

ALGEBRAIC GROUPS OVER A 2-DIMENSIONAL LOCAL FIELD: IRREDUCIBILITY OF CERTAIN INDUCED REPRESENTATIONS

DENNIS GAITSGORY AND DAVID KAZHDAN

To Raoul Bott, with admiration

ABSTRACT. Let G be a split reductive group over a local field \mathbf{K} , and let $G((t))$ be the corresponding loop group. In [1] we have introduced the notion of a representation of (the group of \mathbf{K} -points) of $G((t))$ on a pro-vector space. In addition, we have defined an induction procedure, which produced $G((t))$ -representations from usual smooth representations of G . We have conjectured that the induction of a cuspidal irreducible representation of G is irreducible. In this paper we prove this conjecture for $G = SL_2$.

1. THE RESULT

1.1. Notation. The notation in this paper follows closely that of [1]. Let remind the main characters. We denote by Set_0 the category of finite sets, and $\mathbf{Set} := \text{Ind}(\text{Pro}(Set_0))$, $Set = \text{Ind}(\text{Pro}(\mathbf{Set}))$. By $Vect_0$ we denote the category of finite-dimensional vector spaces over \mathbb{C} , $Vect = \text{Ind}(Vect_0)$ is the category of all vector spaces, and $\mathbb{V}ect$ is the category $\text{Pro}(Vect)$ of pro-vector spaces.

Let G be a split reductive group over \mathbf{K} ; \mathbf{G} the corresponding group-object of \mathbf{Set} . We have the pro-algebraic group of arcs $G[[t]]$ and for $n \in \mathbb{N}$ we denote by $G^n \subset G[[t]]$ the corresponding congruence subgroup. By $\mathbf{G}[[t]]$ (resp., $\mathbf{G}^n \subset \mathbf{G}[[t]]$) we denote the corresponding group-objects of $\text{Pro}(\mathbf{Set})$.

Finally $\mathbb{G} = \mathbf{G}((t))$ is the group-object of Set , which is our main object of study. We denote by $\text{Rep}(\mathbb{G})$ the category of representations of \mathbb{G} on $\mathbb{V}ect$, cf. [1], Sect. 2.

1.2. Let us recall the formulation of Conjecture 4.7 of [1]. Recall that we have an exact functor $r_{\mathbf{G}}^{\mathbb{G}} : \text{Rep}(\mathbb{G}) \rightarrow \text{Rep}(\mathbf{G}, \mathbb{V}ect)$, and its right adjoint, denoted $i_{\mathbf{G}}^{\mathbb{G}}$ and called the induction functor.

The functors $r_{\mathbf{G}}^{\mathbb{G}}$ and $i_{\mathbf{G}}^{\mathbb{G}}$ are direct loop-group analogs of the Jacquet and induction functors for usual reductive groups over \mathbf{K} .

Let π be an irreducible cuspidal representation of \mathbf{G} , and set $\Pi := i_{\mathbf{G}}^{\mathbb{G}}(\pi)$. In [1], Sect. 4.5 it was shown that the cuspidality assumption on π implies that the natural map

$$(1) \quad r_{\mathbf{G}}^{\mathbb{G}}(\Pi) = r_{\mathbf{G}}^{\mathbb{G}} \circ i_{\mathbf{G}}^{\mathbb{G}}(\pi) \rightarrow \pi$$

is an isomorphism. In particular, this implies that

$$\text{End}_{\text{Rep}(\mathbb{G})}(\Pi) \simeq \text{Hom}_{\text{Rep}(\mathbf{G}, \mathbb{V}ect)}(r_{\mathbf{G}}^{\mathbb{G}}(\Pi), \pi) \simeq \text{Hom}_{\text{Rep}(\mathbf{G}, \mathbb{V}ect)}(\pi, \pi) \simeq \mathbb{C}.$$

We have formulated:

Conjecture 1.3. *The object $\Pi \in \text{Rep}(\mathbb{G})$ is irreducible.*

In this paper we will prove:

Theorem 1.4. *Conjecture 1.3 holds for $G = SL_2$.*

Note that in [1] Conjecture 1.3 was stated slightly more generally, when we allow representations of a central extension $\widehat{\mathbb{G}}$ with a given central charge. The proof of Theorem 1.4 generalizes to this set-up in a straightforward way.

It should be remarked that from the definition of the category of representations of $G((t))$, it is not at all clear that $G((t))$ admits any non-trivial irreducible representations is non-obvious. Therefore, the fact that the above-mentioned irreducibility conjecture holds is somewhat surprising.

1.5. We will now consider a functor $\text{Rep}(\mathbf{G}, \text{Vect}) \rightarrow \text{Rep}(\mathbb{G})$, which will be the *left* adjoint of the functor $r_{\mathbf{G}}^{\mathbb{G}}$.

First, recall from [2], Proposition 2.7, that the functor $\text{Coinv}_{\mathbf{G}^1} : \text{Rep}(\mathbf{G}^1, \text{Vect}) \rightarrow \text{Vect}$ does admit a left adjoint, denoted $\text{Inf}^{\mathbf{G}^1}$.

Proposition 1.6. *The functor $\text{Coinv}_{\mathbf{G}^1} : \text{Rep}(\mathbf{G}[[t]], \text{Vect}) \rightarrow \text{Rep}(\mathbf{G}, \text{Vect})$ admits a left adjoint.*

Proof. For $\pi = (\mathbb{V}, \rho) \in \text{Rep}(\mathbf{G}, \text{Vect})$, consider the functor $\text{Rep}(\mathbf{G}[[t]], \text{Vect}) \rightarrow \text{Vect}$ given by

$$\Pi \mapsto \text{Hom}_{\text{Rep}(\mathbf{G}, \text{Vect})}(\pi, \text{Coinv}_{\mathbf{G}^1}(\Pi)).$$

We claim that it is enough to show that this functor is pro-representable. Indeed, this follows by combining Lemma 1.2, Proposition 2.5 and Lemma 2.7 of [1].

Consider the object $\text{Inf}^{\mathbf{G}^1}(\mathbb{V}) \in \text{Rep}(\mathbf{G}^1, \text{Vect})$, where \mathbb{V} is regarded just as a pro-vector space, and

$$\text{Coind}_{\mathbf{G}^1}^{\mathbf{G}[[t]]}(\text{Inf}^{\mathbf{G}^1}(\mathbb{V})) \in \text{Rep}(\mathbf{G}[[t]], \text{Vect}),$$

where $\text{Coind}_{\mathbf{G}^1}^{\mathbf{G}[[t]]}$ is as in [2], Corollary 2.34.

Evidently,

$$\text{Hom}_{\text{Rep}(\mathbf{G}, \text{Vect})}(\pi, \text{Coinv}_{\mathbf{G}^1}(\Pi)) \hookrightarrow \text{Hom}_{\text{Vect}}(\mathbb{V}, \text{Coinv}_{\mathbf{G}^1}(\Pi)),$$

and the latter, in turn, identifies with

$$\text{Hom}_{\text{Rep}(\mathbf{G}^1, \text{Vect})}(\text{Inf}^{\mathbf{G}^1}(\mathbb{V}), \Pi) \simeq \text{Hom}_{\text{Rep}(\mathbf{G}[[t]], \text{Vect})}(\text{Coind}_{\mathbf{G}^1}^{\mathbf{G}[[t]]}(\text{Inf}^{\mathbf{G}^1}(\mathbb{V})), \Pi).$$

Hence, the pro-representability follows from Proposition 1.4 of [1]. \square

We will denote the resulting functor by $\text{Inf}_{\mathbf{G}}^{\mathbf{G}[[t]]}$. Note that by construction, for a representation π of \mathbf{G} we have a surjection

$$\text{Coind}_{\mathbf{G}^1}^{\mathbf{G}[[t]]}(\text{Inf}^{\mathbf{G}^1}(\pi)) \twoheadrightarrow \text{Inf}_{\mathbf{G}}^{\mathbf{G}[[t]]}(\pi).$$

By composing $\text{Inf}_{\mathbf{G}}^{\mathbf{G}[[t]]}$ with the functor $\text{Coind}_{\mathbf{G}[[t]]}^{\mathbb{G}} : \text{Rep}(\mathbf{G}[[t]], \text{Vect}) \rightarrow \text{Rep}(\mathbb{G})$ we obtain a functor, left adjoint to $r_{\mathbf{G}}^{\mathbb{G}}$.

We will now formulate the main step in the proof of Theorem 1.4. Note that if π is a cuspidal representation of \mathbf{G} , isomorphism (1) implies that we have a canonical map

$$(2) \quad \mathrm{Coind}_{\mathbf{G}[[t]]}^{\mathbf{G}}(\mathrm{Inf}_{\mathbf{G}}^{\mathbf{G}[[t]]}(\pi)) \rightarrow \Pi.$$

We will deduce Theorem 1.4 from the following one:

Theorem 1.7. *If $G = SL_2$, the map of (2) is surjective.*

Of course, we conjecture that the map (2) is surjective for any G , but we are unable to prove that at the moment.

1.8. Let us show how Theorem 1.7 implies Theorem 1.4. Suppose that Π' is a non-zero sub-object of Π and let $\Pi'' := \Pi/\Pi'$ be the quotient. By definition of the induction functor, we have a map in $\mathrm{Rep}(\mathbf{G}, \mathbf{Vect})$.

$$r_{\mathbf{G}}^{\mathbf{G}}(\Pi') \rightarrow \pi.$$

Using Proposition 2.4. of [1], we obtain that $r_{\mathbf{G}}^{\mathbf{G}}(\Pi')$ must surject onto π , since the latter was assumed irreducible. Since the functor $r_{\mathbf{G}}^{\mathbf{G}}$ is exact (cf. Lemma 2.6. of *loc.cit.*), and because of isomorphism (1), this implies that $r_{\mathbf{G}}^{\mathbf{G}}(\Pi'') = 0$.

However, $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{Rep}(\mathbf{G})}(\mathrm{Coind}_{\mathbf{G}[[t]]}^{\mathbf{G}}(\mathrm{Inf}_{\mathbf{G}}^{\mathbf{G}[[t]]}(\pi), \Pi'') \simeq \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{Rep}(\mathbf{G}, \mathbf{Vect})}(\pi, r_{\mathbf{G}}^{\mathbf{G}}(\Pi''))$. By Theorem 1.7, this implies that $\Pi'' = 0$.

2. THE KEY LEMMA

2.1. The rest of the paper is devoted to the proof of Theorem 1.7. We will slightly abuse the notation, and for a scheme Y over \mathbf{K} we will make no distinction between the corresponding object $\mathbf{Y} \in \mathbf{Set}$ and $Y(\mathbf{K})$, regarded as a topological space.

Recall the affine Grassmannian $\mathrm{Gr}_G = G((t))/G[[t]]$ of G , and the corresponding object $\mathbf{Gr}_G \in \mathrm{Ind}(\mathbf{Set})$. Let us represent Gr_G as the direct limit of closures of $G[[t]]$ -orbits, $\overline{\mathrm{Gr}}_G^\lambda$, with respect to the natural partial ordering on the set of dominant coweights.

Let us also denote by $\widetilde{\mathrm{Gr}}_G$ the ind-scheme $G((t))/G^1$, which is a principal G -bundle over Gr_G . Let $\widetilde{\mathrm{Gr}}_G^\lambda$ and $\widetilde{\overline{\mathrm{Gr}}}_G^\lambda$ denote the preimages in $\widetilde{\mathrm{Gr}}_G$ of the $G[[t]]$ -orbit Gr_G^λ and its closure, respectively. Let $\widetilde{\mathbf{Gr}}_G^\lambda$ and $\widetilde{\overline{\mathbf{Gr}}}_G^\lambda$ denote the corresponding objects of \mathbf{Set} .

By construction (cf. [1], Sect. 3.9), as a $\mathbf{G}[[t]]$ -representation, Π is the inverse limit of $\overline{\Pi}^\lambda$, where each $\overline{\Pi}^\lambda$ is the vector space consisting of locally constant \mathbf{G} -equivariant functions on $\widetilde{\overline{\mathbf{Gr}}}_G^\lambda$ with values in π .

Set Π^λ be the kernel of $\overline{\Pi}^\lambda \rightarrow \bigoplus_{\lambda' < \lambda} \overline{\Pi}^{\lambda'}$. Let ev denote the natural evaluation map $\Pi \rightarrow \Pi^0 \simeq \pi$, which sends a function $f \in \mathrm{Funct}^{lc}(\widetilde{\overline{\mathbf{Gr}}}_G^\lambda, \pi)$ to $f(1)$. More generally, for $\widetilde{g} \in \widetilde{\overline{\mathbf{Gr}}}_G^\lambda$, we will denote by $ev_{\widetilde{g}}$ the map $\Pi \rightarrow \pi$, corresponding to evaluation at \widetilde{g} .

To prove Theorem 1.7 we must show that the composition

$$(3) \quad \mathrm{Coind}_{\mathbf{G}[[t]]}^{\mathbf{G}}\left(\mathrm{Inf}_{\mathbf{G}}^{\mathbf{G}[[t]]}(\pi)\right) \rightarrow \Pi \rightarrow \overline{\Pi}^\lambda$$

is surjective for every λ . We will argue by induction. Therefore, let us first check that the map of (3) is indeed surjective for $\lambda = 0$.

We have a natural map

$$(4) \quad \mathrm{Inf}^{\mathbf{G}^1}(\pi) \rightarrow \mathrm{Inf}_{\mathbf{G}}^{\mathbf{G}[[t]]}(\pi) \rightarrow \mathrm{Coind}_{\mathbf{G}[[t]]}^{\mathbb{G}}\left(\mathrm{Inf}_{\mathbf{G}}^{\mathbf{G}[[t]]}(\pi)\right),$$

and its composition with

$$\mathrm{Coind}_{\mathbf{G}[[t]]}^{\mathbb{G}}\left(\mathrm{Inf}_{\mathbf{G}}^{\mathbf{G}[[t]]}(\pi)\right) \rightarrow \Pi \xrightarrow{\mathrm{ev}} \pi$$

is the natural surjection $\mathrm{Inf}^{\mathbf{G}^1}(\pi) \rightarrow \pi$.

Thus, we have to carry out the induction step. We will suppose that the composition

$$\mathrm{Coind}_{\mathbf{G}[[t]]}^{\mathbb{G}}\left(\mathrm{Inf}_{\mathbf{G}}^{\mathbf{G}[[t]]}(\pi)\right) \rightarrow \Pi \rightarrow \overline{\Pi}^{\lambda'}$$

is surjective for $\lambda' < \lambda$, and we must show that

$$(5) \quad \mathrm{Coind}_{\mathbf{G}[[t]]}^{\mathbb{G}}\left(\mathrm{Inf}_{\mathbf{G}}^{\mathbf{G}[[t]]}(\pi)\right) \times_{\overline{\Pi}^{\lambda}} \Pi^{\lambda} \rightarrow \Pi^{\lambda}$$

is surjective as well.

2.2. For λ as above let t^{λ} be the corresponding point in $\mathbf{G}((t))$. By a slight abuse of notation we will denote by the same symbol its image in Gr_G and $\widetilde{\mathrm{Gr}}_G$.

Consider the action of $G^1 \subset G((t))$ on Gr_G given by

$$g \times x = \mathrm{Ad}_{t^{\lambda}}(g) \cdot x.$$

Let $Y \subset \mathrm{Gr}_G$ be the closure of $\mathrm{Ad}_{t^{\lambda}}(G^1) \cdot \overline{\mathrm{Gr}}_G^{\lambda}$. Let G_{λ} be a finite-dimensional quotient of G^1 , through which it acts on Y .

We will denote by \mathbf{Y} and \mathbf{G}_{λ} , respectively, the corresponding objects of \mathbf{Set} . Let Π_Y denote the quotient of Π , equal to the space of \mathbf{G} -equivariant locally constant π -valued functions on the set of \mathbf{K} -points of the preimage of Y in $\widetilde{\mathrm{Gr}}_G$.

Let $N \subset G$ be the maximal unipotent subgroup. Since λ is dominant, $\mathrm{Ad}_{t^{\lambda}}(N[[t]])$ is a subgroup of $N[[t]]$. Let $N^{\lambda} \subset N[[t]]$ be any normal subgroup of finite codimension, contained in $\mathrm{Ad}_{t^{\lambda}}(N[[t]])$. (Later we will specify to the case when $G = SL_2$; then $N \simeq G_a$ and is abelian, and we will take $N^{\lambda} = \mathrm{Ad}_{t^{\lambda}}(N[[t]])$.) Let N_{λ} denote the quotient $N[[t]]/N^{\lambda}$, and let \mathbf{N}_{λ} be the corresponding group-object in \mathbf{Set} .

Let now $K_{\mathbf{N}}$ be an open compact subgroup in \mathbf{N}_{λ} , and $K_{\mathbf{G}_{\lambda}}$ an open compact subgroup in \mathbf{G}_{λ} .

Now we are ready to state our main technical claim, Main Lemma 2.4. However, before doing that, let us explain the idea behind this lemma:

From the isomorphism (1), we will obtain that for any $f \in \Pi_Y$ and a *large* compact subgroup $K_{\mathbf{G}_{\lambda}}$ as above, the integral $f' := \int_{k \in K_{\mathbf{G}_{\lambda}}} f^k$ "localizes" near t^{λ} , i.e., f' will be 0

outside a "small" ball around t^{λ} . We will then average f' with respect to a fixed open subgroup $K_{\mathbf{N}}$ of \mathbf{N}_{λ} , and obtain a new element, denoted $f'' \in \overline{\Pi}^{\lambda}$.

Main Lemma 2.4 will insure that the compact subgroup $K_{\mathbf{G}_\lambda}$ can be chosen so that f'' will still be localized near t^λ , and such the resulting elements f'' for various subgroups $K_{\mathbf{N}}$, and their translations by elements of $\mathbf{G}((t))$, span Π^λ .

2.3. In precise terms, we proceed as follows. Consider the operator $A_{K_{\mathbf{N}}, K_{\mathbf{G}_\lambda}} : \Pi \rightarrow \pi$ given by

$$f \mapsto \int_{n \in K_{\mathbf{N}}} \int_{k \in K_{\mathbf{G}_\lambda}} ev_{t^\lambda}(f^{n \cdot k}),$$

where the integral is taken with respect to the Haar measures on both groups. (In the above formula $f \mapsto f^x$ denotes the action of $x \in \mathbf{G}((t))$ on Π .) By the definition of Π_Y , the above map factors through $\Pi \twoheadrightarrow \Pi_Y \rightarrow \pi$.

For a point $\tilde{g} \in \widetilde{\mathbf{Gr}}_G^\lambda$ we have a map $A_{\tilde{g}, K_{\mathbf{G}_\lambda}} : \Pi \rightarrow \pi$ given by

$$f \mapsto \int_{k \in K_{\mathbf{G}_\lambda}} ev_{\tilde{g}}(f^k).$$

This map also factors through Π_Y .

Our main technical claim, which we prove for $G = SL_2$ is the following. (We do not know whether an analogous statement holds for groups G of higher rank.)

Main Lemma 2.4. *For $v \in \pi$, an open compact subgroup $K_{\mathbf{N}} \subset \mathbf{N}_\lambda$ and open compact subset $\mathbf{X} \subset \mathbf{Gr}_G^\lambda$ containing t^λ , there exists a finite-dimensional subspace $\mathbf{F}(v) \subset \Pi_Y$ and an increasing exhausting family of compact subgroups $K_{\mathbf{G}_\lambda}^\alpha(v) \subset \mathbf{G}_\lambda$ such that:*

(1) *For all sufficiently large indices α the vector v would belong to the image of $A_{K_{\mathbf{N}}, K_{\mathbf{G}_\lambda}^\alpha(v)}(\mathbf{F}(v))$.*

(2) *For every $f \in \mathbf{F}(v)$ and for all sufficiently large indices α , the vector $A_{\tilde{g}, K_{\mathbf{G}_\lambda}^\alpha(v)}(f)$ will vanish, unless the image of \tilde{g} under $\widetilde{\mathbf{Gr}}_G^\lambda \rightarrow \overline{\mathbf{Gr}}_G^\lambda$ belongs to \mathbf{X} .*

2.5. Let us show how Lemma 2.4 implies the induction step in the proof of Theorem 1.7.

Recall that the orbit of the point t^λ under the action of $N[[t]]$ is open in \mathbf{Gr}_G^λ . For an open compact subgroup $K_{\mathbf{N}} \subset \mathbf{N}_\lambda$, let $\mathbf{X} \subset \mathbf{Gr}_G^\lambda$ be its orbit under $K_{\mathbf{N}}$. Let $(\overline{\Pi}^\lambda)^{K_{\mathbf{N}}} \subset \overline{\Pi}^\lambda$ be the subspace of $K_{\mathbf{N}}$ -invariants. We have a direct sum decomposition

$$(\overline{\Pi}^\lambda)^{K_{\mathbf{N}}} = \mathbf{V}_1 \oplus \mathbf{V}_2,$$

where the first direct summand consists of functions that vanish on the preimage of \mathbf{X} , and the second one functions of sections that vanish outside the preimage of \mathbf{X} . We have $\mathbf{V}_2 \subset \Pi^\lambda$ and the map ev_{t^λ} identifies \mathbf{V}_2 with π .

We claim that it suffices to show that the image of the map

$$(6) \quad \text{Coind}_{\mathbf{G}[[t]]}^{\mathbf{G}} \left(\text{Inf}_{\mathbf{G}}^{\mathbf{G}[[t]]}(\pi) \right) \rightarrow \Pi \rightarrow \overline{\Pi}^\lambda \rightarrow (\overline{\Pi}^\lambda)^{K_{\mathbf{N}}},$$

where the last arrow is given by averaging with respect to $K_{\mathbf{N}}$, contains \mathbf{V}_2 .

Indeed, let $G[[t]]_\lambda$ be a finite-dimensional quotient through which $G[[t]]$ acts on Gr_G^λ , and let $\mathbf{G}[[t]]_\lambda$ be the corresponding group-object of \mathbf{Set} . The vector space Π^λ is spanned by elements of the following form. Each is invariant under some (small) open compact subgroup $K_{\mathbf{G}[[t]]_\lambda} \subset \mathbf{G}[[t]]_\lambda$, and is supported on a preimage in $\widetilde{\mathbf{Gr}}_G^\lambda$ of a single $K_{\mathbf{G}[[t]]_\lambda}$ -orbit on \mathbf{Gr}_G^λ . By $\mathbf{G}[[t]]$ -invariance, we can assume that the orbit in question is that of the element $t^\lambda \in \mathbf{Gr}_G^\lambda$.

By setting $K_{\mathbf{N}} := \mathbf{N}_\lambda \cap K_{\mathbf{G}[[t]]_\lambda}$, we obtain that any element of the form specified above is contained in the corresponding \mathbf{V}_2 .

We will show that Main Lemma 2.4 implies that \mathbf{V}_2 belongs to the image of the map

$$\mathrm{Inf}^{\mathbf{G}^1}(\pi) \rightarrow \mathrm{Coind}_{\mathbf{G}[[t]]}^{\mathbf{G}} \left(\mathrm{Inf}_{\mathbf{G}}^{\mathbf{G}[[t]]}(\pi) \right) \rightarrow (\overline{\Pi}^\lambda)^{K_{\mathbf{N}}},$$

where first the arrow is the composition of the map of (4), followed by the action of t^λ .

For that let us write down in explicit terms the composition

$$(7) \quad \mathrm{Inf}^{\mathbf{G}^1}(\pi) \rightarrow \mathrm{Coind}_{\mathbf{G}[[t]]}^{\mathbf{G}} \left(\mathrm{Inf}_{\mathbf{G}}^{\mathbf{G}[[t]]}(\pi) \right) \rightarrow \Pi \rightarrow \Pi_Y.$$

First, let us observe that the resulting map factors through the surjection $\mathrm{Inf}^{\mathbf{G}^1}(\pi) \rightarrow \mathrm{Inf}^{\mathbf{G}^\lambda}(\pi)$. Secondly, let us recall (cf. [2], Sect. 2.8) that $\mathrm{Inf}^{\mathbf{G}^\lambda}(\pi)$ is the inductive limit, taken in \mathbf{Vect} , over finite-dimensional subspaces $\mathbf{F}' \subset \pi$ of

$$\varprojlim_{\alpha} \mathrm{Distr}_c(\mathbf{G}_\lambda / K_{\mathbf{G}_\lambda}^\alpha) \otimes \mathbf{F}',$$

where $K_{\mathbf{G}_\lambda}^\alpha$ runs through any exhausting family of open compact subgroups of \mathbf{G}_λ .

By (1), the map $\Pi_Y \xrightarrow{ev_{t^\lambda}} \pi$ induces an isomorphism $\mathrm{Coinv}_{\mathbf{G}_\lambda}(\Pi_Y) \simeq \pi$. For a given finite-dimensional subspace \mathbf{F}' let us choose a finite-dimensional subspace $\mathbf{F} \subset \Pi_Y$ which projects surjectively onto \mathbf{F}' , and for every index α consider the map

$$\mathrm{Distr}_c(\mathbf{G}_\lambda / K_{\mathbf{G}_\lambda}^\alpha) \otimes \mathbf{F} \rightarrow \Pi_Y$$

given by

$$\mu \otimes f \mapsto \mu \star f,$$

where $f \in \mathbf{F}$ and $\mu \in \mathrm{Distr}_c(\mathbf{G}_\lambda / K_{\mathbf{G}_\lambda}^\alpha)$ is regarded as an element of the Hecke algebra.

The resulting system of maps (eventually in α) factors through $\mathrm{Distr}_c(\mathbf{G}_\lambda / K_{\mathbf{G}_\lambda}^\alpha) \otimes \mathbf{F} \rightarrow \mathrm{Distr}_c(\mathbf{G}_\lambda / K_{\mathbf{G}_\lambda}^\alpha) \otimes \mathbf{F}'$, and defines the map in (7).

Let us now recall that if $\mathbb{W} = \varprojlim \mathbf{W}_\alpha$ is a pro-vector space mapping to a vector space \mathbf{V} , the surjectivity of this map means that the eventually defined maps $\mathbf{W}_\alpha \rightarrow \mathbf{V}$ are all surjective, or, which is the same, that $\forall v \in \mathbf{V}$, $v \in \mathrm{Im}(\mathbf{W}_\alpha)$ for those indices α , for which the map $\mathbb{W} \rightarrow \mathbf{V}$ factors through $\mathbf{W}_\alpha \rightarrow \mathbf{V}$.

For a vector $v \in \pi$, let $\mathbf{F}(v)$ be the finite-dimensional subspace of Π_Y , given by Lemma 2.4, and let $K_{\mathbf{G}_\lambda}^\alpha(v)$ be the corresponding system of subgroups. Let $\mathbf{F}'(v)$ denote the image of $\mathbf{F}(v)$ in π .

Consider the composition:

$$\mathrm{Distr}_c(\mathbf{G}_\lambda / K_{\mathbf{G}_\lambda}^\alpha(v)) \otimes \mathbf{F}(v) \rightarrow \Pi_Y \rightarrow \overline{\Pi}^\lambda \rightarrow (\overline{\Pi}^\lambda)^{K_{\mathbf{N}}}.$$

Let us take the unit element in $\text{Distr}_c(\mathbf{G}_\lambda/K_{\mathbf{G}_\lambda}^\alpha(v))$, corresponding to the Haar measure on $K_{\mathbf{G}_\lambda}^\alpha(v)$. We obtain a map $\mathbf{F}(v) \rightarrow (\overline{\Pi}^\lambda)^{K_{\mathbf{N}}}$.

By Lemma 2.4(2), the image of this map is contained in \mathbf{V}_2 . When we further compose it with the evaluation map $\mathbf{V}_2 \hookrightarrow \Pi_Y \rightarrow \pi$ we obtain a map $\mathbf{F}(v) \rightarrow \pi$ equal to $A_{K_{\mathbf{N}}, K_{\mathbf{G}_\lambda}^\alpha(v)}$, whose image contains v , by Lemma 2.4(1).

This establishes the required surjectivity.

3. PROOF OF MAIN LEMMA 2.4

3.1. For a given subgroup $K_{\mathbf{N}} \subset \mathbf{N}_\lambda$, a subset $\mathbf{X} \subset \mathbf{Gr}_G^\lambda$ and an arbitrary finite-dimensional subspace $\mathbf{F} \subset \Pi_Y$ we will construct a family of open compact subgroups $K_{\mathbf{G}_\lambda} \subset \mathbf{G}_\lambda$, such that the expressions

$$A_{K_{\mathbf{N}}, K_{\mathbf{G}_\lambda}}(f) \text{ and } A_{\tilde{g}, K_{\mathbf{G}_\lambda}}(f)$$

for $f \in \mathbf{F}$ can be evaluated explicitly.

From now on we will fix $G = SL_2$. We will change the notation slightly, and identify the set of dominant coweights with \mathbb{N} ; in which case we will replace λ by l and $t^\lambda \in \mathbf{G}((t))$ becomes the matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} t^l & 0 \\ 0 & t^{-l} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Let us translate our initial subscheme Y by $t^{-\lambda}$, in which case the point t^λ itself will go over to the unit point $1_{\text{Gr}_G} \in \text{Gr}_G$, and $t^{-\lambda} \cdot Y$ will be contained in $\overline{\text{Gr}}_G^{2l}$. (We denote by Gr_G^r the $G[[t]]$ -orbit of the point $\begin{pmatrix} t^r & 0 \\ 0 & t^{-r} \end{pmatrix}$ in Gr_G , and by $\overline{\text{Gr}}_G^r$ its closure.) For the purposes of Lemma 2.4 we can replace $t^{-\lambda} \cdot Y$ by the entire $\overline{\text{Gr}}_G^{2l}$, with the standard action of the congruence subgroup G^1 .

Note that the action of G^1 on $\overline{\text{Gr}}_G^{2l}$ (resp., $\widetilde{\overline{\text{Gr}}_G^{2l}}$) factors through G^1/G^{4l} (resp., G^1/G^{4l+1}).

For an integer r let us denote by G_r the quotient G^1/G^{2r+1} , and by N_r the quotient $t^{-r} \cdot N[[t]]/N[[t]]$. We will write elements of \mathbf{N}_r as $\sum_{1 \leq i \leq r} t^{-i} \cdot n_i$ with $n_i \in \mathbf{K}$, and thus think of it as an r -dimensional vector space over \mathbf{K} .

Similarly, we will identify $\mathbf{G}_r := \mathbf{G}^1/\mathbf{G}^{2r+1}$ with an $6r$ -dimensional vector space over \mathbf{K} , by writing its elements as matrices:

$$\text{Id} + \begin{pmatrix} k_{11} & k_{12} \\ k_{21} & k_{22} \end{pmatrix}$$

and $k_{lm} = \sum_{1 \leq i \leq 2r} t^i \cdot (k_{lm})_i$. In particular, we can speak of $O_{\mathbf{K}}$ -lattices in \mathbf{G}_r , where $O_{\mathbf{K}} \subset \mathbf{K}$ is the ring of integers.

3.2. In what follows, for a point $g \in \mathbf{G}((t))$, we will denote by \tilde{g} (resp., \bar{g}) its image in $\widetilde{\mathbf{Gr}}_G$ (resp., \mathbf{Gr}_G).

Thus, we are interested in computing the integral

$$\int_{k \in K_{\mathbf{G}_r}} ev(f^{g \cdot k}),$$

when g is such that either $g \in \mathbf{N}_r$, or the corresponding point $\bar{g} \in \mathbf{Gr}_G$ lies in $\overline{\mathbf{Gr}}_G^r - \mathbf{X}$, where \mathbf{X} is a fixed open compact subset of $\overline{\mathbf{Gr}}_G^r$ containing $1_{\mathbf{Gr}_G}$, and $f \in \mathbf{F}$, where \mathbf{F} is a fixed finite-dimensional subspace of $\overline{\Pi}^r$.

Let \mathfrak{p} denote the projection $\widetilde{\mathbf{Gr}}_G \rightarrow \mathbf{Gr}_G$. Let \mathfrak{s} be a continuous section $\overline{\mathbf{Gr}}_G^r \rightarrow \widetilde{\mathbf{Gr}}_G^r$, such that $\mathfrak{s}(1_{\mathbf{Gr}_G}) = 1_{\widetilde{\mathbf{Gr}}_G}$. A choice of such section defines an isomorphism

$$\widetilde{\mathbf{Gr}}_G^r \simeq \overline{\mathbf{Gr}}_G^r \times \mathbf{G}.$$

We will denote by \mathfrak{q} the resulting map $\widetilde{\mathbf{Gr}}_G^r \rightarrow \mathbf{G}$.

Let us fix an open neighbourhood \mathbf{Z} of $1_{\mathbf{Gr}_G}$ in $\overline{\mathbf{Gr}}_G^r$ small enough so that

$$f(\mathfrak{s}(x)) = ev(f)$$

for $x \in \mathbf{Z}$ and $f \in \mathbf{F}$. Let $K_{\mathbf{G}}(\mathbf{F})$ be an open compact subgroup of \mathbf{G} , such that $ev(f) \in \pi$ is $K_{\mathbf{G}}(\mathbf{F})$ -invariant for $f \in \mathbf{F}$.

Let $K_{\mathbf{N}_r}$ be an open compact subgroup of \mathbf{N}_r .

Proposition 3.3. *There exists an $O_{\mathbf{K}}$ -lattice $K_{\mathbf{G}_r} \subset \mathbf{G}_r$, which contains any given open subgroup of \mathbf{G}_r , such that the following is satisfied:*

(1) *There exists an open compact subgroup $K_{\mathbf{N}_r}^{sm} \subset K_{\mathbf{N}_r}$ such that:*

- (1a) *For $g = k \cdot n \in \mathbf{G}((t))$ with $k \in K_{\mathbf{G}_r}$ and $n \in K_{\mathbf{N}_r}^{sm}$, the corresponding point $\bar{g} \in \overline{\mathbf{Gr}}_G^r$ belongs to \mathbf{Z} .*
- (1b) *For g as above, the left coset of $\mathfrak{q}(\tilde{g}) \in \mathbf{G}$ with respect to $K_{\mathbf{G}}(\mathbf{F}) \subset \mathbf{G}$ equals that of*

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & -\sum_{1 \leq i \leq r} (k_{12})_{2i} \cdot n_i^2 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

- (1c) *The integral $\int_{k \in K_{\mathbf{G}_r}} ev(f^{n \cdot k})$ vanishes if $n \in K_{\mathbf{N}_r} - K_{\mathbf{N}_r}^{sm}$ and $f \in \mathbf{F}$.*

(2) *If $g \in \mathbf{G}((t))$, such that $\bar{g} \in \overline{\mathbf{Gr}}_G^r - \mathbf{X}$, the integral $\int_{k \in K_{\mathbf{G}_r}} ev(f^{g \cdot k})$ vanishes.*

3.4. Let us deduce Main Lemma 2.4 from Proposition 3.3. Given a vector $v \in \pi$ let us first define the subspace $\mathbf{F}(v) \in \overline{\Pi}^r$.

Recall that $\mathbf{N} \simeq \mathbf{K}$ is the maximal unipotent subgroup of $\mathbf{G} = SL_2(\mathbf{K})$, and let \mathbf{N}^* denote the Pontriagin dual group. Since \mathbf{N}^* is also (non-canonically) isomorphic to \mathbf{K} , we have a valuation map $\nu : \mathbf{N}^* \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$, defined up to a shift. In particular, we can consider the subalgebra $\text{Func}_{val}(\mathbf{N}^*) := \text{Func}(\mathbb{Z})$ inside the algebra $\text{Func}_{lc}(\mathbf{N}^*)$ of all locally constant functions on \mathbf{N}^* .

Any smooth representation of \mathbf{N} , and in particular π , can be thought of as a module over the algebra of $\text{Funct}_{lc}(\mathbf{N}^*)$, such that every element of this module has compact support. If a representation is cuspidal, this means that the support of every section is disjoint from $0 \in \mathbf{N}^*$.

Therefore, if v is a vector in a cuspidal representation π , the vector space $\text{Funct}_{val}(\mathbf{N}^*) \cdot v \subset \pi$ is finite-dimensional. We denote this vector subspace by $F'(v)$ and let $F(v) \subset \overline{\Pi}^r$ to be any subspace surjecting onto $F'(v)$ by means of ev . We claim that $F(v)$ satisfies the requirements of Main Lemma 2.4.

Property (2) in the lemma is satisfied due to Proposition 3.3(2). To check property (1) we will rewrite $A_{K_{\mathbf{N}}, K_{\mathbf{G}_r}}(f)$ more explicitly in terms of the action of \mathbf{G} on π .

Note that by Proposition 3.3(1c), the integral

$$\int_{n \in K_{\mathbf{N}_r}} \int_{k \in K_{\mathbf{G}_r}} ev(f^{n \cdot k})$$

equals the integral over a smaller domain, namely,

$$\int_{n \in K_{\mathbf{N}_r}^{sm}} \int_{k \in K_{\mathbf{G}_r}} ev(f^{n \cdot k}).$$

By Proposition 3.3(1a) and (1b), the latter can be rewritten as

$$(8) \quad \int_{n \in K_{\mathbf{N}_r}^{sm}} \int_{k \in K_{\mathbf{G}_r}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \sum_{1 \leq i \leq l} (k_{12})_{2i} \cdot n_i^2 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \cdot ev(f).$$

For $n = \Sigma t^{-i} \cdot n_i \in \mathbf{N}_r$ consider the map $\phi_n : \mathbf{G}_r \rightarrow \mathbf{N}$, given by

$$k = \begin{pmatrix} 1 + \sum_i t^i \cdot (k_{11})_i & \sum_i t^i \cdot (k_{12})_i \\ \sum_i t^i \cdot (k_{21})_i & 1 + \sum_i t^i \cdot (k_{22})_i \end{pmatrix} \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \sum_{1 \leq i \leq r} (k_{12})_{2i} \cdot n_i^2 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

Thus, the expression in (8) can be rewritten as

$$(9) \quad \int_{n \in K_{\mathbf{N}_r}^{sm}} (\phi_n)_* (\mu(K_{\mathbf{G}_r})) \cdot ev(f),$$

where $\mu(K_{\mathbf{G}_r})$ denotes the Haar measure of $K_{\mathbf{G}_r}$, and $(\phi_n)_* (\mu(K_{\mathbf{G}_r}))$ is its push-forward under ϕ_n , regarded as a distribution on \mathbf{N} .

Note, however, that when we identify $\mathbf{G}_r \simeq \mathbf{G}^1 / \mathbf{G}^{2r+1}$ with a linear space over \mathbf{K} , the Haar measure on this group goes over to a linear Haar measure. From this we obtain that for each $n \in \mathbf{N}_r$, the distribution $(\phi_n)_* (\mu(K_{\mathbf{G}_r}))$, thought of as a function on \mathbf{N}^* , is the characteristic function of some $O_{\mathbf{K}}$ -lattice in \mathbf{N}^* . Moreover, this lattice grows as $n \rightarrow 0$.

In particular, $(\phi_n)_* (\mu(K_{\mathbf{G}_r}))$, as a function on \mathbf{N}^* , belongs to $\text{Funct}_{val}(\mathbf{N}^*)$, and the integral $\int_{n \in K_{\mathbf{N}_r}^{sm}} (\phi_n)_* (\mu(K_{\mathbf{G}_r}))$, being *positive* at every point of \mathbf{N}^* , defines an invertible element of $\text{Funct}_{val}(\mathbf{N}^*)$.

Hence

$$v \in (\phi_n)_* (\mu(K_{\mathbf{G}_r})) \cdot (\text{Funct}_{\text{val}}(\mathbf{N}^*) \cdot v) = \text{Im} \left(\int_{n \in K_{\mathbf{N}_r}^{sm}} (\phi_n)_* (\mu(K_{\mathbf{G}_r})) \cdot \text{ev}(\mathbf{F}(v)) \right),$$

which is what we had to show.

4. PROOF OF PROPOSITION 3.3

4.1. We will construct the subgroup $K_{\mathbf{G}_r}$ by induction with respect to the parameter r . (For property (2) we take $\mathbf{X} \cap \overline{\mathbf{Gr}}_G^{r-1}$ as the corresponding open compact subset of $\overline{\mathbf{Gr}}_G^{r-1}$.)

When $r = 0$ all the subgroups in question are trivial. So, we can assume having constructed the subgroups $K_{\mathbf{G}_{r-1}}$ and $K_{\mathbf{N}_{r-1}}^{sm}$, and let us perform the induction step. The key observation is provided by the following lemma:

Lemma 4.2. *Let \mathbf{X} be a compact subset of \mathbf{Gr}_G^r and $f \in \overline{\Pi}^r$. Then the integral $\int_{k \in K_{\mathbf{G}^{2r}/\mathbf{G}^{2r+1}}} \text{ev}_{\widetilde{g}}(f^k) = 0$ if $K_{\mathbf{G}^{2r}/\mathbf{G}^{2r+1}}$ is a sufficiently large compact subgroup of $\mathbf{G}^{2r}/\mathbf{G}^{2r+1}$, and $\mathfrak{p}(\widetilde{g}) \in \mathbf{X}$.*

Proof. Since \mathbf{Gr}_G^r is a $G[[t]]$ -orbit of $t^\lambda := \begin{pmatrix} t^r & 0 \\ 0 & t^{-r} \end{pmatrix}$ and since G^{2r} is normalized by $G[[t]]$ and acts trivially on $\overline{\mathbf{Gr}}_G^r$, by the compactness of \mathbf{X} , the assertion of the lemma reduces to the fact that

$$\int_{k \in K_{\mathbf{G}^{2r}/\mathbf{G}^{2r+1}}} \text{ev}_{t^\lambda}(f^k) = 0$$

for every sufficiently large subgroup $K_{\mathbf{G}^{2r}/\mathbf{G}^{2r+1}}$.

Note that for $k \in \mathbf{G}^{2r}$ written as

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 + t^{2r} \cdot k_{11} & t^{2r} \cdot k_{12} \\ t^{2r} \cdot k_{21} & 1 + t^{2r} \cdot k_{22} \end{pmatrix},$$

$\text{Ad}_{t^\lambda}(k) \in \mathbf{G}[[t]]$ projects to the element

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & k_{12} \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbf{G} = \mathbf{G}[[t]]/\mathbf{G}^1.$$

We have

$$\text{ev}_{t^\lambda}(f^k) = \text{Ad}_{t^\lambda}(k) \cdot \text{ev}_{t^\lambda}(f).$$

Therefore, the integral in question equals the averaging of the vector $\text{ev}_{t^\lambda}(f) \in \pi$ over a compact subgroup of the maximal unipotent subgroup of G . Moreover, this subgroup grows together with $K_{\mathbf{G}^{2r}/\mathbf{G}^{2r+1}}$. Hence, our assertion follows from the cuspidality of π .

□

4.3. To carry out the induction step we first choose $K'_{\mathbf{G}_r} \subset \mathbf{G}_r$ to be any $O_{\mathbf{K}}$ -lattice, which projects onto $K_{\mathbf{G}_{r-1}} \subset \mathbf{G}_{r-1}$.

By continuity and the compactness of $K'_{\mathbf{G}_r}$ there exists an $O_{\mathbf{K}}$ -lattice $\mathbf{L} \subset \mathbf{K}$, such that for $K_{\mathbf{N}_r}^{sm} = K_{\mathbf{N}_{r-1}}^{sm} + t^{-r}\mathbf{L}$ the following holds:

- (a') For $g = k' \cdot n \in \mathbf{G}((t))/\mathbf{G}^1$ with $k \in K'_{\mathbf{G}_r}$, $n \in K_{\mathbf{N}_r}^{sm}$, the point $\bar{g} \in \overline{\mathbf{Gr}}_G^r$ belongs to \mathbf{Z} .
- (b') For g as above, the left coset of $\mathfrak{q}(\bar{g}) \in \mathbf{G}$ with respect to $K_{\mathbf{G}}(\mathbf{F}) \subset \mathbf{G}$ equals that of

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & -\sum_{1 \leq i \leq r} (k_{12})_{2i} \cdot n_i^2 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

- (c') For $f \in \mathbf{F}$, $f(k' \cdot (n' + t^{-r}n_r)) = f(k' \cdot n')$ for $n' \in K_{\mathbf{N}_{r-1}}^{sm}$, $k' \in K'_{\mathbf{G}_r}$, and $n_r \in \mathbf{L}$.

Note that for any $n \in \mathbf{N}_r$, $k' \in \mathbf{G}_r$ and

$$k = \begin{pmatrix} 1 + t^{2r} \cdot k_{11} & t^{2r} \cdot k_{12} \\ t^{2r} \cdot k_{21} & 1 + t^{2r} \cdot k_{22} \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbf{G}^{2r},$$

we have:

$$(10) \quad k \cdot k' \cdot n = k' \cdot n \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -k_{12} \cdot n_r^2 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \bmod \mathbf{G}^1.$$

The group $K_{\mathbf{G}_r}$ will be obtained from $K'_{\mathbf{G}_r}$ by adding to it an (arbitrarily large) lattice in $\mathbf{G}^{2r}/\mathbf{G}^{2r+1}$.

Note that since G^{2r} acts trivially on $\overline{\mathbf{Gr}}_G^r$, any such subgroup would satisfy condition (1a) of Proposition 3.3, because $K_{\mathbf{G}_r}$ satisfies (a') above. It will also automatically satisfy (1b) in view of (10) and (b') above. Thus, we have to arrange so that $K_{\mathbf{G}_r}$ satisfies conditions (1c) and (2) of Proposition 3.3.

4.4. By Lemma 4.2, we can find an open compact subgroup $K_{\mathbf{G}^{2r}/\mathbf{G}^{2r+1}} \subset \mathbf{G}^{2r}/\mathbf{G}^{2r+1}$, such that the integrals

$$\int_{k \in K_{\mathbf{G}^{2r}/\mathbf{G}^{2r+1}}} ev(f^{n \cdot k' \cdot k})$$

would vanish for $f \in \mathbf{F}$, $k' \in K'_{\mathbf{G}_r}$ and $n \in K_{\mathbf{N}_r}$ is such that $n_r \notin \mathbf{L}$.

Let us enlarge the initial $K'_{\mathbf{G}_r}$ by adding to it any $O_{\mathbf{K}}$ -lattice in $\mathbf{G}^{2r}/\mathbf{G}^{2r+1}$ containing the above $K_{\mathbf{G}^{2r}/\mathbf{G}^{2r+1}}$. We claim that the resulting subgroup satisfies condition (1c) of Proposition 3.3.

Indeed, let $n = n' + t^{-r}n_r$, $n' \in \mathbf{N}_{r-1}$, $n_r \in \mathbf{K}$ be an element in $K_{\mathbf{N}_r} - K_{\mathbf{N}_r}^{sm}$. If $n_r \notin \mathbf{L}$, the integral vanishes by the choice of $K_{\mathbf{G}^{2r}/\mathbf{G}^{2r+1}}$. Thus, we can assume that $n_r \in \mathbf{L}$, but $n' \notin K_{\mathbf{N}_{r-1}}^{sm}$. But then the integral vanishes by (c') and the induction hypothesis..

Now, let us deal with condition (2) of Proposition 3.3. By the induction hypothesis, the integrals

$$(11) \quad \int_{k \in K'_{\mathbf{G}_r}} ev(f^{g \cdot k})$$

vanish when $\bar{g} \in \overline{\mathbf{Gr}}_G^{r-1} - (\overline{\mathbf{Gr}}_G^{r-1} \cap \mathbf{X})$.

Hence, by continuity and since $K'_{\mathbf{G}_r}$ is compact, there exists a neighbourhood \mathbf{X}_1 of $\overline{\mathbf{Gr}}_G^{r-1} - (\overline{\mathbf{Gr}}_G^{r-1} \cap \mathbf{X})$ in $\overline{\mathbf{Gr}}_G^r - \mathbf{X}$, such that the integral (11) will vanish for the same subgroup $K'_{\mathbf{G}_r}$ and all g for which $\bar{g} \in \mathbf{X}_1$.

The sought-for subgroup $K_{\mathbf{G}_r}$ will be again obtained from the initial $K'_{\mathbf{G}_r}$ by adding to it an arbitrarily large open compact subgroup of $\mathbf{G}^{2r}/\mathbf{G}^{2r+1}$. We claim that for any such $K_{\mathbf{G}_r}$ the integral

$$(12) \quad \int_{k \in K_{\mathbf{G}_r}} ev(f^{g \cdot k})$$

will still vanish for $\bar{g} \in \mathbf{X}_1$.

This follows from the fact that the G^{2r} -action on \mathbf{Gr}_G^r is trivial, and hence for $k \in \mathbf{G}^{2r}$, $k' \in \mathbf{G}_r$ and $g \in \mathbf{G}((t))$ projecting to $\bar{g} \in \overline{\mathbf{Gr}}_G^r$,

$$f(k \cdot k' \cdot g) = k_1 \cdot f(k' \cdot g)$$

for some $k_1 \in \mathbf{G}$.

We choose the suitable subgroup in $\mathbf{G}^{2r}/\mathbf{G}^{2r+1}$ as follows. Set $\mathbf{X}_2 = (\overline{\mathbf{Gr}}_G^r - \mathbf{X}) - \mathbf{X}_1$. This is a compact subset of $\overline{\mathbf{Gr}}_G^r$, and let us apply Lemma 4.2 to the compact set $K'_{\mathbf{G}_r} \cdot \mathbf{X}_2 \subset \overline{\mathbf{Gr}}_G^r$.

We obtain that there exists an open compact subgroup $K_{\mathbf{G}^{2r}/\mathbf{G}^{2r+1}} \subset \mathbf{G}^{2r}/\mathbf{G}^{2r+1}$, such that

$$\int_{k \in K'_{\mathbf{G}^{2r}/\mathbf{G}^{2r+1}}} ev(f^{g \cdot k' \cdot k}) = 0$$

for $\bar{g} \in \mathbf{X}_2$, $k' \in K'_{\mathbf{G}_r}$.

Let $K_{\mathbf{G}_r}$ be the resulting subgroup of \mathbf{G}_r . We claim that it does satisfy condition (2) of Proposition 3.3. Indeed, consider again the integral (12) for $\bar{g} \in \overline{\mathbf{Gr}}_G^r - \mathbf{X} = \mathbf{X}_1 \cup \mathbf{X}_2$.

We already know that it vanishes for $\bar{g} \in \mathbf{X}_1$. And if $\bar{g} \in \mathbf{X}_2$, it vanishes by the choice of $K_{\mathbf{G}_r}$.

This completes the induction step in the proof of Proposition 3.3.

REFERENCES

- [1] D. Gaitsgory and D. Kazhdan, *Representations of algebraic groups over a 2-dimensional local field*, math.RT/0302174, to appear in GAFA.
- [2] D. Gaitsgory and D. Kazhdan, *Algebraic groups over a 2-dimensional local field: some further constructions*, math.RT/0406282.