

A REMARK ON THE REGULARITY OF PREHOMOGENEOUS VECTOR SPACES

AKIHIKO YUKIE¹

Oklahoma State University

Let k be a field, G a reductive group over k , and V a rational representation of G . Note that the reductiveness includes the condition that G is smooth over k . Let $e \in G$ be the unit element. Throughout this paper, we assume that there exists $w \in V_k$ such that $U = Gw$ is Zariski open in V .

A non-constant polynomial $\Delta(x) \in k[V]$ is called a relative invariant polynomial if there exists a character χ (which is automatically rational) such that $\Delta(gx) = \chi(g)\Delta(x)$ for all $g \in G, x \in V$. A pair (G, V) as above is sometimes called a prehomogeneous vector space (see [7], [6]), but this terminology is also used with an additional assumption of the existence of a relative invariant polynomial.

Let G_w be the scheme theoretic stabilizer of w in G . This is a group scheme and it may not always be reduced. For any scheme X over k and a k -algebra R , X_R is the set of R -rational points of X . The purpose of this paper is to prove the following theorem algebraically.

Theorem *Suppose G_w is reductive. Then*

- (1) $V \setminus U$ is a hypersurface, and
- (2) $U_{k^{\text{sep}}}$ is a single $G_{k^{\text{sep}}}$ -orbit set-theoretically.

Note that the assumption in the above theorem includes the smoothness of G_w over the ground field k . The above theorem is well known if $\text{ch } k = 0$.

Before proving the above theorem, we recall properties of smooth morphisms. Let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a morphism of k -schemes of finite type, and $\Omega_{X/Y}^1$ the sheaf of relative differentials. Then f is, by definition, smooth of relative dimension n if f is flat and for each point $y \in Y$, the absolute fiber $f^{-1}(y) \times \text{Spec } \bar{k}(y)$ ($\bar{k}(y)$ is the algebraic closure) is regular of equidimension n . An alternative definition (see Definition (1.1) [1, p. 128]) is that locally f is étale over an affine n -space over Y . It is easy to see that smoothness is preserved by base change. It satisfies the property of “faithfully flat descent” (see Corollary (5.11) [1, p. 154]). Therefore, if $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is a morphism between k -schemes of finite type, f is smooth if and only if the induced map $X \times \text{Spec } \bar{k} \rightarrow Y \times \text{Spec } \bar{k}$ is smooth. In particular, if X is a k -scheme X is smooth over k if and only if it is regular after changing the base to \bar{k} . With these in mind, the equivalence of the two definitions is proved in

¹Partially supported by NSF grant DMS-9401391

Theorem (1.8) [1, p. 130]. These definitions are equivalent to the one given in [2] also (see Theorem (10.2) [2, p. 269]).

An easy consequence of the second definition is that if X is smooth over a field k , the set $X_{k^{\text{sep}}}$ is Zariski dense in X . Suppose that k is algebraically closed, and both X, Y are smooth over k . An important criterion of smoothness is that f is smooth if and only if for all $x \in X$, the induced map between the tangent spaces $T_x X \rightarrow T_{f(x)} Y$ is surjective (see Corollary (5.5) [1, p. 149]). Also if $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is smooth and X is smooth over k , Y is smooth over k . One can see this in the following manner.

Let $f(x) = y$ and $h : X \rightarrow \text{Aff}^n \times Y$ (Aff^n is the affine n -space) be an etale morphism in a neighborhood of x such that the composition with the projection to Y is f . Let $h(x) = (z, y)$, and pr_1, pr_2 be the projections from $\text{Aff}^n \times Y$ to Aff^n, Y . Then by Proposition (4.9) [1, p. 117],

$$\Omega_{X/k}^1 \cong h^* \Omega_{(\text{Aff}^n \times Y)/k}^1 \cong h^* (\text{pr}_1^* \Omega_{\text{Aff}^n/k}^1 \oplus \text{pr}_2^* \Omega_{Y/k}^1)$$

in a neighborhood of x . Since f is surjective, it is easy to see that $\dim \Omega_{Y/k}^1 \otimes k(y) = \dim Y$ for all $y \in Y$. Therefore, by the definition of smoothness in [2, p. 268], Y is smooth over k .

Now we are ready to prove the theorem.

Proof of Theorem. We may assume that k is separably closed. We first assume that k is algebraically closed.

Let F_1, \dots, F_n be irreducible codimension one components of $V \setminus U$, $F = F_1 \cup \dots \cup F_n$, and $W = V \setminus F$. Then W is affine, $U \subset W$, and the codimension of $W \setminus U$ in W is greater than one. Let $G = \text{Spec } R$, and $S = R^{G_w}$ (the ring of invariants). Then the geometric quotient $X = G/G_w$ exists, it is affine (in fact $X = \text{Spec } S$), and the map $f : G \rightarrow X$ is smooth (see Proposition 0.9, Amplification 1.3 [5, pp. 16,30]). The point here is that S is finitely generated because of Haboush's theorem (see [5, p. 191]). By properties of smooth morphisms, X is smooth over k . Because of the universal property of the quotient, the map $G \rightarrow U$ defined by $g \rightarrow gw$ factors through X .

Since G, V are smooth over k , $\dim T_w U = \dim U = \dim V$ and $\dim T_e G = \dim G$ ($T_w U$, etc., are tangent spaces). Since $G \rightarrow X$ is a principal fiber bundle and the generic fiber is isomorphic to G_w , $\dim G = \dim X + \dim G_w = \dim U + \dim G_w$. Note that the map $X \rightarrow U$ is set-theoretically bijective, because X is the geometric quotient. Therefore, $\dim G_w = \dim T_e G - \dim T_w U$. Since G_w is smooth over k ,

$$\dim G_w = \dim T_e G_w = \dim \text{Ker}(T_e G \rightarrow T_w U).$$

Therefore,

$$\dim \text{Ker}(T_e G \rightarrow T_w U) = \dim T_e G - \dim T_w U.$$

This proves that $T_e G \rightarrow T_w U$ is surjective.

Since the map $G \rightarrow U$ is G -equivariant, it induces surjective maps of tangent spaces. So that is the case for $X \rightarrow U$ also. Since both X, U are smooth over k , this implies that $X \rightarrow U$ is smooth. Since the map $X \rightarrow U$ is of relative dimension zero, it is etale. Since this map is set-theoretically bijective, X is isomorphic to U

and U is affine. Since W is smooth over k , it is normal in particular. Since the codimension of $W \setminus U$ in W is greater than one, any regular function on U extends to W . Since both U, W are affine, $U = W$. This proves (1). The statement (2) is obvious if k is algebraically closed.

We now consider arbitrary k (but we may still assume k is separably closed). Let $X = \operatorname{Spec} S$ again. Since G_{wk} is Zariski dense in G_w , it is easy to see that

$$(R \otimes \bar{k})^{G_{w\bar{k}}} \cong S \otimes \bar{k}.$$

This implies that S is finitely generated over k and $X \times \operatorname{Spec} \bar{k}$ is the geometric quotient $(G \times \operatorname{Spec} \bar{k}) / (G_w \times \operatorname{Spec} \bar{k})$. The k -scheme X obviously has the universal property of the quotient in the category of k -schemes. Therefore, the map $G \rightarrow U$ defined by $g \rightarrow gw$ still factors through X . By the previous step, the map $X \times \operatorname{Spec} \bar{k} \rightarrow U \times \operatorname{Spec} \bar{k}$ is an isomorphism. Therefore, the map $X \rightarrow U$ is an isomorphism also. So U is affine and the statement (1) follows by the same argument as in the previous step.

Let f be the map $G \rightarrow X$ again. Since f is smooth, for any point $x \in U_k$, the fiber $f^{-1}(x)$ over x is smooth over k . Since k is separably closed, we may choose a k -rational point $g \in f^{-1}(x)$. Then $gw = x$, and this proves (2). \square

The reason why we proved the above theorem is the following. For simplicity, let's consider a pair (G, V) as above such that V is an irreducible representation. If $\operatorname{ch} k = 0$, a pair (G, V) as above is called regular if there exists a relative invariant polynomial $\Delta(x)$ whose Hessian is not identically zero. This definition is equivalent to the existence of a generic point w whose stabilizer is reductive. Let $V^{\operatorname{ss}} = \{x \in V \mid \Delta(x) \neq 0\}$. Because of the irreducibility, $\Delta(x)$ is essentially unique. So the regularity implies that V_k^{ss} is a single $G_{\bar{k}}$ -orbit. If $\operatorname{ch} k = 0$, $\bar{k} = k^{\operatorname{sep}}$, and Igusa showed in [3, p. 269] that

$$G_k \setminus V_k^{\operatorname{ss}} \cong \operatorname{Ker}(H^1(k, G_w) \rightarrow H^1(k, G))$$

($H^1(k, G_w), H^1(k, G)$ are Galois cohomology sets).

We considered the question of rational orbit decompositions for certain regular prehomogeneous vector spaces in [8], [4] in all the characteristics, and we had to worry about the separability. Because of the above theorem, all we have to do is to make sure that G_w is smooth and reductive, and by exactly the same argument as in [3], we have the above interpretation of $G_k \setminus V_k^{\operatorname{ss}}$ in terms of Galois cohomology sets, and we no longer have to worry about the separability. This was the motivation of this paper. Of course, we still have to show that G_w is reductive, but that is necessary anyway to determine the orbit space $G_k \setminus V_k^{\operatorname{ss}}$, and in many cases, it can be worked out by case by case analysis as in [6].

Finally, we point out that once we show that G_w is reductive without knowing Gw is open, the condition $\dim G_w = \dim G - \dim V$ implies Gw is open as in the case of $\operatorname{ch} k = 0$. To see this, we may assume k is algebraically closed. Then since Gw is integral, the set of non-singular points is a non-empty open set (see Theorem 5.3 [2, p. 33]). The geometric quotient $X = G/G_w$ still exists, it is smooth over k , and the map $X \rightarrow Gw$ is bijective. So fibers of $G \rightarrow Gw$ and fibers of $G \rightarrow X$ are set-theoretically the same. Then by Propositions 9.1, 9.7 [2, pp. 256, 257], $\dim Gw = \dim G - \dim G_w = \dim V$. Since Gw is a locally closed set (since it is constructible and irreducible) and G acts transitively on it, it is open in V .

REFERENCES

- [1] Altman, A., and S. Kleiman. *Introduction to Grothendieck duality*, volume 146 of *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, New York, 1970.
- [2] Hartshorn, R. *Algebraic geometry*, volume 52 of *Graduate Texts in Mathematics*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, New York, 1977.
- [3] Igusa, J. On a certain class of prehomogeneous vector spaces. *J. of Pure and Applied Algebra*, 47:265–282, 1987.
- [4] Kable, A.C., and A. Yukie. Prehomogeneous vector spaces and field extensions II. To appear in *Invent. Math.*
- [5] Mumford, D., J. Fogarty, and F. Kirwan. *Geometric invariant theory*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, New York, 3rd edition, 1994.
- [6] Sato, M., and T. Kimura. A classification of irreducible prehomogeneous vector spaces and their relative invariants. *Nagoya Math. J.*, 65:1–155, 1977.
- [7] Sato, M., and T. Shintani. On zeta functions associated with prehomogeneous vector spaces. *Ann. of Math.*, 100:131–170, 1974.
- [8] Wright, D.J., and A. Yukie. Prehomogeneous vector spaces and field extensions. *Invent. Math.*, 110:283–314, 1992.

Akihiko Yukie
Oklahoma State University
Mathematics Department
401 Math Science
Stillwater OK 74078-1058 USA
yukie@math.okstate.edu
<http://www.math.okstate.edu/~yukie>