

THE HOWE DUALITY AND LIE SUPERALGEBRAS

DIMITRY LEITES¹, IRINA SHCHEPOCHKINA²

ABSTRACT. Howe's duality is considered from a unifying point of view based on Lie superalgebras. New examples are offered. In particular, we construct several simplest spinor-oscillator representations and compute their highest weights for the "stringy" Lie superalgebras (i.e., Lie superalgebras of complex vector fields (or their nontrivial central extensions) on the supercircle $S^{1|n}$ and its two-sheeted cover associated with the Möbius bundle).

In our two lectures we briefly review, on the most elementary level, several results and problems unified by "Howe's duality". Details will be given elsewhere. The ground field in the lectures is \mathbb{C} .

§1. INTRODUCTION

In his famous preprint [24] R. Howe gave an inspiring explanation of what can be "dug out" from H. Weyl's "wonderful and terrible" book [55], at least as far as invariant theory is concerned, from a certain unifying viewpoint. According to Howe, much is based on a remarkable correspondence between certain irreducible representations of Lie subalgebras Γ and Γ' of the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{o}(V)$ or $\mathfrak{sp}(V)$ provided Γ and Γ' are each other's "commutants", i.e., centralizers. This correspondence is known ever since as *Howe's correspondence* or *Howe's duality*. In [24] and subsequent papers Howe gave several examples of such a correspondence previously known, mostly, inadvertently. Let us remind some of them (omitting important Jacquet-Langlands-Shimizu correspondence, S. Gelbart's contributions, etc.) :

- 1) decomposition of $\mathfrak{o}(V)$ -module $S^*(V)$ into spherical harmonics;
- 2) *Lefschetz decomposition* of $\mathfrak{sp}(V)$ -module $\Lambda^*(V)$ into primitive forms (sometimes this is called Hodge-Lépage decomposition);
- 3) a striking resemblance between *spinor representation* of $\mathfrak{o}(n)$ and *oscillator* (*Shale-Segal-Weil-metaplectic...*) representation of $\mathfrak{sp}(2n)$.

As an aside Howe gives the "shortest possible" proof of the *Poincaré lemma*. (Recall that this lemma states that in any sufficiently small open star-shaped neighborhood of any point on any manifold any closed differential form is exact.) In this proof, Lie superalgebras, that lingered somewhere in the background in the previous discussion but were treated rather as a nuisance than help, are instrumental to reach the goal. This example shows also that the requirement of reductivity of Γ and Γ' to form a "dual pair" is extra. Elsewhere we will investigate what are the actual minimal restrictions on Γ and Γ' needed to reach one of the other problems usually solved by means of Howe duality: decompose the symmetric or exterior algebra of a module over $\Gamma \oplus \Gamma'$. Howe's manuscript was written at the time when supersymmetry theory was being conceived. By the time [24] was typed, the definition of what is nowadays called *superschemes* ([34]) was not yet rewritten in terms to match

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. 22E45, 17B (Primary) 11E57, 15A72, 20G05, 22E47 (Secondary).

Key words and phrases. Lie superalgebra, Howe's duality.

D.L. is thankful to P. Deligne whose question prompted [4] and this paper, to B. Feigin, E. Poletaeva, V. Serganova and Xuan Peiqi for help; we gratefully acknowledge financial support of an NFR grant and RFBR grant 99-01-00245, respectively.

physical papers (language of points was needed; now we can recommend [5]) nor translated into English and, therefore, was unknown; the classification of simple finite dimensional Lie superalgebras over \mathbb{C} had just been announced. This was, perhaps, the reason for a cautious tone with which Howe used Lie superalgebras, although he made transparent how important they might be for a lucid presentation of his ideas and explicitly stated so.

Since [25], the published version of [24], though put aside to stew for 12 years, underwent only censorial changes, we believe it is of interest to explore what do we gain by using Lie superalgebras from the very beginning (an elaboration of other aspects of this idea [4] are not published yet). Here we briefly elucidate some of Howe's results and notions and give several new examples of Howe's dual pairs. In the lectures we will review the known examples 1) – 3) mentioned above but consider them in an appropriate “super” setting, and add to them:

4) a refinement of the Lefschetz decomposition — J. Bernstein's decomposition ([2]) of the space Ω_h^* of “twisted” differential forms on a symplectic manifold with values in a line bundle with connection whose curvature form differs by a factor \hbar from the canonical symplectic form;

5) a decomposition of the space of differential forms on a hyper-Kählerian manifold similar to the Lefschetz one ([53]) but with $\mathfrak{sp}(4)$ instead of $\mathfrak{sp}(2) = \mathfrak{sl}(2)$ and its refinement associated with the $\mathfrak{osp}(1|4)$.

6) Apart from general clarification of the scenery and new examples even in the old setting, i.e., on manifolds, the superalgebras introduced *ab ovo* make it manifest that there are at least two types of Howe's correspondence: the conventional one and several “ghost” ones associated with quantization of the antibracket [40].

7) Obviously, if $\Gamma \oplus \Gamma'$ is a maximal subalgebra of \mathfrak{osp} , then (Γ, Γ') is an example of Howe dual pair. Section 6 gives some further examples, partly borrowed from [48], where more examples can be found.

We consider here only finite dimensional Lie superalgebras with the invariant theory in view. In another lecture (§§3,4) we consider spinor-oscillator representations in more detail. In these elementary talks we do not touch other interesting applications such as Capelli identities ([30],[43]), or prime characteristic ([47]). Of dozens of papers with examples of Howe's duality in infinite dimensional cases and still other examples, we draw attention of the reader to the following selected ones: [12], and various instances of bose-fermi correspondence, cf. [13] and [26]. Observe also that the Howe duality often manifests itself for q -deformed algebras, e.g., in Klimyk's talk at our conference, or [6]. To treat this q -Howe duality in a similar way, we first have to explicitly q -quantize Poisson superalgebras $\mathfrak{po}(2n|m)$ (for $mn = 0$ this is straightforward replacement of (super)commutators from [39] with q -(super)commutators.

§2. THE POISSON SUPERALGEBRA $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{po}(2n|m)$

2.1. Certain \mathbb{Z} -gradings of \mathfrak{g} . Recall that \mathfrak{g} is the Lie superalgebra whose superspace is $\mathbb{C}[q, p, \Theta]$ and the bracket is the *Poisson bracket* $\{\cdot, \cdot\}_{P.b.}$ is given by the formula

$$\begin{aligned} \{f, g\}_{P.b.} = & \sum_{i \leq n} \left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial p_i} \frac{\partial g}{\partial q_i} - \frac{\partial f}{\partial q_i} \frac{\partial g}{\partial p_i} \right) - \\ & (-1)^{p(f)} \sum_{j \leq m} \frac{\partial f}{\partial \theta_j} \frac{\partial g}{\partial \theta_j} \text{ for } f, g \in \mathbb{C}[p, q, \Theta]. \end{aligned} \quad (2.1)$$

Sometimes it is more convenient to redenote the Θ 's and set

$$\begin{aligned} \xi_j = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(\Theta_j - i\Theta_{r+j}); \quad \eta_j = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(\Theta_j + i\Theta_{r+j}) \\ \text{for } j \leq r = [m/2] \text{ (here } i^2 = -1), \quad \theta = \Theta_{2r+1} \end{aligned}$$

and accordingly modify the bracket (if $m = 2r$, there is no term with θ):

$$\{f, g\}_{P.b.} = \sum_{i \leq n} \left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial p_i} \frac{\partial g}{\partial q_i} - \frac{\partial f}{\partial q_i} \frac{\partial g}{\partial p_i} \right) - (-1)^{p(f)} \left[\sum_{j \leq m} \left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial \xi_j} \frac{\partial g}{\partial \eta_j} + \frac{\partial f}{\partial \eta_j} \frac{\partial g}{\partial \xi_j} \right) + \frac{\partial f}{\partial \theta} \frac{\partial g}{\partial \theta} \right].$$

Setting $\deg_{Lie} f = \deg f - 2$ for any monomial $f \in \mathbb{C}[p, q, \Theta]$, where $\deg p_i = \deg q_i = \deg \Theta_j = 1$ for all i, j , we obtain the *standard* \mathbb{Z} -grading of \mathfrak{g} :

degree of f	-2	-1	0	1	...
f	1	p, q, θ	$f : \deg f = 2$	$f : \deg f = 3$...

Clearly, $\mathfrak{g} = \bigoplus_{i \geq -2} \mathfrak{g}_i$ with $\mathfrak{g}_0 \simeq \mathfrak{osp}(m|2n)$. Consider now another, “*rough*”, grading of \mathfrak{g} . To this end, introduce: $Q = (q, \xi)$, $P = (p, \eta)$ and set

$$\deg Q_i = 0, \deg \theta = 1, \deg P_i = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } m = 2k \\ 2 & \text{if } m = 2k + 1. \end{cases} \quad (*)$$

Remark. Physicists prefer to use half-integer values of \deg for $m = 2k + 1$ by setting $\deg \theta = \frac{1}{2}$ and $\deg P_i = 1$ at all times.

The above grading (*) of the polynomial algebra induces the following *rough grading* of the Lie superalgebra \mathfrak{g} . For $m = 2k$ just delete the columns of odd degrees and delete the degrees by 2:

$$m = 2k + 1: \begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|} \hline \text{degree} & \dots & 2 & 1 & 0 & -1 & -2 \\ \hline \text{elements} & \dots & \mathbb{C}[Q]P^2 & \mathbb{C}[Q]P\theta & \mathbb{C}[Q]P & \mathbb{C}[Q]\theta & \mathbb{C}[Q] \\ \hline \end{array}$$

2.2. Quantization. We call the nontrivial deformation \mathcal{Q} of the Lie superalgebra $\mathfrak{po}(2n|m)$ *quantization* (for details see [40]). There are many ways to quantize \mathfrak{g} , but all of them are equivalent. Recall that we only consider \mathfrak{g} whose elements are represented by polynomials; for functions of other types (say, Laurent polynomials) the uniqueness of quantization may be violated.

Consider the following quantization, so-called QP -quantization, given on linear terms by the formulas:

$$\mathcal{Q} : Q \mapsto \hat{Q}, \quad P \mapsto \hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial Q}, \quad (*)$$

where \hat{Q} is the operator of left multiplication by Q ; an arbitrary monomial should be first rearranged so that the Q 's stand first (normal form) and then apply (*) term-wise.

The deformed Lie superalgebra $\mathcal{Q}(\mathfrak{po}(2n|2k))$ is the Lie superalgebra of differential operators with polynomial coefficients on $\mathbb{R}^{n|k}$. Actually, it is an analog of $\mathfrak{gl}(V)$. This is most clearly seen for $n = 0$. Indeed,

$$\mathcal{Q}(\mathfrak{po}(0|2k)) = \mathfrak{gl}(\Lambda^\bullet(\xi)) = \mathfrak{gl}(2^{k-1}|2^{k-1}).$$

In general, for $n \neq 0$, we have

$$\mathcal{Q}(\mathfrak{po}(2n|2k)) = \text{“gl”}(\mathcal{F}(Q)) = \mathfrak{diff}(\mathbb{R}^{n|k}).$$

For $m = 2k - 1$ we consider $\mathfrak{po}(0|2k - 1)$ as a subalgebra of $\mathfrak{po}(0|2k)$; the quantization sends $\mathfrak{po}(0|2k - 1)$ into $\mathfrak{q}(2^{k-1})$. For $n \neq 0$ the image of \mathcal{Q} is an infinite dimensional analog of \mathfrak{q} , indeed (for $J = i(\theta + \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta})$ with $i^2 = -1$):

$$\mathcal{Q}(\mathfrak{po}(2n|2k - 1)) = \mathfrak{qdiff}(\mathbb{R}^{n|k}) = \{D \in \mathfrak{diff}(\mathbb{R}^{n|k}) : [d, J] = 0\}.$$

2.3. Fock spaces and spinor-oscillator representations. The Lie superalgebras $\mathfrak{diff}(\mathbb{R}^{n|k})$ and $\mathfrak{qdiff}(\mathbb{R}^{n|k})$ have indescribably many irreducible representations even for $n = 0$. But one of the representations, the identity one, in the superspace of functions on $\mathbb{R}^{n|k}$, is

the “smallest” one. Moreover, if we consider the superspace of $\mathfrak{diff}(\mathbb{R}^{n|k})$ or $\mathfrak{qdiff}(\mathbb{R}^{n|k})$ as the *associative* superalgebra (denoted $\text{Diff}(\mathbb{R}^{n|k})$ or $\text{QDiff}(\mathbb{R}^{n|k})$), this associative superalgebra has only *one* irreducible representation — the same identity one. This representation is called *the Fock space*.

As is known, the Lie superalgebras $\mathfrak{osp}(m|2n)$ are rigid for $(m|2n) \neq (4|2)$. Therefore, the through map

$$\mathfrak{h} \longrightarrow \mathfrak{g}_0 = \mathfrak{osp}(m|2n) \subset \mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{po}(2n|m) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{Q}} \mathfrak{diff}(\mathbb{R}^{n|k})$$

sends any subsuperalgebra \mathfrak{h} of $\mathfrak{osp}(m|2n)$ (for $(m|2n) \neq (4|2)$) into its isomorphic image. (One can also embed \mathfrak{h} into $\mathfrak{diff}(\mathbb{R}^{n|k})$ directly.) The irreducible subspace of the Fock space which contains the constants is called the *spinor-oscillator representation* of \mathfrak{h} . In particular cases, for $m = 0$ or $n = 0$ this subspace turns into the usual *spinor* or *oscillator representation*, respectively. We have just given a unified description of them. (A more detailed description follows.)

2.4. Primitive alias harmonic elements. The elements of $\mathfrak{osp}(m|2n)$ (or its subalgebra \mathfrak{h}) act in the space of the spinor-oscillator representation by inhomogeneous differential operators of order ≤ 2 (order is just the filtration associated with the “rough” grading):

$m = 2k:$				$m = 2k + 1:$					
degree	−1	0	1	degree	−2	−1	0	1	2
elements	\hat{P}^2	$\hat{P}\hat{Q}$	\hat{Q}^2	elements	\hat{P}^2	$\hat{P}\hat{\theta}$	$\hat{P}\hat{Q}$	$\hat{Q}\hat{\theta}$	\hat{Q}^2

The elements from $(\mathbb{C}[Q])^{\hat{P}^2}$ for $m = 2k$ or $(\mathbb{C}[Q, \theta])^{\hat{P}\hat{\theta}}$ for $m = 2k + 1$ are called *primitive* or *harmonic* ones. More generally, let $\mathfrak{h} \subset \mathfrak{osp}(m|2n)$ be a \mathbb{Z} -graded Lie superalgebra embedded consistently with the rough grading of $\mathfrak{osp}(m|2n)$. Then the elements from $(\mathbb{C}[Q])^{\mathfrak{h}-1}$ for $m = 2k$ or $(\mathbb{C}[Q, \theta])^{\mathfrak{h}-1}$ for $m = 2k + 1$ will be called \mathfrak{h} -*primitive* or \mathfrak{h} -*harmonic*.

2.4.1. Nonstandard \mathbb{Z} -gradings of $\mathfrak{osp}(m|2n)$. It is well known that one simple Lie superalgebra can have several nonequivalent Cartan matrices and systems of Chevalley generators, cf. [20]. Accordingly, the corresponding divisions into *positive* and *negative* root vectors are distinct. The following problem arises: *How the passage to nonstandard gradings affects the highest weight of the spinor-oscillator representation defined in sec. 3?* (Cf. [44])

2.5. Examples of dual pairs. Two subalgebras Γ, Γ' of $\mathfrak{g}_0 = \mathfrak{osp}(m|2n)$ will be called a *dual pair* if one of them is the centralizer of the other in \mathfrak{g}_0 .

If $\Gamma \oplus \Gamma'$ is a maximal subalgebra in \mathfrak{g}_0 , then, clearly, Γ, Γ' is a dual pair. A generalization: consider a pair of mutual centralizers Γ, Γ' in $\mathfrak{gl}(V)$ and embed $\mathfrak{gl}(V)$ into $\mathfrak{osp}(V \oplus V^*)$. Then Γ, Γ' is a dual pair (in $\mathfrak{osp}(V \oplus V^*)$). For a number of such examples see [49]. Let us consider several of these examples in detail.

2.5.1. $\Gamma = \mathfrak{sp}(2n) = \mathfrak{sp}(W)$ and $\Gamma' = \mathfrak{sp}(2) = \mathfrak{sl}(2) = \mathfrak{sp}(V \oplus V^*)$. Clearly, $\mathfrak{h} = \Gamma \oplus \Gamma'$ is a maximal subalgebra in $\mathfrak{o}(W \otimes (V \oplus V^*))$. The Fock space is just $\Lambda^*(W)$.

The following classical theorem and its analog 5.2 illustrate the importance of the above notions and constructions.

Theorem. *The Γ' -primitive elements of $\Lambda^*(W)$ of each degree i constitute an irreducible Γ -module $P_{\mathfrak{sp}}^i$, $0 \leq i \leq n$.*

This action of Γ' in the superspace of differential forms on any symplectic manifold is well known: Γ' is generated (as a Lie algebra) by operators X_+ of left multiplication by the symplectic form ω and X_- , application of the bivector dual to ω .

2.5.2. $\Gamma = \mathfrak{o}(2n) = \mathfrak{o}(W)$ and $\Gamma' = \mathfrak{sp}(2) = \mathfrak{sl}(2) = \mathfrak{sp}(V \oplus V^*)$. Clearly, $\mathfrak{h} = \Gamma \oplus \Gamma'$ is a maximal subalgebra in $\mathfrak{sp}(W \otimes (V \oplus V^*))$. The Fock space is just $S^*(W)$.

Theorem. *The Γ' -primitive elements of $S^*(W)$ of each degree i constitute an irreducible Γ -module $P_{\mathfrak{o}}^i$, $i = 0, 1, \dots$.*

This action of Γ' in the space of polynomial functions on any Riemann manifold is also well known: Γ' is generated (as a Lie algebra) by operators X_+ of left multiplication by the quadratic polynomial representing the metric g and X_- is the corresponding Laplace operator.

Clearly, a mixture of Examples 2.5.1 and 2.5.2 corresponding to symmetric or skew-symmetric forms on a supermanifold is also possible: *the space of Γ' -primitive elements of $S^*(W)$ of each degree i is an irreducible Γ -module*, cf. [44] and Sergeev's papers [51], [52].

In [24], [25] the dual pairs had to satisfy one more condition: the through action of both Γ and Γ' on the identity \mathfrak{g}_0 -module should be completely reducible. Even for the needs of the First Theorem of Invariant Theory this is too strong a requirement, cf. examples with complete irreducibility in [51, 52] with our last example, in which the complete reducibility of $\mathfrak{pe}(n)$ is violated. Investigation of the requirements on Γ and Γ' needed for the First Theorem of Invariant Theory will be given elsewhere.

2.5.3. Bernstein's square root of the Lefschetz decomposition. Let L be the space of a (complex) line bundle over a connected symplectic manifold (M^{2n}, ω) with connection ∇ such that the curvature form of ∇ is equal to $\hbar\omega$ for some $\hbar \in \mathbb{C}$. This \hbar will be called a *twist*; the space of tensor fields of type ρ (here $\rho : \mathfrak{sp}(2n) \rightarrow \mathfrak{gl}(U)$ is a representation which defines the space $\Gamma(M, U)$ of tensor fields with values in U), and twist \hbar will be denoted by $T_\hbar(\rho)$. Let us naturally extend the action of X_+ , X_- from the space Ω of differential forms on M onto the space Ω_\hbar of twisted differential forms using the isomorphism of spaces $T_\hbar(\rho) \simeq T(\rho) \otimes \Gamma(L)$, where $\Gamma(L) = \Omega_\hbar^0$ is the space of sections of the line bundle L , i.e., the space of twisted functions.

Namely, set $X_+ \mapsto X_+ \otimes 1$, etc. Let $D_+ = d + \alpha$ be the connection ∇ itself and $D_- = [X_-, D_+]$. On Ω_\hbar , introduce a superspace structure setting $p(\varphi \otimes s) = \deg \varphi \pmod{2}$, for $\varphi \in \Omega$, $s \in \Omega_\hbar^0$.

Theorem. ([2]) *On Ω_\hbar , the operators D_+ and D_- generate an action of the Lie superalgebra $\mathfrak{osp}(1|2)$ commuting with the action of the group \hat{G} of ∇ -preserving automorphisms of the bundle L .*

Bernstein studied the \hat{G} -action, more exactly, the action of the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{po}(2n|0)$ corresponding to \hat{G} ; we are interested in the part of this action only: in $\mathfrak{sp}(2n) = \mathfrak{po}(2n|0)_0$ -action.

In Example 2.5.1 the space P^i consisted of differential forms with constant coefficients. Denote by $\mathcal{P}^i = P^i \otimes S^*(V)$ the space of primitive forms with polynomial coefficients. The elements of the space $\sqrt{\mathcal{P}}_\hbar^i = \ker D_- \cap \mathcal{P}_\hbar^i$ will be called ∇ -*primitive forms* of degree i (and twist \hbar).

Bernstein showed that $\sqrt{\mathcal{P}}_\hbar^i$ is an irreducible $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{po}(2n|0)$ -module. It could be that over subalgebra \mathfrak{g}_0 the module $\sqrt{\mathcal{P}}_\hbar^i$ becomes reducible but the general theorem of Howe (which is true for $\mathfrak{osp}(1|2n)$) states that this is not the case, it remains irreducible. Shapovalov and Shmelev literally generalized Bernstein's result for $(2n|m)$ -dimensional supermanifolds, see review [37]. In particular, Shapovalov, who considered $n = 0$, "took a square root of Laplacian and the metric".

2.5.4. Inspired by Bernstein's construction, let us similarly define a "square root" of the hyper-Kähler structure. Namely, on a hyper-Kähler manifold (M, ω_1, ω_2) consider a line bundle L with two connections: ∇_1 and ∇_2 , whose curvature forms are equal to $\hbar_1\omega_1$ and $\hbar_2\omega_2$ for some $\hbar_1, \hbar_2 \in \mathbb{C}$. The pair $\hbar = (\hbar_1, \hbar_2)$ will be called a *twist*; the space of tensor fields of type ρ and twist \hbar will be denoted by $T_\hbar(\rho)$. Verbitsky [53] defined the action of $\mathfrak{sp}(4)$ in the space Ω of differential forms on M . Let us naturally extend the action of the generators X_j^\pm for $j = 1, 2$ of $\mathfrak{sp}(4)$ from Ω onto the space Ω_\hbar of twisted differential forms using the isomorphism $T_\hbar(\rho) \simeq T(\rho) \otimes \Gamma(L)$, where $\Gamma(L) = \Omega_\hbar^0$ is the space of sections of the

line bundle L ; here X_j^+ is the operator of multiplication by ω_j and X_j^- is the operator of convolution with the dual bivector.

Define the space of primitive i -forms (with constant coefficients) on the hyper-Kählerian manifold (M, ω_1, ω_2) by setting

$$P^i = \ker X_1^- \cap \ker X_2^- \cap \Omega^i. \quad (HK)$$

According to the general theorem [25] this space is an irreducible $\mathfrak{sp}(2n; \mathbb{H})$ -module.

Set $D_i^- = [X_i^-, D_i^+]$. The promised square root of the decomposition (HK) is the space

$$\mathcal{P}_h^i = \ker D_1^- \cap \ker D_2^- \cap \Omega_h^i. \quad (\sqrt{HK})$$

The operators D_i^\pm , where $D_i^+ = \nabla_i$, generate $\mathfrak{osp}(1|4)$.

2.6. Further examples of dual pairs. The following subalgebras $\mathfrak{g}_1(V_1) \oplus \mathfrak{g}_2(V_2)$ are maximal in $\mathfrak{g}(V_1 \otimes V_2)$, hence, are dual pairs:

\mathfrak{g}_1	\mathfrak{g}_2	\mathfrak{g}
$\mathfrak{osp}(n_1 2m_1)$	$\mathfrak{osp}(n_2 2m_2)$	$\mathfrak{osp}(n_1n_2 + 4m_1m_2 2n_1m_2 + 2n_2m_1)$
$\mathfrak{o}(n)$	$\mathfrak{osp}(n_2 2m_2)$	$\mathfrak{osp}(nn_2 2nm_2), n \neq 2, 4$
$\mathfrak{sp}(2n)$	$\mathfrak{osp}(n_2 2m_2)$	$\mathfrak{osp}(2mn_2 4nm_2)$
$\mathfrak{pe}(n_1)$	$\mathfrak{pe}(n_2)$	$\mathfrak{osp}(2n_1n_2 2n_1n_2), n_1, n_2 > 2$
$\mathfrak{osp}(n_1 2m_1)$	$\mathfrak{pe}(n_2)$	$\mathfrak{pe}(n_1n_2 + 2m_1n_2)$ if $n_1 \neq 2m_1$ $\mathfrak{spe}(n_1n_2 + 2m_1n_2)$ if $n_1 = 2m_1$
$\mathfrak{o}(n)$	$\mathfrak{pe}(m)$	$\mathfrak{pe}(nm)$
$\mathfrak{sp}(2n)$	$\mathfrak{pe}(m)$	$\mathfrak{pe}(2nm)$

In particular, on the superspace of polyvector fields, there is a natural $\mathfrak{pe}(n)$ -module structure, and $\mathfrak{pe}(1)$, its dual partner in $\mathfrak{osp}(2n|2n)$, is spanned by the divergence operator Δ (“odd Laplacian”), called the *BRST operator* ([1]), the even operator of $\mathfrak{pe}(1)$ being $\deg_x - \deg_\theta$, where $\theta_i = \pi(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i})$, π being the shift of parity operator.

For further examples of maximal subalgebras in \mathfrak{gl} and \mathfrak{q} see [49]. These subalgebras give rise to other new examples of Howe dual pairs. For the decomposition of the tensor algebra corresponding to some of these examples see [51, 52], some of the latter are further elucidated in [3]. Some further examples of Howe’s duality, considered in a detailed version of our lectures, are: (1) over reals; (2) dual pairs in simple subalgebras of $\mathfrak{po}(2n|m)$ distinct from $\mathfrak{osp}(m|2n)$; in particular, (3) embeddings into $\mathfrak{po}(2n|m; r)$, the nonstandard regratings of the Poisson superalgebra, cf. [50]; (4) a “projective” version of the Howe duality associated with embeddings into the Lie superalgebra of Hamiltonian vector fields, the quotient of the Poisson superalgebra, in particular, the exceptional cases in dimension $(2|2)$, cf. [40]. It is also interesting to consider the prime characteristic and an “odd” Howe’s duality obtained from quantization of the antibracket (the main objective of [4]), to say nothing of q -quantized versions of the above.

§3. GENERALITIES ON SPINOR AND SPINOR-LIKE REPRESENTATIONS

3.1. The spinor and oscillator representations of Lie algebras. The importance of the spinor representation became clear very early. One of the reasons is the following. As is known from any textbook on representation theory, the fundamental representations $R(\varphi_1) = W$, $R(\varphi_2) = \Lambda^2(W)$, \dots , $R(\varphi_{n-1}) = \Lambda^{n-1}(W)$ of $\mathfrak{sl}(W)$, where $\dim W = n$ and φ_i is the highest weight of $\Lambda^i(W)$, are irreducible. Any finite dimensional irreducible $\mathfrak{sl}(n)$ -module L^λ is completely determined by its highest weight $\lambda = \sum \lambda_i \varphi_i$ with $\lambda_i \in \mathbb{Z}_+$. The module L^λ can be realized as a submodule (or quotient) of $\otimes (R(\varphi_i)^{\otimes \lambda_i})$.

Similarly, every irreducible $\mathfrak{gl}(n)$ -module L^λ , where $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_{n-1}; c)$ and c is the eigenvalue of the unit matrix, is realized in the space of tensors, perhaps, twisted with the help of c -densities, namely in the space $\bigotimes_i (R(\varphi_i)^{\otimes \lambda_i}) \otimes \text{tr}^c$, where tr^c is the Lie algebraic version of the c th power of the determinant, i.e., infinitesimally, trace, given for any $c \in \mathbb{C}$ by the formula $X \mapsto c \cdot \text{tr}(X)$ for any matrix $X \in \mathfrak{gl}(W)$. Thus, all the irreducible finite dimensional representations of $\mathfrak{sl}(W)$ are naturally realized in the space of tensors, i.e., in the subspaces or quotient spaces of the space $T_q^p = \underbrace{W \otimes \dots \otimes W}_p \otimes \underbrace{W^* \otimes \dots \otimes W^*}_q$, where W is the space of the identity representation. For $\mathfrak{gl}(W)$, we have to consider the space $T_q^p \otimes \text{tr}^c$.

For $\mathfrak{sp}(W)$, the construction is similar, except the fundamental module $R(\varphi_i)$ is now a part of the module $\Lambda^i(\text{id})$ consisting of the *primitive forms*.

For $\mathfrak{o}(W)$, the situation is totally different: not all fundamental representations can be realised as (parts of) the modules $\Lambda^i(\text{id})$. The exceptional one (or two, for $\mathfrak{o}(2n)$) of them is called the *spinor representation*; for $\mathfrak{o}(W)$, where $\dim W = 2n$, it is realized in the Grassmann algebra $E^*(V)$ of a “half” of W , where $W = V \oplus V^*$ is a decomposition into the direct sum of subspaces isotropic with respect to the form preserved by $\mathfrak{o}(W)$. For $\dim W = 2n + 1$, it is realized in the Grassmann algebra $E^*(V \oplus W_0)$, where $W = V \oplus V^* \oplus W_0$ and W_0 is the 1-dimensional space on which the orthogonal form is nondegenerate.

The quantization of the harmonic oscillator leads to an infinite dimensional analog of the spinor representation which after Howe we call *oscillator* representation of $\mathfrak{sp}(W)$. It is realized in $S^*(V)$, where as above, V is a maximal isotropic subspace of W (with respect to the skew form preserved by $\mathfrak{sp}(W)$). The remarkable likeness of the spinor and oscillator representations was underlined in a theory of *dual Howe’s pairs*, [23].

The importance of spinor-oscillator representations is different for distinct classes of Lie algebras and their representations. In the description of irreducible finite dimensional representations of classical matrix Lie algebras $\mathfrak{gl}(n)$, $\mathfrak{sl}(n)$ and $\mathfrak{sp}(2n)$ we can do without either spinor or oscillator representations. We can not do without spinor representation for $\mathfrak{o}(n)$, but a pessimist might say that spinor representation constitutes only $\frac{1}{n}$ th of the building bricks. Our, optimistic, point of view identifies the spinor representations as one of the two possible types of the building bricks.

For the Witt algebra \mathfrak{witt} and its central extension, the Virasoro algebra \mathfrak{vir} , every irreducible highest weight module is realized as a quotient of a spinor or, equivalently, oscillator representation, see [8], [10]. This miraculous equivalence is known in physics under the name of *bose-fermi correspondence*, see [18], [26].

For the list of generalizations of \mathfrak{witt} and \mathfrak{vir} , i.e., simple (or close to simple) stringy Lie superalgebras or Lie superalgebras of vector fields on N -extended supercircles, often called by an unfortunate (as explained in [21]) name “superconformal algebras”, see [21]. The importance of spinor-oscillator representations diminishes as N grows, but for the most interesting — *distinguished* ([21]) — stringy superalgebras it is high, cf. [11], [46].

3.2. Semi-infinite cohomology. An example of applications of spinor-oscillator representations: semi-infinite (or BRST) cohomology of Lie superalgebras. These cohomology were introduced by Feigin first for Lie algebras ([9]); then he extended the definition to Lie superalgebras via another construction, equivalent to the first one for Lie algebras ([7]). For an elucidation of Feigin’s construction see [14], [31] and [54]. Feigin rewrote in mathematical terms and generalized the constructions physicists used to determine the *critical dimensions* of string theories, i.e., the dimensions in which the quantization of the superstring is possible, see [42], [18]. These critical dimensions are the values of the central element (central charges) on the spinor-oscillator representation constructed from the adjoint representation;

to this day not for every central element of all distinguished simple stringy superalgebras their values are computed on every spinor-oscillator representation, not even on the ones constructed from the adjoint representations.

§4. THE SPINOR-OSCILLATOR REPRESENTATIONS AND LIE SUPERALGEBRAS

4.1. Spinor (Clifford–Weil–wedge– ...) and oscillator representations. As we saw in [40], $\mathfrak{po}(2n|m)_0 \cong \mathfrak{osp}(m|2n)$, the superspace of elements of degree 0 in the standard \mathbb{Z} -grading of $\mathfrak{po}(2n|m)$ or, which is the same, the superspace of quadratic elements in the representation by generating functions. At our first lecture we defined the *spinor-oscillator representation* as the through map (here $k = \lfloor \frac{m}{2} \rfloor$ and \mathcal{Q} is the quantization)

$$\mathfrak{g} \longrightarrow \mathfrak{po}(2n|m) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{Q}} \begin{cases} \mathfrak{diff}(n|k) & \text{if } m = 2k \\ \mathfrak{qdiff}(n|k) & \text{if } m = 2k - 1, \end{cases}$$

where $\text{Im}(\mathfrak{g}) \subset \mathfrak{po}(2n|m)_0 = \mathfrak{osp}(m|2n)$. Actually, such requirement is too restrictive, we only need that the image of \mathfrak{g} under embedding into $\mathfrak{po}(2n|m)$ remains rigid under quantization. So various simple subalgebras of $\mathfrak{po}(2n|m)$ will do as ambients of \mathfrak{g} .

This spinor-oscillator representation is called the *spinor representation* of \mathfrak{g} if $n = 0$, or the *oscillator representation* if $m = 0$. We will denote this representation $\text{Spin}(V)$ and set $\text{Osc}(V) = \text{Spin}(\Pi(V))$, where V is the standard representation of $\mathfrak{osp}(m|2n)$. In other words, if $\text{Spin}(V)$ is a representation of $\mathfrak{osp}(m|2n)$, then $\text{Osc}(V)$ is a representation of $\mathfrak{osp}(2n|m)$, so $\text{Osc}(V)$ only exists for m even.

If V is a \mathfrak{g} -module without any bilinear form, but we still want to construct a spinor-oscillator representation of \mathfrak{g} , consider the module $W = V \oplus V^*$ (where in the infinite dimensional case we replace V^* with the *restricted* dual of V ; roughly speaking, if $V = \mathbb{C}[x]$, then $V^* = \mathbb{C}[[\frac{\partial}{\partial x}]]$, whereas the restricted dual is $\mathbb{C}[\frac{\partial}{\partial x}]$) endowed with the form (for $v_1, w_1 \in V$, $v_2, w_2 \in V^*$) symmetric for the plus sign and skew-symmetric otherwise:

$$B((v_1, v_2), (w_1, w_2)) = v_2(w_1) \pm (-1)^{p(v_1)p(w_2)} w_2(v_1).$$

Now, in W , select a maximal isotropic subspace U (not necessarily V or V^*) and realize the spinor-oscillator representation of \mathfrak{g} in the exterior algebra of U .

Observe that the classical descriptions of spinor representations differ from ours, see, e.g., [17], where the embedding of \mathfrak{g} (in their case $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{o}(n)$) into the quantized algebra (namely into $\mathcal{Q}(\mathfrak{po}(0|n-1))$) is considered, not into $\mathfrak{po}(0|m)$. The existence of this embedding is not so easy to see unless told, whereas our constructions are manifest and bring about the same result.

To illustrate our definitions and constructions, we realize the orthogonal Lie algebra $\mathfrak{o}(n)$ as the subalgebra in the Lie superalgebra $\mathfrak{po}(0|n)$.

Case $\mathfrak{o}(2k)$. Basis:

$$\begin{aligned} X_1^+ &= \xi_2 \eta_1, & \dots, & & X_{k-1}^+ &= \xi_k \eta_{k-1}, & X_k^+ &= \eta_k \eta_{k-1}; \\ X_1^- &= \xi_1 \eta_2, & \dots, & & X_{k-1}^- &= \xi_{k-1} \eta_k, & X_k^- &= \xi_{k-1} \xi_k; \\ H_1 &= \xi_1 \eta_1 - \xi_2 \eta_2, & \dots, & & H_{k-1} &= \xi_{k-1} \eta_{k-1} - \xi_k \eta_k, & H_k &= \xi_{k-1} \eta_{k-1} + \xi_k \eta_k. \end{aligned}$$

For $R(\varphi_k)$ take the subspace functions $\mathbb{C}[\xi]_{\text{ev}}$ which contains the constants $\mathbb{C} \cdot \hat{1}$, where $\hat{1}$ is just the constant function 1; clearly, $\hat{1}$ is the vacuum vector.

Quantization (see above) sends: ξ_i into $\hat{\xi}_i$, and η_i into $\hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial \hat{\xi}_i}$, so $X_i^\pm \hat{1} = 0$ for $i < k$, hence, $H_i \hat{1} = [X_i^+, X_i^-] \hat{1} = 0$ for $i < k$. Contrariwise,

$$H_k \hat{1} = [X_k^+, X_k^-] \hat{1} = [\partial_k \partial_{k-1}, \hat{\xi}_{k-1} \hat{\xi}_k] \hat{1} = \partial_k (-\hat{\xi}_{k-1} \partial_{k-1} + 1) \hat{\xi}_k \hat{1} = \hat{1}.$$

So we see that the spinor representation is indeed a fundamental one.

Case $\mathfrak{o}(2k+1)$. Basis:

$$\begin{aligned} X_1^+ &= \xi_2 \eta_1, & \dots, & & X_{k-1}^+ &= \xi_k \eta_{k-1}, & X_k^+ &= \sqrt{2} \eta_k \theta; \\ X_1^- &= \xi_1 \eta_2, & \dots, & & X_{k-1}^- &= \xi_{k-1} \eta_k, & X_k^- &= \sqrt{2} \theta \xi_k; \\ H_1 &= \xi_1 \eta_1 - \xi_2 \eta_2, & \dots, & & H_{k-1} &= \xi_{k-1} \eta_{k-1} - \xi_k \eta_k, & H_k &= 2 \xi_k \eta_k. \end{aligned}$$

For $R(\varphi_k)$ consider the space of even functions $\mathbb{C}[\xi_1, \dots, \xi_k, \theta]_{\text{ev}}$ and realize $\mathfrak{o}(2k+1)$ so that $\xi_i \mapsto \hat{\xi}_i$, $\eta_i \mapsto \hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_i}$, $\theta \mapsto \hbar(\hat{\theta} + \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta})$. As above for $\mathfrak{o}(2k)$, set $\hbar = 1$.

Then, as above, $H_i v = [X_i^+, X_i^-] \hat{1} = 0$ for $i < k$, whereas

$$H_k \hat{1} = [X_k^+, X_k^-] \hat{1} = \frac{2}{2} \left(\partial_k (\hat{\theta} + \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta})^2 \hat{\xi}_k + \hat{\xi}_k (\hat{\theta} + \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta})^2 \partial_k \right) \hat{1} = \hat{1}.$$

So $\hat{1}$ is indeed the highest weight vector of the k th fundamental representation.

4.2. Stringy superalgebras. Case \mathfrak{vir} . For the basis of \mathfrak{vir} take $e_i = t^{i+1} \frac{d}{dt}$, $i \in \mathbb{Z}$, and the central element z ; let the bracket be

$$[e_i, e_j] = (j - i) e_{i+j} - \frac{1}{12} \delta_{ij} (i^3 - i) z. \quad (*)$$

We advise the reader to refresh definitions of stringy superalgebras and various modules over them, see [21], where we also try to convince physicists not to use the term “superconformal algebra” (except, perhaps, for $\mathfrak{k}^L(1|1)$ and $\mathfrak{k}^M(1|1)$). In particular, recall that $\mathcal{F}_{\lambda, \mu} = \text{Span}(\varphi_i = t^{\mu+i} (dt)^\lambda \mid i \in \mathbb{Z})$.

Statement. *The only instances when $\mathcal{F}_{\lambda, \mu}$ possesses an invariant symmetric nondegenerate bilinear form are the space of half-densities, $\sqrt{\text{Vol}} = \mathcal{F}_{1/2, 0}$, and its twisted version, $\mathcal{F}_{1/2, 1/2}$ and in both cases the form is:*

$$(f \sqrt{dt}, g \sqrt{dt}) = \int f g \cdot dt;$$

the only instances when $\mathcal{F}_{\lambda, \mu}$ possesses an invariant skew-symmetric forms are the quotient space of functions modulo constants, $d\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{F}_{0, 0}/\mathbb{C} \cdot 1$, and $\frac{1}{2}$ -twisted functions, $\sqrt{t} \mathcal{F} = \mathcal{F}_{0, 1/2}$ and in both cases the form is:

$$(f, g) = \int f \cdot dg.$$

Let $\partial_i = \frac{\partial}{\partial \varphi_i}$ (where $\varphi_i = t^{\mu+i} (dt)^\lambda$). Let $\text{osc}(\sqrt{\text{Vol}})$ be the \mathfrak{vir} -submodule of the exterior algebra on φ_i for $i < 0$ containing the constant $\hat{1}$. Since the generators e_i of \mathfrak{vir} acts on $\mathcal{F}_{\lambda, \mu}$ as (sums over $i \in \mathbb{Z}$)

$$\begin{aligned} e_1 &= \sum (\mu + i + 2\lambda) \varphi_{i+1} \partial_i = \sum i \varphi_{i+1} \partial_i, \\ e_{-1} &= \sum (\mu + i + 1) \varphi_i \partial_{i+1} = \sum (i + 1) \varphi_i \partial_{i+1}; \\ e_2 &= \sum (\mu + i - \lambda) \varphi_{i+1} \partial_i = \sum i \varphi_{i+1} \partial_i, \\ e_{-2} &= \sum (\mu + i + 3\lambda) \varphi_i \partial_{i+1} = \sum (i + 1) \varphi_i \partial_{i+1}, \end{aligned}$$

and representing e_0 and z as brackets of $e_{\pm 1}$ and $e_{\pm 2}$ from $(*)$ we immediately deduce that the highest weights (c, h) of $\text{osc}(\sqrt{\text{Vol}})$ is $(-\frac{1}{3}, 0)$.

For the spinor representations $\text{spin}(\sqrt{t} \mathcal{F})$ and $\text{spin}(d\mathcal{F})$ (realized on the symmetric algebra of φ_i for $i < 0$) we similarly obtain that the highest weights (c, h) are $(\frac{1}{6}, \frac{1}{2})$ for $\text{spin}(\sqrt{t} \mathcal{F})$ and $(-\frac{1}{6}, 0)$ for $\text{spin}(d\mathcal{F})$.

Observe that the representations $\text{spin}(\sqrt{t}\mathcal{F})$, $\text{spin}(d\mathcal{F})$ and $\text{osc}(\sqrt{\text{Vol}})$ are constructed on a half of the generators used to construct $\text{Spin}(\mathcal{F}_{\lambda;\mu})$.

4.3. The highest weights of the spinor representations of $\mathfrak{k}^L(1|n)$ and $\mathfrak{k}^M(1|n)$. In the following theorem we give the coordinates $(c, h; H_1, \dots)$ of the highest weight of the spinor representations $\text{Spin}(\mathcal{F}_{\lambda;\mu})$ of the contact superalgebra $\mathfrak{k}^L(1|n)$ with respect to z (the central element), K_t , and, after semicolon, on the elements of Cartan subalgebra, respectively. For $\mathfrak{k}^M(1|n)$ we write $\tilde{h}; \tilde{H}_i$. (Observe that for $n > 4$ the Cartan subalgebra has more generators than just $H_1 = K_{\xi_1\eta_1}, \dots, H_k = K_{\xi_k\eta_k}$ which generate the Cartan subalgebra of $\mathfrak{k}(1|2k)$, the algebra of contact vector fields with polynomial coefficients.)

n	0	1	2	≥ 3
c	$12\lambda^2 - 12\lambda + 2$	$-12\lambda + 3$	6	0
h	$(\mu + 2\lambda)(\mu + 1)$	$\mu + 2\lambda$	$2\mu + 2\lambda + \nu$	$2^{n-1}(\mu + \lambda) + 2^{n-3}$
\tilde{h}	–	$2\mu + 3\lambda - \frac{1}{4}$	$2\mu + 2\lambda - \frac{1}{2}$	$2^{n-1}(\mu + \lambda)$

Theorem. Let $(c, h; H_1, \dots)$ be the highest weight of the spinor representation $\text{Spin}(\mathcal{F}_{\lambda;\mu})$ of $\mathfrak{k}^L(1|n)$. The highest weight of the oscillator representation $\text{Osc}(\mathcal{F}_{\lambda;\mu}) = \text{Spin}(\Pi(\mathcal{F}_{\lambda;\mu}))$ is $(-c, h; H_1, \dots)$ and similarly for $\mathfrak{k}^M(1|n)$.

For $n \neq 2$, all the coordinates of the highest weight other than c, h vanish. For $n = 2$ the value of H on the highest weight vector from $\text{Spin}(\mathcal{F}_{\lambda,\nu;\mu})$ is equal to ν .

The values of c and h (or \tilde{h}) on modules $\text{Spin}(\mathcal{F}_{\lambda;\mu})$ are given in the above table.

Up to rescaling, these results are known for small n , see [29], [28] and refs. therein.

Remark. For the contact superalgebras \mathfrak{g} on the $1|n$ -dimensional supercircle our choice of \mathfrak{g} -modules $V = \mathcal{F}_{\lambda;\mu}$ from which we constructed $\text{Spin}(V \oplus V^*)$ is natural for small n : there are no other modules! For larger n it is only justified if we are interested in semi-infinite cohomology of \mathfrak{g} and not in representation theory *per se*. For the superalgebras \mathfrak{g} of series **vect** and **svect** the adjoint module \mathfrak{g} is of the form $\mathcal{T}(\text{id}^*)$, i.e, it is either coinduced from multidimensional representation (**vect**), or is a submodule of such a coinduced module (**svect**). Spinor-oscillator representations of this type were not studied yet, cf. sec. 5.

4.4. Other spinor representations. 1) Among various Lie superalgebras for which it is interesting to study spinor-oscillator representations, the simple (or close to them) maximal subalgebras of **po** are most interesting. The list of such maximal subalgebras is being completed; various maximal subalgebras listed in [48] distinct from the sums of mutual centralizers also provide with spinor representations.

As an interesting example consider A. Sergeev's Lie superalgebra **as**, the nontrivial central extension of the Lie superalgebra **spe**(4) preserving the odd bilinear form and the volume on the $(4|4)$ -dimensional superspace, see [49, 50]. Namely, consider **po**(0|6), the Lie superalgebra whose superspace is the Grassmann superalgebra $\Lambda(\xi, \eta)$ generated by $\xi_1, \xi_2, \xi_3, \eta_1, \eta_2, \eta_3$ and the bracket is the Poisson bracket. Recall also that the quotient of **po**(0|6) modulo center is $\mathfrak{h}(0|6) = \text{Span}(H_f \mid f \in \Lambda(\xi, \eta))$, where

$$H_f = (-1)^{p(f)} \sum \left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial \xi_j} \frac{\partial}{\partial \eta_j} + \frac{\partial f}{\partial \eta_j} \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_j} \right).$$

Now, observe that **spe**(4) can be embedded into $\mathfrak{h}(0|6)$. Indeed, setting $\deg \xi_i = \deg \eta_i = 1$ for all i we introduce a \mathbb{Z} -grading on $\Lambda(\xi, \eta)$ which, in turn, induces a \mathbb{Z} -grading on $\mathfrak{h}(0|6)$ of the form $\mathfrak{h}(0|6) = \bigoplus_{i \geq -1} \mathfrak{h}(0|6)_i$. Since $\mathfrak{sl}(4) \cong \mathfrak{o}(6)$, we can identify **spe**(4)₀ with $\mathfrak{h}(0|6)_0$.

It is not difficult to see that the elements of degree -1 in the standard gradings of **spe**(4) and $\mathfrak{h}(0|6)$ constitute isomorphic $\mathfrak{sl}(4) \cong \mathfrak{o}(6)$ -modules. It is subject to a direct verification that it is really possible to embed **spe**(4)₁ into $\mathfrak{h}(0|6)_1$.

A. Sergeev's extension \mathfrak{as} is the result of the restriction onto $\mathfrak{spe}(4) \subset \mathfrak{h}(0|6)$ of the cocycle that turns $\mathfrak{h}(0|6)$ into $\mathfrak{po}(0|6)$. The quantization (with parameter λ) deforms $\mathfrak{po}(0|6)$ into $\mathfrak{gl}(\Lambda(\xi))$; the through maps $T_\lambda : \mathfrak{as} \longrightarrow \mathfrak{po}(0|6) \longrightarrow \mathfrak{gl}(\Lambda(\xi))$ are representations of \mathfrak{as} in the 4|4-dimensional modules Spin_λ . The explicit form of T_λ is as follows:

$$T_\lambda : \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & -a^t \end{pmatrix} + d \cdot z \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} a & b - \lambda \tilde{c} \\ c & -a^t \end{pmatrix} + \lambda d \cdot 1_{4|4},$$

where $1_{4|4}$ is the unit matrix and \sim is extended via linearity from matrices $c_{ij} = E_{ij} - E_{ji}$ on which $\tilde{c}_{ij} = c_{kl}$ for any even permutation $(1234) \mapsto (ijkl)$. Clearly, T_λ is an irreducible representation for any λ and $T_\lambda \not\cong T_\mu$ for $\lambda \neq \mu$.

2) Maximal subalgebras (for further examples see [48]) and a conjecture. Let V_1 be a linear superspace of dimension $(r|s)$; let $\Lambda(n)$ be the Grassmann superalgebra with n odd generators ξ_1, \dots, ξ_n and $\mathfrak{vect}(0|n) = \mathfrak{der}\Lambda(n)$ the Lie superalgebra of vector fields on the $(0|n)$ -dimensional supermanifold.

Let $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{gl}(V_1) \otimes \Lambda(n) \in \mathfrak{vect}(0|n)$ be the semidirect sum (the ideal at the closed part of \in) with the natural action of $\mathfrak{vect}(0|n)$ on the ideal $\mathfrak{gl}(V_1) \otimes \Lambda(n)$. The Lie superalgebra \mathfrak{g} has a natural faithful representation ρ in the space $V = V_1 \otimes \Lambda(n)$ defined by the formulas

$$\begin{aligned} \rho(X \otimes \varphi)(v \otimes \psi) &= (-1)^{p(\varphi)p(\psi)} Xv \otimes \varphi\psi, \\ \rho(D)(v \otimes \psi) &= -(-1)^{p(D)p(v)} v \otimes D\psi \end{aligned}$$

for any $X \in \mathfrak{gl}(V_1)$, $\varphi, \psi \in \Lambda(n)$, $v \in V_1$, $D \in \mathfrak{vect}(0|n)$. Let us identify the elements from \mathfrak{g} with their images under ρ , so we consider \mathfrak{g} embedded into $\mathfrak{gl}(V)$.

Theorem ([48]) 1) *The Lie superalgebra $\mathfrak{gl}(V_1) \otimes \Lambda(n) \in \mathfrak{vect}(0|n)$ is maximal irreducible in $\mathfrak{sl}(V_1 \otimes \Lambda(n))$ unless a) $\dim V_1 = (1, 1)$ or b) $n = 1$ and $\dim V_1 = (1, 0)$ or $(0, 1)$ or $(r|s)$ for $r \neq s$.*

2) *If $\dim V_1 = (1, 1)$, then $\mathfrak{gl}(1|1) \cong \Lambda(1) \in \mathfrak{vect}(0|1)$, so*

$$\mathfrak{gl}(V_1) \otimes \Lambda(n) \in \mathfrak{vect}(0|n) \subset \Lambda(n+1) \in \mathfrak{vect}(0|n+1)$$

and it is the bigger superalgebra which is maximal irreducible in $\mathfrak{sl}(V)$.

3) *If $n = 1$ and $\dim V_1 = (r|s)$ for $r > s > 0$, then \mathfrak{g} is maximal irreducible in $\mathfrak{gl}(V)$.*

Conjecture. Suppose $r + s = 2^N$. Then, $\dim V$ coincides with $\dim \Lambda(W)$ for some space W . We suspect that this coincidence is not accidental but is occasioned by the spinor representations of the maximal subalgebras described above. The same applies to $\mathfrak{q}(V_1) \otimes \Lambda(n) \in \mathfrak{vect}(0|n)$, a maximal irreducible subalgebra in $\mathfrak{q}(V_1 \otimes \Lambda(n))$.

4.5. Selected problems. 1) The spinor and oscillator representations are realized in the symmetric (perhaps, supersymmetric) algebra of the maximal isotropic (at least for $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sp}(2k)$ and $\mathfrak{o}(2k)$) subspace V of the identity \mathfrak{g} -module $\text{id} = V \oplus V^*$. But one could have equally well started from another \mathfrak{g} -module. For an interesting study of spinor representations constructed from $W \neq \text{id}$, see [45].

To consider in a way similar to sec. 2 contact stringy superalgebras $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{k}^L(1|n)$ and $\mathfrak{k}^M(1|n)$, as well as other stringy superalgebras from the list [21], we have to replace $\mathcal{F}_{\lambda,\mu}$ with modules $\mathcal{T}_\mu(W)$ of (twisted) tensor fields on the supercircle and investigate how does the highest weight of $\hat{1} \in \text{Osc}(\mathcal{T}_\mu(W))$ or $\hat{1} \in \text{Spin}(\mathcal{T}_\mu(W))$ constructed from an arbitrary irreducible $\mathfrak{co}(n)$ -module $W = V \oplus V^*$ depend on the highest weight of W . (It seems that the new and absolutely remarkable spinor-like representation Poletaeva recently constructed [46] is obtained in this way.)

To give the reader a feel of calculations, we consider here the simplest nontrivial case $\mathfrak{o}(3) = \mathfrak{sl}(2)$. The results may (and will) be used in calculations of $\text{Spin}(\mathcal{T}_\mu(W))$ for $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{k}^L(1|n)$ and $\mathfrak{k}^M(1|n)$ for $n = 3, 4$. As is known, for every $N \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ there exists an

irreducible $(N + 1)$ -dimensional \mathfrak{g} -module with highest weight N . This module possesses a natural nondegenerate \mathfrak{g} -invariant bilinear form which is skew-symmetric for $N = 2k + 1$ and symmetric for $N = 2k$. The corresponding embeddings $\mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{o}(2k + 1)$ and $\mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{sp}(2k)$ are called *principal*, see [19] and references therein. Explicitly, the images of the Chevalley generators X^\pm of $\mathfrak{sl}(2)$ are as follows: $X^- \mapsto \sum X_i^-$,

$$X^+ \mapsto \begin{cases} N(N + 1)X_N^+ + \sum_{1 \leq i \leq N-1} i(N + 1 - i)X_i^+ & \text{for } N = 2k + 1 \\ N^2X_N^+ + \sum_{1 \leq i \leq N-1} i(2N - i)X_i^+ & \text{for } N = 2k. \end{cases}$$

From the commutation relations between X^+ and X^- we derive that only X_N^\pm give a nontrivial contribution to the highest weight HW of the $\mathfrak{sl}(2)$ -module $\text{Spin}(L^N)$; we have:

$$\text{HW} = \begin{cases} N(N + 1) & \text{if } N = 2k + 1 \\ -\frac{1}{2}N^2 & \text{if } N = 2k. \end{cases}$$

2) Observe, that the notion of spinor-oscillator representation can be broadened to embrace the subalgebras of the Lie superalgebra \mathfrak{h} of Hamiltonian vector fields and their images under quantization; we call the through map the *projective spinor-oscillator representation*. Since the Lie superalgebra \mathfrak{h} has more deformations than \mathfrak{po} ([40]), and since the sets of maximal simple subalgebras of \mathfrak{po} and \mathfrak{h} are distinct, the set of examples of projective spinor-oscillator representations differs from that of spinor-oscillator representations.

REFERENCES

- [1] Batalin I., Tyutin I., *Generalized Field–Antifield formalism*, In: Dobrushin R. et. al. (eds.) *Topics in Statistical and theoretical Physics* (F.A.Berezin memorial volume), Transactions of AMS, series 2, **177**, 1996, 23–43
- [2] Bernstein J., *The Lie superalgebra $\mathfrak{osp}(1|2)$, connections over symplectic manifolds and representations of Poisson algebras*. In: [36], **9/1987–13 preprint**
- [3] Cheng S., Wang W., *Howe duality for Lie superalgebras*, math.RT/0008093; *Remarks on the Schur-Howe-Sergeev duality*, math.RT/0008109
- [4] Deligne P., Leites D., *Howe’s duality and Lie superalgebras* unpublished notes, IAS, Princeton, 1989
- [5] Deligne P. et al (eds.) *Quantum fields and strings: a course for mathematicians*. Vol. 1, 2. Material from the Special Year on Quantum Field Theory held at the Institute for Advanced Study, Princeton, NJ, 1996–1997. AMS, Providence, RI; Institute for Advanced Study (IAS), Princeton, NJ, 1999. Vol. 1: xxii+723 pp.; Vol. 2: pp. i–xxiv and 727–1501
- [6] Ding J., Frenkel I., *Spinor and oscillator representations of quantum groups* Lie theory and geometry, 127–165, Progr. Math., **123**, Birkhuser Boston, Boston, MA, 1994.
- [7] Feigin B., Usp. Mat. Nauk **39**, 195–196 (English translation: Russian Math. Surveys **39**, 155–156).
- [8] Feigin B., Fuchs D., *Representations of the Virasoro algebra*. In: Vershik A., Zhelobenko D. (eds.) Representation of Lie groups and related topics, Adv. Stud. Contemp. Math., **7**, Gordon and Breach, New York, 1990, 465–554
- [9] Feigin B., Leites D., *New Lie superalgebras of string theories* In: Markov M. (ed.), Group–theoretical methods in physics (Zvenigorod, 1982), **v. 1**, Nauka, Moscow, 1983, 269–273 (Harwood Academic Publ., Chur, 1985, **Vol. 1–3**, 623–629)
- [10] B. Feigin, E. Frenkel, *Semi-infinite Weil complex and the Virasoro algebra*, Commun. Math. Phys. **137**, 1991, 617–639. Erratum: Commun. Math. Phys. **147**, 1992, 647–648
- [11] Feigin B., Semikhatov A., Sirota V., Tipunin I., *Resolutions and characters of irreducible representations of the $N = 2$ superconformal algebra*. Nuclear Phys. **B 536**, 1999, no. 3, 617–656
- [12] Feigin B. L., Semikhatov A. M., Tipunin I. Yu., *Equivalence between chain categories of representations of affine $\mathfrak{sl}(2)$ and $N = 2$ superconformal algebras*, J. Math. Phys. **39** (1998), no. 7, 3865–3905
- [13] Frenkel, I. B. *Two constructions of affine Lie algebra representations and boson-fermion correspondence in quantum field theory* J. Funct. Anal. **44**, 1981, no. 3, 259–327

- [14] Frenkel I., H. Garland H., G. Zuckerman G., *Semi-infinite cohomology and string theory*, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. **83**, 1986, 8442–8446.
- [15] Gendenshtein L. E.; Krive I. V. *Supersymmetry in quantum mechanics*, Soviet Phys. Uspekhi **28** (1985), no. 8, 645–666 (1986)
- [16] Gomis J., Paris J., Samuel S., *Antibracket, antifields and gauge-theory quantization*, Phys. Rept. **259** (1995) 1–191
- [17] Goto M., Grosshans F., *Semisimple Lie algebras*. Lecture Notes in Pure and Applied Mathematics, **Vol. 38**, Marcel Dekker, Inc., New York-Basel, 1978. vii+480 pp.
- [18] Green M., Schwarz J., Witten E., *Superstring theory Vol. 1, 2*. Second edition. Cambridge Monographs on Mathematical Physics. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge-New York, 1988. x+470 pp.; xii+596 pp.
- [19] Grozman P., Leites D., *Lie superalgebras of supermatrices of complex size. Their generalizations and related integrable systems*, In: Vasilevsky N. et. al. (eds.) *Proc. Internatnl. Symp. Complex Analysis and related topics*, Mexico, 1996, Birkhauser Verlag, 1999, 73–105
- [20] Grozman P., Leites D., *Defining relations for classical Lie superalgebras with Cartan matrix*, hep-th 9702073; (Czechoslovak J. Phys., 2001, to appear)
- [21] Grozman P., Leites D., Shchepochkina I., *Lie superalgebras of string theories*, hep-th 9702120
- [22] Grozman P., Leites D., Poletaeva E., *Defining relations for simple Lie superalgebras. Lie superalgebras without Cartan matrix*, In: Ivanov E. et. al. (eds.) *Supersymmetries and Quantum Symmetries* (SQS'99, 27-31 July, 1999), Dubna, JINR, 2000, 387–396
- [23] Howe R., *Remarks on classical invariant theory*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., **313**, n. 2, 1989, 539–570; Erratum to: “*Remarks on classical invariant theory*”. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **318**, no. 2, 1990, 823
- [24] Howe R., *Remarks on classical invariant theory (preprint ca 1973–75)*
- [25] Howe R., *Remarks on classical invariant theory*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., **313**, n. 2, 1989, 539–570; Erratum to: “*Remarks on classical invariant theory*”. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **318**, no. 2, 1990, 823
- [26] Kac V., *Infinite Dimensional Lie Algebras*. 3rd ed. Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1992
- [27] Kac V., Peterson, D., *Spin and wedge representations of infinite-dimensional Lie algebras and groups*. Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. **78**, 1981, no. 6, part 1, 3308–3312
- [28] Kac V., Wakimoto M., *Unitarizable highest weight representations of the Virasoro, Neveu-Schwarz and Ramond algebras*. In: Conformal groups and related symmetries: physical results and mathematical background (Clausthal-Zellerfeld, 1985), 345–371, Lecture Notes in Phys., **261**, Springer, Berlin-New York, 1986
- [29] Kent, A., Riggs, H., *Determinant formulae for the $N = 4$ superconformal algebras*. Phys. Lett. **B 198** (1987), no. 4, 491–496
- [30] Kostant B., Sahi S., *Jordan algebras and Capelli identities* Invent. Math. **112** (1993), no. 3, 657–664; Brylinski R., Kostant B., *Minimal representations of E_6 , E_7 , and E_8 and the generalized Capelli identity*, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. **91** (1994), no. 7, 2469–247
- [31] Kostant B.; Sternberg S., *Symplectic reduction, BRS cohomology, and infinite-dimensional Clifford algebras*. Ann. Physics, **176** (1987), no. 1, 49–113
- [32] Kotchetkoff Yu. *Deformations of Lie superalgebras*. VINITI Depositions, Moscow 1985, # 384–85 (in Russian)
- [33] Kotchetkoff Yu. *Déformations de superalgèbres de Buttin et quantification*, C.R. Acad. Sci. Paris, ser. I, **299**: **14**, 1984, 643–645
- [34] Leites D., *Spectra of graded-commutative rings*, Uspekhi Mat. Nauk, **29**, n. 3, 1974, 209–210 (in Russian)
- [35] Leites D., *New Lie superalgebras and mechanics*, Soviet Math. Doklady, **18**, n. 5, 1977, 1277–1280
- [36] Leites D. (ed.), *Seminar on supermanifolds*, Reports of Stockholm University, **1–34**, 1987–1990, 2100 pp. *preprint*
- [37] Leites D., *Lie superalgebras*, JOSMAR, **30(6)**, 1985, 2481–2512; id., *Introduction to the supermanifold theory*, Russian Math. Surveys, **35**, n.1, 1980, 3–53
- [38] Leites D., *Quantization. Supplement 3*, In: Berezin F., Shubin M. *Schrödinger equation*, Kluwer, Dordrecht, 1991, 483–522
- [39] Leites D., Poletaeva E., *Defining relations for classical Lie algebras of polynomial vector fields*, Math. Scand., **81**, 1997, no. 1, 1998, 5–19; Grozman P., Leites D., Poletaeva E., *Defining relations for simple Lie superalgebras. Lie superalgebras without Cartan matrix*, In: Ivanov E. et. al. (eds.) *Supersymmetries and Quantum Symmetries* (SQS'99, 27-31 July, 1999), Dubna, JINR, 2000, 387–396
- [40] Leites D., Shchepochkina I., *How to quantize antibracket*, Theor. and Math. Physics, to appear
- [41] Leites D., Shchepochkina I., *How to quantize antibracket*, Theor. and Math. Physics, to appear

- [42] Marinov, M. S. *Relativistic strings and dual models of the strong interactions*. Soviet Physics Uspekhi **20**, 1977, no. 3, 179–208.; translated from Uspehi Fiz. Nauk **121**, 1977, no. 3, 377–425 (Russian)
- [43] Molev A., *Factorial supersymmetric Schur functions and super Capelli identities*. Kirillov’s seminar on representation theory, Amer. Math. Soc. Transl. Ser. 2, **181**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1998, 109–137; Molev A., Nazarov M., *Capelli identities for classical Lie algebras*, Math. Ann. **313** (1999), no. 2, 315–357
- [44] Nishiyama K., *Oscillator representations of orthosymplectic algebras*, J. Alg., **129**, 1990, 231–262; Hayashi, T., *Q-analogue of Clifford and Weyl algebras–Spinor and oscillator representations of quantum enveloping algebras*, Comm. Math. Phys. **127**, 129–144 (1990)
- [45] Panyushev D., *The exterior algebra and ‘Spin’ of an orthogonal g -module* math.AG/0001161
- [46] Poletaeva E., *A spinor-like representation of the contact superconformal algebra $K'(4)$* , hep-th/0011100 (J. Math. Phys. 42, no. 1, 2001); *Semi-infinite cohomology and superconformal algebras*, Comptes Rendus de l’Académie des Sciences, t. **326**, 1998, Série I, 533–538; *Superconformal algebras and Lie superalgebras of the Hodge theory*, preprint MPI 99–136.
- [47] Rybnikov G. L., *Tensor invariants of the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{sl}_2(C[t])$ and fundamental representations of the Lie algebra $\widehat{\mathfrak{sp}}_{2n}$* . (Russian) Zap. Nauchn. Sem. Leningrad. Otdel. Mat. Inst. Steklov. (LOMI) **172** (1989), Differentsialnaya Geom. Gruppy Li i Mekh. **10**, 137–144; Rybnikov G. L., *Fermionic dual pairs of representations of loop groups*. (Russian) Functional Anal. Appl. **26** (1992), no. 1, 61–62 Adamovich A. M., Rybnikov G. L., *Tilting modules for classical groups and Howe duality in positive characteristic* Transform. Groups **1** (1996), no. 1-2, 1–34
- [48] Shchepochkina I., *Maximal subalgebras of simple Lie superalgebras*, (hep-th 9702120)
- [49] Shchepochkina I., *The five exceptional simple Lie superalgebras of vector fields* (Russian) Funktsional. Anal. i Prilozhen. **33** (1999), no. 3, 59–72, 96 translation in Funct. Anal. Appl. **33** (1999), no. 3, 208–219 (hep-th 9702121); id., *The five exceptional simple Lie superalgebras of vector fields and their fourteen regradings*. Represent. Theory, (electronic) **3** (1999), 373–415
- [50] Shchepochkina I., Post G., *Explicit bracket in an exceptional simple Lie superalgebra*, Internat. J. Algebra Comput. **8** (1998), no. 4, 479–495 (physics/9703022)
- [51] Sergeev A., *An analog of the classical invariant theory for Lie superalgebras*, Funktsional. Anal. i ego Prilozh., **26**, no.3, 1992, 88–90 (in Russian); an expanded version in: math.RT/9810113
- [52] Sergeev A., *Vector and covector invariants of Lie superalgebras*, Funktsional. Anal. i ego Prilozh., **30**, no.3, 1996, 90–93 (in Russian); an expanded version in: math.RT/9904079
- [53] Verbitsky, M. *Action of the Lie algebra of $SO(5)$ on the cohomology of a hyper-Kähler manifold*. Functional Anal. Appl. **24** (1990), no. 3, 229–230
- [54] Voronov A., *Semi-infinite homological algebra*, Invent. math. **113**, 1993, 103–146
- [55] Weyl H., *The classical groups. Their invariants and representations*. Fifteenth printing. Princeton Landmarks in Mathematics. Princeton Paperbacks. Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 1997. xiv+320 pp.

¹DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF STOCKHOLM, ROSLAGSV. 101, KRÄFTRIKET HUS 6, S-106 91, STOCKHOLM, SWEDEN; MLEITES@MATEMATIK.SU.SE; ²THE INDEPENDENT UNIVERSITY OF MOSCOW, BOLSHOJ VLASIEVSKY PER, DOM 11, RU-121 002 MOSCOW, RUSSIA; IRA@PARAMONOVA.MCCME.RU