

EISENSTEIN INTEGRALS FOR THETA STABLE PARABOLIC SUBALGEBRAS

SUN BINYONG

ABSTRACT. Let G be a connected semisimple Lie group with a finite center, with a maximal compact subgroup K . There are two general methods to construct representations of G , namely, ordinary parabolic induction and cohomological parabolic induction. We define Eisenstein integrals relative to cohomological inductions which generalize Flensted-Jensen's fundamental functions for discrete series. They are analogous to Harish-Chandra's Eisenstein integrals related to ordinary inductions. We introduce the notion of Li positivity of a K type in a representation of G which is extremely useful in the study of branching laws. As an application of our integral, we show that the minimal K types of many interesting representations are Li positive. These include all irreducible unitary representations with nonzero cohomology.

1. INTRODUCTION AND NOTATIONS

1.1. Complexifications of groups. Whenever H is a Lie group, we denote by $H_{\mathbb{C}}$ the universal complexification of H ; $u_H : H \rightarrow H_{\mathbb{C}}$ the canonical homomorphism; $\bar{} : H_{\mathbb{C}} \rightarrow H_{\mathbb{C}}$ the anti-holomorphic automorphism on $H_{\mathbb{C}}$ which is identity on $u_H(H)$. Recall that the universal complexification map u_H is defined by the following property: If H' is a complex Lie group and $\phi : H \rightarrow H'$ is a Lie group homomorphism, then there is a unique holomorphic homomorphism $\phi' : H_{\mathbb{C}} \rightarrow H'$ such that $\phi' \circ u_H = \phi$ [6]. Notice that although universal complexifications are only defined for connected Lie groups in [6], the definitions and the results can

Date: Nov. 28, 2004.

This paper is a part of the author's doctoral dissertation in HKUST, supervised by Jian-Shu Li.

be easily generalized to non-connected Lie groups [13]. The followings are well known:

- (1) The universal complexification of a connected Lie group is connected.
- (2) If H is a real reductive group in Harish-Chandra's class, the u_H has a finite kernel and the differential of u_H is a complexification of the Lie algebra of H .
- (3) If H is compact, then u_H is injective.

1.2. Conventions. If V is a finite dimensional complex vector space and X is a real analytic manifold, we denote by $C^\infty(X; V)$ the space of all smooth functions on X with values in V , and $C^\omega(X; V)$ for real analytic functions. When H is a Lie group, \mathfrak{c} is a complex subspace of a complex Lie algebra, and H acts on \mathfrak{c} by certain adjoint action, we denote by $\delta_{\mathfrak{c}}$ the non-unitary character on H defined by the determinant of the action on \mathfrak{c} . For any finite dimension continuous representation of a Lie group, say, τ of H , we use the same symbol τ to indicate its differential as well as its holomorphic extension to $H_{\mathbb{C}}$. Whenever W is a set, we write 1_W for the identity map on W , or just 1 when no confusion is possible. If H is a compact Lie group, α an H type, i.e., an equivalent class of irreducible finite dimensional continuous representations of H , and M a H module, we write $M(\alpha)$ for the α -isotypic component of M . Finally, we always use the normalized Haar measure on a compact group for integration.

1.3. Notations. The following notations will be fixed throughout this paper unless otherwise mentioned. Let G be a connected Lie group with Lie algebra \mathfrak{g}_0 . Let \mathfrak{g} be the complexification of \mathfrak{g}_0 . We assume \mathfrak{g}_0 is reductive and the connected Lie subgroup of G with Lie algebra $[\mathfrak{g}_0, \mathfrak{g}_0]$ has a finite center. Consequently, this subgroup is closed in G . Let θ be a Cartan involution on G and let K be the corresponding maximal compact subgroup of G . θ induces an automorphism on

\mathfrak{g} which we still denote by θ . Let

$$\mathfrak{g}_0 = \mathfrak{k}_0 + \mathfrak{p}_0 \quad \text{and} \quad \mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{k} + \mathfrak{p}$$

be the usual decompositions corresponding to θ . Denote by

$$\bar{\theta} = \theta \circ \bar{} = \bar{} \circ \theta$$

a conjugate linear automorphism of \mathfrak{g} . Notice that the above " $\bar{}$ " means the complex conjugation of \mathfrak{g} with respect to the real form \mathfrak{g}_0 . θ induces a holomorphic automorphism on $G_{\mathbb{C}}$. We still call it θ . Still denote by

$$\bar{\theta} = \theta \circ \bar{} = \bar{} \circ \theta$$

the anti-holomorphic automorphism of $G_{\mathbb{C}}$. Let U be the subgroup of $G_{\mathbb{C}}$ fixed by $\bar{\theta}$. Notice that U is connected; and U is a maximal compact subgroup of $G_{\mathbb{C}}$ when G has a compact center.

Let \mathfrak{q} be a parabolic subalgebra of \mathfrak{g} . We assume \mathfrak{q} is real or θ stable. These are the two cases which are extremely interested in representation theory. Here \mathfrak{q} is real means $\bar{\mathfrak{q}} = \mathfrak{q}$; \mathfrak{q} is θ stable means $\theta(\mathfrak{q}) = \mathfrak{q}$ and $\mathfrak{q} \cap \bar{\mathfrak{q}}$ is a Levi factor of \mathfrak{q} . In both cases we define

$$G' = N_G(\mathfrak{q}) \cap N_G(\bar{\theta}(\mathfrak{q})).$$

Here $N_G(\mathfrak{q})$ means the normalizer of \mathfrak{q} in G , etc. Then G' is a θ stable real reductive group in Harish-Chandra's class. Let \mathfrak{g}'_0 be the Lie algebra of G' and \mathfrak{g}' be the complexification of \mathfrak{g}'_0 . Then \mathfrak{g}' is a Levi factor of \mathfrak{q} . Let \mathfrak{n} be the nilpotent radical of $\mathfrak{q} \cap [\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}]$. Then

$$\mathfrak{q} = \mathfrak{g}' \oplus \mathfrak{n}.$$

Denote by N the connected complex subgroup of $G_{\mathbb{C}}$ with Lie algebra \mathfrak{n} . Denote by $K' = K \cap G'$. We note that G' is also connected in the case of θ stable \mathfrak{q} . We define two maps

$$\theta, \bar{\theta} : G'_{\mathbb{C}} \rightarrow G'_{\mathbb{C}}$$

and a subgroup $U' \subset G'_\mathbb{C}$ analogously.

1.4. Eisenstein integrals. When \mathfrak{q} is real, we can construct representations of G from representations of G' via ordinary parabolic inductions. When \mathfrak{q} is θ stable, we can construct representations of G from representations of G' via cohomological parabolic inductions by using Zuckerman's functors. Harish-Chandra was able to define his Eisenstein integral which can be used to express the matrix coefficient of an ordinary parabolic induced representation. Harish-Chandra has studied Eisenstein integral extensively [3], [4]. We will not talk it in detail in this paper. In stead, we will concentrate on the other case, namely, when \mathfrak{q} is θ stable. Our integral is a generalization of Flensted-Jensen's fundamental functions for discrete series. Harish-Chandra's is a generalization of elementary spherical functions for spherical principle series. Now we are going to have a detailed description of our integral, comparing to Harish-Chandra's.

Fix a finite dimensional continuous representation τ of $K \times K$ on \mathbf{V} . Let

$$(1) \quad \mathbf{V}' = \{v \in \mathbf{V} \mid \tau(X, Y)v = 0 \text{ for all } X \in \mathfrak{n} \cap \mathfrak{k}, Y \in \bar{\mathfrak{n}} \cap \mathfrak{k}\}.$$

Define a representation τ' of $K' \times K'$ on \mathbf{V}' by

$$(2) \quad \tau'(k, l)v = \delta_{\bar{\mathfrak{n}} \cap \mathfrak{p}}(k)\delta_{\mathfrak{n} \cap \mathfrak{p}}(l)\tau(k, l)v.$$

We call τ' the representation \mathfrak{q} -associated to τ . Notice that when \mathfrak{q} is real, we have $\mathbf{V}' = \mathbf{V}$ and $\tau' = \tau$.

We define three spaces of τ -spherical functions by

$$\begin{aligned}
C^\infty(G; \tau) &= \{ f \in C^\infty(G; \mathbf{V}) \mid \\
&\quad f(k_1 x k_2^{-1}) = \tau(k_1, k_2) f(x) \text{ for all } k_1, k_2 \in K, x \in G \}; \\
C^\infty(G_{\mathbb{C}}/U; \tau) &= \{ f \in C^\infty(G_{\mathbb{C}}/U; \mathbf{V}) \mid \\
&\quad f(kx) = \tau(k, \bar{k}) f(x) \text{ for all } k \in K_{\mathbb{C}}, x \in G_{\mathbb{C}}/U \}; \\
C^\infty(G/K; \tau) &= \{ f \in C^\infty(G/K; \mathbf{V}) \mid \\
&\quad f(kx) = \tau(k, k) f(x) \text{ for all } k \in K, x \in G/K \}.
\end{aligned}$$

We will prove that these three spaces can be canonically identified with each other.

We similarly define $C^\infty(G'; \tau')$, $C^\infty(G'_{\mathbb{C}}/U'; \tau')$ and $C^\infty(G'/K'; \tau')$.

When \mathfrak{q} is real, Langlands decomposition enables us to define a real analytic map

$$\begin{aligned}
H_{\mathfrak{q}}: \quad G &\rightarrow G' \times_{K'} K, \\
ngk &\mapsto \text{the class of } (g, k)
\end{aligned}$$

for all $n \in \exp(\mathfrak{n} \cap \mathfrak{g}_0)$, $g \in G'$, $k \in K$, where $G' \times_{K'} K$ means the quotient of $G' \times K$ by K' under the action indicated by the notation. So $G' \times_{K'} K$ is essentially the closed subset $G'K$ of G . When \mathfrak{q} is a θ stable parabolic, denote by $j_{\mathbb{C}}: G'_{\mathbb{C}} \rightarrow G_{\mathbb{C}}$ the complexification of the embedding $j: G' \rightarrow G$. Again by Langlands decomposition we define a real analytic map

$$\begin{aligned}
H_{\mathfrak{q}}: \quad G_{\mathbb{C}}/U &\rightarrow G'_{\mathbb{C}}/U', \\
nj_{\mathbb{C}}(g)U &\mapsto gU'
\end{aligned}$$

for all $n \in N$ and $g \in G'_{\mathbb{C}}$.

We also need normalization factors. When \mathfrak{q} is real, define

$$\delta_{\mathfrak{q}}^{\mathbb{R}}(g, k) = |\delta_{\mathfrak{n}}(g)|^{1/2}, \quad (g, k) \in G' \times_{K'} K.$$

When \mathfrak{q} is a θ stable parabolic, define

$$\delta_{\mathfrak{q}}^{\mathbb{R}}(xU') = \delta_{\mathfrak{n}}(x\bar{\theta}(x^{-1})), \quad xU' \in G'_{\mathbb{C}}/U'.$$

In both cases, $\delta_{\mathfrak{q}}^{\mathbb{R}}$ has positive values everywhere.

When \mathfrak{q} is real, $\phi \in C^{\infty}(G'; \tau')$, extend ϕ to $G' \times_{K'} K$ by

$$\phi(g, k) = \tau(1, k^{-1})\phi(g).$$

Harish-Chandra's Eisenstein integral can be formulated as follows:

$$E_{\mathfrak{q}}(\phi : x) = \int_K \tau(k^{-1}, 1)(\delta_{\mathfrak{q}}^{\mathbb{R}}\phi)(H_{\mathfrak{q}}(kx)) dk \quad x \in G.$$

It turns out easily that $E_{\mathfrak{q}}(\phi) \in C^{\infty}(G; \tau)$. When \mathfrak{q} is a θ stable parabolic, $\phi \in C^{\infty}(G'_{\mathbb{C}}/U'; \tau')$, we define our integral by

$$E_{\mathfrak{q}}(\phi : x) = \int_K \tau(k^{-1}, k^{-1})(\delta_{\mathfrak{q}}^{\mathbb{R}}\phi)(H_{\mathfrak{q}}(kx)) dk \quad x \in G_{\mathbb{C}}/U.$$

We still have $E_{\mathfrak{q}}(\phi) \in C^{\infty}(G_{\mathbb{C}}/U; \tau)$ in our case, but the proof is not as easy as in Harish-Chandra's case. It is in fact one of our main results.

Theorem 1.1. *When \mathfrak{q} is a θ stable parabolic, $E_{\mathfrak{q}}(\phi) \in C^{\infty}(G_{\mathbb{C}}/U; \tau)$ for all $\phi \in C^{\infty}(G'_{\mathbb{C}}/U'; \tau')$.*

We will prove the above theorem later.

Denote by $\tau|_K$ the representation of K on \mathbf{V} given by

$$\tau|_K(k) = \tau(k, k), \quad k \in K.$$

If $k \in K$, denote by

$$\begin{aligned} T_k(x) &= kxk^{-1}, \quad \text{if } x \in G, \quad \text{and} \\ T_k(xU) &= kxU, \quad \text{if } xU \in G_{\mathbb{C}}/U. \end{aligned}$$

Then it's clear that Harish-Chandra's integral and ours can be formulated in the same appearance:

$$E_{\mathfrak{q}}(\phi : x) = \int_K \tau|_K(k^{-1})((\delta_{\mathfrak{q}}^{\mathbb{R}}\phi) \circ H_{\mathfrak{q}} \circ T_k)(x) dk.$$

In both cases, the normalization $\delta_{\mathfrak{q}}^{\mathbb{R}}$ is used to make the integral compatible with the usual normalization of parabolic inductions. Matrix coefficients of parabolic induced representations can be expressed as Harish-Chandra's Eisenstein integrals. We will prove that the matrix coefficients of the bottom layers of cohomologically induced representations can be expressed as our Eisenstein integral. Combining these two, we can find an integral representation of the matrix coefficient of every minimal K type in an arbitrary irreducible (\mathfrak{g}, K) module.

The author would like to thank Prof. Jian-Shu Li, for his initiating of this project and his guidance on study.

2. FLENSTED-JENSEN'S DUALITY

The purpose of this section is to understand the correspondence between $C^\infty(G; \tau)$ and $C^\infty(G_{\mathbb{C}}/U; \tau)$ mentioned in last section. The ideas come from [2].

2.1. Complexification squares. Denote by \mathbf{S}_A a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A_1 & \longrightarrow & A_2 \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ A_3 & \longrightarrow & A_4. \end{array}$$

We call \mathbf{S}_A a complexification square if the followings are satisfied:

- (1) A_1, A_2, A_3 are nonempty connected real analytic manifolds, A_4 is a connected complex manifold;
- (2) All the arrows in \mathbf{S}_A are real analytic maps;
- (3) The differential of the map $A_2 \rightarrow A_4$ is a complexification of real vector space everywhere;
- (4) The same for the map $A_3 \rightarrow A_4$.

The last two conditions essential say that locally A_2 and A_3 are totally real submanifolds of A_4 .

We say \mathbf{S}_A is a complexification square of groups if

- (1) A_1, A_2, A_3 are connected Lie groups; A_4 is a connected complex Lie group.

- (2) All the arrows in \mathbf{S}_A are Lie group homomorphisms;
- (3) The differential of the homomorphism $A_2 \rightarrow A_4$ is a complexification of the Lie algebra of A_2 ;
- (4) The same for the map $A_3 \rightarrow A_4$.

Therefore a complexification square of groups is a complexification square.

Let \mathbf{S}_A be a complexification square (complexification square of groups) as before. Let \mathbf{S}_B

$$\begin{array}{ccc} B_1 & \longrightarrow & B_2 \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ B_3 & \longrightarrow & B_4. \end{array}$$

be another complexification square (complexification square of groups). We define the product $\mathbf{S}_A \times \mathbf{S}_B$ to be the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A_1 \times B_1 & \longrightarrow & A_2 \times B_2 \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ A_3 \times B_3 & \longrightarrow & A_4 \times B_4, \end{array}$$

where the arrows are the corresponding products. Then $\mathbf{S}_A \times \mathbf{S}_B$ is still a complexification square (complexification square of groups). We define an homomorphism from \mathbf{S}_A to \mathbf{S}_B to be four maps

$$f_i : A_i \rightarrow B_i \quad i = 1, 2, 3, 4,$$

such that

- (1) f_1, f_2, f_3 are real analytic maps (Lie group homomorphisms),
- (2) f_4 is a complex analytic map (Complex analytic group homomorphism),

(3) the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 A_1 & \longrightarrow & A_2 \\
 \downarrow & \searrow f_1 \quad \swarrow f_2 & \downarrow \\
 & B_1 \rightarrow B_2 & \\
 & \downarrow \quad \downarrow & \\
 & B_3 \rightarrow B_4 & \\
 \downarrow & \swarrow f_3 \quad \nwarrow f_4 & \downarrow \\
 A_3 & \longrightarrow & A_4.
 \end{array}$$

commutes.

Denote by

$$f = (f_1, f_2, f_3, f_4) : \mathbf{S}_A \rightarrow \mathbf{S}_B$$

for the homomorphism.

Assume \mathbf{S}_A is a complexification square of groups, \mathbf{S}_B is a complexification square, and

$$f = (f_1, f_2, f_3, f_4) : \mathbf{S}_A \times \mathbf{S}_B \rightarrow \mathbf{S}_B$$

is a homomorphism of complexification squares, we say f is an analytic action of \mathbf{S}_A on \mathbf{S}_B if all f_i , $i = 1, 2, 3, 4$ are group actions. We define the concept of a complexification square of Lie algebras in the obvious way. If \mathbf{S}_A is a complexification square of groups, take the Lie algebra of each component of \mathbf{S}_A , we get a complexification square of Lie algebras. We call it the Lie algebra of \mathbf{S}_A .

2.2. Correspondence of functions. Assume \mathbf{S}_A

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 A_1 & \xrightarrow{u_2} & A_2 \\
 u_3 \downarrow & & \downarrow v_2 \\
 A_3 & \xrightarrow{v_3} & A_4.
 \end{array}$$

is a complexification square, and V is a finite dimensional complex vector space.

Fix a base point $a_1 \in A_1$. Denote by

$$a_2 = u_2(a_1), \quad a_3 = u_3(a_1), \quad a_4 = v_2(a_2).$$

Definition 2.1. Let $\phi \in C^\omega(A_2; V)$, and $\psi \in C^\omega(A_3; V)$. ϕ and ψ are said to correspond to each other under \mathbf{S}_A (or $\phi \equiv_{\mathbf{S}_A} \psi$ in notation) if the following condition is satisfied:

There are open neighborhoods U_i of a_i in A_i , $i = 2, 3, 4$ and a holomorphic map f from U_4 to V such that

- (1) $v_2(U_2) \subset U_4$, $v_3(U_3) \subset U_4$, and
- (2) $f \circ (v_2|_{U_2}) = \phi|_{U_2}$, $f \circ (v_3|_{U_3}) = \psi|_{U_3}$.

Notice that any function in $C^\omega(A_2; V)$ corresponds to at most one function in $C^\omega(A_3; V)$, and vice versa.

Lemma 2.2. The above definition is independent of the choice of the base point $a_1 \in A_1$.

Proof. Let $\phi \in C^\omega(A_2; V)$, and $\psi \in C^\omega(A_3; V)$. In this proof, we use

$$\phi \equiv_{a_1} \psi$$

to indicate that ϕ and ψ correspond to each other under the above definition. Denote by

$$A_0 = \{ b \in A_1 \mid \phi \equiv_b \psi \}.$$

We only need to show that A_0 is either A_1 or the empty set. It's clear that A_0 is open in A_1 . We should prove that A_0 is closed. Let b_1 be a point in the closure of A_0 in A_1 . Denote by

$$b_2 = u_2(b_1), \quad b_3 = u_3(b_1), \quad b_4 = v_2(b_2).$$

Choose open connected neighborhoods W_i of b_i in A_i , $i = 1, 2, 3, 4$, so that

$$\begin{aligned} u_2(W_1) &\subset W_2, & u_3(W_1) &\subset W_3, & \text{and} \\ v_2(W_2) &\subset W_4, & v_3(W_3) &\subset W_4. \end{aligned}$$

We choose them small enough, then there are holomorphic functions g and h on W_4 satisfies:

$$\begin{aligned} g \circ (v_2|_{W_2}) &= \phi|_{W_2}, & \text{and} \\ h \circ (v_3|_{W_3}) &= \psi|_{W_3}. \end{aligned}$$

Since b_1 is in the closure of A_0 , there is a point $a_1 \in W_1 \cap A_0$. Denote by

$$a_2 = u_2(a_1), \quad a_3 = u_3(a_1), \quad a_4 = v_2(a_2).$$

By definition, there are open connected neighborhoods U_i of a_i in W_i , $i = 1, 2, 3, 4$, so that there is a holomorphic function f on U_4 satisfies:

- (1) $v_2(U_2) \subset U_4$, $v_3(U_3) \subset U_4$, and
- (2) $f \circ (v_2|_{U_2}) = \phi|_{U_2}$, $f \circ (v_3|_{U_3}) = \psi|_{U_3}$.

Then we have

$$f \circ (v_2|_{U_2}) = g|_{U_4} \circ (v_2|_{U_2}).$$

Therefore

$$f = g|_{U_4}.$$

Similarly

$$f = h|_{U_4}.$$

Now we conclude that $g = h$ and therefore $b_1 \in A_0$. This proves that A_0 is closed. □

The following lemma will be used later, we omit its easy proof.

Lemma 2.3. *Assume both \mathbf{S}_A and \mathbf{S}_B are complexification squares. Let*

$$f = (f_1, f_2, f_3, f_4) : \mathbf{S}_A \rightarrow \mathbf{S}_B$$

be a homomorphism from \mathbf{S}_A to \mathbf{S}_B . Assume that both f_2 and f_3 are submersions.

Let $\phi \in C^\omega(B_2; V)$ and $\psi \in C^\omega(B_3; V)$. Then

$$\phi \equiv_{\mathbf{S}_B} \psi \quad \text{if and only if} \quad \phi \circ f_2 \equiv_{\mathbf{S}_A} \psi \circ f_3.$$

2.3. Differential operators. We can generalize the above considerations to differential operators. Let A be a real analytic manifold, B a complex manifold, and

$$u : A \rightarrow B$$

a real analytic map whose differential is a complexification of real vector space everywhere. Let D be a holomorphic differential operator on B . Then there is a unique analytic differential operator D' on A satisfies the following:

For every open subset U_A of A , U_B of B , and every holomorphic function f on U_B , if $u(U_A) \subset U_B$, then

$$D'(f \circ u|_{U_A}) = D(f) \circ u|_{U_A}.$$

Denote by $u^*(D)$ the differential operator D' .

Assume \mathbf{S}_A

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A_1 & \xrightarrow{u_2} & A_2 \\ u_3 \downarrow & & \downarrow v_2 \\ A_3 & \xrightarrow{v_3} & A_4. \end{array}$$

is a complexification square as before. Fix a base point $a_1 \in A_1$. Recall that

$$a_2 = u_2(a_1), \quad a_3 = u_3(a_1), \quad a_4 = v_2(a_2).$$

Definition 2.4. Let D_2 be an analytic differential operator on A_2 , and D_3 an analytic differential operator on A_3 . D_2 and D_3 are said to correspond to each other under \mathbf{S}_A (or $D_2 \equiv_{\mathbf{S}_A} D_3$ in notation) if the following condition is satisfied:

There are open neighborhoods U_i of a_i in A_i , $i = 2, 3, 4$ and a holomorphic differential operator D on U_4 such that

- (1) $v_2(U_2) \subset U_4$, $v_3(U_3) \subset U_4$, and
- (2) $(v_2|_{U_2})^*(D) = D_2|_{U_2}$, $(v_3|_{U_3})^*(D) = D_3|_{U_3}$.

Again this definition is independent of the choice of the base point $a_1 \in A_1$. An analytic differential operator on A_2 correspond to at most one analytic differential operator on A_3 , and vice versa.

Let V be a finite dimensional complex vector space. The following lemma is obvious.

Lemma 2.5. *Let $\phi \in C^\omega(A_2; V)$, $\psi \in C^\omega(A_3; V)$. Let D_2 be an analytic differential operator on A_2 , and D_3 an analytic differential operator on A_3 . If*

$$\phi \equiv_{\mathbf{S}_A} \psi, \quad \text{and} \quad D_2 \equiv_{\mathbf{S}_A} D_3,$$

then

$$D_2(\phi) \equiv_{\mathbf{S}_A} D_3(\psi).$$

2.4. Some complexification squares. We have a commutative diagram which we denote by \mathbf{S} :

$$\begin{array}{ccc} G/K & \xrightarrow{p_K} & G \\ v_G \downarrow & & \downarrow u_G \\ G_{\mathbb{C}}/U & \xrightarrow{p_U} & G_{\mathbb{C}}, \end{array}$$

where v_G is the map induced by u_G ; p_K is defined by

$$p_K(xK) = x\theta(x^{-1}), \quad x \in G;$$

p_U is defined by

$$p_U(xU) = x\bar{\theta}(x^{-1}), \quad x \in G_{\mathbb{C}}.$$

\mathbf{S} is clearly a complexification square. Denote by \mathbf{S}_G the following complexification square of groups:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} G & \xrightarrow{1 \times \theta} & G \times G \\ u_G \downarrow & & \downarrow u_G \times u_G \\ G_{\mathbb{C}} & \xrightarrow{1 \times \bar{\theta}} & G_{\mathbb{C}} \times G_{\mathbb{C}}. \end{array}$$

We have a natural analytic action T of \mathbf{S}_G on \mathbf{S} . The action of $G \times G$ on G is given by

$$T_{g,l}x = gxl^{-1} \quad g, l, x \in G.$$

The action of $G_{\mathbb{C}} \times G_{\mathbb{C}}$ on $G_{\mathbb{C}}$ is defined similarly. The other two actions are defined by the natural left translations.

Denote by $\mathbf{S}_{\mathfrak{g}}$ the Lie algebra of \mathbf{S}_G , it is the following complexification square of Lie algebras:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathfrak{g}_0 & \xrightarrow{1 \times \theta} & \mathfrak{g}_0 \times \mathfrak{g}_0 \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathfrak{g} & \xrightarrow{1 \times \bar{\theta}} & \mathfrak{g} \times \mathfrak{g}. \end{array}$$

Let V be a finite dimensional complex vector space as before. The action T of \mathbf{S}_G on \mathbf{S} induces a smooth representation T of $G \times G$ on $C^\infty(G; V)$ by

$$\begin{aligned} (T_{g,h}\phi)(x) &= \phi(T_{g^{-1},h^{-1}}(x)) = \phi(g^{-1}xh), \\ g, h, x &\in G, \phi \in C^\infty(G; V) \end{aligned}$$

and also a smooth representation T of $G_{\mathbb{C}}$ on $C^\infty(G_{\mathbb{C}}/U; V)$ by

$$\begin{aligned} (T_g\psi)(x) &= \psi(T_{g^{-1}}(x)) = \psi(g^{-1}x), \\ g &\in G_{\mathbb{C}}, x \in G_{\mathbb{C}}/U, \psi \in C^\infty(G_{\mathbb{C}}/U; V). \end{aligned}$$

Take differential of these two representations, and use the complexifications in the diagram $\mathbf{S}_{\mathfrak{g}}$, we have an action T of $U(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes U(\mathfrak{g})$ on $C^\infty(G; V)$ and $C^\infty(G_{\mathbb{C}}/U; V)$. Notice that here we use the canonical identification of $U(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes U(\mathfrak{g})$ with $U(\mathfrak{g} \times \mathfrak{g})$. This T is in fact the action of analytic differential operators.

Lemma 2.6. *Let $X \otimes Y \in U(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes U(\mathfrak{g})$. Let D_G be the differential operator on G determined by $T_{X \otimes Y}$. Let $D_{G_{\mathbb{C}}/U}$ be the differential operator on $G_{\mathbb{C}}/U$ determined by $T_{X \otimes Y}$. Then*

$$D_G \equiv_{\mathbf{S}} D_{G_{\mathbb{C}}/U}.$$

Proof. Let $D_{\mathbb{C}}$ be the holomorphic differential operator on $G_{\mathbb{C}}$ determined by $X \otimes Y$ and the holomorphic action T of $G_{\mathbb{C}} \times G_{\mathbb{C}}$ on $G_{\mathbb{C}}$. Then

$$(u_G)^*(D_{\mathbb{C}}) = D_G, \quad \text{and} \quad (p_U)^*(D_{\mathbb{C}}) = D_{G_{\mathbb{C}}/U}.$$

□

2.5. Flensted-Jensen's duality for groups. Let τ be a finite dimensional continuous representation of $K \times K$ on \mathbf{V} as before. $C^{\omega}(G; \tau)$, $C^{\omega}(G_{\mathbb{C}}/U; \tau)$ and $C^{\omega}(G/K; \tau)$, etc, are defined in an obvious way.

The following is the main result of this section.

Proposition 2.7. (1) *The pull back of p_K and v_G induces canonical isomorphisms of vector spaces:*

$$C^{\infty}(G; \tau) = C^{\infty}(G/K; \tau) = C^{\infty}(G_{\mathbb{C}}/U; \tau).$$

(2)

$$C^{\omega}(G; \tau) = C^{\omega}(G/K; \tau) = C^{\omega}(G_{\mathbb{C}}/U; \tau)$$

under the above identifications.

(3) *If $\phi \in C^{\omega}(G; \tau)$ and $\psi \in C^{\omega}(G_{\mathbb{C}}/U; \tau)$, then*

$$\phi \equiv_{\mathbf{S}} \psi \quad \text{if and only if} \quad \phi \circ p_K = \psi \circ v_G.$$

Notice that

$$C^{\omega}(G; \tau) = C^{\omega}(G_{\mathbb{C}}/U; \tau)$$

is also an identification of $U(\mathfrak{g})^K \otimes U(\mathfrak{g})^K$ modules.

2.6. More complexification squares. Denote by \mathbf{S}_K the following complexification square of groups

$$\begin{array}{ccc} K & \xrightarrow{1 \times 1} & K \times K \\ u_K \downarrow & & \downarrow u_K \times u_K \\ K_{\mathbb{C}} & \xrightarrow{1 \times -} & K_{\mathbb{C}} \times K_{\mathbb{C}}. \end{array}$$

Denote by $\mathbf{S}_{G/K}$ the complexification square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} G/K & \xrightarrow{1} & G/K \\ 1 \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ G/K & \longrightarrow & G_{\mathbb{C}}/K_{\mathbb{C}}, \end{array}$$

where the right vertical arrow and the bottom horizontal arrow are the maps induced by u_G . We define four maps

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_1 : \quad & K \times G/K && \rightarrow G/K, \\ & (k, xK) && \mapsto kxK; \\ \pi_2 : \quad & (K \times K) \times G/K && \rightarrow G, \\ & (k_1, k_2, xK) && \mapsto k_1 x \theta(x^{-1}) k_2^{-1}; \\ \pi_3 : \quad & K_{\mathbb{C}} \times G/K && \rightarrow G_{\mathbb{C}}/U, \\ & (k, xK) && \mapsto ku_G(x)U; \\ \pi_4 : \quad & (K_{\mathbb{C}} \times K_{\mathbb{C}}) \times G_{\mathbb{C}}/K_{\mathbb{C}} && \rightarrow G_{\mathbb{C}}, \\ & (k_1, k_2, xK_{\mathbb{C}}) && \mapsto k_1 x \theta(x^{-1}) k_2^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Here π_1, π_2, π_3 are real analytic; π_4 is holomorphic.

It's routine to check that the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 K \times G/K & \longrightarrow & (K \times K) \times G/K \\
 \downarrow & \searrow \pi_1 \quad \swarrow \pi_2 & \downarrow \\
 & G/K \rightarrow G & \\
 & \downarrow \quad \downarrow & \\
 & G_{\mathbb{C}}/U \rightarrow G_{\mathbb{C}} & \\
 & \nearrow \pi_3 \quad \nwarrow \pi_4 & \\
 K_{\mathbb{C}} \times G/K & \longrightarrow & (K_{\mathbb{C}} \times K_{\mathbb{C}}) \times G_{\mathbb{C}}/K_{\mathbb{C}}.
 \end{array}$$

Here the outer side square is the product $\mathbf{S}_K \times \mathbf{S}_{G/K}$, and the inner square is \mathbf{S} . Therefore by putting all the four π 's together, we have a homomorphism

$$\pi = (\pi_1, \pi_2, \pi_3, \pi_4) : \mathbf{S}_K \times \mathbf{S}_{G/K} \rightarrow \mathbf{S}.$$

Denote by \mathbf{S}_0 the following complexification square of groups

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 K & \longrightarrow & K \\
 \downarrow & & \downarrow u_K \\
 K & \xrightarrow{u_K} & K_{\mathbb{C}}.
 \end{array}$$

If $a \in K$, we define the actions of a on $K \times G/K$, $(K \times K) \times G/K$, and $K_{\mathbb{C}} \times G/K$ by

$$\begin{aligned}
 (k, xK) &\mapsto (ka^{-1}, axK), \\
 (k_1, k_2, xK) &\mapsto (k_1a^{-1}, k_2a^{-1}, axK), \text{ and} \\
 (k, xK) &\mapsto (ka^{-1}, axK),
 \end{aligned}$$

respectively. If $a \in K_{\mathbb{C}}$, we define the actions of a on $(K_{\mathbb{C}} \times K_{\mathbb{C}}) \times G_{\mathbb{C}}/K_{\mathbb{C}}$ by

$$(k_1, k_2, xK_{\mathbb{C}}) \mapsto (k_1a^{-1}, k_2a^{-1}, axK).$$

It's again routine to check that we have an analytic action of \mathbf{S}_0 on $\mathbf{S}_K \times \mathbf{S}_{G/K}$ by the above actions.

The following lemma says that \mathbf{S} is the quotient of $\mathbf{S}_K \times \mathbf{S}_{G/K}$ by \mathbf{S}_0 , except the complex component. It is implicit in [2]. We omit its proof.

Lemma 2.8. *The maps π_1 , π_2 , and π_3 are surjective real analytic submersions. Each fibre of these maps is a K orbit of the actions defined above.*

2.7. Proof of Proposition 2.7. Denote by

$$\begin{aligned} & C^\infty((K \times K) \times G/K; \tau) \\ &= \{ \phi \in C^\infty((K \times K) \times G/K; \mathbf{V}) \mid \phi(k_1, k_2, x) = \tau(k_1, k_2)\phi(1, 1, x), \\ & \quad \phi(k_1 a^{-1}, k_2 a^{-1}, ax) = \phi(k_1, k_2, x), \quad k_1, k_2, a \in K, x \in G/K \}. \end{aligned}$$

By using the pull back of π_2 we have an identification

$$C^\infty(G; \tau) = C^\infty((K \times K) \times G/K; \tau).$$

We identify G/K with the subset $\{1\} \times \{1\} \times G/K$ of $(K \times K) \times G/K$. Every function in $C^\infty((K \times K) \times G/K; \tau)$ is determined by its restriction to G/K . It's easy to see that by using the restriction we have an identification

$$C^\infty((K \times K) \times G/K; \tau) = C^\infty(G/K; \tau).$$

Similarly denote by

$$\begin{aligned} & C^\infty(K_{\mathbb{C}} \times G/K; \tau) \\ &= \{ \phi \in C^\infty(K_{\mathbb{C}} \times G/K; \mathbf{V}) \mid \phi(k, x) = \tau(k, \bar{k})\phi(1, x), \\ & \quad \phi(ka^{-1}, ax) = \phi(k, x), \quad k \in K_{\mathbb{C}}, a \in K, x \in G/K \}. \end{aligned}$$

Then by using the pull back of π_3 and a restriction map we have canonical identifications

$$C^\infty(G_{\mathbb{C}}/U; \tau) = C^\infty(K_{\mathbb{C}} \times G/K; \tau) = C^\infty(G/K; \tau).$$

Keep these identifications in mind, we get the first assertion of Proposition 2.7. It's clear that under these identifications, real analytic functions correspond to real analytic functions. This proves the second assertion.

For the third assertion of Proposition 2.7. Let $\phi \in C^\omega(G; \mathbf{V})$ and $\psi \in C^\omega(G_{\mathbb{C}}/U; \mathbf{V})$. If $\phi \equiv_{\mathbf{S}} \psi$, then it's clear that

$$\phi \circ p_K = \psi \circ v_G.$$

Now assume

$$\phi \circ p_K = \psi \circ v_G = f_0.$$

Choose a connected open neighborhood C_0 of $1K$ in G/K and a connected open neighborhood C of $1K_{\mathbb{C}}$ in $G_{\mathbb{C}}/K_{\mathbb{C}}$ so that $f_0|_{C_0}$ extends to a holomorphic function f on C . Extend f to $K_{\mathbb{C}} \times K_{\mathbb{C}} \times C$ by

$$f(k_1, k_2, x) = \tau(k_1, k_2)f(x).$$

By this extended f , we easily get that $\phi \circ \pi_2$ and $\psi \circ \pi_3$ correspond to each other under $\mathbf{S}_K \times \mathbf{S}_{G/K}$. By Lemma 2.3 and Lemma 2.8, we know $\phi \equiv_{\mathbf{S}} \psi$. This finishes the proof of the third assertion of Proposition 2.7.

3. A PROOF OF THEOREM 1.1

3.1. An integral formula. In this subsection, we establish an integral formula which is crucial for the proof of Theorem 1.1. The formula is a stronger version of Corollary 11.40 of [12]. We only need to apply the formula to the complex group $K_{\mathbb{C}}$. We formulate it in its full generality as it is interesting in itself. The notations in this subsection are not used in other parts of this paper.

Let G be a real reductive Lie group in Harish-Chandra's class, θ a Cartan involution on G . Let \mathfrak{q}_0 be a parabolic subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}_0 = \text{Lie}(G)$. We introduce

the following notations:

K is the maximal compact subgroup of G fixed by θ .

$\mathfrak{g}_0 = \mathfrak{k}_0 + \mathfrak{p}_0$ is the Cartan decomposition corresponds to θ

Q is the normalizer of \mathfrak{q}_0 in G .

$Q = LN$ is the Levi decomposition so that L is θ stable..

$\mathfrak{q}_0 = \mathfrak{l}_0 + \mathfrak{n}_0$ is the corresponding Levi decomposition at the Lie algebra level.

$\mathfrak{l}'_0 = \mathfrak{l}_0 \cap \mathfrak{p}_0$.

$\delta : L \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^\times$ is the homomorphism defined by the absolute value of
the determinant of the adjoint representation of L on \mathfrak{n}_0 .

By Langlands decomposition, the map

$$(3) \quad \begin{aligned} N \times \mathfrak{l}'_0 \times K &\rightarrow G, \\ (n, X, k) &\mapsto n \exp(X)k \end{aligned}$$

is a real analytic diffeomorphism. We define two maps $\kappa : G \rightarrow K$, and $I : G \rightarrow L$ by $\kappa(nak) = k$, $I(nak) = a$ for all $n \in N$, $a \in \exp(\mathfrak{l}'_0)$, $k \in K$. If $a \in G$, we define a map $\kappa^a : K \rightarrow K$ by $\kappa^a(k) = \kappa(ka)$.

The purpose of this subsection is to prove

Proposition 3.1. *If f is a continuous function on K , then*

$$\int_K f(\kappa^a(k)) dk = \int_K f(k) \delta(I(ka^{-1})) dk.$$

We need some lemmas.

Lemma 3.2. *κ^a and $\kappa^{a^{-1}}$ are inverse to each other. Hence κ^a is an analytic diffeomorphism.*

Proof. Let $k \in K$ and $ka = n_1 s_1 k_1$, where $n_1 \in N$, $s_1 \in \exp(\mathfrak{l}'_0)$, and $k_1 \in K$. Then we have

$$k_1 a^{-1} = s_1^{-1} n_1^{-1} k = (s_1^{-1} n_1^{-1} s_1) s_1^{-1} k.$$

Hence

$$\kappa^{a^{-1}}\kappa^a(k) = \kappa^{a^{-1}}(k_1) = \kappa(k_1a^{-1}) = k.$$

Change a to a^{-1} , we get $\kappa^a\kappa^{a^{-1}}(k) = k$. \square

If $a \in G$, we denote by T_a the right translation of a on $Q \backslash G$. We give $Q \backslash G$ the unique K invariant measure with total mass 1.

Lemma 3.3. *If $k \in K$, then the Jacobian of T_a at Qk is*

$$J_{T_a}(Qk) = \delta(I(ka)).$$

This should be known, we give a proof for the sake of completeness.

Proof. Let $ka = ns\kappa(ka)$, where $n \in N$ and $s = I(ka)$. We have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Q \backslash G & \xrightarrow{T_a} & Q \backslash G \\ T_{k^{-1}} \downarrow & & \downarrow T_{\kappa(ka)^{-1}} \\ Q \backslash G & \xrightarrow{T_{ns}} & Q \backslash G. \end{array}$$

Since $T_{k^{-1}}$ and $T_{\kappa(ka)^{-1}}$ preserve the measure,

$$J_{T_a}(Qk) = J_{T_{ns}}(Q1).$$

The right hand side is the absolute value of the determinant of the tangent map $dT_{ns}|_Q$ at the point Q . We have another commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} G & \xrightarrow{\text{Ad}_{(ns)^{-1}}} & G \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ Q \backslash G & \xrightarrow{T_{ns}} & Q \backslash G. \end{array}$$

Take the tangent map at the identity, we get

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathfrak{g}_0 & \xrightarrow{\text{Ad}_{(ns)^{-1}}} & \mathfrak{g}_0 \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathfrak{g}_0/\mathfrak{q}_0 & \xrightarrow{dT_{ns}|_{Q1}} & \mathfrak{g}_0/\mathfrak{q}_0. \end{array}$$

Hence

$$J_{T_a}(Qk) = J_{T_{ns}}(Q) = |\det(dT_{ns}|_Q)| = \frac{|\det(\text{Ad}_{(ns)^{-1}})|}{|\det(\text{Ad}_{(ns)^{-1}}|_{\mathfrak{q}_0})|} = \frac{1}{\delta(s^{-1})} = \delta(s).$$

□

For any $a \in G$, let J_a be the Jacobian of κ^a .

Lemma 3.4. *J_a is left $K \cap Q$ invariant.*

Proof. For any $k \in K$, we use L_k to denote the left translation on K by k . The lemma comes from the fact that if $k \in K \cap Q$, then the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} K & \xrightarrow{\kappa^a} & K \\ L_k \downarrow & & \downarrow L_k \\ K & \xrightarrow{\kappa^a} & K. \end{array}$$

We omit the easy proof of this fact.

□

Lemma 3.5. *$J_a(k) = \delta(I(ka))$ for all $k \in K$.*

Proof. Let π be the map $K \rightarrow Q \backslash G$, $k \mapsto Qk$. By the above lemma, there is a function J'_a on $Q \backslash G$ such that $J'_a \circ \pi = J_a$. For all continuous function f on $Q \backslash G$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{Q \backslash G} f(T_a(x)) J'_a(x) dx \\ &= \int_K f(T_a(\pi(k))) J'_a(\pi(k)) dk \\ &= \int_K f((\pi(\kappa^a(k)))) J_a(k) dk \quad (T_a \circ \pi = \pi \circ \kappa^a) \\ &= \int_K f(\pi(k)) dk \\ &= \int_{Q \backslash G} f(x) dx \\ &= \int_{Q \backslash G} f(T_a(x)) J_{T_a}(x) dx. \end{aligned}$$

Hence $J'_a = J_{T_a}$. We conclude the proof by Lemma 3.3. \square

Proof of Proposition 3.1.

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_K f(\kappa^a(k)) dk \\ &= \int_K f(\kappa^a(\kappa^{a^{-1}}(k))) J_{a^{-1}}(k) dk \\ &= \int_K f(k) \delta(I(ka^{-1})) dk. \end{aligned}$$

\square

3.2. The proof. We now return to use the notations in section 1.4. Assume \mathfrak{q} is a θ stable parabolic. We apply the results obtained in the last subsection to the group $K_{\mathbb{C}}$. Let \mathfrak{k}'_0 be the Lie algebra of K' . Let N_c be the connected subgroup of $K_{\mathbb{C}}$ with Lie algebra $\mathfrak{n} \cap \mathfrak{k}$. Now the parabolic subgroup is $N_c K'_{\mathbb{C}}$. The map (3) in this case is

$$\begin{aligned} N_c \times \sqrt{-1}\mathfrak{k}'_0 \times K &\rightarrow K_{\mathbb{C}}, \\ (n, X, k) &\mapsto n \exp(X)k. \end{aligned}$$

Define $\kappa : K_{\mathbb{C}} \rightarrow K$; $I : K_{\mathbb{C}} \rightarrow K'_{\mathbb{C}}$; $\kappa^a : K \rightarrow K$, $a \in K_{\mathbb{C}}$; and $\delta : K'_{\mathbb{C}} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{\times}$ as in the last subsection.

Lemma 3.6.

$$H_{\mathfrak{q}}(kax) = (I(\kappa^a(k)a^{-1}))^{-1} H_{\mathfrak{q}}(\kappa^a(k)x)$$

for all $k \in K$, $a \in K_{\mathbb{C}}$ and $x \in G_{\mathbb{C}}/U$.

Proof. Let $ka = n'a'k'$, where $n' \in N_c$, $a' \in \exp(\sqrt{-1}\mathfrak{k}'_0)$, $k' \in K'$. Then

$$\kappa^a(k)a^{-1} = k'a^{-1} = a'^{-1}n'^{-1}k = (a'^{-1}n'^{-1}a')a'^{-1}k.$$

Therefore $I(\kappa^a(k)a^{-1}) = a'^{-1}$. As $H_{\mathfrak{q}}$ is $G'_{\mathbb{C}}$ equivariant and N invariant, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & (I(\kappa^a(k)a^{-1}))^{-1} H_{\mathfrak{q}}(\kappa^a(k)x) \\ &= a' H_{\mathfrak{q}}(\kappa^a(k)x) = H_{\mathfrak{q}}(a' \kappa^a(k)x) \end{aligned}$$

$$= H_{\mathfrak{q}}(a'k'x) = H_{\mathfrak{q}}(n'^{-1}kax) = H_{\mathfrak{q}}(kax)$$

□

Define the representation $\tau|_{K_{\mathbb{C}}}$ of $K_{\mathbb{C}}$ on \mathbf{V} by $\tau|_{K_{\mathbb{C}}}(k) = \tau(k, \bar{k})$.

Lemma 3.7.

$$(\delta_{\mathfrak{q}}^{\mathbb{R}}\phi)(kx) = \delta_{\mathfrak{n} \cap \mathfrak{k}}(k) \delta_{\bar{\mathfrak{n}} \cap \mathfrak{k}}(\bar{k}) \tau|_{K_{\mathbb{C}}}(k) (\delta_{\mathfrak{q}}^{\mathbb{R}}\phi)(x)$$

for all $k \in K'_{\mathbb{C}}$, $x \in G'_{\mathbb{C}}/U'$, and $\phi \in C^{\infty}(G'_{\mathbb{C}}/U'; \tau')$.

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned} & \delta_{\mathfrak{q}}^{\mathbb{R}}\phi(kx) \\ &= \delta_{\mathfrak{q}}^{\mathbb{R}}(kx) \phi(kx) \\ &= \delta_{\mathfrak{n}}(k) \delta_{\mathfrak{n}}(\bar{k}^{-1}) \delta_{\mathfrak{q}}^{\mathbb{R}}(x) \tau'(k, \bar{k}) \phi(x) \\ &= \delta_{\mathfrak{n}}(k) \delta_{\mathfrak{n}}(\bar{k}^{-1}) \delta_{\bar{\mathfrak{n}} \cap \mathfrak{p}}(k) \delta_{\mathfrak{n} \cap \mathfrak{p}}(\bar{k}) \delta_{\mathfrak{q}}^{\mathbb{R}}(x) \tau(k, \bar{k}) \phi(x) \\ &= \delta_{\mathfrak{n} \cap \mathfrak{k}}(k) \delta_{\bar{\mathfrak{n}} \cap \mathfrak{k}}(\bar{k}) \tau|_{K_{\mathbb{C}}}(k) (\delta_{\mathfrak{q}}^{\mathbb{R}}\phi)(x). \end{aligned}$$

□

We omit the proof of the following elementary lemma.

Lemma 3.8.

$$\delta(k^{-1}) \delta_{\mathfrak{n} \cap \mathfrak{k}}(k) \delta_{\bar{\mathfrak{n}} \cap \mathfrak{k}}(\bar{k}) = 1$$

for all $k \in K'_{\mathbb{C}}$.

Proof of Theorem 1.1. Let $a \in K_{\mathbb{C}}$ and $x \in G_{\mathbb{C}}/U$. Write $k' = \kappa^a(k)$, then Lemma 3.6 implies

$$\begin{aligned} & E_{\mathfrak{q}}(\phi : ax) \\ &= \int_K \tau|_K(k^{-1}) (\delta_{\mathfrak{q}}^{\mathbb{R}}\phi)(H_{\mathfrak{q}}(kax)) dk \\ &= \int_K \tau|_K((\kappa^{a^{-1}}(k'))^{-1}) (\delta_{\mathfrak{q}}^{\mathbb{R}}\phi)((I(k'a^{-1}))^{-1}(H_{\mathfrak{q}}(k'x))) dk \end{aligned}$$

Now use Proposition 3.1, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & E_{\mathfrak{q}}(\phi : ax) \\ &= \int_K \delta(I(ka^{-1})) \tau|_K((\kappa^{a^{-1}}(k))^{-1})(\delta_{\mathfrak{q}}^{\mathbb{R}}\phi)((I(ka^{-1}))^{-1}H_{\mathfrak{q}}(kx)) dk. \end{aligned}$$

Write $I' = (I(ka^{-1}))^{-1}$ and $k'' = (\kappa^{a^{-1}}(k))^{-1}$ for simplicity. By Lemma 3.7, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & E_{\mathfrak{q}}(\phi : ax) \\ &= \int_K \delta(I'^{-1}) \tau|_K(k'') \delta_{\mathfrak{n} \cap \mathfrak{k}}(I') \delta_{\bar{\mathfrak{n}} \cap \mathfrak{k}}(\bar{I}') \tau|_{K_{\mathbb{C}}}(I') (\delta_{\mathfrak{q}}^{\mathbb{R}}\phi)(H_{\mathfrak{q}}(kx)) dk \\ &= \int_K (\delta(I'^{-1}) \delta_{\mathfrak{n} \cap \mathfrak{k}}(I') \delta_{\bar{\mathfrak{n}} \cap \mathfrak{k}}(\bar{I}')) \tau|_{K_{\mathbb{C}}}(k'' I') (\delta_{\mathfrak{q}}^{\mathbb{R}}\phi)(H_{\mathfrak{q}}(kx)) dk. \end{aligned}$$

Notice that $k'' I' = ak^{-1}n$ for some $n \in N_c$, and N_c fix the values of ϕ under the action $\tau|_{K_{\mathbb{C}}}$. Therefore Lemma 3.8 implies

$$E_{\mathfrak{q}}(\phi : ax) = \int_K \tau|_{K_{\mathbb{C}}}(ak^{-1}) (\delta_{\mathfrak{q}}^{\mathbb{R}}\phi)(H_{\mathfrak{q}}(kx)) dk = \tau|_{K_{\mathbb{C}}}(a) E_{\mathfrak{q}}(\phi : x).$$

□

4. DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS SATISFIED BY THE INTEGRAL

4.1. The differential equations. From now on throughout this paper, we assume \mathfrak{q} is a θ stable parabolic unless otherwise mentioned. We recall an algebra homomorphism

$$\xi : U(\mathfrak{g})^K \rightarrow U(\mathfrak{g}')^{K'}$$

from [15].

By PBW theorem,

$$U(\mathfrak{g}) = U(\mathfrak{g}') \oplus (\mathfrak{n} U(\mathfrak{g}) + U(\mathfrak{g}) \bar{\mathfrak{n}}).$$

It is known that

$$U(\mathfrak{g})^K \subset U(\mathfrak{g}')^{K'} \oplus (\mathfrak{n} U(\mathfrak{g}) \cap U(\mathfrak{g}) \bar{\mathfrak{n}}).$$

Denote the projection to the first factor by

$$\tilde{\xi} : \mathbf{U}(\mathfrak{g})^K \rightarrow \mathbf{U}(\mathfrak{g}')^{K'}.$$

It is also known that $\tilde{\xi}$ is an algebra homomorphism. Let

$$\eta_{\mathfrak{q}} : \mathbf{U}(\mathfrak{g}') \rightarrow \mathbf{U}(\mathfrak{g}')$$

be the algebra homomorphism so that

$$\eta_{\mathfrak{q}}(X) = X + \delta_{\mathfrak{n}}(X)$$

for all $X \in \mathfrak{g}'$. $\eta_{\mathfrak{q}}$ maps $\mathbf{U}(\mathfrak{g}')^{K'}$ onto itself. Denote by

$$\xi = \eta_{\mathfrak{q}} \circ \tilde{\xi} : \mathbf{U}(\mathfrak{g})^K \rightarrow \mathbf{U}(\mathfrak{g}')^{K'}.$$

Recall that there is a unique anti-automorphism

$${}^{\vee} : \mathbf{U}(\mathfrak{g}) \rightarrow \mathbf{U}(\mathfrak{g})$$

so that

$$X^{\vee} = -X, \quad X \in \mathfrak{g}.$$

Notice that the map " ${}^{\vee}$ " preserves both $\mathbf{U}(\mathfrak{g})^K$ and $\mathbf{U}(\mathfrak{g}')^{K'}$. If A, B are two subalgebras of $\mathbf{U}(\mathfrak{g})$ which are stable under ${}^{\vee}$ and $\eta : A \rightarrow B$ is any algebra homomorphism, denote by $\eta^{\vee} : A \rightarrow B$ the algebra homomorphism making the following diagram commutes

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A & \xrightarrow{\eta} & B \\ {}^{\vee} \downarrow & & \downarrow {}^{\vee} \\ A & \xrightarrow{\eta^{\vee}} & B. \end{array}$$

Let $\mathbf{V}, \tau, \mathbf{V}'$ and τ' be as in section 1.4. Recall that we have an action T of $\mathbf{U}(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes \mathbf{U}(\mathfrak{g})$ on $C^{\infty}(G_{\mathbb{C}}/U; \mathbf{V})$ and $C^{\infty}(G; \mathbf{V})$. Denote by T' the analogous action of $\mathbf{U}(\mathfrak{g}') \otimes \mathbf{U}(\mathfrak{g}')$ on $C^{\infty}(G'_{\mathbb{C}}/U'; \mathbf{V}')$ and $C^{\infty}(G'; \mathbf{V}')$. It's obvious that $T_{\mathbf{U}(\mathfrak{g})^K \otimes \mathbf{U}(\mathfrak{g})^K}$ stabilizes $C^{\infty}(G_{\mathbb{C}}/U; \tau)$, and $T'_{\mathbf{U}(\mathfrak{g}')^{K'} \otimes \mathbf{U}(\mathfrak{g}')^{K'}}$ stabilizes $C^{\infty}(G'_{\mathbb{C}}/U'; \tau')$. The main result of this section is:

Theorem 4.1. *For all $X \otimes Y \in U(\mathfrak{g})^K \otimes U(\mathfrak{g})^K$ and $\phi \in C^\infty(G'_\mathbb{C}/U'; \tau')$,*

$$T_{X \otimes Y} E_{\mathfrak{q}}(\phi) = E_{\mathfrak{q}}(T'_{\xi^\vee(X) \otimes \xi(Y)} \phi).$$

4.2. Proof of Theorem 4.1. *Notice that we also have*

$$U(\mathfrak{g})^K \subset U(\mathfrak{g}')^{K'} \oplus (\bar{\mathfrak{n}} U(\mathfrak{g}) \cap U(\mathfrak{g}) \mathfrak{n}).$$

The projection to the first factor is just the algebra homomorphism

$$\tilde{\xi}^\vee : U(\mathfrak{g})^K \rightarrow U(\mathfrak{g}')^{K'}.$$

Lemma 4.2. *Let $X \otimes Y \in U(\mathfrak{g})^K \otimes U(\mathfrak{g})^K$ and $f \in C^\infty(G_\mathbb{C}/U; \mathbf{V})$. If f is N invariant, then*

$$T_{X \otimes Y} f = T_{\tilde{\xi}^\vee(X) \otimes \tilde{\xi}(Y)} f.$$

Proof. Let $X = X' + X''$, $Y = Y' + Y''$, where $X' = \tilde{\xi}^\vee(X)$, $X'' \in U(\mathfrak{g})\mathfrak{n}$, $Y' = \tilde{\xi}(Y)$, $Y'' \in U(\mathfrak{g})\bar{\mathfrak{n}}$. Notice that under the complexification

$$\begin{aligned} 1 \times \bar{\theta} : \mathfrak{g} &\rightarrow \mathfrak{g} \times \mathfrak{g}, \\ v &\mapsto (v, \bar{\theta}(v)), \end{aligned}$$

The Lie algebra of N has a complexification $\mathfrak{n} \times \bar{\mathfrak{n}}$. Therefore the N invariance of f implies $T_{\mathfrak{n} \times \bar{\mathfrak{n}}} f = 0$, i.e., $T_{\mathfrak{n} \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes \bar{\mathfrak{n}}} f = 0$. So we have

$$T_{X \otimes Y} f = T_{X' \otimes Y'} f = T_{\tilde{\xi}^\vee(X) \otimes \tilde{\xi}(Y)} f.$$

□

Lemma 4.3. *Let $X \otimes Y \in U(\mathfrak{g})^K \otimes U(\mathfrak{g})^K$ and $\phi \in C^\infty(G'_\mathbb{C}/U'; \mathbf{V}')$. Then*

$$T'_{\tilde{\xi}^\vee(X) \otimes \tilde{\xi}(Y)} (\delta_{\mathfrak{q}}^{\mathbb{R}} \phi) = \delta_{\mathfrak{q}}^{\mathbb{R}} T'_{\xi^\vee(X) \otimes \xi(Y)} (\phi).$$

Proof. Let

$$\eta_{\bar{\mathfrak{q}}} : U(\mathfrak{g}') \rightarrow U(\mathfrak{g}')$$

be the algebra homomorphism so that $\eta_{\bar{\mathfrak{q}}}(X) = X + \delta_{\bar{\mathfrak{n}}}(X)$ for all $X \in \mathfrak{g}'$. It's easy to see that $\eta_{\bar{\mathfrak{q}}} = \eta_{\mathfrak{q}}^\vee$ and hence

$$\xi^\vee = (\eta_{\mathfrak{q}} \circ \tilde{\xi})^\vee = \eta_{\bar{\mathfrak{q}}} \circ \tilde{\xi}^\vee.$$

Define an algebra homomorphism

$$\begin{aligned} \eta_0 : \mathbf{U}(\mathfrak{g}' \times \mathfrak{g}') &= \mathbf{U}(\mathfrak{g}') \otimes \mathbf{U}(\mathfrak{g}') \rightarrow \mathbf{U}(\mathfrak{g}' \times \mathfrak{g}') = \mathbf{U}(\mathfrak{g}') \otimes \mathbf{U}(\mathfrak{g}'), \\ X' \otimes Y' &\mapsto \eta_{\bar{\mathfrak{q}}}(X') \otimes \eta_{\mathfrak{q}}(Y'). \end{aligned}$$

We only need to show that

$$T'_Z(\delta_{\mathfrak{q}}^{\mathbb{R}}\phi) = \delta_{\mathfrak{q}}^{\mathbb{R}}T'_{\eta_0(Z)}(\phi)$$

for all $Z \in \mathbf{U}(\mathfrak{g}' \times \mathfrak{g}')$. As $\mathbf{U}(\mathfrak{g}' \times \mathfrak{g}')$ is generated by $\mathfrak{g}' \times \mathfrak{g}'$, it is sufficient to show the above equality holds for $Z \in \mathfrak{g}' \times \mathfrak{g}'$. Now assume $Z = (X', Y') \in \mathfrak{g}' \times \mathfrak{g}'$. Then T'_Z is an action defined by a vector field on $G_{\mathbb{C}}/U'$. Hence

$$T'_Z(\delta_{\mathfrak{q}}^{\mathbb{R}}\phi) = T'_Z(\delta_{\mathfrak{q}}^{\mathbb{R}})\phi + \delta_{\mathfrak{q}}^{\mathbb{R}}T'_Z(\phi).$$

We easily find that

$$T'_Z(\delta_{\mathfrak{q}}^{\mathbb{R}}) = (\delta_{\bar{\mathfrak{n}}}(X') + \delta_{\mathfrak{n}}(Y'))\delta_{\mathfrak{q}}^{\mathbb{R}}.$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} &T'_Z(\delta_{\mathfrak{q}}^{\mathbb{R}}\phi) \\ &= T'_Z(\delta_{\mathfrak{q}}^{\mathbb{R}})\phi + \delta_{\mathfrak{q}}^{\mathbb{R}}T'_Z(\phi) \\ &= \delta_{\mathfrak{q}}^{\mathbb{R}}((\delta_{\bar{\mathfrak{n}}}(X') + \delta_{\mathfrak{n}}(Y'))\phi + T'_Z\phi) \\ &= \delta_{\mathfrak{q}}^{\mathbb{R}}T'_{\eta_0(Z)}(\phi). \end{aligned}$$

□

Proof of Theorem 4.1. Recall that $T_k : G_{\mathbb{C}}/U \rightarrow G_{\mathbb{C}}/U$ is the translation by k for all $k \in K$. Let $\epsilon_{\mathbf{V}}$ be the embedding of \mathbf{V}' into \mathbf{V} . Write

$$f = \epsilon_{\mathbf{V}} \circ (\delta_{\mathfrak{q}}^{\mathbb{R}}\phi) \circ H_{\mathfrak{q}} \in C^\infty(G_{\mathbb{C}}/U; \mathbf{V}).$$

Then

$$E_{\mathfrak{q}}(\phi) = \int_K \tau|_K(k^{-1}) \circ f \circ T_k dk.$$

Notice that

$$T_{X \otimes Y} : C^\infty(G_{\mathbb{C}}/U; \mathbf{V}) \rightarrow C^\infty(G_{\mathbb{C}}/U; \mathbf{V})$$

is a differential operator. Therefore we have

$$\begin{aligned} T_{X \otimes Y} E_{\mathfrak{q}}(\phi) &= \int_K T_{X \otimes Y}(\tau|_K(k^{-1}) \circ f \circ T_k) dk \\ &= \int_K \tau|_K(k^{-1}) \circ T_{X \otimes Y}(f \circ T_k) dk. \end{aligned}$$

$X \otimes Y \in U(\mathfrak{g})^K \otimes U(\mathfrak{g})^K$ implies

$$T_{X \otimes Y}(f \circ T_k) = T_{X \otimes Y}(f) \circ T_k.$$

Hence

$$T_{X \otimes Y} E_{\mathfrak{q}}(\phi) = \int_K \tau|_K(k^{-1}) \circ T_{X \otimes Y}(f) \circ T_k dk.$$

Notice that the map

$$\begin{aligned} C^\infty(G'_{\mathbb{C}}/U'; \mathbf{V}') &\rightarrow C^\infty(G_{\mathbb{C}}/U; \mathbf{V}), \\ \psi &\mapsto \epsilon_{\mathbf{V}} \circ \psi \circ H_{\mathfrak{q}} \end{aligned}$$

is $G'_{\mathbb{C}}$ equivariant. Therefore

$$(4) \quad T_{X' \otimes Y'}(\epsilon_{\mathbf{V}} \circ \psi \circ H_{\mathfrak{q}}) = \epsilon_{\mathbf{V}} \circ T'_{X' \otimes Y'} \psi \circ H_{\mathfrak{q}}$$

for all $X' \otimes Y' \in U(\mathfrak{g}') \otimes U(\mathfrak{g}')$, $\psi \in C^\infty(G'_{\mathbb{C}}/U'; \mathbf{V}')$.

Now we have

$$\begin{aligned} &T_{X \otimes Y}(f) \\ &= T_{\tilde{\xi}^{\vee}(X) \otimes \tilde{\xi}(Y)} f && \text{Lemma 4.2} \\ &= T_{\tilde{\xi}^{\vee}(X) \otimes \tilde{\xi}(Y)} (\epsilon_{\mathbf{V}} \circ (\delta_{\mathfrak{q}}^{\mathbb{R}} \phi) \circ H_{\mathfrak{q}}) \\ &= \epsilon_{\mathbf{V}} \circ (T'_{\tilde{\xi}^{\vee}(X) \otimes \tilde{\xi}(Y)} (\delta_{\mathfrak{q}}^{\mathbb{R}} \phi)) \circ H_{\mathfrak{q}} && \text{By (4)} \\ &= \epsilon_{\mathbf{V}} \circ (\delta_{\mathfrak{q}}^{\mathbb{R}} T'_{\tilde{\xi}^{\vee}(X) \otimes \tilde{\xi}(Y)} \phi) \circ H_{\mathfrak{q}}. && \text{Lemma 4.3} \end{aligned}$$

In conclusion,

$$\begin{aligned}
& T_{X \otimes Y} E_{\mathfrak{q}}(\phi) \\
&= \int_K \tau|_K(k^{-1}) \circ T_{X \otimes Y}(f) \circ T_k dk \\
&= \int_K \tau|_K(k^{-1}) \circ \epsilon_{\mathbf{V}} \circ (\delta_{\mathfrak{q}}^{\mathbb{R}} T'_{\xi^{\vee}(X) \otimes \xi(Y)} \phi) \circ H_{\mathfrak{q}} \circ T_k dk \\
&= E_{\mathfrak{q}}(T'_{\xi^{\vee}(X) \otimes \xi(Y)} \phi).
\end{aligned}$$

□

5. ACTIONS OF $U(\mathfrak{g})^K$ ON BOTTOM LAYERS OF COHOMOLOGICALLY INDUCED MODULES

5.1. Zuckerman's functors. We call a vector space a weak $(U(\mathfrak{g})^K, K)$ module if it is a locally finite K module as well as a $U(\mathfrak{g})^K$ module so that the K action and the $U(\mathfrak{g})^K$ action commute. Notice that every (\mathfrak{g}, K) module is a weak $(U(\mathfrak{g})^K, K)$ module. In this section, we study the weak $(U(\mathfrak{g})^K, K)$ module structure of a bottom layer of a cohomologically induced module. We recall some notations from [12] for cohomological inductions and bottom layer maps.

Γ^0 is the Zuckerman functor from the category of (\mathfrak{g}, K') modules to the category of (\mathfrak{g}, K) modules. It's given by

$$\begin{aligned}
\Gamma^0(M) = & \text{sum of all finite dimensional } \mathfrak{k} \text{ invariant subspaces} \\
& \text{of } M \text{ for which the action of } \mathfrak{k} \text{ globalize to } K.
\end{aligned}$$

This is a left exact covariant functor. Let Γ^i be its i^{th} derived functor, $i = 0, 1, 2, \dots$. Γ_K^0 is the Zuckerman functor from the category of (\mathfrak{k}, K') modules to the category of (\mathfrak{k}, K) modules. Γ_K^i , $i = 0, 1, 2, \dots$, are its derived functors.

$\text{pro}_{\mathfrak{q}, K'}^{\mathfrak{g}, K'}$ is the exact covariant functor from the category of (\mathfrak{q}, K') modules to the category of (\mathfrak{g}, K') modules given by

$$\text{pro}_{\mathfrak{q}, K'}^{\mathfrak{g}, K'}(M) = \text{Hom}_{U(\mathfrak{q})}(U(\mathfrak{g}), M)_{K'},$$

where the $U(\mathfrak{g})$ action on $\text{Hom}_{U(\mathfrak{q})}(U(\mathfrak{g}), M)$ is given by

$$(X\phi)(u) = \phi(uX), \quad X, u \in U(\mathfrak{g}), \phi \in \text{Hom}_{U(\mathfrak{q})}(U(\mathfrak{g}), M),$$

the K' action on $\text{Hom}_{U(\mathfrak{q})}(U(\mathfrak{g}), M)$ is given by

$$(k\phi)(u) = k(\phi(\text{Ad}_{k^{-1}} u)), \quad k \in K', u \in U(\mathfrak{g}), \phi \in \text{Hom}_{U(\mathfrak{q})}(U(\mathfrak{g}), M),$$

and $\text{Hom}_{U(\mathfrak{q})}(U(\mathfrak{g}), M)_{K'}$ means the K' finite vectors of $\text{Hom}_{U(\mathfrak{g})}(U(\mathfrak{g}), M)$. $\text{pro}_{\mathfrak{q} \cap \mathfrak{k}, K'}^{\mathfrak{k}, K'}$ is the analogous exact covariant functor from the category of $(\mathfrak{q} \cap \mathfrak{k}, K')$ modules to the category of (\mathfrak{k}, K') modules. \mathcal{F} is used to denote forgetful functors. For example, $\mathcal{F}_{\mathfrak{g}', K'}^{\mathfrak{q}, K'}$ is the forgetful functor from the category of (\mathfrak{g}', K') modules to the category of (\mathfrak{q}, K') modules via trivial \mathfrak{n} action.

Let M' be a (\mathfrak{g}', K') module and define another (\mathfrak{g}', K') module

$$M'^{\#} = M' \otimes \wedge^{\text{top}} \mathfrak{n}.$$

Define

$$\mathcal{R}^i(M') = (\Gamma^i \circ \text{pro}_{\mathfrak{q}, K'}^{\mathfrak{g}, K'} \circ \mathcal{F}_{\mathfrak{g}', K'}^{\mathfrak{q}, K'})(M'^{\#}).$$

\mathcal{R}^i is the cohomological induction functor from the category of (\mathfrak{g}', K') modules to the category of (\mathfrak{g}, K) modules. Define

$$\mathcal{R}_o^i(M') = (\Gamma_K^i \circ \mathcal{F}_{\mathfrak{g}, K'}^{\mathfrak{k}, K'} \circ \text{pro}_{\mathfrak{q}, K'}^{\mathfrak{g}, K'} \circ \mathcal{F}_{\mathfrak{g}', K'}^{\mathfrak{q}, K'})(M'^{\#}).$$

Notice that $U(\mathfrak{g})^K$ acts on $(\mathcal{F}_{\mathfrak{g}, K'}^{\mathfrak{k}, K'} \circ \text{pro}_{\mathfrak{q}, K'}^{\mathfrak{g}, K'} \circ \mathcal{F}_{\mathfrak{g}', K'}^{\mathfrak{q}, K'})(M'^{\#})$ by (\mathfrak{k}, K') module endomorphisms. Therefore by using the functor Γ_K^i , we have an action of $U(\mathfrak{g})^K$ on $\mathcal{R}_o^i(M')$ by (\mathfrak{k}, K) module endomorphisms. Define

$$\mathcal{R}_K^i(M') = (\Gamma_K^i \circ \text{pro}_{\mathfrak{q} \cap \mathfrak{k}, K'}^{\mathfrak{k}, K'} \circ \mathcal{F}_{\mathfrak{g}', K'}^{\mathfrak{q} \cap \mathfrak{k}, K'})(M'^{\#}).$$

Notice that $U(\mathfrak{g}')^{K'}$ acts on $\mathcal{F}_{\mathfrak{g}', K'}^{\mathfrak{q} \cap \mathfrak{k}, K'}(M'^{\#})$ by $(\mathfrak{q} \cap \mathfrak{k}, K')$ module endomorphisms. Therefore by applying the functor $\text{pro}_{\mathfrak{q} \cap \mathfrak{k}, K'}^{\mathfrak{k}, K'}$, we have an action of $U(\mathfrak{g}')^{K'}$ on $(\text{pro}_{\mathfrak{q} \cap \mathfrak{k}, K'}^{\mathfrak{k}, K'} \circ \mathcal{F}_{\mathfrak{g}', K'}^{\mathfrak{q} \cap \mathfrak{k}, K'})(M'^{\#})$ by (\mathfrak{k}, K') module endomorphisms. Then by applying Γ_K^i , we have an action of $U(\mathfrak{g}')^{K'}$ on $\mathcal{R}_K^i(M')$ by (\mathfrak{k}, K) module endomorphisms.

Lemma 5.1. *For any (\mathfrak{g}', K') module M' , $\mathcal{R}^i(M')$ is canonically isomorphic to $\mathcal{R}_o^i(M')$ as weak $(U(\mathfrak{g})^K, K)$ modules.*

Proof. Write $M'' = (\text{pro}_{\mathfrak{q}, K'}^{\mathfrak{g}, K'} \circ \mathcal{F}_{\mathfrak{g}', K'}^{\mathfrak{q}, K'})(M'^{\#})$ and let

$$0 \rightarrow M'' \rightarrow J_0 \rightarrow J_1 \rightarrow J_2 \rightarrow \cdots$$

be an injective resolution of M'' in the category of (\mathfrak{g}, K') modules. By Proposition 2.57 of [12], the exact functor $\mathcal{F}_{\mathfrak{g}, K'}^{\mathfrak{k}, K'}$ sends injectives to injectives. Therefore

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_{\mathfrak{g}, K'}^{\mathfrak{k}, K'}(M'') \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_{\mathfrak{g}, K'}^{\mathfrak{k}, K'}(J_0) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_{\mathfrak{g}, K'}^{\mathfrak{k}, K'}(J_1) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_{\mathfrak{g}, K'}^{\mathfrak{k}, K'}(J_2) \rightarrow \cdots$$

is an injective resolution of $\mathcal{F}_{\mathfrak{g}, K'}^{\mathfrak{k}, K'}(M'')$ in the category of (\mathfrak{k}, K') modules.

Notice that

$$0 \rightarrow \Gamma^0(J_0) \rightarrow \Gamma^0(J_1) \rightarrow \Gamma^0(J_2) \rightarrow \cdots$$

and

$$0 \rightarrow \Gamma_K^0(\mathcal{F}_{\mathfrak{g}, K'}^{\mathfrak{k}, K'}(J_0)) \rightarrow \Gamma_K^0(\mathcal{F}_{\mathfrak{g}, K'}^{\mathfrak{k}, K'}(J_1)) \rightarrow \Gamma_K^0(\mathcal{F}_{\mathfrak{g}, K'}^{\mathfrak{k}, K'}(J_2)) \rightarrow \cdots$$

are exactly the same as sequences of weak $(U(\mathfrak{g})^K, K)$ modules. Take the i^{th} cohomology of both sequences, we get $\mathcal{R}^i(M') = \mathcal{R}_o^i(M')$ as weak $(U(\mathfrak{g})^K, K)$ modules. \square

5.2. Bottom layer maps. Let M' be a (\mathfrak{g}', K') module. Define a (\mathfrak{k}, K') module homomorphism

$$\beta_{M'} : (\mathcal{F}_{\mathfrak{g}, K'}^{\mathfrak{k}, K'} \circ \text{pro}_{\mathfrak{q}, K'}^{\mathfrak{g}, K'} \circ \mathcal{F}_{\mathfrak{g}', K'}^{\mathfrak{q}, K'})(M'^{\#}) \rightarrow (\text{pro}_{\mathfrak{q} \cap \mathfrak{k}, K'}^{\mathfrak{k}, K'} \circ \mathcal{F}_{\mathfrak{g}, K'}^{\mathfrak{q} \cap \mathfrak{k}, K'})(M'^{\#})$$

by

$$(\beta_{M'}(\phi))(r) = \phi(r), \quad \phi \in (\mathcal{F}_{\mathfrak{g}, K'}^{\mathfrak{k}, K'} \circ \text{pro}_{\mathfrak{q}, K'}^{\mathfrak{g}, K'} \circ \mathcal{F}_{\mathfrak{g}', K'}^{\mathfrak{q}, K'})(M'^{\#}), \quad r \in U(\mathfrak{k}).$$

Lemma 5.2. *For all $X \in U(\mathfrak{g})^K$, the following diagram commutes*

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 (\mathcal{F}_{\mathfrak{g},K'}^{\mathfrak{k},K'} \circ \text{pro}_{\mathfrak{q},K'}^{\mathfrak{g},K'} \circ \mathcal{F}_{\mathfrak{g}',K'}^{\mathfrak{q},K'})(M'^{\#}) & \xrightarrow{\beta_{M'}} & (\text{pro}_{\mathfrak{q} \cap \mathfrak{k},K'}^{\mathfrak{k},K'} \circ \mathcal{F}_{\mathfrak{g}',K'}^{\mathfrak{q} \cap \mathfrak{k},K'})(M'^{\#}) \\
 \downarrow X & & \downarrow \tilde{\xi}(X) \\
 (\mathcal{F}_{\mathfrak{g},K'}^{\mathfrak{k},K'} \circ \text{pro}_{\mathfrak{q},K'}^{\mathfrak{g},K'} \circ \mathcal{F}_{\mathfrak{g}',K'}^{\mathfrak{q},K'})(M'^{\#}) & \xrightarrow{\beta_{M'}} & (\text{pro}_{\mathfrak{q} \cap \mathfrak{k},K'}^{\mathfrak{k},K'} \circ \mathcal{F}_{\mathfrak{g}',K'}^{\mathfrak{q} \cap \mathfrak{k},K'})(M'^{\#}).
 \end{array}$$

Proof. Let

$$X = aX_1 + X_2,$$

where $a \in \mathfrak{n}$, $X_1 \in U(\mathfrak{g})$, and

$$X_2 = \tilde{\xi}(X) \in U(\mathfrak{g}')^{K'}.$$

Let

$$\phi \in (\mathcal{F}_{\mathfrak{g},K'}^{\mathfrak{k},K'} \circ \text{pro}_{\mathfrak{q},K'}^{\mathfrak{g},K'} \circ \mathcal{F}_{\mathfrak{g}',K'}^{\mathfrak{q},K'})(M'^{\#}) \subset \text{Hom}_{U(\mathfrak{q})}(U(\mathfrak{g}), M'^{\#}),$$

and $r \in U(\mathfrak{k})$. We have

$$\begin{aligned}
 & (\beta_{M'}(X\phi))(r) \\
 &= (X\phi)(r) = \phi(rX) = \phi(Xr) \\
 &= \phi(aX_1r) + \phi(X_2r) \\
 &= a(\phi(X_1)) + X_2(\phi(r)) \\
 &= X_2(\beta_{M'}(\phi)(r)) \\
 &= \tilde{\xi}(X)(\beta_{M'}(\phi))(r).
 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\beta_{M'}(X\phi) = \tilde{\xi}(X)(\beta_{M'}(\phi)).$$

□

Apply the functor Γ_K^i to $\beta_{M'}$, we define the bottom layer map

$$\mathcal{B}_{M'} = \Gamma_K^i(\beta_{M'}) : \mathcal{R}_o^i(M') \rightarrow \mathcal{R}_K^i(M').$$

Apply Γ_K^i to the commutative diagram of the above Lemma, we get

Proposition 5.3. *For all $X \in U(\mathfrak{g})^K$, the following diagram commutes:*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{R}_o^i(M') & \xrightarrow{\mathcal{B}_{M'}} & \mathcal{R}_K^i(M') \\ X \downarrow & & \tilde{\xi}(X) \downarrow \\ \mathcal{R}_o^i(M') & \xrightarrow{\mathcal{B}_{M'}} & \mathcal{R}_K^i(M'). \end{array}$$

5.3. Bottom layers. Let σ be a finite dimensional continuous representation of K on W . Let

$$(5) \quad W' = \{ v \in W \mid \sigma(\mathfrak{n} \cap \mathfrak{k})v = 0 \}.$$

Define a representation σ' of K' on W' by

$$(6) \quad \sigma'(k)v = \delta_{\mathfrak{n} \cap \mathfrak{p}}(k)\sigma(k)v, \quad k \in K', v \in W.$$

We call σ' the representation \mathfrak{q} -associated to σ . If σ is irreducible of type α , then σ' is also irreducible. We call the equivalent class of σ' the K' type \mathfrak{q} -associated to α .

From now on in this section, we fix a K type α . We also fix an irreducible representation σ_0 of K of type α on a vector space W_α . Let σ'_0 be the representation of K' on $W_{\alpha'}$ which is \mathfrak{q} -associated to σ_0 , and α' be the K' type \mathfrak{q} -associated to α .

Denote by $S = \dim(\mathfrak{n} \cap \mathfrak{k})$ as usual. The most interesting case of cohomological induction is when $i = S$. Denote by $M = \mathcal{R}^S(M')$ and $L = \text{Hom}_{K'}(W_{\alpha'}, M')$. L is a $U(\mathfrak{g}')^{K'}$ module by the action on M' . We make L a $U(\mathfrak{g})^K$ module by the formula

$$Xv = \xi(X)v, \quad X \in U(\mathfrak{g})^K, v \in L.$$

$L \otimes W_\alpha$ is a weak $(U(\mathfrak{g})^K, K)$ module by the action of $U(\mathfrak{g})^K$ on the first factor, and the action of K on the second factor.

Definition 5.4. Let M' be a (\mathfrak{g}', K') module, $M = \mathcal{R}^S(M')$. α is said to be in the bottom layer of M if the map induced by $\mathcal{B}_{M'}$,

$$\mathcal{B}_{M'}(\alpha) : M(\alpha) = \mathcal{R}_\circ^S(M')(\alpha) \rightarrow \mathcal{R}_K^S(M')(\alpha)$$

is bijective and nonzero.

We know that under a weak condition on M' , α is in the bottom layer of M if and only if $M'(\alpha') \neq 0$. The condition holds when M' has an infinitesimal character ([12], Theorem 5.80, Corollary 5.72). I don't know whether the following result is new or not.

Proposition 5.5. With the notations as above. If α is in the bottom layer of M , then $M(\alpha)$ is isomorphic to $L \otimes W_\alpha$ as a weak $(U(\mathfrak{g})^K, K)$ module.

Proof. We easily find that the following diagram commutes

$$\begin{array}{ccc} M'^{\#} & \xlongequal{\quad} & M' \otimes \wedge^{\text{top}} \mathfrak{n} \\ X \downarrow & & \eta_{\mathfrak{q}}(X) \otimes 1 \downarrow \\ M'^{\#} & \xlongequal{\quad} & M' \otimes \wedge^{\text{top}} \mathfrak{n} \end{array}$$

for all $X \in U(\mathfrak{g}')$. This implies another commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Hom}_{K'}(W_{\alpha'} \otimes \wedge^{\text{top}} \mathfrak{n}, M'^{\#}) & \xlongequal{\quad} & \text{Hom}_{K'}(W_{\alpha'}, M') \\ X \downarrow & & \eta_{\mathfrak{q}}(X) \downarrow \\ \text{Hom}_{K'}(W_{\alpha'} \otimes \wedge^{\text{top}} \mathfrak{n}, M'^{\#}) & \xlongequal{\quad} & \text{Hom}_{K'}(W_{\alpha'}, M') \end{array}$$

for all $X \in U(\mathfrak{g}')^{K'}$. Since

$$H^0(\mathfrak{n} \cap \mathfrak{k}, W_\alpha) \otimes \wedge^{\text{top}}(\mathfrak{n} \cap \mathfrak{k}) \cong W_{\alpha'} \otimes \wedge^{\text{top}} \mathfrak{n}$$

as K' modules, Theorem 4.155 of [12] gives an isomorphism

$$\text{Hom}_K(W_\alpha, \mathcal{R}_K^S(M')) \cong \text{Hom}_{K'}(W_{\alpha'} \otimes \wedge^{\text{top}} \mathfrak{n}, M'^{\#}).$$

The naturalness implies this is an isomorphism of $U(\mathfrak{g}')^{K'}$ modules. Therefore Proposition 5.3 and the above commutative diagram implies we have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathrm{Hom}_K(W_\alpha, \mathcal{R}^S(M')) & \longrightarrow & L = \mathrm{Hom}_{K'}(W_{\alpha'}, M') \\ X \downarrow & & \xi(X) \downarrow \\ \mathrm{Hom}_K(W_\alpha, \mathcal{R}^S(M')) & \longrightarrow & L = \mathrm{Hom}_{K'}(W_{\alpha'}, M') \end{array}$$

for all $X \in U(\mathfrak{g})^K$. The horizontal maps are bijective as α is in the bottom layer. This finishes the proof. \square

6. MATRIX COEFFICIENT OF A BOTTOM LAYER

6.1. The matrix coefficient of a given K type. Let W be a finite dimensional weak $(U(\mathfrak{g})^K, K)$ module. Denote by σ the actions of $U(\mathfrak{g})^K$ and K on W . Define $\mathcal{A}_W(G)$ to be the space of all functions $\phi \in C^\infty(G; \mathrm{End}_{\mathbb{C}}(W))$ so that

$$\begin{aligned} \phi(k_1 x k_2) &= \sigma(k_1) \circ \phi(x) \circ \sigma(k_2), & k_1, k_2 \in K, x \in G, \text{ and} \\ T_{X \otimes Y} \phi(x) &= \sigma(X^\vee) \circ \phi(x) \circ \sigma(Y), & x \in G, X \otimes Y \in U(\mathfrak{g})^K \otimes U(\mathfrak{g})^K. \end{aligned}$$

Notice that every function in $\mathcal{A}_W(G)$ is real analytic.

If M is an admissible (\mathfrak{g}, K) module, denote by M^c the contragredient (\mathfrak{g}, K) module of M . If V is a finite dimensional continuous K module and α is a K type, define V^c and α^c similarly. Let's recall the basic fact of the existence and uniqueness of the matrix coefficient map.

Proposition 6.1. ([1], Theorem 8.7) *If M is an admissible (\mathfrak{g}, K) module, then there is a unique $(\mathfrak{g} \times \mathfrak{g}, K \times K)$ intertwining linear map*

$$c_M : M^c \otimes M \rightarrow C^\infty(G; \mathbb{C})$$

such that

$$c_M(u \otimes v)(1) = \langle u, v \rangle, \quad u \otimes v \in M^c \otimes M,$$

where 1 is the identity element of G .

Now let M be an arbitrary finitely generated admissible (\mathfrak{g}, K) module, α a K type, and $W = M(\alpha)$. Then W is a weak $(U(\mathfrak{g})^K, K)$ module. $W^c = M^c(\alpha^c)$ is the contragredient of the K module W . We use $c_{M,\alpha}$ to denote the function on G with values in $\text{End}_{\mathbb{C}}(W)$ defined by

$$\langle u, c_{M,\alpha}(x)(v) \rangle = c_M(u \otimes v)(x), \quad u \in W^c, v \in W, x \in G,$$

where c_M is the matrix coefficient map in the above proposition. The function $c_{M,\alpha}$ can also be described as the following. Take a Hilbert representation

$$\pi_G : G \times M_G \rightarrow M_G$$

whose underlying (\mathfrak{g}, K) module is M . Let

$$s_W : M_G \rightarrow W$$

be the continuous linear map so that its restriction to $M_G(\beta)$ is zero for all K type β other than α , and its restriction to W is the identity map. Then we have

$$c_{M,\alpha}(x) = s_W \circ \pi_G(x) \circ j_W \in \text{End}_{\mathbb{C}}(W), \quad x \in G,$$

where j_W is the inclusion of W into M_G . By the above formula, it's not difficult to see that $c_{M,\alpha} \in \mathcal{A}_W(G)$. It's obvious that $c_{M,\alpha}(1)$ is the identity map on W . The following proposition says that these two conditions determines $c_{M,\alpha}$ uniquely.

Proposition 6.2. *Let W be a finite dimensional weak $(U(\mathfrak{g})^K, K)$ module. If W is primary as a K module, then the map $\phi \mapsto \phi(1)$ gives an injection from $\mathcal{A}_W(G)$ into $\text{End}_{U(\mathfrak{g})^K, K}(W)$.*

Proof. Let $\phi \in \mathcal{A}_W(G)$. It's easy to see that $\phi(1) \in \text{End}_{U(\mathfrak{g})^K, K}(W)$. Now we assume $\phi(1) = 0$.

Assume $W = L \otimes W_0$, where L is a $U(\mathfrak{g})^K$ module and W_0 is an irreducible K module. Define a map

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Tr}_0 : \text{End}_{\mathbb{C}}(W) = \text{End}_{\mathbb{C}}(L) \otimes \text{End}_{\mathbb{C}}(W_0) &\rightarrow \text{End}_{\mathbb{C}}(L), \\ x \otimes y &\mapsto \text{Tr}(y)x. \end{aligned}$$

Let $\tilde{\phi} = \text{Tr}_0 \circ \phi$ be an $\text{End}_{\mathbb{C}}(L)$ valued real analytic function, and denote by σ_0 the action of $U(\mathfrak{g})^K$ on L . Then we have

$$\begin{cases} \tilde{\phi}(1) = 0; \\ \tilde{\phi}(kxk^{-1}) = \tilde{\phi}(x), & x \in G, k \in K; \\ T_{X \otimes Y} \tilde{\phi}(x) = \sigma_0(X^\vee) \circ \tilde{\phi}(x) \circ \sigma_0(Y), & x \in G, X \otimes Y \in U(\mathfrak{g})^K \otimes U(\mathfrak{g})^K. \end{cases}$$

We essentially copy the following arguments from [2] to deduce that $\tilde{\phi} = 0$. Let $X \in U(\mathfrak{g})$. Denote by

$$\tilde{X} = \int_K \text{Ad}_k X dk \in U(\mathfrak{g})^K.$$

We have

$$T_{X \otimes 1} \tilde{\phi}(1) = \text{Ad}_k(T_{X \otimes 1} \tilde{\phi})(1) = T_{\text{Ad}_k(X) \otimes 1} (\text{Ad}_k \tilde{\phi})(1) = T_{\text{Ad}_k(X) \otimes 1} \tilde{\phi}(1)$$

for all $k \in K$. Integrate the above equality over K we get

$$T_{X \otimes 1} \tilde{\phi}(1) = T_{\tilde{X} \otimes 1} \tilde{\phi}(1) = \sigma(\tilde{X}^\vee) \circ (\tilde{\phi}(1)) = 0.$$

Therefore $\tilde{\phi} = 0$ since it is real analytic and the group G is connected.

Let $\mathbf{V}_0 = \text{Span}\{\phi(x) \mid x \in G\}$ be a subspace of

$$\text{End}_{\mathbb{C}}(W) = \text{End}_{\mathbb{C}}(L) \otimes \text{End}_{\mathbb{C}}(W_0).$$

Since \mathbf{V}_0 is $K \times K$ stable,

$$\mathbf{V}_0 = \mathbf{V}_1 \otimes \text{End}_{\mathbb{C}}(W_0)$$

for some subspace \mathbf{V}_1 of $\text{End}_{\mathbb{C}}(L)$. Now it's clear that $\tilde{\phi} = 0$ implies $\mathbf{V}_1 = 0$, and consequently $\phi = 0$. \square

6.2. Matrix coefficient of a bottom layer. Now we are able to get the result on the matrix coefficients of a bottom layer of a cohomologically induced module. Let $M = \mathcal{R}^S(M')$ be a cohomologically induced module, where $S = \dim(\mathfrak{n} \cap \mathfrak{k})$ and M' is a finitely generated admissible (\mathfrak{g}', K') module. Let $\alpha, \alpha', W_\alpha, W_{\alpha'}$ and L be as in section 5.3. Assume α is in the bottom layer of M . Denote by

$$W' = M'(\alpha') = L \otimes W_{\alpha'}$$

as a weak $(U(\mathfrak{g}')^{K'}, K')$ module. Denote by

$$W = L \otimes W_\alpha$$

as a weak $(U(\mathfrak{g})^K, K)$ module. Proposition 5.5 says that $W \cong M(\alpha)$ as weak $(U(\mathfrak{g})^K, K)$ modules. We fix an isomorphism and identify W with $M(\alpha)$. Denote by σ the actions of $U(\mathfrak{g})^K$ and K on W ; and σ' the actions of $U(\mathfrak{g}')^{K'}$ and K' on W' .

Define a representation τ of $K \times K$ on $\text{End}_{\mathbb{C}}(W)$ by

$$\tau(k, l)(f) = \sigma(k) \circ f \circ \sigma(l^{-1}), \quad k, l \in K, f \in \text{End}_{\mathbb{C}}(W),$$

and a representation τ' of $K' \times K'$ on $\text{End}_{\mathbb{C}}(W')$ by

$$\tau'(k, l)(f) = \sigma'(k) \circ f \circ \sigma'(l^{-1}), \quad k, l \in K', f \in \text{End}_{\mathbb{C}}(W').$$

We have a decomposition

$$W = W' \oplus \sigma(\bar{\mathfrak{n}} \cap \mathfrak{k})W.$$

Therefore we may view every element of $\text{End}_{\mathbb{C}}(W')$ as an element of $\text{End}_{\mathbb{C}}(W)$ which vanishes on $\sigma(\bar{\mathfrak{n}} \cap \mathfrak{k})W$. Denote by

$$j_{\mathfrak{q}} : \text{End}_{\mathbb{C}}(W') \rightarrow \text{End}_{\mathbb{C}}(W)$$

the corresponding embedding.

Lemma 6.3. $j_{\mathfrak{q}}$ induces an isomorphism from τ' to the representation \mathfrak{q} -associated to τ .

We omit the straight forward proof.

We have defined the matrix coefficient $c_{M,\alpha} \in \mathcal{A}_W(G)$. Similarly we have the matrix coefficient $c_{M',\alpha'} \in \mathcal{A}_{W'}(G')$. Proposition 6.2 tells us that $c_{M,\alpha}$ is the unique element in $\mathcal{A}_W(G)$ such that

$$c_{M,\alpha}(1) = 1_W.$$

The same holds for $c_{M',\alpha'}$. Notice that

$$\mathcal{A}_W(G) \subset C^\infty(G; \tau) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{A}_{W'}(G') \subset C^\infty(G'; \tau').$$

Denote by $d_{M,\alpha} \in C^\infty(G_{\mathbb{C}}/U; \tau)$ the function corresponds to $c_{M,\alpha}$ in Proposition 2.7. $d_{M',\alpha'} \in C^\infty(G'_{\mathbb{C}}/U'; \tau')$ is defined similarly.

The main theorem of this section is the following:

Theorem 6.4. *With the notations as above, we have*

$$(7) \quad d_{M,\alpha} = \frac{\deg(\alpha)}{\deg(\alpha')} E_{\mathfrak{q}}(j_{\mathfrak{q}} \circ d_{M',\alpha'}).$$

6.3. A proof of Theorem 6.4. We need the following elementary lemma.

Lemma 6.5. *Let σ be a continuous representation of K on a finite dimensional vector space W . Let $P \in \text{End}_{\mathbb{C}}(W)$ be a linear map which stabilize every irreducible submodule of W . Suppose that $P^2 = P$ and there is a constant c such that*

$$\frac{\dim(P(W_0))}{\dim(W_0)} = c$$

for every irreducible submodule W_0 of W . Then

$$\int_K \sigma(k^{-1}) \circ P \circ \sigma(k) dk = c 1_W.$$

Proof. We assume W is irreducible without lose of generality. Denote by C the integral in the lemma. It's clear that C is an intertwining operator. The irreducibility of W implies that $C = c'1_W$ for some constant c' . By taking trace of both side of the above equality we get $c' = c$. \square

Now we are going to prove the theorem. It's clear from the definition of E_q that

$$E_q(j_q \circ d_{M', \alpha'})(1U) = \int_K \sigma(k^{-1}) \circ j_q(1_{W'}) \circ \sigma(k) dk$$

$j_q(1_{W'})$ satisfies the conditions on P in Lemma 6.5, with the constant $c = \frac{\deg(\alpha')}{\deg(\alpha)}$.

Therefore Lemma 6.5 implies that

$$E_q(j_q \circ d_{M', \alpha'})(1U) = c1_W.$$

This proves that both hand side of (7) has value 1_W at the point $1U$. Denote by

$$\psi_0 = E_q(j_q \circ d_{M', \alpha'}),$$

and ϕ_0 the corresponding function in $C^\infty(G; \tau)$. By Proposition 6.2, we only need to show that $\phi_0 \in \mathcal{A}_W(G)$ to finish the proof.

Let $X \otimes Y \in U(\mathfrak{g})^K \otimes U(\mathfrak{g})^K$. We easily check that the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{End}_{\mathbb{C}}(W') & \xrightarrow{j_q} & \text{End}_{\mathbb{C}}(W) \\ A' \downarrow & & \downarrow A \\ \text{End}_{\mathbb{C}}(W') & \xrightarrow{j_q} & \text{End}_{\mathbb{C}}(W), \end{array}$$

where A' is defined by

$$f' \mapsto \sigma'(\xi(X^\vee)) \circ f' \circ \sigma'(\xi(Y)),$$

and A is defined by

$$f \mapsto \sigma(X^\vee) \circ f \circ \sigma(Y).$$

As $(\xi^\vee(X))^\vee = \xi(X^\vee)$ and $c_{M', \alpha'} \in \mathcal{A}_{W'}(G')$, we have

$$T'_{\xi^\vee(X) \otimes \xi(Y)}(c_{M', \alpha'}) = A' \circ c_{M', \alpha'}.$$

Now Lemma 2.6 and Lemma 2.5 implies that

$$(8) \quad T'_{\xi^\vee(X) \otimes \xi(Y)}(d_{M', \alpha'}) = A' \circ d_{M', \alpha'}.$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned}
& T_{X \otimes Y}(\psi_0) \\
&= T_{X \otimes Y} E_{\mathfrak{q}}(j_{\mathfrak{q}} \circ d_{M', \alpha'}) \\
&= E_{\mathfrak{q}}(T'_{\xi^\vee(X) \otimes \xi(Y)}(j_{\mathfrak{q}} \circ d_{M', \alpha'})) && \text{Theorem 4.1} \\
&= E_{\mathfrak{q}}(j_{\mathfrak{q}} \circ (T'_{\xi^\vee(X) \otimes \xi(Y)}(d_{M', \alpha'}))) \\
&= E_{\mathfrak{q}}(j_{\mathfrak{q}} \circ A' \circ d_{M', \alpha'}) && \text{By (8)} \\
&= E_{\mathfrak{q}}(A \circ j_{\mathfrak{q}} \circ d_{M', \alpha'}) && \text{By the above commutative diagram} \\
&= A \circ E_{\mathfrak{q}}(j_{\mathfrak{q}} \circ d_{M', \alpha'}) && A \text{ commutes the action of } K \times K \\
&= A \circ \psi_0.
\end{aligned}$$

Again Lemma 2.6 and Lemma 2.5 implies

$$T_{X \otimes Y} \phi_0 = A \circ \phi_0.$$

Therefore $\phi_0 \in \mathcal{A}_W(G)$. This finishes the proof of Theorem 6.4.

6.4. An example on $A_{\mathfrak{q}}(\lambda)$ modules. We discuss the case when $\dim(M') = 1$ as an example. Let

$$\lambda : G' \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$$

be a continuous character, unitary or not. Still denote by λ its holomorphic extension to $G'_\mathbb{C}$ and its differential. Fix a maximal torus T_{max} of K' with complexified Lie algebra \mathfrak{t} . Then T_{max} is also a maximal torus of K . Let

$$\Lambda = \lambda|_{\mathfrak{t}} + \delta_{\mathfrak{n} \cap \mathfrak{p}}|_{\mathfrak{t}}.$$

Let α be the K type with an extremal weight Λ . Assume that Λ is dominant with respect to $\mathfrak{n} \cap \mathfrak{k}$. Then $\lambda|_{K'}$ is the K' type \mathfrak{q} -associated to α , and α is the unique bottom layer of $A_{\mathfrak{q}}(\lambda)$. Recall that $A_{\mathfrak{q}}(\lambda)$ is just the cohomologically induced module $\mathcal{R}^S(\lambda)$. For every $x \in G$, denote by

$$\phi_{\mathfrak{q},\lambda}(x) = \frac{1}{\deg(\alpha)} \operatorname{Tr}(c_{A_{\mathfrak{q}}(\lambda),\alpha}(x)).$$

Let σ_0 be an irreducible unitary representation of K on W_{α} , of type α . Fix a unit vector v_0 in W_{α} , of weight Λ . Let $P_{\Lambda} \in \operatorname{End}_{\mathbb{C}}(W_{\alpha})$ be the orthogonal projection onto $\mathbb{C}v_0$. Define a map

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{H}_{\mathfrak{q}} : G &\rightarrow G'_{\mathbb{C}}, \\ x &\mapsto y\overline{\theta(y^{-1})}, \end{aligned}$$

where $y \in G'_{\mathbb{C}}$ is an element so that $H_{\mathfrak{q}}(xU) = yU'$. Fix an identification of $A_{\mathfrak{q}}(\lambda)(\alpha)$ with W_{α} as K modules. Now Theorem 6.4 easily implies

$$c_{A_{\mathfrak{q}}(\lambda),\alpha}(kx^2) = \deg(\alpha) \int_K (\delta_{\mathfrak{n}}\lambda)(\tilde{H}_{\mathfrak{q}}(lx)) \sigma_0(kl^{-1}) \circ P_{\Lambda} \circ \sigma_0(l) dl$$

for all $k \in K$, $x \in \exp(\mathfrak{p}_0)$. Consequently,

$$\phi_{\mathfrak{q},\lambda}(kx^2) = \int_K \langle \sigma_0(lkl^{-1})v_0, v_0 \rangle (\delta_{\mathfrak{n}}\lambda)(\tilde{H}_{\mathfrak{q}}(lx)) dl, \quad k \in K, x \in \exp(\mathfrak{p}_0).$$

This formula confirms the assumption in Theorem 4.3 of [10] as a special case.

7. LI POSITIVITY

In this sections, we discuss a positivity property on representations as an example of the application of our Eisenstein integral. This positivity property is very useful in the study of branching rules, for example, in the study of theta correspondence. All the omitted proofs in this section can be found in the author's HKUST thesis.

7.1. The definition of Li positivity. Let σ be a finite dimensional continuous unitary representation of K on W . Define a representation τ of $K \times K$ on $\text{End}_{\mathbb{C}}(W)$ by

$$\tau(k, l)(f) = \sigma(k) \circ f \circ \sigma(l^{-1}), \quad k, l \in K, f \in \text{End}_{\mathbb{C}}(W).$$

Recall that an operator $A \in \text{End}_{\mathbb{C}}(W)$ is said to be positive definite if

$$\langle Av, v \rangle \geq 0, \quad v \in W.$$

Definition 7.1. Let $\phi \in C^\infty(G; \tau)$. ϕ is said to be Li positive if $\phi(x)$ is positive definite for all $x \in \exp(\mathfrak{p}_0)$. Let $\psi \in C^\infty(G_{\mathbb{C}}/U; \tau)$. ψ is said to be Li positive if $\psi(x)$ is positive definite for all $x \in G_{\mathbb{C}}/U$.

We use this terminology since such kinds of properties are firstly used by Jian-Shu Li in the study of theta correspondence [9], [10], [5]. If $\phi \in C^\infty(G; \tau)$ is Li positive, then $\text{Tr} \circ \phi$ satisfies Flensted-Jensen's formula in Jian-Shu Li's terminology. In some sense, the concept of Li positivity is dual to that of positive definiteness of functions.

The following lemma will be used later.

Lemma 7.2. Let $\phi \in C^\infty(G; \tau)$ and $\psi \in C^\infty(G_{\mathbb{C}}/U; \tau)$ be a pair of corresponding functions in Proposition 2.7. Then ϕ is Li positive if and only if ψ is Li positive.

Proof. The "if" part is clear. Assume ϕ is Li positive. Let $x \in G_{\mathbb{C}}/U$. Recall that we have a complexification square **S**:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} G/K & \xrightarrow{p_K} & G \\ v_G \downarrow & & \downarrow u_G \\ G_{\mathbb{C}}/U & \xrightarrow{p_U} & G_{\mathbb{C}}. \end{array}$$

Since

$$G_{\mathbb{C}}/U = K_{\mathbb{C}}.v_G(G/K),$$

we have

$$x = k.v_G(y) \quad \text{for some } k \in K_{\mathbb{C}}, y \in G/K.$$

Then

$$\psi(x) = \tau(k, \bar{k})\psi(v_G(y)) = \sigma(k) \circ \phi(p_K(y)) \circ \sigma(\bar{k}^{-1}).$$

Notice that $p_K(y) \in \exp(\mathfrak{p}_0)$ and $\sigma(\bar{k}^{-1})$ is the adjoint operator of $\sigma(k)$. Therefore $\psi(x)$ is positive definite. \square

Let M be a finitely generated admissible (\mathfrak{g}, K) module, α a K type. We say that α is Li positive in M if

$$M(\alpha) \neq 0,$$

and there is a K invariant Hilbert space structure on $M(\alpha)$ so that the matrix coefficient

$$c_{M,\alpha} : G \rightarrow \text{End}_{\mathbb{C}}(M(\alpha))$$

is Li positive.

Notice that the concept of Li positivity can be defined for disconnected real reductive groups.

7.2. Finite dimensional representations and spherical representations.

The following two examples are not related to our Eisenstein integral. We omit their easy proofs.

Proposition 7.3. *Let*

$$\rho : G \rightarrow \text{GL}(M_\rho)$$

be a finite dimensional continuous representation of G . Assume G has a compact center. Then there is a K invariant Hilbert space structure on M_ρ so that $\rho(x)$ is positive definite for every $x \in \exp(\mathfrak{p}_0)$.

Proposition 7.4. *If M is a spherical irreducible (\mathfrak{g}, K) module with real infinitesimal character, then the trivial K type is Li positive in M .*

7.3. Li positivity of our Eisenstein integrals. Let σ be a finite dimensional unitary representation of K on W . Let σ' be the representation of K' on W' which is \mathfrak{q} -associated to σ . Notice that σ' is also a unitary representation. The Hilbert space structure on W' is the restriction of that on W . Define a representation τ of $K \times K$ on $\text{End}_{\mathbb{C}}(W)$, a representation τ' of $K' \times K'$ on $\text{End}_{\mathbb{C}}(W')$, and an injection

$$j_{\mathfrak{q}} : \text{End}_{\mathbb{C}}(W') \rightarrow \text{End}_{\mathbb{C}}(W)$$

as in section 6.2.

Proposition 7.5. *Let $\phi \in C^{\infty}(G'_{\mathbb{C}}/U'; \tau')$. If ϕ is Li positive, then*

$$E_{\mathfrak{q}}(j_{\mathfrak{q}} \circ \phi) \in C^{\infty}(G_{\mathbb{C}}/U; \tau)$$

is also Li positive.

Proof. Notice that

$$W = W' \oplus \sigma(\bar{\mathfrak{n}} \cap \mathfrak{k})W$$

is an orthogonal decomposition. Therefore $j_{\mathfrak{q}}$ maps positive definite operators to positive definite operators. Write

$$\phi' = j_{\mathfrak{q}} \circ \phi.$$

Then $\delta_{\mathfrak{q}}^{\mathbb{R}} \phi'$ has positive definite values everywhere. Notice that $\sigma(k^{-1})$ is the adjoint operator of $\sigma(k)$ for all $k \in K$. Therefore, for every $x \in G_{\mathbb{C}}/U$,

$$\begin{aligned} & E_{\mathfrak{q}}(j_{\mathfrak{q}} \circ \phi : x) \\ &= \int_K \tau(k^{-1}, k^{-1})(\delta_{\mathfrak{q}}^{\mathbb{R}} \phi')(H_{\mathfrak{q}}(kx)) dk \\ &= \int_K \sigma(k^{-1}) \circ ((\delta_{\mathfrak{q}}^{\mathbb{R}} \phi')(H_{\mathfrak{q}}(kx))) \circ \sigma(k) dk \end{aligned}$$

is positive definite. □

7.4. Li positivity of Bottom layers. Let M' be a finitely generated admissible (\mathfrak{g}', K') module. $M = \mathcal{R}^S(M')$ as before. Let α be a K type which is in the bottom layer of M . Let α' be the K' type \mathfrak{q} -associated to α .

Theorem 7.6. *If α' is Li positive in M' , then α is Li positive in M .*

Proof. Fix an irreducible unitary representation σ_0 of K of type α on a vector space W_α . Let σ'_0 be the representation of K' on $W_{\alpha'}$ which is \mathfrak{q} -associated to σ_0 . Then σ'_0 is an irreducible unitary representation of K' of type α' . Denote by \langle, \rangle_α the inner product on W_α . The inner product on $W_{\alpha'}$ is

$$\langle, \rangle_{\alpha'} = \langle, \rangle_\alpha|_{W_{\alpha'} \times W_{\alpha'}}.$$

Write

$$W' = M'(\alpha') = L \otimes W_{\alpha'}$$

as before. And write

$$W = M(\alpha) = L \otimes W_\alpha.$$

Define τ and τ' as before. There is a K' invariant Hilbert space structure \langle, \rangle' on W' so that

$$c_{M', \alpha'} \in C^\infty(G'; \tau')$$

is Li positive. There is a unique Hilbert space structure \langle, \rangle_L on L so that

$$\langle, \rangle' = \langle, \rangle_L \otimes \langle, \rangle_{\alpha'}.$$

Define a K invariant Hilbert structure

$$\langle, \rangle = \langle, \rangle_L \otimes \langle, \rangle_\alpha$$

on W . Then

$$\langle, \rangle' = \langle, \rangle|_{W' \times W'}.$$

We conclude the proof by using Lemma 7.2, Theorem 6.4 and Proposition 7.5. \square

7.5. $A_q(\lambda)$. We use the notations in section 6.4. Assume that

$$\Lambda = \lambda|_{\mathfrak{t}} + \delta_{\mathfrak{n} \cap \mathfrak{p}}|_{\mathfrak{t}}$$

is dominant with respect to $\mathfrak{n} \cap \mathfrak{k}$. Let \mathfrak{a}_0 be the centralizer of \mathfrak{t} in \mathfrak{p}_0 . Notice that $(\mathfrak{t} \cap \mathfrak{k}_0) \oplus \mathfrak{a}_0$ is a fundamental Cartan subalgebra of \mathfrak{g}_0 . The above theorem implies that if $\lambda|_{\mathfrak{a}_0}$ is real, then the K type α in the bottom layer is Li positive in $A_q(\lambda)$. In particular, if M is a discrete series, a limit of discrete series, or an irreducible unitary representation with nonzero cohomology, then the unique minimal K type of M is Li positive. The first two cases are essentially known to Flensted-Jensen [2], and some of the third case are known to Michael Harris and Jian-Shu Li [5].

7.6. **Lowest weight module.** Assume G is of Hermitian type and

$$\mathfrak{p} = \mathfrak{p}^+ \oplus \mathfrak{p}^-,$$

where both \mathfrak{p}^+ and \mathfrak{p}^- are K invariant abelian subspaces of \mathfrak{p} , and

$$\mathfrak{p}^+ = \overline{\mathfrak{p}^-}.$$

Assume

$$\mathfrak{q} = \mathfrak{k} \oplus \mathfrak{p}^+.$$

Fix an irreducible continuous unitary representation σ_0 of K on W_α , of type α . Define a (\mathfrak{g}, K) module

$$M = U(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes_{U(\mathfrak{q})} W_\alpha.$$

Here we view W_α a $\bar{\mathfrak{q}}$ module via the trivial \mathfrak{p}^- action. The $U(\mathfrak{g})$ action on M is via left multiplication. The K action on M is via the tensor product. It's clear that

$$M(\alpha) = W_\alpha.$$

It's easy to determine the action of $U(\mathfrak{g})^K$ on $M(\alpha)$. Then we are able to express $d_{M,\alpha}$ in terms of Eisenstein integral for θ stable parabolic. It turns out that

$$c_{M,\alpha}(kx^2) = \int_K \sigma_0(kl^{-1}\tilde{H}_{\mathfrak{q}}(lx)l) dl, \quad k \in K, x \in \exp(\mathfrak{p}_0),$$

where

$$\tilde{H}_{\mathfrak{q}} : G \rightarrow K_{\mathbb{C}} = G'_{\mathbb{C}}$$

is defined in section 6.4. Notice that

$$\tilde{H}_{\mathfrak{q}}(G) \subset \exp(\sqrt{-1}\mathfrak{k}_0),$$

and therefore $\sigma_0 \circ \tilde{H}_{\mathfrak{q}}$ has positive definite values everywhere. This implies that α is Li positive in M . Nollan Wallach and Jian-Shu Li have another expression of $\text{Tr} \circ c_{M,\alpha}$ in the unitary case [9].

7.7. $\text{SL}_2(\mathbb{R})$.

Proposition 7.7. *Assume $G = \text{SL}_2(\mathbb{R})$. Let M be an irreducible (\mathfrak{g}, K) module. If M has a real infinitesimal character, then every minimal K type is Li positive in M .*

Proof. We use the well know result on the classification of irreducible representations of $\text{SL}_2(\mathbb{R})$. There are 4 cases:

- (1) M is a finite dimensional (\mathfrak{g}, K) module;
- (2) M is the (\mathfrak{g}, K) module of a discrete series representation;
- (3) M is the (\mathfrak{g}, K) module of a limit of discrete series representation;
- (4) M is the (\mathfrak{g}, K) module of an irreducible principle series representation.

The first 3 cases are already known. The fourth case can be proved by an explicit calculation and a trivial estimate. □

7.8. Branching rules. Let's mention a useful branching rule relative to Li positivity.

Let M be a finitely generated admissible (\mathfrak{g}, K) module. Recall that there is a canonical globalization (or called smooth globalization) \widehat{M} of M . \widehat{M} is uniquely determined by the following

- (1) \widehat{M} is a smooth Frechet representation of G ;
- (2) The (\mathfrak{g}, K) module of \widehat{M} is M ;
- (3) \widehat{M} has moderate growth.

For more details of the globalization, see chapter 11 of [19]. We note that every element of M^c extends to a continuous linear functional on \widehat{M} . Let $u \in M^c$, $v \in \widehat{M}$. Recall that the matrix coefficient $c_M(u \otimes v) \in C^\infty(G; \mathbb{C})$ is defined by

$$c_M(u \otimes v)(g) = u(g.v), \quad g \in G.$$

Definition 7.8. Let M be a finitely generated admissible (\mathfrak{g}, K) module, H a unimodular closed subgroup of G . Fix a Haar measure on H . M is said to be L^1 on H if

$$c_M(u \otimes v)|_H \in L^1(H) \quad \text{for every } u \in M^c, v \in \widehat{M},$$

and the map

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{M} &\rightarrow L^1(H), \\ v &\mapsto c_M(u \otimes v)|_H \end{aligned}$$

is continuous for every $u \in M^c$.

Let G_1 be a closed real reductive subgroup of G so that $\theta(G_1) = G_1$. Then θ induces an Cartan involution on G_1 . Denote by

$$K_1 = K \cap G_1,$$

and \mathfrak{g}_1 the complexified Lie algebra of G_1 .

Proposition 7.9. *Let M be a finitely generated admissible (\mathfrak{g}, K) module, M_1 a finitely generated admissible (\mathfrak{g}_1, K_1) module. Let α be a K type and α_1 a K_1 type. Assume that*

- (1) *The finitely generated $(\mathfrak{g} \times \mathfrak{g}_1, K \times K_1)$ module $M \otimes M_1^c$ is L^1 on the subgroup $\Delta(G_1)$ of $G \times G_1$, where $\Delta(G_1)$ means the diagonal subgroup;*
- (2) *α is Li positive in M ; α_1 is Li positive in M_1 .*

Then

$$\dim(\mathrm{Hom}_{(g_1, K_1)}(M, M_1)) \geq \dim(\mathrm{Hom}_{K_1}(M(\alpha), M_1(\alpha_1))).$$

There is also a unitary version of the above branching rule.

7.9. Final remarks. Let M be an irreducible (\mathfrak{g}, K) module, α a K type occurring in M . Assume now α is a minimal K type. We use Vogan-Zuckerman's classification of irreducible (\mathfrak{g}, K) modules. There is a θ stable parabolic subalgebra \mathfrak{q} , two (\mathfrak{g}', K') module M' and P' , depending on M , such that

- (1) $M = \mathcal{R}^S(M')$, where $S = \dim(\mathfrak{n} \cap \mathfrak{k})$ as usual;
- (2) G' is quasi-split; P' is a principle series (\mathfrak{g}', K') module;
- (3) α is in the bottom layer of M , α' is a fine K' type occurring in P' , where α' is the K' type \mathfrak{q} -associated to α ;
- (4) M' is the irreducible subquotient of P' so that $M'(\alpha') = P'(\alpha')$.

Theorem 6.4 enable us to express $c_{M, \alpha}$ in terms of $c_{M', \alpha'}$. We know that

$$c_{M', \alpha'} = c_{P', \alpha'}$$

can be easily expressed as an ordinary Eisenstein integral. Theorem 7.6 reduces the question of Li positivity of α to that of α' . But in general, it's difficult to see when does an ordinary Eisenstein integral preserves Li positivity. This phenomena is opposite to the question on unitarizability: it's easy to see that ordinary parabolic inductions preserves unitarity, but it's rather difficult to see

when is the cohomological induction preserves unitarity. I hope the following is true for a large class of G (Maybe all):

Let M be an irreducible (\mathfrak{g}, K) module with real infinitesimal character λ . Let α be a K type occurring in it. If the size of α is smaller than the size of λ in some sense, then α is Li positive in M .

The definition of Eisenstein integral for θ stable parabolic subalgebras can be generalized to reductive symmetric spaces, as Flensted-Jensen did for discrete series.

For applications to branching rules and other aspects of representation theory, we need a careful study of the growth condition. On the other hand, our results have some consequences on the growth of representations. We hope to do these in other papers.

REFERENCES

- [1] Casselman, W., Milicic, D.: Asymptotic behavior of matrix coefficients of admissible representations, *Duke Math. Journal* 49, 869-930 (1982)
- [2] Flensted-Jensen, M.: Discrete series for semisimple symmetric spaces, *Ann. of Math.* 111, 253-311 (1980)
- [3] Harish-Chandra: Harmonic analysis on reductive groups I., *J. Func. Anal.* 19, 104-204 (1975)
- [4] Harish-Chandra: Harmonic analysis on reductive groups II., *Invent. Math.* 36, 1-55 (1976)
- [5] Harris, M., Li, J-S.: A lefschetz property for subvarieties of shimura varieties, *J. Algebraic Geometry* 7, 77-122 (1998)
- [6] Hochschild, G.: Complexification of real analytic groups, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 125, 406-413 (1966)
- [7] Lepowsky, J.: Algebraic results on representations of semisimple Lie groups, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 176, 1-44 (1973)
- [8] Lepowsky, J., McIlum, G. W.: On the determination of irreducible modules by restriction to a subalgebra, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 176, 45-57 (1973)

- [9] Li, J-S.: Theta liftings for unitary representations with non-zero cohomology, Duke Math. Journal 61, 913-937(1990)
- [10] Li, J-S.: On the discrete spectrum of $(G_2, PGSp_6)$, Invent. Math. 130, 189-207 (1997)
- [11] Li, J-S.: Singular unitary representations of classical groups, Invent. Math. 97, 237-255 (1989)
- [12] Knapp, A., Vogan, D.: Cohomological Induction and Unitary Representations, Princeton University Press, Princeton, New Jersey, 1995
- [13] Neeb, K-H.: Holomorphy and convexity in Lie theory, de Gruyter Expositions in Mathematics, vol. 28, de Gruyter, Berlin and New York, 588-589 (2000)
- [14] Vogan, D.: Representations of Real Reductive Lie Groups, Birkhauser, Boston-BaselStuttgart, 1981
- [15] Vogan, D.: The algebraic structure of the representations of semisimple Lie groups I, Ann. of Math. 109, 1-60 (1979)
- [16] Vogan, D.: Unitarizability of certain series of representations, Ann. of Math. 120 (1984), 141-187.
- [17] Vogan, D., Zuckerman, G.: Unitary representations with non-zero cohomology, Compositio Math. 53, 51-90 (1984)
- [18] Wallach, N.: Real Reductive Groups I, Academic Press, San Diego, 1988
- [19] Wallach, N.: Real Reductive Groups II, Academic Press, San Diego, 1992
- [20] Wallach, N.: Asymptotic expansions of generalized matrix entries, Springer-Verlag Lecture Notes in Math, 1024, 287-369 (1983)

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, HONG KONG UNIVERSITY OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY

E-mail address: `sunsun@ust.hk`