

Deformations of the Heisenberg algebra inside $\mathfrak{gl}(3, \mathbb{K})$

Yaël Frégier

Abstract

We study non-trivial deformations of the natural imbedding of the Lie algebra \mathfrak{h}_1 of lower triangular matrices (the Heisenberg Lie algebra) into $\mathfrak{gl}(3, \mathbb{K})$, where $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{R}$ or \mathbb{C} . Our first result is the calculation of the first cohomology space $H^1(\mathfrak{h}_1; \mathfrak{gl}(3, \mathbb{K}))$. We prove that there are no obstructions for integrability of infinitesimal deformation and, furthermore, give an explicit formula for the most general deformation.

1 Introduction

The Heisenberg algebra \mathfrak{h}_1 is the Lie algebra of three by three lower triangular matrices. Our aim is to deform the standard imbedding

$$\rho : \mathfrak{h}_1 \hookrightarrow \mathfrak{gl}(3, \mathbb{K}), \quad (1.1)$$

where $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{R}$ or \mathbb{C} . The theory of deformations of Lie structures (algebras and morphisms) is now a classical subject (see e.g. [G], [N-R3], [R]). However, a new concept of miniversal deformation of Lie algebras has been introduced in [Fi-Fu1]. It is of course inspired by the notion of universal unfolding in singularity theory. While Fialowski and Fuchs developed this notion for Lie algebras, the case of Lie algebra homomorphisms has been recently considered (see [A-A-O-L] and also [O-R1, O-R2]).

In this paper we will completely describe the miniversal deformation of the imbedding (1.1).

2 Deformations of homomorphisms

Let $\rho : \mathfrak{h} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$ be a homomorphism of Lie algebras. A deformation of ρ is an expression of the type

$$\rho(t) = \rho_0 + \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \rho_m(t) \quad (2.1)$$

where $t = (t_1, \dots, t_r)$ are the parameters of the deformation and each term $\rho_m(t)$ is a linear map from \mathfrak{h} to \mathfrak{g} homogeneous in t of degree m . Note that t_1, \dots, t_r can be considered as real (or complex) parameters, or as generators of a commutative associative algebra (cf. [Fi-Fu1]), upon the context.

The deformation must be a Lie homomorphism for every value of the parameter t , i.e. satisfy:

$$\rho(t)([X, Y]) = [\rho(t)(X), \rho(t)(Y)] \quad (2.2)$$

for every $X, Y \in \mathfrak{h}$.

If the sum (2.1) is finite, the deformation is said to be polynomial. In our case, one can restrict to polynomial deformations since our construction will involve only a finite number of non vanishing terms (cf. main results below).

2.1 Equivalent deformations and the first cohomology

The standard Chevalley-Eilenberg differential is given, in the case of a linear map m from \mathfrak{h} to \mathfrak{g} , by the following formula:

$$\delta^1 m(X, Y) = m([X, Y]) - [\rho(X), m(Y)] + [\rho(Y), m(X)]. \quad (2.3)$$

Let us expand formula (2.2) as a series in t , the first order term is of the form

$$\rho_1(t) = \sum_{i=1}^r t_i \rho_1^i. \quad (2.4)$$

From (2.2) one obtains $\delta \rho_1^i = 0$, that is, each map ρ_1^i is a one-cocycle.

Two deformations $\rho(t)$ and $\rho'(t)$ are equivalent if there exists an inner automorphism $I(t) : \mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathbb{K}[t_1, \dots, t_r] \longrightarrow \mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathbb{K}[t_1, \dots, t_r]$ of the form

$$I(t) = \exp \left(\sum_{1 \leq i \leq r} t_i \operatorname{ad} A_i + \sum_{1 \leq i, j \leq r} t_i t_j \operatorname{ad} A_{ij} + \dots \right),$$

where A_i, A_{ij}, \dots are some elements of \mathfrak{g} , such that the relation $I(t) \circ \rho(t) = \rho'(t)$ is satisfied.

Moreover one can check that the first order terms ρ_1^i and $\rho_1'^i$ differ by a coboundary i.e. $\rho_1'^i = \rho_1^i + \delta A_i$. It follows that infinitesimal deformation of the homomorphism ρ are classified by the first cohomology space $H^1(\mathfrak{h}; \mathfrak{g})$.

2.2 Cup-product and Maurer-Cartan equation

The standard cup-product (or Nijenhuis-Richardson product) of linear maps $a, b : \mathfrak{h} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$ is the linear map $\llbracket a, b \rrbracket : \mathfrak{h} \otimes \mathfrak{h} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$ defined by

$$\llbracket a, b \rrbracket(X, Y) = [a(X), b(Y)] - [a(Y), b(X)].$$

Put $\phi(t) = \rho(t) - \rho_0$, the morphism equation (2.2) reads

$$\delta\phi(t) - \frac{1}{2}\llbracket\phi(t), \phi(t)\rrbracket = 0 \quad (2.5)$$

(see [N-R3, R]). This equation is called the Maurer-Cartan equation (or the deformation equation).

Developing the Maurer-Cartan equation (2.5), one gets

$$\delta\rho_m(t) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i+j=m} \llbracket \rho_i(t), \rho_j(t) \rrbracket \quad (2.6)$$

for each m . The right hand side of this equation is always a 2-cocycle for any m (cf., e.g., [Fu]). The equation admits a solution if and only if it is a coboundary. The cohomology class of the 2-cocycle in the right hand side of (2.6) is an obstruction for prolongation of the deformation to the order m .

2.3 Construction of the miniversal deformation

We are interested in deformations up to equivalence, hence, we will set $r = \dim H^1(\mathfrak{h}; \mathfrak{g})$ and choose the basis $[c_1], \dots, [c_r]$ of $H^1(\mathfrak{h}; \mathfrak{g})$ where c_1, \dots, c_r are non-trivial 1-cocycles on \mathfrak{h} with coefficients in \mathfrak{g} . We then put

$$\rho_1^i = c_i.$$

The construction of the miniversal deformation goes as follows. Assume, by induction, that we constructed the deformation (2.1) to the order $m - 1$.

To construct the m -th order term, one has to solve the equation (2.6). The right hand side of (2.6) is an element of $Z^2(\mathfrak{h}; \mathfrak{g}) \otimes \mathbb{K}_m[t_1, \dots, t_r]$; if this is a coboundary then there exists a solution of (2.6).

The solution of (2.6) can be chosen arbitrarily up to the equivalence and reparametrization. Indeed, if $\rho_m(t)$ and $\rho'_m(t)$ are two solutions, then their difference is a 1-cocycle

3 The main results

We formulate here the main results of this paper, all proofs will be given in Section 4.

3.1 The first group of cohomology.

One determines $H^1(\mathfrak{h}_1, \mathfrak{gl}(3, \mathbb{K}))$ in order to know the dimension of the parameter space and the infinitesimal generators of the deformation.

Theorem 3.1. *Dim $H^1(\mathfrak{h}_1, \mathfrak{gl}(3, \mathbb{K}))=4$.*

We also give a basis of this cohomology space. Let $e_{ij} \in \mathfrak{gl}(3, \mathbb{K})$ be the standard basis of $\mathfrak{gl}(3, \mathbb{K})$, namely $(e_{ij})_{kl} = \delta_{ik}\delta_{jl}$. Denote \mathfrak{B} the natural basis of \mathfrak{h}_1 :

$$X = e_{21}, \quad Y = e_{31}, \quad Z = e_{32}$$

and let X^*, Y^*, Z^* be the dual basis in \mathfrak{h}_1^* . The non-trivial cohomology classes are generated by the following 1-cocycles.

$$\begin{aligned} \rho^1 &= X^* \otimes e_{32} \\ \rho^2 &= Z^* \otimes e_{21} \\ \rho^3 &= Z^* \otimes (2e_{22} + e_{11}) + Y^* \otimes e_{21} \\ \rho^4 &= X^* \otimes (e_{11} + e_{22} + e_{33}). \end{aligned} \tag{3.1}$$

3.2 An expression for the miniversal deformation.

We will apply the algorithm described in Section 2.3 to the 1-cocycles (3.1). The result is an explicit formula for the miniversal deformation.

Theorem 3.2. *Up to equivalence and reparametrisation, the miniversal deformation of ρ is given by the formula*

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{\rho}(t_1, t_2, t_3, t_4) = & \rho + t_1 \rho^1 + t_2 \rho^2 + t_3 \rho^3 + t_4 \rho^4 \\ & + t_1 t_2 \rho^{12} + t_1 t_3 \rho^{13} + t_3^2 \rho^{33} + t_1 t_3^2 \rho^{133}\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}\rho^{12} &= X^* \otimes e_{21} \\ \rho^{13} &= X^* \otimes (2e_{22} + e_{11}) \\ \rho^{33} &= -2Z^* \otimes e_{23} \\ \rho^{133} &= -2X^* \otimes e_{23}.\end{aligned}$$

Applied on a generical element this gives:

$$\tilde{\rho}(t_1, t_2, t_3, t_4) \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ a & 0 & 0 \\ c & b & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} bt_3 + at_1 t_3 + at_4 & 0 & 0 \\ a + bt_2 + at_1 t_2 + ct_3 & 2bt_3 + 2at_1 t_3 + at_4 & -bt_3^2 - at_1 t_3^2 \\ c & b + t_1 & at_4 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Note, in comparison with [A-A-O-L], that no obstruction to the integrability appears, and hence the parameter space is the free commutative algebra $\mathbb{K}[t_1, t_2, t_3, t_4]$. In other words, there are no relations on the parameters of the deformation.

4 Proofs of the main results

4.1 Proof of theorem 3.1

The proof consists in two steps. We will first calculate the space of 1-cocycles and then determine its subspace of coboundaries.

4.1.1 Computation of $Z^1(\mathfrak{h}_1, \mathfrak{gl}(3, \mathbb{K}))$

Let us calculate explicitly the expression of the differential δ^1 defined by the formula (2.3). We will then determine its kernel.

Lemma 4.1. *A basis of Z^1 is given by the vectors:*

$$\begin{aligned}
e_1 &= X^* \otimes e_{32}, \\
e_2 &= Z^* \otimes e_{21}, \\
e_3 &= X^* \otimes e_{33} + X^* \otimes e_{22} + X^* \otimes e_{11}, \\
e_4 &= Z^* \otimes e_{22} + \frac{1}{2}Z^* \otimes e_{11} + \frac{1}{2}Y^* \otimes e_{21}, \\
e_5 &= Z^* \otimes e_{31}, \\
e_6 &= Y^* \otimes e_{31} + X^* \otimes e_{21}, \\
e_7 &= Y^* \otimes e_{32} + X^* \otimes e_{22} - X^* \otimes e_{11}, \\
e_8 &= X^* \otimes e_{31}, \\
e_9 &= Z^* \otimes e_{32} + Y^* \otimes e_{31}, \\
e_{10} &= X^* \otimes e_{23} + Y^* \otimes (e_{33} - e_{11}) - Z^* \otimes e_{12}, \\
e_{11} &= Z^* \otimes e_{33} + \frac{1}{2}Z^* \otimes e_{11} - \frac{1}{2}Y^* \otimes e_{21}.
\end{aligned}$$

Let Φ be a one cochain on \mathfrak{h}_1 with coefficients in $\mathfrak{gl}(3, \mathbb{K})$. Using the basis X, Y, Z of \mathfrak{h}_1 , let us write this cochain in the form:

$$\underbrace{(\Phi_X^{11}, \dots, \Phi_X^{33})}_{\Phi(X)}, \underbrace{(\Phi_Y^{11}, \dots, \Phi_Y^{33})}_{\Phi(Y)}, \underbrace{(\Phi_Z^{11}, \dots, \Phi_Z^{33})}_{\Phi(Z)}$$

and express the definition of the coboundary operator δ^1 . One has

$$\begin{aligned}
\delta^1 \Phi(X, Y) &= \Phi([e_{21}, e_{31}]) - [e_{21}, \Phi(Y)] + [e_{31}, \Phi(X)] \\
&= -[e_{21}, \sum_{i,j=1}^3 \Phi_Y^{ij} e_{ij}] + [e_{31}, \sum_{i,j=1}^3 \Phi_X^{ij} e_{ij}] \\
&= -\sum_{i,j=1}^3 \Phi_Y^{ij} [e_{21}, e_{ij}] + \sum_{i,j=1}^3 \Phi_X^{ij} [e_{31}, e_{ij}]
\end{aligned}$$

which gives

$$\delta^1 \Phi(X, Y) = -\sum_{j=1}^3 \Phi_Y^{1j} e_{2j} + \sum_{i=1}^3 \Phi_Y^{i2} e_{i1} + \sum_{j=1}^3 \Phi_X^{1j} e_{3j} - \sum_{i=1}^3 \Phi_X^{i3} e_{i1}.$$

Similarly,

$$\delta^1 \Phi(X, Z) = - \sum_{i,j=1}^3 \Phi_Y^{ij} e_{ij} - \sum_{j=1}^3 \Phi_Z^{1j} e_{2j} + \sum_{i=1}^3 \Phi_Z^{i2} e_{i1} + \sum_{j=1}^3 \Phi_X^{2j} e_{3j} - \sum_{i=1}^3 \Phi_X^{i3} e_{i2}$$

and

$$\delta^1 \Phi(Y, Z) = - \sum_{j=1}^3 \Phi_Z^{1j} e_{3j} + \sum_{i=1}^3 \Phi_Z^{i3} e_{i1} + \sum_{j=1}^3 \Phi_Y^{2j} e_{3j} - \sum_{i=1}^3 \Phi_Y^{i3} e_{i2}.$$

Let us compute δ^1 as a matrix. Since $\delta^1 \Phi$ is a 2 cochain, it can be written in the basis

$$X^* \wedge Y^* \otimes e_{ij}, \quad X^* \wedge Z^* \otimes e_{ij}, \quad Y^* \wedge Z^* \otimes e_{ij}.$$

More precisely,

$$\delta^1 \Phi = \sum_{i,j=1}^3 ((\delta^1 \Phi)_{X,Y}^{ij} X^* \wedge Y^* + (\delta^1 \Phi)_{X,Z}^{ij} X^* \wedge Z^* + (\delta^1 \Phi)_{Y,Z}^{ij} Y^* \wedge Z^*) \otimes e_{ij}$$

Applying $\delta^1 \Phi$ to (X, Y) one gets

$$\delta^1 \Phi(X, Y) = \sum_{i,j=1}^3 (\delta^1 \Phi)_{X,Y}^{ij} e_{ij}.$$

One can then identify the first nine coefficients $(\delta^1 \Phi)_{X,Y}^{ij}, 1 \leq i, j \leq 3$ which correspond to the matrix of δ^1 first nine rows. Applying the same procedure to $(\delta^1 \Phi)_{X,Z}^{ij}$ and $(\delta^1 \Phi)_{Y,Z}^{ij}$, one finally gets a (27×27) -matrix, see Appendix 5.1.1. In order to determine the kernel of δ^1 , one has to find a maximal free subfamily among the column vectors of the matrix of δ^1 . Dependence relations among remaining vectors will then give the kernel. Details of these computations can also be found in Appendix 5.1.1. This completes the proof of Lemma 4.1.

4.1.2 Computation of $B^1(\mathfrak{h}_1, \mathfrak{gl}(3, \mathbb{K}))$

The space of coboundaries $B^1(\mathfrak{h}_1, \mathfrak{gl}(3, \mathbb{K}))$ is the image of $\mathfrak{gl}(3, \mathbb{K})$ by the operator $\delta^0 : \bigwedge^0 \longrightarrow \bigwedge^1$ defined by

$$\delta^0(A)(a) = [A, a]$$

where $a \in \mathfrak{h}_1$ and $A \in \mathfrak{gl}(3, \mathbb{K})$.

Proceeding as above, one has for $A = (A^{ij})$ with $i, j = 1, 2, 3$

$$\begin{aligned}
\delta^0 A(X) &= -[e_{21}, \sum_{i,j=1}^3 A^{ij} e_{ij}] \\
&= -\sum_{j=1}^3 A^{1j} e_{2j} + \sum_{i=1}^3 A^{i2} e_{i1} \\
\delta^0 A(Y) &= -\sum_{j=1}^3 A^{1j} e_{3j} + \sum_{i=1}^3 A^{i3} e_{i1} \\
\delta^0 A(Z) &= -\sum_{j=1}^3 A^{2j} e_{3j} + \sum_{i=1}^3 A^{i3} e_{i2}.
\end{aligned}$$

The matrix of this operator is given in Appendix 5.1.2. A basis of the image is as follows.

$$\begin{aligned}
\delta_{11} &= -X^* \otimes 21 - Y^* \otimes 31, \\
\delta_{12} &= X^* \otimes (11 - 22) - Y^* \otimes 32, \\
\delta_{13} &= -X^* \otimes 23 + Y^* \otimes (11 - 33) + Z^* \otimes 12, \\
\delta_{21} &= -Z^* \otimes 31, \\
\delta_{22} &= X^* \otimes 21 - Z^* \otimes 32, \\
\delta_{23} &= Y^* \otimes 21 + Z^* \otimes (22 - 33), \\
\delta_{32} &= X^* \otimes 31.
\end{aligned}$$

4.1.3 Computation of $H^1(\mathfrak{h}_1, \mathfrak{gl}(3, \mathbb{K}))$

The dimension of $Z^1(\mathfrak{h}_1, \mathfrak{gl}(3, \mathbb{K}))$ is 11, the one of $B^1(\mathfrak{h}_1, \mathfrak{gl}(3, \mathbb{K}))$ is 7. Hence the quotient space $H^1(\mathfrak{h}_1, \mathfrak{gl}(3, \mathbb{K}))$ has dimension $11 - 7 = 4$. One can check, see Appendices 5.1.2-5.1.3, that the first four elements e_1, e_2, e_3, e_4 are independent modulo $B^1(\mathfrak{h}_1, \mathfrak{gl}(3, \mathbb{K}))$. Their classes form a basis of H^1 . Theorem 3.1 is proved.

4.2 Proof of Theorem 3.2

We will show that every infinitesimal deformation is integrable. In other words, there are no obstructions to integrability.

4.2.1 Intergability at order 2

One needs to evaluate the cup-products $[[\rho^i, \rho^j]]$, $1 \leq i, j \leq 4$ of the cocycles (3.1). It turns out that the only non vanishing terms are three coboundaries:

$$\begin{aligned} [[\rho^1, \rho^2]] &= X^* \wedge Z^* \otimes e_{31} = \delta^1(X^* \otimes e_{21}) \\ [[\rho^1, \rho^3]] &= 2X^* \wedge Z^* \otimes e_{32} + X^* \wedge Y^* \otimes e_{31} = \delta^1(X^* \otimes (2e_{22} + e_{11})) \\ [[\rho^3, \rho^3]] &= 2Z^* \wedge Y^* \otimes e_{21} = \delta^1(-2Z^* \otimes e_{23}) \end{aligned}$$

Let us choose

$$\begin{aligned} \rho^{12} &= X^* \otimes e_{21} \\ \rho^{13} &= X^* \otimes (2e_{22} + e_{11}) \\ \rho^{33} &= -Z^* \otimes e_{23} \end{aligned}$$

and $\rho^{ij} = 0$ otherwise. One extends the deformation to the order 2. The equation (2.6) is satisfied to the second order.

4.2.2 Intergability at order 3

At the third order, the only non vanishing products are:

$$\begin{aligned} [[\rho^{12}, \rho^3]] &= \frac{1}{2} X^* \wedge Z^* \otimes (-e_{21}) \\ [[\rho^{13}, \rho^2]] &= \frac{1}{2} X^* \wedge Z^* \otimes (e_{21}) \\ [[\rho^{13}, \rho^3]] &= \frac{1}{2} X^* \wedge Y^* \otimes (e_{21}) \\ [[\rho^{33}, \rho^1]] &= -Z^* \wedge X^* \otimes (e_{22} - e_{33}) \end{aligned}$$

Hence the only r-h-s expressions that might appear in (2.6) are

$$\begin{aligned} [[\rho^{33}, \rho^1]] + [[\rho^3, \rho^{31}]] &= X^* \wedge Y^* \otimes e_{21} + X^* \wedge Z^* \otimes (e_{22} - e_{33}) \\ &= \delta(-X^* \otimes e_{23}) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} [[\rho^{12}, \rho^3]] + \underbrace{[[\rho^1, \rho^{23}]]}_{=0} + [[\rho^2, \rho^{13}]] &= X^* \wedge Z^* \otimes (-e_{21}) + X^* \wedge Z^* \otimes e_{21} \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

This shows that the deformation can be extended to the third order (there are no obstructions). More precisely, we set $\rho^{331} = -X^* \otimes e_{23}$ and $\rho^{ijk} = 0$ if $(i, j, k) \neq (3, 3, 1)$.

4.2.3 Intergability at order 4

At the fourth order, the only non vanishing cup-products appearing in (2.6) are:

$$\begin{aligned}\llbracket \rho^{133}, \rho^3 \rrbracket &= X^* \wedge Z^* \otimes e_{23} \\ \llbracket \rho^{13}, \rho^{33} \rrbracket &= -X^* \wedge Z^* \otimes e_{23}.\end{aligned}$$

Hence the only equation for which the r-h-s is not identically zero a-priori is ρ^{1333} . However, one has

$$\llbracket \rho^{133}, \rho^3 \rrbracket + \llbracket \rho^{13}, \rho^{33} \rrbracket = -X^* \wedge Z^* \otimes e_{23} + X^* \wedge Z^* \otimes e_{23} = 0,$$

therefore no obstruction appears. Hence the deformation can be extended to the order 4, setting $\rho^{ijkl} = 0$ for all i, j, k, l .

4.2.4 Intergability at order 5

At the fifth order, all the cup-products are zero. Indeed, a straightforward computation shows that all the terms of the type $\llbracket \rho^{ijk}, \rho^{lm} \rrbracket$ vanish. Hence all the terms appearing in the general formula (2.6) vanish. There are no obstructions to integrability, and one can extend the deformation to the fifth order setting $\rho^{ijklm} = 0$ for all i, j, k, l, m .

4.2.5 Intergability at any order

At order 6, all the cup-products constituted by one term of order one and an other term of order five vanish since we have just set the fifth order terms to be zero. It is just the same for the terms constituted by an element of order four and an other one of order two since we've set the elements of order four to be zero (cf 4.2.3). At last the only cup-product constituted with two non vanishing terms of order three is $\llbracket \rho^{331}, \rho^{331} \rrbracket$ which turns out to be zero.

The analogous arguments are valid at every order. Theorem 3.2 is proved.

5 Appendix

5.1 Computations appearing in proof of Theorem 3.1

5.1.1 Computing δ^1

The first fourteen elements of this family are independent (indeed, each these element has an underlined non vanishing component which vanishes for the other elements). Setting

$$\delta_{ij}^X = \delta^1(X^* \otimes e_{ij}), \delta_{ij}^Y = \delta^1(Y^* \otimes e_{ij}), \delta_{ij}^Z = \delta^1(Z^* \otimes e_{ij}),$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_{11}^X &= \underline{X^* \wedge Y^* \otimes e_{31}}, \\ \delta_{12}^X &= \underline{X^* \wedge Y^* \otimes e_{32}}, \\ \delta_{13}^X &= X^* \wedge Y^* \otimes (\underline{e_{33}} - e_{11}) - X^* \wedge Z^* \otimes e_{12}, \\ \delta_{21}^X &= \underline{X^* \wedge Z^* \otimes e_{31}}, \\ \delta_{22}^X &= \underline{X^* \wedge Z^* \otimes e_{32}}, \\ \delta_{23}^X &= -X^* \wedge Y^* \otimes e_{21} + X^* \wedge Z^* \otimes (\underline{e_{33}} - e_{22}), \\ \delta_{11}^Y &= -X^* \wedge Y^* \otimes e_{21} - \underline{X^* \wedge Z^* \otimes e_{11}}, \\ \delta_{12}^Y &= X^* \wedge Y^* \otimes (e_{11} - \underline{e_{22}}) - X^* \wedge Z^* \otimes e_{12}, \\ \delta_{13}^Y &= -\underline{X^* \wedge Y^* \otimes e_{23}} - X^* \wedge Z^* \otimes e_{13} - Y^* \wedge Z^* \otimes e_{12}, \\ \delta_{21}^Y &= -\underline{X^* \wedge Z^* \otimes e_{21}} + Y^* \wedge Z^* \otimes e_{31}, \\ \delta_{22}^Y &= X^* \wedge Y^* \otimes e_{21} - X^* \wedge Z^* \otimes e_{22} + \underline{Y^* \wedge Z^* \otimes e_{32}}, \\ \delta_{23}^Y &= -X^* \wedge Z^* \otimes e_{23} + Y^* \wedge Z^* \otimes (\underline{e_{33}} - \underline{e_{22}}), \\ \delta_{13}^Z &= -X^* \wedge Z^* \otimes e_{23} - Y^* \wedge Z^* \otimes (\underline{e_{33}} - \underline{e_{11}}), \\ \delta_{23}^Z &= \underline{Y^* \wedge Z^* \otimes e_{21}}, \end{aligned}$$

One can check that the two following terms are indepent from the preceding ones.

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_{33}^Y &= -X^* \wedge Z^* \otimes e_{33} - Y^* \wedge Z^* \otimes e_{32}. \\ \delta_{11}^Z &= -X^* \wedge Z^* \otimes e_{21} - Y^* \wedge Z^* \otimes e_{31}, \end{aligned}$$

The remaining elements

$$\begin{aligned}
\delta_{31}^X &= 0, \\
\delta_{32}^X &= 0, \\
\delta_{33}^X &= -X^* \wedge Y^* \otimes e_{31} - X^* \wedge Z^* \otimes e_{32}, \\
\delta_{31}^Y &= -X^* \wedge Z^* \otimes e_{31}, \\
\delta_{32}^Y &= X^* \wedge Y^* \otimes e_{31} - X^* \wedge Z^* \otimes e_{32}, \\
\delta_{12}^Z &= -X^* \wedge Z^* \otimes (e_{22} - e_{11}) - Y^* \wedge Z^* \otimes e_{32}, \\
\delta_{21}^Z &= 0, \\
\delta_{22}^Z &= X^* \wedge Z^* \otimes e_{21}, \\
\delta_{31}^Z &= 0, \\
\delta_{32}^Z &= X^* \wedge Z^* \otimes e_{31}, \\
\delta_{33}^Z &= Y^* \wedge Z^* \otimes e_{31}
\end{aligned}$$

are linear combinations of the previous ones. More precisely, $\delta_{31}^X, \delta_{32}^X, \delta_{21}^Z$ and δ_{31}^Z vanish and

$$\begin{aligned}
\delta_{33}^X &= -\delta_{22}^X - \delta_{11}^X \\
\delta_{31}^Y &= -\delta_{21}^X \\
\delta_{32}^Y &= -\delta_{22}^X + \delta_{11}^X \\
\delta_{22}^Z &= -\frac{1}{2}\delta_{11}^Z - \frac{1}{2}\delta_{21}^Y \\
\delta_{32}^Z &= -\delta_{31}^Y \\
\delta_{12}^Z &= -\delta_{11}^Y + \delta_{23}^X + \delta_{33}^Y \\
\delta_{33}^Z &= -\frac{1}{2}\delta_{11}^Z + \frac{1}{2}\delta_{21}^Y.
\end{aligned}$$

These relations are important since they give us the basis of Z^1 :

$$\begin{aligned}
e_1 &= \underline{X^* \otimes e_{32}}, \\
e_2 &= \underline{Z^* \otimes e_{21}}, \\
e_3 &= \underline{X^* \otimes e_{33}} + X^* \otimes e_{22} + X^* \otimes e_{11}, \\
e_4 &= Z^* \otimes e_{22} + \frac{1}{2} \underline{Z^* \otimes e_{11}} + \frac{1}{2} Y^* \otimes e_{21}, \\
e_5 &= Z^* \otimes e_{31}, \\
e_6 &= Y^* \otimes e_{31} + X^* \otimes e_{21}, \\
e_7 &= Y^* \otimes e_{32} + X^* \otimes e_{22} - X^* \otimes e_{11}, \\
e_8 &= X^* \otimes e_{31}, \\
e_9 &= Z^* \otimes e_{32} + Y^* \otimes e_{31}, \\
e_{10} &= X^* \otimes e_{23} + Y^* \otimes (e_{33} - e_{11}) - Z^* \otimes e_{12}, \\
e_{11} &= Z^* \otimes e_{33} + \frac{1}{2} Z^* \otimes e_{11} - \frac{1}{2} Y^* \otimes e_{21}.
\end{aligned}$$

These elements are in the kernel because of the preceding relations.

5.1.2 Computing δ^0

The matrix of δ^0 is given by the following set of vectors:

$$\begin{aligned}
\delta_{11} &= -X^* \otimes 21 - \underline{Y^* \otimes 31}, \\
\delta_{12} &= X^* \otimes (\underline{11} - 22) - Y^* \otimes 32, \\
\delta_{13} &= -\underline{X^* \otimes 23} + Y^* \otimes (11 - 33) + Z^* \otimes 12, \\
\delta_{21} &= -\underline{Z^* \otimes 31}, \\
\delta_{22} &= X^* \otimes 21 - \underline{Z^* \otimes 32}, \\
\delta_{23} &= \underline{Y^* \otimes 21} + Z^* \otimes (22 - 33), \\
\delta_{32} &= \underline{X^* \otimes 31},
\end{aligned}$$

which are independent and

$$\begin{aligned}
\delta_{31} &= 0, \\
\delta_{33} &= Y^* \otimes 31 + Z^* \otimes 32,
\end{aligned}$$

which are linear combinations of the above ones. Indeed, $\delta_{31} = 0$ and $\delta_{33} = -\delta_{11} + \delta_{22}$.

5.1.3 Computing the basis of $H^1(\mathfrak{h}_1, \mathfrak{gl}(3, \mathbb{K}))$

The first four elements e_1, e_2, e_3, e_4 of the basis of the space of cocycles $Z^1(\mathfrak{h}_1, \mathfrak{gl}(3, \mathbb{K}))$ are linearly independent modulo the coboundaries, since each of them has an underlined component which does not appear in the elements of the basis of the space of coboundaries $B^1(\mathfrak{h}_1, \mathfrak{gl}(3, \mathbb{K}))$.

Acknowledgments. The problem was stated to me by B.Konstant and V. Ovsienko; I am grateful to V. Ovsienko for his help. I would also like to thank C. Duval for enlightening discussions, C. Roger and F. Pellegrini and for their interest in this work.

References

- [G] M. GERSTENHABER, *On deformations of rings and algebras*, Annals of Math. **79** (1964) 59–103 .
- [N-R1] A. NIJENHUIS, R.W. RICHARDSON, *Cohomology and deformations of algebraic structures*, Bull. AMS **70** (1964) 406–411.
- [N-R2] A. NIJENHUIS, R.W. RICHARDSON, *Cohomology and deformations in graded Lie algebras*, Bull. AMS **72** (1966) 1–29.
- [N-R3] A. NIJENHUIS, R.W. RICHARDSON, *Deformations of homomorphisms of Lie algebras*, Bull. AMS **73** (1967) 175–179.
- [R] R.W. RICHARDSON, *Deformations of subalgebras of Lie algebras*, J. Diff. Geom. **3** (1969) 289–308.
- [LN] M. LEVY-NAHAS, *Deformation and contraction of Lie algebras*. J. Mathematical Phys. **8** (1967) 1211–1222.
- [O-R1] V. OVSIENKO & C. ROGER, *Deforming the Lie algebra of vector fields on S^1 inside the Poisson algebra on \dot{T}^*S^1* , Comm Math Phys **198** (1998) 97–110.
- [O-R2] V. OVSIENKO & C. ROGER, *Deforming the Lie algebra of vector fields on S^1 inside the Lie algebra of pseudo-differential symbols on*

- S^1 , Differential Topology, Infinite-Dimensional Lie algebras and applications, Transl. Ser. AMS, vol **194** (1999) 211–226.
- [A-A-O-L] B. Agrebaoui, F. AMMAR, P. LECOMTE, V. OVSIENKO, *Multi-parameter deformations of the module of differential operators*, Internat. Mathem. Research Notices **16** (2002) 847–869.
- [Fi-Fu1] A. FIALOWSKI, D.B. FUCHS, *Construction of Miniversal Deformations of Lie Algebras*, J.Funct.Anal. **161** (1999) 76–110
- [Fi-Fu2] A. FIALOWSKI, D.B. FUCHS, *Singular deformations of Lie algebras on an example*, topics in Singularity Theory, Transl. A.M.S Ser.2 **180** (1997) 77–92.
- [Fu] D.B. FUCHS, *Cohomology of infinite dimensional Lie algebras*, Consultants Bureau, New York, 1987.
- [Go] M.GOZE, *Nilpotent Lie algebras*, Kluwer Academic Press.
- Yaël FREGIER
 C.N.R.S., C.P.T.
 Luminy-Case 907
 F-13288 Marseille Cedex 9, France
 &
 Institut Girard Desargues, URA CNRS 746
 Université Claude Bernard - Lyon I
 43 bd. du 11 Novembre 1918
 69622 Villeurbanne Cedex, France