

Dimension of a minimal nilpotent orbit

Weiqiang Wang*

Abstract

We show that the dimension of the minimal nilpotent coadjoint orbit for a complex simple Lie algebra is equal to twice the dual Coxeter number minus two.

Let \mathfrak{g} be a finite dimensional complex simple Lie algebra. We fix a Cartan subalgebra \mathfrak{h} , a root system $\Delta \subset \mathfrak{h}^*$ and a set of positive roots $\Delta_+ \subset \Delta$. Let ρ be half the sum of all positive roots. Denote by θ the highest root and normalize the Killing form

$$(\cdot, \cdot) : \mathfrak{g} \times \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$$

by the condition $(\theta, \theta) = 2$. The dual Coxeter number h^\vee can be defined as $h^\vee = (\rho, \theta) + 1$ (cf. [K]). This intrinsic number of the Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} plays an important role in representation theory (cf. e.g. [K]).

As is well known there exists a unique nonzero nilpotent (co)adjoint orbit of minimal dimension. A coadjoint orbit can be identified with an adjoint one by means of the Killing form. For more detail on the nilpotent orbits, we refer to the excellent exposition [CM] and the references therein. Our result of this short note is the following theorem.

Theorem 1 *The dimension of the minimal nonzero nilpotent orbit equals $2h^\vee - 2$.*

We start with the following well-known lemma, cf. for example, Lemma 4.3.5, [CM].

Lemma 1 *The dimension of the minimal nonzero nilpotent orbit is equal to one plus the number of positive roots not orthogonal to θ .*

*1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 22E10; Secondary 17B20. Partially supported by NSF grant DMS-9304580.

We call a root α in Δ_+ *special* if $\theta - \alpha$ is also a root. The subset of special roots, denoted by \mathbb{S} , was singled out in [KW, W] for some other purposes. It is easy to see that we can also define the set \mathbb{S} equivalently as follows.

Lemma 2 *The set \mathbb{S} is characterized by the property: $r_\theta(\alpha) = \alpha - \theta$, if $\alpha \in \mathbb{S}$; $r_\theta(\alpha) = \alpha$, if $\alpha \in \Delta_+ - (\mathbb{S} \cup \{\theta\})$. In other words, $\mathbb{S} \cup \{\theta\}$ is the set of positive roots not orthogonal to θ .*

The following lemma is taken from [KW, W]. The simple proof given below follows [W].

Lemma 3 *The number of special roots is $\#\mathbb{S} = 2(h^\vee - 2)$.*

Proof. Since $(\theta, \theta) = 2$ and $(\rho, \theta) = h^\vee - 1$, we have

$$r_\theta \rho = \rho - \frac{2(\rho, \theta)}{(\theta, \theta)} \theta = \rho - (h^\vee - 1)\theta. \quad (1)$$

On the other hand, it follows from Lemma 2 that

$$\begin{aligned} r_\theta \rho &= r_\theta \left(\frac{1}{2} \sum_{\alpha \in \Delta_+} \alpha \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \left(\sum_{\theta \neq \alpha \in \Delta_+} r_\theta(\alpha) - \theta \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \left(\sum_{\theta \neq \alpha \in \Delta_+} \alpha - (\#\mathbb{S})\theta - \theta \right) \\ &= \rho - \frac{1}{2} (\#\mathbb{S} + 2) \theta. \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

Thus this lemma follows by comparing the right hand sides of the equations (1) and (2). \square

By combining Lemmas 1, 2 and 3, we prove our theorem. We have an immediate corollary from Lemmas 2 and 3.

Corollary 1 *The length of the reflection r_θ is $l(r_\theta) = 2h^\vee - 3$.*

References

- [CM] D.H. Collingwood and W.M. McGovern, *Nilpotent orbits in semisimple Lie algebras*, Van Nostrand Reinhold Math. Series, 1992.

- [K] V.G. Kac, *Infinite-dimensional Lie algebras*, Third edition, Cambridge University Press, 1990.
- [KW] V.G. Kac and W. Wang, *Vertex operator superalgebras and their representations*, Contemporary Mathematics, vol. **175**, (1994) 161–191.
- [W] W. Wang, *Representations of vertex operator algebras and superalgebras*, Massachusetts Institute of Technology Ph.D. thesis, 1995.

Max-Planck Institut für Mathematik, 53225 Bonn, Germany

E-mail address: `wqwang@mpim-bonn.mpg.de`