

Explicit Hilbert Spaces for certain unipotent representations III

Alexander Dvorsky* and Siddhartha Sahi†

February 20, 2018

0 Introduction

This paper is the culmination of a series dedicated to the problem of constructing explicit analytic models for small unitary representations of certain semisimple Lie groups. (See [S1], [S2], [S3], [DS1], [DS2] and also [SS], [KS].)

The groups G that we consider are those that arise from real semisimple Jordan algebras via the Tits-Koecher-Kantor construction. Such a G is characterized by the fact that it admits a parabolic subgroup $P = LN$ which is conjugate to its opposite $\overline{P} = L\overline{N}$, and for which the nilradicals N and \overline{N} are abelian. We identify N and \overline{N} with their Lie algebras \mathfrak{n} and $\overline{\mathfrak{n}}$ via the exponential map, and moreover we identify $\overline{\mathfrak{n}}$ with \mathfrak{n}^* via a suitably rescaled Killing form. The space $\overline{\mathfrak{n}}$ is naturally endowed with a real semisimple Jordan algebra structure, which is isotopic to the one giving rise to G and P . We write n for the rank of $\overline{\mathfrak{n}}$ as a Jordan algebra.

In this situation, the Levi component L has a finite number of orbits on $\overline{\mathfrak{n}}$; each orbit has a *rank* $\leq n$, and carries a measure $d\mu$ which transforms by a character under L . For the non-open orbits ($\text{rank} < n$) this measure is unique up to scalar multiple; for the open orbits ($\text{rank} = n$) there is a one-parameter family of such measures. For each non-open orbit \mathcal{O} we consider the Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{O}} = \mathcal{L}^2(\mathcal{O}, d\mu)$. By Mackey theory this space carries a natural irreducible unitary representation $\pi_{\mathcal{O}}$ of P , and we consider the following two problems:

- Extend $\pi_{\mathcal{O}}$ to a unitary representation of G .
- Decompose $\pi_{\mathcal{O}^1} \otimes \cdots \otimes \pi_{\mathcal{O}^s}$, for $\text{rank } \mathcal{O}^1 + \dots + \text{rank } \mathcal{O}^s \leq n$.

For Euclidean Jordan algebras the first problem was solved in [S1] and [S2], and the second problem was solved in [DS1]. Therefore in this paper we restrict

*dvorsky@math.miami.edu, Department of Mathematics, University of Miami, Coral Gables, FL 33126

†sahi@math.rutgers.edu, Department of Mathematics, Rutgers University, New Brunswick, NJ 08903

our attention to non-Euclidean Jordan algebras. The case of rank 1 orbits in non-Euclidean Jordan algebras was completely settled in [DS2]. It turned out that for rank 1 orbits in certain rank 2 Jordan algebras the representation $\pi_{\mathcal{O}}$ cannot be extended to G . We shall call these orbits *inadmissible*; they arise for the groups $G = O(p, q)$, $p \neq q$ and are connected with the Howe-Vogan “no-go” result for minimal representations of such groups ([V]). For rank 1 orbits in the remaining Jordan algebras we established the desired extension and calculated the tensor product decomposition in [DS2].

In this paper we consider the general case, and prove the following results:

First suppose \mathcal{O} is an admissible non-open orbit in a non-Euclidean Jordan algebra \overline{N} .

Theorem 0.1 *For \mathcal{O} as above, the representation $\pi_{\mathcal{O}}$ of P extends to an irreducible spherical unitary representation of G on $\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{O}}$.*

Now suppose $\mathcal{O}^1, \dots, \mathcal{O}^s$ are admissible non-open orbits in \overline{N} such that $\text{rank } \mathcal{O}^1 + \dots + \text{rank } \mathcal{O}^s \leq n$. In subsection 4.2 we define a reductive homogeneous space G'/H' , essentially the generic fiber of the addition map from $\mathcal{O}^1 \times \dots \times \mathcal{O}^s$ to \overline{N} , and consider the decomposition of the quasi-regular representation

$$\mathcal{L}^2(G'/H') = \int_{\widehat{G'}}^{\oplus} m(\sigma) \sigma \, d\rho(\sigma),$$

where $m(\sigma)$ is the multiplicity function and $d\rho(\sigma)$ is the Plancherel measure.

Theorem 0.2 *Let $\mathcal{O}^1, \dots, \mathcal{O}^s$ and G', H' be as above; then there is a map θ from the H' -spherical dual of G' to the unitary dual of G such that*

$$\pi_{\mathcal{O}^1} \otimes \dots \otimes \pi_{\mathcal{O}^s} = \int_{\widehat{G'}}^{\oplus} m(\sigma) \theta(\sigma) \, d\rho(\sigma).$$

Our approach to these results requires consideration of three different kinds of groups, each with its own flavor of representation theory. These are:

- Harish-Chandra modules for semisimple Lie groups.
- Operator algebras for parabolic subgroups.
- Fourier analysis for abelian nilradicals.

The Harish-Chandra theory was studied in [S3]. The necessary operator-algebraic results (C^* -algebras, von Neumann algebras) were already obtained in [DS1] and [DS2]. Thus the missing ingredient, which is provided by this paper, involves abelian Fourier analysis. The key result, Proposition 3.2, shows that a certain function (eventually, the “spherical” vector in $\pi_{\mathcal{O}}$) belongs to $\mathcal{L}^2(\mathcal{O}, d\mu)$. For rank 1 orbits this result was obtained in [DS2] by establishing a close connection between this function and a certain one-variable Bessel K -function. The required \mathcal{L}^2 -estimate was then deduced from a precise knowledge of the singularity of the Bessel K -function at 0.

For higher rank orbits, we expect that there should exist a similar connection between the spherical vectors and multivariate Bessel K -functions. However in order to exploit this connection one would have to first develop the theory of such functions, possibly along the lines of the theory of the multivariate Bessel J -functions of [Op]. While we feel that the connection with multivariate Bessel K -functions is of interest and should be pursued further, in the present paper we follow a different approach that allows us to obtain the desired estimate directly, obviating the need to first study Bessel functions. The key here is a “stability” result (Lemma 3.12) which transfers the problem from a non-open orbit to a related problem on the open orbit for a smaller group. The open orbit problem turns out to be easier to solve.

This approach was inspired in part by a recent paper of Shimura [Sh]. We wish to thank L. Barchini for drawing our attention to this paper.

1 Preliminaries

In this section we recall basic facts about the Tits-Kantor-Koecher construction. All results of this section are well-known. More details may be found in [KS], [DS2] and in the references therein (in particular, [BK] and [Lo]).

1.1 The pair (G, P)

The Tits-Kantor-Koecher construction associates to a real simple Jordan algebra, a pair (G, P) , where G is a real simple Lie group with Cartan involution θ , and maximal compact subgroup K ; and P is a parabolic subgroup with Levi decomposition LN , say. In the context of Lie theory, these pairs can be characterized as follows:

1. N is abelian.
2. P is G -conjugate to its opposite parabolic $\overline{P} = \theta(P) = L\overline{N}$.

Conversely, in the above situation one can endow N with the structure of a real simple Jordan algebra, unique up to the choice of an identity element.

In view of the classification of real simple Lie groups and their parabolic subgroups in terms of the possible restricted root systems, it is an easy matter to determine the above pairs. The two conditions correspond to simple restricted roots α such that

1. α has coefficient 1 in the highest root
2. α satisfies $\alpha = -w_0\alpha$ for the longest element w_0 of the Weyl group.

The conditions 1. and 2. each give rise to a *symmetric* space denoted by K/M and L/H respectively, and much of the relevant information about the Jordan algebra and the associated pair (G, P) can be described in a simple and coherent manner in terms of these symmetric spaces. This makes it possible to have a

uniform discussion for the most part, with only some occasional arguments requiring case-by-case considerations.

In the next few sections we describe these spaces and conclude by giving a complete list of examples.

We follow the practice of denoting the real Lie algebras of various Lie groups by the corresponding fraktur letters; with the exception of \mathfrak{p} which will denote instead the -1 eigenspace of θ in the Cartan decomposition $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{k} \oplus \mathfrak{p}$.

1.2 The symmetric space K/M

Condition 1. implies (and is equivalent to the assertion) that the subgroup L is a *symmetric* subgroup of G , and $M = K \cap L$ is a symmetric subgroup of K . Let \mathfrak{k} be a maximal toral subalgebra for the compact symmetric space K/M , *i.e.* a Cartan subspace for in the orthogonal complement of \mathfrak{m} in \mathfrak{k} . The real rank of N as a Jordan algebra is $n = \dim_{\mathbb{R}} \mathfrak{k}$.

The roots of $\mathfrak{k}_{\mathbb{C}}$ in $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}$ *always* form a root system of type C_n , and we fix a basis $\{\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \dots, \gamma_n\}$ of \mathfrak{k}^* such that

$$\Sigma(\mathfrak{k}_{\mathbb{C}}, \mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}) = \{\pm(\gamma_i \pm \gamma_j)/2, \pm\gamma_j\}.$$

For the subsystem $\Sigma = \Sigma(\mathfrak{k}_{\mathbb{C}}, \mathfrak{k}_{\mathbb{C}})$, there are *three* possibilities:

$$A_{n-1} = \{\pm(\gamma_i - \gamma_j)/2\}, \quad D_n = \{\pm(\gamma_i \pm \gamma_j)/2\}, \quad \text{and } C_n.$$

The first of these cases arises precisely when N is a *Euclidean* Jordan algebra. This case was studied in [S1], therefore we restrict our attention to the last two cases. If Σ is C_n , there are two multiplicities, corresponding to the short and long roots, which we denote by d and e , respectively. If Σ is D_n , and $n \neq 2$, then there is a single multiplicity, which we denote by d , so that D_n may be regarded as a special case of C_n , with $e = 0$.

The root system $D_2 \approx A_1 \times A_1$ is reducible and there are two (possibly different) root multiplicities. In what follows, we explicitly exclude the case when these multiplicities are different. This means that we exclude from consideration the groups

$$G = O(p, q), N = \mathbb{R}^{p-1, q-1} (p \neq q).$$

When the two multiplicities coincide ($p = q$), we once again denote the common multiplicity by d .

1.3 S -triples and the Cayley transform

The discussion of the various cases can be made uniform by emphasizing the special role played by a family of n commuting SL_2 's or S -triples, together with the associated Cayley transform.

For the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{C})$, we define

$$x = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, y = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, h = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix},$$

$$X = \frac{1}{2} \begin{bmatrix} i & 1 \\ 1 & -i \end{bmatrix}, Y = \frac{1}{2} \begin{bmatrix} -i & 1 \\ 1 & i \end{bmatrix}, H = i \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

The Cayley transform is the automorphism of $\mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{C})$ given by

$$c = \exp \operatorname{ad} \frac{\pi i}{4} (X + Y) = \exp \operatorname{ad} \frac{\pi i}{4} (x + y) = \operatorname{Ad} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & i \\ i & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

It satisfies

$$c(X) = x, c(Y) = y, c(H) = h.$$

Now, as remarked earlier, the root system $\sum(\mathfrak{t}_{\mathbb{C}}, \mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}})$ is of type C_n . Moreover, the various compact and non-compact root multiplicities are as follows:

$$\dim \mathfrak{k}_{\pm(\gamma_i \pm \gamma_j)/2} = d, \dim \mathfrak{p}_{\pm(\gamma_i \pm \gamma_j)/2} = d$$

$$\dim \mathfrak{k}_{\pm\gamma_i} = e, \dim \mathfrak{p}_{\pm\gamma_i} = 1$$

We fix holomorphic homomorphisms

$$\Psi_j : \mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{C}) \longrightarrow \mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}, j = 1, \dots, n$$

such that each $\Psi_j(X)$ spans \mathfrak{p}_{γ_j} , and we write

$$X_j = \Psi_j(X), x_j = \Psi_j(x), y_j = \Psi_j(y), \text{etc.}$$

The images of Ψ_j commute with each other and we also write

$$\mathbf{X} = \sum_j X_j, \mathbf{x} = \sum_j x_j, \mathbf{y} = \sum_j y_j, \text{etc.}$$

The *Cayley transform* of \mathfrak{g} is the product

$$\mathbf{c} = \exp \operatorname{ad} \frac{\pi i}{4} (\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{y}) = \exp \operatorname{ad} \frac{\pi i}{4} (\mathbf{X} + \mathbf{Y}).$$

We write $\mathbf{a} = \mathbf{c}(it)$ for the Cayley transform of it . This is the abelian subalgebra of \mathfrak{g} spanned by h_1, \dots, h_n .

1.4 The symmetric space L/H

Let $H \subset L$ be the stabilizer of $\mathbf{y} \in \bar{\mathfrak{n}}$, then condition 2. implies (and is in fact equivalent to the assertion) that L/H is a symmetric space. The involution σ for this symmetric space consists of conjugation by a suitable element of K — corresponding to the element w_0 of condition 2.

Example. If $G = O_{2n,2n}$, then $L = GL_{2n}(\mathbb{R})$ and N is the Jordan algebra of $2n \times 2n$ real skew-symmetric matrices, and $H = Sp_n(\mathbb{R})$.

In the present situation L/H is always non-Riemannian; and moreover \mathfrak{a} is the corresponding Cartan subalgebra in the usual sense. In other words, if we consider the Cartan decompositions for θ and σ

$$\mathfrak{l} = \mathfrak{m} + \mathfrak{r}, \quad \mathfrak{l} = \mathfrak{h} + \mathfrak{q};$$

then \mathfrak{a} is a Cartan subspace in $\mathfrak{q} \cap \mathfrak{r}$.

Since $\mathfrak{a} = \mathfrak{c}(i\mathfrak{l})$, the roots of \mathfrak{a} in \mathfrak{g} are

$$\Sigma(\mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{g}) = \{\pm\varepsilon_i \pm \varepsilon_j, \pm 2\varepsilon_j\} \text{ where } \varepsilon_i = \frac{1}{2}\gamma_i \circ \mathfrak{c}^{-1}.$$

Moreover it is easy to see that

$$\Sigma(\mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{l}) = \{\pm(\varepsilon_i - \varepsilon_j)\}, \quad \Sigma(\mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{n}) = \{\varepsilon_i + \varepsilon_j, 2\varepsilon_j\}, \quad \Sigma(\mathfrak{a}, \bar{\mathfrak{n}}) = \{-\varepsilon_i - \varepsilon_j, -2\varepsilon_j\}.$$

We now remark that the weight

$$\nu = \varepsilon_1 + \varepsilon_2 + \dots + \varepsilon_n$$

extends to a character of \mathfrak{l} . The easiest way to see this is to consider for a in \mathfrak{a} ,

$$\mathrm{tr} \, \mathrm{ad}_{\bar{\mathfrak{n}}}(a) = \left[-2d \sum (\varepsilon_i + \varepsilon_j) - (e+1) \sum 2\varepsilon_j \right] (a) = -2r\nu(a).$$

Thus we can define

$$\nu(l) = -\left(\frac{1}{2r}\right) \mathrm{tr} \, \mathrm{ad}_{\bar{\mathfrak{n}}}(l) \text{ for } l \in \mathfrak{l}, \text{ where } r = d(n-1) + (e+1).$$

Similarly, we define a corresponding positive character of L by

$$e^\nu(l) = l^\nu = |\det \mathrm{Ad}_{\bar{\mathfrak{n}}} l|^{-1/(2r)} \text{ for } l \text{ in } L.$$

Considering an appropriate power of the determinant we obtain a corresponding positive character of the groups P and \bar{P} , which we write as $g \mapsto e^\nu(g)$, or as $g \mapsto g^\nu$.

To complete the connection with the Jordan structure, we note that the Jordan norm ϕ on $\bar{\mathfrak{n}}$ is a polynomial function which transforms by the character $e^{-2\nu}$ of L . Finally we observe that the Killing form on \mathfrak{g} gives a pairing between \mathfrak{n} and $\bar{\mathfrak{n}}$ which we *rescale* by setting $\langle x_1, y_1 \rangle = 1$.

2 Integral formulas

It is an immediate consequence of Corollary 5.3 that the L -orbits in $\bar{\mathfrak{n}}$ carry equivariant measures. More precisely, we write e^ν for the positive character of L defined in 1.4 and let $r = d(n-1) + (e+1)$ be as before. Then we have

Lemma 2.1 1. The Lebesgue measure $d\lambda$ on $\bar{\mathfrak{n}}$ is $e^{2r\nu}$ -equivariant for the L -action.

2. The rank k -orbit carries an $e^{2dk\nu}$ -equivariant measure $d\mu = d\mu_k$.

The (easy) proof of this lemma is postponed to the next subsection. We now describe a “polar coordinates” expression for these equivariant measures. Let \mathcal{O} be the rank k orbit, $\mathcal{O} = L \cdot (y_1 + \dots + y_k)$. In [Lo] it is shown that the elements

$$\{z_1 y_1 + \dots + z_k y_k \mid z_1 > z_2 > \dots > z_k > 0\}$$

give a complete set of orbit representatives for the action of $M = L \cap K$ on the rank k orbit. Accordingly, we write $C_k \subset \mathbb{R}^k$ for the cone

$$C_k = \{z = (z_1, z_2, \dots, z_k) \mid z_1 > z_2 > \dots > z_k > 0\};$$

and for m in M , z in C_k we write

$$m \cdot z = \text{Ad } m (z_1 y_1 + \dots + z_k y_k) \in \bar{\mathfrak{n}}.$$

For z in C_k we introduce the notation

$$P_k(z) = z_1 \dots z_k, V_k(z) = \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq k} [z_i^2 - z_j^2], d_k^\times z = \prod_{j=1}^k \frac{dz_j}{z_j}$$

where each dz_j denotes the Lebesgue measure on \mathbb{R} .

The main results of this section are summarized in the following two propositions.

Proposition 2.2 Let $d\lambda$ be the Lebesgue measure on $\bar{\mathfrak{n}}$, then

$$\int_{\bar{\mathfrak{n}}} f d\lambda = c \int_{C_n} \left[\int_M f(m \cdot z) dm \right] d_* z \text{ where } d_* z = [P_n]^{e+1} [V_n]^d d_n^\times z.$$

Proposition 2.3 Let $d\mu$ be the equivariant measure on the rank k orbit \mathcal{O} , then

$$\int_{\mathcal{O}} f d\mu = c \int_{C_k} \left[\int_M f(m \cdot z) dm \right] d_k z \text{ where } d_k z = [P_k^{n-k+1} V_k]^d d_k^\times z.$$

The scalars c appearing in the above integral formulas are independent of f and depend only on the normalization of the measures $d\lambda$ and $d\mu$.

For subsequent purposes we need to consider the Lebesgue measure on \mathfrak{n} as well as $\bar{\mathfrak{n}}$. For m in M , z in C_n , we write

$$m \circ z = \text{Ad } m (z_1 x_1 + \dots + z_n x_n) \in \mathfrak{n}. \quad (1)$$

Since the Cartan involution θ gives a linear isomorphism between \mathfrak{n} and $\bar{\mathfrak{n}}$, satisfying $\theta(m \circ z) = m \cdot z$, the following result can be derived immediately from Proposition 2.2.

Corollary 2.4 *Let $d\lambda$ be the Lebesgue measure on \mathfrak{n} , then for all functions f on \mathfrak{n}*

$$\int_{\mathfrak{n}} f d\lambda = c \int_{C_n} \left[\int_M f(m \circ z) dm \right] d_* z \text{ where } d_* z = [P_n]^{e+1} [V_n]^d d_n^\times z.$$

■

The proofs of the propositions will occupy the rest of this section.

2.1 Stabilizers and equivariant measures

In this subsection, we prove Lemma 2.1. For this we need to first determine the stabilizer of the point $y_1 + \dots + y_k$ in the rank k orbit. If $k = n$, the stabilizer is the symmetric subgroup H described previously. We now discuss the remaining orbits. To simplify notation we fix k and write

$$\mathbf{y}^1 = y_1 + \dots + y_k.$$

In Jordan algebra terms, \mathbf{y}^1 is a Peirce idempotent and considering the 1 and 0 Peirce-eigenspaces of \mathbf{y}^1 , we obtain smaller Jordan algebras $\bar{\mathfrak{n}}_1$ and $\bar{\mathfrak{n}}_0$ with identity elements \mathbf{y}^1 and $\mathbf{y}^0 = y_{k+1} + \dots + y_n$, respectively. The corresponding structure groups L_1 and L_0 are naturally subgroups of L . Subgroups of L_1 and L_0 will be distinguished by subscripts 1 and 0, respectively. For example,

$$M_1 = M \cap L_1, M_0 = M \cap L_0, H_1 = H \cap L_1, \mathfrak{a}_1 = \mathfrak{a} \cap \mathfrak{l}_1.$$

Thus H_1 is the stabilizer of \mathbf{y}^1 in L_1 , and the full stabilizer of \mathbf{y}^1 in L is given by

$$S = (H_1 \times L_0) \cdot \bar{U}, \quad (2)$$

where \bar{U} is the abelian subgroup whose Lie algebra $\bar{\mathfrak{u}}$ is spanned by the root spaces $\mathfrak{l}^{-\varepsilon_i + \varepsilon_j}$ ($1 \leq i \leq k < j \leq n$). Then

$$\mathfrak{l} = \mathfrak{s} + (\mathfrak{q}_1 + \mathfrak{u}), \text{ where } \mathfrak{q}_1 = \mathfrak{q} \cap \mathfrak{l}_1, \mathfrak{u} = \theta \bar{\mathfrak{u}}.$$

Proof. (of Lemma 2.1) From the calculation in the previous section, it follows that the Lebesgue measure $d\lambda$ on $\bar{\mathfrak{n}}$ is equivariant by the character

$$\eta(l) = |\det \text{Ad}_{\bar{\mathfrak{n}}} l|^{-1} = e^{2r\nu}(l) = l^{2[d(n-1)+e+1]\nu} \text{ for } l \text{ in } L,$$

which proves the first part of the lemma.

For the second part, we consider the stabilizer of $y_1 + \dots + y_k$. First suppose $k = n$; in this case the stabilizer H is semisimple, and hence

$$|\det \text{Ad}_{\mathfrak{h}} h| = 1 \text{ for all } h \in H.$$

Since $e^\nu|_H = 1$ as well, it follows from Corollary 5.3 that for each real t , the open orbit carries a measure which is $e^{t\nu}$ -equivariant. The measure $d\mu$ is simply the special case $t = 2dn$.

Now suppose $k < n$, then $\mathfrak{s} = \mathfrak{h}_1 + \mathfrak{l}_0 + \overline{\mathfrak{u}}$ and it is easy to see that $\text{tr} [\text{ad}_{\mathfrak{s}}(z)] = 0$ for z in \mathfrak{h}_1 and $\overline{\mathfrak{u}}$. Thus we can assume that z is in \mathfrak{l}_0 , and then $\text{tr} [\text{ad}_{\mathfrak{h}_1 + \mathfrak{l}_0}(z)] = 0$, hence $\text{tr} [\text{ad}_{\mathfrak{s}}(z)] = \text{tr} [\text{ad}_{\overline{\mathfrak{u}}}(z)]$. To calculate this, it suffices to consider z in \mathfrak{a}_0 , and then we get

$$\begin{aligned} \text{tr} [\text{ad}_{\mathfrak{s}}(z)] &= \text{tr} [\text{ad}_{\overline{\mathfrak{u}}}(z)] = \sum_{1 \leq i \leq k < j \leq n} 2d(-\varepsilon_i + \varepsilon_j)(z) \\ &= -2d(n-k)[\varepsilon_1 + \dots + \varepsilon_k](z) + 2dk[\varepsilon_{k+1} + \dots + \varepsilon_n](z). \end{aligned}$$

Now the weights $\varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_k$ restrict trivially on \mathfrak{a}_0 , hence we see that the required trace is the restriction of $2dk\nu$ to \mathfrak{s} . Thus we get

$$|\det \text{Ad}_{\mathfrak{s}} s| = e^{2dk\nu}(s)$$

and the result follows by Corollary 5.3. ■

2.2 Jacobians for homogeneous spaces

In order to prove integral formulas for homogeneous spaces, we need a method for calculate the Jacobian of a diffeomorphism between such spaces. It is convenient to work with the more flexible notion of a *local diffeomorphisms* between X and Y , by which we simply mean a diffeomorphism between open subsets of X and Y . We also introduce the notation

$$(X, x) \xrightarrow{F} (Y, y)$$

to represent the situation where X and Y are smooth manifolds; x and y are points in X and Y ; F is a diffeomorphism from an open neighborhood of x to an open neighborhood of Y such that $F(x) = y$.

We now consider the following situation: Suppose X and Y are homogenous spaces for groups G and H , and dx and dy are regular measures on this spaces which are equivariant for characters $\gamma(g)$ and $\eta(h)$ respectively. We choose two points $x_0 \in X$ and $y_0 \in Y$, and fix linear bases for the tangent spaces at these points.

Lemma 2.5 *Suppose that in the above situation we have a local diffeomorphism $F : X \rightarrow Y$. Then for all x in the domain of F we have*

$$J_F(x) = c\eta(h)\gamma(g)|\det D_{hFg}(x_0)|,$$

where c is a scalar independent of x ; $h \in H$ and $g \in G$ satisfy

$$g \cdot x_0 = x, \quad h \cdot F(x) = y_0$$

and $D_{hFg} : T_{x_0}X \rightarrow T_{y_0}Y$ is regarded as a matrix via the above bases.

Proof. We fix x and write $y = F(x)$. Then we have local diffeomorphisms

$$(X, x_0) \xrightarrow{g} (X, x) \xrightarrow{F} (Y, y) \xrightarrow{h} (Y, y_0).$$

By formula (16) we get

$$J_{hFg}(x_0) = J_g(x_0) J_F(x) J_h(y) = \gamma(g)^{-1} J_F(x) \eta(h)^{-1}.$$

On the other hand by Lemma 5.1 we have

$$J_{hFg}(x_0) = c |\det D_{hFg}(x_0)|$$

for some positive scalar c , independent of hFg . The lemma follows. ■

2.3 Integral formula for the Lebesgue measure

We now apply the results of the previous subsections to prove the integration formulas on the L -orbits. In order to do this we first need to fix bases for various subspaces of \mathfrak{l} which are compatible with the actions of θ and σ . We start with the weight decomposition

$$\mathfrak{l} = \mathfrak{l}^0 \oplus \left(\bigoplus_{i \neq j} \mathfrak{l}^{\varepsilon_i - \varepsilon_j} \right)$$

where \mathfrak{l}^0 is the centralizer of \mathfrak{a} . The involutions θ and σ act by -1 on \mathfrak{a} , thus the space \mathfrak{l}^0 is invariant by θ and σ ; considering their eigenvalues we have the decomposition

$$\mathfrak{l}^0 = \mathfrak{l}^{++} + \mathfrak{l}^{+-} + \mathfrak{l}^{-+} + \mathfrak{l}^{--}$$

where $\mathfrak{l}^{++} = \mathfrak{l}^0 \cap (\mathfrak{m} \cap \mathfrak{h})$, $\mathfrak{l}^{+-} = \mathfrak{l}^0 \cap (\mathfrak{m} \cap \mathfrak{q})$ etc. Note of course that $\mathfrak{l}^{--} = \mathfrak{a}$. On the other hand, the root spaces \mathfrak{l}^α are not stable under θ and σ , in fact each involution maps \mathfrak{l}^α to $\mathfrak{l}^{-\alpha}$. However the involution $\tau = \sigma\theta = \theta\sigma$ does stabilize these spaces. Considering the eigenvalues of τ we have a decomposition

$$\mathfrak{l}^\alpha = \mathfrak{l}^{\alpha,+} + \mathfrak{l}^{\alpha,-}$$

where $\mathfrak{l}^{\alpha,+} = \mathfrak{l} \cap (\mathfrak{m} \cap \mathfrak{h} + \mathfrak{r} \cap \mathfrak{q})$, $\mathfrak{l}^{\alpha,-} = \mathfrak{l} \cap (\mathfrak{m} \cap \mathfrak{q} + \mathfrak{r} \cap \mathfrak{h})$ (cf. [Sc, 8.1]).

In the present situation, we *always* have

$$\dim \mathfrak{l}^{\alpha,+} = \dim \mathfrak{l}^{\alpha,-} = d.$$

This fact can be checked easily for each of the examples in the table below, from the lists of multiplicities in [OS]. We fix bases

$$\{X_l^{\alpha,\pm}\} \subset \mathfrak{l}^{\alpha,\pm}, \quad \{X_l^{\pm,\pm}\} \subset \mathfrak{l}^{\pm,\pm}.$$

We now turn to the proof of Proposition 2.2. The rank n orbit L/H is open and dense in $\overline{\mathfrak{n}}$ and its complement has measure 0. Since L/H is a symmetric space of type A_{n-1} , the “multiplication” map

$$F : \overline{\mathfrak{m}} \times a \mapsto \overline{ma^{-1}}$$

gives an diffeomorphism between $[M/M'] \times A^+$ and L/H , where M' is the centralizer of A in $M \cap H$ and $A^+ = \exp \mathfrak{a}^+$ with

$$\mathfrak{a}^+ = \{c_1 h_1 + \cdots + c_n h_n \mid c_1 \geq \cdots \geq c_n\}$$

We regard F as a local diffeomorphism between the homogeneous spaces $[M/M'] \times A^+ = [M \times A] / [M' \times \{1\}]$ and L/H . By Corollary 5.3, the first space carries an invariant measure $d\overline{m} \times da$, while the second space carries the Lebesgue measure $d\lambda$ which is $e^{2r\nu}$ -equivariant by Lemma 2.1. The main result is the following Jacobian computation.

Lemma 2.6 *In the above situation there is a scalar c such that*

$$J_{F, d\overline{m} \times da, d\lambda}(\overline{m} \times a) = ca^{2(e+1)\nu} \prod_{i < j} |a^{4\varepsilon_i} - a^{4\varepsilon_j}|^d.$$

Proof. We fix the natural base points $x_0 = \overline{1} \times 1 \in [M/M'] \times A$ and $y_0 = \overline{1} \in L/H$ and apply Lemma 2.5 from the previous subsection with

$$g = m \times a, h = am^{-1}$$

Then we have

$$hFg(\overline{m}', a') = hF(\overline{mm'}, aa') = h(\overline{mm'(aa')^{-1}}) = \overline{(am'a^{-1})a'^{-1}}$$

Therefore the differential $D_{hFg} : \mathfrak{m}/\mathfrak{m}' + \mathfrak{a} \rightarrow \mathfrak{l}/\mathfrak{h}$ is given by

$$T_a(v, w) := \text{Ad}(a)v + w \pmod{\mathfrak{h}} \quad (3)$$

This shows that

$$J_{F, d\overline{m} \times da, d\lambda}(\overline{m} \times a) \sim a^{2r\nu} |\det T_a|$$

for some fixed choice of bases for $\mathfrak{m}/\mathfrak{m}' + \mathfrak{a}$ and $\mathfrak{l}/\mathfrak{h}$. Since $r = d(n-1) + e + 1$, the result follows from the next lemma. ■

Lemma 2.7 *For the map T_a defined in formula (3) we have*

$$|\det T_a| \sim a^{-2d(n-1)\nu} \prod_{i < j} |a^{4\varepsilon_i} - a^{4\varepsilon_j}|^d$$

Proof. In order to compute the determinant, we choose convenient bases for $\mathfrak{l}/\mathfrak{h}$ and $\mathfrak{m}/\mathfrak{m}' + \mathfrak{a}$. We have $\mathfrak{l}/\mathfrak{h} \approx \mathfrak{q}$, and so we may choose the basis

$$\{X_k^{\pm, -}\}, \{[X_k^{\alpha, +} - \theta X_k^{\alpha, +}], [X_k^{\alpha, -} + \theta X_k^{\alpha, -}] \mid \alpha > 0\};$$

For the space $\mathfrak{m}/\mathfrak{m}' + \mathfrak{a}$, we note that $\mathfrak{m}' = \mathfrak{l}^{++}$ in our earlier notation, and thus a basis is given by:

$$\{X_k^{\pm, -}\}, \{[X_k^{\alpha, +} + \theta X_k^{\alpha, +}], [X_k^{\alpha, -} + \theta X_k^{\alpha, -}] \mid \alpha > 0\}$$

We now claim that

$$\begin{aligned}
T_a \cdot X_k^{\pm,-} &= X_k^{\pm,-} \\
T_a \cdot [X_k^{\alpha,+} + \theta X_k^{\alpha,+}] &= \frac{a^\alpha - a^{-\alpha}}{2} [X_k^{\alpha,+} - \theta X_k^{\alpha,+}] \pmod{\mathfrak{h}} \\
T_a \cdot [X_k^{\alpha,-} + \theta X_k^{\alpha,-}] &= \frac{a^\alpha + a^{-\alpha}}{2} [X_k^{\alpha,-} + \theta X_k^{\alpha,-}] \pmod{\mathfrak{h}}
\end{aligned}$$

The first equality is obvious. For the second, we calculate

$$\begin{aligned}
T_a \cdot [X_k^{\alpha,+} + \theta X_k^{\alpha,+}] &= \text{Ad}(a) \cdot [X_k^{\alpha,+} + \theta X_k^{\alpha,+}] = a^\alpha X_k^{\alpha,+} + a^{-\alpha} \theta X_k^{\alpha,+} \\
&= \frac{a^\alpha - a^{-\alpha}}{2} [X_k^{\alpha,+} - \theta X_k^{\alpha,+}] + \frac{a^\alpha + a^{-\alpha}}{2} [X_k^{\alpha,+} + \theta X_k^{\alpha,+}] \\
&= \frac{a^\alpha - a^{-\alpha}}{2} [X_k^{\alpha,+} - \theta X_k^{\alpha,+}] \pmod{\mathfrak{h}}.
\end{aligned}$$

The third equality follows by a similar calculation.

Since there are d vectors in each of the sets $\{X_k^{\alpha,+} + \theta X_k^{\alpha,+}\}, \{X_k^{\alpha,-} + \theta X_k^{\alpha,-}\}$ we get

$$\begin{aligned}
|\det T_a| &\sim \prod_{i < j} \left| \frac{a^{\varepsilon_i - \varepsilon_j} - a^{\varepsilon_j - \varepsilon_i}}{2} \right|^d \left| \frac{a^{\varepsilon_i - \varepsilon_j} + a^{\varepsilon_j - \varepsilon_i}}{2} \right|^d \\
&\sim \prod_{i < j} |a^{2\varepsilon_i - 2\varepsilon_j} - a^{2\varepsilon_j - 2\varepsilon_i}|^d = \prod_{i < j} |a^{-2(\varepsilon_i + \varepsilon_j)} (a^{4\varepsilon_i} - a^{4\varepsilon_j})|^d \\
&= a^{-2d(n-1)\nu} \prod_{i < j} |a^{4\varepsilon_i} - a^{4\varepsilon_j}|^d.
\end{aligned}$$

■

We can now prove Proposition 2.2.

Proof. (of Proposition 2.2) Since the map F is a diffeomorphism between $[M/M'] \times A^+$ and L/H , by the Jacobian calculation, we get

$$\int_{\overline{\mathfrak{n}}} f d\lambda = \int_{L/H} f d\lambda = c \int_{A^-} \left[\int_{M/M'} f(\overline{ma^{-1}}) d\overline{m} \right] a^{2(c+1)\nu} \prod_{i < j} |a^{4\varepsilon_i} - a^{4\varepsilon_j}|^d da.$$

For a suitable normalization of the Haar measures, the innermost integral can be rewritten as $\int_M f(\overline{ma^{-1}}) dm$. Also for $a = \exp(c_1 h_1 + \cdots + c_n h_n)$ in A^+ , we have

$$\overline{a^{-1}} = a \cdot \mathbf{y} = e^{2c_1} y_1 + \cdots + e^{2c_n} y_n.$$

Thus if we identify A^+ and C_n via the map

$$\exp(c_1 h_1 + \cdots + c_n h_n) \longleftrightarrow (e^{2c_1}, \dots, e^{2c_n})$$

then we get

$$\overline{ma^{-1}} \leftrightarrow m \cdot z, \quad a^{2\nu} \leftrightarrow P_n, \quad \prod_{i < j} |a^{4\varepsilon_i} - a^{4\varepsilon_j}| \leftrightarrow V_n, \quad da \leftrightarrow d_n^\times z$$

Making these substitutions in the integral formula, we obtain the desired result. \blacksquare

2.4 Integral formula for the equivariant measure

We now turn to the proof of Proposition 2.3. For the case of the measure $d\mu$ on the open orbit, the calculations are *exactly* the same as in the previous section, the only difference being that the measure is $e^{2dn\nu}$ -equivariant. Thus arguing as in Lemmas 2.6 and 2.7 we obtain that

$$J_{F, d\overline{m} \times da, d\mu}(\overline{m} \times a) \sim a^{2dn\nu} |\det T_a| = a^{2d\nu} \prod_{i < j} |a^{4\varepsilon_i} - a^{4\varepsilon_j}|^d$$

Now arguing as in the proof of Proposition 2.2 we obtain Proposition 2.3 for $k = n$.

Now consider the case $k \leq n$. We recall the notation $\mathbf{y}^1 = y_1 + \dots + y_k, M_1, M_0$, etc. introduced in section 2.1. Now writing $M'_1 = Z_{M_1 \cap H_1}(\mathfrak{a}_1)$ and $\mathfrak{a}_1^+ = \mathfrak{a}_1 \cap \mathfrak{a}^+$ etc., we get a local diffeomorphism $F^1 : M/(M'_1 \times M_0) \times A_1^+ \rightarrow \mathcal{O} = L/S$ given by

$$F^1(\overline{m}, a) = \overline{ma^{-1}}$$

Arguing as in Lemma 2.6, we obtain

$$J_{F, d\overline{m} \times da, d\mu}(\overline{m} \times a) \sim a^{2dk(\varepsilon_1 + \dots + \varepsilon_k)} |\det T_a^1|$$

where $T_a^1 : \mathfrak{m}/(\mathfrak{m}'_1 + \mathfrak{m}_0) + \mathfrak{a}_1 \rightarrow \mathfrak{l}/\mathfrak{s}$ is given by

$$T_a^1(v, w) = \text{Ad } a(v) + w \pmod{\mathfrak{s}}$$

and we compute the determinant for some choice of basis for the two sides. We choose these bases in the following manner. We have A_1 -module isomorphisms

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\mathfrak{m}}{\mathfrak{m}'_1 + \mathfrak{m}_0} + \mathfrak{a}_1 &\approx \frac{\mathfrak{m}}{\mathfrak{m}_1 + \mathfrak{m}_0} + \frac{\mathfrak{m}_1}{\mathfrak{m}'_1} + \mathfrak{a}_1 \\ \mathfrak{l}/\mathfrak{s} &\approx \mathfrak{u} + \mathfrak{q}_1. \end{aligned}$$

Thus the map T_a^1 decomposes as a direct sum $T_a^1 = T_0 + T_1$ with

$$T_0 : \frac{\mathfrak{m}}{\mathfrak{m}_1 + \mathfrak{m}_0} \rightarrow \mathfrak{u}, \quad T_1 : \frac{\mathfrak{m}_1}{\mathfrak{m}'_1} + \mathfrak{a}_1 \rightarrow \mathfrak{q}_1.$$

The calculation for $|\det T_1|$ is the same as in Lemma 2.7, applied to a smaller Jordan algebra of rank k . This gives

$$|\det T_1| = \prod_{i < j \leq k} |a^{2\varepsilon_i - 2\varepsilon_j} - a^{2\varepsilon_j - 2\varepsilon_i}|^d = a^{-2d(k-1)(\varepsilon_1 + \dots + \varepsilon_k)} \prod_{i < j \leq k} |a^{4\varepsilon_i} - a^{4\varepsilon_j}|^d$$

To calculate $\det T_0$ we use the following bases for $\mathfrak{m}/(\mathfrak{m}_1 + \mathfrak{m}_0)$ and \mathfrak{u}

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\mathfrak{m}}{\mathfrak{m}_1 + \mathfrak{m}_0} : & \{ [X_l^{\alpha, \pm} + \theta X_l^{\alpha, \pm}] \mid \alpha = \varepsilon_i - \varepsilon_j, \ i \leq k, j > k \} . \\ \mathfrak{u} : & \{ X_l^{\alpha, \pm} \mid \alpha = \varepsilon_i - \varepsilon_j, \ i \leq k, j > k \} \end{aligned}$$

The action of T_0 is given by

$$\mathrm{ad} a \cdot [X_l^{\alpha, \pm} + \theta X_l^{\alpha, \pm}] = a^\alpha X_l^{\alpha, \pm} + a^{-\alpha} \theta X_l^{\alpha, \pm} = a^\alpha X_l^{\alpha, \pm} \pmod{\mathfrak{s}}$$

Since for a in A_1 we have $a^{\varepsilon_j} = 1$ for $j > k$, we get

$$|\det T_0| = \prod_{i \leq k, j > k} |a^{\varepsilon_i - \varepsilon_j}|^{2d} = a^{2d(n-k)(\varepsilon_1 + \dots + \varepsilon_k)}.$$

Thus we get

$$\begin{aligned} J_{F, d\overline{m} \times da, d\mu}(\overline{m} \times a) & \sim a^{2dk(\varepsilon_1 + \dots + \varepsilon_k)} |\det T_1| |\det T_0| \\ & = a^{2d(n-k+1)(\varepsilon_1 + \dots + \varepsilon_k)} \prod_{i < j \leq k} |a^{4\varepsilon_i} - a^{4\varepsilon_j}|^d. \end{aligned}$$

Now arguing as in the proof of Proposition 2.2 we obtain Proposition 2.3 for $k < n$.

3 Estimates for spherical vectors

We can relate the P -representation $\pi_{\mathcal{O}}$ to a unitarizable submodule of a certain degenerate principal series for G , which is described as follows: If χ is a character of L , we write $(\pi_\chi, I(\chi))$ for the degenerate principal series representation $\mathrm{Ind}_{\overline{P}}^G \chi$ (unnormalized smooth induction); thus

$$I(\chi) = \{f \in C^\infty(G) \mid f(l\overline{n}g) = \chi(l)f(g) \text{ for } l \in L, \overline{n} \in \overline{N}, g \in G\}$$

and the group G acts by right translations. By virtue of the Gelfand-Naimark decomposition $G \approx \overline{P}N$, functions from $I(\chi)$ are determined by their restriction to N . Combining this with the exponential map we can identify $I(\chi)$ with a subspace $E(\chi)$ of $C^\infty(\mathfrak{n})$. We refer to this as the noncompact picture.

For $t \in \mathbb{R}$, we write $I(t)$, $E(t)$ for $I(e^{t\nu})$, $E(e^{t\nu})$; more generally, if $\varepsilon : L \rightarrow \mathbb{T}$ is a unitary character, we write $I(t, \varepsilon)$, $E(t, \varepsilon)$ for $I(e^{t\nu} \otimes \varepsilon)$, $E(e^{t\nu} \otimes \varepsilon)$. These principal series were studied in [S3] via the ‘‘Cayley operator’’ D which is the constant coefficient differential operator on \mathfrak{n} , whose symbol is the Jordan norm polynomial ϕ . Powers of D are intertwining operators for the principal series, and their eigenvalues on the various K -isotypic components are given by the Capelli identity of [KS].

$E(t)$ is a spherical representation of G and we write Φ_t for the K -spherical vector. Among the results obtained in [S3] is that for

$$\chi_k = e^{-dk\nu}, \ k = 1, \dots, n-1;$$

the space $E(-dk)$ contains a unitarizable spherical submodule. We need to study the Fourier transforms of the corresponding spherical vectors

$$\Phi_{-dk}; \quad k = 1, \dots, n-1.$$

For this we identify $\bar{\mathfrak{n}}$ with the dual of \mathfrak{n}^* via the normalized Killing form from subsection 1.4. Also we fix $k < n$, write Φ for the spherical vector Φ_{-dk} , and write $(\mathcal{O}, d\mu)$ for the rank k orbit in $\bar{\mathfrak{n}}$ together with its equivariant measure described in Lemma 2.1. The main results are

Proposition 3.1 *The measure $\Phi d\lambda$ is a tempered distribution on \mathfrak{n} and there exists an M -invariant function g in $\mathcal{L}^1(\mathcal{O}, d\mu)$ such that*

$$\Phi d\lambda = \widehat{gd\mu}.$$

Proposition 3.2 *For $k < n$, one has $g \in \mathcal{L}^2(\mathcal{O}, d\mu)$.*

We prove these propositions in the next few subsections. The strategy is as follows: Let us write $\Phi_{k,n}$ for the function Φ_{-dk} , in order to emphasize dependence on n as well as k . Now although the above results are *false in general* for the open orbit ($k = n$), nevertheless we can prove the desired results by reducing to a slightly weaker estimate for $k = n$, which turns out to be true, and somewhat easier to prove. We establish this result in the next subsection and then outline the reduction procedure in the two following subsections. We then deduce Theorem 0.1 from Proposition 3.2 by arguments similar to [S1] and [DS2].

3.1 Estimates for the open orbit

As indicated above, we first consider the function

$$\Phi = \Phi_{n,n} = \Phi_{-dn}.$$

We need appropriate \mathcal{L}^2 -estimates with respect to the Lebesgue measure $d\lambda$ on \mathfrak{n} for the function Ψ and its derivatives. The “straightforward” estimate is actually false for the group $Sp_n(\mathbb{C})$, but it does work for the other groups G in the table in subsection 5.3. Thus we formulate two results, one for $G \neq Sp_n(\mathbb{C})$ and the other for all groups:

Proposition 3.3 *For all groups G other than $Sp_n(\mathbb{C})$, we have $\Phi \in \mathcal{L}^2(\mathfrak{n}, d\lambda)$.*

Proposition 3.4 *For all groups G and for all $m \geq 1$, we have $D^m \Phi \in \mathcal{L}^2(\mathfrak{n}, d\lambda)$.*

For each t , the function Φ_t is M -invariant, and is therefore determined by the restriction to the subspace $\{z_1 x_1 + \dots + z_n x_n\} \subseteq \mathfrak{n}$; we start by giving an explicit formula for the restriction.

Lemma 3.5 *We have*

$$\Phi_t(m \circ z) = \prod_{i=1}^n (1 + z_i^2)^{\frac{t}{2}} \text{ for all } m \text{ in } M.$$

Proof. For the group $G = SL_2(\mathbb{R})$ this is a straightforward calculation which we leave to the reader. In the general case, we view Φ as a function on G which is right K -invariant, and left \overline{P} -equivariant with character $e^{t\nu}$. We now restrict Φ to the subgroup $SL_2 \times \cdots \times SL_2$ corresponding to the S -triples of section 1.3. This restriction is right $SO_2 \times \cdots \times SO_2$ -invariant, and left $\overline{B} \times \cdots \times \overline{B}$ -equivariant with character $e^{s\nu} = e^{s\varepsilon_1} \times \cdots \times e^{s\varepsilon_n}$. Thus applying the SL_2 -calculation to each factor, we conclude that the restriction to $z_1x_1 + \cdots + z_nx_n$ is given as in the statement of the lemma. ■

Combining this with Corollary 2.4 we obtain the following estimate

Lemma 3.6 *For $t < -[d(n-1) + (e+1)/2]$, we have $\Phi_t \in \mathcal{L}^2(\mathfrak{n}, d\lambda)$.*

Proof. Combining the previous lemma with Corollary 2.4, we get

$$\int |\Phi_t|^2 d\lambda = \int_{C_n} \psi(z) dz_1 dz_2 \dots dz_n,$$

where

$$\psi(z) = \prod_{i=1}^n z_i^e (1 + z_i^2)^t \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} (z_i^2 - z_j^2)^d.$$

Expanding $(z_i^2 - z_j^2)^d$, we can write $\psi(z)$ as a combination of terms of the form

$$\prod_{i=1}^n z_i^{e+k_i} (1 + z_i^2)^t \text{ where each } k_i \leq 2d(n-1).$$

Each of these integrals is a *product of one-variable* integrals which converge if

$$\int_0^\infty x^{e+2d(n-1)} (1+x^2)^t dx < \infty.$$

This happens if $2t + e + 2d(n-1) < -1$, which proves the lemma. ■

Corollary 3.7 *If $f \in E(t, \varepsilon)$ for some $t < -[d(n-1) + (e+1)/2]$ and \mathcal{D} is any constant coefficient differential operator, then we have $\mathcal{D}f \in \mathcal{L}^2(\mathfrak{n}, d\lambda)$.*

Proof. The group G acts on $I(t, \varepsilon)$ by right translations. The corresponding action of the Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} in the noncompact picture $E(t, \varepsilon)$ is by polynomial coefficient vector fields on \mathfrak{n} . The action of $x \in \mathfrak{n}$ is independent of (t, ε) and is given simply by the directional derivative in the direction x . In particular,

the space $E(t, \varepsilon)$ is *invariant* for the action of constant coefficient differential operators.

Thus $f' \equiv \mathcal{D}f$ also belongs to $E(t, \varepsilon)$. Thus f' is the restriction to N of a \overline{P} -equivariant smooth function on G . Since $G = \overline{P}K$, any such function is *determined* by its restriction to K . The constant function 1 on K corresponds to the spherical vector Φ_t in $I(t)$. Thus if c is the maximum of $|f'|$ on K , then we have $|f'| \leq c\Phi_t$, and the corollary follows from the previous lemma. ■

We can now prove Propositions 3.3 and 3.4 (for $G \neq Sp_n(\mathbb{C})$).

Proof. (of Propositions 3.3 and 3.4 for $G \neq Sp_n(\mathbb{C})$) From the table in Section 5.3 we see that in every case except $G = Sp_n(\mathbb{C})$, we have $2d > e + 1$. Consequently we get

$$-dn < -[d(n-1) + (e+1)/2].$$

Proposition 3.3 now follows from Lemma 3.6, and Proposition 3.4 follows immediately from Corollary 3.7 for all groups *except* for $G = Sp_n(\mathbb{C})$. ■

Suppose now that G is $Sp_n(\mathbb{C})$. Then $L = GL_n(\mathbb{C})$ and \mathfrak{n} is the space of $n \times n$ complex symmetric matrices. We write \mathcal{V} for the finite-dimensional space of holomorphic polynomials on \mathfrak{n} spanned by all the minors of the symmetric matrix x , and let ε be the unitary character of L given by $\varepsilon(l) = \frac{\det l}{|\det l|}$.

Lemma 3.8 \mathcal{V} is a $Sp_n(\mathbb{C})$ -invariant subspace of $E(1, \varepsilon)$.

Proof. The character e^ν of L is simply $|\det l|$. Therefore, the space $I(1, \varepsilon)$ consists of smooth functions on $G = Sp_n(\mathbb{C})$ satisfying

$$f(l\overline{n}g) = \det(l)f(g).$$

An easy calculation shows that the representation of G on $I(1, \varepsilon)$ can be expressed in the noncompact picture $E(1, \varepsilon)$ as follows:

$$g \cdot f(x) = \det(a + xc) f\left([a + xc]^{-1}[b + xd]\right) \text{ for } g = \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix} \in G.$$

$$\text{For } p = \begin{bmatrix} a^{-1} & b \\ 0 & a^t \end{bmatrix} \in P \text{ and } w = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \text{ we have}$$

$$\begin{aligned} p \cdot f(x) &= \det(a^{-1}) f(ab + axa^t) \\ w \cdot f(x) &= \det(x) f(-x^{-1}) \end{aligned}$$

Evidently, transformations of the form $x \mapsto c + axa^t$ take minors of x to linear combinations of (possibly smaller) minors; thus \mathcal{V} is P -invariant.

Also each minor of x^{-1} is equal to $\pm \det(x)^{-1}$ times the complementary minor of x ; thus \mathcal{V} is w -invariant. Since P is a maximal parabolic subgroup, w and P generate G , and hence the space \mathcal{V} is G -invariant.

It remains only to prove that $\mathcal{V} \subset E(1, \varepsilon)$. Using the Gelfand-Naimark decomposition, the functions in \mathcal{V} can be lifted to \overline{P} -equivariant functions on

the dense open set $\overline{P}N$ in G . From the G -invariance of the finite-dimensional space \mathcal{V} , it follows that these functions transform finitely under right translations by K . Therefore they extend to smooth functions on K , and hence on G . The lemma follows. ■

Corollary 3.9 *For $G = Sp_n(\mathbb{C})$, the function $\det(x)$ belongs to the space $E(1, \varepsilon)$.* ■

We can now finish the proof of Lemma 3.4.

Proof. (of Lemma 3.4 for $G = Sp_n(\mathbb{C})$) For $G = Sp_n(\mathbb{C})$, we have $d = 1$, and the function Ψ is given explicitly by

$$\Psi(x) = \det(1 + x\overline{x})^{-n/2}$$

and we have

$$\begin{aligned}\phi(x) &= \det(x) \det(\overline{x}) \\ D &= \det(\partial_x) \det(\partial_{\overline{x}}).\end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$D\Psi = \det(\partial_x) \det(\partial_{\overline{x}}) \det(1 + x\overline{x})^{-n/2}. \quad (4)$$

To calculate this, we proceed as follows. First of all, it is well known that for u a complex symmetric matrix

$$\det(\partial_u) \det(u)^s = \text{const} \cdot \det(u)^{s-1}$$

where the constant can be calculated using, for example, the Capelli identity from [KS].

Making a simple change of variables, we deduce

$$\det(\partial_u) \det(1 + u)^s = \text{const} \cdot \det(1 + u)^{s-1}.$$

Now if v is a fixed $n \times n$ complex matrix, then changing variables from u to $v^t u v$, we get

$$\det(\partial_u) \det(1 + v^t u v)^s = \text{const} \cdot \det(v v^t) \det(1 + v^t u v)^{s-1}.$$

This can be rewritten as

$$\det(\partial_u) \det(1 + v v^t u)^s = \text{const} \cdot \det(v v^t) \det(1 + v v^t u)^{s-1}.$$

By analytic continuation, we get for all complex symmetric w

$$\det(\partial_u) \det(1 + w u)^s = \text{const} \cdot \det(w) \det(1 + w u)^{s-1}.$$

Applying this to (4), we obtain

$$D\Psi = \text{const} \cdot \det(\partial_x) \det(x) \det(1 + x\overline{x})^{-n/2-1}.$$

The function $\det(1+x\overline{x})^{-n/2-1}$ is the spherical vector in $E(-n-2)$. Also, by the corollary above, $\det(x)$ belongs to $E(1, \varepsilon)$. Each of these functions extends to a smooth function on G with appropriate \overline{P} -equivariance. By considering the equivariance of the product, we deduce

$$\det(x) \det(1+x\overline{x})^{-n/2-1} \in E(-n-1, \varepsilon).$$

Since $D^{m-1} \det(\partial_x)$ is a constant coefficient differential operator, we get

$$D^m \Psi = [\text{const} \cdot D^{m-1} \det(\partial_x)] [\det(x) \det(1+x\overline{x})^{-n/2-1}] \in E(-n-1, \varepsilon).$$

Now in the present case we have $d = 1, e = 1$, thus we get

$$- [d(n-1) + (e+1)/2] = -n > -n-1$$

and so the result follows from Corollary 3.7. ■

3.2 Proof of the \mathcal{L}^1 estimate

We fix k and denote the spherical vector $\Phi_{k,n} = \Phi_{-dk}$ by simply Φ as before. In order to prove the necessary estimates for Φ , we first relate it to the “rank 1” spherical vector

$$\Upsilon = \Phi_{1,n} = \Phi_{-d}.$$

We now describe the key result in [DS2, Theorem 0.1] concerning the function Υ . Let $\tau = \frac{d-e-1}{2}$ and let K_τ be the corresponding one-variable K -Bessel function; define an M -invariant function v on the rank 1 orbit $\mathcal{O}_1 = L \cdot y_1 \subset \overline{\mathfrak{n}} \approx \mathfrak{n}^*$ by the formula

$$v(z[m \cdot y_1]) = \frac{K_\tau(z)}{z^\tau} \text{ for } z \in \mathbb{R}^+, m \in M.$$

Then writing $d\mu_1$ for the equivariant measure on \mathcal{O}_1 , we have

$$\widehat{vd\mu_1} = \Upsilon d\lambda$$

where $d\lambda$ is the Lebesgue measure on \mathfrak{n} , and $\widehat{}$ denotes the Fourier transform of tempered distributions. This result is proved in Propositions 2.1 and 2.2 of [DS2]. For our present purposes, it is crucial that τ depends only on d and e but does *not* depend on n .

An immediate consequence of 3.5 is the relation

$$\Phi = \Upsilon^k. \tag{5}$$

This in turn implies a relation between the Fourier transforms of Φ and Υ which we now explain. We start with the following abstract situation:

Suppose A is a Lie group, χ is a positive character of A , and $B \supset C$ are subgroups such that each of the homogeneous spaces A/B and A/C admit χ -equivariant measures $dm_{A/B}$ and $dm_{A/C}$.

Lemma 3.10 *In the above situation, the space $Z = B/C$ admits a B -invariant measure dz . Moreover, the formula*

$$\mathcal{C}f(aB) = \int_Z f(az) dz$$

gives a well-defined operator $\mathcal{C} = \mathcal{C}_{A,B,C} : \mathcal{L}^1(A/C) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}^1(A/B)$ satisfying

$$\int_{A/B} [\mathcal{C}f] dm_{A/B} = \int_{A/C} f dm_{A/C}. \quad (6)$$

Proof. By Lemma 5.2 for $b \in B$, $c \in C$, we get

$$\chi(b)^{-1} = \frac{|\det_{\mathfrak{a}}(\text{Ad } b)|}{|\det_{\mathfrak{b}}(\text{Ad } b)|}, \quad \chi(c)^{-1} = \frac{|\det_{\mathfrak{a}}(\text{Ad } c)|}{|\det_{\mathfrak{c}}(\text{Ad } c)|}.$$

Specializing to $b = c$, this implies

$$\frac{|\det_{\mathfrak{b}}(\text{Ad } c)|}{|\det_{\mathfrak{c}}(\text{Ad } c)|} = 1$$

and another application of 5.2 proves the existence of an invariant measure on B/C .

The left side of formula (6) gives a χ -equivariant mean on the space $\mathcal{C}_c(A/C)$ thus it agrees with the right side after suitable normalization of the various measures involved. On the other hand we have

$$|\mathcal{C}f(a)| = \left| \int_Z f(az) dz \right| \leq \int_Z |f(az)| dz = \mathcal{C}|f|(a)$$

therefore for f in $\mathcal{C}_c(A/C)$ we get

$$\int_{A/B} |\mathcal{C}f| dm_{A/B} \leq \int_{A/B} \mathcal{C}|f| dm_{A/B} = \int_{A/C} |f| dm_{A/C}.$$

This shows that \mathcal{C} extends to a bounded linear operator from $\mathcal{L}^1(A/C) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}^1(A/B)$ such that the formula (6) continues to hold. ■

We apply the previous result to the situation where

$$A = L, B = S = \text{stab } \mathbf{y}^1, C = S' = \text{stab } \mathbf{y}'$$

with $\mathbf{y}^1 = y_1 + y_2 + \dots + y_k$ as before, and $\mathbf{y}' = (y_1, y_2, \dots, y_k) \in \mathcal{O}_1 \times \dots \times \mathcal{O}_1$. The space $\mathcal{O} = L/S$ is the rank k orbit and hence by Lemma 2.1 carries a $e^{2dk\nu}$ -equivariant measure. On the other hand, the space $\mathcal{O}_1 \times \dots \times \mathcal{O}_1$ also carries a $e^{2dk\nu}$ -equivariant measure, viz. $d\mu' = d\mu_1 \times \dots \times d\mu_1$; moreover in this situation $\mathcal{O}' = L/S'$ is an open subset whose complement has measure 0. Thus \mathcal{O}' also admits an $e^{2dk\nu}$ -equivariant measure. Thus by the previous lemma, obtain a well defined operator $\mathcal{C} = \mathcal{C}_{L,S,S'} : \mathcal{L}^1(\mathcal{O}') \rightarrow \mathcal{L}^1(\mathcal{O})$ satisfying formula (6).

Now given a function f on \mathcal{O}_1 , we define a function \check{f} on \mathcal{O} by the following two-step procedure: first define \overline{f} on \mathcal{O}' by

$$\overline{f}(l \cdot y') = f(l \cdot y_1) \cdots f(l \cdot y_k),$$

and then set

$$\check{f} = \mathcal{C}\overline{f}.$$

Then we have the following result:

Lemma 3.11 *For v as above, put $g = \check{v} = \mathcal{C}\overline{v}$, then we have*

$$\widehat{gd\mu} = \Phi d\lambda.$$

Proof. It suffices to prove

$$\int_{y \in \mathcal{O}} e^{-i\langle x, y \rangle} g(y) d\mu(y) = \Phi(x).$$

To show this, we rewrite the left side as

$$\int e^{-i\langle x, l \cdot y^1 \rangle} \mathcal{C}\overline{v}(l \cdot y^1) d\mu(l \cdot y^1). \quad (7)$$

Now we have

$$\langle x, l \cdot y^1 \rangle = \langle x, l \cdot y_1 \rangle + \cdots + \langle x, l \cdot y_k \rangle.$$

Thus setting

$$\eta(l \cdot y_1) = \exp(-i\langle x, l \cdot y_1 \rangle),$$

formula (7) becomes

$$\int \mathcal{C}\overline{v} d\mu.$$

Now using the previous lemma, we can rewrite this as

$$\int \overline{\eta} v d\mu' = \prod_{j=1}^k \left[\int f(l \cdot y_j) \eta(l \cdot y_j) d\mu_1(l \cdot y_j) \right] = \Upsilon^k = \Phi.$$

■

Proof. (of Proposition 3.1) In view of the previous lemma, it remains only to prove that $g \in \mathcal{L}^1(\mathcal{O}, d\mu)$. In turn, using Lemma 3.10, it suffices to show that $\overline{v} \in \mathcal{L}^1(\mathcal{O}', d\mu')$, or equivalently that

$$v \in \mathcal{L}^1(\mathcal{O}_1, d\mu_1).$$

This is essentially contained in Proposition 2.1 of [DS2]. The key point is that by Proposition 2.3 for $k = 1$, we get

$$\int_{\mathcal{O}_1} v d\mu_1 = \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} \frac{K_\tau(z)}{z^\tau} z^{dn-1} dz.$$

Since the function $K_\tau(z)$ has exponential decay at infinity, it suffices to prove that the integral on the right converges at 0. For this we note that $\frac{K_\tau(z)}{z^\tau}$ has a pole of order $2\tau = d - e - 1$ at 0 if $\tau > 0$, and a logarithmic singularity if $\tau = 0$. At any rate $(dn - 1) - 2\tau = d(n - 1) + e$ is greater than -1 , which guarantees the convergence of the integral. ■

3.3 Proof of the \mathcal{L}^2 estimate

The key to the proof of Proposition 3.2 is a “stability” result for the function g defined in Lemma 3.11. To state this, we temporarily write $g_{k,n}$ and $d\mu_{k,n}$ for g and $d\mu$, in order to emphasize dependence on k (the rank of the orbit) and n (the rank of the Jordan algebra). Thus Lemma 3.11 becomes

$$\widehat{g_{k,n} d\mu_{k,n}} = \Phi_{k,n} d\lambda.$$

We now recall the notation $\bar{\mathfrak{n}}_1, \bar{\mathfrak{n}}_0, L_1, M_1$ etc., introduced in subsection 2.1. Thus $\bar{\mathfrak{n}}_1$ is a Jordan algebra of rank k (with same values of d and e as $\bar{\mathfrak{n}}$). By applying the considerations of the previous sections to $\bar{\mathfrak{n}}_1$ we obtain a family of functions $g_{j,k}$; $j = 1, \dots, k$, defined on the various L_1 -orbits in $\bar{\mathfrak{n}}_1$. We are particularly interested in the function

$$\tilde{g} = g_{k,k}$$

which is defined on the *open* orbit $\tilde{\mathcal{O}}$ in $\bar{\mathfrak{n}}_1$. Now by definition we have $\bar{\mathfrak{n}}_1 \subset \bar{\mathfrak{n}}$, and moreover we have $\tilde{\mathcal{O}} \subset \mathcal{O}$, where \mathcal{O} is the rank k orbit in $\bar{\mathfrak{n}}$. Thus we can restrict the function $g = g_{k,n}$ from \mathcal{O} to $\tilde{\mathcal{O}}$. The crucial “stability” result is the following:

Lemma 3.12 *With the above notation, we have $g|_{\tilde{\mathcal{O}}} = \tilde{g}$.*

Proof. The function \tilde{g} is also defined by the analogous two-step procedure applied to the Jordan algebra $\bar{\mathfrak{n}}_1$. We start with the M_1 -invariant function \tilde{v} on the rank 1 orbit $\tilde{\mathcal{O}}_1 \subset \bar{\mathfrak{n}}_1$ corresponding to the Bessel function K_τ/z^τ . As observed after the definition v , the parameter $\tau = (d - e - 1)/2$ is independent of n . Thus we get

$$v|_{\tilde{\mathcal{O}}_1} = \tilde{v}, \tag{8}$$

which is the rank 1 version of the present lemma.

Next, we consider the open L_1 -orbit $\tilde{\mathcal{O}}'$ in $\tilde{\mathcal{O}}_1 \times \dots \times \tilde{\mathcal{O}}_1$, and define the analogous function $\tilde{\tilde{v}}$ by the formula

$$\tilde{\tilde{v}}(l \cdot y') = \tilde{v}(l \cdot y_1) \cdots \tilde{v}(l \cdot y_k)$$

for $y' = (y_1, \dots, y_k) \in \tilde{\mathcal{O}}'$ and l in L_1 . Comparing this with the definition of \overline{v} , and using formula (8) we deduce

$$\overline{v}|_{\tilde{\mathcal{O}}'} = \widetilde{\overline{v}}$$

Now the functions g and \tilde{g} are defined by the integrals

$$\begin{aligned} g(l \cdot y^1) &= \int_Z \overline{v}(l \cdot z) dz \text{ for } l \text{ in } L \\ \tilde{g}(l \cdot y^1) &= \int_{\tilde{Z}} \widetilde{\overline{v}}(l \cdot \tilde{z}) d\tilde{z} \text{ for } l \text{ in } L_1 \end{aligned} \tag{9}$$

where dz and $d\tilde{z}$ are the invariant measures on the homogeneous spaces $Z = S/S' \subset L/S' = \mathcal{O}'$ and $\tilde{Z} = (S \cap L_1)/(S' \cap L_1) \subset L_1/(S' \cap L_1) = \tilde{\mathcal{O}}'$. However, as in formula (2) we see that

$$\begin{aligned} S &= ((S \cap L_1) \times L_0) \cdot \overline{U}, \\ S' &= ((S' \cap L_1) \times L_0) \cdot \overline{U}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus in the imbedding $\tilde{\mathcal{O}}' \subset \mathcal{O}'$, we have

$$Z = \tilde{Z}.$$

Moreover, since both measures are L_1 -invariant, we have

$$dz = d\tilde{z}.$$

Thus the two integrals in formula (9) *coincide* for l in L_1 , and the result follows. \blacksquare

Let $f \mapsto \check{f}$ denote the inverse Fourier transform which maps functions on \mathfrak{n}_1 to functions on $\overline{\mathfrak{n}}_1$. Thus

$$\check{f}(y) = \int_{\mathfrak{n}_1} e^{i\langle x, y \rangle} f(x) d\lambda$$

where $d\lambda$ is the Lebesgue measure on \mathfrak{n}_1 .

Lemma 3.13 *Writing $\tilde{\phi}$ for the Jordan norm polynomial on $\overline{\mathfrak{n}}_1$, we have*

$$\left| (\Phi_{k,k})^\vee \right| = \tilde{g} \left| \tilde{\phi} \right|^{d-(e+1)} = \left| \tilde{g} \tilde{\phi}^{d-(e+1)} \right|.$$

Proof. The Fourier transform of tempered distributions is defined by adjointness from its action on Schwartz functions, and we have the relation

$$\widehat{\check{f} d\lambda} = f d\lambda.$$

Now by the definition of \tilde{g} we have

$$\widehat{\tilde{g} d\mu} = \Phi_{k,k} d\lambda,$$

where $d\mu$ is the equivariant measure on the open orbit $\tilde{O} \subset \bar{\mathfrak{n}}_1$. Propositions 2.2 and 2.3 imply that in polar coordinates, the measures $d\lambda$ and $d\mu$ are given by $P_k^{e+1} V_k^d d_k^\times z$ and $P_k^d V_k^d d_k^\times z$ respectively. Thus, writing $\tilde{\phi}$ for the Jordan norm polynomial on $\bar{\mathfrak{n}}_1$, we get

$$d\mu = \left| \tilde{\phi} \right|^{d-(e+1)} d\lambda.$$

Combining these formulas we obtain the result. ■

Lemma 3.14 *Let \tilde{D} be the Cayley operator on \mathfrak{n}_1 then for $l \geq 0$ we have*

$$\left| (\tilde{D}^l \Phi_{k,k})^\vee \right| = \left| \tilde{g} \tilde{\phi}^{l+d-(e+1)} \right|.$$

Proof. If $f(x)$ is a Schwartz function on \mathfrak{n}_1 and $q(y)$ is a homogeneous polynomial on $\bar{\mathfrak{n}}_1$, then we have (up to a scalar multiple)

$$(\partial_q f)^\vee = q \check{f},$$

where ∂_q is the constant coefficient differential operator on \mathfrak{n}_1 with “symbol” q . Thus the proof of the Lemma consists in establishing that the above identity continues to hold when f (like $\Phi_{k,k}$) is a smooth function of polynomial growth such that $\check{f} \in \mathcal{L}^1(\bar{\mathfrak{n}}_1, d\lambda)$. This is fairly standard; indeed by adjointness we have the result

$$\widehat{q \check{f} d\lambda} = \partial_q(f d\lambda),$$

where the derivative on the right is the distributional derivative. Under the assumption on f , the right side equals $(\partial_q f) d\lambda$, and the result follows. ■

We are now in a position to prove Proposition 3.2.

Proof. (of Proposition 3.2) The function g is M -invariant, thus by Proposition 2.3, it suffices to prove the convergence of the integral

$$\int_{\mathcal{C}_k} |g(z_1 y_1 + \dots + z_k y_k)|^2 [P_k^{n-k+1} V_k]^d d_k^\times z.$$

By the previous Lemma, this can be rewritten as

$$\int_{\mathcal{C}_k} |\tilde{g}(z_1 y_1 + \dots + z_k y_k)|^2 [P_k^{n-k+1} V_k]^d d_k^\times z.$$

Using Proposition 2.3 we can further rewrite this as

$$\int_{\bar{\mathfrak{n}}_1} |\tilde{g}|^2 \left| \tilde{\phi}^t \right| d\lambda \text{ where } t = d(n-k+1) - (e+1).$$

Thus it suffices to prove that

$$\left| \tilde{g}^2 \tilde{\phi}^t \right| \in \mathcal{L}^1(\bar{\mathfrak{n}}_1, d\lambda). \quad (10)$$

Now the map $f \mapsto \check{f}$ extends as an isometry from $\mathcal{L}^2(\mathfrak{n}_1, d\lambda)$ to $\mathcal{L}^2(\bar{\mathfrak{n}}_1, d\lambda)$ (after suitable normalizations of the Lebesgue measures). Thus we have

$$f_1, f_2 \in \mathcal{L}^2(\mathfrak{n}_1, d\lambda) \Rightarrow \check{f}_1 \check{f}_2 \in \mathcal{L}^1(\bar{\mathfrak{n}}_1, d\lambda); \quad (11)$$

we shall deduce (10) from (11) by a suitable choice of f_1, f_2 .

Let us put

$$s = t + 2(e + 1 - d) = d(n - k - 1) + (e + 1);$$

since $n > k$, we have $s > 0$. Now if we set

$$f_1 = \tilde{D}^{l_1} \Phi_{k,k}, f_2 = \tilde{D}^{l_2} \Phi_{k,k} \text{ where } l_1 + l_2 = s; \quad (12)$$

then by the previous lemma we have

$$|\check{f}_1 \check{f}_2| = \tilde{g}^2 \left| \tilde{\phi} \right|^{l_1 + l_2 - 2(e+1-d)} = \left| \tilde{g}^2 \tilde{\phi}^t \right|. \quad (13)$$

We now consider two cases: if $G \neq Sp_n(\mathbb{C})$ we set $l_1 = 0$ and $l_2 = s$; if $G = Sp_n(\mathbb{C})$, we set $l_1 = 1$ and $l_2 = s - 1$. In the former case we have $l_1, l_2 \geq 0$; while in the latter case, we have $e = 1$, whence $s \geq 2$ and $l_1, l_2 \geq 1$. Thus in either case by the open orbit estimates of Lemmas 3.3 and 3.4, applied to the Jordan algebra \mathfrak{n}_1 , we deduce that the functions f_1 and f_2 from formula (12) belong to $\mathcal{L}^2(\mathfrak{n}_1, d_1 x)$. Thus formula (10) follows from (13) and (11). ■

4 Proof of the main results

We now explain how to deduce Theorems 0.1 and 0.2 from the previous results. As explained in the introduction, the arguments are very similar to those in [S1], [DS1] and [DS2]. Thus we shall limit ourselves to only sketching the proofs of the various results below.

4.1 Proof of Theorem 0.1

In order to prove Theorem 0.1, we introduce a number of spaces.

First of all, let $I(-dk) \subset C^\infty(\mathfrak{n})$ be the space of smooth vectors in the degenerate principal series defined in section 3. The representation $\pi = \pi_{-dk\nu}$ of the group G on this space is by “fractional linear transformations”, and we have

$$\begin{aligned} [\pi(l)f](x) &= e^{-dk\nu}(l) f(\text{Ad } l^{-1}[x]) \text{ for } l \text{ in } L, \\ [\pi(\exp x')f](x) &= f(x + x') \text{ for } x' \text{ in } \mathfrak{n}. \end{aligned}$$

By [S3], the space $E(-dk)$ has an irreducible *unitarizable* spherical (\mathfrak{g}, K) -submodule V which we also regard as a subspace of $C^\infty(\mathfrak{n})$. Thus by Harish-Chandra theory, the Hilbert space closure \mathcal{H} of V with respect to the (\mathfrak{g}, K) -invariant norm carries an irreducible unitary representation of G .

For convenience, we first describe \mathcal{H} as the closure of a G -invariant space. For this we introduce the space \mathbf{V} consisting of those vectors in $I(-dk)$ whose restriction to K , and subsequent expansion in K -isotypic components only involves the K -types of V . Since V is (\mathfrak{g}, K) -invariant, the space \mathbf{V} is G -invariant and we have the following result.

Lemma 4.1 *The functions in \mathbf{V} have finite \mathcal{H} -norm and \mathcal{H} is the closure of \mathbf{V} .*

Proof. This is a consequence of a general result due to Casselman-Wallach on the smooth vectors of a representation. In the present situation, one can also give an alternative proof along the lines of the remark in section 2.4 of [DS2] as follows.

First of all, the K -types of V have multiplicity 1, and have highest weights of the form

$$m_1\gamma_1 + \cdots + m_k\gamma_k ,$$

where $m_1 \geq \cdots \geq m_k \geq 0$ and $\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_k$ are as in subsection 1.2. Moreover the \mathcal{H} -norm on each K -type is computed explicitly in [S3] and the ratio of the \mathcal{H} -norm to the $\mathcal{L}^2(K)$ -norm grows at most polynomially in (m_1, \dots, m_k) . On the other hand by the Riemann-Lebesgue lemma for f in \mathbf{V} , the $\mathcal{L}^2(K)$ -norms of its K -isotypic components decay rapidly. Thus such an f will have finite \mathcal{H} -norm. Evidently since $V \subset \mathbf{V}$, the closure of \mathbf{V} is \mathcal{H} as well. ■

Next recall the space $\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{O}} = \mathcal{L}^2(\mathcal{O}, d\mu)$; by Mackey theory, this space carries a natural irreducible unitary representation $\pi_{\mathcal{O}}$ of P , which is given by the following explicit formulas:

$$\begin{aligned} [\pi_{\mathcal{O}}(l)\psi](y) &= e^{dk\nu}(l)\psi(\text{Ad } l^{-1}[y]) \text{ for } l \text{ in } L \\ [\pi_{\mathcal{O}}(\exp x)\psi](y) &= e^{-i\langle x, y \rangle}\psi(y) \text{ for } x \text{ in } \mathfrak{n} , \end{aligned}$$

where $\langle x, y \rangle$ is the normalized Killing form of subsection 1.4. We shall prove Theorem 0.1 by constructing a unitary P -isomorphism \mathcal{I} between $(\pi|_P, \mathcal{H})$ and $(\pi_{\mathcal{O}}, \mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{O}})$.

We first define \mathcal{I} on a suitable subspace of \mathcal{H} . For this, let $\mathcal{C}(G)$ be the convolution algebra of smooth \mathcal{L}^1 functions on G . Then by standard arguments, π extends to a representation of $\mathcal{C}(G)$ on \mathbf{V} and we define

$$\mathbf{W} = \pi(\mathcal{C}(G))\Phi \subset \mathbf{V} ,$$

where $\Phi = \Phi_{-dk}$ is the spherical vector in $I(-dk)$. Since $G = PK$ and Φ is K -fixed, we also have

$$\mathbf{W} = \pi(\mathcal{C}(P))\Phi;$$

and we shall prove the following result:

Lemma 4.2 *For each f in \mathbf{W} there is a unique $\mathcal{I}(f) \in \mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{O}}$ such that we have the equality*

$$f d\lambda = \widehat{\mathcal{I}(f)} d\mu,$$

of tempered distributions. Furthermore, for all $F \in \mathcal{C}(P)$ we have

$$\mathcal{I} \circ \pi(F) = \pi_{\mathcal{O}}(F) \circ \mathcal{I}. \quad (14)$$

Proof. The key step is, of course, 3.2 which shows that for the function $\Phi = \Phi_{-dk}$ we have

$$\Phi d\lambda = \widehat{\psi} d\mu.$$

where $\psi \in \mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{O}}$; or, equivalently,

$$\Phi(x) = \int_{\mathcal{O}} e^{-i\langle x, y \rangle} \psi(y) d\mu(y).$$

Now for l in L , by Lemma 2.1 we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathcal{O}} e^{-i\langle x, y \rangle} [\pi_{\mathcal{O}}(l)\psi](y) d\mu(y) &= e^{dk\nu}(l) \int_{\mathcal{O}} e^{-i\langle x, y \rangle} \psi(\text{Ad } l^{-1}[y]) d\mu(y) \\ &= e^{dk\nu}(l) e^{-2dk\nu}(l) \int_{\mathcal{O}} e^{-i\langle x, \text{Ad } l[y] \rangle} \psi(y) d\mu(y) \\ &= e^{-dk\nu}(l) \int_{\mathcal{O}} e^{-i\langle \text{Ad } l^{-1}[x], y \rangle} \psi(y) d\mu(y) = \pi(l)f \end{aligned}$$

Similarly for x' in \mathfrak{n} , we have

$$\int_{\mathcal{O}} e^{-i\langle x, y \rangle} [\pi_{\mathcal{O}}(\exp x')\psi](y) d\mu(y) = \int_{\mathcal{O}} e^{-i\langle x+x', y \rangle} \psi(y) d\mu(y) = \pi(\exp x')f.$$

Thus for any $F \in \mathcal{C}(P)$, we have

$$[\pi(F)\Phi] d\lambda = ([\pi_{\mathcal{O}}(F)\psi] d\mu)^{\widehat{}}$$

and we can define \mathcal{I} by the formula

$$\mathcal{I}(\pi(F)\Phi) = \pi_{\mathcal{O}}(F)\psi.$$

Then \mathcal{I} satisfies the conditions of the lemma. The uniqueness is clear. ■

We can now finish the proof of Theorem 0.1.

Proof. (of Theorem 0.1) Given the previous lemma, the proof of the result proceeds along lines similar to [S1] and [DS2,]. By the previous lemma, the space $\mathbf{W}_1 = \mathcal{I}(\mathbf{W})$ is a $\mathcal{C}(P)$ -invariant subspace of $\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{O}}$, and moreover we can equip it with a second P -invariant norm, namely that transferred from \mathcal{H} . Now as explained in [S1, 3.3], it follows from [P] that \mathbf{W}_1 contains a further $\mathcal{C}(P)$ -invariant subspace \mathbf{W}_2 on which the two norms coincide (up to a scalar multiple which we normalize to be 1 by rescaling \mathcal{I}).

Since $\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{O}}$ is irreducible, \mathbf{W}_2 is dense in $\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{O}}$ and thus $\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{O}}$ can be regarded as the closure of \mathbf{W}_2 with respect to the \mathcal{H} -norm. It follows that the two norms agree on \mathbf{W}_1 as well, and thus the map

$$\mathcal{I}^{-1} : \mathbf{W}_1 \rightarrow \mathbf{W}$$

extend to an isometric P -invariant imbedding \mathcal{J} of $\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{O}}$ into \mathcal{H} . Now the image of \mathcal{J} is closed, and contains a G -invariant subspace (namely \mathbf{W}); thus since \mathcal{H} is an irreducible representation, it follows that \mathcal{J} is surjective as well. Thus \mathcal{J} is a unitary intertwining operator between $(\pi_{\mathcal{O}}, \mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{O}})$ and $(\pi|_P, \mathcal{H})$. The required extension of $(\pi_{\mathcal{O}}, \mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{O}})$ to G is now given by simply transferring the representation from (π, \mathcal{H}) via \mathcal{J}^{-1} . ■

4.2 Proof of Theorem 0.2

We now study tensor products of our representations $\pi_{\mathcal{O}}$. The analogous study for conformal groups of *Euclidean* Jordan algebras was conducted in [DS1]. Since the statements and proofs from [DS1] can be transferred to our present (non-Euclidean) setting without substantial changes, we will only sketch some of the arguments below.

Fix $s \geq 2$ and a collection of positive integers k_1, \dots, k_s satisfying the condition

$$k = k_1 + \dots + k_s \leq n.$$

For each $i = 1, \dots, s$, let \mathcal{O}^i be the L -orbit on $\bar{\mathfrak{n}}$ of rank k_i , with L -equivariant measure $d\mu^i$. Let $\pi_{\mathcal{O}^i}$ be the unitary representation of G on the space $\mathcal{L}^2(\mathcal{O}^i, d\mu^i)$ as described in theorem 0.1. We wish to study the tensor product representation

$$\Pi = \pi_{\mathcal{O}^1} \otimes \dots \otimes \pi_{\mathcal{O}^s}$$

which can be realized explicitly on the space $\mathcal{L}^2(\mathcal{O}^1 \times \dots \times \mathcal{O}^s, d\mu^1 \times \dots \times d\mu^s)$.

Let y_1, \dots, y_n be as in subsection 1.3, and define

$$v_i = y_{m_i+1} + y_{m_i+2} + \dots + y_{m_i+k_i}, \text{ where } m_i = k_1 + \dots + k_{i-1}, 1 \leq i \leq s.$$

Then v_i is an orbit representative for \mathcal{O}^i ; $v = v_1 + \dots + v_s$ is an orbit representative for the rank k orbit \mathcal{O} ; and the L -orbit of

$$v' = (v_1, \dots, v_s)$$

is an open subset of $\mathcal{O}^1 \times \dots \times \mathcal{O}^s$ with full measure. We denote by S' and S the isotropy subgroups of v' and v , respectively. In the notation of subsection 2.1, we have $v = \mathbf{y}^1$, and thus

$$S = (H_1 \times L_0) \cdot U.$$

It is easy to see that S' can then be written as

$$S' = (H'_1 \times L_0) \cdot U,$$

where H'_1 is a certain reductive subgroup of H_1 . We now change the notation slightly and write G' for H_1 and H' for H'_1 .

Example. Take $G = E_{7(7)}$, $s = 2$ and $k_1 = 1$, $k_2 = 2$. Then $k = n = 3$ and $S = G'$ (the stabilizer of the identity element of $\bar{\mathfrak{n}}$ – the exceptional Jordan algebra of dimension 27). In this case we have $G' = F_{4(4)}$ and $S' = H' = \text{Spin}_{4,5}$ (cf. [A, p. 119]).

In general, $X = G'/H'$ is a reductive homogeneous space, and we write $\text{Ind}_{H'}^{G'} 1$ for the quasiregular representation of G' on $\mathcal{L}^2(X)$. We decompose this using the Plancherel measure $d\rho$ and the corresponding multiplicity function $m : \hat{H} \rightarrow \{0, 1, 2, \dots, \infty\}$, i.e.,

$$\text{Ind}_{H'}^{G'} 1 \simeq \int_{\hat{G}'}^{\oplus} m(\sigma) \sigma d\rho(\sigma).$$

We define a map Θ from irreducible unitary representations of G' to unitary representations of P defined as follows

$$\Theta(\sigma) = \text{Ind}_{S'N}^P(E\sigma \otimes \chi_v),$$

where $E\sigma$ denotes the trivial extension of σ to $S = (G' \times L_0) \cdot U$, and χ_v is the unitary character of N defined by

$$\chi_v(\exp x) = e^{-i\langle v, x \rangle}.$$

An easy application of Mackey theory shows that all representations $\Theta(\sigma)$ are unitary irreducible representations of P , and $\Theta(\sigma) \simeq \Theta(\sigma')$ if and only if $\sigma \simeq \sigma'$.

Proposition 4.3 *The restriction of Π to P decomposes as follows*

$$\Pi|_P \simeq \int_{\hat{G}'}^{\oplus} m(\sigma) \Theta(\sigma) d\rho(\sigma), \quad (15)$$

Proof. This is proved as in [DS1, Lemma 2.1] — here is a sketch of the argument. We define an operator F from the space of Π to functions on P by the formula

$$[Ff](ln) = \chi_v(lnl^{-1}) f(l \cdot v'), \quad l \in L, n \in N.$$

It is an easy exercise to verify that F gives a unitary isomorphism

$$\Pi|_P \simeq \text{Ind}_{S'N}^P(1 \otimes \chi_v).$$

Next, using induction by stages we obtain an isomorphism

$$\text{Ind}_{S'N}^P(1 \otimes \chi_v) \simeq \text{Ind}_{S'N}^P \left((\text{Ind}_{S'}^S 1) \otimes \chi_v \right).$$

A final easy calculation shows that

$$\text{Ind}_{S'}^S 1 \simeq E \left(\text{Ind}_{H'}^{G'} 1 \right) \simeq \int_{\hat{G}'}^{\oplus} m(\sigma) (E\sigma) d\rho(\sigma)$$

Combining the various isomorphisms, we obtain the result. ■

Let κ be a unitary representation of G on a Hilbert space \mathcal{H} , and R be a subgroup of G . We shall write $\mathcal{A}(\kappa, R)$ for the von Neumann algebra generated by the operators $\{\kappa(g)|g \in R\}$. If G is a type I group [M], then for irreducible κ one has $\mathcal{A}(\kappa, G) = \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ — the full algebra of bounded operators on \mathcal{H} . To extend the P -decomposition of Π from formula (15) to the G -decomposition, we require the following

Proposition 4.4 $\mathcal{A}(\Pi, G) = \mathcal{A}(\Pi, P)$.

Proposition 4.4 was proved in [DS1, 4.4] for conformal groups of Euclidean Jordan algebras. The proof given in [DS1] combines the low rank theory of [Li1], [Li2] for classical groups, and Jordan algebra techniques for the exceptional groups. The arguments extend to our present setting without any significant modifications. For the readers convenience, we outline the steps of the argument in Appendix 5.2.

Proof. (of Theorem 0.2) Consider the direct integral decomposition of Π

$$\Pi = \int^{\oplus} m(\kappa) \kappa d\eta(\kappa)$$

into irreducible representations of G . Then

$$\mathcal{A}(\Pi, P) \subseteq \int^{\oplus} m(\kappa) \mathcal{A}(\kappa, P) d\eta(\kappa) \subseteq \int^{\oplus} m(\kappa) \mathcal{A}(\kappa, G) d\eta(\kappa) = \mathcal{A}(\Pi, G).$$

The equality of Proposition 4.4 is possible only when the following conditions are satisfied (for almost every κ with respect to $d\eta$):

- $\kappa|_P$ is irreducible (then $\mathcal{A}(\kappa, P) = \mathcal{A}(\kappa, G)$);
- If $\kappa|_P \simeq \kappa'|_P$, then $\kappa \simeq \kappa'$.

In other words, in this case (almost) every irreducible representation $\Theta(\sigma)$ from the spectrum of $\Pi|_P$ extends *uniquely* to a certain irreducible representation of G , which we denote by $\theta(\sigma)$; and the P -decomposition (15) gives rise to the G -decomposition

$$\Pi = \int_{\widehat{G'}}^{\oplus} m(\sigma) \theta(\sigma) d\rho(\sigma)$$

and the theorem follows. ■

Example. Again, take $G = E_{7(7)}$, $s = 2$ and $k_1 = 1$, $k_2 = 2$. Then the map $\sigma \mapsto \theta(\sigma)$ establishes a correspondence between the spectrum of Π and the spectrum of the rank 1 reductive symmetric space $F_{4(4)}/Spin(4, 5)$. In other words, we obtain a duality between (some subsets of) the unitary duals of two exceptional groups: split F_4 on one side and split E_7 on the other side. As with Howe's duality correspondence (the usual θ -correspondence), we expect that this new duality will have smooth and global analogues.

5 Appendix

5.1 Measures and Jacobians

If $F : X \rightarrow Y$ is a diffeomorphism between manifolds and dx is a measure on X , the pushforward of dx is the measure F_*dx on Y defined by

$$\int_Y f [F_*dx] = \int_X f (F(x)) dx.$$

If $d\lambda$ is the Lebesgue measure on \mathbb{R}^n and $A : U \rightarrow V$ is a diffeomorphism between open sets in \mathbb{R}^n , then the “change of variables” formula for the Lebesgue integral says

$$\int_U f (A(x)) |\det D_A(x)| d\lambda = \int_V f d\lambda$$

where $D_A(x) : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ is the differential of A at x in U . In other words, we have

$$A_* [|\det D_A(x)| d\lambda] = d\lambda \text{ or}$$

or equivalently

$$A_*d\lambda = g d\lambda \text{ where } g(A(x)) = |\det D_A(x)|^{-1}$$

A measure on an open set $U \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ will be called *regular* if it is of the form $\phi d\lambda$ where ϕ is a smooth positive function. If $A : U \rightarrow V$ is a diffeomorphism, then we have

$$A_* (\phi d\lambda) = \psi d\lambda \text{ where } \psi(A(x)) = \phi(x) / |\det D_A(x)|;$$

thus A_* maps regular measures to regular measures. If dx is a measure on a smooth manifold X and U is a coordinate open set, then the pushforward of $dx|_U$ under the coordinate map is a measure on an open set in \mathbb{R}^n , which we shall call the “local expression” of dx . We shall say that dx is regular if each of these local expressions is a regular measure in the earlier sense. It follows from our discussion that if $F : X \rightarrow Y$ is a diffeomorphism and dx is a regular measure on X , then F_*dx is a regular measure on Y .

Suppose X and Y are manifolds with regular measures dx and dy , and $F : X \rightarrow Y$ is a diffeomorphism. The *Jacobian* of F is the function $J_F(x) = J_{F,dx,dy}(x)$ on X satisfying

$$\int f(y) dy = \int J_F(x) f(F(x)) dx.$$

or, equivalently

$$F_* [J_F dx] = dy$$

If we have another diffeomorphism $G : Y \rightarrow Z$, where Z is a manifold with regular measure dz , then

$$J_{GF}(x) = J_F(x)J_G(y) \text{ where } y = F(x) \quad (16)$$

If X and Y are open sets in \mathbb{R}^n , and $dx = \phi d\lambda, dy = \psi d\lambda$, then it is easy to see that

$$J_{F,dx,dy} = \frac{|\det D_F(x)| \psi(x)}{\phi(F(x))}.$$

If X and Y are smooth manifolds, then we can determine the Jacobian in a similar manner by passing to local coordinates and using formula (16). In particular we see that for regular measures, the Jacobian is a well-defined smooth positive function.

The following lemma will be quite useful in calculating Jacobians.

Lemma 5.1 *Suppose X and Y are manifolds with regular measures dx and dy ; let $x \in X, y \in Y$, and fix linear bases for the tangent spaces $T_x X, T_y Y$. Then there is a positive constant c , such that for any diffeomorphism $X \xrightarrow{F} Y$ satisfying $F(x) = y$, we have*

$$J_F(x) = c |\det D_F(x)|$$

where we regard the differential $D_F(x) : T_x X \rightarrow T_y Y$ as a matrix for the above bases.

Proof. If X and Y are open sets in \mathbb{R}^n , and $dx = \phi d\lambda, dy = \psi d\lambda$, then

$$J_{F,dx,dy} = \frac{|\det D_F(x)| \psi(x)}{\phi(y)} = c |\det D_F(x)|,$$

where the determinant is computed for the standard basis of $T_x X = T_y Y = \mathbb{R}^n$. If we use different bases then the scalar c is replaced by a different scalar, which is still independent of F . Passing to local coordinates, we obtain the result in general. ■

Now suppose X is a smooth manifold with the action of a Lie group G , and let χ be a positive multiplicative character of G . A regular measure dx is called χ -equivariant

$$g_* dx = \chi(g) dx \text{ for all } g \text{ in } G.$$

Equivalently

$$J_{g,dx,dx}(x) = \chi(g)^{-1} \text{ for all } x \in X, g \in G.$$

For example, the Lebesgue measure $d\lambda$ on \mathbb{R}^n is equivariant by the character $|\det|^{-1}$ of the group $GL(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

If X is a homogeneous space for G then for x in X , the tangent space $T_x X$ can be naturally identified with $\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{g}^x$, where \mathfrak{g}^x is the Lie algebra of the stabilizer G^x of x . Moreover, for g in G we have

$$D_g = \text{Ad } g : \mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{g}^x \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{g}^{g \cdot x}.$$

Lemma 5.2 *A G -homogeneous space X admits a χ -equivariant measure if and only if*

$$\chi(h)^{-1} = |\det_{\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{g}^x}(\text{Ad } h)| \text{ for all } h \in G^x.$$

This result is well-known and can be proved in a manner analogous to Theorem I.1.9 in [H]. Here is a quick argument for the necessity of the condition. If h is in G^x , then we have

$$\chi(h)^{-1} = J_h(x) = c |\det D_h| = c |\det_{\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{g}^x}(\text{Ad } h)|.$$

Specializing to $h = 1 \in G$, we deduce that $c = 1$.

Corollary 5.3 *If G is reductive, then the condition of the previous lemma becomes*

$$\chi(h) = |\det_{\mathfrak{g}^x}(\text{Ad } h)| \text{ for all } h \in G^x.$$

5.2 Low rank representations

Let τ be a unitary representation of G . Consider its restriction to P , and its further restriction to N . Since N is abelian, the restriction $\tau|_N$ decomposes into a direct integral of unitary characters of N . This decomposition defines a projection valued measure on the dual space N^* , which we identify with $\bar{\mathfrak{n}}$. If this measure is supported on a single non-open orbit $\mathcal{O}_r \subset \bar{N}$, we say that τ is a *low-rank* representation of G and write

$$\text{rank}_N \tau = r.$$

An element x_1 is a primitive idempotent in a Jordan algebra N , and we can consider the associated Peirce decomposition

$$N = N(x_1, 1) + N(x_1, \frac{1}{2}) + N(x_1, 0).$$

Observe that the spaces $N(x_1, 1)$ and $N(x_1, 0)$ are the Jordan algebras of ranks 1 and $n - 1$, respectively, with the respect to the Jordan structure inherited from N .

We will write N_1 and N_0 for $N(x_1, 1)$ and $N(x_1, 0)$, respectively. Similarly, we write G_0 for the conformal group of N_0 , $P_0 = L_0 N_0$ for the Siegel parabolic subgroup of G_0 , etc.

Below are the examples of N_0 and G_0 for several different groups G :

- For $G = O_{p+2,p+2}$, we have $N_0 = \mathbb{R}$ (rank 1 Jordan algebra), and $G_0 = GL_2(\mathbb{R})$.
- If $G = Sp_{n,n}$, then $G_0 = Sp_{n-1,n-1}$.
- If $G = E_{7(7)}$, then $N_0 = \mathbb{R}^{6,6}$ (rank 2 Jordan algebra), and $G_0 = O_{6,6}$.
- If $G = E_7(\mathbb{C})$, then $G_0 = O_{12}(\mathbb{C})$.

Set $\mathfrak{f} = \bigoplus_{i=2}^n \mathfrak{g}^{\varepsilon_1 - \varepsilon_i} \oplus \bigoplus_{i=2}^n \mathfrak{g}^{\varepsilon_1 + \varepsilon_i}$ and $\mathfrak{n}' = \mathfrak{f} + \mathfrak{n}_1$. Then \mathfrak{n}' is a two-step nilpotent subalgebra of \mathfrak{g} with the center \mathfrak{n}_1 .

Any generic unitary irreducible representation of the group N' is determined by the unitary character of its center N_1 . We denote by ρ_t the unitary irreducible representation of N' which restricts to the multiple of the character χ_t on N_1 , $t \in N_1^\vee = N_1^* \setminus \{0\}$.

Consider now a subgroup $G_0 N'$ of G . We can view G_0 as a subgroup of a symplectic group $Sp(\mathfrak{f})$ associated with the standard skew-symmetric bilinear form on \mathfrak{f} . Hence we can use the oscillator representation of $Sp(\mathfrak{f})$ to extend the representation ρ_t of N' to a representation of $G_0 N'$ which we denote by $\tilde{\rho}_t$.

Let σ be a unitary representation of G , $\text{rank}_N \sigma = r$, $0 < r < n$. Without loss of generality we may assume that σ has no N_1 -fixed vectors. Then by Mackey theory, we can write down the decomposition

$$\sigma|_{G_0 N'} = \int_{N_1^\vee}^{\oplus} \kappa_t \otimes \tilde{\rho}_t dt,$$

where all κ_t are unitary representations of G_0 .

Proceeding as in [DS1, 3.1], we verify that all of the representations κ_t are in turn the *low-rank representations* of G_0 . More precisely, we have the following

Lemma 5.4 *Let σ be a low-rank representation of G , $\text{rank}_N \sigma = r$, $0 < r < n$. Then for any $t \in N_1^\vee$ the N_0 -spectrum of the representation κ_t is supported on a single L_0 -orbit, and $\text{rank}_{N_0} \kappa_t = r - 1$.*

The next technical lemma is proved exactly as in [DS1, 3.2]:

Lemma 5.5 *If for all $t \in N_1^\vee$ one has $\mathcal{A}(\kappa_t, G_0) = \mathcal{A}(\kappa_t, P_0)$, then*

$$\mathcal{A}\left(\int_{N_1^\vee}^{\oplus} \kappa_t \otimes \tilde{\rho}_t dt, G_0\right) \subseteq \mathcal{A}\left(\int_{N_1^\vee}^{\oplus} \kappa_t \otimes \tilde{\rho}_t dt, P_0 N'\right).$$

Theorem 5.6 *Let σ be a representation of G , $\text{rank}_N \sigma = r$, $0 < r < n$. Then*

$$\mathcal{A}(\sigma, G) = \mathcal{A}(\sigma, P).$$

Proof. The proof of the theorem is based on the fact that G_0 and P generate G , so it is enough to verify that $\mathcal{A}(\sigma, G_0) \subseteq \mathcal{A}(\sigma, P)$. Since $P_0 N'$ is a subgroup of P , by the Lemma above the assertion of the theorem is equivalent to the claim that for any $t \in N_1^\vee$

$$\mathcal{A}(\kappa_t, G_0) = \mathcal{A}(\kappa_t, P_0).$$

By the Lemma 5.4, all κ_t have rank $r - 1$. Proceeding in the same manner, we reduce the statement of the theorem to that about rank 0 representations of the certain (classical) group G_{00} . Since all rank 0 representations are the direct integrals of characters, and any character of G_{00} is determined by its restriction to the Siegel parabolic $P_{00} \subset G_{00}$, the theorem follows. ■

We now consider the tensor product

$$\Pi = \pi_{\mathcal{O}^1} \otimes \dots \otimes \pi_{\mathcal{O}^s},$$

for $k = k_1 + k_2 + \dots + k_s < n$. Then Π is low-rank representation of G and $\text{rank}_N \Pi = k$. Applying the theorem above to Π , we obtain the statement of Proposition 4.4 for $k < n$.

It remains to check Proposition 4.4 for $k = n$. For all groups G except $O_{p+2,p+2}$, $O_{p+4}(\mathbb{C})$ and $E_{7(7)}$, $E_7(\mathbb{C})$ the statement of the proposition follows from the results of [Li2], since all the representations form the spectrum of Π appear in the Howe duality correspondence for appropriate stable range dual pairs (G^1, G) . For the exceptional cases listed above, the argument can be constructed along the lines of Section 4 of [DS1].

5.3 Tables of groups and symmetric spaces

In the table below we list the various groups G arising from the Tits-Kantor-Koecher construction for non-Euclidean Jordan algebras; together with the associated symmetric spaces K/M and L/H ; and the crucial root multiplicities d and e in $\Sigma(\mathfrak{t}_{\mathbb{C}}, \mathfrak{k}_{\mathbb{C}})$. The rank of the Jordan algebra is n in each case, except for $O_{p+4}(\mathbb{C})$, $O_{p+2,p+2}$ where the rank is 2, and $E_{7(7)}$, $E_7(\mathbb{C})$ where the rank is 3.

G	K/M	L/H	d	e
$GL_{2n}(\mathbb{R})$	$O_{2n}/(O_n \times O_n)$	$GL_n(\mathbb{R}) \times GL_n(\mathbb{R})/GL_n(\mathbb{R})$	1	0
$O_{2n,2n}$	$(O_{2n} \times O_{2n})/O_{2n}$	$GL_{2n}(\mathbb{R})/Sp_n(\mathbb{R})$	2	0
$E_{7(7)}$	SU_8/Sp_4	$\mathbb{R}^* \times E_{6(6)}/F_{4(4)}$	4	0
$O_{p+2,p+2}$	$[O_{p+2}]^2/[O_1 \times O_{p+1}^2]$	$\mathbb{R}^* \times O_{p+1,p+1}/O_{p,p+1}$	p	0
$Sp_n(\mathbb{C})$	Sp_n/U_n	$GL_n(\mathbb{C})/O_n(\mathbb{C})$	1	1
$GL_{2n}(\mathbb{C})$	$U_{2n}/(U_n \times U_n)$	$GL_n(\mathbb{C}) \times GL_n(\mathbb{C})/GL_n(\mathbb{C})$	2	1
$O_{4n}(\mathbb{C})$	O_{4n}/U_{2n}	$GL_{2n}(\mathbb{C})/Sp_n(\mathbb{C})$	4	1
$E_7(\mathbb{C})$	$E_7/(E_6 \times U_1)$	$\mathbb{C}^* \times E_6(\mathbb{C})/F_4(\mathbb{C})$	8	1
$O_{p+4}(\mathbb{C})$	$O_{p+4}/(O_{p+2} \times U_1)$	$\mathbb{C}^* \times O_{p+2}(\mathbb{C})/O_{p+1}(\mathbb{C})$	p	1
$Sp_{n,n}$	$(Sp_n \times Sp_n)/Sp_n$	$GL_n(\mathbb{H})/O_n^*$	2	2
$GL_{2n}(\mathbb{H})$	$Sp_{2n}/(Sp_n \times Sp_n)$	$GL_n(\mathbb{H}) \times GL_n(\mathbb{H})/GL_n(\mathbb{H})$	4	3

In this table we list the various homogeneous spaces $X = G'/H'$ that arise in the θ -correspondence of Theorem 0.2.

G	X
$GL_{2n}(\mathbb{R})$	$GL_k(\mathbb{R})/[GL_{k_1}(\mathbb{R}) \times \cdots \times GL_{k_s}(\mathbb{R})]$
$O_{2n,2n}$	$Sp_k(\mathbb{R})/[Sp_{k_1}(\mathbb{R}) \times \cdots \times Sp_{k_s}(\mathbb{R})]$
$E_{7(7)}$	$Spin_{4,5}/Spin_{4,4} \quad (k_1 = 1, k_2 = 1)$
	$F_{4(4)}/Spin_{4,5} \quad (k_1 = 2, k_2 = 1)$
$O_{p+2,p+2}$	$SO_{p,p+1}/SO_{p,p} \quad (k_1 = 1, k_2 = 1)$
$Sp_n(\mathbb{C})$	$O_k(\mathbb{C})/[O_{k_1}(\mathbb{C}) \times \cdots \times O_{k_s}(\mathbb{C})]$
$GL_{2n}(\mathbb{C})$	$GL_k(\mathbb{C})/[GL_{k_1}(\mathbb{C}) \times \cdots \times GL_{k_s}(\mathbb{C})]$
$O_{4n}(\mathbb{C})$	$Sp_k(\mathbb{C})/[Sp_{k_1}(\mathbb{C}) \times \cdots \times Sp_{k_s}(\mathbb{C})]$
$E_7(\mathbb{C})$	$Spin_9(\mathbb{C})/Spin_8(\mathbb{C}) \quad (k_1 = 1, k_2 = 1)$
	$F_4(\mathbb{C})/Spin_9(\mathbb{C}) \quad (k_1 = 2, k_2 = 1)$
$O_{p+4}(\mathbb{C})$	$SO_{p+1}(\mathbb{C})/SO_p(\mathbb{C}) \quad (k_1 = 1, k_2 = 1)$
$Sp_{n,n}$	$O_k^*/[O_{k_1}^* \times \cdots \times O_{k_s}^*]$
$GL_{2n}(\mathbb{H})$	$GL_k(\mathbb{H})/[GL_{k_1}(\mathbb{H}) \times \cdots \times GL_{k_s}(\mathbb{H})]$

References

- [A] Adams, J.F., *Lectures on exceptional Lie groups*, University of Chicago Press, Chicago 1996
- [BK] Braun, H. and Koecher, M., *Jordan-Algebren*, Springer, Berlin – New York 1966
- [DS1] Dvorsky, A. and Sahi, S., *Tensor products of singular representations and an extension of the θ -correspondence*, Selecta Math. **4** (1998), 11-29
- [DS2] Dvorsky, A. and Sahi, S., *Explicit Hilbert spaces for certain unipotent representations II*, Invent. Math. **138** (1999), 203–224
- [H] Helgason, S., *Groups and Geometric Analysis*, Mathematical surveys and monographs v. 83, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence 2000
- [KS] Kostant, B. and Sahi, S., *Jordan algebras and Capelli identities*, Invent. Math. **112** (1993), 657–664
- [Li1] Li, J.-S., *Singular unitary representations of classical groups*, Invent. Math. **97** (1989), 237–255
- [Li2] Li, J.-S., *On the classification of irreducible low rank unitary representations of classical groups*, Comp. Math **71** (1989), 29-48
- [Lo] Loos, O., *Bounded symmetric domains and Jordan pairs*, Mathematical Lectures, University of California, Irvine 1977

- [M] Mackey, G., *The theory of unitary group representations*, University of Chicago Press, Chicago 1976
- [Op] Opdam, E., *Dunkl operators, Bessel functions and the discriminant of a finite Coxeter group*, *Compositio Math.* **85** (1993), 333-373
- [OS] Oshima, T. and Sekiguchi, J., *The restricted root system of a semisimple symmetric pair*, In: *Adv. Stud. Pure Math.* **4**, 433-497, North-Holland, Amsterdam 1984
- [P] Poguntke, D., *Unitary representations of Lie groups and operators of finite rank*, *Ann. of Math. (2)* **140** (1994), 503-556
- [S1] Sahi, S., *Explicit Hilbert spaces for certain unipotent representations*, *Invent. Math.* **110** (1992), 409-418
- [S2] Sahi, S., *Unitary representations on the Shilov boundary of a symmetric tube domain*, In: *Contemp. Math.* **v. 145** (1993), 275-286
- [S3] Sahi, S., *Jordan algebras and degenerate principal series*, *J. reine angew. Math.* **462** (1995), 1-18
- [SS] Sahi, S. and Stein, E., *Analysis in matrix space and Speh's representation*, *Invent. Math.* **101** (1990), 379-393
- [Sc] Schlichtkrull, H., *Hyperfunctions and harmonic analysis on symmetric spaces*, Birkhäuser, Boston 1984
- [Sh] Shimura, G., *Generalized Bessel functions on symmetric spaces*, *J. reine angew. Math.* **509** (1999), 35-66
- [V] Vogan, D., *Singular unitary representations*, In: *Lect. Notes Math.* **880**, 506-535, Springer, Berlin-New York 1980