# Classification of simple weight Virasoro modules with a finite-dimensional weight space

Volodymyr Mazorchuk and Kaiming Zhao

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: 17B68, 17B10 Key words: Virasoro algebra, weight module, simple module, support

#### Abstract

We show that the support of a simple weight module over the Virasoro algebra, which has an infinite-dimensional weight space, coincides with the weight lattice and that all non-trivial weight spaces of such module are infinite dimensional. As a corollary we obtain that every simple weight module over the Virasoro algebra, having a non-trivial finite-dimensional weight space, is a Harish-Chandra module (and hence is either a simple highest or lowest weight module or a simple module from the intermediate series). This implies positive answers to two conjectures about simple pointed and simple mixed modules over the Virasoro algebra.

## 1 Description of the results

The Virasoro algebra  $\mathcal{V}$  over an algebraically closed field,  $\mathbb{k}$ , of characteristic zero has a basis, consisting of a central element, c, and elements  $e_i$ ,  $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ , with the Lie bracket defined for the basis elements as follows:

$$[e_i, e_j] = (j - i)e_{i+j} + \delta_{i,-j} \frac{i^3 - i}{12}c.$$

The linear span of c and  $e_0$  is called the Cartan subalgebra  $\mathfrak{H}$  of  $\mathcal{V}$  and an  $\mathfrak{H}$ -diagonalizable  $\mathcal{V}$ -module is usually called a weight module. If, additionally, all weight spaces of a weight  $\mathcal{V}$ -module are finite-dimensional, the module is called a Harish-Chandra module, see for example [M]. All simple Harish-Chandra modules were classified in [MP1, MP2, M] and are exhausted by simple highest weight modules, simple lowest weight modules and simple modules from the so-called intermediate series (see e.g. [M] for definitions).

If M is a simple weight  $\mathcal{V}$ -module, then c acts on M by a scalar, called the central charge of M. Furthermore, M can be written as a direct sum of its weight spaces,  $M = \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \mathbb{R}} M_{\lambda}$ , where  $M_{\lambda}$  is the set of all elements of M on which  $e_0$  acts as the multiplication with  $\lambda$ . The set of all  $\lambda$  for which  $M_{\lambda} \neq 0$  is called the support of M and is denoted by  $\operatorname{supp}(M)$ . Obviously, if M is a simple weight  $\mathcal{V}$ -module, then there exists  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$  such that  $\operatorname{supp}(M) \subset \lambda + \mathbb{Z}$ . A simple weight  $\mathcal{V}$ -module, M, is called pointed provided that there exists  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$  such that  $\dim M_{\lambda} = 1$  (for example from the classification of simple Harish-Chandra modules it follows that they all are pointed). The following question was formulated in [Xu, Problem 3.3]:

**Question:** Is any simple pointed V-module a Harish-Chandra module?

A simple weight  $\mathcal{V}$ -module, M, is called *mixed* provided that there exist  $\lambda \in \mathbb{k}$  and  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that dim  $M_{\lambda} = \infty$  and dim  $M_{\lambda+k} < \infty$ . The following conjecture, a positive answer to which implies a positive answer to the question above, was formulated in [Maz, Conjecture 1]:

Conjecture: There are no simple mixed V-modules.

In the present paper we prove the following result, which implies positive answers to both the Question and the Conjecture above:

**Theorem 1.** Let M be a simple weight  $\mathcal{V}$ -module. Assume that there exists  $\lambda \in \mathbb{k}$  such that  $\dim M_{\lambda} = \infty$ . Then  $\operatorname{supp}(M) = \lambda + \mathbb{Z}$  and for every  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$  we have  $\dim M_{\lambda+k} = \infty$ .

Apart from the positive answers to the Question and the Conjecture above, Theorem 1 also implies the following classification of all simple weight  $\mathcal{V}$ -modules which admit a non-trivial finite-dimensional weight space:

Corollary 2. Let M be a simple weight V-module. Assume that there exists  $\lambda \in \mathbb{K}$  such that  $0 < \dim M_{\lambda} < \infty$ . Then M is a Harish-Chandra module. Consequently, M is either a simple highest or lowest weight module or a simple module from the intermediate series.

The paper is organized as follow: Theorem 1 is proved in Section 2 and in Section 3 we discuss the corollaries from this theorem.

Acknowledgments. The research was done during the visit of the first author to Beijing Normal University in May 2005. The hospitality and financial support of Beijing Normal University are gratefully acknowledged. For the first author the research was partially supported by the Royal Swedish Academy of Sciences, the Swedish Research Council, and STINT (the Swedish Foundation for International Cooperation in research and Higher Education). The second author is supported by NSERC, and NSF of China (Grant 10371120 and 10431040).

### 2 Proof of Theorem 1

Our strategy to prove Theorem 1 is the following: first we show in Lemma 3 that a simple weight  $\mathcal{V}$ -module with an infinite-dimensional weight space can have at most one weight space of finite dimension in the weight lattice. Then in Lemma 5 we show that this finite-dimensional weight must belong to  $\{-1,0,1\}$ . These three cases are excluded in Lemma 6 and Lemma 7 by a case-by-case analysis. The key point of our proof is Lemma 4, which is an easy technical statement claiming that some special element from the universal enveloping algebra  $U(\mathcal{V})$  annihilates certain elements of the module. The statement is very easy to prove by a direct computation, however, the main and perhaps most non-trivial idea of the paper is that there should exist an element in the universal enveloping algebra  $U(\mathcal{V})$ , which satisfies the conclusion of Lemma 4.

Let M be a simple weight  $\mathcal{V}$ -module. We start with the following obvious observation:

**Principal Observation:** Assume that there exists  $\mu \in \mathbb{k}$  and a non-zero element,  $v \in M_{\mu}$ , such that  $e_1v = e_2v = 0$  or  $e_{-1}v = e_{-2}v = 0$ . Then M is a Harish-Chandra module.

*Proof.* Indeed, under these conditions v is either a highest or a lowest weight vector and hence M is either a highest or a lowest weight module. Hence M is a Harish-Chandra module (see e.g. [M]).

Assume now that M is a simple weight  $\mathcal{V}$ -module and that there exists  $\lambda \in \mathbb{k}$  such that  $\dim M_{\lambda} = \infty$ .

**Lemma 3.** There exists at most one  $i \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that dim  $M_{\lambda+i} < \infty$ .

Proof. Assume that  $\dim M_{\lambda+i} < \infty$  and  $\dim M_{\lambda+j} < \infty$  for different  $i, j \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Without loss of generality we may assume i=1 and j>1. Let V denote the intersection of the kernels of the linear maps  $e_1: M_{\lambda} \to M_{\lambda+1}$  and  $e_j: M_{\lambda} \to M_{\lambda+j}$ . Since  $\dim M_{\lambda} = \infty$ ,  $\dim M_{\lambda+1} < \infty$  and  $\dim M_{\lambda+j} < \infty$ , we have  $\dim V = \infty$ . Since  $[e_1, e_k] = (k-1)e_{k+1} \neq 0$  for all k > 1, and j > 1, inductively we get

$$e_k V = 0$$
 for all  $k = 1, j, j + 1, j + 2, \dots$  (2.1)

(Here we cannot directly use the well known [M, Lemma 1.5] to deduce that M is a highest weight module). If there would exist  $0 \neq v \in V$  such that  $e_2v = 0$ , then  $e_1v = e_2v = 0$  and M would be a Harish-Chandra module by the Principal Observation. A contradiction. Hence  $e_2v \neq 0$  for all  $v \in V$ .

In particular, dim  $e_2V = \infty$ . Since dim  $M_{\lambda+1} < \infty$ , there exists  $0 \neq w \in e_2V$  such that  $e_{-1}w = 0$ . Let  $w = e_2u$  for some  $u \in V$ . For all  $k \geqslant j$ , using (2.1) we have

$$e_k w = e_k e_2 u = e_2 e_k u + (2 - k) e_{k+2} u = 0 + 0 = 0.$$

Hence  $e_k w = 0$  for all  $k = -1, j, j+1, j+2, \ldots$  Since  $[e_{-1}, e_l] = (l+1)e_{l-1} \neq 0$  for all l > 1, inductively we get  $e_k w = 0$  for all  $k = 1, 2, \ldots$  Hence M is a Harish-Chandra module by the Principal Observation. A contradiction. The statement follows.

Because of Lemma 3 we can now fix the following notation until the end of this section: M is a simple weight  $\mathcal{V}$ -module,  $\mu \in \mathbb{k}$  is such that dim  $M_{\mu} < \infty$  and dim  $M_{\mu+i} = \infty$  for every  $i \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$ .

**Lemma 4.** Let  $0 \neq v \in M_{\mu-1}$  be such that  $e_1v = 0$ . Then

$$(e_1^3 - 6e_2e_1 + 6e_3)e_2v = 0.$$

Proof.

$$(e_1^3 - 6e_2e_1 + 6e_3)e_2v = (e_1^3e_2 - 6e_2e_1e_2 + 6e_3e_2)v = (e_2e_1^3 + 3e_3e_1^2 + 6e_4e_1 + 6e_5 - 6e_2^2e_1 - 6e_3e_2 - 6e_5 + 6e_3e_2)v = (e_2e_1^3 + 3e_3e_1^2 + 6e_4e_1 - 6e_2^2e_1)v = [\text{using } e_1v = 0] = 0.$$

**Lemma 5.**  $\mu \in \{-1, 0, 1\}$ .

Proof. Let V denote the kernel of  $e_1: M_{\mu-1} \to M_{\mu}$ . Since  $\dim M_{\mu-1} = \infty$  and  $\dim M_{\mu} < \infty$  we have  $\dim V = \infty$ . For any  $v \in V$  consider the element  $e_2v$ . By the Principal Observation,  $e_2v = 0$  would imply that M is a Harish-Chandra module, a contradiction. Hence  $e_2v \neq 0$ , in particular,  $\dim e_2V = \infty$ . This implies that there exists  $w \in e_2V$  such that  $w \neq 0$  and  $e_{-1}w = 0$ . From Lemma 4 we have  $(e_1^3 - 6e_2e_1 + 6e_3)w = 0$ , in particular,  $e_{-1}^3(e_1^3 - 6e_2e_1 + 6e_3)w = 0$ . However, by a direct calculation we obtain

$$e_{-1}^{3}(e_{1}^{3} - 6e_{2}e_{1} + 6e_{3}) = 48e_{0}^{3} - 144e_{0}^{2} + 96e_{0} \mod U(\mathcal{V})e_{-1}.$$

This implies  $(48e_0^3 - 144e_0^2 + 96e_0)w = 0$ . But  $w \in M_{\mu+1}$ , which implies  $e_0w = (\mu + 1)w$ , and hence  $(\mu + 1)^3 - 3(\mu + 1)^2 + 2(\mu + 1) = 0$ , that is  $\mu \in \{-1, 0, 1\}$ .

**Lemma 6.**  $\mu \in \{-1,1\}$  is not possible.

Proof. We show that  $\mu = 1$  is not possible and for  $\mu = -1$  the statement will follow by applying the canonical involution on  $\mathcal{V}$ . Assume  $\mu = 1$  and denote by V the infinite-dimensional kernel of the linear map  $e_1 : M_0 \to M_1$ . For  $v \in V$ , using  $e_1v = e_0v = 0$  we have

$$e_1 e_{-1} v = e_{-1} e_1 v - 2e_0 v = 0 + 0 = 0. (2.2)$$

Hence if  $e_{-1}V$  would be infinite-dimensional, there would exist  $0 \neq w \in e_{-1}V$  such that  $e_1w = 0$  (by (2.2)) and  $e_2w = 0$  (since dim  $V_1 < \infty$ ). The Principal Observation then would imply that M is a Harish-Chandra module, a contradiction. Hence dim  $e_{-1}V < \infty$ . This means that the kernel W of the linear map  $e_{-1}: V \to M_{-1}$  is infinite-dimensional. For every  $x \in W$  we have

$$e_1e_{-2}x = e_{-2}e_1x - 3e_{-1}x = [using \ e_{-1}x = e_1x = 0] = 0 + 0 = 0.$$
 (2.3)

If there would exist  $0 \neq x \in W$  such that  $e_{-2}x = 0$ , then we would have  $e_{-2}x = e_{-1}x = 0$  and the Principal Observation would imply that M is a Harish-Chandra module, a contradiction. Thus  $\dim e_{-2}W = \infty$ . Let H denote the kernel of the linear map  $e_3: e_{-2}W \to M_1$ . Since  $\dim e_{-2}W = \infty$  and  $\dim M_1 < \infty$ , we have  $\dim H = \infty$ . For every  $y \in H$  we also have  $e_1y = 0$  by (2.3), implying by induction that  $e_kH = 0$  for all  $k = 1, 3, 4, \ldots$ 

If  $e_2h = 0$  for some  $0 \neq h \in H$  then the Principal Observation implies that M is a Harish-Chandra module, a contradiction. Hence  $\dim e_2H = \infty$ . For every  $h \in H$  and  $k \geq 3$  we have

$$e_k e_2 h = e_2 e_k h + (2 - k) e_{k+2} h = [\text{using } e_i h = 0 \text{ for } i \geqslant 3] = 0 + 0 = 0.$$

Hence  $e_k e_2 H = 0$  for all  $k \ge 3$ . Let, finally, K denote the infinite-dimensional kernel of the linear map  $e_1 : e_2 H \to M_1$ . If  $e_2 z = 0$  for some  $0 \ne z \in K$  then the Principal Observation implies that M is a Harish-Chandra module, a contradiction. Hence dim  $e_2 z \ne 0$  for all  $z \in K$ . For every  $z \in K$  and  $k \ge 3$  we have

$$e_k e_2 z = e_2 e_k z + (2 - k) e_{k+2} z = [\text{using } e_i z = 0 \text{ for } i \geqslant 3] = 0 + 0 = 0.$$

Hence  $e_k e_2 K = 0$  for all  $k \ge 3$ . At the same time, since dim  $e_2 K = \infty$  and dim  $M_1 < \infty$ , we can find some  $0 \ne t \in e_2 K$  such that  $e_{-1}t = 0$ . By induction we get  $e_i t = 0$  for all i > 0 and thus M is a Harish-Chandra module by the Principal Observation. This last contradiction completes the proof.

Now the proof of Theorem 1 follows from the following lemma:

**Lemma 7.**  $\mu = 0$  is not possible.

*Proof.* Define

$$V = \text{Ker}(e_1 : M_{-1} \to M_0) \cap \text{Ker}(e_{-1}e_2 : M_{-1} \to M_0) \cap \\ \cap \text{Ker}(e_1e_{-2}e_2 : M_{-1} \to M_0),$$

$$W = \text{Ker}(e_{-1}: M_1 \to M_0) \cap \text{Ker}(e_1 e_{-2}: M_1 \to M_0) \cap \\ \cap \text{Ker}(e_{-1} e_2 e_{-2}: M_1 \to M_0).$$

Since dim  $M_{-1} = \infty$  and dim  $M_0 < \infty$ , V is a vector subspace of finite codimension in  $M_{-1}$ . Since dim  $M_1 = \infty$  and dim  $M_0 < \infty$ , W is a vector subspace of finite codimension in  $M_1$ . In order not to get a direct contradiction using the Principal Observation, we assume  $e_2v \neq 0$  for all  $0 \neq v \in V$  and  $e_{-2}w \neq 0$  for all  $0 \neq w \in W$ . Then dim  $e_2V = \infty$  and, by Lemma 4, for every  $0 \neq v \in V$  we have  $(e_1^3 - 6e_2e_1 + 6e_3)e_2v = 0$ .

Since the codimension of W in  $M_1$  is finite, the intersection  $W' = e_2 V \cap W$  is infinite-dimensional. Note that

$$e_{-1}(e_1^3 - 6e_2e_1 + 6e_3) = 6e_1^2e_0 + 6e_1^2 - 12e_2e_0 - 18e_1^2 + 24e_2 \mod U(\mathcal{V})e_{-1}.$$

Choose  $v \in V$  such that  $w_v := e_2 v \in W' \setminus \{0\}$ . The equality  $e_{-1}(e_1^3 - 6e_2 e_1 + 6e_3)e_2 v = 0$  implies that  $(2e_2 - e_1^2)w_v = 0$ . In particular, for this v we have  $e_{-2}(2e_2 - e_1^2)w_v = 0$ . However,

$$e_{-2}(2e_2 - e_1^2) = 2e_2e_{-2} + 2e_0 - c - e_1^2e_{-2} - 6e_1e_{-1},$$

and since  $e_1e_{-2}w_v = e_{-1}w_v = 0$  by assumptions, we get  $e_2e_{-2}w_v = \tau w_v$  for some  $\tau \in \mathbb{k}$ . In order not to get a direct contradiction using the Principal Observation, we must assume  $e_{-2}w_v \neq 0$ . Since  $e_1e_{-2}w_v = 0$ , we also must assume  $e_2e_{-2}w_v \neq 0$ , that is  $\tau \neq 0$ .

Denote  $y = w_v$  and  $x = e_{-2}y$ . Let us sum up, what we know about x and y:

$$e_1 x = 0, \quad e_{-1} y = 0, \quad x = e_{-2} y, \quad \tau y = e_2 x.$$
 (2.4)

Let  $U_+$  and  $U_-$  denote the subalgebras of  $U(\mathcal{V})$ , generated by  $e_1, e_2$  and  $e_{-1}, e_{-2}$  respectively. Consider the vector space

$$N=U_{-}x\oplus U_{+}y\subset M.$$

From the definition it follows that both  $U_+$  and  $U_-$  are stable under the adjoint action of  $e_0$ . Since both x and y are eigenvectors for  $e_0$ , we derive that N decomposes into a direct sum of weight spaces which are obviously finite-dimensional. Hence, to complete the proof we have just to show that

N is stable under the action of the whole  $\mathcal{V}$ . Since  $\mathcal{V}$  is generated by  $e_1$ ,  $e_{-1}$ ,  $e_2$ ,  $e_{-2}$ , it is enough to show that N is stable under the action of these four operators. Because of the symmetry of our situation, it is even enough to show that N is stable under the action of, say  $e_1$  and  $e_2$ .

That  $e_1U_+y \subset U_+y$  and  $e_2U_+y \subset U_+y$  is clear. Let us show that  $e_1U_-x \subset U_-x$ . For any  $a \in U_-$  we have  $e_1ax = ae_1x + [e_1, a]x$ . By (2.4),  $ae_1x = 0$ . Further,  $[e_1, a] = \sum_{i,j} a_{i,j} e_0^i c^j$  for some  $a_{i,j} \in U_-$ . Since  $x \in M_{-1}$ , we have  $e_0^i c^j x = \xi x$  for some  $\xi \in \mathbb{k}$ . Therefore  $[e_1, a]x \in U_-x$ , which means that  $e_1$  preserves  $U_-x$  and hence N.

Finally, let us show that  $e_2U_-x \subset N$ . For any  $a \in U_-$  we have  $e_2ax = ae_2x + [e_2, a]x$ . By (2.4),  $e_2x = \tau y \neq 0$ . Let  $A = e_{i_1} \dots e_{i_l}$  be a monomial, where  $i_s \in \{-1, -2\}$  for all  $s = 1, \dots, l$ . If  $i_l = -1$  we have  $Ae_2x = 0$  since  $e_{-1}y = 0$ . If  $i_l = -2$  we have  $Ae_2x = \zeta e_{i_1} \dots e_{i_{l-1}}x \in U_-x$  for some  $\zeta \in \mathbb{R}$  by (2.4). This implies that  $ae_2x \in N$ . Let us write the element  $[e_2, a]$  in the PBW basis corresponding to the order  $\ldots, e_{-2}, e_{-1}, e_0, c, e_1$ . By (2.4),  $e_1x = 0$ , and hence all terms, which end on  $e_1$  will vanish. This means that  $[e_2, a]x = \sum_{i,j} a_{i,j} e_0^i c^j x$  for some  $a_{i,j} \in U_-$ . In the previous paragraph it was shown that in this case  $[e_2, a]x \in U_-x$ . This completes the proof.

### 3 Corollaries from Theorem 1

As an immediate corollary from Theorem 1 we have:

Corollary 8. Let M be a simple weight V-module. Assume that there exists  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$  such that  $0 < \dim M_{\lambda} < \infty$ . Then M is a Harish-Chandra module. Consequently, M is either a simple highest or lowest weight module or a simple module from the intermediate series.

*Proof.* Assume that this M is not a Harish-Chandra module. Then there should exists  $i \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that  $\dim M_{\lambda+i} = \infty$ . In this case Theorem 1 implies  $\dim M_{\lambda} = \infty$ , a contradiction. Hence M is a Harish-Chandra module, and the rest of the statement follows from [M, Theorem 1].

The following corollary gives a positive answer to [Xu, Problem 3.3]:

Corollary 9. Every pointed V-module is a Harish-Chandra module.

*Proof.* Every pointed module satisfies the conditions of Corollary 8 by definition. Hence the statement follows from Corollary 8.  $\Box$ 

The following corollary gives a positive answer to [Maz, Conjecture 1]:

Corollary 10. There are no simple mixed V-modules.

*Proof.* Let M be a simple mixed  $\mathcal{V}$ -module. Then, by the definition, there exists  $\lambda \in \mathbb{k}$  and  $i \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that  $\dim M_{\lambda} = \infty$  and  $\dim M_{\lambda+i} < \infty$ . However, Theorem 1 implies  $\dim M_{\lambda+i} = \infty$ . A contradiction.

# References

- [MP1] C.Martin, A.Piard, Indecomposable modules over the Virasoro Lie algebra and a conjecture of V. Kac. Comm. Math. Phys. 137 (1991), no. 1, 109–132.
- [MP2] C.Martin, A.Piard, Classification of the indecomposable bounded admissible modules over the Virasoro Lie algebra with weight spaces of dimension not exceeding two. Comm. Math. Phys. 150 (1992), no. 3, 465–493.
- [M] O. Mathieu, Classification of Harish-Chandra modules over the Virasoro Lie algebra. Invent. Math. 107 (1992), no. 2, 225–234.
- [Maz] V. Mazorchuk, On simple mixed modules over the Virasoro algebra, Matematychni Studii, v. 22, No. 2.
- [Xu] X.Xu, Pointed representations of Virasoro algebra. A Chinese summary appears in Acta Math. Sinica 40 (1997), no. 3, 479. Acta Math. Sinica (N.S.) 13 (1997), no. 2, 161–168.

V.M.: Department of Mathematics, Uppsala University, Box 480, SE-751 06, Uppsala, SWEDEN; e-mail: mazor@math.uu.se

K.Z.: Department of Mathematics, Wilfrid Laurier University, Waterloo, Ontario, N2L 3C5, Canada; and Institute of Mathematics, Academy of Mathematics and System Sciences, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100080, PR China; e-mail: kzhao@wlu.ca