

# Invariant Differential Operators on Nonreductive Homogeneous Spaces

Tom H. Koornwinder

## Abstract

A systematic exposition is given of the theory of invariant differential operators on a not necessarily reductive homogeneous space. This exposition is modelled on Helgason's treatment of the general reductive case and the special nonreductive case of the space of horocycles. As a final application the differential operators on (not a priori reductive) isotropic pseudo-Riemannian spaces are characterized.

*MSC2000 classification:* 43A85 (primary); 17B35, 22E30, 58J70 (secondary).

*Key words and phrases:* invariant differential operators; nonreductive homogeneous spaces; space of horocycles; isotropic pseudo-Riemannian spaces.

*Note:* This is an essentially unchanged electronic version of Report ZW 153/81, Mathematisch Centrum, Amsterdam, 1981; MR 82g:43011.

## 1 Introduction

Let  $G$  be a Lie group and  $H$  a closed subgroup. Let  $\mathfrak{g}$  and  $\mathfrak{h}$  denote the corresponding Lie algebras. Suppose that the coset space  $G/H$  is *reductive*, i.e., there is a complementary subspace  $\mathfrak{m}$  to  $\mathfrak{h}$  in  $\mathfrak{g}$  such that  $\text{Ad}_G(H)\mathfrak{m} \subset \mathfrak{m}$ . Let  $\mathbb{D}(G/H)$  denote the algebra of  $G$ -invariant differential operators on  $G/H$ . The main facts about  $\mathbb{D}(G/H)$  are summarized below (cf. HELGASON [3, Ch.III], [4, Cor. X.2.6, Theor. X.2.7], [6, §2]).

Let  $\mathbb{D}(G)$  be the algebra of left invariant differential operators on  $G$ ,  $\mathbb{D}_H(G)$  the subalgebra of operators which are right invariant under  $H$  and  $S(\mathfrak{g})$  the complexified symmetric algebra over  $\mathfrak{g}$ . Let  $\lambda: S(\mathfrak{g}) \rightarrow \mathbb{D}(G)$  denote the symmetrization mapping.  $I(\mathfrak{m})$  denotes the set of  $\text{Ad}_G(H)$ -invariants in  $S(\mathfrak{m})$ . Then

$$\mathbb{D}_H(G) = \mathbb{D}(G)\mathfrak{h} \cap \mathbb{D}_H(G) \oplus \lambda(I(\mathfrak{m})). \quad (1.1)$$

Let  $\pi: G \rightarrow G/H$  be the natural mapping. Let  $C_H^\infty(G)$  consist of the  $C^\infty$ -functions on  $G$  which are right invariant under  $H$ . Write  $\tilde{f} := f \circ \pi$  ( $f \in C^\infty(G/H)$ ) and  $(D_u f)^\sim := u\tilde{f}$  ( $f \in C^\infty(G/H)$ ,  $u \in \mathbb{D}_H(G)$ ). Then  $D_u \in \mathbb{D}(G/H)$ .

**Theorem 1.1** *The mapping  $u \mapsto D_u$  is an algebra homomorphism from  $\mathbb{D}_H(G)$  onto  $\mathbb{D}(G/H)$  with kernel  $\mathbb{D}(G)\mathfrak{h} \cap \mathbb{D}_H(G)$ . The mapping  $P \mapsto D_{\lambda(P)}: I(\mathfrak{m}) \rightarrow \mathbb{D}(G/H)$  is a linear bijection.*

Theorem 1.1. is of basic importance for the analysis on symmetric spaces. In particular, it can be shown that  $\mathbb{D}(G/H)$  is commutative if  $G/H$  is a pseudo-Riemannian symmetric space which admits a relatively invariant measure. In its most general form this result was proved by DUFLO [1] in an algebraic way. G. van Dijk kindly communicated a short analytic proof of Duflo's result to me (unpublished). In [1] DUFLO used generalizations of (1.1) and Theorem 1.1 to the case of homogeneous line bundles over  $G/H$ . These can be proved by only minor changes of Helgason's original proofs.

There exist nonreductive coset spaces  $G/H$  for which  $\mathbb{D}(G/H)$  is still commutative. For instance, let  $G$  be a connected real semisimple Lie group and let  $M$  and  $N$  be the usual subgroups of  $G$ . Then  $G/MN$  is the space of horocycles and  $\mathbb{D}(G/MN)$  is commutative. In order to prove this, formula (1.1) and Theorem 1.1 have to be adapted to the nonreductive case. While HELGASON [5, §4], [6, §3] has done this in an ad hoc way for the special coset spaces under consideration, it is the purpose of the present note to give a more systematic exposition of the theory of  $\mathbb{D}(G/H)$  for a not necessarily reductive coset space.

Furthermore, following Duflo, the theory will be developed for invariant differential operators on homogeneous line bundles over  $G/H$ . As a final application we will characterize  $\mathbb{D}(G/H)$  for isotropic pseudo-Riemannian symmetric spaces  $G/H$  without a priori knowledge that  $G/H$  is reductive. Throughout HELGASON [4] will be our standard reference.

## 2 Development of the general theory

Let  $G$  be a Lie group with Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$ . For  $X \in \mathfrak{g}$  define the vector field  $\tilde{X}$  on  $G$  by

$$(\tilde{X}f)(g) := \frac{d}{dt}f(g \exp tX)|_{t=0}, \quad f \in C^\infty(G), \quad g \in G. \quad (2.1)$$

Then the mapping  $X \mapsto \tilde{X}$  is an isomorphism from  $\mathfrak{g}$  onto the Lie algebra of left invariant vector fields on  $G$ . Throughout this section let  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  be a fixed basis of  $\mathfrak{g}$ .

For a finite-dimensional real vector space  $V$  the symmetric algebra  $S(V)$  is defined as the algebra of all polynomials with complex coefficients on  $V^*$ , the dual of  $V$ . Let  $S^m(V)$  respectively  $S_m(V)$  ( $m = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ ) denote the space of homogeneous polynomials of degree  $m$  on  $V^*$ , respectively of polynomials of degree  $\leq m$  on  $V^*$ . Thus  $S^m(G)$  is spanned by the monomials  $X_{i_1}X_{i_2}\dots X_{i_m}$  ( $i_1, \dots, i_m \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ ).

Let  $\mathbb{D}(G)$  be the algebra of left invariant differential operators on  $G$  with complex coefficients. For  $P \in S(\mathfrak{g})$  define an operator  $\lambda(P)$  on  $C^\infty(G)$  by

$$(\lambda(P)f)(g) := P\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t_1}, \dots, \frac{\partial}{\partial t_n}\right) f(g \exp(t_1X_1 + \dots + t_nX_n)) \Big|_{t_1=\dots=t_n=0}, \quad (2.2)$$

where

$$P\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t_1}, \dots, \frac{\partial}{\partial t_n}\right) := \frac{\partial^m}{\partial t_{i_1} \dots \partial t_{i_m}} \quad \text{for } P = X_{i_1} \dots X_{i_m}.$$

It is proved in [4, Prop. II.1.9 and p. 392] that:

**Proposition 2.1** *The mapping  $P \mapsto \lambda(P)$  is a linear bijection from  $S(\mathfrak{g})$  onto  $\mathbb{D}(G)$ . It satisfies*

$$\lambda(Y^m) = \tilde{Y}^m, \quad Y \in \mathfrak{g}; \quad (2.3)$$

$$\lambda(Y_1 \dots Y_m) = \frac{1}{m!} \sum_{\sigma \in S_m} \tilde{Y}_{\sigma(1)} \dots \tilde{Y}_{\sigma(m)}, \quad Y_1, \dots, Y_m \in \mathfrak{g}. \quad (2.4)$$

The definition of  $\lambda$  is independent of the choice of the basis of  $\mathfrak{g}$ .

The mapping  $\lambda$  is called *symmetrization*. The Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$  is embedded as a subspace of  $\mathbb{D}(G)$  under the mapping  $X \rightarrow \tilde{X}$ . Any homomorphism from  $\mathfrak{g}$  to  $\mathfrak{g}$  uniquely extends to a homomorphism from  $\mathbb{D}(G)$  to  $\mathbb{D}(G)$  and any linear mapping from  $\mathfrak{g}$  to  $\mathfrak{g}$  uniquely extends to a homomorphism from  $S(\mathfrak{g})$  to  $S(\mathfrak{g})$ . In particular, for  $g \in G$ , the automorphism  $\text{Ad}(g)$  of  $\mathfrak{g}$  uniquely extends to automorphisms of both  $S(\mathfrak{g})$  and  $\mathbb{D}(G)$  and

$$\lambda(\text{Ad}(g)P) = \text{Ad}(g)\lambda(P), \quad P \in S(\mathfrak{g}), \quad g \in G. \quad (2.5)$$

For  $g, g_1 \in G$ ,  $f \in C^\infty(G)$ ,  $D \in \mathbb{D}(G)$  write

$$f^{R(g)}(g_1) := f(g_1 g); \quad D^{R(g)}f := (Df^{R(g^{-1})})^{R(g)}.$$

Then

$$\text{Ad}(g)D = D^{R(g^{-1})}, \quad D \in \mathbb{D}(G), \quad g \in G. \quad (2.6)$$

Let  $H$  be a closed subgroup of  $G$  and let  $\mathfrak{h}$  be the corresponding subalgebra. Let  $\mathfrak{m}$  be a subspace of  $\mathfrak{g}$  complementary to  $\mathfrak{h}$ . Let  $X_1, \dots, X_r$  be a basis of  $\mathfrak{m}$  and  $X_{r+1}, \dots, X_n$  a basis of  $\mathfrak{h}$ . Let  $\chi$  be a character of  $H$ , i.e. a continuous homomorphism from  $H$  to the multiplicative group  $\mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$ . Throughout this section,  $H$ ,  $\mathfrak{m}$ , the basis and  $\chi$  will be assumed fixed.

Let  $\pi: G \rightarrow G/H$  be the canonical mapping. Write  $0 := \pi(e)$ . Let

$$C_{H,\chi}^\infty(G) := \{f \in C^\infty(G) \mid f(gh) = f(g)\chi(h^{-1}), \quad g \in G, \quad h \in H\}. \quad (2.7)$$

Sometimes we will assume that  $\chi$  has an extension to a character on  $G$ . This assumption clearly holds if  $\chi \equiv 1$  on  $H$ , but it does not hold for general  $H$  and  $\chi$ . For instance, if  $G = SU(2)$  or  $SL(2, \mathbb{R})$  and  $H = SO(2)$  then nontrivial characters on  $H$  do not extend to characters on  $G$ .

If  $\chi$  extends to a character on  $G$  then we define a linear bijection  $f \mapsto \tilde{f}: C^\infty(G/H) \rightarrow C_{H,\chi}^\infty(G)$  by

$$\tilde{f}(g) := f(\pi(g))\chi(g^{-1}), \quad g \in G. \quad (2.8)$$

**Lemma 2.2** *Let  $P \in S(m)$ . If  $\lambda(P)f = 0$  for all  $f \in C_{H,\chi}^\infty(G)$  then  $P = 0$ .*

**Proof** For each  $f \in C^\infty(G/H)$  we can find  $F \in C_{H,\chi}^\infty(G)$  such that

$$F(\exp(t_1 X_1 + \dots + t_r X_r)) = f(\exp(t_1 X_1 + \dots + t_r X_r) \cdot 0)$$

for  $(t_1, \dots, t_r)$  in some neighbourhood of  $(0, \dots, 0)$ . Hence

$$0 = (\lambda(P)F)(e) = P \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial t_1}, \dots, \frac{\partial}{\partial t_r} \right) f(\exp(t_1 X_1 + \dots + t_r X_r) \cdot 0) \Big|_{t_1 = \dots = t_r = 0}$$

for all  $f \in C^\infty(G/H)$ , so  $P = 0$ .  $\square$

Let the differential of  $\chi$  also be denoted by  $\chi$ . Let  $\mathfrak{h}^\mathbb{C}$  be the complexification of  $\mathfrak{h}$ . Let

$$\mathfrak{h}^\chi := \{X + \chi(X) \mid X \in \mathfrak{h}^\mathbb{C}\} \subset \mathbb{D}(G). \quad (2.9)$$

Clearly,  $Df = 0$  if  $f \in C_{H,\chi}^\infty(G)$  and  $D \in \mathfrak{h}^\chi$ . Let  $\mathbb{D}(G)\mathfrak{h}^\chi$  be the linear span of all  $vw$  with  $v \in \mathbb{D}(G)$ ,  $w \in \mathfrak{h}^\chi$ . Observe that, by Proposition 2.1,  $\tilde{Y}_1 \dots \tilde{Y}_m \in \lambda(S_m(\mathfrak{g}))$  for  $Y_1, \dots, Y_m \in \mathfrak{g}$ . The following proposition was proved in [4, Lemma X.2.5] for  $\chi \equiv 1$ .

**Proposition 2.3** *There are the direct sum decompositions*

$$\lambda(S_m(\mathfrak{g})) = \lambda(S_{m-1}(\mathfrak{g}))\mathfrak{h}^\chi \oplus \lambda(S_m(\mathfrak{m})) \quad (2.10)$$

and

$$\mathbb{D}(G) = \mathbb{D}(G)\mathfrak{h}^\chi \oplus \lambda(S(\mathfrak{m})). \quad (2.11)$$

**Proof** First we prove by complete induction with respect to  $\mathfrak{m}$  that

$$\lambda(S_m(\mathfrak{g})) \subset \lambda(S_{m-1}(\mathfrak{g}))\mathfrak{h}^\chi + \lambda(S_m(\mathfrak{m})).$$

This clearly holds for  $m = 0$ . Suppose it is true for  $m < d$ . Let

$$P = X_1^{d_1} \dots X_n^{d_n}, \quad d_1 + \dots + d_n = d.$$

If  $d_{r+1} \dots + d_n = 0$ , then  $P \in S_d(\mathfrak{m})$ , so  $\lambda(P) \in \lambda(S_d(\mathfrak{m}))$ . If  $d_{r+1} + \dots + d_n > 0$  then, by (2.4),  $\lambda(P)$  is a linear combination of certain elements  $\tilde{Y}_1 \dots \tilde{Y}_d$  with  $Y_i \in \mathfrak{h}$  for at least one  $i$ , so

$$\lambda(P) \in \lambda(S_{d-1}(\mathfrak{g}))\mathfrak{h}^\mathbb{C} + \lambda(S_{d-1}(\mathfrak{g})) \subset \lambda(S_{d-1}(\mathfrak{g}))\mathfrak{h}^\chi + \lambda(S_{d-1}(\mathfrak{g})).$$

Now apply the induction hypothesis. This yields (2.10) and (2.11) (use Proposition 2.1) except for the directness.

To prove the directness of the sum (2.11), suppose that  $P \in S(\mathfrak{m})$  and  $\lambda(P) \in \mathbb{D}(G)\mathfrak{h}^\chi$ . Then  $\lambda(P)f = 0$  for all  $f \in C_{H,\chi}^\infty(G)$ , so  $P = 0$  by Lemma 2.2.  $\square$

**Lemma 2.4** *Let  $D \in \mathbb{D}(G)$ . Then  $Df = 0$  for all  $f \in C_{H,\chi}^\infty(G)$  if and only if  $D \in \mathbb{D}(G)\mathfrak{h}^\chi$ .*

**Proof** Apply Proposition 2.3 and Lemma 2.2.  $\square$

Let us define

$$\mathbb{D}_{H,\chi,\text{mod}}(G) := \{D \in \mathbb{D}(G) \mid \text{Ad}(h)D - D \in \mathbb{D}(G)\mathfrak{h}^\chi \text{ for all } h \in H\}. \quad (2.12)$$

This definition is motivated by the following lemma.

**Lemma 2.5** *Let  $D \in \mathbb{D}(G)$ . Then the following two statements are equivalent.*

- (i)  $D \in \mathbb{D}_{H,\chi,\text{mod}}(G)$ .
- (ii)  $f \in C_{H,\chi}^\infty(G) \Rightarrow Df \in C_{H,\chi}^\infty(G)$ .

**Proof** Let  $D \in \mathbb{D}(G)$ . If  $f \in C_{H,\chi}^\infty(G)$ ,  $h \in H$  then

$$(\star) \quad (Df)^{R(h)} = D^{R(h)} f^{R(h)} = \chi(h^{-1}) D^{R(h)} f.$$

First assume (i). If  $f \in C_{H,\chi}^\infty(G)$ ,  $h \in H$ , then  $(D^{R(h)} - D)f = (\text{Ad}(h)D - D)f = 0$ , so combination with  $(\star)$  yields  $(Df)^{R(h)} = \chi(h^{-1})Df$ , i.e.,  $Df \in C_{H,\chi}^\infty(G)$ . Conversely, assume (ii). If  $f \in C_{H,\chi}^\infty(G)$ ,  $h \in H$ , then  $(Df)^{R(h)} = \chi(h^{-1})Df$ , so combination with  $(\star)$  yields  $(D^{R(h)} - D)f = 0$ . Hence  $\text{Ad}(h)D - D = D^{R(h)} - D \in \mathbb{D}(G)\mathfrak{h}^\chi$  by Lemma 2.4.  $\square$

From the preceding results the following theorem is now obvious.

**Theorem 2.6**

- (a)  $\mathbb{D}_{H,\chi,\text{mod}}(G)$  is a subalgebra of  $\mathbb{D}(G)$ .
- (b)  $\mathbb{D}(G)\mathfrak{h}^\chi$  is a two-sided ideal in  $\mathbb{D}_{H,\chi,\text{mod}}(G)$ .
- (c) There is the direct sum decomposition.

$$\mathbb{D}_{H,\chi,\text{mod}}(G) = \mathbb{D}(G)\mathfrak{h}^\chi \oplus \lambda(S(\mathfrak{m})) \cap \mathbb{D}_{H,\chi,\text{mod}}(G). \quad (2.13)$$

- (d) Define the mappings  $A$  and  $B$  by

$$\begin{aligned} u &\xrightarrow{A} u(\text{mod } \mathbb{D}(G)\mathfrak{h}^\chi) \xrightarrow{B} u|_{C_{H,\chi}^\infty(G)}: \\ \lambda(S(\mathfrak{m})) \cap \mathbb{D}_{H,\chi,\text{mod}}(G) &\xrightarrow{A} \mathbb{D}_{H,\chi,\text{mod}}(G)/\mathbb{D}(G)\mathfrak{h}^\chi \xrightarrow{B} \mathbb{D}_{H,\chi,\text{mod}} \Big|_{C_{H,\chi}^\infty(G)}. \end{aligned}$$

Then  $A$  is a linear bijection and  $B$  is an algebra isomorphism onto.

Define the mapping  $\sigma: \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{m}$  by

$$\sigma(X + Y) := X, \quad X \in \mathfrak{m}, Y \in \mathfrak{h}. \quad (2.14)$$

Consider  $S(\mathfrak{m})$  as a subalgebra of  $S(\mathfrak{g})$ . Thus, if  $P \in S(\mathfrak{m})$  and  $h \in H$ , then  $\text{Ad}(h)P \in S(\mathfrak{g})$  and  $\sigma \circ \text{Ad}(h)P \in S(\mathfrak{m})$  are well-defined. By an application of (2.4) we see that, if  $Q \in S_m(\mathfrak{g})$ , then

$$\lambda(\sigma Q - Q) \in \lambda(S_{m-1}(\mathfrak{g})) + \mathbb{D}(G)\mathfrak{h}^\chi. \quad (2.15)$$

Define the algebra

$$I_{\text{mod}}(\mathfrak{m}) := \{P \in S(\mathfrak{m}) \mid \sigma \circ \text{Ad}(h)P = P \text{ for all } h \in H\}. \quad (2.16)$$

**Lemma 2.7** Let  $P \in S(\mathfrak{m})$  such that  $\lambda(P) \in \mathbb{D}_{H,\chi,\text{mod}}(G)$ . Write  $P = P^m + P_{m-1}$ , where  $P^m \in S^m(\mathfrak{m})$ ,  $P_{m-1} \in S_{m-1}(\mathfrak{m})$ . Then  $P^m \in I_{\text{mod}}(\mathfrak{m})$ .

**Proof**  $\lambda(\text{Ad}(h)P - P) \in \mathbb{D}(G)\mathfrak{h}^\chi$  by (2.12). Hence

$$\lambda(\text{Ad}(h)P^m - P^m) \in \lambda(S_{m-1}(\mathfrak{g})) + \mathbb{D}(G)\mathfrak{h}^\chi.$$

So

$$\lambda(\sigma \circ \text{Ad}(h)P^m - P^m) \in \lambda(S_{m-1}(\mathfrak{g})) + \mathbb{D}(G)\mathfrak{h}^\chi \subset \lambda(S_{m-1}(\mathfrak{m})) + \mathbb{D}(G)\mathfrak{h}^\chi,$$

where we used (2.16) and (2.10). By directness of the decomposition (2.10):

$$\sigma \circ \text{Ad}(h)P^m - P^m \in S_{m-1}(\mathfrak{m}).$$

Hence  $\sigma \circ \text{Ad}(h)P^m - P^m$ , being homogeneous of degree  $m$ , is the zero polynomial.  $\square$

**Proposition 2.8** *If  $\lambda(I_{\text{mod}}(\mathfrak{m})) \subset \mathbb{D}_{H,\chi,\text{mod}}(G)$  then*

$$\lambda(I_{\text{mod}}(\mathfrak{m})) = \lambda(S(\mathfrak{m})) \cap \mathbb{D}_{H,\chi,\text{mod}}(G)$$

*and the mapping*

$$D \mapsto D \Big|_{C_{H,\chi}^\infty(G)} : \lambda(I_{\text{mod}}(\mathfrak{m})) \rightarrow \mathbb{D}_{H,\chi,\text{mod}}(G) \Big|_{C_{H,\chi}^\infty(G)}$$

*is a linear bijection.*

**Proof** Use complete induction with respect to the degree of  $P \in S(\mathfrak{m})$  in order to prove that  $P \in I_{\text{mod}}(\mathfrak{m})$  if  $\lambda(P) \in \mathbb{D}_{H,\chi,\text{mod}}(G)$  (apply Lemma 2.7). The second implication in the proposition follows from Theorem 2.6(d).  $\square$

Suppose for the moment that  $\chi$  extends to a character on  $\mathfrak{g}$  and remember the mapping  $f \rightarrow \tilde{f}$  defined by (2.8). For  $u \in \mathbb{D}_{H,\chi,\text{mod}}(G)$  define an operator  $D_u$  acting on  $C^\infty(G/H)$  by

$$(D_u f)^\sim := u \tilde{f}, \quad f \in C^\infty(G/H). \quad (2.17)$$

Then  $\text{supp}(D_u f) \subset \text{supp}(f)$ , hence, by Peetre's theorem (cf. for instance NARASIMHAN [7, §3.3]),  $D_u$  is a differential operator on  $G/H$ . One easily shows that  $D_u \in \mathbb{D}(G/H)$ , the space of  $G$ -invariant differential operators on  $G/H$ .

**Theorem 2.9** *Suppose that  $\chi$  extends to a character on  $G$ . Then the mapping*

$$u \Big|_{C_{H,\chi}^\infty(G)} \xrightarrow{C} D_u : \mathbb{D}_{H,\chi,\text{mod}}(G) \Big|_{C_{H,\chi}^\infty(G)} \xrightarrow{C} \mathbb{D}(G/H)$$

*is an algebraic isomorphism onto.*

**Proof** Clearly,  $C$  is an isomorphism into. In order to prove the surjectivity let  $D \in \mathbb{D}(G/H)$ . Then there is a polynomial  $P \in S(\mathfrak{m})$  such that

$$(Df)(g \cdot 0) = P \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial t_1}, \dots, \frac{\partial}{\partial t_r} \right) f(g \exp(t_1 X_1 + \dots + t_r X_r) \cdot 0) \Big|_{t_1=\dots=t_r=0}$$

for all  $f \in C^\infty(G/H)$  and for  $g = e$ . By the  $G$ -invariance of  $D$  this formula holds for all  $g \in G$ . By (2.8) and (2.2) this becomes

$$\chi(Df)^\sim = \lambda(P)(\chi\tilde{f}), \quad \text{i.e.,} \quad (Df)^\sim = (\chi^{-1}\lambda(P) \circ \chi)(\tilde{f}).$$

Clearly,  $\chi^{-1}\lambda(P) \circ \chi \in \mathbb{D}(G)$  and, by Lemma 2.5, we have  $\chi^{-1}\lambda(P) \circ \chi \in \mathbb{D}_{H,\chi\text{mod}}(G)$ . Thus, by (2.17),  $D = D_{\chi^{-1}\lambda(P) \circ \chi}$ .  $\square$

Suppose now that the coset space  $G/H$  is *reductive*, i.e.,  $\mathfrak{m}$  can be chosen such that  $\text{Ad}(h)\mathfrak{m} \subset \mathfrak{m}$  for all  $h \in H$ . From now on assume that  $\mathfrak{m}$  is chosen in this way. Let

$$\mathbb{D}_H(G) := \{D \in \mathbb{D}(G) \mid \text{Ad}(h)D = D \text{ for all } h \in H\}, \quad (2.18)$$

$$I(\mathfrak{m}) := \{P \in S(\mathfrak{m}) \mid \text{Ad}(h)P = P \text{ for all } h \in H\}. \quad (2.19)$$

Then

$$\lambda(S(\mathfrak{m})) \cap \mathbb{D}_{H,\chi,\text{mod}}(G) = \lambda(I(\mathfrak{m})) \subset \mathbb{D}_H(G).$$

Hence (2.13) becomes

$$\mathbb{D}_{H,\chi,\text{mod}}(G) = \mathbb{D}(G)\mathfrak{h}^\chi \oplus \lambda(I(\mathfrak{m})). \quad (2.20)$$

We obtain from Theorems 2.6 and 2.9:

**Theorem 2.10** *Let  $G/H$  be reductive. Then:*

- (a)  $\mathbb{D}_H(G)$  is a subalgebra of  $\mathbb{D}(G)$ .
- (b)  $\mathbb{D}(G)\mathfrak{h}^\chi \cap \mathbb{D}_H(G)$  is a two-sided ideal in  $\mathbb{D}_H(G)$ .
- (c) There is a direct sum decomposition

$$\mathbb{D}_H(G) = \mathbb{D}(G)\mathfrak{h}^\chi \cap \mathbb{D}_H(G) \oplus \lambda(I(\mathfrak{m})). \quad (2.21)$$

- (d) Define the mappings  $A, B$  and  $C$  (C only if  $\chi$  extends to a character on  $G$ ) by

$$\begin{aligned} u &\xrightarrow{A} u(\text{mod } \mathbb{D}(G)\mathfrak{h}^\chi \cap \mathbb{D}_H(G)) \xrightarrow{B} u \Big|_{C_{H,\chi}^\infty(G)} \xrightarrow{C} D_u: \\ \lambda(I(\mathfrak{m})) &\xrightarrow{A} \mathbb{D}_H(G)/(\mathbb{D}(G)\mathfrak{h}^\chi \cap \mathbb{D}_H(G)) \xrightarrow{B} \mathbb{D}_H(G) \Big|_{C_{H,\chi}^\infty(G)} \xrightarrow{C} \mathbb{D}(G/H). \end{aligned}$$

Then  $A$  is a linear bijection and  $B$  and  $C$  are algebra isomorphisms onto.

The case  $\chi \equiv 1$  of Theorem 2.10 can be found in HELGASON [4, Cor. X.2.6 and Theor. X.2.7]. See DUFLO [1] for the general case.

### 3 Application to $\mathbb{D}(G/N)$ and $\mathbb{D}(G/MN)$

Let  $G$  be a connected noncompact real semisimple Lie group. We remember some of the structure theory of  $G$  (cf. [3. Ch.VI]):

$\mathfrak{g}_0$  : Lie algebra of  $G$ .

$\mathfrak{g}$  : complexification of  $\mathfrak{g}_0$ .

$\theta$  : Cartan involution of  $\mathfrak{g}_0$ , extended to automorphism of  $\mathfrak{g}$ .

$\mathfrak{g}_0 = \mathfrak{k}_0 + \mathfrak{p}_0$ : corresponding Cartan decomposition of  $\mathfrak{g}_0$ .

$\mathfrak{h}_{\mathfrak{p}_0}$ : maximal abelian subspace of  $\mathfrak{p}_0$ ,  $A$  the corresponding analytic subgroup.

$\mathfrak{h}_0$  : maximal abelian subalgebra of  $\mathfrak{g}_0$  extending  $\mathfrak{h}_{\mathfrak{p}_0}$ .

$\mathfrak{h}_{\mathfrak{k}_0} := \mathfrak{h}_0 \cap \mathfrak{k}_0$ ,  $\mathfrak{h}_{\mathfrak{k}}$  its complexification

$\mathfrak{h}$  : complexification of  $\mathfrak{h}_0$ ; this is a Cartan subalgebra of  $\mathfrak{g}$ .

$\Delta$  : set of roots of  $\mathfrak{g}$  with respect to  $\mathfrak{h}$ ; the roots are real on  $i\mathfrak{h}_{\mathfrak{k}_0} + \mathfrak{h}_{\mathfrak{p}_0}$ .

Introduce compatible orderings on  $\mathfrak{h}_{\mathfrak{p}_0}^*$  and  $(i\mathfrak{h}_{\mathfrak{k}_0} + \mathfrak{h}_{\mathfrak{p}_0})^*$ .

$\Delta^+$  : set of positive roots.

$P_+$  : set of positive roots not vanishing on  $\mathfrak{h}_{\mathfrak{p}_0}$ .

$P_-$  : set of positive roots vanishing on  $\mathfrak{h}_{\mathfrak{p}_0}$ .

$\mathfrak{g}^\alpha$  : root space in  $\mathfrak{g}$  of  $\alpha \in \Delta$ .

$\mathfrak{n} := \sum_{\alpha \in P_+} \mathfrak{g}^\alpha$ .

$\mathfrak{n}_0 := \mathfrak{n} \cap \mathfrak{g}_0$ .

$N$  : analytic subgroup of  $G$  corresponding to  $\mathfrak{n}_0$ .

$M$  : centralizer of  $\mathfrak{h}_{\mathfrak{p}_0}$  in  $G$ ,  $M_0$  its identity component.

$\mathfrak{m}_0$  : Lie algebra of  $M$ .

$\mathfrak{m}$  : complexification of  $\mathfrak{m}_0$ ; then

$$\mathfrak{m} = \mathfrak{h}_{\mathfrak{k}} + \sum_{\alpha \in P_-} (\mathfrak{g}^\alpha + \mathfrak{g}^{-\alpha}). \quad (3.1)$$

**Proposition 3.1** *The coset spaces  $G/MN$  and  $G/N$  are not reductive.*

**Proof** Suppose that  $G/MN$  is reductive. Then there is an  $\text{ad}_{\mathfrak{g}}(\mathfrak{m} + \mathfrak{n})$ -invariant subspace  $\mathfrak{r}$  of  $\mathfrak{g}$  complementary to  $\mathfrak{m} + \mathfrak{n}$ . Let  $\alpha \in P_+$  and let  $X$  be a nonzero element of  $\mathfrak{g}^\alpha$ . For  $H \in \mathfrak{h}$  write  $H = W_H + Y_H + Z_H$  with  $W_H \in \mathfrak{r}$ ,  $Y_H \in \mathfrak{m}$ ,  $Z_H \in \mathfrak{n}$ . Then, for each  $H \in \mathfrak{h}$ :

$$\alpha(H)X = [W_H + Y_H + Z_H, X]$$

so

$$\alpha(H)X - [Y_H, X] - [Z_H, X] = [W_H, X] \in \mathfrak{r} \cap (\mathfrak{m} + \mathfrak{n}),$$

so

$$[Y_H, X] + [Z_H, X] = \alpha(H)X.$$

It follows from (3.1) that

$$[Y_H, X] + [Z_H, X] \in \sum_{\substack{\beta \in \Delta \\ \beta \neq \alpha}} \mathfrak{g}^\beta.$$



Hence  $\alpha(H)X = 0$  for all  $H \in \mathfrak{h}$ , so  $\alpha = 0$ . This is a contradiction.

In the case  $G/N$  the proof is almost the same: take  $\mathfrak{r} \operatorname{ad}_{\mathfrak{g}}(\mathfrak{n})$ -invariant and complementary to  $\mathfrak{n}$  and  $Y_H = 0$ .  $\square$

HELGASON [5, p. 676] states without proof that  $G/MN$  is not in general reductive.

Let  $\mathfrak{l}_0$  be the orthoplement of  $\mathfrak{m}_0$  in  $\mathfrak{k}_0$  with respect to the Killing form on  $\mathfrak{g}_0$ . In order to apply Proposition 2.8 and Theorem 2.9 to  $\mathbb{D}(G/MN)$  and  $\mathbb{D}(G/N)$  we take  $\mathfrak{l}_0 + \mathfrak{h}_{\mathfrak{p}_0}$  respectively  $\mathfrak{k}_0 + \mathfrak{h}_{\mathfrak{p}_0}$  as complementary subspaces of  $\mathfrak{m}_0 + \mathfrak{n}_0$  respectively  $\mathfrak{n}_0$  in  $\mathfrak{g}_0$ . Now we have

$$I_{\operatorname{mod}}(\mathfrak{l}_0 + \mathfrak{h}_{\mathfrak{p}_0}) = S(\mathfrak{h}_{\mathfrak{p}_0}), \quad (3.2)$$

$$I_{\operatorname{mod}}(\mathfrak{k}_0 + \mathfrak{h}_{\mathfrak{p}_0}) = S(\mathfrak{m}_0 + \mathfrak{h}_{\mathfrak{p}_0}). \quad (3.3)$$

(3.2) is proved in HELGASON [5, Lemma 4.2] and by only slight modifications in this proof, (3.3) is obtained. It follows from Lemma 2.5 that

$$\lambda(S(\mathfrak{h}_{\mathfrak{p}_0})) \subset \mathbb{D}_{MN,1,\operatorname{mod}}(G)$$

and

$$\lambda(S(\mathfrak{m}_0 + \mathfrak{h}_{\mathfrak{p}_0})) \subset \mathbb{D}_{N,1,\operatorname{mod}}(G),$$

since  $M$  centralizes  $\mathfrak{h}_{\mathfrak{p}_0}$  and  $\mathfrak{m}_0 + \mathfrak{h}_{\mathfrak{p}_0}$  normalizes  $\mathfrak{n}_0$ . Consider  $\mathbb{D}(A)$  and  $\mathbb{D}(M_0A)$  as subalgebras of  $\mathbb{D}(G)$ . Then  $\mathbb{D}(A) \subset \mathbb{D}_{MN,1,\operatorname{mod}}(G)$  and  $\mathbb{D}(M_0A) \subset \mathbb{D}_{N,1,\operatorname{mod}}(G)$ . It follows by application of Proposition 2.8 and Theorem 2.9 that:

**Theorem 3.2** *The mapping  $u \mapsto D_u$  (cf. (2.17)) is an algebra isomorphism from  $\mathbb{D}(A)$  onto  $\mathbb{D}(G/MN)$  and from  $\mathbb{D}(M_0A)$  onto  $\mathbb{D}(G/N)$ . In particular,  $\mathbb{D}(G/MN)$  is a commutative algebra.*

The statements about  $\mathbb{D}(G/MN)$  are in HELGASON [5, Theorem 4.1]. FARAUT [2, p. 393] observes that Helgason's result can be extended to the context of pseudo-Riemannian symmetric spaces.

A special case of Theorem 6.2 can be formulated in the situation that  $G$  is a connected complex semisimple Lie group. Let  $\mathfrak{g}$  be its (complex) Lie algebra and put:

$\mathfrak{u}$  : compact real form of  $\mathfrak{g}$ .

$\mathfrak{a}$  : maximal abelian subalgebra of  $\mathfrak{u}$ .

$\mathfrak{h} := \mathfrak{a} + i\mathfrak{a}$ ; this is Cartan subalgebra of  $\mathfrak{g}$ .

$\Delta$  : set of roots of  $\mathfrak{g}$  with respect to  $\mathfrak{h}$ .

$\Delta^+$  : set of positive roots with respect to some ordering.

$\mathfrak{g}^\alpha$  : root space of  $\alpha \in \Delta$ .

$\mathfrak{n} := \sum_{\alpha \in \Delta^+} \mathfrak{g}^\alpha$ ,  $N$  the corresponding analytic subgroup.

$\mathfrak{g}^{\mathbb{R}} := \mathfrak{g}$  considered as real Lie algebra.

$\mathfrak{h}^{\mathbb{R}} := \mathfrak{h}$  considered as real subalgebra.

Then  $\mathfrak{g}^{\mathbb{R}} = \mathfrak{u} + i\mathfrak{a} + \mathfrak{n}$  is an Iwasawa decomposition for  $\mathfrak{g}^{\mathbb{R}}$  (cf. [4, Theorem VI.6.3]) and  $\mathfrak{a}$  is the centralizer of  $i\mathfrak{a}$  in  $\mathfrak{u}$ . Hence we obtain from Theorem 3.2:

**Theorem 3.3** *The mapping  $P \mapsto D_{\lambda(P)}$  is an algebra isomorphism from  $S(\mathfrak{h}^{\mathbb{R}})$  onto  $\mathbb{D}(G/N)$ . In particular,  $\mathbb{D}(G/N)$  is commutative.*

This theorem was proved by HELGASON [6, Lemma 3.3] without use of Theorem 3.2.

## 4 Application to isotropic spaces

We preserve the notation and conventions of Section 2. First we prove an extension of [4, Cor. X.2.8] to the case that  $G/H$  is not necessarily reductive. In the following,  $A$  and  $B$  are as in Theorem 2.6(d).

**Lemma 4.1** *If the algebra  $I_{\text{mod}}(\mathfrak{m})$  is generated by  $P_1, \dots, P_l$  and if there are  $Q_1, \dots, Q_l \in S_m$  such that  $\text{degree}(P_i - Q_i) < \text{degree } P_i$  and  $\lambda(Q_i) \in \mathbb{D}_{H,\chi,\text{mod}}(G)$  then the algebra*

$$\mathbb{D}_{H,\chi,\text{mod}} \Big|_{C_{H,\chi}^\infty(G)}$$

*is generated by  $BA\lambda(Q_1), \dots, BA\lambda(Q_l)$ .*

**Proof** We prove by complete induction with respect to  $m$  that, for each  $P \in S_m(\mathfrak{m})$  with  $\lambda(P) \in \mathbb{D}_{H,\chi,\text{mod}}(G)$ ,  $BA\lambda(P)$  depends polynomially on  $BA\lambda(Q_1), \dots, BA\lambda(Q_l)$ . In view of Theorem 2.6 this will prove the lemma. Suppose the above property holds up to  $m-1$ . Let  $P \in S_m(\mathfrak{m})$  such that  $\lambda(P) \in \mathbb{D}_{H,\chi,\text{mod}}(G)$ . By using Lemma 2.7 we find that  $P = \Pi(P_1, \dots, P_l) \pmod{S_{m-1}(\mathfrak{m})}$  for some polynomial  $\Pi$  in  $l$  indeterminates. Hence,  $P = \Pi(Q_1, \dots, Q_l) \pmod{S_{m-1}(\mathfrak{m})}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda(P) &= \lambda(\Pi(Q_1, \dots, Q_l)) \pmod{\lambda(S_{m-1}(\mathfrak{m}))} \\ &= \Pi(\lambda(Q_1), \dots, \lambda(Q_l)) \pmod{\lambda(S_{m-1}(\mathfrak{g}))}, \\ \lambda(P) &- \Pi(\lambda(Q_1), \dots, \lambda(Q_l)) \in \lambda(S_{m-1}(\mathfrak{g})) \cap \mathbb{D}_{H,\chi,\text{mod}}(G). \end{aligned}$$

By Theorem 2.6 and formula (2.10) we have

$$BA\lambda(P) - \Pi(BA\lambda(Q_1), \dots, BA\lambda(Q_l)) = BA\lambda(P')$$

for some  $P' \in S_{m-1}(\mathfrak{m})$  such that  $\lambda(P') \in \mathbb{D}_{H,\chi,\text{mod}}(G)$ . Now apply the induction hypothesis.  $\square$

Let  $\tau$  denote the action of  $G$  on  $G/H$ . Its differential  $d\tau$  yields an action of  $H$  on the tangent space  $(G/H)_0$  to  $G/H$  at 0.

**Theorem 4.2** *Suppose there is a nondegenerate  $d\tau(H)$ -invariant bilinear form  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  on  $(G/H)_0$  of signature  $(r_1, r_2)$  ( $r_1 + r_2 = r$ ,  $r_1 \geq r_2$ ) such that, for each  $\lambda > 0$ ,  $d\tau(H)$  acts transitively on  $\{X \in (G/H)_0 \mid \langle X, X \rangle = \lambda\}$  (or on the connected components of these hyperbolas if  $r_1 = r_2 = 1$ ). Let  $\Delta$  be the Laplace-Beltrami operator on  $G/H$  corresponding to the  $G$ -invariant pseudo-Riemannian structure on  $G/H$  associated with  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ . Then the algebra  $\mathbb{D}(G/H)$  is generated by  $\Delta$ , and hence commutative.*

**Proof** Choose a complementary subspace  $\mathfrak{m}$  to  $\mathfrak{h}$  in  $\mathfrak{g}$ . The mapping  $d\pi$  identifies the  $H$ -spaces  $\mathfrak{m}$  (under  $\sigma \circ \text{Ad}_G(H)$ ) and  $(G/H)_0$  (under  $d\tau(H)$ ) with each other. Transplant the form  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  to  $\mathfrak{m}$  and choose an orthonormal basis  $X_1, \dots, X_r$  of  $\mathfrak{m}$ :  $\langle X_i, X_j \rangle = \varepsilon_i \delta_{ij}$ ,  $\varepsilon_i = 1$  or  $-1$  for  $i \leq r_1$  or  $> r_1$ , respectively. Then the algebra  $I_{\text{mod}}(\mathfrak{m})$  is generated by  $\sum_{i=1}^r \varepsilon_i X_i^2$ .

It follows from the proof of Theorem 2.9 that  $\Delta = D_{\lambda(P)}$  with  $P \in S(\mathfrak{m})$  of degree 2 such that  $\lambda(P) \in \mathbb{D}_{H,1,\text{mod}}(G)$ . Thus, by Lemma 2.7, we get

$$P = c \sum_{i=1}^r \varepsilon_i X_i^2 \pmod{S_1(\mathfrak{m})}$$

with  $c \neq 0$ . Now apply Lemma 4.1 and Theorem 2.9. □

Theorem 4.2 extends [4, Prop. X.2.10], where the case is considered that  $G/H$  is a Riemannian symmetric space of rank 1. A pseudo-Riemannian manifold  $M$  is called *isotropic* if for each  $x \in M$  and for tangent vectors  $X, Y \neq 0$  at  $x$  with  $\langle X, X \rangle = \langle Y, Y \rangle$  there is an isometry of  $M$  fixing  $x$  which sends  $X$  to  $Y$ . Connected isotropic spaces can be written as homogeneous spaces  $G/H$  satisfying the conditions of Theorem 4.2 with  $G$  being the full isometry group (cf. WOLF [8, Lemma 11.6.6]). It follows from Wolf's classification [8, Theorem 12.4.5] that such spaces are symmetric and reductive. However, our proof of Theorem 4.2 does not use this fact.

## References

- [1] M. Duflo, *Opérateurs différentiels invariants sur un espace symétrique*, C.R. Acad .Sci. Paris Sér. A **289** (1979), 135–137.
- [2] J. Faraut, *Distributions sphériques sur les espaces hyperboliques*, J. Math. Pures Appl. **58** (1979), 369–444.
- [3] S. Helgason, *Differential operators on homogeneous spaces*, Acta Math. **102** (1959), 239–299.
- [4] S. Helgason, *Differential geometry and symmetric spaces*, Academic Press, New York, 1962.
- [5] S. Helgason, *Duality and Radon transform for symmetric spaces*, Amer. J. Math. **85** (1963), 667–692.
- [6] S. Helgason, *Invariant differential operators and eigenspace representations*, pp. 236–286 in: *Representation theory of Lie groups*, London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series 34, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1979.
- [7] R. Narasimhan, *Analysis on real and complex manifolds*, Masson, Paris, 1968.
- [8] J. A. Wolf, *Spaces of constant curvature*, McGraw-Hill, New York, 1967.

*present address:*

Korteweg-de Vries Institute for Mathematics  
 Universiteit van Amsterdam  
 Plantage Muidergracht 24  
 1018 TV Amsterdam, The Netherlands  
 email: `thk@science.uva.nl`