

# UNIPOTENT VARIETY IN THE GROUP COMPACTIFICATION

XUHUA HE

Department of Mathematics, M.I.T., Cambridge, MA 02139

**ABSTRACT.** The unipotent variety of a reductive algebraic group  $G$  plays an important role in the representation theory. In this paper, we will consider the closure  $\bar{\mathcal{U}}$  of the unipotent variety in the De Concini-Procesi compactification  $\bar{G}$  of a connected simple algebraic group  $G$ . We will prove that  $\bar{\mathcal{U}} - \mathcal{U}$  is a union of some  $G$ -stable pieces introduced by Lusztig in [L4]. This was first conjectured by Lusztig. We will also give an explicit description of  $\bar{\mathcal{U}}$ . It turns out that similar results hold for the closure of any Steinberg fiber in  $\bar{G}$ .

## INTRODUCTION

A connected simple algebraic group  $G$  has a “wonderful” compactification  $\bar{G}$ , introduced by De Concini and Procesi. The variety  $\bar{G}$  is a smooth, projective variety with  $G \times G$  action on it. The  $G \times G$ -orbits of  $\bar{G}$  are indexed by the subsets of the simple roots.

The group  $G$  acts diagonally on  $\bar{G}$ . Lusztig introduced a partition of  $\bar{G}$  into finitely many  $G$ -stable pieces. The  $G$ -orbits on each piece are in one-to-one correspondence to the conjugacy classes of a certain reductive group. Based on the partition, he developed the theory of “Parabolic Character Sheaves” on  $\bar{G}$ .

In this paper, we study the closure  $\bar{\mathcal{U}}$  of the unipotent variety  $\mathcal{U}$  of  $G$  in  $\bar{G}$ , partially based on the previous work of [Spr2]. The main result is that the boundary of the closure is a union of some  $G$ -stable pieces. (see Theorem 4.3.)

The unipotent variety plays an important role in the representation theory. One would expect that  $\bar{\mathcal{U}}$ , the subvariety of  $\bar{G}$ , which is analogous to the subvariety  $\mathcal{U}$  of  $G$ , also plays an important role in the theory of “Parabolic Character Sheaves”. Our result is a step toward this direction.

The arrangement of this paper is as follows. In section 1, we briefly recall some results on the  $B \times B$ -orbits of  $\bar{G}$  (where  $B$  is a Borel subgroup of  $G$ ) and results on  $\bar{\mathcal{U}}$ , which were proved by Springer in [Spr1] and [Spr2]. In section 2, we first recall

---

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 20G15.

*Key words and phrases.* Unipotent varieties; Steinberg fibers; Group compactifications.

*E-mail address:* hugo@math.mit.edu

the definition of the  $G$ -stable pieces and then in 2.6, we show that any  $G$ -stable piece is the minimal  $G$ -stable subset of  $\bar{G}$  that contains a particular  $B \times B$ -orbit. In the remaining part of section 2, we establish some basic facts about the Coxeter elements, which will be used in section 4 to prove our main theorem. In section 3, we show case-by-case that certain  $G$ -stable pieces are contained in  $\bar{\mathcal{U}}$ . Hence a lower bound of  $\bar{\mathcal{U}}$  is established.

A naive thought about  $\bar{\mathcal{U}}$  is that the boundary of the “unipotent elements” are “nilpotent cone”. In fact, it is true. A precise statement is given and proved in 4.3. Thus we obtain an upper bound of  $\bar{\mathcal{U}}$ . We also show in 4.3 that the lower bound is actually equal to the upper bound. Therefore, our main theorem is proved. In section 4, we also consider the closure of arbitrary Steinberg fiber of  $G$  in  $\bar{G}$ . (An example of Steinberg fiber is  $\mathcal{U}$ .) The results are similar. In the end of section 4, we calculate the number of points of  $\bar{\mathcal{U}}$  over a finite field. The formula bears some resemblance to the formula for  $\bar{G}$ .

## 1. PRELIMINARIES

**1.1.** Let  $G$  be a connected, simple algebraic group over an algebraically closed field  $k$ . Let  $B$  be a Borel subgroup of  $G$ ,  $B^-$  be the opposite Borel subgroup and  $T = B \cap B^-$ . Let  $(\alpha_i)_{i \in I}$  be the set of simple roots. For  $i \in I$ , we denote by  $\alpha_i^\vee$ ,  $\omega_i$ ,  $\omega_i^\vee$  and  $s_i$  the simple coroot, the fundamental weight, the fundamental coweight and the simple reflection corresponding to  $\alpha_i$ . We denote by  $\langle, \rangle$  the standard pairing between the weight lattice and the root lattice. For any element  $w$  in the Weyl group  $W = N(T)/T$ , we will choose a representative  $\dot{w}$  in  $N(T)$  in the same way as in [L1, 1.1].

For any subset  $J$  of  $I$ , let  $W_J$  be the subgroup of  $W$  generated by  $\{s_j \mid j \in J\}$  and  $W^J$  (resp.  ${}^JW$ ) be the set of minimal length coset representatives of  $W/W_J$  (resp.  $W_J \backslash W$ ). Let  $w_0^J$  be the unique element of maximal length in  $W_J$ . (We will simply write  $w_0^I$  as  $w_0$ .) For  $J, K \subset I$ , we write  ${}^JW^K$  for  ${}^JW \cap W^K$ .

**1.2.** For  $J \subset I$ , let  $P_J \supset B$  be the standard parabolic subgroup defined by  $J$  and  $P_J^- \supset B^-$  be the opposite of  $P_J$ . Set  $L_J = P_J \cap P_J^-$ . Then  $L_J$  is a Levi subgroup of  $P_J$  and  $P_J^-$ . Let  $Z_J$  be the center of  $L_J$  and  $G_J = L_J/Z_J$  be its adjoint group. We denote by  $\pi_{P_J}$  (resp.  $\pi_{P_J^-}$ ) the projection of  $P_J$  (resp.  $P_J^-$ ) onto  $G_J$ .

Let  $\bar{G}$  be the wonderful compactification of  $G$  ([DP] deals with the case  $k = \mathbf{C}$ . The generalization to arbitrary  $k$  was given in [Str]). It is an irreducible, projective smooth  $G \times G$ -variety. The  $G \times G$ -orbits  $Z_J$  of  $\bar{G}$  are indexed by the subsets  $J$  of  $I$ . Moreover,  $Z_J = (G \times G) \times_{P_J^- \times P_J} G_J$ , where  $P_J^- \times P_J$  acts on the right on  $G \times G$  and on the left on  $G_J$  by  $(q, p) \cdot z = \pi_{P_J^-}(q)z\pi_{P_J}(p)^{-1}$ . Let  $h_J$  be the image of  $(1, 1, 1)$  in  $Z_J$ .

We will identify  $Z_I$  with  $G$  and the  $G \times G$ -action on it is given by  $(g, h) \cdot x = gxh^{-1}$ .

For any subvariety  $X$  of  $\bar{G}$ , we denote by  $\bar{X}$  the closure of  $X$  in  $\bar{G}$ .

For any finite set  $A$ , we will write  $|A|$  for the cardinality of  $A$ .

**1.3.** For any closed subgroup  $H$  of  $G$ , we denote by  $H_{diag}$  the image of the diagonal embedding of  $H$  in  $G \times G$  and by  $\text{Lie}(H)$  the corresponding Lie subalgebra of  $H$ . For  $g \in G$ , we write  ${}^gH$  for  $gHg^{-1}$ .

For any parabolic subgroup  $P$ , we denote by  $U_P$  its unipotent radical. We will simply write  $U$  for  $U_B$  and  $U^-$  for  $U_{B^-}$ . For  $J \subset I$ , set  $U_J = U \cap L_J$  and  $U_J^- = U^- \cap L_J$ .

For parabolic subgroups  $P$  and  $Q$ , define

$$P^Q = (P \cap Q)U_P.$$

It is easy to see that for  $J, K \subset I$  and  $u \in {}^JW^K$ ,  $P_J^{(\dot{u}P_K)} = P_{J \cap \text{Ad}(u)K}$ .

Let  $\mathcal{U}$  be the unipotent variety of  $G$ . Then  $\mathcal{U}$  is stable under the action of  $G_{diag}$  and  $U$  is stable under the action of  $U \times U$  and  $T_{diag}$ . Moreover,  $\mathcal{U} = G_{diag} \cdot U$ . Similarly,  $\bar{\mathcal{U}} = G_{diag} \cdot \bar{U}$  (see [Spr2, 1.4]).

**1.4.** Now consider the  $B \times B$ -orbits on  $\bar{G}$ . We use the same notation as in [Spr1]. For any  $J \subset I$ ,  $u, v \in W$ , set  $[J, u, v] = (B \times B)(\dot{u}, \dot{v}) \cdot h_J$ . It is easy to see that  $[J, u, v] = [J, x, vz^{-1}]$ , where  $u = xz$  with  $x \in W^J$  and  $z \in W_J$ . Moreover,  $\bar{G} = \bigsqcup_{J \subset I} \bigsqcup_{x \in W^J, w \in W} [J, x, w]$ . Springer proved the following result in [Spr1, 2.4].

**Theorem.** *Let  $x \in W^J$ ,  $x' \in W^K$ ,  $w, w' \in W$ . Then  $[K, x', w']$  is contained in  $\overline{[J, x, w]}$  if and only if  $K \subset J$  and there exists  $u \in W_K, v \in W_J \cap W^K$  with  $xvu^{-1} \leq x'$ ,  $w'u \leq wv$  and  $l(wv) = l(w) + l(v)$ .*

As a consequence of the theorem, we have the following properties which will be used later.

- (1) For any  $K \subset J$ ,  $w \in W^J$  and  $v \in W_J$ ,  $[K, wv, v] \subset \overline{[J, w, 1]}$ .
- (2) For any  $J \subset I$ ,  $w, w' \in W^J$  with  $w \leq w'$ , then  $[J, w', 1] \subset \overline{[J, w, 1]}$ .

**1.5.** In this subsection, we recall some results of [Spr2].

Let  $\epsilon$  be an indeterminate. Put  $o = k[[\epsilon]]$  and  $K = k((\epsilon))$ . An  $o$ -valued point of a  $k$ -variety  $Z$  is a  $k$ -morphism  $\gamma : \text{Spec}(o) \rightarrow Z$ . We write  $Z(o)$  for the set of all  $o$ -valued points of  $Z$ . Similarly, we write  $Z(K)$  for the set of all  $K$ -valued points of  $Z$ . For  $\gamma \in Z(o)$ , we have that  $\gamma(0) \in Z$ , where  $0$  is the closed point of  $\text{Spec}(o)$ .

By the valuative criterion of completeness (see [EGA, Ch II, 7.3.8 & 7.3.9]), for the complete  $k$ -variety  $\bar{G}$ , the inclusion  $o \hookrightarrow K$  induces a bijective from  $\bar{G}(o)$  onto  $\bar{G}(K)$ . Therefore, any  $\gamma \in \bar{G}(K)$  defines a point  $\gamma(0) \in \bar{G}$ . In particular, any  $\gamma \in U(K)$  defines a point  $\gamma(0) \in \bar{G}$ . Here we regard  $U(K)$  as a subset of  $\bar{G}(K)$  in the natural way.

We have that  $x \in \bar{U}$  if and only if there exists  $\gamma \in U(K)$  such that  $\gamma(0) = x$  (see [Spr2, 2.2]).

Let  $Y$  be the cocharacter group of  $T$ . An element  $\lambda \in Y$  defines a point in  $T(k[\epsilon, \epsilon^{-1}])$ , hence a point  $p_\lambda$  of  $T(K)$ . Let  $H \subset G(o)$  be the subgroup consisting of elements  $\gamma$  with  $\gamma(0) \in B$ . Then for  $\gamma \in U(K)$ , there exists  $\gamma_1, \gamma_2 \in H$ ,  $w \in W$

and  $\lambda \in Y$ , such that  $\gamma = \gamma_1 \dot{w} p_\lambda \gamma_2$ . Moreover,  $w$  and  $\lambda$  are uniquely determined by  $\gamma$  (see [Spr2, 2.6]). In this case, we will call  $(w, \lambda)$  admissible. Springer showed that  $(w, \lambda - w^{-1}\lambda)$  is admissible for any dominant regular coweight  $\lambda$  (see [Spr2, 3.1]).

For  $\lambda \in Y$  and  $x \in W$  with  $x^{-1} \cdot \lambda$  dominant, we have that  $p_\lambda(0) = (\dot{x}, \dot{x}) \cdot h_{I(x^{-1}\lambda)}$ , where  $I(x^{-1}\lambda)$  is the set of simple roots orthogonal to  $x^{-1}\lambda$  (see [Spr2, 2.5]). If moreover,  $(w, \lambda)$  is admissible, then there exists some  $t \in T$  such that  $(U \times U)(\dot{w}xt, \dot{x}) \cdot h_{I(x^{-1}\lambda)} \subset \bar{U}$ .

## 2. THE PARTITION OF $Z_J$

**2.1.** We will follow the set-up of [L4, 8.18].

For any  $J \subset I$ , let  $\mathcal{P}^J$  be the set of parabolic subgroups conjugate to  $P_J$ . We will write  $\mathcal{B}$  for  $\mathcal{P}^\emptyset$ . For  $P \in \mathcal{P}^J$ ,  $Q \in \mathcal{P}^K$  and  $u \in {}^J W^K$ , we write  $\text{pos}(P, Q) = u$  if there exists  $g \in G$ , such that  ${}^g P = P_J, {}^g Q = {}^u P_K$ . For  $J, J' \subset I$  and  $y \in {}^{J'} W^J$  with  $\text{Ad}(y)J = J'$ , define

$$\tilde{Z}_J^y = \{(P, P', \gamma) \mid P \in \mathcal{P}^J, P' \in \mathcal{P}^{J'}, \gamma = U_{P'} g U_P, \text{pos}(P', {}^g P) = y\}$$

with the  $G \times G$  action given by  $(g_1, g_2) \cdot (P, Q, \gamma) = (g_1 P, g_2 P', g_2 \gamma g_1^{-1})$ .

To  $z = (P, P', \gamma) \in \tilde{Z}_J^y$ , we associate a sequence  $(J_k, J'_k, u_k, y_k, P_k, P'_k, \gamma_k)_{k \geq 0}$  with  $J_k, J'_k \subset I$ ,  $u_k \in W$ ,  $y_k \in {}^{J'_k} W^{J_k}$ ,  $\text{Ad}(y_k)J_k = J'_k$ ,  $P_k \in \mathcal{P}_{J_k}$ ,  $P'_k \in \mathcal{P}_{J'_k}$ ,  $\gamma_k = U_{P'_k} g U_{P_k}$  for some  $g \in G$  satisfies  $\text{pos}(P'_k, {}^g P_k) = u_k$ . The sequence is defined as follows.

$$P_0 = P, P'_0 = P', \gamma_0 = \gamma, J_0 = J, J'_0 = J', u_0 = \text{pos}(P'_0, P_0), y_0 = y.$$

Assume that  $k \geq 1$ , that  $P_m, P'_m, \gamma_m, J_m, J'_m, u_m, y_m$  are already defined for  $m < k$  and that  $u_m = \text{pos}(P'_m, P_m)$ ,  $P_m \in \mathcal{P}_{J_m}$ ,  $P'_m \in \mathcal{P}_{J'_m}$  for  $m < k$ . Let

$$J_k = J_{k-1} \cap \text{Ad}(y_{k-1}^{-1} u_{k-1}) J_{k-1}, J'_k = J_{k-1} \cap \text{Ad}(u_{k-1}^{-1} y_{k-1}) J_{k-1},$$

$$P_k = g_{k-1}^{-1} ({}^{g_{k-1}} P_{k-1}) ({}^{P'_{k-1}} P_{k-1}) g_{k-1} \in \mathcal{P}_{J_k}, P'_k = P_{k-1}^{P'_{k-1}} \in \mathcal{P}_{J'_k}$$

where

$$g_{k-1} \in \gamma_{k-1} \text{ is such that } {}^{g_{k-1}} P_{k-1} \text{ contains some Levi of } P_{k-1} \cap P'_{k-1},$$

$$u_k = \text{pos}(P'_k, P_k), y_k = u_{k-1}^{-1} y_{k-1}, \gamma_k = U_{P'_k} g_{k-1} U_{P_k}.$$

It is known that the sequence is well defined. Moreover, for sufficient large  $n$ , we have that  $J_n = J'_n = J_{n+1} = J'_{n+1} = \dots$  and  $u_n = u_{n+1} = \dots = 1$ . Now we set  $\beta(z) = u_0 u_1 \dots u_n$ ,  $n \gg 0$ . Then we have that  $\beta(z) \in {}^{J'} W$ . By [L4, 8.18] and [L3, 2.5], the sequence  $(J_k, J'_k, u_k, y_k)_{k \geq 0}$  is uniquely determined by  $(J, \beta(z), y)$ .

The map  $w \mapsto yw^{-1}$  is a bijection between  $W^J$  and  ${}^J W$ . For  $w \in W^J$ , set

$$\tilde{Z}_{J,w}^y = \{z \in \tilde{Z}_J^y \mid \beta(z) = yw^{-1}\}.$$

Then  $(\tilde{Z}_{J,w}^y)_{w \in W^J}$  is a partition of  $\tilde{Z}_J^y$  into locally closed  $G$ -stable subvarieties. For  $w \in W^J$ , let  $(J_k, J'_k, u_k, y_k)_{k \geq 0}$  be the sequence uniquely determined by  $(J, yw^{-1}, y)$ . Then  $(P, P', \gamma) \mapsto (P_1, P'_1, \gamma_1)$  define a  $G$ -equivariant map  $\vartheta : \tilde{Z}_{J,w}^y \rightarrow \tilde{Z}_{J_1, u_0^{-1}w}^{y_1}$ .

**2.2.** Let  $J \subset I$ . Set  $\tilde{Z}_J = \tilde{Z}_J^{w_0 w_0^J}$  and  $J^* = \text{Ad}(w_0 w_0^J)J$ . For  $w \in W^J$ , set  $w_J = w_0 w_0^J w^{-1}$ . The map  $w \mapsto w_J$  is a bijection between  $W^J$  and  ${}^{J^*} W$ . For any  $w \in W^J$ , let

$$\tilde{Z}_{J,w} = \{z \in \tilde{Z}_J \mid \beta(z) = w_J\}.$$

Then  $(\tilde{Z}_{J,w})_{w \in W^J}$  is a partition of  $\tilde{Z}_J$  into locally closed  $G$ -stable subvarieties. Let  $(J_k, J'_k, u_k, y_k)_{k \geq 0}$  be the sequence determined by  $(J, w_J, w_0 w_0^J)$  (see 2.1). Assume that  $J_n = J'_n = J_{n+1} = J'_{n+1} = \dots$  and  $u_n = u_{n+1} = \dots = 1$ . Set  $v_0 = w_J$  and  $v_k = u_{k-1}^{-1} v_{k-1}$  for  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . By [L4, 8.18] and [L3, 2.3], we have  $u_k \in J'_k W^{J_k}$  and  $u_{k+1} \in W_{J_k}$  for all  $k \geq 0$ . Hence  $v_{k+1} \in W_{J_k}$  for all  $k \geq 0$ . Moreover, it is easy to see by induction on  $k$  that  $y_k = v_k w$ . In particular,  $w = y_n \in {}^{J_n} W^{J_n}$ ,  $\text{Ad}(w)J_n = J_n$  and  $\dot{w}$  normalizes  $B \cap L_{J_n}$ . We have the following result.

**Lemma 2.3.** *Keep the notation of 2.2. Let  $z = (P_J, \dot{w}^{-1} P_{J^*}, \dot{w}_J^{-1} U_{P_{J^*}} \dot{w}_J \dot{w} b U_{P_J})$ , where  $b \in \dot{w}^{n-1} \dot{v}_n^{-1} (U_{P_{J'_n}} \cap U_{J_{n-1}}) \dot{w}^{n-2} \dot{v}_{n-1}^{-1} (U_{P_{J'_{n-1}}} \cap U_{J_{n-2}}) \dots \dot{v}_1^{-1} (U_{P_{J'_1}} \cap U_{J_0}) T$  or  $b \in B$ . Then  $z \in \tilde{Z}_{J,w}$ .*

Proof. For any  $k$ , set  $P_k = P_{J_k}, P'_k = \dot{v}_k^{-1} P_{J'_k}$ . Then

$$P_k \cap P'_k = P_{J_k} \cap \dot{v}_{k+1}^{-1} \dot{u}_k^{-1} P_{J'_k} = \dot{v}_{k+1}^{-1} (P_{J_k} \cap \dot{u}_k^{-1} P_{J'_k}).$$

Note that  $u_k^{-1} \in J_k W^{J'_k}$ . Then  $L_{J_k} \cap \dot{u}_k^{-1} L_{J'_k} = L_{J_k \cap \text{Ad}(\dot{u}_k^{-1}) J'_k} = L_{J'_{k+1}}$ . Thus  $\dot{v}_{k+1}^{-1} L_{J'_{k+1}} = \dot{v}_{k+1}^{-1} (L_{J_k} \cap \dot{u}_k^{-1} L_{J'_k})$  is a Levi factor of  $P_k \cap P'_k$ . Moreover, we have

$$\begin{aligned} P_k^{P'_k} &= P_{J_k}^{(\dot{v}_k^{-1} P_{J'_k})} = \dot{v}_{k+1}^{-1} (P_{J_k}^{(\dot{u}_k^{-1} P_{J'_k})}) = \dot{v}_{k+1}^{-1} P_{J_k \cap \text{Ad}(\dot{u}_k^{-1}) J'_k} = \dot{v}_{k+1}^{-1} P_{J'_{k+1}} \\ P'_k P_k &= \dot{v}_k^{-1} (P_{J'_k}^{(\dot{v}_k P_{J_k})}) = \dot{v}_k^{-1} (P_{J'_k}^{(\dot{u}_k P_{J_k})}) = \dot{v}_k^{-1} P_{J'_k \cap \text{Ad}(\dot{u}_k) J_k} \\ &= \dot{v}_k^{-1} P_{\text{Ad}(\dot{y}_k)(J_k \cap \text{Ad}(\dot{y}_k^{-1} \dot{u}_k) J_k)} = \dot{v}_k^{-1} P_{\text{Ad}(\dot{y}_k) J_{k+1}} \end{aligned}$$

If  $b \in B$ , then set  $g_k = \dot{w} b$ ,  $\gamma_k = U_{P'_k} g_k U_{P_k}$  and  $z_k = (P_k, P'_k, \gamma_k)$  for all  $k$ . In this case,  $\dot{v}_{k+1}^{-1} L_{J'_{k+1}} = \dot{w} \dot{y}_{k+1}^{-1} L_{J'_{k+1}} = \dot{w} L_{J_{k+1}} \subset \dot{w} P_k = g_k P_k$ . Thus  $g_k P_k$  contains

some Levi of  $P_k \cap P'_k$ . Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned} g_k^{-1}(g_k P_k)^{(\dot{v}_k^{-1} P_{Ad(\dot{y}_k)J_{k+1}})} g_k &= P_k^{(b^{-1} \dot{w}^{-1} \dot{v}_k^{-1} P_{Ad(\dot{y}_k)J_{k+1}})} = b^{-1} (P_k^{\dot{y}_k^{-1} P_{Ad(\dot{y}_k)J_{k+1}}}) \\ &= b^{-1} P_{J_k \cap Ad(\dot{y}_k^{-1})Ad(\dot{y}_k)J_{k+1}} = b^{-1} P_{J_{k+1}} = P_{J_{k+1}}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,  $\vartheta(z_k) = z_{k+1}$ .

If  $b = (\dot{w}^{n-1} \dot{v}_n^{-1} b_n \dot{v}_n \dot{w}^{-n+1}) \cdots (\dot{v}_1^{-1} b_1 \dot{v}_1) (\dot{w}^n t \dot{w}^{-n})$ , where  $b_j \in U_{P_{J'_j}} \cap U_{J_{j-1}}$  for  $1 \leq j \leq n$  and  $t \in T$ , then set

$$a_k = (\dot{w}^{n-k} \dot{v}_n^{-1} b_n \dot{v}_n \dot{w}^{-n+k}) \cdots (\dot{v}_k^{-1} b_k \dot{v}_k) (\dot{w}^{n+1-k} t \dot{w}^{-n-1+k}).$$

In this case, set  $g_k = \dot{w} a_{k+1}$ ,  $\gamma_k = U_{P'_k} g_k U_{P_k}$  and  $z_k = (P_k, P'_k, \gamma_k)$ . For  $j \geq 0$ ,  $J_{j+1} = J_j \cap Ad(\dot{y}_{j+1}^{-1})J_j$  and  $v_{j+1} \in W_{J_j}$ . Thus  $\dot{w} L_{J_{j+1}} = \dot{v}_{j+1}^{-1} \dot{y}_{j+1} L_{J_{j+1}} \subset \dot{v}_{j+1}^{-1} L_{J_j} = L_{J_j}$ . Then  $\dot{w}^j \dot{v}_{k+j+1}^{-1} U_{J_{k+j}} \subset \dot{w}^j L_{J_{k+j}} \subset L_{J_k}$ . So  $a_{k+1} \in P_k$ . Thus  $g_k P_k = \dot{w} P_k$  contains some Levi of  $P_{J_k} \cap \dot{v}_k^{-1} P_{J'_k}$ . Moreover,

$$g_k^{-1}(g_k P_k)^{(\dot{v}_k^{-1} P_{Ad(\dot{y}_k)J_{k+1}})} g_k = a_{k+1}^{-1} P_{J_{k+1}}.$$

Thus  $\vartheta(z_k) = (Q, Q', \gamma')$ , where  $Q = a_{k+1}^{-1} P_{J_{k+1}}$ ,  $Q' = \dot{v}_{k+1}^{-1} P_{J'_{k+1}}$  and  $\gamma' = U_{Q'} g_k U_Q$ . Note that  $\dot{v}_{k+1}^{-1} U_{P_{J'_{k+1}}} \subset Q'$  and  $T \subset Q'$ . Moreover, for  $j \geq 1$ ,  $\dot{w}^j \dot{v}_{k+j+1}^{-1} U_{J_{k+j}} \subset \dot{w}^j L_{J_{k+j}} \subset \dot{w} L_{J_{k+1}} = \dot{v}_{k+1}^{-1} \dot{y}_{k+1} L_{J_{k+1}} = \dot{v}_{k+1}^{-1} L_{J'_{k+1}} \subset Q'$ . Thus  $a_{k+1} \in Q'$ . Hence,  $z_{k+1} = (a_{k+1}, a_{k+1}) \cdot \vartheta(z_k)$ .

In both cases,  $\vartheta(z_k)$  is in the same  $G$  orbit as  $z_{k+1}$ . Thus

$$\beta(z) = \beta(z_0) = u_1 \beta(z_1) = \cdots = u_1 u_2 \cdots u_n = w_J. \quad \square$$

**Remark.** 1. From the proof of the case where  $b \in B$ , we can see that

$$\vartheta^n(P_J, \dot{w}_J^{-1} P_{J^*}, \dot{w}_J^{-1} U_{P_{J^*}} \dot{w}_J \dot{w} b U_{P_J}) = (P_{J_n}, P_{J_n}, U_{P_{J_n}} \dot{w} b U_{P_{J_n}}).$$

This result will be used to establish a relation between the  $G$ -stable pieces and the  $B \times B$ -orbits.

2. The fact that  $(P_J, \dot{w}_J^{-1} P_{J^*}, \dot{w}_J^{-1} U_{P_{J^*}} \dot{w}_J \dot{w} b U_{P_J})$  is contained in  $\tilde{Z}_{J,w}$  for any  $b \in \dot{w}^{n-1} \dot{v}_n^{-1} (U_{P_{J'_n}} \cap U_{J_{n-1}}) \dot{w}^{n-2} \dot{v}_{n-1}^{-1} (U_{P_{J'_{n-1}}} \cap U_{J_{n-2}}) \cdots \dot{v}_1^{-1} (U_{P_{J'_1}} \cap U_{J_0}) T$  plays an important role in section 3. We will discuss about it in more detail in 3.1.

**2.4.** Let  $(J_n, J'_n, u_n, y_n)_{n \geq 0}$  be the sequence that is determined by  $w_J$  and  $w_0 w_0^J$ . Assume that  $J_n = J'_n = J_{n+1} = J'_{n+1} = \cdots$  and  $u_n = u_{n+1} = \cdots = 1$ . Then  $z \mapsto \vartheta^n(z)$  is a  $G$ -equivariant morphism from  $\tilde{Z}_{J,w}$  to  $\tilde{Z}_{J_n,1}^w$  and induces a bijection from the set of  $G$ -orbits on  $\tilde{Z}_{J,w}$  to the set of  $G$ -orbits on  $\tilde{Z}_{J_n,1}^w$ .

Set  $\tilde{L}_{J,w} = L_{J_n}$  and  $\tilde{C}_{J,w} = \dot{w}\tilde{L}_{J,w}$ . Let  $N_G(\tilde{L}_{J,w})$  be the normalizer of  $\tilde{L}_{J,w}$  in  $G$ . Then  $\tilde{C}_{J,w}$  is a connected component of  $N_G(\tilde{L}_{J,w})$  and  $\tilde{Z}_{J_n,1}^w$  is a fibre bundle over  $\mathcal{P}^{J_n}$  with fibres isomorphic to  $\tilde{C}_{J,w}$ . There is a natural bijection between  $\tilde{C}_{J,w}$  and  $F = \{z = (P_{J_n}, P_{J_n}, \gamma_n) \mid z \in \tilde{Z}_{J_n,1}^w\}$  under which the action of  $\tilde{L}_{J,w}$  on  $\tilde{C}_{J,w}$  by conjugation corresponds to the action of  $P_{J_n}/U_{P_{J_n}}$  on  $F$  by conjugation. Therefore, we obtain a canonical bijection the set of  $G$ -stable subvarieties of  $\tilde{Z}_{J,w}$  and the set of  $\tilde{L}_{J,w}$ -stable subvarieties of  $\tilde{C}_{J,w}$  (see [L4, 8.21]). Moreover, a  $G$ -stable subvariety of  $\tilde{Z}_{J,w}$  is closed if and only if the corresponding  $\tilde{L}_{J,w}$ -stable subvariety of  $\tilde{C}_{J,w}$  is closed. By the remark 1 of 2.3, for any  $b \in B \cap \tilde{L}_{J,w}$ , the  $G$ -orbit that contains  $(P_J, \dot{w}_J^{-1} P_{J^*}, \dot{w}b)$  corresponds to the  $\tilde{L}_{J,w}$ -orbit that contains  $\dot{w}b$  via the bijection.

**2.5.** Since  $G$  is adjoint, the center of  $P/U_P$  is connected for any parabolic subgroup  $P$ . Let  $H_P$  be the inverse image of the (connected) center of  $P/U_P$  under  $P \rightarrow P/U_P$ . We can regard  $H_P/U_P$  as a single torus  $\Delta_J$  independent of  $P$ . Now  $\Delta_J$  acts (freely) on  $\tilde{Z}_J$  by  $\delta : (P, P', \gamma) \mapsto (P, P', \gamma z)$  where  $z \in H_P$  represents  $\delta \in \Delta_J$ . The action of  $G$  on  $\tilde{Z}_J$  commutes with the action of  $\Delta_J$  and induces an action of  $G$  on  $\Delta_J \setminus \tilde{Z}_J$ . There exists a  $G$ -equivariant isomorphism from  $Z_J$  to  $\Delta_J \setminus \tilde{Z}_J$  which sends  $(g_1, g_2) \cdot h_J$  to  $({}^{g_2}P_J, {}^{g_1}P_J^-, U_{g_1 P_J^-} g_1 g_2^{-1} H_{g_2 P_J})$ . We will identify  $Z_J$  with  $\Delta_J \setminus \tilde{Z}_J$ .

It is easy to see that  $\Delta_J(\tilde{Z}_{J,w}) = \tilde{Z}_{J,w}$ . Set  $Z_{J,w} = \Delta_J \setminus \tilde{Z}_{J,w}$ . Then

$$Z_J = \bigsqcup_{w \in W^J} Z_{J,w}.$$

Moreover, we may identify  $\Delta_J$  with a closed subgroup of the center of  $\tilde{L}_{J,w}$ . Set  $L_{J,w} = \tilde{L}_{J,w}/\Delta_J$  and  $C_{J,w} = \tilde{C}_{J,w}/\Delta_J$ . Thus we obtain a bijection between the set of  $G$ -stable subvarieties of  $Z_{J,w}$  and the set of  $L_{J,w}$ -stable subvarieties of  $C_{J,w}$  (see [L4, 11.19]). Moreover, a  $G$ -stable subvariety of  $Z_{J,w}$  is closed if and only if the corresponding  $L_{J,w}$ -stable subvariety of  $C_{J,w}$  is closed and for any  $b \in B \cap \tilde{L}_{J,w}$ , the  $G$ -orbit that contains  $(P_J, \dot{w}_J^{-1} P_{J^*}, \dot{w}b)$  corresponds to the  $L_{J,w}$ -orbit that contains  $\dot{w}b\Delta_J$  via the bijection.

**Proposition 2.6.** *For any  $w \in W^J$ ,  $Z_{J,w} = G_{diag} \cdot [J, w, 1]$ .*

*Proof.* By 2.3,  $(\dot{w}, b) \cdot h_J \in Z_{J,w}$  for all  $b \in B$ . Since  $Z_{J,w}$  is  $G$ -stable,  $G_{diag}[J, w, 1] \subset Z_{J,w}$ .

For any  $z \in Z_{J,w}$ , let  $C$  be the  $L_{J,w}$ -stable subvariety corresponding to  $G_{diag} \cdot z$  and let  $c$  be an element in  $\tilde{C}_{J,w}$  such that  $c\Delta_J \in C$ . By 2.2,  $\dot{w}$  normalizes  $B \cap \tilde{L}_{J,w}$ . Thus  $c$  is  $\tilde{L}_{J,w}$ -conjugate to an element of  $\dot{w}(B \cap \tilde{L}_{J,w})$ . Therefore,  $z$  is  $G$ -conjugate to  $(\dot{w}, b) \cdot h_J$  for some  $b \in B \cap \tilde{L}_{J,w}$ . The proposition is proved.  $\square$

**Proposition 2.7.** *For any  $w \in W^J$ ,  $\overline{Z_{J,w}} = \overline{G_{diag}(\dot{w}T, 1)} \cdot h_J$ .*

Proof. Since  $(\dot{w}T, 1) \cdot h_J \subset Z_{J,w}$  and  $\overline{Z_{J,w}}$  is a  $G$ -stable closed variety, we have that  $\overline{G_{diag}(\dot{w}T, 1) \cdot h_J} \subset \overline{Z_{J,w}}$ .

Set  $X = \{(\dot{w}t, u) \cdot h_J \mid t \in T, u \in U\}$ . For any  $u \in {}^{\dot{w}}U_J$  and  $t \in T$ , we have that  $Ad(\dot{w}t)^{-1}u \in U_J$  and  $u \in {}^{\dot{w}}U_J \subset U$ . Consider the map  $\phi : {}^{\dot{w}}U_J \times T \rightarrow X$  defined by  $\phi(u, t) = (u, u)(\dot{w}t, 1) \cdot h_J = (\dot{w}t, (\dot{w}t)^{-1}u\dot{w}tu^{-1}) \cdot h_J$ , for  $u \in {}^{\dot{w}}U_J, t \in T$ .

It is easy to see that there is an open subset  $T'$  of  $T$ , such that the restriction of  $\phi$  to  ${}^{\dot{w}}U_J \times T'$  is injective. Note that  $\dim(X) = \dim(T) + \dim(U/U_{P_J}) = \dim(T) + \dim(U_J) = \dim({}^{\dot{w}}U_J \times T)$ . Then the image of  $\phi$  is dense in  $X$ . The proposition is proved.  $\square$

**Remark.** This argument was suggested by the referee.

**2.8.** For  $w \in W$ , denote by  $\text{supp}(w)$  the set of simple roots whose associated simple reflections occur in a reduced expression of  $w$ . An element  $w \in W$  is called a Coxeter element if it is a product of the simple reflections, in some order, or in other words,  $|\text{supp}(w)| = l(w) = |I|$ . We have the following properties.

**Proposition 2.9.** *Fix  $i \in I$ . Then all the Coxeter elements are conjugate under elements of  $W_{I-\{i\}}$ .*

Proof. Let  $c, c'$  be Coxeter elements. We say that  $c'$  can be obtained from  $c$  via a cyclic shift if  $c = s_{i_1}s_{i_2}\cdots s_{i_n}$  is a reduced expression and  $c' = s_{i_1}cs_{i_1}$ . It is known that for any Coxeter elements  $c, c'$ , there exists a finite sequences of Coxeter elements  $c = c_0, c_1, \dots, c_m = c'$  such that  $c_{k+1}$  can be obtained from  $c_k$  via a cyclic shift (see [Bo, p. 116, Prop. 1]).

Now assume that  $c = s_{i_1}s_{i_2}\cdots s_{i_n}$  is a reduced expression of a Coxeter element. If  $i_1 \neq i$ , then  $s_{i_1}cs_{i_1}$  and  $c$  are conjugated by  $s_{i_1} \in W_{I-\{i\}}$ . If  $i_1 = i$ , then  $s_{i_1}cs_{i_1} = s_{i_2}s_{i_3}\cdots s_{i_n}c(s_{i_2}s_{i_3}\cdots s_{i_n})^{-1}$ . Therefore, if a Coxeter element can be obtained from another Coxeter element via a cyclic shift, then they are conjugated by elements of  $W_{I-\{i\}}$ . The proposition is proved.  $\square$

**Remark.** The proof of [loc. cit] also can be used to prove this proposition.

**Proposition 2.10.** *Let  $J \subset I$  and  $w \in W^J$  with  $\text{supp}(w) = I$ . Then there exist a Coxeter element  $w'$ , such that  $w' \in W^J$  and  $w' \leq w$ .*

Proof. We prove the statement by induction on  $l(w)$ .

Let  $i \in I$  with  $s_iw < w$ . Then  $s_iw \in W^J$ . If  $\text{supp}(s_iw) = I$ , then the statement holds by induction hypothesis on  $s_iw$ . Now assume that  $\text{supp}(s_iw) = I - \{i\}$ . By induction, there exists a Coxeter element  $w'$  of  $W_{I-\{i\}}$ , such that  $w' \in W^{J-\{i\}}$  and  $w' \leq s_iw$ . Then  $s_iw'$  is a Coxeter element of  $w$  and  $s_iw' \leq w$ .

Since  $w' \in W_{I-\{i\}}$ ,  $(w')^{-1}\alpha_i$  is either  $\alpha_i$  or a non-simple positive root. We also have that  $w'$  is a Coxeter element of  $W_{I-\{i\}}$ . Thus if  $(w')^{-1}\alpha_i = \alpha_i$ , then  $\langle \alpha_i, \alpha_j^\vee \rangle = 0$  for all  $j \neq i$ . It contradicts the assumption that  $G$  is simple. Hence  $(w')^{-1}\alpha_i$  is a non-simple positive root. Note that if  $s_iw' \notin W^J$ , then  $s_iw' = w's_j$  for some  $j \in J$ , that is,  $(w')^{-1}\alpha_i = \alpha_j$ . Therefore,  $s_iw' \in W^J$ . The proposition is proved.  $\square$



**Corollary 2.11.** *Let  $i \in I$ ,  $J = I - \{i\}$  and  $w$  be a Coxeter element of  $W$  with  $w \in W^J$ . Then  $\bigsqcup_{K \subset J} \bigsqcup_{w' \in W^K, \text{supp}(w')=I} Z_{K,w'} \subset \overline{Z_{J,w}}$ .*

Proof. By 1.4,  $[K, wv, v] \subset \overline{[J, w, 1]}$  for  $K \subset J$  and  $v \in W_J$ . Since  $\overline{Z_{J,w}}$  is  $G$ -stable,  $(\dot{v}^{-1}\dot{w}\dot{v}T, 1) \cdot h_K \subset \overline{Z_{J,w}}$ . By 2.9,  $(\dot{w}'T, 1) \cdot h_K \subset \overline{Z_{J,w}}$  for all Coxeter element  $w'$ . By 2.7,  $Z_{K,w'} \subset \overline{Z_{J,w}}$  for all Coxeter element  $w'$  with  $w' \in W^K$ . For any  $u \in W^K$  with  $\text{supp}(u) = I$ , there exists a Coxeter element  $w'$ , such that  $w' \in W^K$  and  $w' \leq u$ . Thus by 1.4, we have that  $[K, u, 1] \subset \overline{Z_{J,w}}$ . By 2.6,  $Z_{K,u} \subset \overline{Z_{J,w}}$ . The corollary is proved.  $\square$

**Remark.** In 4.4, we will show that the equality holds.

### 3. SOME COMBINATORIAL RESULTS

**3.1.** Fix  $i \in I$ . Define subsets  $I_k$  of  $I$  for all  $k \in \mathbf{N}$  in the following way. Set  $I_1 = \{i\}$ . Assume that  $I_k$  is already defined. Set

$$I_{k+1} = \{\alpha_j \mid j \in I - \cup_{l=1}^k I_l, \langle \alpha_j^\vee, \alpha_m \rangle \neq 0 \text{ for some } m \in I_k\}.$$

It is easy to see that if  $j_1, j_2 \in I_k$  with  $j_1 \neq j_2$ , then  $\langle \alpha_{j_1}^\vee, \alpha_{j_2}^\vee \rangle = 0$ . Thus  $s_{I_k} = \prod_{j \in I_k} s_j$  is well-defined. For sufficiently large  $n$ , we have  $I_n = I_{n+1} = \cdots = \emptyset$  and  $s_{I_n} = s_{I_{n+1}} = \cdots = 1$ . Now set  $w_k = s_{I_n} s_{I_{n-1}} \cdots s_{I_k}$  for  $k \in \mathbf{N}$ . We will write  $w^J$  for  $w_1$ . Set  $J_{-1} = I$  and  $J_0 = J = I - \{i\}$ . Then  $w^J$  is a Coxeter element and  $w^J \in W^J$ . Let  $(J_n, J'_n, u_n, y_n)$  be the sequence determined by  $w^J$  and  $w_0 w_0^J$ . Then we can show by induction that for  $k \geq 0$ ,  $J_k = J_{k-1} - I_{k+1}$ ,  $u_k = w_0^{J_{k-1}} w_0^{J_k} s_{I_{k+1}} w_0^{J_{k+1}} w_0^{J_k}$ ,  $y_k = w_0^{J_{k-1}} w_0^{J_k} s_{I_k} s_{I_{k-1}} \cdots s_{I_1}$  and  $J'_k = \text{Ad}(y_k) J_k$ . In particular,  $J_n = \emptyset$ . Thus  $\tilde{L}_{J,w^J} = T$  and  $\tilde{C}_{J,w^J} = \dot{w}^J T$ . Since  $w$  is a Coxeter element, the homomorphism  $T \rightarrow T$  sending  $t \in T$  to  $(\dot{w}^J)^{-1} t \dot{w}^J t^{-1}$  is surjective. Thus  $\tilde{L}_{J,w^J}$  acts transitively on  $\tilde{C}_{J,w^J}$ . By 2.5,  $G$  acts transitively on  $Z_{J,w^J}$ .

For  $k \in \mathbf{N}$ , we set  $v_k = w_0^{J_{k-1}} w_0^{J_k} w_{k+1}^{-1}$ . Then it is easy to see that

$$\dot{v}_k^{-1} (U_{P_{J'_k}} \cap U_{J_{k-1}}) = {}^{w_{k+1}} (U_{P_{J_k}}^- \cap U_{J_{k-1}}^-).$$

Therefore by 2.3, for  $b \in {}^{w^{n-1}w_{n+1}} (U_{P_{J_n}}^- \cap U_{J_{n-1}}^-) \cdots {}^{w_2} (U_{P_{J_1}}^- \cap U_{J_0}^-) T$ , we have that  $(\dot{w}^J b, 1) \cdot h_J \in Z_{J,w^J}$ .

In the rest of this section, we will keep the notations of  $J$ ,  $J_k$ ,  $w^J$  and  $w_k$  as above. We will prove the following statement.

**Proposition.** *Let  $X$  be a closed subvariety of  $\bar{G}$  satisfying the following condition: for any admissible pair  $(w, \lambda)$  and  $x \in W$  with  $x^{-1}\lambda$  is dominant, there exist some  $t \in T$ , such that  $G_{\text{diag}}(U \times U)(\dot{w}xt, \dot{x}) \cdot h_{I(x^{-1}\lambda)} \subset X$ . Then  $Z_{J,w^J} \subset X$ .*

An example of such  $X$  is  $\bar{U}$ . There are some other interesting examples, which we will discuss in 4.5. The proof is based on case-by-case checking.

**Remark.** The outline of the case-by-case checking is as follows.

For  $\lambda \in Y$ , we write  $\lambda \geq 0$  if  $\lambda \in \sum_{l \in I} \mathbf{R}_{\geq 0} \alpha_l^\vee$ .

We start with the fundamental coweight  $\omega_i^\vee$ . Find  $x \in W$  that satisfies the conditions (1)  $x\omega_i^\vee \geq 0$  and (2) for  $l \in I$ , either  $(s_l - 1)x\omega_i^\vee \geq 0$  or  $s_l x\omega_i^\vee \not\geq 0$ . Such  $x$  always exists, as we will see by case-by-case checking. The elements  $x\omega_i^\vee$  that we obtain in this way are not unique, in general. Fortunately, there always exists some  $x \in W$  that satisfies the conditions (1) and (2) and allows us to do the procedures that we will discuss below.

In the rest of the remark, we fix such  $x$ . Since  $x\omega_i^\vee \in Y$ , there exists  $n \in \mathbf{N}$ , such that  $nx\omega_i^\vee$  is contained in the coroot lattice. Set  $\lambda = nx\omega_i^\vee$ . Now we can find  $v \in W$  such that  $(v, \lambda)$  is admissible. (In practice, we find  $v \in W$  with  $l(v) = |\text{supp}(v)|$  and  $-v\lambda \geq 0$ . Then we can use lemma 3.2 to check that if  $(v, \lambda)$  is admissible.) By the assumption on  $X$ ,  $G_{\text{diag}}(U \times U)(\dot{v}xt, \dot{x}) \cdot h_J \subset X$  for some  $t \in T$ .

In some cases,  $x^{-1}vx = w_J$ . Since  $w_J$  is a Coxeter element,  $(\dot{w}_J T, 1) \cdot h_J = T_{\text{diag}}(\dot{w}_J t, 1) \cdot h_J \subset X$ . By 2.7,  $Z_{J, w_J} \subset X$ .

In other cases, the situation is more complicated. We need to choose some  $u \in U$ , such that  $(u\dot{v}xt, \dot{x}) \cdot h_J \in Z_{J, w_J}$ . This is the most difficult part of the case-by-case checking. The lemma 3.3 and lemma 2.3 will be used to overcome the difficulties.

Throughout this section, we will use the same labelling of Dynkin diagram as in [Bo]. For  $a, b \in I$ , we denote by  $s_{[a, b]}$  the element  $s_b s_{b-1} \cdots s_a$  of the Weyl group  $W$  and  $\dot{s}_{[a, b]} = \dot{s}_b \dot{s}_{b-1} \cdots \dot{s}_a$ . (If  $b < a$ , then  $s_{[a, b]} = 1$  and  $\dot{s}_{[a, b]} = 1$ .)

**Lemma 3.2.** *Let  $x = s_{i_1} s_{i_2} \cdots s_{i_n}$  with  $|\text{supp}(x)| = n$ . Then  $(1 - x^{-1})\omega_k^\vee = 0$  if  $k \notin \{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_n\}$  and  $(1 - x^{-1})\omega_{i_j}^\vee = s_{i_n} s_{i_{n-1}} \cdots s_{i_{j+1}} \alpha_{i_j}^\vee$ . Thus  $(x, \lambda)$  is admissible for all  $\lambda \in \sum_{j=1}^n \mathbf{N} s_{i_n} s_{i_{n-1}} \cdots s_{i_{j+1}} \alpha_{i_j}^\vee$ .*

The lemma is a direct consequence of [Bo, p. 226, Ex. 22a], which was pointed out to me by the referee.

**Lemma 3.3.** *Let  $w, x, y_1, y_2 \in W$  and  $t \in T$ . Assume that  $y_1 = s_{i_1} s_{i_2} \cdots s_{i_l}$ ,  $y_2 = s_{i_{l+1}} s_{i_{l+2}} \cdots s_{i_{l+k}}$  with  $k + l = |\text{supp}(y_1 y_2)|$ . If moreover,  $\langle \alpha_{i_{l_1}}^\vee, \alpha_{i_{l_2}} \rangle = 0$  for all  $1 \leq l_1 < l_2 \leq l$  and  $(1 - y_1 y_2)x\omega_i^\vee, (1 - y_1)w\omega_i^\vee \in \sum_{j=1}^k \mathbf{R}_{>0} \alpha_{i_j}^\vee$ , then there exists  $u \in U_{-w^{-1}\alpha_{i_{l+1}}} U_{-w^{-1}\alpha_{i_{l+2}}} \cdots U_{-w^{-1}\alpha_{i_{l+k}}}$  such that  $(\dot{x}^{-1}\dot{w}ut, 1) \cdot h_J \in G_{\text{diag}}(U \times U)(\dot{w}t, \dot{y}_1 \dot{y}_2 \dot{x}) \cdot h_J$ .*

*Proof.* We have that  $(1 - y_1 y_2)x\omega_i^\vee = \sum_{j=1}^{k+l} (1 - s_{i_j}) s_{i_{j+1}} \cdots s_{i_{l+k}} x\omega_i^\vee$ . Note that  $i_1, i_2, \dots, i_{k+l}$  are distinct and  $(1 - s_{i_j}) s_{i_{j+1}} \cdots s_{i_{l+k}} x\omega_i^\vee \in \mathbf{R} \alpha_{i_j}^\vee$  for all  $j$ . Hence  $(1 - s_{i_j}) s_{i_{j+1}} \cdots s_{i_{l+k}} x\omega_i^\vee \in \mathbf{R}_{>0} \alpha_{i_j}^\vee$  for all  $j$ , i. e.,  $\langle s_{i_{j+1}} \cdots s_{i_k} x\omega_i^\vee, \alpha_{i_j} \rangle \in \mathbf{R}_{>0}$ . Therefore  $\dot{x}^{-1} \dot{s}_{i_{l+k}}^{-1} \cdots \dot{s}_{i_{j+1}}^{-1} U_{\alpha_{i_j}} \dot{s}_{i_{j+1}} \cdots \dot{s}_{i_{l+k}} \dot{x} \subset U_{P_J}$ . Similarly, we have that  $\dot{w}^{-1} U_{-\alpha_{i_j}} \dot{w} \in U_{P_J^-}$  for  $j \leq l$ .

There exists  $u_j \in U_{\alpha_{i_j}}$  and  $u'_j \in U_{-\alpha_{i_j}}$  such that  $u_j \dot{s}_{i_j} u_j = u'_j$ . Note that  $u'_1 u'_2 \cdots u'_{l+k-1} \in L_{I-\{i_{l+k}\}}$ ,  $u_{l+k} \in U_{P_{I-\{i_{l+k}\}}}$  and  $\dot{x}^{-1} u_{l+k} \dot{x} \in U_{P_J}$ . Thus

$$\begin{aligned} u'_1 u'_2 \cdots u'_{l+k} \dot{x} &= u'_1 u'_2 \cdots u'_{l+k-1} u_{l+k} \dot{s}_{i_k} u_{l+k} \dot{x} \in U_{P_{I-\{i_k\}}} u'_1 u'_2 \cdots u'_{l+k-1} \dot{s}_{i_k} \dot{x} U_{P_J} \\ &\subset U u'_1 u'_2 \cdots u'_{l+k-1} \dot{s}_{i_k} \dot{x} U_{P_J}. \end{aligned}$$

We can show in the same way that  $u'_1 u'_2 \cdots u'_{l+k} \dot{x} \in U \dot{y}_1 \dot{y}_2 \dot{x} U_{P_J}$ . Therefore,  $(\dot{w}t, u'_1 u'_2 \cdots u'_{l+k} \dot{x}) \cdot h_J \in (U \times U)(\dot{w}t, \dot{y}_1 \dot{y}_2 \dot{x}) \cdot h_J$ . Set  $u = \dot{w}^{-1} u'_{l+1} u'_{l+2} \cdots u'_{l+k} \dot{w}$  and  $u' = t^{-1} \dot{w}^{-1} (u'_1 u'_2 \cdots u'_l)^{-1} \dot{w}t \in U_{P_J^-}$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} (\dot{x}^{-1} \dot{w}ut, 1) \cdot h_J &= (\dot{x}^{-1} \dot{w}ut u', 1) \cdot h_J = (\dot{x}^{-1} (u'_1 u'_2 \cdots u'_{l+k})^{-1} \dot{w}t, 1) \cdot h_J \\ &\in G_{diag}(U \times U)(\dot{w}t, \dot{y}_1 \dot{y}_2 \dot{x}) \cdot h_J. \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

**3.4.** In subsection 3.4 to subsection 3.7, we assume that  $G$  is  $PGL_n(k)$ . Without loss of generality, we assume that  $i \leq n/2$ . In this case,  $w^J = s_{[i+1, n-1]} s_{[1, i]}^{-1}$ . For any  $a \in \mathbf{R}$ , we denote by  $[a]$  the maximal integer that is less than or equal to  $a$ .

For  $1 \leq j \leq i$ , set  $a_j = [(j-1)n/i]$ . For convenience, we will set  $a_{i+1} = n-1$ . Note that for  $j \leq i-1$ ,  $a_{j+1} - a_j = [jn/i] - [(j-1)n/i] \geq [n/i] \geq 2$ . Therefore, we have that  $0 = a_1 < a_1 + 1 < a_2 < a_2 + 1 < \cdots < a_i < a_i + 1 \leq a_{i+1} = n-1$ . Now set  $b_0 = 0$ . For  $k \in \{1, 2, \dots, n-1\} - \{a_2, a_3, \dots, a_i\} - \{a_2+1, a_3+1, \dots, a_i+1\}$ , set  $b_k = i$ . For  $j \in \{2, 3, \dots, i\}$ , set  $b_{a_j} = (j-1)n - ia_k$  and  $b_{a_j+1} = i - b_{a_j}$ . In particular,  $b_{n-1} = i$ .

Now set  $v = s_{[a_1+1, a_2-\delta_{b_{a_2}, 0}]} s_{[a_2+1, a_3-\delta_{b_{a_3}, 0}]} \cdots s_{[a_i+1, a_{i+1}-\delta_{b_{a_{i+1}}, 0}]}$ , where  $\delta_{a,b}$  is the Kronecker delta. Set  $v_j = s_{[a_j+1, a_{j+1}]} s_{[a_{j+1}+1, a_{j+2}]} \cdots s_{[a_i+1, a_{i+1}]}$  for  $1 \leq j \leq i$ . Set  $\lambda = \sum_{j=1}^i \sum_{k=1}^{a_{j+1}-a_j} b_{a_j+k} (s_{[a_j+1, a_j+k-1]} v_{j+1})^{-1} \alpha_{a_j+k}^\vee$ . It is easy to see that for  $1 \leq a \leq b \leq n-1$  and  $1 \leq k \leq n-1$ ,

$$s_{[a,b]} \alpha_k^\vee = \begin{cases} \sum_{l=a-1}^b \alpha_l^\vee, & \text{if } k = a-1; \\ -\sum_{l=a}^b \alpha_l^\vee, & \text{if } k = a; \\ \alpha_{k-1}^\vee, & \text{if } a < k \leq b; \\ \alpha_b^\vee + \alpha_{b+1}^\vee, & \text{if } k = b+1; \\ \alpha_k^\vee, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

If  $b_{a_j+k} \neq 0$ , then  $(s_{[a_j+1, a_j+k-1]} s_{[a_{j+1}+1, a_{j+2}-\delta_{b_{a_{j+2}}, 0}]} \cdots s_{[a_i+1, a_{i+1}]})^{-1} \alpha_{a_j+k}^\vee = (s_{[a_j+1, a_j+k-1]} v_{j+1})^{-1} \alpha_{a_j+k}^\vee$ . By 3.2,  $(v, \lambda)$  is admissible.

We have that

$$\begin{aligned}
\lambda &= \sum_{j=1}^i \sum_{k=1}^{a_{j+1}-a_j-1} b_{a_j+k} v_{j+1}^{-1} s_{[a_j+1, a_j+k-1]}^{-1} \alpha_{a_j+k}^\vee + \sum_{j=1}^i b_{a_{j+1}} v_{j+1}^{-1} s_{[a_j+1, a_{j+1}-1]}^{-1} \alpha_{a_{j+1}}^\vee \\
&= \sum_{j=1}^i \sum_{k=1}^{a_{j+1}-a_j-1} \sum_{l=1}^k b_{a_j+k} \alpha_{a_j+l}^\vee + \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} b_{a_{j+1}} \sum_{l=1}^{a_{j+1}-a_j+1} \alpha_{a_j+l}^\vee + b_{a_{i+1}} \sum_{l=1}^{a_{i+1}-a_i} \alpha_{a_i+l}^\vee \\
&= \sum_{j=1}^i \sum_{k=1}^{a_{j+1}-a_j} \sum_{l=1}^k b_{a_j+k} \alpha_{a_j+l}^\vee + \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} b_{a_{j+1}} \alpha_{a_{j+1}+1}^\vee \\
&= \sum_{j=1}^i \sum_{l=1}^{a_{j+1}-a_j} \sum_{k=l}^{a_{j+1}-a_j} b_{a_j+k} \alpha_{a_j+l}^\vee + \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} b_{a_{j+1}} \alpha_{a_{j+1}+1}^\vee \\
&= \sum_{j=1}^i \sum_{l=2}^{a_{j+1}-a_j} ((a_{j+1} - a_j - l)i + b_{a_{j+1}}) \alpha_{a_j+l}^\vee + ((a_2 - 1)i + b_{a_2}) \alpha_1^\vee \\
&\quad + \sum_{j=2}^i (b_{a_j} + (a_{j+1} - a_j - 2)i + b_{a_{j+1}} + b_{a_{j+1}}) \alpha_{a_j+1}^\vee \\
&= \sum_{j=1}^i \sum_{l=1}^{a_{j+1}-a_j} ((a_{j+1} - a_j - l)i + b_{a_{j+1}}) \alpha_{a_j+l}^\vee = nx\omega_i^\vee.
\end{aligned}$$

Note that  $a_j \geq j$  for  $j \geq 2$ . Set  $x_i = 1$  and  $x_j = s_{[j+1, a_{j+1}]} s_{[j+2, a_{j+2}]} \cdots s_{[i, a_i]}$  for  $1 \leq j \leq i-1$ . If  $j = 1$ , we will simply write  $x$  for  $x_1$ .

**Lemma 3.5.** *For  $1 \leq j \leq i$ , we have that*

$$\begin{aligned}
nx_j\omega_i^\vee &= \sum_{l=1}^{j-1} l(n-i) \alpha_l^\vee + \sum_{l=j}^{a_{j+1}} (jn-il) \alpha_l^\vee \\
&\quad + \sum_{k=j+1}^i \sum_{l=1}^{a_{k+1}-a_k} ((a_{k+1} - a_k - l)i + b_{a_{k+1}}) \alpha_{a_k+l}^\vee.
\end{aligned}$$

In particular,  $nx\omega_i^\vee = \sum_{j=1}^i \sum_{l=1}^{a_{j+1}-a_j} ((a_{j+1} - a_j - l)i + b_{a_{j+1}}) \alpha_{a_j+l}^\vee$ .

Proof. We argue by induction on  $j$ . Note that  $n\omega_i^\vee = \sum_{l=1}^{i-1} l(n-i) \alpha_l^\vee + \sum_{l=i}^{n-1} i(n-l) \alpha_l^\vee$ . Thus the lemma holds for  $j = i$ .

Note that  $jn - i(a_j + l) = jn - ia_{j+1} + i(a_{j+1} - a_j - l) = b_{a_{j+1}} + i(a_{j+1} - a_j - l)$ .

Assume that the lemma holds for  $j$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned}
 nx_{j-1}\omega_i^\vee &= s_{[j,a_j]} \sum_{l=1}^{j-1} l(n-i)\alpha_l^\vee + s_{[j,a_j]} \sum_{l=j}^{a_{j+1}} (jn-il)\alpha_l^\vee \\
 &\quad + s_{[j,a_j]} \sum_{k=j+1}^i \sum_{l=1}^{a_{k+1}-a_k} ((a_{k+1}-a_k-l)i + b_{a_{k+1}})\alpha_{a_k+l}^\vee \\
 &= \sum_{l=1}^{j-2} l(n-i)\alpha_l^\vee + (j-1)(n-i) \sum_{l=j-1}^{a_j} \alpha_l^\vee - j(n-i) \sum_{l=j}^{a_j} \alpha_l^\vee + \sum_{l=j+1}^{a_j} (jn-il)\alpha_{l-1}^\vee \\
 &\quad + (jn-i(a_j+1))(\alpha_{a_j}^\vee + \alpha_{a_{j+1}}^\vee) + \sum_{l=a_j+2}^{a_{j+1}} (jn-il)\alpha_l^\vee \\
 &\quad + \sum_{k=j+1}^i \sum_{l=1}^{a_{k+1}-a_k} ((a_{k+1}-a_k-l)i + b_{a_{k+1}})\alpha_{a_k+l}^\vee \\
 &= \sum_{l=1}^{j-2} l(n-i)\alpha_l^\vee + (j-1)(n-i) \sum_{l=j-1}^{a_j} \alpha_l^\vee - j(n-i) \sum_{l=j}^{a_j} \alpha_l^\vee + \sum_{l=j+1}^{a_j} (jn-il)\alpha_{l-1}^\vee \\
 &\quad + (jn-i(a_j+1))\alpha_{a_j}^\vee + \sum_{k=j}^i \sum_{l=1}^{a_{k+1}-a_k} ((a_{k+1}-a_k-l)i + b_{a_{k+1}})\alpha_{a_k+l}^\vee \\
 &= \sum_{l=1}^{j-2} l(n-i)\alpha_l^\vee + (j-1)(n-i)\alpha_{j-1}^\vee + \sum_{l=j}^{a_j} ((j-1)(n-i) - j(n-i) + jn - i(l+1))\alpha_l^\vee \\
 &\quad + \sum_{k=j}^i \sum_{l=1}^{a_{k+1}-a_k} ((a_{k+1}-a_k-l)i + b_{a_{k+1}})\alpha_{a_k+l}^\vee \\
 &= \sum_{l=1}^{j-2} l(n-i)\alpha_l^\vee + \sum_{l=j-1}^{a_j} ((j-1)n-il)\alpha_l^\vee + \sum_{k=j}^i \sum_{l=1}^{a_{k+1}-a_k} ((a_{k+1}-a_k-l)i + b_{a_{k+1}})\alpha_{a_k+l}^\vee.
 \end{aligned}$$

Thus the lemma holds for  $j$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 3.6.** *We have that  $x^{-1}v_1x = w^J$ .*

Proof. If  $a_j \geq j+1$ , then  $s_{[j+1,a_{j+1}]}^{-1}s_{[a_j+1,a_{j+1}]} = s_{[j+1,a_j]}^{-1}$ . If  $j \geq 2$  and  $a_j < j+1$ , then  $j=2$ ,  $a_j=2$  and  $s_{[3,a_3]}^{-1}s_{[a_2+1,a_3]} = 1 = s_{[3,a_2]}^{-1}$ . In conclusion,  $s_{[j+1,a_{j+1}]}^{-1}s_{[a_j+1,a_{j+1}]} = s_{[j+1,a_j]}^{-1}$  for  $j \geq 2$ . Moreover,  $s_{[2,a_2]}^{-1}s_{[a_1+1,a_2]} = s_1$ . Thus

$$s_{[2,a_2]}^{-1}v_1s_{[2,a_2]} = s_{[2,a_2]}^{-1}s_{[a_1+1,a_2]}v_2s_{[2,a_2]} = s_1v_2s_{[2,a_2]} = v_2s_1s_{[2,a_2]} = v_2s_{[3,a_2]}s_1s_2.$$

$$\begin{aligned}
s_{[j+1,a_{j+1}]}^{-1} v_j s_{[j+1,a_j]} s_{[1,j]}^{-1} s_{[j+1,a_{j+1}]} &= s_{[j+1,a_{j+1}]}^{-1} s_{[a_j+1,a_{j+1}]} v_{j+1} s_{[j+1,a_j]} s_{[1,j]}^{-1} s_{[j+1,a_{j+1}]} \\
&= s_{[j+1,a_j]}^{-1} v_{j+1} s_{[j+1,a_j]} s_{[1,j]}^{-1} s_{[j+1,a_{j+1}]} \\
&= v_{j+1} s_{[1,j]}^{-1} s_{[j+2,a_{j+1}]} s_{j+1} = v_{j+1} s_{[j+2,a_{j+1}]} s_{[1,j+1]}^{-1}.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, we can prove by induction on  $j$  that  $x^{-1}v_1x = x_j^{-1}v_js_{[j+1,a_j]}s_{[1,j]}^{-1}x_j$  for  $1 \leq j \leq i$ . In particular,  $x^{-1}v_1x = s_{[i+1,n-1]}s_{[1,i]}^{-1}$ . The lemma is proved.  $\square$

**3.7.** By 3.4 and 3.5, there exists  $t \in T$ , such that  $(U \times U)(\dot{v}\dot{x}t, \dot{x}) \cdot h_J \subset X$ . Consider  $K = \{a_j \mid b_{a_j} = 0\}$ . Then for any  $j, j' \in K$  with  $j \neq j'$ , we have that  $|j - j'| \geq 2$  and  $\langle \alpha_j^\vee, \alpha_{j'}^\vee \rangle = 0$ . Set  $y = \prod_{j \in K} s_j$ . Then  $y$  is well-defined. Note that  $(1 - y)yx\omega_i^\vee, (1 - y)vx\omega_i^\vee \in \sum_{j \in K} \mathbf{R}_{>0}\alpha_j^\vee$ . By 3.3,  $(\dot{x}^{-1}\dot{y}\dot{v}\dot{x}t, 1) \cdot h_J \in X$ . Therefore,  $(\dot{x}^{-1}\dot{y}\dot{v}\dot{x}t, 1) \cdot h_J \in X$ . By 3.6,  $x^{-1}yvx = x^{-1}v_1x = w^J$ . Therefore,  $Z_{J,w^J} \cap X \neq \emptyset$ . By 3.1,  $G$  acts transitively on  $Z_{J,w^J}$ . Therefore  $Z_{J,w^J} \subset X$ .

**3.8.** In this subsection, we assume that  $G$  is of type  $C_n$  and set

$$\epsilon = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } 2 \mid i; \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Set  $v = s_{n-i+1}s_{n-i+3} \cdots s_{n-\epsilon}$ ,  $x_1 = s_{[n-i,n-1]}^{-1}s_{[n-i-1,n-2]}^{-1} \cdots s_{[1,i]}^{-1}$  and  $x_2 = s_{[n+\epsilon-1,n]}^{-1}s_{[n+\epsilon-3,n]}^{-1} \cdots s_{[n-i+2,n]}^{-1}$ . Set  $\lambda = \alpha_{n-i+1}^\vee + \alpha_{n-i+3}^\vee + \cdots + \alpha_{n-\epsilon}^\vee$ . Then we have that  $(v, \lambda)$  is admissible.

Now set  $\lambda' = \sum_{j \in I} \min(i, j)\alpha_j^\vee \in \mathbf{N}\omega_i^\vee$ . Set  $x_{1,j} = s_{[j-i+1,j]}^{-1}s_{[j-i,j-1]}^{-1} \cdots s_{[1,i]}^{-1}$  for  $i-1 \leq j \leq n-1$ , s. Then we can show by induction that  $x_{1,j}\lambda' = \sum_{k=1}^i k\alpha_{j-i+1+k}^\vee + i \sum_{l=j+2}^n \alpha_l^\vee$ . In particular,  $x_{1,i}\lambda' = \sum_{k=1}^i k\alpha_{n-i+k}^\vee$ .

For  $0 \leq j \leq (i + \epsilon - 1)/2$ , set  $x_{2,j} = s_{[n-i+2j,n]}^{-1}s_{[n-i+2j-2,n]}^{-1} \cdots s_{[n-i+2,n]}^{-1}$ . Then we can show by induction that  $x_{2,j}x_{1,i}\lambda' = \sum_{k=0}^{j-1} \alpha_{n-i+1+2k}^\vee + \sum_{l=1}^{i-2j} l\alpha_{n-i+2j+l}^\vee$ . In particular, we have that  $x_{2,i}\lambda' = \lambda$ . Therefore, there exists  $t \in T$ , such that  $(U, U)(\dot{v}\dot{x}_2\dot{x}_1t, \dot{x}_2\dot{x}_1) \cdot h_J \subset X$ .

Now set  $y_1 = s_{n+\epsilon-1}s_{n+\epsilon-3} \cdots s_{n-i}$  and  $y_2 = s_{[1,n-i-1]}$ . For  $1 \leq j \leq n-i-1$ , set  $\beta_k = -(vx_2x_1)^{-1}\alpha_k = -\alpha_{k+i}$ . Thus by 3.3, there exists  $u \in U_{\beta_1}U_{\beta_2} \cdots U_{\beta_{n-i}}$ , such that  $(\dot{x}_1^{-1}\dot{x}_2^{-1}\dot{y}_1\dot{y}_2\dot{v}\dot{x}_2\dot{x}_1ut, 1) \cdot h_J \in X$ .

For  $0 \leq j \leq (i + \epsilon - 1)/2$ , set

$$v_{2,j} = s_{[1,n-i]}(s_{n-i+2}s_{n-i+4} \cdots s_{n-i+2j})(s_{n-i+1}s_{n-i+3} \cdots s_{n-i+2j-1})s_{[n-i+2j+1,n]}^{-1}.$$

It is easy to see that  $s_{[n-i+2j,n]}v_{2,j}s_{[n-i+2j,n]}^{-1} = v_{2,j-1}$ . Therefore, we can show by induction that  $x_2^{-1}y_1y_2vx_2 = x_{2,j}^{-1}v_{2,j}x_{2,j}$  for  $0 \leq j \leq (i + \epsilon - 1)/2$ . In particular,  $x_2^{-1}y_1y_2vx_2 = s_{[1,n-i]}s_{[n-i+1,n]}^{-1}$ .

For  $i-1 \leq j \leq n-1$ , set  $v_{1,j} = s_{[1,j-i+1]}s_{[j+2,n]}s_{[j-i+2,j+1]}^{-1}$ . Then we have that  $s_{[j-i+1,j]}v_{1,j}s_{[j-i+1,j]}^{-1} = v_{1,j-1}$ . Therefore, we can show by induction

that  $x_1^{-1}s_{[1,n-i]}s_{[n-i+1,n]}^{-1}x_1 = x_{1,j}^{-1}v_{1,j}x_{1,j}$  for  $i-1 \leq j \leq n-1$ . In particular,  $x_2^{-1}y_1y_2vx_2 = s_{[i+1,n]}s_{[1,i]}^{-1} = w^J$ .

Moreover,  $w_{n-i-k+1}^{-1}w^{-n+i+k+1}\beta_k = w_{n-i-k+1}^{-1}(-\alpha_{n-1}) = -\sum_{l=n-k}^n \alpha_l$ . Since  $n-k \in J_{n-i-k-1} - J_{n-i-k}$ ,  $U_{\beta_k} \subset w^{n-i-k-1}\dot{w}_{n-i-k+1}(U_{P_{J_{n-i-k}}}^- \cap U_{J_{n-i-k-1}}^-)$ . By 3.1,  $(\dot{x}_1^{-1}\dot{x}_2^{-1}\dot{y}_1\dot{y}_2\dot{v}\dot{x}_2\dot{x}_1ut, 1) \cdot h_J \in Z_{J,w^J}$ . Therefore,  $Z_{J,w^J} \subset X$ .

For type  $B_n$ , we have the similar results.

**3.9.** In subsection 3.9 and 3.10, we assume that  $G$  is of type  $D_n$ . In this subsection, assume that  $i \leq n-2$ .

If  $2 \mid i$ , set  $v = s_{n-i}s_{n-i+2} \cdots s_{n-2}$ ,  $\lambda = \alpha_{n-i}^\vee + \alpha_{n-i+2}^\vee + \cdots + \alpha_{n-2}^\vee$  and  $x = (s_{[n-1,n]}^{-1}s_{[n-3,n]}^{-1} \cdots s_{[n-i+1,n]}^{-1})(s_{[n-i-1,n-2]}^{-1}s_{[n-i-2,n-3]}^{-1} \cdots s_{[1,i]}^{-1})$ .

If  $2 \nmid i$ , set  $v = (s_{n-i}s_{n-i+2} \cdots s_{n-1})s_n$ ,  $\lambda = \sum_{l=0}^{(i-3)/2} \alpha_{n-i+2l}^\vee + 1/2(\alpha_{n-1}^\vee + \alpha_n^\vee)$  and  $x = (s_{[n-2,n]}^{-1}s_{[n-4,n]}^{-1} \cdots s_{[n-i+1,n]}^{-1})(s_{[n-i-1,n-2]}^{-1}s_{[n-i-2,n-3]}^{-1} \cdots s_{[1,i]}^{-1})$ .

By the similar calculation to what we did for type  $C_{n-1}$ , we have that in both cases  $(v, \lambda)$  is admissible and  $x^{-1}\lambda = \omega_i^\vee$ . Moreover, by the similar argument to what we did for type  $C_{n-1}$ , we can show that  $Z_{J,w^J} \subset X$ .

**3.10.** Assume that  $i = n$ . Set

$$\epsilon = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } 2 \mid [n/2]; \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

If  $2 \nmid n$ , set  $v = s_{n+\epsilon-1}(s_1s_3 \cdots s_{n-2})s_{n-\epsilon}$ ,  $x = s_{n+\epsilon-1}(s_{[n-3,n]}^{-1}s_{[n-5,n]}^{-1} \cdots s_{[2,n]}^{-1})s_{n-1}$  and  $\lambda = \frac{3}{2}\alpha_{n-\epsilon}^\vee + \frac{1}{2}\alpha_{n+\epsilon-1}^\vee + \sum_{j=0}^{(n-3)/2} \alpha_{2j+1}^\vee$ . Then  $\lambda = 2x\omega_n^\vee$  and  $(v, \lambda)$  is admissible. Set  $y = s_2s_4 \cdots s_{n-3}$ . Then  $(\dot{v}\dot{x}t, \dot{y}^{-1}\dot{x}) \cdot h_J \in X$  for some  $t \in T$ . By 3.3,  $(\dot{x}^{-1}\dot{y}\dot{v}\dot{x}t, 1) \cdot h_J \in X$ . Since  $x^{-1}yvx = s_{n-1}s_{[1,n-2]}^{-1}s_n = w^J$ ,  $Z_{J,w^J} \subset X$ .

If  $2 \mid n$ , set  $v = (s_1s_3 \cdots s_{n-3})s_{n-\epsilon}$ ,  $\lambda = \alpha_{n-\epsilon}^\vee + \sum_{j=0}^{n/2-2} \alpha_{1+2j}^\vee$  and

$$x = \begin{cases} s_2s_4, & \text{if } n = 4; \\ s_{n-2}s_{n+\epsilon-1}(s_{[n-4,n]}^{-1}s_{[n-6,n]}^{-1} \cdots s_{[2,n]}^{-1})s_{n-1}, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Then  $\lambda = 2x\omega_n^\vee$  and  $(v, \lambda)$  is admissible. Therefore, there exists  $t \in T$ , such that  $(U, U)(\dot{v}\dot{x}t, \dot{x}) \cdot h_J \subset X$ . Set  $y_1 = s_2s_4 \cdots s_{n-2}$ ,  $y_2 = s_{n+\epsilon-1}$  and  $\beta = -(vx)^{-1}\alpha_{n+\epsilon-1} = -\alpha_{n/2}$ . By 3.3, there exists  $u \in U_\beta$  and  $t \in T$ , such that  $(\dot{x}^{-1}\dot{y}_1\dot{y}_2\dot{v}\dot{x}ut, 1) \cdot h_J \in X$ .

It is easy to see that  $x^{-1}y_1y_2vx = s_{n-1}s_{[1,n-2]}^{-1}s_n = w^J$  and

$$w_2^{-1}\beta = \begin{cases} -\sum_{l=1}^3 \alpha_l, & \text{if } n = 4; \\ -\sum_{l=n/2-1}^{n-2} \alpha_l, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Note that  $J_0 = I - \{n\}$  and  $J_1 = I - \{n-2, n\}$ . Thus  $U_\beta \subset w_2(U_{P_{J_1}}^- \cap U_{J_0}^-)$ . By 3.1,  $Z_{J,w^J} \subset X$ .

Similarly,  $Z_{I-\{i-1\}, s_n s_{[1,n-2]}^{-1} s_{n-1}} \subset X$ .

### 3.11. Type $G_2$ .

Set  $v = s_i$ ,  $x = w^J$  and  $\lambda = \alpha_i^\vee = x\omega_i^\vee$ . Then  $(v, \lambda)$  is admissible. Set  $y = s_{3-i}$ , then  $(\dot{x}^{-1}\dot{y}\dot{v}\dot{x}t, 1) \cdot h_J \in X$  for some  $t \in T$ . Note that  $x^{-1}yvx = w^J$ . Therefore,  $Z_{J,w^J} \subset X$ .

### 3.12. Type $F_4$ .

If  $i = 1$ , then set  $v = s_2$ ,  $x = s_1s_4w^2$  and  $\lambda = \alpha_2^\vee = x\omega_1^\vee$ . Thus  $(v, \lambda)$  is admissible. Set  $y_1 = s_1s_3$ ,  $y_2 = s_4$  and  $\beta = -(vx)^{-1}\alpha_4 = -(\alpha_2 + \alpha_3)$ . Then there exists  $u \in U_\beta$  and  $t \in T$ , such that  $(\dot{x}^{-1}\dot{y}_1\dot{y}_2\dot{v}\dot{x}ut, 1) \cdot h_J \in X$ . Note that  $x^{-1}y_1y_2vx = w^J$  and  $w_2^{-1}\beta = -(\alpha_2 + 2\alpha_3 + \alpha_4)$ . By 3.1,  $Z_{J,w^J} \subset X$ .

If  $i = 2$ , then set  $v = s_1s_3$ ,  $x = s_2w^2$  and  $\lambda = \alpha_1^\vee + \alpha_3^\vee = x\omega_2^\vee$ . Thus  $(v, \lambda)$  is admissible. Set  $y = s_2s_4$ , then  $(\dot{x}^{-1}\dot{y}\dot{v}\dot{x}t, 1) \cdot h_J \in X$  for some  $t \in T$ . Note that  $x^{-1}yvx = w^J$ . Thus  $Z_{J,w^J} \subset X$ .

If  $i = 3$ , then set  $v = s_2s_4$ ,  $x = s_3w^2$  and  $\lambda = 2\alpha_2^\vee + \alpha_4^\vee = x\omega_3^\vee$ . Thus  $(v, \lambda)$  is admissible. Set  $y = s_1s_3$ , then  $(\dot{x}^{-1}\dot{y}\dot{v}\dot{x}t, 1) \cdot h_J \in X$  for some  $t \in T$ . Note that  $x^{-1}yvx = w^J$ . Thus  $Z_{J,w^J} \subset X$ .

If  $i = 4$ , then set  $v = s_3$ ,  $x = s_1s_4w^2$  and  $\lambda = \alpha_3^\vee = x\omega_1^\vee$ . Thus  $(v, \lambda)$  is admissible. Set  $y_1 = s_2s_4$ ,  $y_2 = s_1$  and  $\beta = -(vx)^{-1}\alpha_1 = -(\alpha_2 + 2\alpha_3)$ . Then there exists  $u \in U_\beta$  and  $t \in T$ , such that  $(\dot{x}^{-1}\dot{y}_1\dot{y}_2\dot{v}\dot{x}ut, 1) \cdot h_J \in X$ . Note that  $x^{-1}y_1y_2vx = w^J$  and  $w_2^{-1}\beta = -(\alpha_1 + 2\alpha_2 + 2\alpha_3)$ . By 3.1,  $Z_{J,w^J} \subset X$ .

### 3.13. Type $E_6$ .

If  $i = 1$ , then set  $v = s_1s_5s_3s_6$ ,  $x = s_1s_4s_3s_1s_6w^J$  and  $\lambda = \alpha_1^\vee + 2\alpha_3^\vee + \alpha_5^\vee + 2\alpha_6^\vee = 3x\omega_1^\vee$ . Thus  $(v, \lambda)$  is admissible. Set  $y_1 = s_4$ ,  $y_2 = s_2$  and  $\beta = -(vx)^{-1}\alpha_2 = -(\alpha_3 + \alpha_4 + \alpha_5)$ . Then there exists  $u \in U_\beta$  and  $t \in T$ , such that  $(\dot{x}^{-1}\dot{y}_1\dot{y}_2\dot{v}\dot{x}ut, 1) \cdot h_J \in X$ . Note that  $x^{-1}y_1y_2vx = w^J$  and  $w_2^{-1}\beta = -(\alpha_2 + \alpha_3 + 2\alpha_4 + \alpha_5 + \alpha_6)$ . By 3.1,  $Z_{J,w^J} \subset X$ .

Similarly,  $Z_{I-\{6\}, s_2s_1s_3s_4s_5s_6} \subset X$ .

If  $i = 2$ , then set  $v = s_4$ ,  $x = s_2s_3s_5s_4s_2w^J$  and  $\lambda = \alpha_4^\vee = x\omega_1^\vee$ . Thus  $(v, \lambda)$  is admissible. Set  $y_1 = s_2s_3s_5$ ,  $y_2 = s_1s_6$ ,  $\beta_1 = -(vx)^{-1}\alpha_1 = -(\alpha_4 + \alpha_5)$  and  $\beta_2 = -(vx)^{-1}\alpha_6 = -(\alpha_3 + \alpha_4)$ . Then there exists  $u \in U_{\beta_1}U_{\beta_2}$  and  $t \in T$ , such that  $(\dot{x}^{-1}\dot{y}_1\dot{y}_2\dot{v}\dot{x}ut, 1) \cdot h_J \in X$ . Note that  $x^{-1}y_1y_2vx = w^J$ ,  $w_2^{-1}\beta_1 = -\sum_{l=3}^6 \alpha_l$  and  $w_2^{-1}\beta_2 = -(\alpha_1 + \alpha_3 + \alpha_4 + \alpha_5)$ . By 3.1,  $Z_{J,w^J} \subset X$ .

If  $i = 3$ , then set  $v = s_3s_6s_1s_4s_5$ ,  $x = s_2s_3s_4s_1s_3w^J$  and  $\lambda = 2\alpha_1^\vee + \alpha_3^\vee + 3\alpha_4^\vee + 5\alpha_5^\vee + \alpha_6^\vee = 3x\omega_3^\vee$ . Thus  $(v, \lambda)$  is admissible. Set  $y = s_2$ , then  $(\dot{x}^{-1}\dot{y}\dot{v}\dot{x}t, 1) \cdot h_J \in X$  for some  $t \in T$ . Note that  $x^{-1}yvx = w^J$ . Thus  $Z_{J,w^J} \subset X$ .

Similarly,  $Z_{I-\{5\}, s_2s_1s_3s_4s_6s_5} \subset X$ .

If  $i = 4$ , then set  $v = s_2s_3s_5$ ,  $x = s_4(w^J)^2$  and  $\lambda = \alpha_2^\vee + \alpha_3^\vee + 5\alpha_5^\vee = x\omega_3^\vee$ . Thus  $(v, \lambda)$  is admissible. Set  $y = s_1s_4s_6$ , then  $(\dot{x}^{-1}\dot{y}\dot{v}\dot{x}t, 1) \cdot h_J \in X$  for some  $t \in T$ . Note that  $x^{-1}yvx = w^J$ . Thus  $Z_{J,w^J} \subset X$ .

### 3.14. Type $E_7$ .

If  $i = 1$ , then set  $v = s_4$ ,  $x = s_3s_1s_2s_5s_4s_3s_1s_7(w^J)^2$  and  $\lambda = \alpha_4^\vee = x\omega_1^\vee$ . Thus  $(v, \lambda)$  is admissible. Set  $y_1 = s_3s_2s_5$ ,  $y_2 = s_1s_6s_7$ ,  $\beta_1 = -(vx)^{-1}\alpha_1 = -\sum_{l=3}^6 \alpha_l$ ,



$\beta_2 = -(vx)^{-1}\alpha_6 = -(\alpha_4 + \alpha_5)$  and  $\beta_3 = -(vx)^{-1}\alpha_7 = -(\alpha_2 + \alpha_3 + \alpha_4)$ . Then there exists  $u \in U_{\beta_3}U_{\beta_2}U_{\beta_1}$  and  $t \in T$ , such that  $(\dot{x}^{-1}\dot{y}_1\dot{y}_2\dot{v}\dot{x}ut, 1) \cdot h_J \in X$ . Note that  $x^{-1}y_1y_2vx = w^J$ ,  $w_2^{-1}\beta_1 = -\alpha_4 - \sum_{l=2}^7 \alpha_l$ ,  $w_2^{-1}\beta_2 = -\sum_{l=2}^6 \alpha_l$  and  $w_3^{-1}(w^J)^{-1}\beta_3 = -(\alpha_2 + \alpha_4 + \alpha_5 + \alpha_6)$ . By 3.1,  $Z_{J,w^J} \subset X$ .

If  $i = 2$ , then set  $v = s_2s_3s_5s_7$ ,  $x = s_4s_2s_7(w^J)^3$  and  $\lambda = \alpha_2^\vee + 2\alpha_3^\vee + \alpha_5^\vee + \alpha_7^\vee = 2x\omega_2^\vee$ . Thus  $(v, \lambda)$  is admissible. Set  $y = s_1s_4s_6$ . Then  $(\dot{x}^{-1}\dot{y}\dot{v}\dot{x}t, 1) \cdot h_J \in X$  for some  $t \in T$ . Note that  $x^{-1}yvx = w^J$ . Thus  $Z_{J,w^J} \subset X$ .

If  $i = 3$ , then set  $v = s_2s_3s_5$ ,  $x = s_1s_4s_3s_7(w^J)^3$  and  $\lambda = \alpha_2^\vee + \alpha_3^\vee + \alpha_5^\vee = x\omega_3^\vee$ . Thus  $(v, \lambda)$  is admissible. Set  $y_1 = s_1s_4s_6$ ,  $y_2 = s_7$  and  $\beta = -(vx)^{-1}\alpha_7 = -(\alpha_4 + \alpha_5)$ . Then there exists  $u \in U_{\beta_3}U_{\beta_2}U_{\beta_1}$  and  $t \in T$ , such that  $(\dot{x}^{-1}\dot{y}_1\dot{y}_2\dot{v}\dot{x}ut, 1) \cdot h_J \in X$ . Note that  $x^{-1}y_1y_2vx = w^J$  and  $w_2^{-1}\beta = -(\alpha_2 + \alpha_4 + \alpha_5 + \alpha_6)$ . By 3.1,  $Z_{J,w^J} \subset X$ .

If  $i = 4$ , then set  $v = s_1s_4s_6$ ,  $x = s_2s_3s_5s_4(w^J)^3$  and  $\lambda = \alpha_1^\vee + 2\alpha_4^\vee + \alpha_6^\vee = x\omega_4^\vee$ . Thus  $(v, \lambda)$  is admissible. Set  $y = s_2s_3s_5s_7$ . Then  $(\dot{x}^{-1}\dot{y}\dot{v}\dot{x}t, 1) \cdot h_J \in X$  for some  $t \in T$ . Note that  $x^{-1}yvx = w^J$ . Thus  $Z_{J,w^J} \subset X$ .

If  $i = 5$ , then set  $v = s_2s_3s_5s_7$ ,  $x = s_4s_6s_5(w^J)^3$  and  $\lambda = \alpha_2^\vee + 2\alpha_3^\vee + 3\alpha_5^\vee + \alpha_7^\vee = 2x\omega_5^\vee$ . Thus  $(v, \lambda)$  is admissible. Set  $y = s_1s_4s_6$ . Then  $(\dot{x}^{-1}\dot{y}\dot{v}\dot{x}t, 1) \cdot h_J \in X$  for some  $t \in T$ . Note that  $x^{-1}yvx = w^J$ . Thus  $Z_{J,w^J} \subset X$ .

If  $i = 6$ , then set  $v = s_4s_6$ ,  $x = s_1s_5s_7s_6(w^J)^3$  and  $\lambda = \alpha_4^\vee + \alpha_6^\vee = x\omega_6^\vee$ . Thus  $(v, \lambda)$  is admissible. Set  $y_1 = s_2s_3s_5s_7$ ,  $y_2 = s_1$  and  $\beta = -(vx)^{-1}\alpha_1 = -(\alpha_3 + \alpha_4 + \alpha_5)$ . Then there exists  $u \in U_\beta$  and  $t \in T$ , such that  $(\dot{x}^{-1}\dot{y}_1\dot{y}_2\dot{v}\dot{x}ut, 1) \cdot h_J \in X$ . Note that  $x^{-1}y_1y_2vx = w^J$  and  $w_2^{-1}\beta = -\alpha_4 - \sum_{l=1}^5 \alpha_l$ . By 3.1,  $Z_{J,w^J} \subset X$ .

If  $i = 7$ , then set  $v = s_2s_5s_7$ ,  $x = s_6s_7s_4s_5s_6s_7s_1(w^J)^2$  and  $\lambda = \alpha_2^\vee + \alpha_5^\vee + \alpha_7^\vee = 2x\omega_7^\vee$ . Thus  $(v, \lambda)$  is admissible. Set  $y_1 = s_4s_6$ ,  $y_2 = s_3s_1$ ,  $\beta_1 = -(vx)^{-1}\alpha_3 = -(\alpha_3 + \alpha_4 + \alpha_5)$  and  $\beta_2 = -(vx)^{-1}\alpha_1 = -(\alpha_2 + \alpha_4 + \alpha_5 + \alpha_6)$ . Then there exists  $u \in U_{\beta_2}U_{\beta_1}$  and  $t \in T$ , such that  $(\dot{x}^{-1}\dot{y}_1\dot{y}_2\dot{v}\dot{x}ut, 1) \cdot h_J \in X$ . Note that  $x^{-1}y_1y_2vx = w^J$ ,  $w_2^{-1}\beta_1 = -\alpha_4 - \sum_{l=1}^6 \alpha_l$ ,  $w_3^{-1}(w^J)^{-1}\beta_2 = -\alpha_4 - \sum_{l=1}^5 \alpha_l$ . By 3.1,  $Z_{J,w^J} \subset X$ .

### 3.15. Type $E_8$ .

If  $i = 1$ , then set  $v = s_4s_6$ ,  $x = s_3s_1s_2s_5s_4s_3s_1s_8(w^J)^5$  and  $\lambda = \alpha_4^\vee + \alpha_6^\vee = x\omega_1^\vee$ . Thus  $(v, \lambda)$  is admissible. Set  $y_1 = s_2s_3s_5s_7$ ,  $y_2 = s_1s_8$ ,  $\beta_1 = -(vx)^{-1}\alpha_1 = -\alpha_4 - \sum_{l=2}^6 \alpha_l$  and  $\beta_2 = -(vx)^{-1}\alpha_8 = -\sum_{l=3}^7 \alpha_l$ . Then there exists  $u \in U_{\beta_2}U_{\beta_1}$  and  $t \in T$ , such that  $(\dot{x}^{-1}\dot{y}_1\dot{y}_2\dot{v}\dot{x}ut, 1) \cdot h_J \in X$ . Note that  $x^{-1}y_1y_2vx = w^J$ ,  $w_2^{-1}\beta_1 = -\alpha_4 - \alpha_5 - \sum_{l=2}^7 \alpha_l$  and  $w_2^{-1}\beta_2 = -\alpha_4 - \sum_{l=2}^8 \alpha_l$ . By 3.1,  $Z_{J,w^J} \subset X$ .

If  $i = 2$ , then set  $v = s_2s_3s_5s_7$ ,  $x = s_4s_2s_7s_8(w^J)^6$  and  $\lambda = \alpha_2^\vee + \alpha_3^\vee + \alpha_5^\vee + \alpha_7^\vee = x\omega_2^\vee$ . Thus  $(v, \lambda)$  is admissible. Set  $y = s_1s_4s_6s_8$ . Then  $(\dot{x}^{-1}\dot{y}\dot{v}\dot{x}t, 1) \cdot h_J \in X$  for some  $t \in T$ . Note that  $x^{-1}yvx = w^J$ . Thus  $Z_{J,w^J} \subset X$ .

If  $i = 3$ , then set  $v = s_2s_3s_5s_7$ ,  $x = s_1s_4s_3s_7s_8(w^J)^6$  and  $\lambda = \alpha_2^\vee + \alpha_3^\vee + 2\alpha_5^\vee + \alpha_7^\vee = x\omega_3^\vee$ . Thus  $(v, \lambda)$  is admissible. Set  $y = s_1s_4s_6s_8$ . Then  $(\dot{x}^{-1}\dot{y}\dot{v}\dot{x}t, 1) \cdot h_J \in X$  for some  $t \in T$ . Note that  $x^{-1}yvx = w^J$ . Thus  $Z_{J,w^J} \subset X$ .

If  $i = 4$ , then set  $v = s_1s_4s_6s_8$ ,  $x = s_2s_5s_3s_4s_8(w^J)^6$  and  $\lambda = \alpha_1^\vee + 3\alpha_4^\vee + 2\alpha_6^\vee + \alpha_8^\vee = x\omega_4^\vee$ . Thus  $(v, \lambda)$  is admissible. Set  $y = s_2s_3s_5s_7$ . Then  $(\dot{x}^{-1}\dot{y}\dot{v}\dot{x}t, 1) \cdot h_J \in X$

for some  $t \in T$ . Note that  $x^{-1}yvx = w^J$ . Thus  $Z_{J,w^J} \subset X$ .

If  $i = 5$ , then set  $v = s_2s_3s_5s_7$ ,  $x = s_4s_6s_5(w^J)^6$  and  $\lambda = \alpha_2^\vee + 2\alpha_3^\vee + 2\alpha_5^\vee + \alpha_7^\vee = x\omega_5^\vee$ . Thus  $(v, \lambda)$  is admissible. Set  $y = s_1s_4s_6s_8$ . Then  $(\dot{x}^{-1}\dot{y}\dot{v}\dot{x}t, 1) \cdot h_J \in X$  for some  $t \in T$ . Note that  $x^{-1}yvx = w^J$ . Thus  $Z_{J,w^J} \subset X$ .

If  $i = 6$ , then set  $v = s_1s_4s_6$ ,  $x = s_1s_5s_7s_6(w^J)^6$  and  $\lambda = \alpha_1^\vee + 2\alpha_4^\vee + \alpha_6^\vee = x\omega_6^\vee$ . Thus  $(v, \lambda)$  is admissible. Set  $y_1 = s_2s_3s_5s_7$ ,  $y_2 = s_8$  and  $\beta = -(vx)^{-1}\alpha_8$ . Then there exists  $u \in U_\beta$  and  $t \in T$ , such that  $(\dot{x}^{-1}\dot{y}_1\dot{y}_2\dot{v}\dot{x}ut, 1) \cdot h_J \in X$ . Note that  $x^{-1}y_1y_2vx = w^J$  and  $w_2^{-1}\beta = -\alpha_4 - \sum_{l=1}^5 \alpha_l$ . By 3.1,  $Z_{J,w^J} \subset X$ .

If  $i = 7$ , then set  $v = s_2s_3s_5$ ,  $x = s_6s_7s_8s_4s_5s_6s_7(w^J)^5$  and  $\lambda = \alpha_2^\vee + \alpha_3^\vee + \alpha_5^\vee = x\omega_7^\vee$ . Thus  $(v, \lambda)$  is admissible. Set  $y_1 = s_1s_4s_6$ ,  $y_2 = s_7s_8$ ,  $\beta_1 = -(vx)^{-1}\alpha_7 = -(\alpha_3 + \alpha_4 + \alpha_5)$  and  $\beta_2 = -(vx)^{-1}\alpha_8 = -(\alpha_2 + \alpha_4 + \alpha_5 + \alpha_6)$ . Then there exists  $u \in U_{\beta_2}U_{\beta_1}$  and  $t \in T$ , such that  $(\dot{x}^{-1}\dot{y}_1\dot{y}_2\dot{v}\dot{x}ut, 1) \cdot h_J \in X$ . Note that  $x^{-1}y_1y_2vx = w^J$ ,  $w_2^{-1}\beta_1 = -\alpha_4 - \sum_{l=1}^6 \alpha_l$  and  $w_3^{-1}(w^J)^{-1}\beta_2 = -\alpha_4 - \sum_{l=1}^5 \alpha_l$ . By 3.1,  $Z_{J,w^J} \subset X$ .

If  $i = 8$ , then set  $v = s_4$ ,  $x = s_1s_5s_6s_7s_8(w^J)^5$  and  $\lambda = \alpha_4^\vee = x\omega_8^\vee$ . Thus  $(v, \lambda)$  is admissible. Set  $y_1 = s_5s_2s_3$ ,  $y_2 = s_1s_6s_7s_8$ ,  $\beta_1 = -(vx)^{-1}\alpha_1 = -\alpha_4 - \sum_{l=2}^7 \alpha_l$ ,  $\beta_2 = -(vx)^{-1}\alpha_6 = -(\alpha_3 + \alpha_4 + \alpha_5)$ ,  $\beta_3 = -(vx)^{-1}\alpha_7 = w^J\beta_2$  and  $\beta_4 = -(vx)^{-1}\alpha_8 = (w^J)^2\beta_2$ . Then there exists  $u \in U_{\beta_4}U_{\beta_3}U_{\beta_2}U_{\beta_1}$  and  $t \in T$ , such that  $(\dot{x}^{-1}\dot{y}_1\dot{y}_2\dot{v}\dot{x}ut, 1) \cdot h_J \in X$ . Note that  $x^{-1}y_1y_2vx = w^J$ ,  $w_2^{-1}\beta_1 = -\sum_{l=3}^6 \alpha_l - \sum_{l=1}^7 \alpha_l$ ,  $w_2^{-1}\beta_2 = -\alpha_4 - \sum_{l=1}^7 \alpha_l$ ,  $w_3^{-1}(w^J)^{-1}\beta_3 = -\alpha_4 - \sum_{l=1}^6 \alpha_l$  and  $w_4^{-1}(w^J)^{-2}\beta_4 = -\alpha_4 - \sum_{l=1}^5 \alpha_l$ . By 3.1,  $Z_{J,w^J} \subset X$ .

#### 4. THE EXPLICIT DESCRIPTION OF $\bar{\mathcal{U}}$

**4.1.** We assume that  $G^1$  is a disconnected algebraic group such that its identity component  $G^0$  is reductive. Following [St, 9], an element  $g \in G^1$  is called quasi-semisimple if  $gBg^{-1} = B$ ,  $gTg^{-1} = T$  for some Borel subgroup  $B$  of  $G^0$  and some maximal torus  $T$  of  $B$ . We have the following properties.

- (a) *if  $g$  is semisimple, then it is quasi-semisimple.* See [St, 7.5, 7.6].
- (b) *Let  $g \in G^1$  is a quasi-semisimple element and  $T_1$  be a maximal torus of  $Z_{G^0}(g)^0$ , where  $Z_{G^0}(g)^0$  is the identity component of  $\{x \in G^0 \mid xg = gx\}$ . Then any quasi-semisimple element in  $gG^0$  is  $G^0$ -conjugate to some element of  $gT_1$ .* See [L4, 1.14].
- (c)  *$g$  is quasi-semisimple if and only if the  $G^0$ -conjugacy class of  $g$  is closed in  $G^1$ .* See [Spa, 1.15(f)] for the if-part, the only-if-part is due to Lusztig in an unpublished note. His proof is as follows.

**Proposition(Lusztig).** *Let  $g \in G^1$ . Let  $cl_{G^0}g$  be the  $G^0$ -conjugacy class of  $g$ . Assume that  $cl_{G^0}g$  is closed. Then  $g$  is quasi-semisimple.*

*Proof.* The proof is due to Lusztig.

By [St 7.2], we can find a Borel subgroup  $B$  such that  $gBg^{-1} = B$ . Let  $cl_Bg$  be the  $B$ -conjugacy class of  $g$ . Since  $cl_Bg \subset cl_{G^0}g$  and  $cl_{G^0}g$  is closed, we see that the closure of  $cl_Bg$  is contained in  $cl_{G^0}g$ . By [Spa 1.15(e)], the closure of  $cl_Bg$  contains

a quasi-semisimple element. Hence  $cl_{G^0}g$  contains a quasi-semisimple element. Hence  $g$  is quasi-semisimple.  $\square$

**4.2.** Let  $\rho_i : G \rightarrow GL(V_i)$  be the irreducible representation of  $G$  with lowest weight  $-\omega_i$  and  $\bar{\rho}_i : \bar{G} \rightarrow P(\text{End}(V_i))$  be the morphism induced from  $\rho_i$  (see [DS, 3.15]). Let  $\mathcal{N}$  be the subvariety of  $\bar{G}$  consisting of elements such that for all  $i \in I$ , the images under  $\bar{\rho}_i$  are represented by nilpotent endomorphisms of  $V_i$ . We have the following result.

**Theorem 4.3.** *We have that*

$$\bar{\mathcal{U}} - \mathcal{U} = \mathcal{N} = \bigsqcup_{J \subsetneq I} \bigsqcup_{w \in W^J, \text{supp}(w)=I} Z_{J,w}.$$

Proof. By 2.11 and the results in section 3, we have that

$$\bigsqcup_{J \subsetneq I} \bigsqcup_{w \in W^J, \text{supp}(w)=I} Z_{J,w} \subset \bar{\mathcal{U}} - \mathcal{U}.$$

For  $i \in I$ , let  $X_i$  be the subvariety of  $P(\text{End}(V_i))$  consisting of the elements that can be represented by unipotent or nilpotent endomorphisms of  $V_i$ . Then  $X_i$  is closed in  $P(\text{End}(V_i))$ . Thus,  $\bar{\rho}_i(z) \in X_i$  for  $z \in \bar{\mathcal{U}}$ . Moreover, since  $G$  is simple, for any  $g \in \bar{G}$ ,  $\bar{\rho}_i(g)$  is represented by an automorphism of  $V_i$  if and only if  $g \in G$ . Thus if  $z \in \bar{\mathcal{U}} - \mathcal{U}$ , then  $\bar{\rho}_i(z)$  is represented by a nilpotent endomorphism of  $V_i$ . Therefore  $\bar{\mathcal{U}} - \mathcal{U} \subset \mathcal{N}$ .

Assume that  $w \in W^J$  with  $\text{supp}(w) \neq I$  and  $\mathcal{N} \cap Z_{J,w} \neq \emptyset$ . Let  $C$  be the closed  $L_{J,w}$ -stable subvariety that corresponds to  $\mathcal{N} \cap Z_{J,w}$ . We have seen that  $\dot{w}$  is a quasi-semisimple element of  $N_G(L_{J,w})$ . Moreover, there exists a maximal torus  $T_1$  in  $Z_{L_{J,w}}(w)^0$  such that  $T_1 \subset T$ . Since  $C$  is an  $L_{J,w}$ -stable nonempty closed subvariety of  $C_{J,w}$ ,  $\dot{w}t \in C$  for some  $t \in T_1$ . Set  $z = (\dot{w}t, 1) \cdot h_J$ . Then  $z \in \mathcal{N}$ .

Since  $\text{supp}(w) \neq I$ , there exists  $i \in I$  with  $i \notin \text{supp}(w)$ . Then  $-w\omega_i = -\omega_i$ . Let  $v$  be a lowest weight vector in  $V_i$ . Assume that  $\bar{\rho}_i(z)$  is represented by an endomorphism  $A$  of  $V$ . Then  $Av \in k^*v$ . Thus  $z \notin \mathcal{N}$ . That is a contradiction. Therefore  $\mathcal{N} \subset \bigsqcup_{J \subsetneq I} \bigsqcup_{w \in W^J, \text{supp}(w)=I} Z_{J,w}$ . The theorem is proved.  $\square$

**Remark.** Let  $G = PGL_4(k)$  and  $I = \{1, 2, 3\}$ . Then the theorem implies that  $Z_{\{1,3\}, s_2 s_1 s_3 s_2} \subset \bar{\mathcal{U}}$ . By 2.5, we can see that  $Z_{\{1,3\}, s_2 s_1 s_3 s_2}$  contains infinitely many  $G$ -orbits. Therefore  $\bar{\mathcal{U}}$  contains infinitely many  $G$ -orbits.

**Corollary 4.4.** *Let  $i \in I$  and  $J = I - \{i\}$  and  $w$  be a Coxeter element of  $W$  with  $w \in W^J$ . Then  $\overline{Z_{J,w}} = \bigsqcup_{K \subset J} \bigsqcup_{w' \in W^K, \text{supp}(w')=I} Z_{K,w'}$ .*

Proof. Note that  $Z_{J,w} \subset \bar{\mathcal{U}} \cap (\bigsqcup_{K \subset J} Z_K)$ . Since  $\bar{\mathcal{U}}$  and  $\bigsqcup_{K \subset J} Z_K$  are closed,  $\overline{Z_{J,w}} \subset \bar{\mathcal{U}} \cap (\bigsqcup_{K \subset J} Z_K) = \bigsqcup_{K \subset J} \bigsqcup_{w' \in W^K, \text{supp}(w')=I} Z_{K,w'}$ . Therefore by 2.11,  $\overline{Z_{J,w}} = \bigsqcup_{K \subset J} \bigsqcup_{w' \in W^K, \text{supp}(w')=I} Z_{K,w'}$ .  $\square$

**4.5.** Let  $\sigma : G \rightarrow T/W$  be the morphism which sends  $g \in G$  to the  $W$ -orbit in  $T$  that contains an element in the  $G$ -conjugacy class of the semisimple part  $g_s$ . The map  $\sigma$  is called Steinberg map. The fibers of  $\sigma$  are called Steinberg fibers. The unipotent variety is an example of Steinberg fiber. Some other interesting examples are the regular semisimple conjugacy classes of  $G$ .

Let  $F$  be a fiber of  $\sigma$ . It is known that  $F$  is a union of finitely many  $G$ -conjugacy classes. Let  $t$  be a representative of  $\sigma(F)$  in  $T$ , then  $F = G_{diag} \cdot tU$  and  $\bar{F} = G_{diag} \cdot t\bar{U}$  (see [Spr2, 1.4]). It is easy to see that  $t(\bar{U} - U) \subset \mathcal{N}$ . Thus  $\bar{F} - F = G_{diag} \cdot t(\bar{U} - U) \subset \mathcal{N}$ . Therefore, if  $(w, \lambda)$  is admissible and  $x^{-1} \cdot \lambda$  dominant, then there exists some  $t' \in T$  such that  $(U \times U)(\dot{w}\dot{x}t', \dot{x}) \cdot h_{I(x^{-1}\lambda)} \subset t\bar{U}$ . Thus by 2.11 and the results in section 3,  $\bigsqcup_{J \subsetneq I} \bigsqcup_{w \in W^J, \text{supp}(w)=I} Z_{J,w} \subset \bar{F} - F$ . Therefore, we have

$$\bar{F} - F = \mathcal{N} = \bigsqcup_{J \subsetneq I} \bigsqcup_{w \in W^J, \text{supp}(w)=I} Z_{J,w}.$$

Thus  $\bar{F} - F$  is independent of the choice of the Steinberg fiber  $F$ . As a consequence, in general,  $\bar{F}$  contains infinitely many  $G$ -orbits (answering a question that Springer asked in [Spr2]).

**4.6.** For any variety  $X$  that is defined over the finite field  $\mathbf{F}_q$ , we write  $|X|_q$  for the number of  $\mathbf{F}_q$ -rational points in  $X$ .

If  $G$  is defined and split over the finite field  $\mathbf{F}_q$ , then for any  $w \in W^J$ ,  $|\tilde{Z}_{J,w}|_q = |G|_q q^{-l(w)}$  (see [L4, 8.20]). Thus

$$|Z_{J,w}|_q = |G|_q q^{-l(w)} (q-1)^{-|I-J|} = \left( \sum_{u \in W} q^{l(u)} \right) (q-1)^{|J|} q^{l(w_0 w)}.$$

Set  $L(w) = \{i \in I \mid ws_i < w\}$ . Then  $w \in W^J$  if and only if  $J \subset L(w_0 w)$ . Moreover, if  $w \neq 1$ , then  $L(w_0 w) \neq I$ . Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} |\bar{\mathcal{U}} - \mathcal{U}|_q &= \sum_{J \neq I} \sum_{w \in W^J, \text{supp}(w)=I} |Z_{J,w}|_q = \left( \sum_{w \in W} q^{l(w)} \right) \sum_{J \neq I} \sum_{w \in W^J, \text{supp}(w)=I} (q-1)^{|J|} q^{l(w_0 w)} \\ &= \sum_{w \in W} q^{l(w)} \sum_{\text{supp}(w)=I} \sum_{J \subset L(w_0 w)} q^{l(w_0 w)} (q-1)^{|J|} \\ &= \sum_{w \in W} q^{l(w)} \sum_{\text{supp}(w)=I} q^{l(w_0 w) + |L(w_0 w)|}. \end{aligned}$$

**Remark.** Note that  $|\bar{G}|_q = \sum_{w \in W} q^{l(w)} \sum_{w \in W} q^{l(w_0 w) + |L(w_0 w)|}$  (see [DP, 7.7]). Our formula for  $|\bar{\mathcal{U}} - \mathcal{U}|_q$  bears some resemblance to the formula for  $|\bar{G}|_q$ .

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS.

I thank George Lusztig for suggesting the problem and for many helpful discussions. I thank T. A. Springer for his note [Spr2]. I benefited a lot from it. I also thank the referee for his/her help and suggestions.

## REFERENCES

- [Bo] N. Bourbaki, *Groupes et algèbres de Lie*, Ch. 4,5,6, Hermann, Paris, 1968.
- [DP] C. De Concini and C. Procesi, *Complete symmetric varieties*, Invariant theory (Montecatini 1982), Lect. Notes Math., vol. 996, Springer, 1983, pp. 1-44.
- [DS] C. De Concini and T. A. Springer, *Compactification of symmetric varieties*, Transform. Groups **4** (1999), no. 2-3, 273-300.
- [EGA] A. Grothendieck and J. Dieudonné, *Éléments de Géométrie Algébrique*, Publ. Math. I.H.E.S., 1960-1967.
- [L1] G. Lusztig, *Total positivity in reductive groups*, Lie Theory and Geometry: in honor of Bertram Kostant, Progress in Math. **123** (1994), Birkhäuser, Boston, 531-568.
- [L2] G. Lusztig, *Character sheaves on disconnected groups I*, Represent. Th. **7** (2003), 374-403.
- [L3] G. Lusztig, *Parabolic character sheaves I*, Moscow Math. J **4** (2004), 153-179.
- [L4] G. Lusztig, *Parabolic character sheaves II*, Moscow Math. J **4** (2004), 869-896.
- [Spa] N. Spaltenstein, *Classes unipotents et sous-groupes de Borel*, *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*, vol. 946, Springer Verlag, New York, 1982.
- [Spr1] T. A. Springer, *Intersection cohomology of  $B \times B$ -orbits closures in group compactifications*, J. Alg. **258** (2002), 71-111.
- [Spr2] T. A. Springer, *Some subvarieties of a group compactification*, proceedings of the Bombay conference on algebraic groups, to appear.
- [St] R. Steinberg, *Endomorphisms of linear algebraic groups*, *Memoirs of Amer. Math. Soc.*, vol. 80, 1968.
- [Str] E. Strickland, *A vanishing theorem for group compactifications*, Math. Ann. **277** (1987), no. 1, 165-171.