

TAME–WILD DICHOTOMY FOR DERIVED CATEGORIES

VIKTOR I. BEKKERT AND YURIY A. DROZD

ABSTRACT. We prove that every finite dimensional algebra over an algebraically closed field is either derived tame or derived wild. The proof is based on the technique of boxes and reduction algorithm. It implies, in particular, that any degeneration of a derived wild algebra is derived wild; respectively, any deformation of a derived tame algebra is derived tame.

INTRODUCTION

The notions of tame and wild problems is now rather popular in various branches of representation theory and related topics, especially because of the so-called *tame-wild dichotomy* (cf. e.g. [3, 7] and other papers). Namely, in most cases it so happens that either indecomposable representations depend on at most one parameter or their description becomes in some sense “universal,” i.e. containing a classification of representations of all finitely generated algebras. Last time these notions have also been studied for derived categories, and tame-wild dichotomy has been proved in some cases (though rather restrictive ones), cf. [9, 1, 8]. In this paper we shall prove such a dichotomy for derived categories of arbitrary finite dimensional algebras over an algebraically closed field. The used technique, just as in [3, 7] (see also the survey [4]), is that of “matrix problems,” more precisely, boxes and reduction algorithm. There are some new features: we have to consider such boxes that the underlying category is no more free. Fortunately, the arising relations are of rather special nature, which leads to the notion of *sliced boxes*. Actually, the tame-wild dichotomy is proved for such boxes, wherefrom the result for derived categories is obtained almost in the same way as the tame-wild dichotomy for representations of algebras has been obtained from that for free boxes. As it is rather usual, we formulate the result for *locally finite dimensional categories*. If such a category only has finitely many indecomposable objects, this language is equivalent to that of finite dimensional algebras, though a bit more convenient. But categories with infinitely many indecomposables naturally arise in representation theory (for instance, when we consider coverings), so we prefer to use this language, especially as this generality does not imply the proofs.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary: 16G60, secondary: 15A21, 16D90, 16E05.

The first author was supported by FAPESP (Grant N 98/14538-0) and CNPq (Grant 301183/00-7).

1. DERIVED CATEGORIES

We consider categories and algebras over a fixed algebraically closed field \mathbb{k} . A \mathbb{k} -category \mathcal{A} is called *locally finite dimensional* (shortly *lofd*) if the following conditions hold:

1. All spaces $\mathcal{A}(x, y)$ are finite dimensional for all objects x, y .
 2. \mathcal{A} is *fully additive*, i.e. it is additive and all idempotents in it split.
- Conditions 1,2 imply that the category \mathcal{A} is *Krull-Schmidt*, i.e. each object uniquely decomposes into a direct sum of indecomposable objects; moreover, it is *local*, i.e. for each indecomposable object x the algebra $\mathcal{A}(x, x)$ is local. We denote by $\text{ind } \mathcal{A}$ a set of representatives of isomorphism classes of indecomposable objects from \mathcal{A} .
3. For each object x the set $\{y \in \text{ind } \mathcal{A} \mid \mathcal{A}(x, y) \neq 0 \text{ or } \mathcal{A}(y, x) \neq 0\}$ is finite.

We denote by vec the category of finite dimensional vector spaces over \mathbb{k} and by $\mathcal{A}\text{-mod}$ the category of *finite dimensional \mathcal{A} -modules*, i.e. functors $M : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \text{vec}$ such that $\{x \in \text{ind } \mathcal{A} \mid Mx \neq 0\}$ is finite. We also denote by $D(\mathcal{A})$ the derived category of the category $\mathcal{A}\text{-mod}$ and by $D^b(\mathcal{A})$ its full subcategory consisting of bounded complexes. The latter is again a lofd category.

For an arbitrary category \mathcal{C} we denote by $\text{add } \mathcal{C}$ the minimal fully additive category containing \mathcal{C} . For instance, one can consider $\text{add } \mathcal{C}$ as the category of finitely generated projective \mathcal{C} -modules; especially, $\text{add } \mathbb{k} = \text{vec}$. We denote by $\text{Rep}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{C})$ the category of functors $\text{Fun}(\mathcal{A}, \text{add } \mathcal{C})$ and call them *representations* of the category \mathcal{A} in a category \mathcal{C} . Obviously, $\text{Rep}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{C}) \simeq \text{Rep}(\text{add } \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{C})$. If the category \mathcal{A} is lofd, we denote by $\text{rep}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{C})$ the full subcategory of $\text{Rep}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{C})$ consisting of the representations M with *finite support* $\text{supp } M = \{x \in \text{ind } \mathcal{A} \mid Mx \neq 0\}$. In particular, $\text{rep}(\mathcal{A}, \mathbb{k}) = \mathcal{A}\text{-mod}$.

We denote by $\mathcal{D}(\mathbf{A})$ (respectively, $\mathcal{D}^-(\mathbf{A})$, $\mathcal{D}^b(\mathbf{A})$) the *derived category* (respectively, right bounded and (two-sided) bounded derived category) of the category $\mathcal{A}\text{-mod}$, where \mathcal{A} is a lofd category. Recall that \mathcal{A} embeds as a full subcategory into $\mathcal{A}\text{-mod}$. Namely, each object x corresponds to the functor $\mathcal{A}^x = \mathcal{A}(x, -)$. These functors are projective in the category $\mathcal{A}\text{-mod}$; if \mathcal{A} is fully additive, these are all projectives (up to isomorphism). On the other hand, $\mathcal{A}\text{-mod}$ embeds as a full subcategory into $\mathcal{D}^b(\mathcal{A})$: a module M is treated as a complex only having a unique nonzero component equal M at the 0-th position. It is also known that $\mathcal{D}^-(\mathcal{A})$ can be identified with the category $\mathcal{K}^-(\mathcal{A})$ whose objects are right bounded complexes of projective modules and morphisms are homomorphisms of complexes modulo homotopy [10]. If $\text{gl.dim } \mathcal{A} < \infty$, every bounded complex has a bounded projective resolution, hence $\mathcal{D}^b(\mathcal{A})$ can be identified with $\mathcal{K}^b(\mathbf{A})$, the category of bounded projective complexes modulo homotopy, but it is no more the case if $\text{gl.dim } \mathcal{A} = \infty$. Moreover, if \mathcal{A} is lofd, we can confine the considered complexes by *minimal* ones, i.e. always suppose that $\text{Im } d_n \subseteq \text{rad } P_{n-1}$ for all n . We denote by \mathbf{J} the *radical* of the category \mathcal{A} , i.e. the set of morphisms having no invertible components with respect to some (hence, any) decomposition of its source and target into direct sums of indecomposables. Then $\text{rad } M = \mathbf{J}M$ for each $M \in \mathcal{A}\text{-mod}$.

Even if $\text{gl.dim } \mathbf{A} = \infty$, one easily shows [5] that $\mathcal{D}^b(\mathcal{A})$ can be identified with a direct limit $\varinjlim_N \mathcal{Q}^N(\mathcal{A})$ of the categories $\mathcal{Q}^N(\mathcal{A})$ defined as follows.

1. Objects of $\mathcal{Q}^N(\mathcal{A})$ are right bounded complexes (P_\bullet, d_\bullet) of projective modules from $\mathcal{A}\text{-mod}$ with $P_n = 0$ for $n > N$.
2. Morphisms of $\mathcal{Q}^N(\mathcal{A})$ are homomorphisms of complexes modulo *quasi-homotopy*, where two homomorphisms $f, g : (P_\bullet, d_\bullet) \rightarrow (P'_\bullet, d'_\bullet)$ are said to be *quasi-homotopic* if there are homomorphisms of modules $s_n : P_n \rightarrow P'_{n+1}$ such that $f_n - g_n = d'_{n+1}s_n + s_{n-1}d_n$ for all $n < N$.
3. The functor $\mathcal{Q}^N(\mathcal{A}) \rightarrow \mathcal{Q}^{N+1}(\mathcal{A})$ maps a complex (P_\bullet, d_\bullet) to a complex

$$0 \rightarrow \hat{P}_{n+1} \xrightarrow{h} P_n \rightarrow P_{n-1} \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow P_m \rightarrow 0,$$

where h maps \hat{P}_{n+1} onto $\text{Ker } d_n$. (Such a complex is defined up to an isomorphism inside $\mathcal{Q}^{N+1}(\mathcal{A})$.)

Note that these functors are full embeddings; thus all functors $\mathcal{Q}^N(\mathcal{A}) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}^b(\mathcal{A})$ are full embeddings too, so we may treat $\mathcal{D}^b(\mathcal{A})$ as a sort of union $\bigcup_N \mathcal{Q}^N(\mathcal{A})$. Especially, in all classification problems, we may replace the study of the category $\mathcal{D}^b(\mathcal{A})$ by that of the categories $\mathcal{Q}^N(\mathcal{A})$. If \mathcal{A} is lofd, any complex from $\mathcal{Q}^N(\mathcal{A})$ is isomorphic (in this category) to a minimal complex P_\bullet such that $\text{Ker } d_N \subseteq \text{rad } P_N$. We denote by $\mathcal{Q}_0^N(\mathcal{A})$ the full subcategory of $\mathcal{Q}^N(\mathcal{A})$ only consisting of such complexes. Thus $\mathcal{D}^b(\mathcal{A}) \simeq \varinjlim_N \mathcal{Q}_0^N(\mathcal{A})$.

Proposition 1.1. *Two complexes from $\mathcal{Q}_0^N(\mathcal{A})$ are isomorphic in $\mathcal{Q}^N(\mathcal{A})$ if and only if they are isomorphic as complexes.*

Proof. If two complexes P_\bullet, P'_\bullet from $\mathcal{Q}_0^N(\mathcal{A})$ are isomorphic in $\mathcal{Q}^N(\mathcal{A})$, there is a diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} P_N & \xrightarrow{d_N} & P_{N-1} & \xrightarrow{d_{N-1}} & P_{N-2} & \longrightarrow & \cdots \\ \psi_N \updownarrow \phi_N & & \psi_{N-1} \updownarrow \phi_{N-1} & & \psi_{N-2} \updownarrow \phi_{N-2} & & \\ P'_N & \xrightarrow{d'_N} & P'_{N-1} & \xrightarrow{d'_{N-1}} & P'_{N-2} & \longrightarrow & \cdots \end{array},$$

where all upgoing and downgoing squares commute. Moreover, all products $\psi_n \phi_n$ ($n < N$) are of the form $1 + \sigma_{n-1}d_n + d_{n+1}\sigma_n$, thus isomorphisms, as well as all products $\phi_n \psi_n$ ($n < N$). Hence all ϕ_n, ψ_n ($n < N$) are isomorphisms. As $\phi_{N-1}(\text{Im } d_N) \subseteq \text{Im } d'_N$ and $\psi_{N-1}(\text{Im } d'_N) \subseteq \text{Im } d_N$, it implies that $\text{Im } d_N \simeq \text{Im } d'_N$. Since $\text{Ker } d_N \subseteq \text{rad } P_N$, the latter is a projective cover of $\text{Im } d_N$, and P'_N is a projective cover of $\text{Im } d'_N$. Therefore $P_N \simeq P'_N$. Moreover, $\phi_N d'_N = \phi_{N-1} d_N : P_N \rightarrow \text{Im } d'_{N-1}$ is an epimorphism, hence $\text{Im } \phi_N + \text{Ker } d'_N = P'_N$, so ϕ_N is an epimorphism, thus an isomorphism. \square

We introduce the notions of derived tame and derived wild lofd categories in the following way, which do not formally coincide with those of some earlier papers, such as [1, 8, 9], but is equivalent to them. Due to the preceding considerations, it is more convenient to deal with.

Definition 1.2. Let \mathcal{A} be a lofd category.

1. The *rank* of an object $x \in \mathcal{A}$ (or of the corresponding projective module \mathcal{A}^x) is the function $\mathbf{r}(x) : \text{ind } \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ such that $x \simeq \bigoplus_{y \in \text{ind } \mathcal{A}} \mathbf{r}(x)(y)y$. The *vector rank* $\mathbf{r}_\bullet(P_\bullet)$ of a bounded complex of projective \mathcal{A} -modules is the sequence $(\dots, \mathbf{r}(P_n), \mathbf{r}(P_{n-1}), \dots)$ (actually it only has finitely many nonzero entries).
2. We call a *rational family* of bounded minimal complexes over \mathcal{A} a bounded complex (P_\bullet, d_\bullet) of finitely generated projective $\mathcal{A} \otimes R$ -modules, where R is a *rational algebra*, i.e. $R = \mathbb{k}[t, f(t)^{-1}]$ for a nonzero polynomial $f(t)$, and $\text{Im } d_n \subseteq JP_{n-1}$. For such a complex we define $P_\bullet(m, \lambda)$, where $m \in \mathbb{N}$, $\lambda \in \mathbb{k}$, $f(\lambda) \neq 0$, the complex $(P_\bullet \otimes_R R/(t - \lambda)^m, d_\bullet \otimes 1)$. It is indeed a complex of projective \mathcal{A} -modules. We put $\mathbf{r}_\bullet(P_\bullet) = \mathbf{r}_\bullet(P_\bullet(1, \lambda))$ (this vector rank does not depend on λ).
3. We call a lofd category \mathcal{A} *derived tame* if there is a set \mathfrak{P} of rational families of bounded complexes over \mathcal{A} such that:
 - (a) For each vector rank \mathbf{r}_\bullet the set $\mathfrak{P}(\mathbf{r}_\bullet) = \{P_\bullet \in \mathfrak{P} \mid \mathbf{r}_\bullet(P_\bullet) = \mathbf{r}_\bullet\}$ is finite.
 - (b) For each vector rank \mathbf{r}_\bullet all indecomposable complexes (P_\bullet, d_\bullet) of projective \mathcal{A} -modules of this vector rank, except finitely many isomorphism classes, are isomorphic to $P_\bullet(m, \lambda)$ for some $P_\bullet \in \mathfrak{P}$ and some m, λ .
 The set \mathfrak{P} is called a *parameterising set* of \mathcal{A} -complexes.
4. We call a lofd category \mathcal{A} *derived wild* if there is a bounded complex P_\bullet of projective modules over $\mathcal{A} \otimes \Sigma$, where Σ is the free \mathbb{k} -algebra in 2 variables, such that, for every finite dimensional Σ -modules L, L' ,
 - (a) $P_\bullet \otimes_\Sigma L \simeq P_\bullet \otimes_\Sigma L'$ if and only if $L \simeq L'$.
 - (b) $P_\bullet \otimes_\Sigma L$ is indecomposable if and only if so is L .
 (It is well-known that then an analogous complex of $\mathcal{A} \otimes \Gamma$ -modules exists for every finitely generated \mathbb{k} -algebra Γ .)

Note that, according to these definitions, every *derived discrete* (in particular, *derived finite*) lofd category [12] is derived tame (with the empty set \mathfrak{P}). Simple geometric considerations, like in [2], show that neither lofd category can be both derived tame and derived wild. We are going to demonstrate the following result.

Theorem 1.3 (MAIN THEOREM). *Every lofd category over an algebraically closed field is either derived tame or derived wild.*

This theorem will be proved in Section 3. Note that, in particular, it makes valid the following corollaries, which have been proved in [5] under supposition that every finite dimensional algebra is either derived tame or derived wild.

Corollary 1.4. *Let \mathcal{A} be a flat family of finite dimensional algebras based on an algebraic variety X . Then the set $\{x \in X \mid \mathcal{A}(x) \text{ is derived wild}\}$ is a union of a countable sequence of closed subsets.*

Corollary 1.5. *Suppose that a finite dimensional algebra A degenerates to another algebra B (or, the same, B deforms to A), i.e. there is a flat family*

of algebras \mathcal{A} based on a variety X such that $\mathcal{A}(x) \simeq \mathbf{A}$ for all x from a dense open subset $U \subseteq X$ and there is a point $y \in X$ such that $\mathcal{A}(y) \simeq \mathbf{B}$. If \mathbf{A} is derived wild, so is \mathbf{B} ; respectively, if \mathbf{B} is derived tame, so is \mathbf{A} .

If the families are not assumed flat, these assertions are no more true [1] (see [5, 6] for further comments).

2. RELATED BOXES

Recall [3, 4] that a *box* is a pair $\mathfrak{A} = (\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{V})$ consisting of a category \mathcal{A} and an \mathcal{A} -coalgebra \mathcal{V} . We denote by μ the comultiplication in \mathcal{V} , by ε its counit and by $\overline{\mathcal{V}} = \text{Ker } \varepsilon$ its *kernel*. We always suppose that \mathfrak{A} is *normal*, i.e. there is a *section* $\omega : x \mapsto \omega_x$ ($x \in \text{ob } \mathcal{A}$) such that $\varepsilon(\omega_x) = 1_x$ and $\mu(\omega_x) = \omega_x \otimes \omega_x$ for all x . A category \mathcal{A} is called *free* if it is isomorphic to a path category $\mathbb{k}\Gamma$ of an oriented graph (quiver) Γ , and *semi-free* if $\mathcal{A} = \mathbb{k}\Gamma[\mathbb{L}^{-1}]$, where \mathbb{L} is a set of *loops*, i.e. arrows $a : x \rightarrow x$ from Γ . The arrows $a : x \rightarrow y$ with $x \neq y$ will be called *edges*. If Γ contains no arrows at all, the category \mathcal{A} is called *trivial*; if Γ only has loops, and at most one loop at every vertex x , \mathcal{A} is called *minimal*. A normal box $\mathfrak{A} = (\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{V})$ is called *free* (*semi-free*) if so is the category \mathcal{A} , while the kernel $\overline{\mathcal{V}}$ is a free \mathcal{A} -bimodule. If we fix a set of free generators Δ of $\overline{\mathcal{V}}$, we call the elements from Δ *dashed arrows* of the box \mathfrak{A} , while the arrows of Γ are called *solid arrows*. The union $\text{Arr } \mathfrak{A} = \Gamma \cup \Delta$ is called a *set of free* (or *semi-free*) *generators* of the free (semi-free) box \mathfrak{A} . We also call the objects of \mathcal{A} the *vertices* of \mathfrak{A} , denote by $\text{Ver } \mathfrak{A}$ the set of vertices, and write $\text{Arr}^0 \mathfrak{A} = \Gamma$, $\text{Arr}^1 \mathfrak{A} = \Delta$. Note that a choice of free (semi-free) generators is usually not unique, and most of proofs related to boxes use a change of free (semi-free) generators.

Recall that the *differential* of a normal box $\mathfrak{A} = (\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{V})$ is the pair $\partial = (\partial_0, \partial_1)$ of mappings, $\partial_0 : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{V}}$, $\partial_1 : \overline{\mathcal{V}} \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{V}} \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} \overline{\mathcal{V}}$, namely

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_0 a &= a\omega_x - \omega_y a \quad \text{for } a \in \mathcal{A}(x, y), \\ \partial_1 v &= \mu(v) - v \otimes \omega_x - \omega_y \otimes v \quad \text{for } v \in \overline{\mathcal{V}}(x, y). \end{aligned}$$

Usually we omit the index and write both ∂a and ∂v . A set of arrows $\text{Arr } \mathfrak{A}$ of semi-free box is said to be *triangular*, if there is a function $h : \text{Arr } \mathfrak{A} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ (called *height*) such that, for any $a \in \text{Arr } \mathfrak{A}$ (either solid or dashed) ∂a belongs to the sub-box generated by the arrows $b \in \text{Arr } \mathfrak{A}$ with $\partial b < \partial a$, especially, $\partial a = 0$ if $h(a) = 0$. If such a set of arrows exists, we call the box \mathfrak{A} *triangular*.

A normal box \mathfrak{A} such that the category \mathcal{A} is trivial, is called *so-trivial* (trivial with respect to solid arrows). If \mathcal{A} is a minimal category and $\partial a = 0$ for each solid loop, we call the box \mathfrak{A} *so-minimal*.

In what follows we also use boxes, which are not free (or semi-free), but are their factors. Namely, let $\mathfrak{A} = (\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{V})$ be a semi-free box, $\mathbf{l} \subseteq \mathcal{A}$ be an ideal of the category \mathcal{A} such that $\partial a \in \mathbf{l}\overline{\mathcal{V}} + \overline{\mathcal{V}}\mathbf{l}$ for all $a \in \mathbf{l}$. Denote by \mathfrak{A}/\mathbf{l} the box $(\tilde{\mathcal{A}}, \tilde{\mathcal{V}})$, where $\tilde{\mathcal{A}} = \mathcal{A}/\mathbf{l}$ and $\tilde{\mathcal{V}} = \mathcal{V}/(\mathbf{l}\mathcal{V} + \mathcal{V}\mathbf{l})$, with natural comultiplication and counit. Note that in this case the kernel of the box \mathfrak{A}/\mathbf{l} is a free $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}$ -bimodule, namely, it is isomorphic to $\overline{\tilde{\mathcal{V}}}/(\mathbf{l}\overline{\tilde{\mathcal{V}}} + \overline{\tilde{\mathcal{V}}}\mathbf{l})$. If \mathfrak{A} is a triangular semi-free box and the ideal \mathbf{l} is contained in the ideal generated

by all products ab , where a, b are solid arrows, we call $\tilde{\mathfrak{A}} = \mathfrak{A}/\mathfrak{l}$ a *convenient* box. The vertices and arrows of $\tilde{\mathfrak{A}}$ are, by definitions, those of \mathfrak{A} . Especially, the notions of *triangular set of arrows* and *triangular box* are transmitted to convenient boxes. Actually, we need a rather specific kind of convenient boxes, defined as follows.

Definition 2.1. 1. A free box \mathfrak{A} is called *sliced* if there is a function $s : \text{Ver } \mathfrak{A} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ such that

- (a) $s(y) < s(x)$ for every solid arrow $a : x \rightarrow y$; we set $s(a) = s(x)$;
- (b) $s(x) = s(y)$ for every dashed arrow $\gamma : x \dashrightarrow y$; we set $s(\gamma) = s(x) = s(y)$.

2. A box $\tilde{\mathfrak{A}} = \mathfrak{A}/\mathfrak{l}$, where $\mathfrak{A} = (\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{V})$ is a free box and $\mathfrak{l} \subset \mathcal{A}$ is an ideal in \mathcal{A} such that $\partial a \in \overline{\mathcal{V}} + \overline{\mathcal{V}}\mathfrak{l}$ for all $a \in \mathfrak{l}$, is called *sliced* if so is the box \mathfrak{A} .

We call the function s a *slicing* of the box \mathfrak{A} or $\tilde{\mathfrak{A}}$.

Note that if a free box \mathfrak{A} is sliced, there are neither loops nor oriented cycles in it. Therefore, if an ideal \mathfrak{l} is not contained in the ideal generated by the paths of length 2, we are able just drop an arrow that occur in an element of \mathfrak{l} . Hence sliced boxes are always convenient.

A *representation* of a box $\mathfrak{A} = (\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{V})$ over a category \mathcal{C} is defined as a functor $M : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \text{add } \mathcal{C}$. A *morphism* of such representations $f : M \rightarrow N$ is defined as a homomorphism of \mathcal{A} -modules $\mathcal{V} \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} M \rightarrow N$. If $g : N \rightarrow L$ is another morphism, their product is defined as the composition

$$\mathcal{V} \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} M \xrightarrow{\mu \otimes 1} \mathcal{V} \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} \mathcal{V} \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} M \xrightarrow{1 \otimes f} \mathcal{V} \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} N \xrightarrow{g} L.$$

Thus we obtain the *category of representations* $\text{Rep}(\mathfrak{A}, \mathcal{C})$. If \mathfrak{A} is a free (or a convenient) box, we denote by $\text{rep}(\mathfrak{A}, \mathcal{C})$ the full subcategory of $\text{Rep}(\mathfrak{A}, \mathcal{C})$ consisting of representations with finite support $\text{supp } M = \{x \in \text{Ver } \mathfrak{A} \mid Mx \neq 0\}$. If $\mathcal{C} = \text{vec}$, we write $\text{Rep}(\mathfrak{A})$ and $\text{rep}(\mathfrak{A})$.

Given a lofd \mathcal{A} , we are going to construct a sliced box $\mathfrak{B} = \mathfrak{B}(\mathcal{A}) = (\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{W})$ such that its representations classify the objects of the derived category $\mathcal{D}^b(\mathcal{A})$.

We denote by \mathcal{S} the trivial category with the set of objects

$$\text{ob } \mathcal{S} = \{(x, n) \mid x \in \text{ind } \mathcal{A}, n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$$

and consider the \mathcal{S} -bimodule \mathcal{J} such that

$$\mathcal{J}((x, n), (y, m)) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } m \neq n - 1, \\ J(x, y)^* & \text{if } m = n - 1, \end{cases}$$

where J is the radical of \mathcal{A} and V^* denotes the dual vector space to V . Let $\tilde{\mathcal{B}} = \mathcal{S}[\mathcal{J}]$ be the tensor category of this bimodule; equivalently, it is the free category having the same set of objects as \mathcal{S} and the union of bases of all $\mathcal{J}((x, n), (y, m))$ as a set of free generators. Denote by \mathfrak{U} the \mathcal{S} -bimodule such that

$$\mathfrak{U}((x, n), (y, m)) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } n \neq m, \\ \mathcal{A}(x, y)^* & \text{if } n = m \end{cases}$$

and set $\tilde{\mathcal{U}} = \tilde{\mathcal{B}} \otimes_{\mathcal{S}} \mathfrak{U} \otimes_{\mathcal{S}} \tilde{\mathcal{B}}$. Dualizing the multiplication $\mathcal{A}(y, z) \otimes \mathcal{A}(x, y) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}(x, z)$, we get homomorphisms

$$\begin{aligned}\lambda_r : \tilde{\mathcal{B}} &\longrightarrow \tilde{\mathcal{B}} \otimes_{\mathcal{S}} \tilde{\mathcal{U}}, \\ \lambda_l : \tilde{\mathcal{B}} &\longrightarrow \tilde{\mathcal{U}} \otimes_{\mathcal{S}} \tilde{\mathcal{B}}, \\ \tilde{\mu} : \tilde{\mathcal{U}} &\longrightarrow \tilde{\mathcal{U}} \otimes_{\mathcal{S}} \tilde{\mathcal{U}}.\end{aligned}$$

In particular, $\tilde{\mu}$ defines on $\tilde{\mathcal{U}}$ a structure of $\tilde{\mathcal{B}}$ -coalgebra. Moreover, the sub-bimodule \mathfrak{U}_0 generated by $\text{Im}(\lambda_r - \lambda_l)$ is a coideal in $\tilde{\mathcal{U}}$, i.e. $\tilde{\mu}(\mathfrak{U}_0) \subseteq \mathfrak{U}_0 \otimes_{\tilde{\mathcal{B}}} \tilde{\mathcal{U}} \oplus \tilde{\mathcal{U}} \otimes_{\tilde{\mathcal{B}}} \mathfrak{U}_0$. Therefore, $\tilde{\mathcal{W}} = \tilde{\mathcal{U}}/\mathfrak{U}_0$ is also a $\tilde{\mathcal{B}}$ -coalgebra, so we get a box $\mathfrak{B} = (\tilde{\mathcal{B}}, \tilde{\mathcal{W}})$. One easily checks, like in [3], that it is free and triangular.

Dualizing multiplication also gives a mapping

$$(1) \quad \nu : \mathcal{J}(x, y)^* \longrightarrow \bigoplus_z \mathcal{J}(z, y)^* \otimes \mathcal{J}(x, z)^*.$$

Namely, if we choose bases $\{\alpha\}, \{\beta\}, \{\gamma\}$ in the spaces, respectively, $\mathcal{J}(x, y), \mathcal{J}(z, y), \mathcal{J}(x, z)$, and dual bases $\{\alpha^*\}, \{\beta^*\}, \{\gamma^*\}$ in their duals, then $\beta^* \otimes \gamma^*$ occurs in $\nu(\alpha^*)$ with the same coefficient as α occurs in $\beta\gamma$. Note that the right-hand space in (1) coincide with each $\tilde{\mathcal{B}}((x, n), (y, n-2))$. Let \mathfrak{I} be the ideal in $\tilde{\mathcal{B}}$ generated by the images of ν in all these spaces and $\mathfrak{B} = \tilde{\mathcal{B}}/\mathfrak{I} = (\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{W})$, where $\mathcal{B} = \tilde{\mathcal{B}}/\mathfrak{I}$, $\mathcal{W} = \tilde{\mathcal{W}}/(\mathfrak{I}\tilde{\mathcal{W}} + \tilde{\mathcal{W}}\mathfrak{I})$. One easily checks that $\partial \mathfrak{I} \subseteq \mathfrak{I}\tilde{\mathcal{W}} + \tilde{\mathcal{W}}\mathfrak{I}$, so it is a convenient box. If necessary, we write $\mathfrak{B}(\mathcal{A})$ to emphasise that this box has been constructed from a given algebra \mathcal{A} . Certainly, \mathfrak{B} is a sliced triangular box, and the following result holds.

Theorem 2.2. *The category of finite dimensional representations $\text{rep}(\mathfrak{B}(\mathcal{A}))$ is equivalent to the category $\mathcal{P}_{\min}^b(\mathcal{A})$ of bounded minimal projective \mathcal{A} -complexes.*

Proof. We denote $\mathcal{J}^x = \mathcal{J}(x, -) = \text{rad } \mathcal{A}^x$. Then $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{A}^x, \mathcal{J}^y) \simeq \mathcal{J}(x, y)$. A representation $M \in \text{rep}(\mathfrak{B})$ is given by vector spaces $M(x, n)$ and linear mappings

$$M_{xy}(n) : \mathcal{J}(x, y)^* = \mathcal{A}((x, n), (y, n-1)) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(M(x, n), M(y, n-1)),$$

where $x, y \in \text{ind } \mathcal{A}$, $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, subject to the relations

$$(2) \quad \sum_z \mathbf{m}(M_{zy}(n) \otimes M_{xz}(n+1))\nu(\alpha) = 0$$

for all x, y, n and all $\alpha \in \mathcal{J}_{xy}$, where \mathbf{m} denotes the multiplication of mappings

$$\begin{aligned}\text{Hom}(M(z, n), M(y, n-1)) \otimes \text{Hom}(M(x, n+1), M(z, n)) &\rightarrow \\ &\rightarrow \text{Hom}(M(x, n+1), M(y, n-1)).\end{aligned}$$

For such a representation, set $P_n = \bigoplus_x \mathcal{A}^x \otimes M(x, n)$. Then $\text{rad } P_n = \bigoplus_x J^x \otimes M(x, n)$ and

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(P_n, \text{rad } P_{n-1}) &\simeq \bigoplus_{x,y} \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{A}^x \otimes M(x, n), J^y \otimes M(y, n-1)) \simeq \\ &\simeq \bigoplus_{x,y} \text{Hom}(M(x, n), \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{A}^x, J^y \otimes M(y, n-1))) \simeq \\ &\simeq \bigoplus_{x,y} M(x, n)^* \otimes J(x, y) \otimes M(y, n-1) \simeq \\ &\simeq \bigoplus_{x,y} \text{Hom}(J^*(x, y), \text{Hom}(M(x, n), M(y, n-1))). \end{aligned}$$

Thus the set $\{M_{xy}(n) \mid x, y \in \text{ind } \mathcal{A}\}$ defines a homomorphism $d_n : P_n \rightarrow P_{n-1}$ and vice versa. Moreover, one easily verifies that the condition (2) is equivalent to the relation $d_n d_{n+1} = 0$. Since every projective \mathcal{A} -module can be given in the form $\bigoplus_x \mathcal{A}^x \otimes V_x$ for some uniquely defined vector spaces V_x , we get a one-to-one correspondence between finite dimensional representations of \mathfrak{B} and bounded minimal complexes of projective \mathcal{A} -modules. In the same way one also establishes one-to-one correspondence between morphisms of representations and of the corresponding complexes, compatible with their multiplication, which accomplishes the proof. \square

Note that we can pick up subcategories of $\text{rep}(\mathfrak{B})$ that describe each of $\mathcal{Q}^N(\mathcal{A})$. Namely, denote by $\text{rep}^N(\mathfrak{B})$ the full subcategory of $\text{rep}(\mathfrak{B})$ consisting of all representations M such that $M(x, n) = 0$ for $n > N$. Let T_N be the ideal of $\text{rep}^N(\mathfrak{B})$ generated by the identity morphisms of *trivial representations* $S_{x,N}$, where $S_{x,N}(x, N) = \mathbb{k}$, $S_{x,N}(y, n) = 0$ if $(y, n) \neq (x, N)$. Obviously, the equivalence of the categories $\text{rep}(\mathfrak{B})$ and $\mathcal{P}_{\min}^b(\mathcal{A})$ maps representations from $\text{rep}^N(\mathfrak{B})$ onto the complexes P_\bullet with $P_n = 0$ for $n > N$. Moreover, it maps $S_{x,N}$ to the complex $T_\bullet^{x,N}$ with $T_N^{x,N} = \mathcal{A}^x$, $T_n^{x,N} = 0$ for $n \neq N$. Note that a morphism of complexes from $\mathcal{Q}^N(\mathcal{A})$ is quasi-homotopic to zero if and only if it factorises through a direct sum of complexes $T_\bullet^{x,N}$. It gives the following

Corollary 2.3. *The category $\mathcal{Q}^N(\mathcal{A})$ is equivalent to the factor category $\text{rep}^N(\mathfrak{B})/\mathsf{T}_N$.*

Evidently, $\text{ind}(\text{rep}^N(\mathfrak{B})/\mathsf{T}_N) = \text{ind}(\text{rep}^N(\mathfrak{B})) \setminus \{S_{x,N} \mid x \in \text{Ver } \mathcal{A}\}$.

Corollary 2.4. *An algebra \mathcal{A} is derived tame (derived wild) if so is the box $\mathfrak{B}(\mathcal{A})$.*

3. PROOF OF THE MAIN THEOREM

Now we are able to prove the main theorem. Namely, according to Corollary 2.4, it follows from the analogous result for sliced boxes.

Theorem 3.1. *Every sliced triangular box is either tame or wild.*

Actually, just as in [3] (see also [4]), we shall prove this theorem in the following form.

Theorem 3.1a. *Suppose that a sliced triangular box $\mathfrak{A} = (\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{V})$ is not wild. For every dimension \mathbf{d} of its representations there is a functor $F_{\mathbf{d}} : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \text{add } \mathcal{M}$, where \mathcal{M} is a minimal category, such that every representation $M : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \text{vec}$ of \mathfrak{A} of dimension $\dim(M) \leq \mathbf{d}$ is isomorphic to the inverse image $F^*N = N \circ F$ for some functor $N : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \text{vec}$. Moreover, F can be chosen strict, which means that $F^*N \simeq F^*N'$ implies $N \simeq N'$ and F^*N is indecomposable if so is N .*

Remark. We can consider the induced box $\mathfrak{A}^F = (\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{M} \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} \mathcal{V} \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} \mathcal{M})$. It is a so-minimal box, and F^* defines a full and faithful functor $\text{rep}(\mathfrak{A}^F) \rightarrow \text{rep}(\mathfrak{A})$. Its image consists of all representations $M : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \text{vec}$ that factorise through F .

Proof. As we only consider finite dimensional representations, we may assume that the set of objects is finite. Then we may assume that all values of a slicing $s : \text{Ver } \mathfrak{A} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ belong to \mathbb{N} , and there are finitely many of them. Let $m = \max \{s(x) \mid x \in \text{Ver } \mathfrak{A}\}$. We use induction on m . If $m = 1$, \mathfrak{A} is free, and our claim has been proved in [3]. So we may suppose that the theorem is true for smaller values of m , especially, it is true for the restriction $\mathfrak{A}' = (\mathcal{A}', \mathcal{V}')$ of the box \mathfrak{A} onto the subset $\mathcal{V} = \{x \in \text{Ver } \mathfrak{A} \mid s(x) < m\}$. Thus there is a strict functor $F' : \mathcal{A}' \rightarrow \text{add } \mathcal{M}$, where \mathcal{M} is a minimal category, such that every representation of \mathfrak{A}' of dimension smaller than \mathbf{d} is of the form F'^*N for $N : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \text{vec}$. Consider now the amalgamation $\mathcal{B} = \mathcal{A} \bigsqcup^{\mathcal{A}'} \mathcal{M}$ and the box $\mathfrak{B} = (\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{W})$, where $\mathcal{W} = \mathcal{B} \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} \mathcal{V} \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} \mathcal{B}$. The functor F' extends to a functor $F : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ and induces a homomorphism of \mathcal{A} -bimodules $\mathcal{V} \rightarrow \mathcal{W}$; so it defines a functor $F^* : \text{rep}(\mathfrak{B}) \rightarrow \text{rep}(\mathfrak{A})$, which is full and faithful. Moreover, every representation of \mathfrak{A} of dimension smaller than \mathbf{d} is isomorphic to F^*N for some N , and all possible dimensions of such N are restricted by some vector \mathbf{b} . Therefore, it is enough to prove the claim of the theorem for the box \mathfrak{B} .

Note that the category \mathcal{B} is generated by the loops from \mathcal{M} and the images of arrows from $\mathcal{A}(a, b)$ with $s(a) = m$ (we call them *new arrows*). It implies that all possible relations between these morphisms are of the form $\sum_{\alpha} g_{\alpha}(\beta) \alpha = 0$, where $\beta \in \mathcal{B}(b, b)$ is a loop (necessarily minimal, i.e. with $\partial\beta = 0$), g_{α} are some polynomials, and α runs through the set of new arrows from a to b for some a with $s(a) = m$. Consider all of these relations for a fixed a ; let them be $\sum_{\alpha} g_{\alpha, k}(\beta) \alpha = 0$. Their coefficients form a matrix $(g_{\alpha, k}(\beta))$. Using transformations of the set $\{\beta\}$ and of the set of relations, we can make this matrix diagonal, i.e. make all relations being $f_{\alpha}(\beta) \alpha = 0$ for some polynomials f_{α} . If one of f_{α} is zero, the box \mathfrak{B} has a sub-box

$$a \xrightarrow{\alpha} b \bigcirc \beta ,$$

with $\partial\alpha = \partial\beta = 0$, which is wild; hence \mathfrak{B} and \mathfrak{A} are also wild. Otherwise, let $f(\beta) \neq 0$ be a common multiple of all $f_{\alpha}(\beta)$, $\Lambda = \{\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_r\}$ be the set of roots of $f(\beta)$. If $N \in \text{rep}(\mathfrak{B})$ is such that $N(\beta)$ has no eigenvalues from Λ , then $f(N(\beta))$ is invertible; thus $N(\alpha) = 0$ for all $\alpha : a \rightarrow b$. So we can apply the *reduction of the loop* β with respect to the set Λ and the dimension $d(a)$, as in [3, Propositions 3,4] or [4, Theorem 6.4]. It gives a new box that has the same number of loops as \mathfrak{B} , but the loop corresponding

to β is “isolated,” i.e. there are no more arrows starting or ending at the same vertex. In the same way we are able to isolate all loops, obtaining a semi-free triangular box \mathfrak{C} and a morphism $G : \mathfrak{B} \rightarrow \mathfrak{C}$ such that G^* is full and faithful and all representations of \mathfrak{B} of dimensions smaller than \mathbf{b} are of the form G^*L . As the theorem is true for semi-free boxes, it accomplishes the proof. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] Th. Brüstle. *Tree Algebras and Quadratic Forms*. Habilitation Thesis. Universität Bielefeld, 2002.
- [2] Yu. A. Drozd. On tame and wild matrix problems. *Matrix Problems*. Institute of Mathematics, Kiev, 1977, 104–114.
- [3] Yu. A. Drozd. Tame and wild matrix problems. *Representations and quadratic forms*. Institute of Mathematics, Kiev, 1979, 39–74. (English translation: *Amer. Math. Soc. Translations* **128** (1986) 31–55.)
- [4] Yu. A. Drozd. Reduction algorithm and representations of boxes and algebras. *Comptes Rendues Math. Acad. Sci. Canada* **23** (2001), 97–125.
- [5] Yu. A. Drozd. Semi-continuity for derived categories. arXiv:math.RT/0212015 (to appear in *Algebras and Representation Theory*).
- [6] Yu. A. Drozd. Derived tame and derived wild algebras. arXiv:math.RT/0310171 (to appear in *Algebra and Discrete Mathematics*).
- [7] Yu. A. Drozd and G.-M. Greuel. Tame-wild dichotomy for Cohen–Macaulay modules. *Math. Ann.* **294** (1992), 387–394.
- [8] Ch. Geiß. Derived tame algebras and Euler-forms. *Math. Z.* **239** (2002), 829–862.
- [9] Ch. Geiß and H. Krause. On the notion of derived tameness. *J. Algebra Appl.* **1** (2002), 133–157.
- [10] S. I. Gelfand and Yu. I. Manin. *Methods of Homological Algebra*. Springer–Verlag, 1996.
- [11] D. Happel. *Triangulated Categories in the Representation Theory of Finite Dimensional Algebras*. London Mathematical Society Lecture Notes Series, **119**, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1988.
- [12] D. Vossieck, The algebras with discrete derived category. *J. Algebra* **243** (2001), 168–176.

DEPARTAMENTO DE MATEMÁTICA, ICEx, UNIVERSIDADE FEDERAL DE MINAS GERAIS,
 AV. ANTÔNIO CARLOS, 6627, CP 702, CEP 30123-970, BELO HORIZONTE-MG, BRASIL
E-mail address: bekkert@mat.ufmg.br

DEPARTMENT OF MECHANICS AND MATHEMATICS, KYIV TARAS SHEVCHENKO UNI-
 VERSITY, 01033 KYIV, UKRAINE

E-mail address: yuriy@drozd.org

URL: <http://drozd.org/~yuriy>