D(\partial) = 0. \tag{33}$$

On the other hand, this equals

$$D([e^{-x}\partial, e^{x}\partial]) = [D(e^{-x}\partial), e^{x}\partial] + [e^{-x}\partial, D(e^{x}\partial)]$$

$$= [he^{-x}\partial, e^{x}\partial] + [e^{-x}\partial, de^{x}\partial].$$
(34)

From (33) and (34), we have h = -d.

Inductively assume  $D(e^{px}\partial) = pde^{px}\partial$  where p is a fixed negative integer. We have

$$D([e^{-x}\partial, e^{px}\partial]) = (p+1)D(e^{px-x}\partial). \tag{35}$$

On the other hand, this equals

$$[D(e^{-x}\partial), e^{px}\partial] + [e^{-x}\partial, D(e^{px}\partial)]$$

$$= [-de^{-x}\partial, e^{px}\partial] + [e^{-x}\partial, pde^{px}\partial]$$

$$= (p-1)(p+1)de^{px-x}\partial.$$
(36)

From (35) and (36), we have  $D(e^{px}\partial) = pde^{px}\partial$  holds for any negative integer p. Therefore,  $D(e^{px}\partial) = pde^{px}\partial$  holds for any  $p \in Z$ .

Let us show by induction that

$$D(e^{px}x^n\partial) = dpe^{px}x^n\partial + nce^{px}x^{n-1}\partial$$

for  $p \in Z$  and  $n \in N$ .

Inductively assume

$$D(e^{px}x^n\partial) = pde^{px}x^n\partial + nce^{px}x^{n-1}\partial$$

for any  $p \in Z$  and a fixed  $n \in N$ . We have

$$D([x\partial, e^{px}x^n\partial]) = pD(e^{px}x^{n+1}\partial) + (n-1)D(e^{px}x^n\partial).$$
(37)

On the other hand, this equals

$$[D(x\partial), e^{px}x^n\partial] + [x\partial, D(e^{px}x^n\partial)]. \tag{38}$$

From (37) and (38), we have

$$pD(e^{px}x^{n+1}\partial) = -(n-1)pde^{px}x^n\partial - (n-1)nce^{px}x^{n-1}\partial$$

$$+ pce^{px}x^n\partial + nce^{px}x^{n-1}\partial$$

$$+ [x\partial, pde^{px}x^n\partial + nce^{px}x^{n-1}\partial]$$

$$= -(n-1)pde^{px}x^n\partial - n(n-1)ce^{px}x^{n-1}\partial + pce^{px}x^n\partial$$

$$+ nce^{px}x^{n-1}\partial + p^2de^{px}x^{n+1}\partial + p(n-1)de^{px}x^n\partial$$

$$+ pnce^{px}x^n\partial + nc(n-2)e^{px}x^{n-1}\partial.$$
(39)

Therefore, we have

$$D(e^{px}x^{n+1}\partial) = pde^{px}x^{n+1}\partial + (n+1)ce^{px}x^n\partial$$

for any  $p \in Z$  and any  $n \in N$ .

Therefore,  $D = cad_{\partial} + fS$  for an appropriate  $f \in F$ .  $\square$ 

**Theorem 2** Each derivation of  $W^*(1,0)$  can be written as a sum of an inner derivation and a scalar derivation.

Proof. Let D be any derivation of  $W^*(1,0)$ . Then  $D(\partial) = f\partial$  for some  $f \in F[e^{\pm x}, x]$ . Since  $\partial : F[e^{\pm x}, x] \to F[e^{\pm x}, x]$  is onto map, there is a function  $g \in F[e^{\pm x}, x]$  such that  $\partial(g) = f$ . Then  $ad_{\partial}(g) = [\partial, g\partial] = f\partial = D(\partial)$ . We have  $(D - ad_{g\partial})(\partial) = 0$ . By Lemma 4 we have  $D = ad_{g\partial} + cad_{\partial} + S$ . Therefore, we have proven the theorem.  $\Box$ 

# 5 Some comments on the generalized Witt algebra

Let  $F[x_1, x_2]$  be a polynomial ring. Consider the derivations  $(x_1x_2\partial_1)$  and  $(x_1x_2\partial_2)$  on  $F[x_1, x_2]$  such that

$$(x_1 x_2 \partial_1)(x_1^i x_2^j) = x_1^i x_2^{1+j},$$

for any  $x_1^i x_2^j \in F[x_1, x_2]$  and similarly for  $(x_1 x_2 \partial_2)$ . Consider the vector space L over F with basis

$$\{x_1^i x_2^j (x_1 x_2 \partial_1), x_1^a x_2^b (x_1 x_2 \partial_2) | a, b, i, j \in N\}.$$

Then we can define a bracket on the basis as follows [compare with (1)]:

$$[x_1^{i_1}x_2^{j_1}(x_1x_2\partial_1), x_1^{i_2}x_2^{j_2}(x_1x_2\partial_1)] = (i_2 - i_1)x_1^{i_1 + i_2}x_2^{j_1 + j_2 + 1}(x_1x_2\partial_1)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & [x_1^{i_1}x_2^{j_1}(x_1x_2\partial_1), x_1^{a_1}x_2^{b_2}(x_1x_2\partial_2)] \\ &= a_1x_1^{i_1+a_1}x_2^{j_1+b_2+1}(x_1x_2\partial_2) - j_1x_1^{i_1+a_1+1}x_2^{j_1+b_2}(x_1x_2\partial_1) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$[x_1^{a_1}x_2^{b_1}(x_1x_2\partial_2), x_1^{a_2}x_2^{b_2}(x_1x_2\partial_2)] = (b_2 - b_1)x_1^{i_1 + i_2 + 1}x_2^{j_1 + j_2}(x_1x_2\partial_2),$$

and we extend linearly to L, but this bracket does not satisfy the Jacobi identity, since  $(x_1x_2\partial_1)$  and  $(x_1x_2\partial_2)$  do not commute as derivations. Thus, it is important to find the generalized derivations on the polynomial ring to make a Lie algebra. Please refer to the paper [13] for the Witt-type algebra using generalized derivations on an associative, commutative K-algebra where K is a field of any characteristic.

Following is a quite interesting conjecture on the Witt algebra [6],[14]. **Conjecture.** If  $\theta$  is any automorphism of the Witt algebra  $W^+(n)$ , then  $\theta(x_i\partial_i) = ax_i\partial_i + b\partial_i$ , where  $0 \neq a \in F$  and  $b \in F$ .

It is not very difficult to prove this conjecture on  $W^+(2)$  and  $W^+(1)$ .

Following is a quite interesting conjecture on the derivations of W(n,m) and its subalgebras.

Conjecture. Each derivation of W(n, m) can be written as a sum of an inner derivation and a scalar derivation.

### References

- [1] R. Block, On torsion-free abelian groups and Lie algebras, Proc. Amer. Soc., 9, 1958, 613-620.
- [2] D. Z. Dokovic and K. Zhao Derivations, isomorphisms, and second cohomology of generalized Witt algebras, Preprints, 1995, 1-5.
- [3] James E. Humphreys Introduction to Lie Algebras and Representation Theory, Springer-Verlag, G.T.M. 9, 1987, 7-21.
- [4] V. G. Kac Description of Filtered Lie Algebra with which Graded Lie algebras of Cartan type are Associated, Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR, Ser. Mat. Tom 38, 1974, 832-834.
- [5] N. Kawamoto, Generalizations of Witt algebras over a field of chateristic zero, Hiroshima Math. J., 16, 1986, 417-426.

- [6] N. Kawamoto, On G-Graded Automorphisms of eneralized Witt algebras, Contem. Math. A.M.S., **184**, 1995, 225-230.
- [7] T. Ikeda and N. Kawamoto, On the derivations of generalized Witt algebras, Hiroshima Math. J., **20**, 1990, 47-55.
- [8] E. Kirkman and C.Procesi and L.Small, A q-Analog for the Virasoro Algebra, Comm. in Alg., 22 (12), 1994, 3755-3763.
- [9] A. I. Kostrikin and I. R. Safarevic, *Graded Lie algebras of finite characteristic*, Math. USSR, Izv. **3** No. 2, 1970, 237-240.
- [10] Ki-Bong Nam Generalized Witt Algebras over a field of characteristic zero, University of Wisconsin-Madison, Thesis, 1998, 1-40.
- [11] J. Marshall Osborn New Simple Infinite Dimensional Lie Algebras of Characteristic 0, J. of Algebra, 1996, 820-822.
- [12] Richard S. Pierce Associative Algebras, Springer-Verlag, G.T.M. 88 1982, 1-5.
- [13] D. S. Passman Simple Lie Algebras of Witt-Type, to appear 1996, 1-7.
- [14] A. N. Rudakov Groups of Automorphisms of Infinite-Dimensional Simple Lie Algebras, Math. USSR-Izvestija, 3, 1969, 836-837.