

# Adapted algebras and standard monomials

P. Caldero\* and P. Littelmann\*

October 3, 2017

## Abstract

Let  $G$  be a complex semisimple Lie group. The aim of this article is to compare two basis for  $G$ -modules, namely the standard monomial basis and the dual canonical basis. In particular, we give a sufficient condition for a standard monomial to be an element of the dual canonical basis and vice versa.

## Introduction

Let  $G$  denote a semisimple, simply connected, algebraic group defined over an algebraically closed field  $k$  of arbitrary characteristic. We fix a Borel subgroup  $B$  and a maximal torus  $T \subset B$ , denote  $W$  the Weyl group of  $G$  with respect to  $T$ . For a dominant weight  $\lambda$  let  $V(\lambda)$  the corresponding Weyl module and let  $Q$  be the parabolic subgroup which normalizes a highest weight vector. Let  $\mathcal{L}_\lambda$  be the corresponding ample line bundle on  $G/Q$ . Consider the embedding  $G/Q \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}(V(\lambda))$  given by the global sections  $H^0(G/Q, \mathcal{L}_\lambda) \simeq V(\lambda)^*$ .

The aim of standard monomial theory is to give a presentation of the ring  $R = \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} H^0(G/Q, \mathcal{L}_{n\lambda})$ , which is compatible with the natural subvarieties of  $G/Q$  as for example the Schubert varieties  $X(\tau)$ , the opposite Schubert varieties  $X^\kappa$  and the Richardson varieties  $X_\tau^\kappa = X_\tau \cap X^\kappa$ ,  $\tau, \kappa \in W/W_Q$ . More precisely, the aim is to construct a basis  $B \subset H^0(G/Q, \mathcal{L}_\lambda)$  such that certain (= standard) monomials of degree  $n$  in these basis elements form a basis  $B(n\lambda)$  for  $H^0(G/Q, \mathcal{L}_{n\lambda})$ , the relations provide an algorithm to write a non-standard monomial as linear combination of standard monomials, and the restrictions  $\{m|_{X_\tau^\kappa} \mid m \in B(n\lambda), m \not\equiv 0 \text{ on } X_\tau^\kappa\}$  of the standard monomials to a Richardson variety  $X_\tau^\kappa$ , form a basis for  $H^0(X_\tau^\kappa, \mathcal{L}_{n\lambda})$ . Such a basis

---

\*This research has been partially supported by the EC TMR network ‘‘Algebraic Lie Representations’’, contract no. ERB FMRX-CT97-0100.

has turned out to be a powerful tool in the investigation of the geometry of Schubert (and related) varieties, see for example [13] and [16].

The concept of an adapted algebra has been introduced by the first author in [3, 4] in connection with the Berenstein-Zelevinsky conjecture on  $q$ -commuting elements of the dual canonical basis  $\mathbb{C}_q[U^-]$ . Let  $U \subset B$  be a maximal unipotent subgroup, let  $U^-$  be its opposite unipotent subgroup and let  $\mathfrak{n}^-$  be its Lie algebra. Denote  $U_q(\mathfrak{n}^-)$  the quantized enveloping algebra and let  $\mathcal{B} \subset U_q(\mathfrak{n}^-)$  be the canonical basis. As in the classical case, one has a non-degenerate pairing between the quantized algebra of regular functions  $\mathbb{C}_q[U^-]$  on  $U^-$  and  $U_q(\mathfrak{n}^-)$ , so  $\mathbb{C}_q[U^-]$  is naturally equipped with a canonical basis, the dual  $\mathcal{B}^* \subset \mathbb{C}_q[U^-]$  of the canonical basis  $\mathcal{B}$ . This basis has many nice properties, for example the natural injection  $V_q(\lambda)^* \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}_q[U^-]$  is compatible with the basis, i.e.,  $\mathcal{B}^*(\lambda) = \mathcal{B}^* \cap V_q(\lambda)^*$  is a basis for  $V_q(\lambda)^*$ . So the specialization at  $q = 1$  provides a basis  $\mathcal{B}_1^*(\lambda) \subset H^0(G/Q, \mathcal{L}_\lambda)$ , of which is known that it is compatible with Schubert (and related) varieties.

So on the one hand,  $\mathcal{B}_1^*(\lambda)$  looks like a perfect candidate as a starting basis for a standard monomial theory. The problem with this approach is that the multiplicative structure of the dual canonical basis is hardly understood, see [7]. The adapted algebras occur in this context, they are maximal subalgebras of  $\mathbb{C}_q[U^-]$  which are spanned as a vector space by a subset  $S \subset \mathcal{B}^*$ , and all elements of  $S$  are multiplicative, i.e., with  $b, b' \in S$  also  $bb' \in S$ . In particular, their product is again an element of the dual canonical basis! The first author has constructed in [3] for every reduced decomposition  $\tilde{w}_0$  of the longest word  $w_0$  in the Weyl group an adapted algebra  $\mathcal{A}_{\tilde{w}_0} \subset \mathbb{C}_q[U^-]$ .

On the other hand, the construction in [18] of a standard monomial theory for the ring  $R$  provides in particular a basis for  $H^0(G/Q, \mathcal{L}_\lambda)$ , the so-called path vectors  $p_\pi$ , which also has nice representation theoretic features (see for example [13, 16, 20]), and it is a natural question to ask for the relationship between these two bases. Recall that the path model theory [17, 19] provides combinatorial model [8, 10] for the crystal base theory, so we can index the elements  $b_\pi \in \mathcal{B}_1^*(\lambda)$  and the path vectors  $p_\pi$  by L-S paths of shape  $\lambda$ .

The main result of this article can be formulated as follows (for a more precise and more general formulation see section 5): In the  $q$ -commutative parts of  $\mathcal{B}^*$  given by the adapted algebras  $\mathcal{A}_{\tilde{w}_0}$ , the two bases coincide up to normalization. I.e., if  $b_\pi \in \mathcal{B}_1^*(\lambda)$  is the specialization of an element of an adapted algebra  $\mathcal{A}_{\tilde{w}_0} \subset \mathbb{C}_q[U^-]$  for some reduced decomposition  $\tilde{w}_0$  of  $w_0$ , then  $b_\pi = p_\pi$ , up to normalization (multiplication by a root of unity). Vice versa, if the chain  $(\underline{\tau})$  of Weyl group elements in the L-S path  $\pi = (\underline{\tau}, \underline{a})$

is compatible with some reduced decomposition of  $w_0$ , then  $b_\pi = p_\pi$ , up to normalization. In the last section, we provide some examples to illustrate the connection between the path basis and the dual canonical basis.

## 1 Notation

Let  $G$  be a complex semisimple, simply connected algebraic group with Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$ . We fix a Cartan decomposition  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{n} \oplus \mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathfrak{n}^-$ , where  $\mathfrak{b} = \mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathfrak{n}$  is a Borel subalgebra of  $\mathfrak{g}$  with fixed Cartan subalgebra  $\mathfrak{h}$ . Let  $U^-$  be a maximal unipotent subgroup of  $G$  such that  $\text{Lie } U^- = \mathfrak{n}$  and let  $\mathbb{C}[U^-]$  be the algebra of regular functions on  $U^-$ . The left multiplication of  $U^-$  on itself induces a natural morphism  $\phi$  from the enveloping algebra  $U(\mathfrak{n}^-)$  on the algebra of differential operators on  $\mathbb{C}[U^-]$ . This induces a natural non-degenerate pairing on  $U(\mathfrak{n}^-) \times \mathbb{C}[U^-]$ , mapping  $(u, f)$  to the value of  $\phi(u)(f)$  at the identity of  $U^-$ .

This pairing has an analogue in the quantum setting, i.e., one has a natural non-degenerate pairing on  $U_q(\mathfrak{n}^-) \times \mathbb{C}_q[U^-]$ . So the algebras can be viewed as one being the restricted dual of the other.

Kashiwara [9] and Lusztig [22] have constructed the so-called *global crystal* or *canonical basis*  $\mathcal{B}$  of the algebra  $U_q(\mathfrak{n}^-)$ . By the *dual canonical basis* we mean the basis  $\mathcal{B}^*$  of  $\mathbb{C}_q[U^-]$  dual to  $\mathcal{B}$  with respect to the pairing above.

Some elements of  $\mathcal{B}^*$  can be easily described, they correspond to so-called extremal weight vectors in representations. Let  $\lambda \in P^+$  be a dominant weight and let  $V_q(\lambda)$  be the corresponding irreducible highest weight representation. Fix a highest weight vector  $v_\lambda$ , and for  $\tau \in W/W_\lambda$  let  $v_\tau$  be the unique weight vector in  $V_q(\lambda)$  of weight  $\tau(\lambda)$  obtained in the following way: let  $\tau = s_{i_1} \cdots s_{i_r}$  be a reduced decomposition of  $\tau$  and set

$$v_\tau = F_{\alpha_{i_1}}^{(n_1)} \cdots F_{\alpha_{i_r}}^{(n_r)} v_\lambda,$$

where  $n_1 = \langle s_{i_2} \cdots s_{i_r}(\lambda), \alpha_{i_1}^\vee \rangle, \dots, n_r = \langle \lambda, \alpha_{i_r}^\vee \rangle$ . It follows from the Verma relations for the generators of  $U_q(\mathfrak{n}^-)$  that the vector is independent of the chosen decomposition. This weight space is one dimensional, let  $b_\tau^\lambda \in V_q(\lambda)^*$  be the unique element of weight  $-\tau(\lambda)$  such that

$$b_\tau^\lambda(v_\tau) = 1. \tag{1}$$

This linear form is considered as a form on  $U_q(\mathfrak{n}^-)$  by setting  $b_\tau^\lambda(u) = b_\tau^\lambda(uv_\lambda)$ . The compatibility property of the canonical basis with highest

weight representations shows immediately that these functions  $b_\tau^\lambda$  are elements of  $\mathcal{B}^*$ . In the following we refer to the  $b_\tau^\lambda$  as  $(\lambda, q)$ -minors. For  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sl}_n$  and  $\lambda$  a fundamental weight, these are exactly the quantum minors.

It is often more convenient to forget in the notation the stabilizer  $W_\lambda$  and, by abuse of notation, just write  $b_\tau^\lambda$  for  $\tau \in W$  and  $\lambda \in P^+$ . In this way we can formulate the following simple product rule (see [6]):

$$\forall \lambda, \mu \in P^+ : \quad b_\tau^\lambda b_\tau^\mu = b_\tau^{\lambda+\mu} \quad \text{in } \mathbb{C}_q[U^-]. \quad (2)$$

More generally, for  $\xi \in V_q(\lambda)^*$  let  $c_\xi^\lambda$  be the linear form on  $U_q(\mathfrak{n}^-)$  obtained by setting  $c_\xi^\lambda(u) := c_\xi^\lambda(uv_\lambda)$ . The following commutation relation can be found for example in [6], it is a direct consequence of [15], where the expression of the  $\mathcal{R}$ -matrix is made explicit: suppose  $\xi, \eta$  are of weight  $\nu_1, \nu_2$ . Then

$$c_\xi^\lambda c_\eta^\lambda = q^{-(\lambda, \lambda) + (\nu_1, \nu_2)} c_\eta^\lambda c_\xi^\lambda + \sum c_{\eta_i}^\lambda c_{\xi_i}^\lambda \quad (3)$$

where there exist  $p_i \in \mathbb{C}(q)$  and some non-constant monomials  $M_i$  such that

$$\eta_i \otimes \xi_i = p_i(q)(M_i(E) \otimes M_i(F))\eta \otimes \xi.$$

## 2 $(\lambda, q)$ -minors and adapted algebras

Recall that two elements  $a, b \in \mathbb{C}_q[U^-]$  are said to  $q$ -commute if  $ab = q^m ba$  for some  $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Two elements  $b, b' \in \mathcal{B}^*$  are called *multiplicative* if the product is a multiple of an element of the canonical basis, i.e.,  $bb' = rb''$  for some  $b'' \in \mathcal{B}^*$ ,  $r \in \mathbb{C}(q)$ . The elements of the dual canonical basis are in general neither multiplicative nor  $q$ -commuting. Let  $\varpi_1, \dots, \varpi_n$  be the set of fundamental weights and fix a reduced decomposition  $w_0 = s_{i_1} \cdots s_{i_N}$  of the longest word in  $W$ , we write  $\tilde{w}_0$  to refer to this decomposition. Set

$$y_j = s_{i_1} \cdots s_{i_j} \text{ and set } b_j := b_{y_j}^{\varpi_{i_j}} \in \mathcal{B}^*. \quad (4)$$

**Remark 1** Since  $b_\tau^\lambda = b_{\tau'}^\lambda$  for  $\tau \equiv \tau' \pmod{W_\lambda}$ , it is easy to verify the following description of the elements above:

$$\{b_1, \dots, b_N\} = \{b_{y_j}^{\varpi_{i_j}} \mid 1 \leq i \leq n, 1 \leq j \leq N\}$$

These elements have been studied in great detail by the first author in [3], where he shows that they have the following remarkable properties:

- i) they are multiplicative and  $q$ -commute, and, more precisely,

ii) the monomials  $b_1^{m_1} \cdots b_N^{m_N}$  are, up to a power of  $q$ , elements of  $\mathcal{B}^*$ .

Let  $S_{\tilde{w}_0}$  be the set of the monomials above and let  $\mathcal{A}_{\tilde{w}_0}$  be the subalgebra of  $\mathbb{C}_q[U^-]$  spanned by  $S_{\tilde{w}_0}$ . Actually,  $\mathcal{A}_{\tilde{w}_0}$  is generated as a space by  $S_{\tilde{w}_0}$ .

These properties motivate the following definition: a subalgebra  $\mathcal{A}$  of  $\mathbb{C}_q[U^-]$  is called *adapted* if it is spanned by a subset  $\mathcal{P}^* \subset \mathcal{B}^*$  such that the elements in  $\mathcal{P}^*$  are multiplicative and  $\mathcal{A}$  is maximal with this property, i.e., for all  $b \in \mathcal{B}^* - \mathcal{P}^*$  there exist a  $p \in \mathcal{P}^*$  such that  $b$  and  $p$  are not multiplicative.

**Theorem 2 ([3])** *The subalgebra  $\mathcal{A}_{\tilde{w}_0}$  is adapted with the elements of  $S_{\tilde{w}_0}$  (up to a rescaling by a power of  $q$ ) as spanning set. The set  $S_{\tilde{w}_0}$  is an Ore set in  $\mathbb{C}_q[U^-]$ , and for the localizations one has:  $S_{\tilde{w}_0}^{-1}\mathbb{C}_q[U^-] = S_{\tilde{w}_0}^{-1}\mathcal{A}_{\tilde{w}_0}$ .*

### 3 Lusztig's Frobenius maps

Let  $\mathcal{R}$  be the ring of Laurent polynomials  $\mathbb{Z}[q, q^{-1}]$ . We denote  $U_{\mathcal{R}}(\mathfrak{n}^-)$  the  $\mathcal{R}$ -form of  $U_q(\mathfrak{n}^-)$  generated by the divided powers  $F_i^{(n)}$ . Fix a primitive  $2\ell$ -th root of unity  $\xi$ , where  $\ell$  itself is even, and denote  $\mathbb{Z}_{\xi}$  the ring  $\mathbb{Z}[\xi]$ .

Let  $U(\mathfrak{n}^-)$  be the  $\mathbb{Z}_{\xi}$ -form of the enveloping algebra of  $\mathfrak{n}^-$ , i.e., it is obtained from the Kostant  $\mathbb{Z}$ -form by the ring extension  $\mathbb{Z} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{\xi}$ .

Let  $U_{\xi}(\mathfrak{n}^-)$  be the  $\mathbb{Z}_{\xi}$ -algebra obtained from  $U_{\mathcal{R}}(\mathfrak{n}^-)$  by specialization at  $q = \xi$ , i.e., it is obtained from the Lusztig  $\mathcal{R}$ -form  $U_{\mathcal{R}}(\mathfrak{n}^-)$  by base change with respect to  $\mathcal{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{\xi}$ ,  $q \mapsto \xi$ .

Let  $A = (a_{i,j})_{1 \leq i,j \leq n}$  be the Cartan matrix of  $G$  and denote by  ${}^tA = (\bar{a}_{i,j})$ ,  $\bar{a}_{i,j} := a_{j,i}$ , the transposed matrix. Let  $\underline{d} = (d_1, \dots, d_n)$ ,  $d_i \in \mathbb{N}$ , be minimal such that the matrix  $(d_i a_{i,j})$  is symmetric. We denote by  $d$  the smallest common multiple of the  $d_j$ . To distinguish between the objects associated to  $A$  and  ${}^tA$ , we add always a  ${}^t$  in the notation. For example, we write  ${}^t\mathfrak{g} = {}^t\mathfrak{n}^- \oplus {}^t\mathfrak{h} \oplus {}^t\mathfrak{n}$  for the Cartan decomposition of the semisimple Lie algebra associated to  ${}^tA$ , and we write  ${}^tF_i$  for the generators of  $U_q({}^t\mathfrak{n}^-)$ .

In the following we assume that  $\ell$  is divisible by  $2d$  and we set  $\bar{\ell} = \ell/d$  and let  $\ell_i \in \mathbb{N}$  be minimal such that  $\ell_i \frac{d}{d_i} \in \ell\mathbb{Z}$ . In [22], Chapter 35, Lusztig defines two algebra homomorphisms:

$$\begin{array}{llll} \text{Fr} & : & {}^tU_{\xi}(\mathfrak{n}^-) & \rightarrow & U(\mathfrak{n}^-) & {}^tF_i^{(m)} & \mapsto & F_i^{(m/\ell_i)} \\ \text{Fr}' & : & U(\mathfrak{n}^-) & \rightarrow & {}^tU_{\xi}(\mathfrak{n}^-) & F_i^{(m)} & \mapsto & {}^tF_i^{(\ell_i m)} \end{array}$$

where we set  $F_i^{(m/\ell_i)} := 0$  if  $\ell_i$  does not divide  $m$ . The first map is in fact a Hopf algebra homomorphism, but the second is not.

The corresponding  $\mathcal{R}$ -form of  $\mathbb{C}_q[U^-]$  is denoted  $\mathcal{R}_q[U^-]$ , and its specializations at  $\xi$  respectively 1 are denoted  $\mathcal{R}_\xi[U^-]$  respectively  $\mathcal{R}_1[U^-]$ . The two algebra homomorphisms  $\text{Fr}$  and  $\text{Fr}'$  induce dual maps:

$$\text{Fr}^* : \mathcal{R}_1[U^-] \rightarrow \mathcal{R}_\xi[tU^-] \quad \text{Fr}'^* : \mathcal{R}_\xi[tU^-] \mapsto \mathcal{R}_1[U^-].$$

Note that  $\text{Fr}^*$  is an algebra homomorphism since  $\text{Fr}$  is a Hopf algebra homomorphism. Further, since  $\text{Fr} \circ \text{Fr}'$  is the identity map it follows that  $\text{Fr}'^* \circ \text{Fr}^*$  is the identity. In particular,  $\text{Fr}^*$  is injective and maps  $\mathcal{R}_1[U^-]$  isomorphically onto a commutative subalgebra of  $\mathcal{R}_\xi[tU^-]$ .

The maps  $\text{Fr}$  respectively its dual  $\text{Fr}^*$  are called the *Frobenius maps*, and the maps  $\text{Fr}'^*$  and  $\text{Fr}^*$  are called the *Frobenius splittings* (for the connection with the algebraic geometric notion of Frobenius splitting in positive characteristic see [11] and [12]).

Of special interest are for us the  $(\lambda, q)$ -minors:

**Proposition 3** *The Frobenius map  $\text{Fr}^*$  is an algebra homomorphism which, restricted to the  $(\lambda, q)$ -minors, is the  $\bar{\ell}$ -th power map, i.e.,*

$$\text{Fr}^*(b_\tau^\lambda) = (b_\tau^\lambda)^{\bar{\ell}} = b_\tau^{\bar{\ell}\lambda}.$$

*The splitting  $\text{Fr}'^*$  is a  $\mathbb{Z}_\xi$ -module homomorphism which commutes with the image of  $\text{Fr}^*$ , i.e., for  $f \in \mathcal{R}_1[U^-]$  and  $g \in \mathcal{R}_\xi[tU^-]$  we have*

$$\text{Fr}'^*(\text{Fr}^*(f)g) = f\text{Fr}'^*(g).$$

*In particular,*

$$\text{Fr}'^*(b_{\tau_1}^{m_1\bar{\ell}} \cdots b_{\tau_r}^{m_r\bar{\ell}}) = b_{\tau_1}^{m_1} \cdots b_{\tau_r}^{m_r}.$$

*Proof.* The first part follows immediately from [18], we sketch for convenience the arguments: let  $V_\xi(\bar{\ell}\lambda)$  be the  $U_\xi(t\mathfrak{g})$ -Weyl module for the highest weight  $\bar{\ell}\lambda$ , and let  $L(\bar{\ell}\lambda)$  be the simple module of highest weight  $\bar{\ell}\lambda$ . Then  $L(\bar{\ell}\lambda)$  is nothing but the Weyl module  $V(\lambda)$  for  $U(\mathfrak{g})$ , viewed as quantum module via the (extension of the) Frobenius map  $\text{Fr} : U_\xi(t\mathfrak{g}) \rightarrow U(\mathfrak{g})$ . One sees easily that this induces a dual map (also) denoted  $\text{Fr}^* : V(\lambda)^* \rightarrow V_\xi(\bar{\ell}\lambda)^*$  which sends extremal weight vectors of  $V(\lambda)^*$  to the corresponding extremal weight vectors of  $V_\xi(\bar{\ell}\lambda)^*$ . In particular, the  $b_\tau^\lambda$  is sent to  $b_\tau^{\bar{\ell}\lambda}$ , which is equal to  $(b_\tau^\lambda)^{\bar{\ell}}$  by (2). The commutation part follows from [11], see also [12]. More precisely, the assumptions made on  $\ell$  in these articles are, as mentioned in their introductions, just made for convenience. Suppose  $f \in \mathcal{R}_1[U^-]$  and

$g \in \mathcal{R}_\xi[tU^-]$ . By choosing  $\lambda \in P^+$  generic enough, we can assume that we can identify  $f$  and  $g$  as elements of representations, i.e.,

$$f \in V(\lambda)^* \hookrightarrow \mathcal{R}_1[U^-], \quad g \in V_\xi(\bar{\ell}\lambda)^* \hookrightarrow \mathcal{R}_\xi[tU^-],$$

and  $\text{Fr}'^*(\text{Fr}^*(f)g) \in V(2\lambda)^* \hookrightarrow \mathcal{R}_1[U^-]$ . Now the same arguments as in [11], section 4, or [12], section 6, go through to prove the compatibility  $\text{Fr}'^*(\text{Fr}^*(f)g) = f\text{Fr}'^*(g)$ . The rest is obvious since  $\text{Fr}'^*$  is a splitting. •

The canonical basis  $\mathcal{B} \subset U_q(\mathfrak{n}^-)$  spans  $U_{\mathcal{R}}(\mathfrak{n}^-)$  as an  $\mathcal{R}$ -module, correspondingly its dual basis  $\mathcal{B}^*$  spans  $\mathcal{R}_q[U^-]$  as an  $\mathcal{R}$ -module. It follows that the images of this basis in  $\mathcal{R}_\xi[tU^-]$  respectively  $\mathcal{R}_1[U^-]$  span the corresponding specializations.

Fix a reduced decomposition  $w_0 = s_{i_1} \cdots s_{i_N}$  of the longest word in  $W$ . As in section 2, set  $b_j := b_{y_j}^{\varpi_{i_j}} \in \mathcal{B}^* \subset U_q(\mathfrak{g})$ , let  $S_{\tilde{w}_0}$  be the set of the monomials in the  $b_j$ . Still denote  $\mathcal{A}_{\tilde{w}_0}$  the  $\mathcal{R}$ -module generated by  $S_{\tilde{w}_0}$ . The  $\mathcal{R}$ -algebra  $\mathcal{A}_{\tilde{w}_0}$  specializes to a subalgebra  $\mathcal{A}_{\tilde{w}_0}^\xi \subset \mathcal{R}_\xi[tU^-]$  respectively  $\mathcal{A}_{\tilde{w}_0}^1 \subset \mathcal{R}_1[U^-]$ . In both cases the algebra has the (images of the) monomials in  $S_{\tilde{w}_0}$  as a basis as  $\mathbb{Z}_\xi$ -module.

As an immediate consequence of the proposition above one sees:

**Corollary 4**  *$\text{Fr}^*$  induces an inclusion of algebras  $\text{Fr}^* : \mathcal{A}_{\tilde{w}_0}^1 \rightarrow {}^t\mathcal{A}_{\tilde{w}_0}^\xi$  such that  $\text{Fr}^*(b_1^{m_1} \cdots b_N^{m_N}) = b_1^{m_1\bar{\ell}} \cdots b_N^{m_N\bar{\ell}}$ .*

## 4 The path model, $(\lambda, q)$ -minors and SMT

We come now first back to representations of  $G$  respectively  $U(\mathfrak{g})$ . A combinatorial tool for the analysis of these representations is the path model, of which we recall quickly the most important features. Let  $\lambda \in P^+$  be a dominant weight.

Let  $\underline{\tau} = (\tau_0, \dots, \tau_r)$  be a strictly decreasing sequence (with respect to the Bruhat order) of elements of  $W/W_\lambda$ , and let  $\underline{a} = (a_1, \dots, a_r)$  be a strictly increasing sequence of rational numbers such that  $0 < a_1 < \dots < a_r < 1$ . The pair  $\pi = (\underline{\tau}, \underline{a})$  is called a  *$L$ - $S$  path of shape  $\lambda$*  if the pair satisfies the following integrality condition. For all  $i = 1, \dots, r$ :

- set  $r_i = l(\tau_{i-1}) - l(\tau_i)$ . There exists a sequence  $\beta_1, \dots, \beta_{r_i}$  of positive roots joining  $\tau_{i-1}$  and  $\tau_i$  by the corresponding reflections, i.e.,

$$\tau_{i-1} > s_{\beta_1}\tau_{i-1} > s_{\beta_2}s_{\beta_1}\tau_{i-1} > \dots > s_{\beta_{r_i}} \cdots s_{\beta_1}\tau_{i-1} = \tau_i,$$

and  $a_i \left\langle \tau_{i-1}(\lambda), s_{\beta_1} \cdots s_{\beta_{j-1}}(\beta_j^\vee) \right\rangle \in \mathbb{Z}$  for all  $j = 1, \dots, r_i$ .

In the following formulas we set always  $a_0 = 0$  and  $a_{r+1} = 1$ . The *weight* of an L-S path  $\pi = (\underline{\tau}, \underline{a})$  of shape  $\lambda$  is the convex linear combination

$$\pi(1) = \sum_{i=0}^r x_{i+1} \tau_i(\lambda), \text{ where } x_i = a_i - a_{i-1} \text{ for } 1 \leq i \leq r+1.$$

For more details on the combinatorics of L-S paths we refer to [17]. Let  $B(\lambda)$  be the set of L-S paths of shape  $\lambda$ , and let  $B(\lambda)_\tau$  be the set of L-S paths  $\pi = (\underline{\tau}, \underline{a})$  of shape  $\lambda$  such that  $\tau \geq \tau_0$ . The character of the Weyl module  $V(\lambda)$  of highest weight  $\lambda$  and the Demazure module  $V(\lambda)_\tau$  can be calculated using the L-S paths:

**Theorem 5 ([17])**

$$\text{Char } V(\lambda) = \sum_{\pi \in B(\lambda)} e^{\pi(1)} \text{ and } \text{Char } V(\lambda)_\tau = \sum_{\pi \in B(\lambda)_\tau} e^{\pi(1)}.$$

The path model of a representation is closely connected to the combinatorics of the *crystal base*. For the irreducible representation  $V_q(\lambda)$  fix a highest weight vector  $v_\lambda$  and let  $\mathcal{B}(\lambda) = \{bv_\lambda \mid b \in \mathcal{B}, bv_\lambda \neq 0\}$  be the canonical basis of  $V_q(\lambda)$ . Denote  $L_q(\lambda)$  the  $\mathbb{C}[q]$ -submodule of  $V_q(\lambda)$  spanned by the elements of the canonical basis  $\mathcal{B}(\lambda)$  and set

$$B^q(\lambda) = \{b \bmod L_q(\lambda) \mid b \in \mathcal{B}(\lambda)\}.$$

Recall ([10, 8]) that the Kashiwara crystal  $B^q(\lambda)$  (with the Kashiwara operators  $f_\alpha, e_\alpha$ ) is isomorphic to the crystal given by the path model  $B(\lambda)$  (with the root operators). In the following we will often identify these two crystals and just write  $B(\lambda)$ . For an element  $b \in \mathcal{B}(\lambda)$  we write  $[b]^\lambda$  or just  $[b]$  for its class in  $B(\lambda)$ , and for an element  $\pi \in B(\lambda)$  we write  $b_\pi$  for the element in  $\mathcal{B}(\lambda)$  such that  $[b_\pi] = \pi$ .

The tensor products of crystals can be defined in the path model in terms of concatenations of paths or in terms of *standard tuples*:

**Definition 6** Let  $\pi_1 = (\underline{\tau}, \underline{a}) \in B(\lambda_1)$ ,  $\pi_2 = (\underline{\kappa}, \underline{b}) \in B(\lambda_2), \dots$ , respectively  $\pi_m = (\underline{\sigma}, \underline{c}) \in B(\lambda_m)$  be L-S paths of shape  $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots$ , respectively  $\lambda_m$ , where

$$\underline{\tau} = (\tau_0, \dots, \tau_r), \quad \underline{\kappa} = (\kappa_0, \dots, \kappa_s), \dots, \quad \underline{\sigma} = (\sigma_0, \dots, \sigma_t).$$

The tuple  $\underline{\pi} = (\pi_1, \pi_2, \dots, \pi_m)$  is called a *standard tuple of shape  $\underline{\lambda} = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_m)$*  if there exist representatives  $\tilde{\tau}_0, \dots, \tilde{\tau}_r \in W$  of the  $\tau_0, \dots, \tau_r \in$



$W/W_{\lambda_1}$ , and representatives  $\tilde{\kappa}_0, \dots, \tilde{\kappa}_s \in W$  of the  $\kappa_0, \dots, \kappa_s \in W/W_{\lambda_2}$  etc., such that

$$\tilde{\tau}_0 \geq \dots \geq \tilde{\tau}_r \geq \tilde{\kappa}_0 \geq \dots \geq \tilde{\kappa}_s \geq \dots \geq \tilde{\sigma}_0 \geq \dots \geq \tilde{\sigma}_t,$$

Such a sequence  $(\tilde{\tau}_0, \dots, \tilde{\tau}_r, \tilde{\kappa}_0, \dots, \tilde{\kappa}_s, \dots, \tilde{\sigma}_0, \dots, \tilde{\sigma}_t)$  of representatives is called a *defining chain*. The weight  $\underline{\pi}(1)$  is the sum  $\pi_1(1) + \dots + \pi_m(1)$  of the weights of the L-S paths.

**Remark 7** If  $\lambda_1 = \dots = \lambda_m$ , the condition on standardness reduces to the condition  $\tau_r \geq \kappa_0 \geq \kappa_s \geq \dots \geq \sigma_0$  in the Bruhat ordering on  $W/W_\lambda$ .

By the independence of the crystal structure of path models [19], we have a canonical bijection between the set  $B(\underline{\lambda})$  of standard tuples of shape  $\underline{\lambda}$  and the set of L-S paths  $B(\lambda)$  of shape  $\lambda = \lambda_1 + \dots + \lambda_m$ . In fact, the standard tuples correspond exactly to the elements in the Cartan component of the tensor product of the crystals. The notation  $b_{\underline{\pi}} \in \mathcal{B}(\lambda)$  for an element of the canonical basis corresponding to an element of a path model generalizes in the obvious way.

The following is a translation of [14], Proposition 33, into the language of standard tuples:

**Proposition 8** *Let  $b_i \in \mathcal{B}(\lambda_i)$  be elements of the canonical basis and let  $\pi_i \in B(\lambda_i)$  be the L-S path such that  $[b_i] = \pi_i$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, m$ . Suppose the tuple  $\underline{\pi} = (\pi_1, \dots, \pi_m)$  is standard, let  $\pi \in B(\lambda)$ ,  $\lambda = \sum_{i=1}^m \lambda_i$ , be the L-S path of shape  $\lambda$  that identifies with the standard tuple, and let  $b_\pi = b_{\underline{\pi}} \in \mathcal{B}(\lambda)$  be such that  $[b_\pi] = \pi$ . Then  $[b_1] \otimes \dots \otimes [b_m]$  identifies with  $[b_\pi]$ , and for the dual basis elements there exists a  $s \in \mathbb{N}$  such that*

$$q^s b_1^* b_2^* \dots b_m^* = b_\pi^* \bmod qL_q^*(\lambda).$$

The dual representation  $V(\lambda)^*$  can be geometrically realized as the space of global sections  $H^0(G/B, \mathcal{L}_\lambda)$  of the line bundle  $\mathcal{L}_\lambda$  on  $G/B$ . We recall now the construction of a basis of  $H^0(G/B, \mathcal{L}_\lambda)$  using  $(\lambda, q)$ -minors. The restriction map induces a map between (tensor products of) dual Weyl modules of the quantum group  $U_\xi(\mathfrak{g})$ :

$$V_\xi(\lambda)^* \otimes \dots \otimes V_\xi(\lambda)^* \longrightarrow V_\xi(\bar{\ell}\lambda)^*.$$

We write shortly  $b_\tau^\lambda \dots b_\kappa^\lambda$  for the image of  $b_\tau^\lambda \otimes \dots \otimes b_\kappa^\lambda$ . The dual of the Frobenius splitting induces a map between dual Weyl modules of the quantum group  $U_\xi(\mathfrak{g})$  and  $U(\mathfrak{g})$  (see [18]):

$$\mathrm{Fr}^* : V_\xi(\bar{\ell}\lambda)^* \longrightarrow V(\lambda)^*.$$

Note that these maps are actually defined over  $\mathbb{Z}_\xi$ .

Let now  $\pi = (\underline{\tau}, \underline{a}) \in B(\lambda)$  be an L-S path of shape  $\lambda$ , fix  $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $\ell > 0$  minimal such that  $2d$  divides  $\ell$  and  $\bar{\ell}a_i \in \mathbb{N}$  for all  $i = 1, \dots, r$ , and let  $\xi$  be a corresponding primitive  $2\ell$ -th root of unity.

**Definition 9** *The path vector  $p_\pi \in H^0(G/B, \mathcal{L}_\lambda)$ ,  $\pi = (\underline{\tau}, \underline{a})$ , is defined as:*

$$p_\pi := \text{Fr}'^* \left( (b_{\tau_0}^\lambda)^{\bar{\ell}x_1} (b_{\tau_1}^\lambda)^{\bar{\ell}x_2} \dots (b_{\tau_{r-1}}^\lambda)^{\bar{\ell}x_r} (b_{\tau_r}^\lambda)^{\bar{\ell}x_{r+1}} \right),$$

where  $x_i = a_i - a_{i-1}$  for  $1 \leq i \leq r+1$ , and the  $b_{\tau_j}^\lambda$  are  $(\lambda, q)$ -minors in  $V_\xi(\lambda)^*$  for  $j = 0, \dots, r$ .

To distinguish between extremal weight vectors for  $U(\mathfrak{g})$  and  $U_\xi({}^t\mathfrak{g})$  we keep the notation  $b_\tau^\lambda$  in the quantum case and write  $p_\tau^\lambda$  for the classical case. The reader should think of the  $p_\pi$  as a kind of algebraic approximation of

$$p_\pi \sim \ell \sqrt{p_{\tau_0}^{\ell x_1} p_{\tau_1}^{\ell x_2} p_{\tau_3}^{\ell x_3} \dots p_{\tau_r}^{\ell x_{r+1}}}.$$

Though the expression above does not make sense in general, we will see soon that at least in some special cases the expression can be given a useful interpretation.

We will also consider *standard monomials* in the path vectors:

**Definition 10** Let  $\underline{\pi} = (\pi_1, \dots, \pi_m)$  be a tuple of shape  $\underline{\lambda} = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_m)$ . We associate to  $\underline{\pi}$  the monomial  $p_{\underline{\pi}} = p_{\pi_1} p_{\pi_2} \dots p_{\pi_m}$  in  $H^0(G/Q, \mathcal{L}_\lambda)$ , where  $\lambda = \lambda_1 + \dots + \lambda_m$ . The monomial  $p_{\underline{\pi}}$  is called a *standard monomial of shape  $\underline{\lambda}$*  if  $\underline{\pi}$  is a standard tuple.

The path vectors are defined over  $\mathbb{Z}_\xi$  for some appropriate root of unity, so the collection  $\mathbb{B}(\underline{\lambda})$  of all standard monomials of shape  $\underline{\lambda}$  is defined over the ring  $S \subset \mathbb{C}$  generated by  $\mathbb{Z}$  and all roots of unity.

**Theorem 11 ([18])** *The set of standard monomials  $\mathbb{B}(\underline{\lambda})$  of shape  $\underline{\lambda}$  forms a basis for the space of sections  $H^0(G/B, \mathcal{L}_\lambda)$ ,  $\lambda = \lambda_1 + \dots + \lambda_m$ .*

For more information about applications of standard monomial theory (singularities of Schubert varieties, generators and relations for homogeneous coordinate rings of Schubert varieties, Koszul property, Pieri-Chevalley type formula, ...) see for example [13], [18], [16].

## 5 The path vectors and the dual canonical basis

Given an L-S path of shape  $\lambda$ , we use the same notation  $p_\pi$  for the path vector in  $H^0(G/B, \mathcal{L}_\lambda)$  as well as the element  $c_{p_\pi}^\lambda \in \mathbb{C}[U^-]$ . The dual canonical basis  $\mathcal{B}^*$  is compatible with the injection  $H^0(G/B, \mathcal{L}_\lambda) \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}[U^-]$ , i.e., a subset of  $\mathcal{B}^*$  forms a basis of the image of  $H^0(G/B, \mathcal{L}_\lambda)$ .

So it is natural to ask for a description of the transformation matrix between the basis given by the path vectors and the basis given by elements of the dual canonical basis.

Let  $\pi = (\underline{\tau}, \underline{a})$  be an L-S path of shape  $\lambda$ . We identify an element  $\tau \in W/W_\lambda$  with its minimal representative in  $W$ . Fix a reduced decomposition  $w_0 = s_{i_1} \cdots s_{i_N}$  of the longest word of the Weyl group, we write  $\check{w}_0$  to refer to this decomposition. For  $j = 1, \dots, N$ , set  $y_j = s_{i_1} \cdots s_{i_j}$  as in (4).

**Definition 12** We say that  $\pi$  is compatible with  $\check{w}_0$  if  $\underline{\tau} \subset \{y_1, \dots, y_N\}$ , i.e.,  $\{\tau_0, \dots, \tau_r\} \subset \{y_1, \dots, y_N\}$ .

Let now  $\underline{\pi} = (\pi_1, \dots, \pi_m)$  be a standard tuple of shape  $\underline{\lambda} = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_m)$  with a defining chain (see Definition 6).

$$\tilde{\tau}_0 \geq \dots \geq \tilde{\tau}_r \geq \tilde{\kappa}_0 \geq \dots \geq \tilde{\kappa}_s \geq \dots \geq \tilde{\sigma}_0 \geq \dots \geq \tilde{\sigma}_t,$$

**Definition 13** We say that  $\underline{\pi}$  is compatible with  $\check{w}_0$  if the defining chain can be chosen such that  $\{\tilde{\tau}_0, \dots, \tilde{\tau}_r, \tilde{\kappa}_0, \dots, \tilde{\kappa}_s, \dots, \tilde{\sigma}_0, \dots, \tilde{\sigma}_t\} \subset \{y_1, \dots, y_N\}$ .

The following theorem provides the connection between certain standard monomials and the adapted algebras:

**Theorem 14** Let  $p_{\underline{\pi}} = p_{\pi_1} \cdots p_{\pi_m} \in H^0(G/B, \mathcal{L}_\lambda)$  be a standard monomial monomial of shape  $\underline{\lambda} = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_m)$ . If there exists a defining chain  $(\tilde{\tau}_0, \dots, \tilde{\tau}_r, \dots, \tilde{\sigma}_0, \dots, \tilde{\sigma}_t)$  which is compatible with some reduced decomposition of  $w_0$ , then the inclusion  $H^0(G/B, \mathcal{L}_\lambda) \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}[U^-]$ ,  $\lambda = \lambda_1 + \dots + \lambda_m$ , maps the standard monomial  $p_{\underline{\pi}}$  onto the element  $b_{\underline{\pi}}^*$  of the dual canonical basis, up to multiplication by a root of unity.

*Proof.* We fix a reduced decomposition  $\check{w}_0$  of  $w_0$  and assume that the standard tuple  $\underline{\pi} = (\pi_1, \dots, \pi_m)$  has a defining chain compatible with  $\check{w}_0$ . Let  $\mathcal{A}_{\check{w}_0}^1 \subset \mathcal{R}_1[U^-] \subset \mathbb{C}[U^-]$  be the corresponding (specialization of the) adapted algebra defined in section 2. We will show that the  $p_{\pi_j}$  are elements of the set of monomials  $S_{\check{w}_0}$  spanning  $\mathcal{A}_{\check{w}_0}^1$ . This proves the theorem by Theorem 2.

So in the following it suffices to consider only one path vector  $p_\pi$ . Fix an appropriate  $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$  as in section 4, Definition 9, for  $\pi = (\tau_0, \dots, \tau_r; a_1, \dots, a_r)$ , and let  $\xi$  be a primitive  $2\ell$ -th root of unity. Set

$$m_\pi := (b_{\tau_0}^\lambda)^{\bar{\ell}a_1} (b_{\tau_1}^\lambda)^{\bar{\ell}(a_2-a_1)} \dots (b_{\tau_{r-1}}^\lambda)^{\bar{\ell}(a_r-a_{r-1})} (b_{\tau_r}^\lambda)^{\bar{\ell}(1-a_r)},$$

where the  $b_{\tau_j}^\lambda$  are  $(\lambda, q)$ -minors in  $V_\xi(\lambda)^*$  for  $j = 0, \dots, r$ . By definition, we have  $p_\pi = \text{Fr}^*(m_\pi)$ . Let  $\lambda = \sum_{j=1}^n \lambda_j \varpi_j$  be the expression of  $\lambda$  as a linear combination of fundamental weights. Then, by (2), we know for arbitrary  $\tau \in W$ :

$$b_\tau^\lambda = \prod_{i=1}^n (b_\tau^{\varpi_i})^{\lambda_i}$$

So we can rewrite  $m_\pi$  as:

$$m_\pi = \left( \prod_{j=1}^n (b_{\tau_0}^{\varpi_j})^{\bar{\ell}a_1\lambda_j} \right) \left( \prod_{i=j}^n (b_{\tau_1}^{\varpi_i})^{\bar{\ell}(a_2-a_1)\lambda_j} \right) \dots \left( \prod_{i=j}^n (b_{\tau_r}^{\varpi_i})^{\bar{\ell}(1-a_r)\lambda_j} \right)$$

The defining chain of  $\underline{\pi}$  is compatible with  $\check{w}_0$ , it follows (see Remark 1) for the lifts  $\tilde{\tau}_j$  of the  $\tau_j$  that the  $b_{\tilde{\tau}_j}^{\varpi_i}$  are generators of  ${}^t\mathcal{A}_{\check{w}_0}^\xi \subset \mathcal{R}_\xi[{}^tU^-]$ . Note if  $\tilde{\tau} \in W$  is a lift for  $\tau \in W/W_\lambda$ , then  $(b_\tau^{\varpi_j})^{\lambda_j} = (b_{\tilde{\tau}}^{\varpi_j})^{\lambda_j}$ . So  $b_\pi \in {}^t\mathcal{A}_{\check{w}_0}^\xi$ , and by reordering the elements we can write with the notation as in (4):

$$m_\pi = c b_1^{r_1} \dots b_N^{r_N},$$

where  $c$  is some root of unity. If all the exponents  $r_j$  are divisible by  $\bar{\ell}$ , then we know by Proposition 3 that

$$p_\pi = \text{Fr}^*(m_\pi) = c \text{Fr}^*(b_1^{r_1} \dots b_N^{r_N}) = c b_1^{r_1/\bar{\ell}} \dots b_N^{r_N/\bar{\ell}}$$

is an element of  $S_{\check{w}_0}$ , the spanning set for  $\mathcal{A}_{\check{w}_0}^1$ .

To prove the divisibility property, let  $\pi = (\tau_0, \dots, \tau_r; a_1, \dots, a_r)$  be an L-S path of shape  $\lambda$  compatible with  $\check{w}_0$  and consider the following projection: write  $\lambda$  as a linear combination  $\sum_\alpha \lambda_\alpha \varpi_\alpha$  of fundamental weights, fix a simple root  $\alpha$ , let  $\bar{\tau}$  be the class of  $\tau$  in  $W/W_{\varpi_\alpha}$ , and set

$$\pi_\alpha = (\bar{\tau}_0, \dots, \bar{\tau}_r; a_1, \dots, a_r) = (\sigma_0, \dots, \sigma_t; c_1, \dots, c_t).$$

where the second pair of sequences is obtained from the first by omitting repetitions among the  $\bar{\tau}_j$  as well as the corresponding  $b_j$ , i.e., if

$$\sigma_0 = \bar{\tau}_0 \dots = \bar{\tau}_{j-1} > \sigma_1 = \bar{\tau}_j = \dots = \bar{\tau}_{k-1} > \sigma_2 = \bar{\tau}_k = \dots = \bar{\tau}_{m-1} > \dots$$

then  $c_1 = a_j, c_2 = a_k, c_3 = a_m, \dots$ . Set

$$m_{\pi_\alpha} = (b_{\sigma_0}^{\varpi_\alpha})^{\bar{\ell}\lambda_\alpha c_1} (b_{\sigma_1}^{\varpi_\alpha})^{\bar{\ell}\lambda_\alpha(c_2-c_1)} \dots (b_{\sigma_t}^{\varpi_\alpha})^{\bar{\ell}\lambda_\alpha(1-c_t)} \in \mathcal{R}_\xi[tU^-]$$

Then  $m_\pi = \xi^p m_{\pi_{\alpha_1}} m_{\pi_{\alpha_2}} \dots m_{\pi_{\alpha_n}} \in \mathcal{R}_\xi[tU^-]$  for some power  $p$  of  $\xi$ . So the divisibility property, and hence the first part of the theorem, is a consequence of the following lemma, and the fact that  $p_\pi$  and the canonical basis element  $b_\pi$  coincide (up to multiplication by an appropriate root of unity) follows from Proposition 8.

**Lemma 15** *Set  $c_0 = 0$  and  $c_{r+1} = 1$ . The exponents have the property  $\lambda_\alpha(c_{j+1} - c_j) \in \mathbb{Z}$  for all  $j = 0, \dots, r$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\pi_\alpha = (\bar{\tau}_0, \dots, \bar{\tau}_r; a_1, \dots, a_r) = (\sigma_0, \dots, \sigma_t; c_1, \dots, c_t)$  be as above and suppose

$$\sigma_{i-1} = \bar{\tau}_{j-1} > \sigma_i = \bar{\tau}_j = \bar{\tau}_{j+1} = \dots = \bar{\tau}_{k-1} > \sigma_{i+1} = \bar{\tau}_k$$

Corresponding to the fixed reduced decomposition  $\check{w}_0$  let  $y_j = s_{i_1} \dots s_{i_j}$  be as in (4). By assumption,  $\pi$  is compatible with  $\check{w}_0$ , so  $\{\tau_0, \dots, \tau_r\} \subset \{y_1, \dots, y_N\}$ . It follows: there exist indices such that

$$\tau_k \leq y_t < y_t s_\alpha \leq \tau_{k-1} < \dots < \tau_j \leq y_v < y_v s_\alpha \leq \tau_{j-1}$$

and  $y_t s_\alpha$  and  $y_v s_\alpha$  are elements of  $\{y_1, \dots, y_N\}$ . Set  $\beta = y_t(\alpha)$ , so  $s_\beta(y_t s_\alpha) = y_t$ . The definition of an L-S path implies:

$$c_{i+1}\lambda_\alpha = a_k\lambda_\alpha = a_k\langle\lambda, \alpha^\vee\rangle = a_k\langle y_t(\lambda), \beta^\vee\rangle \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

Similarly, set  $\delta = y_v(\alpha)$ , so  $s_\delta(y_v s_\alpha) = y_v$ . The definition of an L-S path implies:

$$c_i\lambda_\alpha = a_j\lambda_\alpha = a_j\langle\lambda, \alpha^\vee\rangle = a_j\langle y_v(\lambda), \delta^\vee\rangle \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

It follows:  $\lambda_\alpha(c_{i+1} - c_i) \in \mathbb{Z}$ . •

## 6 Examples

In this section, we study some examples which illustrate our main theorem. Let  $\mathfrak{g}$  be semisimple Lie algebra, let  $\mathcal{B} \subset U_q(\mathfrak{n}^-)$  be the canonical basis and denote  $L_q(\mathfrak{n}^-)$  the  $\mathbb{C}[q]$ -submodule of  $U_q(\mathfrak{n}^-)$  spanned by the elements of

the canonical basis  $\mathcal{B}$ . Let  $(B(\infty), L_q(\mathfrak{n}^-))$  be the crystal of  $U_q(\mathfrak{n}^-)$  (see for example [9], or [8]), consisting of the  $\mathbb{C}[q]$ -module  $L_q(\mathfrak{n}^-)$ , the basis

$$B(\infty) = \{b \bmod L_q(\mathfrak{n}^-) \mid b \in \mathcal{B}\},$$

and the Kashiwara operators  $\{f_\alpha, e_\alpha \mid \alpha \text{ a simple root}\}$  on  $B(\infty) \cup \{0\}$ .

In the same way as the dual canonical basis  $\mathcal{B}^*$  is compatible with the representations  $V_q(\lambda)^*$ , the crystal of  $U_q(\mathfrak{n}^-)$  can be seen as the limit of the crystals of the representations  $V_q(\lambda)$ . Recall (section 4) that the path model can also be understood as a combinatorial model for the crystal of the representations.

**Example 16** Let  $\mathfrak{g}$  be of type  $A_2$ , denote  $\alpha_1, \alpha_2$  the simple roots, then every element  $b \in \mathcal{B}^* \subset \mathbb{C}_1[U^-]$  of the dual canonical basis is the image of some appropriately chosen standard monomial  $p_\pi$ .

*Proof.* Let  $u_\infty \in B(\infty)$  be the highest weight element of  $B(\infty)$  and let  $u_b$  be the class of  $b$  in  $B(\infty)$ . The element  $u_b \in B(\infty)$  can be written as

$$u_b = f_{\alpha_1}^\ell f_{\alpha_2}^m f_{\alpha_1}^n u_\infty, \text{ where } m \geq n, \text{ or } u_b = f_{\alpha_2}^r f_{\alpha_1}^s f_{\alpha_2}^t u_\infty \text{ where } s \geq t,$$

and  $s = \ell + n$ ,  $r = \max\{n, m - \ell\}$  and  $t = \min\{m - n, \ell\}$  (see [21] or [2]).

Note if  $u_b = f_{\alpha_1}^\ell f_{\alpha_2}^m f_{\alpha_1}^n u_\infty$  is such that  $\ell < m - n$ , then in the corresponding expression  $u_b = f_{\alpha_2}^r f_{\alpha_1}^s f_{\alpha_2}^t u_\infty$  we have  $r = m - \ell > n = \ell + n - \ell = s - t$ . So in the following we just write  $u_b = f_{\alpha_i}^\ell f_{\alpha_j}^m f_{\alpha_i}^n u_\infty$  and suppose that  $i$  and  $j$  are chosen such that  $\ell \geq m - n \geq 0$ .

Set  $\lambda = (\ell + 2n - m)\varpi_i + (m - n)\varpi_j$ , and let  $\underline{\pi}_\lambda = (id, id, id)$  be the unique standard tuple of shape  $\underline{\lambda} = (n\varpi_i, (m - n)\varpi_j, (\ell + n - m)\varpi_i)$  of weight  $\underline{\pi}_\lambda(1) = \lambda$ . By applying the root operators (see [19]), we get for  $\underline{\pi} = f_{\alpha_i}^\ell f_{\alpha_j}^m f_{\alpha_i}^n \underline{\pi}_\lambda$  the following standard sequence of shape  $\underline{\lambda}$ :

$$\underline{\pi} = (\pi_1 = (s_j s_i), \pi_2 = (s_i s_j), \pi_3 = (s_i)).$$

Note that the sequence  $(s_i s_j s_i, s_i s_j, s_i)$  is a defining chain for  $\underline{\pi}$  which is obviously compatible with the reduced decomposition  $w_0 = s_i s_j s_i$ . It follows that the image of the standard monomial  $p_{\underline{\pi}} = p_{s_j s_i} p_{s_j s_i} p_{s_i}$  of shape  $\underline{\lambda}$  in  $\mathbb{C}[U^-]$  is, up to multiplication by a root of unity, the element  $b = b_{\underline{\pi}}$  of the dual canonical basis  $\mathcal{B}^*$ .

**Example 17** The case  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sl}_3$  is very special, in general it is not possible to find for every element in  $B(\infty)$  an “appropriate” standard tuple of L-S

paths with a defining chain compatible with a reduced decomposition of  $w_0$ . An example is for  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sl}_4$  the element  $b \in \mathcal{B}^*$  such that for its class in  $B(\infty)$  holds  $u_b = f_{\alpha_2} f_{\alpha_1} f_{\alpha_3} u_\infty$ . Nevertheless, it is easy to see by Theorem 14, that the other elements of  $B(\infty)$  of the same weight

$$u_{b_1} = f_{\alpha_1} f_{\alpha_2} f_{\alpha_3} u_\infty, \quad u_{b_2} = f_{\alpha_3} f_{\alpha_2} f_{\alpha_1} u_\infty, \quad u_{b_3} = f_{\alpha_3} f_{\alpha_1} f_{\alpha_2} u_\infty$$

correspond all to elements of the dual canonical basis which are “standard monomials”:  $b_1 = p_{(s_1 s_2 s_3)} \in H^0(G/B, \mathcal{L}_{\varpi_3})$ ,  $b_2 = p_{(s_3 s_2 s_1)} \in H^0(G/B, \mathcal{L}_{\varpi_1})$  and  $b_3 = p_{(s_1 s_3 s_2)} \in H^0(G/B, \mathcal{L}_{\varpi_2})$ .

But let now  $\lambda = \varpi_1 + \varpi_3$ , then there exists an L–S path of shape  $\lambda$  that corresponds under the identification between crystal graphs and path models to  $u_b$ , it is the path  $\pi = (s_2 s_3 s_1, s_3 s_1; \frac{1}{2})$ . The  $\mathfrak{g}$ -module  $V(\lambda)$  is isomorphic to  $\mathfrak{g}$  endowed with the adjoint action. Via this isomorphism, the canonical basis of  $V(\lambda)$  is given (up to scalar multiples) by a Chevalley basis. As a function,  $p_\pi$  is the dual of the canonical basis element  $h_{\alpha_2}$ , thus  $b = p_\pi$  can again be constructed as a “standard monomial” for an appropriate choice of  $\lambda$ , but this time not in the context of Theorem 14.

**Example 18** We suppose again  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sl}_3$ . Set  $\lambda = 2\varpi_1 + 2\varpi_2$ . We shall explicit the  $p_\pi$  corresponding to the zero weight space  $V(\lambda)_0$  of  $V(\lambda)$ .

Let’s introduce some notation. Let

$$a = p_{s_1}^{\varpi_1}, \quad b = p_{s_2}^{\varpi_2}, \quad c = p_{s_2 s_1}^{\varpi_1}, \quad d = p_{s_1 s_2}^{\varpi_2},$$

be the elements of  $\mathbb{C}[U^-]$  as defined in section 4. It is well known (see [3]), that the dual canonical basis is given by  $\{a^k b^l c^s d^t, k, l, s, t \in \mathbb{N}, kl = 0\}$ . Moreover, it is easy to see that  $ab = c + d$ . Indeed,  $ab$  decomposes in the base  $\{c, d\}$  of the subspace of  $\mathbb{C}[U^-]$  of weight  $\alpha_1 + \alpha_2$ . Both coefficients in this base are equal by symmetry and equal to one by [5], Theorem 2.3.

The LS paths corresponding to  $V(\lambda)_0$  are

$$\pi_1 = (s_2 s_1, s_1; \frac{1}{2}), \quad \pi_2 = (w_0, \text{Id}; \frac{1}{2}), \quad \pi_3 = (s_1 s_2, s_2; \frac{1}{2}).$$

Remark that  $\pi_2$  is compatible with a reduced decomposition while  $\pi_1$  and  $\pi_3$  are not. As in the proof of Theorem 9, with  $l = 2$ , we obtain, up to a root of one,

$$p_{\pi_1} = cba, \quad p_{\pi_2} = cd, \quad p_{\pi_3} = dab.$$

Hence,

$$p_{\pi_1} = c^2 + cd, \quad p_{\pi_2} = cd, \quad p_{\pi_3} = cd + d^2.$$

Remark that  $p_{\pi_2}$  is an element of the dual canonical basis while  $p_{\pi_1}$  and  $p_{\pi_3}$  are not. Under the identification of the path model with crystal basis elements we have in the dual canonical basis:  $b_{\pi_1} = c^2$ ,  $b_{\pi_2} = cd$  and  $b_{\pi_3} = d^2$ , so the transformation matrix reads as:  $p_{\pi_1} = b_{\pi_1} + b_{\pi_2}$ ,  $p_{\pi_2} = b_{\pi_2}$  and  $p_{\pi_3} = b_{\pi_3} + b_{\pi_2}$ .

**Example 19** We suppose again  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sl}_3$ , set now  $\lambda = m\varpi_1 + m\varpi_2$ . To simplify the notation of the L-S paths, we still write  $\pi = (\underline{\tau}, \underline{a})$  for  $\underline{a} = (a_1, a_2, a_3)$ , even if we have equality in  $0 \leq a_1 \leq a_2 \leq a_3 \leq 1$ . In case of equality, the reader has to omit the corresponding parameters and Weyl group elements to avoid double counting.

We claim that the  $p_\pi$  have a simple expression in terms of the canonical basis: if  $\pi = (\underline{\tau}, \underline{a})$  is compatible with some reduced decomposition, then  $p_\pi = b_\pi$  is an element of the dual canonical basis (after a possible renormalization with a root of unity).

If  $\pi = (\underline{\tau}, \underline{a})$  is not compatible with a reduced decomposition, then let  $\ell$  be even and minimal with the property that  $\ell a_i \in \mathbb{N}$  for all  $i$ . Again, after a possible renormalization (multiplication with a root of unity):

**Proposition 20** *If  $\pi = (\underline{\tau}, \underline{a})$  is not compatible with a reduced decomposition, then set  $t = \min\{m(a_2 - a_1), m(a_3 - a_2)\}$ . The following transition relation holds between the canonical basis and the path vectors:*

$$p_{(\underline{\tau}, \underline{a})} = \sum_{j=0}^{\lfloor t \rfloor} \binom{t\ell}{j\ell} b_{(\underline{\tau}; a_1 + \frac{j}{m}, a_2, a_3 - \frac{j}{m})}$$

*Proof.* To prove the statement, we divide the set of L-S paths in groups. The first group is the set  $B(\lambda)^1 = B(\lambda)^{1,1} \cup B(\lambda)^{1,2}$ , where:

$$\begin{aligned} B(\lambda)^{1,1} &= \{\pi = (w_0, s_1 s_2, s_1, id; a_1, a_2, a_3) \mid ma_1, ma_2, ma_3 \in \mathbb{N}\} \\ B(\lambda)^{1,2} &= \{\pi = (w_0, s_2 s_1, s_2, id; a_1, a_2, a_3) \mid ma_1, ma_2, ma_3 \in \mathbb{N}\} \end{aligned}$$

These paths are all compatible with a reduced decomposition, so the elements  $p_\pi$  are canonical basis elements: Set  $x_1 = a_1$ ,  $x_2 = a_2 - a_1$ ,  $x_3 = a_3 - a_2$ , then, after renormalizing the  $p_\pi$  (multiplication by a root of unity), we have (with same notation as above):

$$\begin{aligned} b_\pi &= p_\pi = a^{m(x_2+x_3)} c^{mx_1} d^{m(x_1+x_2)} \text{ for } \pi \in B(\lambda)^{1,1} \\ b_\pi &= p_\pi = b^{m(x_2+x_3)} c^{m(x_1+x_2)} d^{mx_1} \text{ for } \pi \in B(\lambda)^{1,2} \end{aligned}$$



In other words, let  $a^u c^v d^w$  (respectively  $b^u c^v d^w$ ) be an element of the dual canonical basis such that

$$v \leq w \leq u + v \leq m \quad (\text{respectively: } w \leq v \leq u + w \leq m)$$

In both cases, this canonical basis element is equal to  $p_\pi$ , where

$$\pi = \begin{cases} (w_0, s_1 s_2, s_1, id; \frac{v}{m}, \frac{w}{m}, \frac{u+v}{m}) & \text{for } a^u c^v d^w \\ (w_0, s_2 s_1, s_2, id; \frac{w}{m}, \frac{v}{m}, \frac{u+w}{m}) & \text{for } b^u c^v d^w \end{cases} \text{ respectively}$$

The second set of L-S paths of shape  $\lambda$  is in this example the union  $B(\lambda)^2 = B(\lambda)^{2,1} \cup B(\lambda)^{2,2}$ , where:

$$\begin{aligned} B(\lambda)^{2,1} &= \{\pi = (w_0, s_2 s_1, s_1, id; a_1, a_2, a_3) \mid ma_1, 2ma_2, ma_3 \in \mathbb{N}\} \\ B(\lambda)^{2,2} &= \{\pi = (w_0, s_1 s_2, s_2, id; a_1, a_2, a_3) \mid ma_1, 2ma_2, ma_3 \in \mathbb{N}\} \end{aligned}$$

By construction, we get (up to multiplication by a root of unity): Let  $\ell$  be minimal and even such that  $\ell a_i \in \mathbb{N}$  for all  $i$ , then, for  $\pi \in B(\lambda)^{2,1}$ , we have up to multiplication by a root of unity  $\tilde{r}$ :

$$p_\pi = \begin{cases} \tilde{r} \text{Fr}'^*(c^{mx_1 \ell} d^{mx_1 \ell} c^{mx_2 \ell} (ab)^{mx_3 \ell} b^{m(x_2 - x_3) \ell}) & \text{if } x_2 \geq x_3 \\ \tilde{r} \text{Fr}'^*(c^{mx_1 \ell} d^{mx_1 \ell} c^{mx_2 \ell} (ab)^{mx_2 \ell} a^{m(x_3 - x_2) \ell}) & \text{if } x_3 \geq x_2 \end{cases}$$

and hence by Proposition 3 for some roots of unity  $r'$ :

$$p_\pi = \begin{cases} r' b^{m(x_2 - x_3)} c^{mx_1} d^{mx_1} \text{Fr}'^*(c^{mx_2 \ell} (ab)^{mx_3 \ell}) & \text{if } x_2 \geq x_3 \\ r' a^{m(x_3 - x_2)} c^{mx_1} d^{mx_1} \text{Fr}'^*(c^{mx_2 \ell} (ab)^{mx_2 \ell}) & \text{if } x_3 \geq x_2. \end{cases}$$

Replacing  $ab$  by  $(c + d)$  (note that  $c$  and  $d$  commute) we get after renormalizing (by a root of unity):

$$p_\pi = \begin{cases} \sum_{j=0}^{mx_3 \ell} \binom{m\ell x_3}{j} b^{m(x_2 - x_3)} c^{mx_1} d^{mx_1} \text{Fr}'^*(c^{m(x_2 + x_3) \ell - j} d^j) & \text{if } x_2 \geq x_3 \\ \sum_{j=0}^{mx_2 \ell} \binom{m\ell x_2}{j} a^{m(x_3 - x_2)} c^{mx_1} d^{mx_1} \text{Fr}'^*(c^{m2x_2 \ell - j} d^j) & \text{if } x_3 \geq x_2 \end{cases}$$

Since  $2mx_2$  respectively  $m(x_2 + x_3)$  are integers, it follows that  $\text{Fr}'^*$  maps a summand to zero for  $j$  not divisible by  $\ell$ , and hence:

$$p_\pi = \begin{cases} \sum_{j=0}^{\lfloor mx_3 \rfloor} \binom{m\ell x_3}{j\ell} b^{m(x_2 - x_3)} c^{mx_1} d^{mx_1} c^{m(x_2 + x_3) - j} d^j & \text{if } x_2 \geq x_3 \\ \sum_{j=0}^{\lfloor mx_2 \rfloor} \binom{m\ell x_2}{j\ell} a^{m(x_3 - x_2)} c^{mx_1} d^{mx_1} c^{m2x_2 - j} d^j & \text{if } x_3 \geq x_2 \end{cases}$$

and thus, after reordering, we get for  $\pi \in B(\lambda)^{2,1}$ :

$$p_\pi = \begin{cases} \sum_{j=0}^{\lfloor mx_3 \rfloor} \binom{m\ell x_3}{j\ell} b^{m(x_2 - x_3)} c^{m(x_1 + x_2 + x_3) - j} d^{mx_1 + j} & \text{if } x_2 \geq x_3 \\ \sum_{j=0}^{\lfloor mx_2 \rfloor} \binom{m\ell x_2}{j\ell} a^{m(x_3 - x_2)} c^{m(x_1 + 2x_2) - j} d^{mx_1 + j} & \text{if } x_3 \geq x_2 \end{cases} \quad (5)$$

One sees easily that in terms of the root operators one has

$$\pi = f_1^{m(x_1+2x_2)} f_2^{m(2x_1+x_2+x_3)} f_1^{mx_1}(id),$$

so, by Example 16, the corresponding element  $b_\pi$  in the dual canonical basis is

$$b_\pi = \begin{cases} b^{m(x_2-x_3)} c^{m(x_1+x_2+x_3)} d^{mx_1} & \text{if } x_2 \geq x_3 \\ a^{m(x_3-x_2)} c^{m(x_1+2x_2)} d^{mx_1} & \text{if } x_3 \geq x_2. \end{cases}$$

i.e.,  $b_\pi$  is the summand for  $j = 0$  in the expression above. Note that the exponents in the summands for  $p_\pi$  in (5) satisfy all the following inequalities:

$$\begin{aligned} 2w &\leq u + v + w &\leq 2v &\leq 2m &\text{ for } b^u c^v d^w \\ 2w &\leq v + w &\leq 2(u + v) &\leq 2m &\text{ for } a^u c^v d^w. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, any triple  $(u, v, w)$  of integers satisfying these inequalities gives an element of the dual canonical basis of  $V(\lambda)^*$  and is hence equal to  $b_\eta$  for some L-S path  $\eta$  of shape  $\lambda$ . We obtain the corresponding path by the following rules:

$$\eta = \begin{cases} (w_0, s_2 s_1, s_1, id; \frac{w}{m}, \frac{u+v+w}{2m}, \frac{v}{m}) & \text{for } b_\eta = b^u c^v d^w \\ (w_0, s_2 s_1, s_1, id; \frac{w}{m}, \frac{v+w}{2m}, \frac{u+v}{m}) & \text{for } b_\eta = a^u c^v d^w \end{cases}$$

In the same way one gets for  $\pi \in B(\lambda)^{2,2}$ :

$$p_\pi = \begin{cases} \sum_{j=0}^{\lfloor mx_3 \rfloor} \binom{m\ell x_3}{j\ell} a^{m(x_2-x_3)} c^{mx_1+j} d^{m(x_1+x_2+x_3)-j} & \text{if } x_2 \geq x_3 \\ \sum_{j=0}^{\lfloor mx_2 \rfloor} \binom{m\ell x_2}{j\ell} b^{m(x_3-x_2)} c^{mx_1+j} d^{m(x_1+2x_2)-j} & \text{if } x_3 \geq x_2 \end{cases} \quad (6)$$

As above, in terms of the root operators one has

$$\pi = f_2^{m(x_1+2x_2)} f_1^{m(2x_1+x_2+x_3)} f_2^{mx_1}(id),$$

so the corresponding element  $b_\pi$  in the dual canonical basis is the summand for  $j = 0$  in the expression above:

$$b_\pi = \begin{cases} a^{m(x_2-x_3)} c^{mx_1} d^{m(x_1+x_2+x_3)} & \text{if } x_2 \geq x_3 \\ b^{m(x_3-x_2)} c^{mx_1} d^{m(x_1+2x_2)} & \text{if } x_3 \geq x_2 \end{cases}$$

The exponents in the expression for  $p_\pi$  in (6) satisfy the following inequalities:

$$\begin{aligned} 2v &\leq u + v + w &\leq 2w &\leq 2m &\text{ for } b^u c^v d^w \\ 2v &\leq v + w &\leq 2(u + w) &\leq 2m &\text{ for } a^u c^v d^w, \end{aligned}$$

and for any triple  $(u, v, w)$  of integers satisfying these inequalities, we can obtain the corresponding path by the following rules:

$$\eta = \begin{cases} (w_0, s_1 s_2, s_2, id; \frac{v}{m}, \frac{u+v+w}{2m}, \frac{w}{m}) & \text{for } b_\eta = a^u c^v d^w \\ (w_0, s_1 s_2, s_2, id; \frac{v}{m}, \frac{v+w}{2m}, \frac{u+v}{m}) & \text{for } b_\eta = b^u c^v d^w \end{cases}$$

As a consequence we can now describe in terms of the path model the sum expressions in (5) and (6): For all  $\pi = (\underline{\tau}, \underline{a}) \in B(\lambda)^2$ ,  $\underline{a} = (a_1, a_2, a_3)$ , we get for  $t = \min\{mx_2, mx_3\}$

$$p_{(\underline{\tau}; \underline{a})} = \sum_{j=0}^{\lfloor t \rfloor} \binom{t\ell}{j\ell} b_{(\underline{\tau}; a_1 + \frac{j}{m}, a_2, a_3 - \frac{j}{m})} \quad (7)$$

•

## References

- [1] N. BOURBAKI: Algèbre de Lie VI-VII, Chap. 4–6, Hermann, Paris (1968)
- [2] A. D. BERENSTEIN and A.V. ZELEVINSKY: String bases for quantum groups of type  $A_r$ , *Advances in Soviet Math.*, **16**, 51-89 (1993)
- [3] P. CALDERO: Adapted algebras for the Berenstein–Zelevinsky conjecture, *preprint* arXiv:math.RT/0104165.
- [4] P. CALDERO: A multiplicative property of quantum flag minors, *preprint* arXiv:math.RT/0112205.
- [5] P. CALDERO: Toric deformations of Schubert varieties, *to appear in J. Transf. Groups*, math.RT/0012165.
- [6] C. DE CONCINI and C. PROCESI: Quantum Schubert cells and Representations at roots of 1, *Austr. Math. Soc. Lecture Series* **9**, 127-160 (1997)
- [7] S. FOMIN and A. ZELEVINSKY: Cluster algebras I: Foundations, *preprint* arXiv:math.RT/0104151.
- [8] A. JOSEPH: Quantum Groups and their primitive ideals, Springer Verlag, Berlin, (1995)
- [9] M. KASHIWARA: On crystal bases of the  $q$ -analogue of universal enveloping algebras, *Duke Math. J.* **63**, 465-516 (1991)

- [10] M. KASHIWARA: Similarities of crystal bases, in *Lie algebras and their representations (Seoul 1995)*, *Contemp. Math.* **194**, 177-186 (1996)
- [11] S. KUMAR and P. LITTELMANN: Frobenius splitting in characteristic zero and the quantum Frobenius map, *J. Pure and Appl. Algebra* **152**, 201–216 (2000)
- [12] S. KUMAR and P. LITTELMANN: Algebraization of Frobenius splitting via quantum groups, accepted for publication in the *Annals of Mathematics*
- [13] V. LAKSHMIBAI, P. LITTELMANN and P. MAGYAR: Standard monomial theory and applications, Notes by Rupert Yu, in: *Representation Theories and Algebraic Geometry* Nato Adv. Sci. Inst. Ser. C Math. Phys. Sci. 514 (A. Broer, ed.), Kluwer Academic Publishers (1998), pp. 319–364.
- [14] B. LECLERC, M. NAZAROV and J.-Y. THIBON: Induced representations of affine Hecke algebras and canonical bases of quantum groups, ArXiv:QA/0011074.
- [15] S. Z. LEVENDOORSKII and Y. S. SOIBELMAN: Algebras of functions on compact quantum groups, Schubert cells and quantum tori, *Comm. Math. Physics* **139**, 141-170 (1991).
- [16] P. LITTELMANN and C. S. SESHADRI: Standard Monomial Theory and a Pieri–Chevalley formula for  $K(G/B)$ , accepted for publication in the proceedings of the conference in honour of Issai Schur (Birkhäuser Verlag) (2000).
- [17] P. LITTELMANN: A Littlewood–Richardson formula for symmetrizable Kac-Moody algebras. *Invent. Math.* **116**, 329-346 (1994).
- [18] P. LITTELMANN: Contracting modules and standard monomial theory. *JAMS* **11**, 551–567 (1998)
- [19] P. LITTELMANN: Paths and root operators in representation theory, *Ann. of Math.* **142**, 499-525 (1995).
- [20] P. LITTELMANN: The path model, the quantum Frobenius map and Standard Monomial Theory, in “*Algebraic Groups and Their Representations*” (R. Carter and J. Saxl, eds.), Kluwer Academic Publishers (1998).

- [21] P. LITTELMANN: Cones, crystals and patterns, *Transf. Groups* **3**, pp. 145–179 (1998).
- [22] G. LUSZTIG: *Introduction to Quantum Groups*, Progr. Math. **110**, Birkhäuser, Boston, 1993.

P.C.: Institut Girard Desargues, UPRES-A-5028  
Université Claude Bernard, Lyon I, Bat 101  
69622 Villeurbanne Cedex, France  
email: caldero@desargues.univ-lyon1.fr

P.L: Fachbereich Mathematik  
Universität Wuppertal, Gauß-Straße 20  
42097 Wuppertal, Germany  
email: littelmann@math.uni-wuppertal.de

Keywords: Quantum groups, Canonical basis, Standard Monomial Theory