

# THE $G$ -STABLE PIECES OF THE WONDERFUL COMPACTIFICATION

XUHUA HE

ABSTRACT. Let  $G$  be a connected, simple algebraic group over an algebraically closed field. There is a partition of the wonderful compactification  $\bar{G}$  of  $G$  into finite many  $G$ -stable pieces, which was introduced by Lusztig. In this paper, we will investigate the closure of any  $G$ -stable piece in  $\bar{G}$ . We will show that the closure is a disjoint union of some  $G$ -stable pieces, which was first conjectured by Lusztig. We will also prove the existence of cellular decomposition if the closure contains finitely many  $G$ -orbits.

## INTRODUCTION

An adjoint semi-simple group  $G$  has a “wonderful” compactification  $\bar{G}$ , introduced by De Concini and Procesi in [DP]. The variety  $\bar{G}$  is a smooth variety with  $G \times G$  action. Denote by  $G_{diag}$ , the image of the diagonal embedding of  $G$  into  $G \times G$ . The  $G_{diag}$ -orbits of  $\bar{G}$  were studied by Lusztig in [L4]. He introduced a partition of  $\bar{G}$  into finitely many  $G$ -stable pieces. The  $G$ -orbits on each piece can be described explicitly. Based on the partition, he established the theory of “parabolic character sheaves” on  $\bar{G}$ .

The main results of this paper concern the closure of the  $G$ -stable pieces. The closure of each piece is a union of some other pieces and if the closure contains finitely many  $G$ -orbits, then it admits a cellular decomposition. I believe that our results are necessary ingredients for establishing the (conjectural) Kazhdan-Lusztig theory on the “Parabolic Character Sheaves” on  $\bar{G}$ .

We now review the content of this paper in more detail.

In section 1, we recall the definition of  $G$ -stable pieces in [L4] and establish some basic results. The pieces are indexed by the pairs  $\mathcal{I} = \{(J, w)\}$ , where  $J$  is a subset of the simple roots and  $w$  is an element of the Weyl group  $W$ , which has minimal length in the coset  $wW_J$ . One interesting result is that any  $G$ -stable piece is the minimal  $G$ -stable subset that contains a particular  $B \times B$ -orbit, where  $B$  is the Borel subgroup. The closure of any  $B \times B$ -orbit in  $\bar{G}$  was studied by Springer in [S]. Based on his result and the relations between  $G$ -stable pieces and  $B \times B$ -orbits, we are able to investigate the closure of the  $G$ -stable pieces.

---

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 20G15, 14L30.

In section 2, we recall the definition of the “wonderful” compactification and introduce “compactification through the fibres”, a technique tool that will be used to prove the existence of cellular decomposition. In section 3, we describe a partial order on  $\mathcal{I}$ , which is the partial order that corresponds to the closure relation of the  $G$ -stable piece, as we will see in section 4. In section 4, we also discuss the closure of any  $G$ -stable piece that appears in [L3].

In section 5, we discuss the existence of cellular decomposition. Each piece does not have a cellular decomposition. However, a union of certain pieces has a cellular decomposition. (This is motivated by Springer in [S], in which he showed that a union of certain  $B \times B$ -orbits is isomorphic to an affine space.) In fact, if the closure contains finitely many  $G$ -orbits, then it has a cellular decomposition.

The methods work for arbitrary connected component of a disconnected algebraic group with identity component  $G$ . The results for that component is just a “twisted” version of the results for  $G$  itself.

## 1. THE $G$ -STABLE PIECES

**1.1.** In the sequel  $G$  is a connected, semi-simple algebraic group of adjoint type over an algebraically closed field. Let  $B$  be a Borel subgroup of  $G$ ,  $B^-$  be the opposite Borel subgroup and  $T = B \cap B^-$ . Let  $(\alpha_i)_{i \in I}$  be the set of simple roots. For  $i \in I$ , we denote by  $s_i$  the corresponding simple reflection. For any element  $w$  in the Weyl group  $W = N(T)/T$ , we will choose a representative  $\dot{w}$  in  $N(T)$  in the same way as in [L1, 1.1].

For  $J \subset I$ , let  $P_J \supset B$  be the standard parabolic subgroup defined by  $J$  and  $P_J^- \supset B^-$  be the opposite of  $P_J$ . Set  $L_J = P_J \cap P_J^-$ . Then  $L_J$  is a Levi subgroup of  $P_J$  and  $P_J^-$ . We denote by  $\Phi_J$  the set of roots that are linear combination of  $\{(\alpha_j)_{j \in J}\}$ . Let  $Z_J$  be the center of  $L_J$  and  $G_J = L_J/Z_J$  be its adjoint group. We denote by  $\pi_{P_J}$  (resp.  $\pi_{P_J^-}$ ) the projection of  $P_J$  (resp.  $P_J^-$ ) onto  $G_J$ .

For any  $J \subset I$ , let  $\mathcal{P}_J$  be the set of parabolic subgroups conjugate to  $P_J$ . We will write  $\mathcal{B}$  for  $\mathcal{P}_\emptyset$ .

For any subset  $J$  of  $I$ , let  $W_J$  be the subgroup of  $W$  generated by  $\{s_j \mid j \in J\}$  and  $W^J$  (resp.  ${}^J W$ ) be the set of minimal length coset representatives of  $W/W_J$  (resp.  $W_J \backslash W$ ). Let  $w_0^J$  be the unique element of maximal length in  $W_J$ . (We will simply write  $w_0^J$  as  $w_0$ .) For  $J, K \subset I$ , we write  ${}^J W^K$  for  ${}^J W \cap W^K$ .

For  $w \in W$ , we denote by  $\text{supp}(w) \subset I$  the set of simple roots whose associated simple reflections occur in some (or equivalently, any) reduced decomposition of  $w$ .

For  $J, K \subset I$ ,  $P \in \mathcal{P}_J$ ,  $Q \in \mathcal{P}_K$  and  $u \in {}^J W^K$ , we write  $\text{pos}(P, Q) = u$  if there exists  $g \in G$ , such that  ${}^g P = P_J$  and  ${}^g Q = {}^u P_K$ .

For any parabolic subgroup  $P$ , we denote by  $U_P$  its unipotent radical. We will simply write  $U$  for  $U_B$  and  $U^-$  for  $U_{B^-}$ . For  $J \subset I$ , set  $U_J = U \cap L_J$  and  $U_J^- = U^- \cap L_J$ .

For any closed subgroup  $H$  of  $G$ , we denote by  $H_{diag}$  the image of the diagonal embedding of  $H$  in  $G \times G$ . For any subgroup  $H$  and  $g \in G$ , we write  ${}^g H$  for

$gHg^{-1}$ . For any finite set  $A$ , we write  $|A|$  for the cardinal of  $X$ .

**1.2.** Let  $\hat{G}$  be a possibly disconnected reductive algebraic group over and algebraically closed field with identity component  $G$ . Let  $G^1$  be a fixed connected component of  $\hat{G}$ . There exists an isomorphism  $\delta : W \rightarrow W$  such that  $\delta(I) = I$  and  ${}^gP \in \mathcal{P}_{\delta(J)}$  for  $g \in G^1$  and  $P \in \mathcal{P}_J$ . There also exists  $g_0 \in G^1$  such that  $g_0$  normalizes  $T$  and  $B$ . Moreover,  $g_0$  can be chosen in such a way that  ${}^{g_0}L_J = L_{\delta(J)}$  for  $J \subset I$ . We will fix such  $g_0$  in the rest of this paper.

In particular, if  $G^1 = G$ , then  $\delta = id$ , where  $id$  is the identity map. In this case, we choose  $g_0$  to be the unit element 1 of  $G$ .

**1.3.** We will follow the set-up of [L4].

Let  $J, J' \subset I$  and  $y \in {}^{J'}W^J$  be such that  $\text{Ad}(y)\delta(J) = J'$ . For  $P \in \mathcal{P}_J$ ,  $P' \in \mathcal{P}_{J'}$ , define  $A_y(P, P') = \{g \in G^1 \mid \text{pos}(P', {}^gP) = y\}$ . Define

$$\tilde{Z}_{J,y,\delta} = \{(P, P', \gamma) \mid P \in \mathcal{P}_J, P' \in \mathcal{P}_{J'}, \gamma \in U_{P'} \backslash A_y(P, P') / U_P\}$$

with  $G \times G$  action defined by  $(g_1, g_2)(P, P', \gamma) = ({}^{g_2}P, {}^{g_1}P', g_1\gamma g_2^{-1})$ .

By [L4, 8.9],  $A_y(P, P')$  is a single  $P', P$  double coset. Thus  $G \times G$  acts transitively on  $\tilde{Z}_{J,y,\delta}$ .

Let  $z = (P, P', \gamma) \in \tilde{Z}_{J,y,\delta}$ . Then there exists  $g \in \gamma$  such that  ${}^gP$  contains some Levi of  $P \cap P'$ . Now set  $P_1 = g^{-1}({}^gP)^{(P'^P)}g$ ,  $P'_1 = P^{P'}$ . Define

$$\alpha(P, P', \gamma) = (P_1, P'_1, U_{P'_1}gU_{P_1}).$$

By [L4, 8.11], The map  $\alpha$  doesn't depend on the choice of  $g$ .

To  $z = (P, P', \gamma) \in \tilde{Z}_{J,y,\delta}$ , we associate a sequence  $(J_k, J'_k, u_k, y_k, P_k, P'_k, \gamma_k)_{k \geq 0}$  with  $J_k, J'_k \subset I$ ,  $u_k \in W$ ,  $y_k \in {}^{J'_k}W^{\delta(J_k)}$ ,  $\text{Ad}(y_k)\delta(J_k) = J'_k$ ,  $P_k \in \mathcal{P}_{J_k}$ ,  $P'_k \in \mathcal{P}_{J'_k}$ ,  $\gamma_k = U_{P'_k}gU_{P_k}$  for some  $g \in G$  satisfies  $\text{pos}(P'_k, {}^gP_k) = y_k$ . The sequence is defined as follows.

$$P_0 = P, P'_0 = P', \gamma_0 = \gamma, J_0 = J, J'_0 = J', u_0 = \text{pos}(P'_0, P_0), y_0 = y.$$

Assume that  $k \geq 1$ , that  $P_m, P'_m, \gamma_m, J_m, J'_m, u_m, y_m$  are already defined for  $m < k$  and that  $u_m = \text{pos}(P'_m, P_m)$ ,  $P_m \in \mathcal{P}_{J_m}$ ,  $P'_m \in \mathcal{P}_{J'_m}$  for  $m < k$ . Let

$$J_k = J_{k-1} \cap \delta^{-1}\text{Ad}(y_{k-1}^{-1}u_{k-1})J_{k-1}, J'_k = J_{k-1} \cap \text{Ad}(u_{k-1}^{-1}y_{k-1})\delta(J_{k-1}),$$

$$(P_k, P'_k, \gamma_k) = \alpha(P_{k-1}, P'_{k-1}, \gamma_{k-1}) \in \tilde{Z}_{J_k, y_k, \delta} \text{ (see [L4, 8.10])},$$

$$u_k = \text{pos}(P'_k, P_k), y_k = u_{k-1}^{-1}y_{k-1}, \gamma_k = U_{P'_k}g_{k-1}U_{P_k}.$$

It is known that the sequence is well defined. Moreover, for sufficient large  $n$ , we have that  $J_n = J'_n = J_{n+1} = J'_{n+1} = \cdots = J_\infty$ ,  $u_n = u_{n+1} = \cdots = 1$ ,  $y_n = y_{n+1} = \cdots = y_\infty$ ,  $P_n = P_{n+1} = \cdots = P_\infty$ ,  $P'_n = P'_{n+1} = \cdots = P'_\infty$  and

$\gamma_n = \gamma_{n+1} = \dots = \gamma_\infty$ . Now we set  $\beta(z) = y_\infty$ . Then we have that  $\beta(z) \in W^{\delta(J)}$ . By [L4, 8.18] and [L3, 2.5], the sequence  $(J_n, J'_n, u_n, y_n)_{n \geq 0}$  is uniquely determined by  $\beta(z)$  and  $y$ .

For  $w \in W^{\delta(J)}$ , set

$$\tilde{Z}_{J,y,\delta}^w = \{z \in \tilde{Z}_{J,y,\delta} \mid \beta(z) = w\}.$$

Then  $(\tilde{Z}_{J,y,\delta}^w)_{w \in W^{\delta(J)}}$  is a partition of  $\tilde{Z}_{J,y,\delta}$  into locally closed  $G$ -stable subvarieties. We call  $(\tilde{Z}_{J,y,\delta}^w)_{w \in W^{\delta(J)}}$  the  $G$ -stable pieces of  $\tilde{Z}_{J,y,\delta}$ . For  $w \in W^{\delta(J)}$ , let  $(J_n, J'_n, u_n, y_n)_{n \geq 0}$  be the sequence determined by  $w$  and  $y$ . The restriction of the map  $\alpha$  on  $\tilde{Z}_{J,y,\delta}^w$  is a  $G$ -equivariant morphism from  $\tilde{Z}_{J,y,\delta}^w$  onto  $\tilde{Z}_{J_1,y_1,\delta}^w$ . We also denote this morphism by  $\alpha$ . It is known that  $\alpha$  induces a bijection from the set of  $G$ -orbits on  $\tilde{Z}_{J,y,\delta}^w$  to the set of  $G$ -orbits on  $\tilde{Z}_{J_1,y_1,\delta}^w$ .

We have a consequence  $\tilde{Z}_{J,y,\delta}^w \xrightarrow{\alpha} \tilde{Z}_{J_1,y_1,\delta}^w \xrightarrow{\alpha} \tilde{Z}_{J_2,y_2,\delta}^w \xrightarrow{\alpha} \dots$ . For sufficiently large  $n$ ,  $\vartheta = \alpha^n : \tilde{Z}_{J,y,\delta}^w \rightarrow \tilde{Z}_{J_\infty,w,\delta}^w$  is independent of the choice of  $n$  and is a  $G$ -equivariant morphism. Moreover,  $\vartheta$  induces a bijection from the set of  $G$ -orbits on  $\tilde{Z}_{J,y,\delta}^w$  to the set of  $G$ -orbits on  $\tilde{Z}_{J_\infty,w,\delta}^w$ .

In the rest of this section, we will fix  $J, y, \delta, w$  and  $J_\infty$ . First, we will give an explicit description of  $J_\infty$  in terms of  $J, \delta$  and  $w$ .

**Lemma 1.4.** *Keep the notion of 1.3. Then*

$$J_\infty = \max\{K \subset J \mid \text{Ad}(w)\delta(K) = K\}.$$

Proof. Set  $v = y_1 w^{-1}$ . By [H, 2.2],  $v \in W_J$ . Now  $J_1 = J \cap \delta^{-1} \text{Ad}(y_1^{-1})J$ . Thus  $\Phi_{\delta(J_1)} \subset \text{Ad}(y_1^{-1})\Phi_J = \text{Ad}(w^{-1})\text{Ad}(v^{-1})\Phi_J = \text{Ad}(w^{-1})\Phi_J$ .

Let  $i \in J$ . Assume that  $\alpha_{\delta(i)} \in \text{Ad}(y_1^{-1})\Phi_J$ . Then  $\alpha_{\delta(i)} = \text{Ad}(y_1^{-1})\alpha = \text{Ad}(y^{-1})\text{Ad}(u_0)\alpha$  for some  $\alpha \in \Phi_J$ . Then  $\alpha_{\text{Ad}(y)\delta(i)} = \text{Ad}(u_0)\alpha$ . Note that  $\alpha_{\text{Ad}(y)\delta(i)}$  is a simple root and  $u_0 \in W^J$ . Then  $\alpha = \alpha_j$  for some  $j \in J$ . Hence  $i = \delta^{-1} \text{Ad}(y_1^{-1})j$ . Therefore,  $i \in J \cap \delta^{-1} \text{Ad}(y_1^{-1})J = J_1$ . So

$$J_1 = \max\{K \subset J \mid \Phi_{\delta(K)} \subset \text{Ad}(w^{-1})\Phi_J\}.$$

Set  $J'_\infty = \max\{K \subset J \mid \text{Ad}(w)\delta(K) = K\}$ . Then  $J'_\infty \subset J$ . Moreover,  $\Phi_{\delta(J'_\infty)} = \text{Ad}(w^{-1})\Phi_{J'_\infty} \subset \text{Ad}(w^{-1})\Phi_J$ . Thus  $J'_\infty \subset J_1$ . We can show by induction that  $J'_\infty \subset J_n$  for all  $n$ . Thus  $J'_\infty \subset J_\infty$ . By the definition,  $J_\infty = J_\infty \cap \delta^{-1} \text{Ad}(w^{-1})J_\infty$ . Thus  $\text{Ad}(w)\delta(J_\infty) = J_\infty$ . So  $J_\infty = J'_\infty$ . The lemma is proved.  $\square$

**1.5.** Now set  $\tilde{h}_{J,y,\delta} = (P_J, {}^{\dot{y}}^{-1}P_{J'}, U_{{}^{\dot{y}}^{-1}P_{J'}}, g_0 U_{P_J}) \in Z_{J,y,\delta}$ . For  $w \in W^{\delta(J)}$  and  $v \in W$ , set  $\widetilde{[J, w, v]}_{y,\delta} = (B \times B)(\dot{w}, \dot{v}) \cdot \tilde{h}_{J,y,\delta}$ . Then we have the following result.

**Lemma 1.6.** *Keep the notion of 1.3. Let  $g \in P_{J_1}$ . Set  $z = (\dot{w}, g) \cdot \tilde{h}_{J,y,\delta}$  and  $z' = (\dot{w}, g) \cdot \tilde{h}_{J_1,y_1,\delta}$ . Then  $\alpha(z) = z'$ .*

Proof. Set  $P = P_J$ ,  $P' = {}^{\dot{w}}\dot{y}^{-1}P_{J'}$ ,  $g_1 = \dot{w}g_0g$  and  $v = y_1w^{-1}$ . Then  $v \in W_J$ .

By the proof of [H, 2.3],  ${}^{\dot{v}}\dot{y}^{-1}L_{J'_1}$  is a Levi factor of  $P \cap P'$  and  $P^{P'} = {}^{\dot{v}}\dot{y}^{-1}P_{J'_1}$ ,  $(P')^P = {}^{\dot{w}}\dot{y}^{-1}P_{\text{Ad}(y)\delta(J_1)}$ . Moreover,

$${}^{\dot{v}}\dot{y}^{-1}L_{J'_1} = {}^{\dot{w}}\dot{y}_1^{-1}L_{J'_1} = {}^{\dot{w}}L_{\delta(J_1)} = {}^{\dot{w}}g_0L_{J_1} \subset {}^{\dot{w}}g_0P_J = {}^{\dot{w}}g_0gP_J.$$

So  ${}^{g_1}P$  contains some Levi of  $P \cap P'$ . We have that

$$\begin{aligned} g_1^{-1}({}^{g_1}P)^{({}^{\dot{w}}\dot{y}^{-1}P_{\text{Ad}(y_1)\delta(J_1)})}g_1 &= g^{-1}P^{(g_0^{-1}\dot{y}^{-1}P_{\text{Ad}(y)\delta(J_1)})} = g^{-1}P_{J \cap \delta^{-1}\text{Ad}(y^{-1})\text{Ad}(y)\delta(J_1)} \\ &= g^{-1}P_{J_1} = P_{J_1}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus  $\alpha(z) = z'$ . The lemma is proved.  $\square$

**Proposition 1.7.** *We have that*

$$\tilde{Z}_{J,y,\delta}^w = G_{\text{diag}} \cdot [\widetilde{J, w, 1}]_{y,\delta} = G_{\text{diag}} \cdot (P_J, {}^{\dot{w}}\dot{y}^{-1}P_{J'}, U_{{}^{\dot{w}}\dot{y}^{-1}P_{J'}}, \dot{w}g_0(B \cap L_{J_\infty})U_{P_J}).$$

Proof. It is easy to see that  $\tilde{Z}_{J_\infty,w,\delta}^w = G_{\text{diag}}(\dot{w}, L_{J_\infty}) \cdot \tilde{h}_{J_\infty,w,\delta}$ . Thus for any  $b \in B$ ,  $\alpha^n((\dot{w}, b) \cdot \tilde{h}_{J,y,\delta}) \in \tilde{Z}_{J_\infty,w,\delta}^w$  for sufficiently large  $n$ . Therefore,  $G_{\text{diag}}(\dot{w}, B) \cdot \tilde{h}_{J,y,\delta} \subset \tilde{Z}_{J,y,\delta}^w$ .

Note that  $\dot{w}g_0$  normalizes  $(L_{J_\infty})$  and  $(L_{J_\infty}) \cap B$ . Thus

$$\dot{w}g_0L_{J_\infty} = \{l\dot{w}g_0bl^{-1} \mid l \in L_{J_\infty}, b \in L_{J_\infty} \cap B\}.$$

Hence any element in  $\tilde{Z}_{J_\infty,w,\delta}^w$  is  $G$ -conjugate to  $(\dot{w}, l) \cdot \tilde{h}_{J_\infty,w,\delta}$  for some  $l \in L_{J_\infty} \cap B$ . Now let  $z \in \tilde{Z}_{J,y,\delta}^w$ . Then  $\vartheta(z)$  is  $G$ -conjugate to  $(\dot{w}, l) \cdot \tilde{h}_{J_\infty,w,\delta}$  for some  $l \in L_{J_\infty} \cap B$ . Set  $z' = (\dot{w}, l) \cdot \tilde{h}_{J,y,\delta} \in \tilde{Z}_{J,y,\delta}^w$ . Then  $\vartheta(z')$  lies in the same  $G$ -orbit as  $\vartheta(z)$ . Since  $\vartheta$  induces a bijection from the set of  $G$ -orbits on  $\tilde{Z}_{J,y,\delta}^w$  to the set of  $G$ -orbits on  $\tilde{Z}_{J_\infty,w,\delta}^w$ . Thus  $z$  is  $G$ -conjugate to  $z'$ . So  $\tilde{Z}_{J,y,\delta}^w = G_{\text{diag}}(\dot{w}, B \cap L_{J_\infty}) \cdot \tilde{h}_{J,y,\delta}$ . The proposition is proved.  $\square$

**1.8.** In [L4, 8.20], Lusztig showed that  $\tilde{Z}_{J,y,\delta}^w$  is an iterated affine space bundle over a fibre bundle over  $\mathcal{P}_{J_\infty}$  with fibres isomorphic to  $L_{J_\infty}$ . In 1.10, we will prove a similar (but more explicit) result, which will be used to establish the cellular decomposition. Before doing that, we include the following result (see [SL, page 26, lemma 4]) which we will use in the proof of proposition 1.10 and proposition 5.5.

**Lemma 1.9.** *Let  $H$  be a closed subgroup of  $G$  and  $\Phi : X \rightarrow G/H$  be a  $G$ -equivariant morphism from the  $G$ -variety  $X$  to the homogeneous space  $G/H$ . Let  $E \subset X$  be the fiber  $\Phi^{-1}(H)$ . Then  $E$  will be stabilized by  $H$  and the map  $\Psi : G \times_H E \rightarrow X$  sending  $(g, e)$  to  $g \cdot e$  defines an isomorphism of  $G$ -varieties.*

**Proposition 1.10.** *For  $a \in W$ , set  $U_a = U \cap {}^a U^-$ . Set*

$$\tilde{L}_{J,y,\delta}^w = (L_{J_\infty}, L_{J_\infty})(\dot{w}, 1) \cdot \tilde{h}_{J,y,\delta}.$$

*Then we have the following results.*

- (1)  $\tilde{Z}_{J,y,\delta}^w$  is isomorphic to  $G \times_{P_{J_\infty}} ((P_{J_\infty}) \cdot \tilde{L}_{J,y,\delta}^w)$ .
- (2)  $(P_{J_\infty}) \cdot \tilde{L}_{J,y,\delta}^w = (B \times B) \cdot \tilde{L}_{J,y,\delta}^w \cong (U \cap \dot{w}_0^{J_\infty} \dot{w} \dot{w}^{-1} \dot{w}_0^{J'} U^-) \times \tilde{L}_{J,y,\delta}^w$ , where  $\tilde{L}_{J,y,\delta}^w$  is isomorphic to  $L_{J_\infty}$ .
- (3)  $G_{diag}(\dot{w}T, 1) \cdot \tilde{h}_{J,y,\delta}$  is dense in  $\tilde{Z}_{J,y,\delta}^w$ .

Proof. It is easy to see that  $\tilde{L}_{J,y,\delta}^w = (\dot{w}, L_{J_\infty}) \cdot \tilde{h}_{J,y,\delta}$  is isomorphic to  $L_{J_\infty}$ . By 1.7,  $\tilde{Z}_{J,y,\delta}^w = G_{diag} \cdot \tilde{L}_{J,y,\delta}^w$ . Consider the  $G$ -equivariant map  $p : \tilde{Z}_{J_\infty,w,\delta}^w \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_{J_\infty}$  defined by  $p(P, P, \gamma) = P$  for  $(P, P, \gamma) \in \tilde{Z}_{J_\infty,w,\delta}^w$ . For  $l \in L_{J_\infty}$  and  $g \in G$ , if  $p \circ \vartheta((g, g)(\dot{w}, l) \cdot \tilde{h}_{J,y,\delta}) = P_{J_\infty}$ , then  $g \in P_{J_\infty}$ . Thus  $(P_{J_\infty})_{diag} \cdot \tilde{L}_{J,y,\delta}^w = (p \circ \vartheta)^{-1}(P_{J_\infty})$ .

Assume that  $(g, g)(\dot{w}, l_1) \cdot \tilde{h}_{J,y,\delta}^w = (\dot{w}, l_2) \cdot \tilde{h}_{J,y,\delta}^w$  for  $g \in G$  and  $l_1, l_2 \in L_{J_\infty}$ . Then  ${}^g P_{J_\infty} = p \circ \vartheta((g, g)(\dot{w}, l_1) \cdot \tilde{h}_{J,y,\delta}^w) = p \circ \vartheta((\dot{w}, l_2) \cdot \tilde{h}_{J,y,\delta}^w) = P_{J_\infty}$ . So  $g \in P_{J_\infty}$ . Part (1) is proved.

We have that  $(B \times B) \cdot \tilde{L}_{J,y,\delta}^w = (B)_{diag}(1, B) \cdot \tilde{L}_{J,y,\delta}^w$  and  $p \circ \vartheta((1, B) \cdot \tilde{L}_{J,y,\delta}^w) = P_{J_\infty}$ . Thus  $(B \times B) \cdot \tilde{L}_{J,y,\delta}^w \subset (B)_{diag}(P_{J_\infty})_{diag} \tilde{L}_{J,y,\delta}^w = (P_{J_\infty})_{diag} \tilde{L}_{J,y,\delta}^w$ . On the other hand,  $(P_{J_\infty})_{diag} \tilde{L}_{J,y,\delta}^w \subset (P_{J_\infty}, P_{J_\infty}) \cdot \tilde{L}_{J,y,\delta}^w = (B \times B) \cdot \tilde{L}_{J,y,\delta}^w$ . Hence  $(P_{J_\infty}) \cdot \tilde{L}_{J,y,\delta}^w = (B \times B) \cdot \tilde{L}_{J,y,\delta}^w$ .

Now consider  $\pi : (U \cap \dot{w}_0^{J_\infty} \dot{w} \dot{w}^{-1} \dot{w}_0^{J'} U^-) \times \tilde{L}_{J,y,\delta}^w \rightarrow (B \times B) \cdot \tilde{L}_{J,y,\delta}^w$  defined by  $\pi(u, l) = (u, 1)l$  for  $u \in U \cap \dot{w}_0^{J_\infty} \dot{w} \dot{w}^{-1} \dot{w}_0^{J'} U^-$  and  $l \in \tilde{L}_{J,y,\delta}^w$ .

Note that  $(1, BL_{J_\infty}) \cdot \tilde{h}_{J,y,\delta} = (1, U_{P_J} L_{J_\infty} U_J) \cdot \tilde{h}_{J,y,\delta} = (U_{\delta(J)}, L_{J_\infty}) \cdot \tilde{h}_{J,y,\delta}$ . Since  $w \in W^{\delta(J)}$ ,  $B\dot{w}U_{\delta(J)} = B\dot{w} = U_{P_{J_\infty}} L_{J_\infty} \dot{w}$ . Hence

$$(B\dot{w}, BL_{J_\infty}) \cdot \tilde{h}_{J,y,\delta} = (U_{P_{J_\infty}} \dot{w} L_{\delta(J_\infty)}, L_{J_\infty}) \cdot \tilde{h}_{J,y,\delta} = (U_{P_{J_\infty}} \dot{w}, L_{J_\infty}) \cdot \tilde{h}_{J,y,\delta}.$$

Since  $wy^{-1} \in W^{J'}$  and  $\text{Ad}(yw^{-1})J_\infty \subset J'$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} U_{P_{J_\infty}} &= (U_{P_{J_\infty}} \cap \dot{w} \dot{w}^{-1} \dot{w}_0^{J'} U^-) (U_{P_{J_\infty}} \cap \dot{w} \dot{w}^{-1} U_{P_{J'}}) \\ &= (U \cap \dot{w}_0^{J_\infty} \dot{w} \dot{w}^{-1} \dot{w}_0^{J'} U^-) (U_{P_{J_\infty}} \cap \dot{w} \dot{w}^{-1} U_{P_{J'}}). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,  $(B\dot{w}, BL_{J_\infty}) \cdot \tilde{h}_{J,y,\delta} = ((U \cap \dot{w}_0^{J_\infty} \dot{w} \dot{w}^{-1} \dot{w}_0^{J'} U^-) \dot{w}, L_{J_\infty}) \cdot \tilde{h}_{J,y,\delta}$ . So  $\pi$  is surjective.

Let  $u \in U \cap \dot{w}_0^{J\infty} \dot{w} \dot{y}^{-1} \dot{w}_0^{J'} U^-$  and  $l_1, l_2 \in L_{J\infty}$ . Assume that  $(u, 1)(\dot{w}, l_1) \cdot \tilde{h}_{J,y,\delta} = (\dot{w}, l_2) \cdot \tilde{h}_{J,y,\delta}$ . Note that the isotropy subgroup of  $G \times G$  at the point  $(\dot{w}, 1) \cdot \tilde{h}_{J,y,\delta}$  is  $\{(U_{\dot{w}\dot{y}^{-1}P_{J'}} l', U_{P_J} g_0^{-1} \dot{w}^{-1} l' \dot{w} g_0) \mid l' \in {}^{\dot{w}}L_{\delta(J)}\}$ .

Thus  $u \in U_{\dot{w}\dot{y}^{-1}P_{J'}} l'$  and  $l_2^{-1} l_1 \in U_{P_J} g_0^{-1} \dot{w}^{-1} l' \dot{w} g_0$  for some  $l' \in {}^{\dot{w}}L_{\delta(J)}$ . Then  $l' \in L_{J\infty}$  and  $u = 1$ . Thus  $\pi$  is injective.

In fact, we can show that the bijective morphism  $\pi$  is an isomorphism. The verification is omitted.

Part (3) can be proved in the same way as in [H, 2.7].  $\square$

**1.11.** For  $P \in \mathcal{P}_J$ , let  $H_P$  be the inverse image of the connected center of  $P/U_P$  under  $P \rightarrow P/U_P$ . We can regard  $H_P/U_P$  as a single torus  $\Delta_J$  independent of  $P$ . Then  $\Delta_J$  acts (freely) in the natural way on  $\tilde{Z}_{J,y,\delta}$  and the action commutes with the action of  $G$ . Moreover, each piece  $\tilde{Z}_{J,y,\delta}^w$  is  $\Delta_J$ -stable.

Define

$$\begin{aligned} Z_{J,y,\delta} &= \{(P, P', \gamma) \mid P \in \mathcal{P}_J, P' \in \mathcal{P}_{J'}, \gamma \in H_{P'} \backslash A_y(P, P')/U_P\} \\ &= \{(P, P', \gamma) \mid P \in \mathcal{P}_J, P' \in \mathcal{P}_{J'}, \gamma \in U_{P'} \backslash A_y(P, P')/H_P\} \end{aligned}$$

with  $G \times G$  action defined by  $(g_1, g_2)(P, P', \gamma) = ({}^{g_2}P, {}^{g_1}P', g_1 \gamma g_2^{-1})$ .

Then  $Z_{J,y,\delta}$  and  $\Delta_J \backslash \tilde{Z}_{J,y,\delta}$  can be identified in the natural way as varieties with  $G$ -action. Set  $Z_{J,y,\delta}^w = \Delta_J \backslash \tilde{Z}_{J,y,\delta}^w$ . Then

$$Z_{J,y,\delta} = \sqcup_{w \in W^{\delta(J)}} Z_{J,y,\delta}^w.$$

We call  $(Z_{J,y,\delta}^w)_{w \in W^{\delta(J)}}$  the  $G$ -stable pieces of  $Z_{J,y,\delta}$ . Set

$$\begin{aligned} h_{J,y,\delta} &= (P_J, {}^{\dot{y}^{-1}}P_{J'}, H_{{}^{\dot{y}^{-1}}P_{J'}} g_0 U_{P_J}) \in Z_{J,y,\delta}, \\ L_{J,y,\delta}^w &= (L_{J\infty}, L_{J\infty})(\dot{w}, 1) \cdot h_{J,y,\delta}. \end{aligned}$$

For  $w \in W^{\delta(J)}$  and  $v \in W$ , set  $[J, w, v]_{y,\delta} = (B \times B)(\dot{w}, \dot{v}) \cdot h_{J,y,\delta}$ . Then as a consequence of 1.7 and 1.10, we have the following result.

**Proposition 1.12.** *For  $w \in W^{\delta(J)}$ , we have that*

- (1)  $Z_{J,y,\delta}^w = G_{diag} \cdot [J, w, 1]_{y,\delta}$ .
- (2)  $Z_{J,y,\delta}^w$  is isomorphic to  $G \times_{P_{J\infty}} ((P_{J\infty}) \cdot L_{J,y,\delta}^w)$ .
- (3)  $(P_{J\infty}) \cdot L_{J,y,\delta}^w = (B \times B) \cdot L_{J,y,\delta}^w \cong (U \cap \dot{w}_0^{J\infty} \dot{w} \dot{y}^{-1} \dot{w}_0^{J'} U^-) \times L_{J,y,\delta}^w$ , where  $L_{J,y,\delta}^w$  is isomorphic to  $L_{J\infty}/Z(L_J)$ .
- (4)  $G_{diag}(\dot{w}T, 1) \cdot h_{J,y,\delta}$  is dense in  $Z_{J,y,\delta}^w$ .

## 2. COMPACTIFICATION THROUGH THE FIBRES

**2.1.** For any connected, semi-simple algebraic group of adjoint type, De Concini and Procesi introduced its wonderful compactification  $\bar{G}$  (see [DP]). It is an irreducible, projective smooth  $G \times G$ -variety. The  $G \times G$ -orbits  $Z_J$  of  $\bar{G}$  are indexed by the subsets  $J$  of  $I$ . Moreover,  $Z_J = (G \times G) \times_{P_J^- \times P_J} G_J$ , where  $P_J^- \times P_J$  acts on the right on  $G \times G$  and on the left on  $G_J$  by  $(q, p) \cdot z = \pi_{P_J^-}(q)z\pi_{P_J}(p)$ . Denote by  $h_J$  the image of  $(1, 1, 1)$  in  $Z_J$ . We will identify  $Z_J$  with  $Z_{J, w_0 w_0^J, id}$  and  $h_J$  with  $h_{J, w_0 w_0^J, id}$ , where  $id$  is the identity map on  $I$  (see [H, 2.5]).

Let us consider the  $B \times B$ -orbits on  $\bar{G}$ . For any  $J \subset I$ ,  $u \in W^J$  and  $v \in W$ , set  $[J, u, v] = (B \times B)(u, v) \cdot h_J$ . Then  $\bar{G} = \bigsqcup_{J \subset I} \bigsqcup_{x \in W^J, w \in W} [J, x, w]$ . The following result is due to Springer (see [S, 2.4]).

**Theorem.** *Let  $x \in W^J$ ,  $x' \in W^K$ ,  $w, w' \in W$ . Then  $[K, x', w']$  is contained in the closure of  $[J, x, w]$  if and only if  $K \subset J$  and there exists  $u \in W_K, v \in W_J \cap W^K$  with  $xvu^{-1} \leq x'$ ,  $w'u \leq wv$  and  $l(wv) = l(w) + l(v)$ . In particular, for  $J \subset I$  and  $w \in W^J$ , the closure of  $[J, w, 1]$  in  $\bar{G}$  is  $\sqcup_{K \subset J} \sqcup_{x \in W^K, u \in W_J} [K, x, u]$ .*

**2.2.** We have defined  $Z_{J, y, \delta}$  in 1.11. As we have seen,  $Z_{J, y, \delta}$  is a locally trivial fibre bundle over  $\mathcal{P}_J \times \mathcal{P}_{J'}$  with fibres isomorphic to  $L_J/Z(L_J)$ . Note that  $L_J/Z(L_J)$  is a connected, semi-simple algebraic group of adjoint type. Thus we can define the wonderful compactification  $\overline{L_J/Z(L_J)}$  of  $L_J/Z(L_J)$ . In this section, we will define  $\overline{Z_{J, y, \delta}}$ , which is a locally trivial fibre bundle over  $\mathcal{P}_J \times \mathcal{P}_{J'}$  with fibres isomorphic to  $\overline{L_J/Z(L_J)}$ .

**2.3.** We keep the notation of 1.3. Fix  $g \in A_y(P, P')$ . Then  $A_y(P, P')g^{-1} = P'U_{gP}$  (see [L4, 8.9]). Set

$$L_{P, P', g} = {}^g P \cap P' / H_{gP \cap P'}.$$

Let  $\Phi_g : H_{P'} \backslash A_y(P, P') / H_P \rightarrow L_{P, P', g}$  be the morphism defined by

$$H_{P'} \backslash A_y(P, P') / H_P \xrightarrow{\cdot g^{-1}} H_{P'} \backslash A_y(P, P') g^{-1} / H_{gP} \xleftarrow{i} L_{P, P', g},$$

where  $i$  is the obvious isomorphism.

The  $P \times P'$  action on  $H_{P'} \backslash A_y(P, P') / H_P$  induces a  $P \times P'$  action on  $L_{P, P', g}$ . Now for  $g, g' \in A_y(P, P')$ , set  $\Phi_{g, g'} = \Phi_{g'} \Phi_g^{-1} : L_{P, P', g} \xrightarrow{\sim} L_{P, P', g'}$ . Then  $\Phi_{g, g'}$  is compatible with the  $P \times P'$  action. Moreover,  $(L_{P, P', g}, \Phi_{g, g'})$  forms an inverse system and

$$H_{P'} \backslash A_y(P, P') / H_P = \varprojlim L_{P, P', g}.$$

Note that  $L_{P, P', g}$  is a semi-simple group of adjoint type. Then we can define the De Concini-Procesi compactification  $\overline{L_{P, P', g}}$  of  $L_{P, P', g}$ . The  $P \times P'$  action on  $L_{P, P', g}$  can be extended in the unique way to a  $P \times P'$  action on  $\overline{L_{P, P', g}}$ . The isomorphism  $\Phi_{g, g'} : L_{P, P', g} \xrightarrow{\sim} L_{P, P', g'}$  can be extended in the unique way to an



isomorphism from  $\overline{L_{P,P',g}}$  onto  $\overline{L_{P,P',g'}}$ . We will also denote this isomorphism by  $\Phi_{g,g'}$ . It is easy to see that this isomorphism is compatible with the  $P \times P'$  action. Now  $(\overline{L_{P,P',g}}, \Phi_{g,g'})$  forms an inverse system. Define

$$\overline{H_{P'} \backslash A_y(P, P') / H_P} = \varprojlim \overline{L_{P,P',g}}.$$

We also obtain a  $P \times P'$  action on  $\overline{H_{P'} \backslash A_y(P, P') / H_P}$ . Thus we can identify  $\overline{H_{P'} \backslash A_y(P, P') / H_P}$  with  $\overline{L_{P,P',g}}$  as varieties with  $P \times P'$  action.

**Remark.**  $\overline{H_{P'} \backslash A_y(P, P') / H_P}$  is isomorphic to  $\overline{L_{P,P',g}}$  as a variety. However, we are also concerned with the  $P' \times P$  action. In this case,  $\overline{H_{P'} \backslash A_y(P, P') / H_P}$  is regarded as  $\overline{L_{P,P',g}}$  with “twisted”  $P' \times P$  action.

**2.4.** In this section, we will consider a special case, namely,  $P = P' = G^0$ . In this case,  $A_y(P, P') = G^1$  and we will identify  $H_G \backslash A_y(G, G) / H_G$  with  $G^1$ .

Let  $\mathcal{V}_G$  be the projective variety whose points are the  $\dim(G)$ -dimensional Lie subalgebras of  $\text{Lie}(G \times G)$ . The  $\hat{G} \times \hat{G}$  action on  $\text{Lie}(G \times G)$  which is defined by  $(g_1, g_2) \cdot (a, b) = (\text{Ad}(g_2)a, \text{Ad}(g_1)b)$  for  $g_1, g_2 \in \hat{G}$  and  $a, b \in \text{Lie}(G)$  induces a  $\hat{G} \times \hat{G}$  action on  $\mathcal{V}_G$ . To each  $g \in \hat{G}$ , we associate a  $\dim(G)$ -dimensional subspace  $V_g = \{(a, \text{Ad}(g)a) \mid a \in \text{Lie}(G)\}$  of  $\text{Lie}(G \times G)$ . Then  $V_{g_1 g g_2^{-1}} = (g_1, g_2) \cdot V_g$  for  $g_1, g, g_2 \in \hat{G}$  and  $g \mapsto V_g$  is an embedding  $G^1 \subset \mathcal{V}_G$ . We denote the image by  $i(G^1)$ .

If  $G^1 = G$ , then the closure of  $i(G)$  in  $\mathcal{V}_G$  is  $\bar{G}$  (see [DP]). Note that  $V_{gg_0} = (1, g_0^{-1})V_g$  for all  $g \in G$ . Thus  $i(G^1) = (1, g_0^{-1})i(G)$ . Hence the closure of  $i(G^1)$  in  $\mathcal{V}_G$  is  $(1, g_0^{-1})\bar{G}$ , which is just  $\bar{G}^1$  defined above.

**Remark.** In [L4, 12.3], Lusztig defined the compactification of  $G^1$  to be the closure of  $i(G^1)$  in  $\mathcal{V}_G$ . As we have seen, our definition coincides with his definition.

**2.5.** In [L4, 12.3], Lusztig showed that

$$\bar{G}^1 = \sqcup_{J \subset I} Z_{J, w_0 w_0^{\delta(J)}, \delta} = \sqcup_{J \subset I} \sqcup_{w \in W^{\delta(J)}} Z_{J, w_0 w_0^{\delta(J)}, \delta}^w,$$

where the base point  $h_{J, w_0 w_0^{\delta(J)}, \delta} = (P_J, P_{\delta(J)}^-, H_{P_{\delta(J)}^-} g_0 H_{P_J})$  is identified with the  $\dim(G)$ -dimensional subalgebra  $\{(lu, g_0 l g_0^{-1} u') \mid l \in L_J, u \in U_{P_J}, u' \in U_{P_{\delta(J)}^-}\}$  of  $\text{Lie}(G \times G)$ . We will simply write  $h_{J, w_0 w_0^{\delta(J)}, \delta}$  as  $h_{J, \delta}$ ,  $[J, w, v]_{w_0 w_0^{\delta(J)}, \delta}$  as  $[J, w, v]_{\delta}$  and  $Z_{J, w_0 w_0^{\delta(J)}, \delta}^w$  as  $Z_{J, \delta}^w$ . We call  $(Z_{J, \delta}^w)_{J \subset I, w \in W^{\delta(J)}}$  the  $G$ -stable pieces of  $\bar{G}^1$ . If  $G^1 = G$ , then  $h_{J, id} = h_J$  and  $[J, w, v]_{id} = [J, w, v]$ .

Note that  $h_J$  corresponds to the  $\dim(G)$ -dimensional subalgebra  $\{(lu, lu') \mid l \in L_J, u \in U_{P_J}, u' \in U_{P_J^-}\}$  of  $\text{Lie}(G \times G)$ . Thus  $h_{J, \delta} = (1, g_0^{-1})h_{\delta(J)}$ . Hence

$$\begin{aligned} [J, w, v]_{\delta} &= (B \times B)(\dot{w}, \dot{v}) \cdot h_{J, \delta} = (B \times B)(\dot{w}, \dot{v})(1, g_0^{-1}) \cdot h_J \\ &= (1, g_0^{-1})(B \times B)(\dot{w}, \delta(\dot{v})) \cdot h_{\delta(J)} = (1, g_0^{-1})[\delta(J), w, \delta(v)]. \end{aligned}$$

Thus we have the following result.

**Proposition.** *Let  $J \subset I$  and  $w \in W^{\delta(J)}$ . Then the closure of  $[J, w, 1]_\delta$  in  $\bar{G}^1$  is  $\sqcup_{K \subset J} \sqcup_{x \in W^{\delta(K)}, u \in W_J, \text{ and } x \geq w\delta(u)} [K, x, u]_\delta$ .*

**2.6.** Define

$$\underline{Z_{J,y,\delta}} = \{(P, P', \gamma) \mid P \in \mathcal{P}_J, P' \in \mathcal{P}_{J'}, \gamma \in \overline{H_{P'} \setminus A_y(P, P') / H_P}\}$$

with  $G \times G$  action defined by  $(g_1, g_2)(P, P', \gamma) = ({}^{g_2}P, {}^{g_1}P', g_1\gamma g_2^{-1})$ .

Set  $P = P_J$  and  $P' = \dot{y}^{-1}P_{J'}$ . Then  $\overline{A_y(P, P')}$  can be identified with  $\overline{L_{P,P',g_0}g_0}$  as varieties with  $P' \times P$  action. Moreover, we have a canonical isomorphism between  $\overline{L_{P,P',g_0}}$  and  $\overline{L_{\delta(J)}}$ . For  $K \subset J$ , I will identify  $h_{\delta(K)}g_0$  with the corresponding element in  $\overline{A_y(P, P')}$ .

Then the  $G \times G$ -orbits in  $\underline{Z_{J,y,\delta}}$  are in one-to-one correspondence with the subsets of  $J$ , i. e.,

$$\underline{Z_{J,y,\delta}} = \sqcup_{K \subset J} (G \times G) \cdot (P, P', h_{\delta(K)}g_0).$$

Set  $y_K = yw_0^{\delta(J)}w_0^{\delta(K)}$ . Note that  $U_{P_J}(L_J \cap U_{P_K}) = U_{P_K}$  and

$$\begin{aligned} U_{P'}(\dot{y}^{-1}L_{J'} \cap U_{P_{\delta(K)}^-}) &= (\dot{y}_K^{-1}U_{\dot{y}_K P'})\dot{y}_K^{-1}(\dot{y}_K \dot{y}^{-1}L_{J'} \cap \dot{y}_K U_{P_{\delta(K)}^-}) \\ &= \dot{y}_K^{-1}(U_{P_{J'}}(L_{J'} \cap U_{P_{\text{Ad}(y_K)\delta(K)}})) = \dot{y}_K^{-1}U_{P_{\text{Ad}(y_K)\delta(K)}}. \end{aligned}$$

The isotropic subgroup of  $G \times G$  at  $(P, P', h_{\delta(K)}g_0)$  is  $\{(l_1 u_1, g_0^{-1} l_2 g_0 u_2) \mid l_1, l_2 \in L_{\delta(K)}, l_1 l_2^{-1} \in Z(L_{\delta(K)}), u_1 \in U_{\dot{y}_K^{-1} P_{\text{Ad}(y_K)\delta(K)}}, u_2 \in U_{P_K}\}$ . Now set

$Q = P_K, Q' = \dot{y}_K^{-1}P_{\text{Ad}(y_K)\delta(K)}$  and  $\gamma = H_{Q'}g_0H_Q$ . Then  $\text{pos}(Q', {}^{g_0}Q) = y_K$  and  $(Q, Q', \gamma) \in Z_{K,y_K,\delta}$ . The isotropic subgroup of  $G \times G$  at  $(P, P', h_{\delta(K)}g_0)$  is the same as the isotropic subgroup of  $G \times G$  at  $(Q, Q', \gamma) \in Z_{K,y_K,\delta}$ . Thus we can identify  $(P, P', h_{\delta(K)}g_0)$  with  $(Q, Q', \gamma)$  and  $(G \times G) \cdot (P, P', h_{\delta(K)}g_0)$  with  $Z_{K,y_K,\delta}$  as varieties with  $G \times G$  action. In other words,

$$\underline{Z_{J,y,\delta}} = \sqcup_{K \subset J} Z_{K,yw_0^{\delta(J)}w_0^{\delta(K)},\delta}.$$

### 3. PARTIAL ORDER ON $\mathcal{I}_\delta$

In this section, we will only consider subvarieties of  $G$  and for any subvariety  $X$  of  $G$ , we denote by  $\bar{X}$  the closure of  $X$  in  $G$ .

**3.1.** Let  $y, w \in W$ . Then  $y \leq w$  if and only if for any reduced expression  $w = s_1 s_2 \cdots s_q$ , there exists a subsequence  $i_1 < i_2 < \cdots < i_r$  of  $1, 2, \dots, q$  such that  $y = s_{i_1} s_{i_2} \cdots s_{i_r}$ . (see [L2, 2.4])

The following assertion follows from the above property.

- (1) If  $l(wu) = l(w) + l(u)$ , then for any  $w_1 \leq w$  and  $u_1 \leq u$ ,  $w_1 u_1 \leq wu$ .
- (2) Let  $u, v \in W$  and  $i \in I$ . Assume that  $s_i v < v$ , then  $u \leq v \Leftrightarrow su \leq v$ .
- (3) Let  $u, v \in W$  and  $i \in I$ . Assume that  $u < s_i u$ , then  $u \leq v \Leftrightarrow u \leq s_i v$ .

The assertion (1) follows directly from the above property. The proofs of assertions (2) and (3) can be found in [L2, 2.5].

**3.2.** It is known that  $G = \sqcup_{w \in W} B\dot{w}B$  and for  $w, w' \in W$ ,  $B\dot{w}B \subset \overline{B\dot{w}'B}$  if and only if  $w \leq w'$ . Moreover,

$$\overline{B\dot{s}_i B\dot{w}B} = \begin{cases} \overline{B\dot{w}B}, & \text{if } s_i w < w; \\ \overline{B\dot{s}_i \dot{w}B}, & \text{if } s_i w > w. \end{cases}$$

Similarly,  $G = \sqcup_{w \in W} B\dot{w}B^-$  and for  $w, w' \in W$ ,  $B\dot{w}B^- \subset \overline{B\dot{w}'B^-}$  if and only if  $w \geq w'$ . Moreover,

$$\overline{B\dot{s}_i B\dot{w}B^-} = \begin{cases} \overline{B\dot{w}B^-}, & \text{if } s_i w > w; \\ \overline{B\dot{s}_i \dot{w}B^-}, & \text{if } s_i w < w. \end{cases}$$

**Lemma 3.3.** *Let  $u, w \in W$ . Then*

- (1) *The subset  $\{vw \mid v \leq u\}$  of  $W$  contains a unique minimal element  $y$ . Moreover,  $l(y) = l(w) - l(yw^{-1})$  and  $\overline{B\dot{u}B\dot{w}B^-} = \overline{B\dot{y}B^-}$ .*
- (2) *The subset  $\{vw \mid v \leq u\}$  of  $W$  contains a unique maximal element  $y'$ . Moreover,  $l(y') = l(w) + l(y'w^{-1})$  and  $\overline{B\dot{u}B\dot{w}B} = \overline{B\dot{y}'B}$ .*

*Proof.* We will only prove part (1). Part (2) can be proved in the same way.

For any  $v \leq u$ ,  $B\dot{v} \subset \overline{B\dot{u}B}$ . Thus  $\overline{B\dot{v}B\dot{w}B^-} \subset \overline{B\dot{u}B\dot{w}B^-} \subset \overline{B\dot{u}B\dot{w}B^-}$ . On the other hand,  $\overline{B\dot{u}B\dot{w}B^-}$  is an irreducible, closed,  $B \times B^-$ -stable subvariety of  $G$ . Thus there exists  $y \in W$ , such that  $\overline{B\dot{u}B\dot{w}B^-} = \overline{B\dot{y}B^-}$ . Since  $\overline{B\dot{v}B\dot{w}B^-} \subset \overline{B\dot{y}B^-}$ , we have that  $vw \geq y$ . Now it suffices to prove that  $y = vw$  for some  $v \leq u$  with  $l(vw) = l(w) - l(v)$ .

We argue by induction on  $l(u)$ . If  $l(u) = 0$ , then  $u = 1$  and statement is clear. Assume now that  $l(u) > 0$ . Then there exists  $i \in I$ , such that  $s_i u < u$ . We denote  $s_i u$  by  $u'$ . Now

$$\overline{B\dot{u}B\dot{w}B^-} = \overline{B\dot{s}_i B\dot{u}'B\dot{w}B^-} = \overline{B\dot{s}_i \overline{B\dot{u}'B\dot{w}B^-}}.$$

By induction hypothesis, there exists  $v' \leq u'$ , such that  $l(v'w) = l(w) - l(v')$  and  $\overline{B\dot{u}'B\dot{w}B^-} = \overline{B\dot{v}'\dot{w}B^-}$ . Thus

$$\overline{B\dot{s}_i \overline{B\dot{u}'B\dot{w}B^-}} = \overline{B\dot{s}_i \overline{B\dot{v}'\dot{w}B^-}} = \overline{B\dot{s}_i B\dot{v}'\dot{w}B^-} = \begin{cases} \overline{B\dot{v}'\dot{w}B^-}, & \text{if } s_i v'w > v'w; \\ \overline{B\dot{s}_i v'\dot{w}B^-}, & \text{if } s_i v'w < v'w. \end{cases}$$

Note that  $s_i u < u$  and  $v' \leq s_i u < u$ . Thus  $s_i v' \leq u$ . Moreover, if  $s_i v'w < v'w$ , then  $l(s_i v'w) = l(v'w) - 1 = l(w) - l(v') - 1$ . Thus we have that  $l(s_i v') = l(v) + 1$  and  $l(s_i v'w) = l(w) - l(s_i v')$ . Therefore, the statement holds for  $u$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 3.4.** *Let  $u, w, w' \in W$  with  $w' \leq w$ . Then*

- (1) *There exists  $v \leq u$ , such that  $vw' \leq uw$ .*
- (2) *There exists  $v' \leq u$ , such that  $uw' \leq v'w$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $v \leq u$  be the element of  $W$  such that  $vw'$  is the unique minimal element in  $\{v'w' \mid v' \leq u\}$ . Then  $\overline{B\dot{u}B\dot{w}'B^-} = \overline{B\dot{v}B\dot{w}'B^-}$ . Since  $w' \leq w$ , we have that  $B\dot{w}B^- \subset \overline{B\dot{w}'B^-}$ . Thus

$$B\dot{u}B\dot{w}B^- \subset B\dot{u}B\dot{w}B^- \subset \overline{B\dot{u}B\dot{w}'B^-} \subset \overline{B\dot{u}B\dot{w}'B^-} = \overline{B\dot{v}B\dot{w}'B^-}.$$

So  $uw \geq vw'$ . Thus Part (1) is proved. Part (2) can be proved in the same way.  $\square$

**3.5.** We will recall some known results about  $W^J$ .

- (1) If  $w \in W^J$  and  $i \in I$ , then there are three possibilities.
  - (a)  $s_i w > w$  and  $s_i w \in W^J$ ;
  - (b)  $s_i w > w$  and  $s_i w = ws_j$  for some  $j \in J$ ;
  - (c)  $s_i w < w$  in which case  $s_i w \in W^J$ .
- (2) If  $w \in W^J$ ,  $v \in W_J$  and  $K \subset J$ , then  $v \in W^K$  if and only if  $wv \in W^K$ .
- (3) If  $w \in {}^{J'}W^J$  and  $u \in W_{J'}$ , then  $uw \in W^J$  if and only if  $u \in W^K$ , where  $K = J' \cap \text{Ad}(w)J$ .

**Lemma 3.6.** *Let  $w \in {}^{J'}W^J$ ,  $u \in W_{J'}$  and  $K = J' \cap \text{Ad}(w)J$ , then  $uw = vwu'$  for some  $v \in W_{J'} \cap W^K$  and  $u' \in W_{\text{Ad}(w^{-1})K}$ .*

*Proof.* We argue by induction on  $l(u)$ . If  $u = 1$ , then the statement is clear. Now assume that  $u = s_i u_1$  for some  $i \in J'$  and  $l(u_1) < l(u)$ . Then by induction hypothesis,  $u_1 w = v_1 w u'_1$  for some  $v_1 \in W_{J'} \cap W^K$  and  $u'_1 \in W_{\text{Ad}(w^{-1})K}$ .

If  $s_i v_1 w \in W^J$ , then the statement holds for  $u$ . Now assume that  $s_i v_1 w \notin W^J$ . Then  $s_i v_1 w > v_1 w$ . Hence  $s_i v_1 > v_1$ . Moreover,  $s_i v_1 \notin W^K$ . Thus  $s_i v_1 = v_1 s_k$  for some  $k \in K$ . Note that  $s_k w = ws_l$  for some  $l \in \text{Ad}(w^{-1})K$ . Thus the statement holds for  $u$ . The lemma is proved.  $\square$

**3.7.** Let  $J \subset I$  and  $w, w' \in W$  with  $l(w) = l(w')$ . We say that  $w'$  can be obtained from  $w$  via a  $(J, \delta)$ -cyclic shift if  $w = s_{i_1} s_{i_2} \cdots s_{i_n}$  is a reduced expression and either (1)  $i_1 \in J$  and  $w' = s_{i_1} w s_{\delta(i_1)}$  or (2)  $i_n \in \delta(J)$  and  $w' = s_{\delta^{-1}(i_n)} w s_{i_n}$ . We say that  $w$  and  $w'$  are equivalent in  $J$  if there exists a finite sequences of elements  $w = w_0, w_1, \dots, w_m = w'$  such that  $w_{k+1}$  can be obtained from  $w_k$  via a  $(J, \delta)$ -cyclic shift. (We then write  $w \sim_{J, \delta} w'$ .)

**Proposition 3.8.** *Let  $(J, w) \in \mathcal{I}_\delta$  and  $w' \in W$ . The following conditions on  $w'$  are equivalent:*

- (1)  $w' \geq u^{-1} w \delta(u)$  for some  $u \in W_J$ .
- (2)  $w' \geq u^{-1} w \delta(v)$  for some  $u \leq v \in W_J$ .
- (3)  $w' \geq w_1$  for some  $w_1 \sim_{J, \delta} w$ .

*Proof.* The implication (1) $\Rightarrow$ (2) is trivial. The implication (3) $\Rightarrow$ (1) follows from the definition. We now prove the implication (2) $\Rightarrow$ (3) by induction on  $|J|$ . Assume that the implication holds for all  $J' \subset I$  with  $|J'| < |J|$ . Then we prove that the implication holds for  $J$  by induction on  $l(v)$ .

Set  $w = xy$  with  $x \in W_J$  and  $y \in {}^J W^{\delta(J)}$ . Set  $K = J \cap \delta^{-1} \text{Ad}(y^{-1})J$ ,  $v = v_1 v_2$  with  $v_1 \in W_K, v_2 \in {}^K W$  and  $u = u_1 u_2$  with  $u_1 \leq v_1, u_2 \leq v_2$  and  $l(u) = l(u_1) + l(u_2)$ . There are two cases.

Case 1.  $u_2 = v_2 = 1$ .

In this case,  $u, v \in W_K$  and  $w \in W^{\delta(K)}$ . If  $|K| < |J|$ , then by induction hypothesis,  $u^{-1} w \delta(v) \geq w_1$  for some  $w_1 \sim_{K, \delta} w$ . If  $K = J$ , then since  $w = xy \in W^{\delta(J)}$ , we have that  $x = 1$ . Thus  $u^{-1} w \delta(v) \geq w$ . The implication is proved in this case.

Case 2.  $v_2 \neq 1$ .

In this case,  $l(v_1) < l(v)$ . By induction hypothesis, there exists  $w_1 \sim_{J,\delta} w$ , such that  $w_1 \leq u_1^{-1}w\delta(v_1)$ . Let  $u_3 \leq u_2$  be the element in  $W$  such that  $u_3^{-1}w_1$  is the unique minimal element in  $\{(u')^{-1}w_1 \mid u' \leq u_2\}$ . Then  $l(u_3^{-1}w_1) = l(w_1) - l(u_3)$  and  $u_3^{-1}w_1 \leq u_2^{-1}u_1^{-1}w\delta(v_1) = u^{-1}w\delta(v_1)$ . By 3.6,  $u^{-1}w = ab$  for some  $a \in W^{\delta(J)}$  and  $b \in W_{\delta(K)}$ . Thus  $l(u^{-1}w\delta(v_1v_2)) = l(ab\delta(v_1v_2)) = l(a) + l(b\delta(v_1v_2)) = l(a) + l(b\delta(v_1)) + l(\delta(v_2)) = l(ab\delta(v_1)) + l(\delta(v_2)) = l(u^{-1}w\delta(v_1)) + l(\delta(v_2))$ . By 3.1,  $u_3^{-1}w_1\delta(u_3) \leq u^{-1}w\delta(v)$ .

Now assume that  $u_3 = s_{i_1}s_{i_2}\cdots s_{i_k}$  and  $u_3^{-1}w_1 = s_{j_1}s_{j_2}\cdots s_{j_l}$  are reduced expressions. For  $m = 1, 2, \dots, k+1$ , set

$$x_m = (s_{i_m}s_{i_{m+1}}\cdots s_{i_k})(s_{j_1}s_{j_2}\cdots s_{j_l})(s_{\delta(i_1)}s_{\delta(i_2)}\cdots s_{\delta(i_{m-1})}).$$

Then  $l(x_m) \leq k + l = l(w_1)$  for all  $m$ . On the other hand, for any  $m$ , there exists  $y_m \in W_J$ , such that  $x_m = y_m^{-1}w\delta(y_m)$ . Note that  $w \in W^J$ , we have that  $l(y_m^{-1}w\delta(y_m)) \geq l(w\delta(y_m)) - l(y_m^{-1}) = l(w) = l(w_1)$  for all  $y_m \in W_J$ . Thus  $l(x_m) = l(w_1)$  and  $x_m \sim_{J,\delta} w_1$  for all  $m$ . In particular,  $u_3^{-1}w_1\delta(u_3) = x_{k+1} \sim_{J,\delta} w_1$ . The implication is proved in this case.  $\square$

**Remark.** We see from the proof that  $u^{-1}w\delta(v) \geq x^{-1}w\delta(x)$  for some  $x \leq u$ . This result will be used in the proof of 5.2.

**3.9.** Let  $(J, w) \in \mathcal{I}_\delta$  and  $w' \in W$ , we say that  $w' \geq_{J,\delta} w$  if  $w'$  satisfies the equivalent conditions 3.8 (1)-(3). It is easy to see that  $x \geq w \Rightarrow x \geq_{J,\delta} w \Rightarrow l(x) \geq l(w)$ .

Now for  $(J_1, w_1), (J_2, w_2) \in \mathcal{I}_\delta$ , we say that  $(J_1, w_1) \leq_\delta (J_2, w_2)$  if  $J_1 \subset J_2$  and  $w_1 \geq_{J,\delta} w_2$ . In the end of this section, we will show that  $\leq$  is a partial order on  $\mathcal{I}_\delta$ . (The definition of partial order can be found in 3.12).

**Lemma 3.10.** *Let  $J \subset I$ ,  $w \in W^J$ ,  $u \in W$  with  $l(uw) = l(u) + l(w)$ . Assume that  $uw = xv$  with  $x \in W^J$  and  $v \in W_J$ . Then for any  $v' \leq v$ , there exists  $u' \leq u$ , such that  $u'w = xv'$ .*

*Proof.* We argue by induction on  $l(u)$ . If  $l(u) = 0$ , then  $u = 1$  and statement is clear. Assume now that  $l(u) > 0$ . Then there exists  $i \in I$ , such that  $s_i u < u$ . We denote  $s_i u$  by  $u_1$ . Let  $u_1 w = x_1 v_1$  with  $x_1 \in W^J$  and  $v_1 \in W_J$ . Then  $s_i x_1 > x_1$ .

If  $s_i x_1 \in W^J$ , then the lemma holds by induction hypothesis. If  $s_i x_1 \notin W^J$ , then there exists  $j \in J$ , such that  $s_i x_1 = x_1 s_j$ . In this case,  $s_j v_1 > v_1$ . Let  $v' \leq s_j v_1$ . If  $v' \leq v_1$ , then the lemma holds by induction hypothesis. If  $v' \not\leq v_1$ , then  $v' = s_j v'_1$  for some  $v'_1 \leq v_1$ . By induction hypothesis, there exists  $u'_1 \leq u_1$ , such that  $u'_1 w = x_1 v'_1$ . Thus  $s_i u'_1 w = x_1 s_j v'_1$ . The lemma holds in this case.  $\square$

**Lemma 3.11.** *Fix  $J \subset I$  and  $w \in W^{\delta(J)}$ . For any  $K \subset J$ ,  $w' \in W^{\delta(K)}$  with  $w' \geq_{J,\delta} w$ , there exists  $x \in W^{\delta(K)}$ ,  $u \in W_J$  and  $u_1 \in W_K$ , such that  $x \geq w\delta(u)$  and  $w' = u_1^{-1}u^{-1}x\delta(u_1)$ .*

*Proof.* Since  $w' \geq_{J,\delta} w$ , there exists  $v_1 \in W_J$ , such that  $w' \geq v_1^{-1}w\delta(v_1)$ . By 3.4, there exists  $v' \leq v_1$ , such that  $v'w' \geq w\delta(v_1) \geq w\delta(v')$ . Let  $v$  be a minimal

element in the set  $\{v \in W_J \mid vw' \geq w\delta(v)\}$ . Then  $l(vw') = l(v) + l(w')$ . Now assume that  $vw' = x\delta(v')$  for some  $x \in W^{\delta(K)}$  and  $v' \in W_K$ . Then there exists  $v'_1 \leq v'$ , such that  $x \geq w\delta(v)\delta(v'_1)^{-1}$ . By 3.10,  $x\delta(v'_1) = v_2w'$  for some  $v_2 \leq v$ . Since  $l(x\delta(v'_1)) = l(x) + l(v'_1)$ ,  $v_2w' = x\delta(v'_1) \geq w\delta(v) \geq w\delta(v_2)$ . Therefore,  $v_2 = v$  and  $v'_1 = v'$ . So  $x \geq w\delta(v)\delta(v')^{-1}$ . Now set  $u = v(v')^{-1}$  and  $u_1 = v'$ . Then  $w' = v^{-1}x\delta(v') = u_1^{-1}u^{-1}x\delta(u_1)$ .  $\square$

**3.12.** A relation  $\leq$  is a partial order on a set  $S$  if it has:

1. Reflexivity:  $a \leq a$  for all  $a \in S$ .
2. Antisymmetry:  $a \leq b$  and  $b \leq a$  implies  $a = b$ .
3. Transitivity:  $a \leq b$  and  $b \leq c$  implies  $a \leq c$ .

**Proposition 3.13.** *The relation  $\leq_\delta$  on the set  $\mathcal{I}_\delta$  is a partial order.*

Proof. Reflexivity is clear from the definition.

For  $(J_1, w_1), (J_2, w_2) \in \mathcal{I}_\delta$  with  $(J_1, w_1) \leq_\delta (J_2, w_2)$  and  $(J_2, w_2) \leq_\delta (J_1, w_1)$ , we have that  $J_1 = J_2$  and  $l(w_1) = l(w_2)$ . Since  $w_1 \geq w'_2$  for some  $w'_2 \sim_{J, \delta} w_2$  and  $l(w_1) = l(w_2) = l(w'_2)$ ,  $w_1 = w'_2 \in W^{\delta(J_2)}$ . Hence  $w_1 = w'_2 = w_2$ . Therefore  $(J_1, w_1) = (J_2, w_2)$ . Antisymmetry is proved.

Let  $(J_1, w_1), (J_2, w_2)$  and  $(J_3, w_3) \in \mathcal{I}_\delta$ . Assume that  $(J_1, w_1) \leq_\delta (J_2, w_2)$  and  $(J_2, w_2) \leq_\delta (J_3, w_3)$ . Then  $J_1 \subset J_2 \subset J_3$ . Moreover, there exists  $x \in W^{\delta(J_2)}$ ,  $u \in W_{J_3}$  and  $u_1 \in W_{J_2}$ , such that  $x \geq w_3\delta(u)$  and  $w_2 = u_1^{-1}u^{-1}x\delta(u_1)$ . Since  $w_1 \geq_{J_2, \delta} w_2$ , there exists  $u_2 \in W_{J_2}$ , such that  $w_1 \geq u_2^{-1}u^{-1}x\delta(u_2)$ . Note that  $l(x\delta(u_2)) = l(x) + l(u_2)$  and  $x \geq w_3\delta(u)$ . Thus  $x\delta(u_2) \geq w_3\delta(uu_2)$ . By 3.4, there exists  $v \leq uu_2$ , such that  $w_1 \geq v^{-1}w_3\delta(uu_2)$ . By 3.7,  $w_1 \geq_{J_3, \delta} w_3$ . Transitivity is proved.  $\square$

#### 4. THE CLOSURE OF ANY $G$ -STABLE PIECE

**4.1.** We have that

$$G^1 = \sqcup_{w \in W} B\dot{w}U^- \dot{w}_0^{\delta(J)} g_0 = \sqcup_{w \in W} B\dot{w}\dot{w}_0^{\delta(J)} U_{P_{\delta(J)}^-} U_{\delta(J)} g_0.$$

Moreover,  $B\dot{w}U^- = \sqcup_{b \in U_J^- \cap \dot{w}^{-1}U^-} B\dot{w}U_{P_J^-} b$ . Thus

$$\begin{aligned} B\dot{w}U_{P_J^-} U_J &= B\dot{w}\dot{w}_0^J U^- \dot{w}_0^J = \sqcup_{b \in U_J^- \cap (\dot{w}\dot{w}_0^J)^{-1}U^-} B\dot{w}\dot{w}_0^J U_{P_J^-} b\dot{w}_0^J \\ &= \sqcup_{b \in U_J \cap \dot{w}^{-1}U^-} B\dot{w}U_{P_J^-} b. \end{aligned}$$

Note that if  $w = w'u$  with  $w' \in W^J$  and  $u \in W_J$ , then

$$U_J \cap \dot{w}^{-1}U^- = \dot{u}^{-1}(\dot{u}U_J \cap (\dot{w}')^{-1}U^-) = \dot{u}^{-1}(\dot{u}U_J \cap U_J^-) = U_J \cap \dot{u}^{-1}U_J^-.$$

**Lemma 4.2.** *Let  $(J, w) \in \mathcal{I}_\delta$ . For any  $u \in W$  and  $b \in B$ , there exists  $v \leq u$ , such that  $\dot{u}b\dot{w} \in B\dot{v}\dot{w}U_{P_{\delta(J)}^-}U_{\delta(J)}$ .*

*Proof.* We will prove the statement by induction on  $l(u)$ .

If  $u = 1$ , then the statement holds. If  $u = s_i u_1$  with  $l(u_1) = l(u) - 1$ , then by induction hypothesis, there exists  $v_1 \leq u_1$ , such that  $\dot{u}_1 b \dot{w} \in b' \dot{v}_1 \dot{w} U_{P_{\delta(J)}^-} U_{\delta(J)}$  for some  $b' \in B$ . Write  $b' = b_1 b_2$ , where  $b_1 \in U_{P_{\{i\}}}$  and  $b_2 \in U_{\{i\}}$ . Then  $\dot{s}_i b' \dot{v}_1 \dot{w} = (\dot{s}_i b_1 \dot{s}_i^{-1}) \dot{s}_i b_2 \dot{v}_1 \dot{w}$  with  $\dot{s}_i b_1 \dot{s}_i^{-1} \in B$ .

If  $(\dot{v}_1 \dot{w})^{-1} b_2 \dot{v}_1 \dot{w} \in U_{P_{\delta(J)}^-} U_{\delta(J)}$ , then  $\dot{s}_i b_2 \dot{v}_1 \dot{w} \in \dot{s}_i \dot{v}_1 \dot{w} U_{P_{\delta(J)}^-} U_{\delta(J)}$ . Otherwise,  $b_2 \neq 1$  and  $(\dot{v}_1 \dot{w})^{-1} U_{\{i\}}^- \dot{v}_1 \dot{w} \subset U_{P_{\delta(J)}^-} U_{\delta(J)}$ . Note that  $\dot{s}_i b_2 \in B U_{\{i\}}^-$ . Thus  $\dot{s}_i b_2 \dot{v}_1 \dot{w} \in B \dot{v}_1 \dot{w} U_{P_{\delta(J)}^-} U_{\delta(J)}$ . The statement holds in both cases.  $\square$

**4.3.** Let  $z \in (G, 1) \cdot h_{J, \delta}$ . Then  $z$  can be written as  $z = (b \dot{w} \dot{u} b', 1) \cdot h_{J, \delta}$  with  $b \in B$ ,  $w \in W^{\delta(J)}$ ,  $u \in W_{\delta(J)}$  and  $b' \in U_{\delta(J)} \cap \dot{u}^{-1} U_{\delta(J)}^-$ . Moreover,  $w, u, b'$  are uniquely determined by  $z$ .

Set  $J_0 = J$ . To  $z \in (G, 1) \cdot h_{J, \delta}$ , we associate a sequence  $(J_i, w_i, v_i, v'_i, c_i, z_i)_{i \geq 1}$  with  $J_i \subset J$ ,  $w_i \in W^{\delta(J)}$ ,  $v_i \in W_{J_{i-1}} \cap J_i W$ ,  $v'_i \in W_{J_i}$ ,  $c_i \in U_{\delta(J_{i-1})} \cap \dot{\delta}(v_i^{-1}) U_{\delta(J_{i-1})}^-$  and  $z_i \in (B \dot{w}_i \dot{\delta}(v'_i) U_{\delta(J)} \dot{\delta}(v_i) c_i, 1) \cdot h_{J, \delta}$  and in the same  $G_{diag}$ -orbit as  $z$ . The sequence is defined as follows.

Assume that  $z \in (B \dot{w} \dot{\delta}(u) U_{\delta(J)}, 1) \cdot h_{J, \delta}$  with  $w \in W^{\delta(J)}$  and  $u \in W_{\delta(J)}$ . Then set  $z_1 = z$ ,  $J_1 = J$ ,  $w_1 = w$ ,  $v_1 = 1$ ,  $v'_1 = u$  and  $c_1 = 1$ .

Assume that  $k \geq 1$ , that  $J_k, w_k, v_k, v'_k, c_k, z_k$  are already defined and that  $J_k \subset J_{k-1}$ ,  $w_k \in W^{\delta(J)}$ ,  $W_{J_{k-1}} w_k \subset W^{\delta(J)} W_{\delta(J_k)}$ ,  $v_k \in W_{J_{k-1}} \cap J_k W$ ,  $v'_k \in W_{J_k}$ ,  $c_k \in U_{\delta(J_{k-1})} \cap \dot{\delta}(v_k^{-1}) U_{\delta(J_{k-1})}^-$  and  $z_k \in (B \dot{w}_k \dot{\delta}(v'_k) U_{\delta(J)} \dot{\delta}(v_k) c_k, 1) \cdot h_{J, \delta}$ . Set  $z_{k+1} = (g_0^{-1} \dot{\delta}(v_k) c_k g_0, g_0^{-1} \dot{\delta}(v_k) c_k g_0) z_k$ . Then  $z_{k+1} \in (G, 1) \cdot h_{J, \delta}$ . Moreover, by 4.2, there exists  $x_k \leq v_k$ , such that  $z_{k+1} \in (B \dot{x}_k \dot{w}_k \dot{\delta}(v'_k) U_{\delta(J)}, 1) \cdot h_{J, \delta}$ .

Let  $y_{k+1}$  be the unique element of the minimal length in  $W_{J_k} x_k w_k \delta(v'_k) W_{\delta(J)}$ . Set  $J_{k+1} = J_k \cap \delta^{-1} \text{Ad}(y_{k+1}^{-1}) J_k$ . Since  $W_{J_{k-1}} w_k \subset W^{\delta(J)} W_{\delta(J_k)}$ , then we have that  $x_k w_k \delta(v'_k) = w_{k+1} \delta(v'_{k+1} v_{k+1})$  for some  $w_{k+1} \in W^{\delta(J)}$ ,  $v'_{k+1} \in W_{J_{k+1}}$  and  $v_{k+1} \in W_{J_k} \cap J_{k+1} W$ . Note that  $W_{J_k} w_{k+1} \subset W^{\delta(J)} W_{\delta(J) \cap \text{Ad}(y_{k+1}^{-1}) J_k}$ . On the other hand,  $W_{J_k} w_{k+1} \subset W_{J_{k-1}} w_k W_{\delta(J_k)} \subset W^{\delta(J)} W_{\delta(J_k)}$ . Thus  $W_{J_k} w_{k+1} \subset (W^{\delta(J)} W_{\delta(J) \cap \text{Ad}(y_{k+1}^{-1}) J_k}) \cap (W^{\delta(J)} W_{\delta(J_k)}) = W^{\delta(J)} W_{\delta(J_{k+1})}$ .

Moreover  $z_{k+1} \in (B \dot{w}_{k+1} \dot{\delta}(v'_{k+1}) U_{\delta(J)} \dot{\delta}(v_{k+1}) c_{k+1}, 1) \cdot h_{J, \delta}$  for a unique  $c_{k+1} \in U_{J_k} \cap \dot{\delta}(v_{k+1}^{-1}) U_{J_k}^-$ .

This completes the inductive definition. Moreover, for sufficient large  $n$ , we have that  $J_n = J_{n+1} = \dots$ ,  $w_n = w_{n+1} = \dots$ ,  $v'_n = v'_{n+1} = \dots$  and  $v_n = v_{n+1} = \dots = 1$ .

**4.4.** Let  $K \subset J$ ,  $y \in {}^K W^{\delta(K)}$  and  $K = \text{Ad}(y) \delta(K)$ . Then for any  $u \in W_K$ , we

have that

$$(B\dot{y}\dot{\delta}(u)U_{\delta(K)}, 1) \cdot h_{J,\delta} \subset G_{diag}(\dot{y}L_{\delta(K)}, B) \cdot h_{J,\delta} = G_{diag}(\dot{y}L_{\delta(K)}, U_{P_K}) \cdot h_{J,\delta}.$$

For any  $l \in L_K$ , there exists  $l' \in L_K$ , such that  $l'\dot{y}g_0l(l')^{-1} \in \dot{y}g_0(L_K \cap B)$ . Thus  $(L_K)_{diag}(\dot{y}(L_{\delta(K)} \cap B), U_{P_K}) \cdot h_{J,\delta} = (\dot{y}L_{\delta(K)}, U_{P_K}) \cdot h_{J,\delta}$ . Hence

$$(B\dot{y}\dot{\delta}(u)U_{\delta(K)}, 1) \cdot h_{J,\delta} \subset G_{diag}(\dot{y}(L_{\delta(K)} \cap B), U_{P_K}) \cdot h_{J,\delta} = G_{diag}(\dot{y}, B) \cdot h_{J,\delta} = Z_{J,\delta}^y.$$

Now for any  $z \in (G, 1) \cdot h_{J,\delta}$ , let  $(z_i, J_i, w_i, v_i, v'_i, c_i)_{i \geq 1}$  be the sequence associated to  $z$ . Assume that  $J_n = J_{n+1} = \dots$ ,  $w_n = w_{n+1} = \dots$ ,  $v'_n = v'_{n+1} = \dots$  and  $v_n = v_{n+1} = \dots = 1$ . Then we have showed that  $z_n \in Z_{J,\delta}^{w_n}$ . Thus  $z \in Z_{J,\delta}^{w_n}$ .

Note that for any  $z \in Z_{J,\delta}$ ,  $z$  is in the same  $G$ -orbit as an element of the form  $(G, 1) \cdot h_{J,\delta}$ . Therefore, given  $z \in Z_{J,\delta}$ , our procedure determines the  $G$ -stable piece  $Z_{J,\delta}^w$  that contains  $z$ .

Now we are able to describe the closure of  $Z_{J,\delta}^w$ . In 4.5, we will only consider subvarieties of  $\bar{G}^1$  and for any subvariety  $X$  of  $\bar{G}^1$ , we denote by  $\bar{X}$  the closure of  $X$  in  $\bar{G}^1$ .

**Theorem 4.5.** *For any  $(J, w) \in \mathcal{I}_\delta$ , we have that*

$$\overline{Z_{J,\delta}^w} = \sqcup_{(K, w') \leq_\delta (J, w)} Z_{K,\delta}^{w'}.$$

Proof. Define  $\pi' : G \times \overline{[I, 1, 1]_\delta} \rightarrow \bar{G}^1$  by  $\pi(g, z) = (g, g) \cdot z$ . The morphism is invariant under the  $B$ -action defined by  $b(g, z) = (gb^{-1}, \pi'(b, z))$ . Denote by  $G \times_B \overline{[I, 1, 1]_\delta}$  the quotient, we obtain a morphism  $\pi : G \times_B \overline{[I, 1, 1]_\delta} \rightarrow \bar{G}^1$ . Because  $G/B$  is projective,  $\pi$  is proper and hence surjective.

Note that  $\overline{[J, w, 1]_\delta} = \sqcup_{K \subset J} \sqcup_{x \in W^{\delta(K)}, u \in W_J, \text{ and } x \geq w\delta(u)} [K, x, u]_\delta$ . Since  $Z_{J,\delta}^w = \pi(G \times_B [J, w, 1]_\delta)$ , we have that

$$\overline{Z_{J,\delta}^w} = \sqcup_{K \subset J} \sqcup_{x \in W^{\delta(K)}, u \in W_J, \text{ and } x \geq w\delta(u)} G_{diag} \cdot [K, x, u]_\delta.$$

For any  $z \in [K, x, u]_\delta$  with  $x \in W^{\delta(K)}$ ,  $u \in W_J$  and  $x \geq w\delta(u)$ , we have that  $z \in (B\dot{x}, B\dot{u}) \cdot h_{K,\delta} = G_{diag}(\dot{u}^{-1}B\dot{x}, 1) \cdot h_{K,\delta} \subset \sqcup_{v \leq u^{-1}} G_{diag}(B\dot{v}\dot{x}U_{\delta(K)}, 1) \cdot h_{K,\delta}$ .

Fix  $v \leq u^{-1}$  and  $z' \in (B\dot{v}\dot{x}U_{\delta(K)}, 1) \cdot h_{K,\delta}$ . Let  $(z_i, J_i, w_i, v_i, v'_i, c_i)_{i \geq 1}$  be the sequence associated to  $z'$ . Then for any  $i$ , there exists  $x_i \leq v_i$ , such that  $x_i w_i \delta(v'_i) = w_{i+1} \delta(v'_{i+1} v_{i+1})$ . Assume that  $J_n = J_{n+1} = \dots$ ,  $w_n = w_{n+1} = \dots$ ,  $v'_n = v'_{n+1} = \dots$  and  $v_n = v_{n+1} = \dots = 1$ . Set  $x_\infty = x_n x_{n-1} \dots x_2$  and  $v_\infty = v'_n (v_n v_{n-1} \dots v_2)$ . Note that  $x_1 = v_1 = 1$ . Then  $x_\infty v x = x_\infty w_1 \delta(v'_1) = w_n \delta(v_\infty)$ . Since  $v'_n \in W_{J_{n+1}}$  and  $v_i \in W_{J_i \cap J_{i+1} W}$ , we have that  $l(v_\infty) = l(v'_n) + l(v_n) + l(v_{n-1}) + \dots + l(v_2)$ . Thus  $x_\infty \leq v_\infty$ . By 4.4,  $z' \in Z_{K, x_\infty v x \delta(v_\infty^{-1})}$ . Note that  $v^{-1} \leq u$  and  $l(wu) = l(w) + l(u)$ .



Thus  $w\delta(v^{-1}) \leq w\delta(u) \leq x$ . Similarly,  $w\delta(v^{-1}x_\infty^{-1}) \leq x\delta(v_\infty^{-1})$ . By 3.4, there exist  $v' \leq v^{-1}x_\infty^{-1}$ , such that  $(v')^{-1}w\delta(v^{-1}x_\infty^{-1}) \leq x_\infty vx\delta(v_\infty^{-1})$ . Thus by 3.8,  $x_\infty vx\delta(v_\infty^{-1}) \geq_{J,\delta} w$ .

For any  $K \subset J$  and  $w' \in W^{\delta(K)}$  with  $w' \geq_{J,\delta} w$ , there exists  $x \in W^{\delta(K)}$ ,  $u \in W_J$  and  $u_1 \in W_K$ , such that  $x \geq w\delta(u)$  and  $w' = u_1^{-1}u^{-1}x\delta(u_1)$ . Since  $[K, x, u]_\delta \subset \overline{[J, w, 1]_\delta}$ . We have that  $(\dot{x}T, \dot{u}) \cdot h_{K,\delta} \in \overline{Z_{J,\delta}^w}$ . Therefore  $(\dot{u}^{-1}\dot{x}T, 1) \cdot h_{K,\delta} \in \overline{Z_{J,\delta}^w}$ . Note that  $u^{-1}x = u_1w'\delta(u_1)^{-1}$ . Then  $(\dot{u}_1\dot{w}'\dot{\delta}(u_1)^{-1}T, 1) \cdot h_{K,\delta} \in \overline{Z_{J,\delta}^w}$ . Thus

$$(\dot{u}_1^{-1}, \dot{u}_1^{-1})(\dot{u}_1\dot{w}'\dot{\delta}(u_1)^{-1}T, 1) \cdot h_{K,\delta} = (\dot{w}'T, 1) \cdot h_{K,\delta} \in \overline{Z_{J,\delta}^w}.$$

By 1.12,  $Z_{K,\delta}^{w'} \subset \overline{Z_{J,\delta}^w}$ . The theorem is proved.  $\square$

Our method also works in another situation.

**Proposition 4.6.** *The closure of  $Z_{J,1,\delta}^w$  in  $Z_{J,1,\delta}$  is  $\sqcup_{w' \in W^{\delta(J)}, w \geq_{J,\delta} w'} Z_{J,1,\delta}^{w'}$ .*

*Proof.* In the proof, we will only consider subvarieties of  $Z_{J,1,\delta}$  and for any subvariety  $X$  of  $Z_{J,1,\delta}$ , we denote by  $\bar{X}$  its closure in  $Z_{J,1,\delta}$ .

Note that the morphism  $\pi : Z_{J,1,\delta} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_J$  defined by  $\pi(P, Q, \gamma) = P$  for  $(P, Q, \gamma) \in Z_{J,1,\delta}$  is a locally trivial fibration with isomorphic fibers. Moreover,  $i : \pi^{-1}(P_J) \rightarrow G^1/H_{P_J}$  defined by  $i(P, Q, \gamma) = \gamma$  for  $(P, Q, \gamma) \in \pi^{-1}(P_J)$  is an isomorphism. Now  $[J, w, 1]_{1,\delta} \subset \pi^{-1}(P_J)$  and  $i([J, w, 1]_{1,\delta}) = B\dot{w}Bg_0/H_{P_J}$ . Thus  $\overline{[J, w, 1]_{1,\delta}} = \sqcup_{w' \leq w} [J, w', 1]_{1,\delta}$ . For any  $w' \in W^J$  with  $w \geq_{J,\delta} w'$ , there exists  $u \in W_J$ , such that  $w \geq u^{-1}w'\delta(u)$ . Thus  $(\dot{u}^{-1}\dot{w}'\dot{\delta}(u)T, 1) \cdot h_{J,1,\delta} \in \overline{[J, w, 1]_{1,\delta}}$ . Hence  $G_{diag}(\dot{u}^{-1}\dot{w}'\dot{\delta}(u)T, 1) \cdot h_{J,1,\delta} = G_{diag}(\dot{w}'T, 1) \cdot h_{J,1,\delta} \in \overline{Z_{J,1,\delta}^w}$ . So  $Z_{J,1,\delta}^{w'} \subset \overline{Z_{J,1,\delta}^w}$ .

On the other hand, for any  $z \in [J, w', 1]_{1,\delta}$ , by the similar argument as we did in 4.3 and 4.4, there exists  $u \leq v \in W_J$ , such that  $uw'\delta(v^{-1}) \in W^{\delta(J)}$  and  $z \in Z_{J,1,\delta}^{uw'v^{-1}}$ . If moreover,  $w' \leq w$ , then  $w \geq u^{-1}(uw'\delta(v^{-1}))\delta(v)$ . Thus  $w \geq_{J,\delta} uw'v^{-1}$ . Therefore  $z \in \sqcup_{w' \in W^{\delta(J)}, w \geq_{J,\delta} w'} Z_{J,1,\delta}^{w'}$ . The proposition is proved.  $\square$

## 5. THE CELLULAR DECOMPOSITION

**5.1.** A finite partition of a variety  $X$  into subsets is said to be an  $\alpha$ -partition if the subsets in the partition can be indexed  $X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n$  in such a way that  $X_1 \cup X_2 \cup \dots \cup X_i$  is closed in  $X$  for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ . We say that a variety has a cellular decomposition if it admits an  $\alpha$ -partition into subvarieties which are affine spaces. It is easy to see that if a variety  $X$  admits an  $\alpha$ -partition into subvarieties and each subvariety has a cellular decomposition, then  $X$  has a cellular decomposition.

**Lemma 5.2.** *Let  $(J, w) \in \mathcal{I}_\delta$ ,  $K \subset J$  and  $w' \in W$  with  $\text{Ad}(w')\delta(K) = K$ . If  $w'v \geq_{J,\delta} w$  for some  $v \in W_{\delta(K)}$ , then  $w' \geq_{J,\delta} w$ .*

*Proof.* Fix  $w'$  and  $(J, w)$ . It suffices to prove the following statement:

Let  $u \in W_J$  and  $v \in W_{\delta(K)}$ . If  $w'v \geq u^{-1}w\delta(u)$ , then  $w' \geq_{J,\delta} w$ .

We argue by induction on  $l(u)$ . Assume that the statement holds for all  $u' < u$ . Then I will prove that the statement holds for  $u$  by induction on  $l(v)$ . If  $l(v) = 0$ , then  $v = 1$  and the statement holds in this case. Now assume that  $l(v) > 0$ .

Set  $u = u_1u_2$  with  $u_1 \in W^K$  and  $u_2 \in W_K$ . If  $u_2 = 1$ , then  $u \in W^K$  and  $w\delta(u) \in W^{\delta(K)}$ . By 3.4, there exists  $u' \leq u$ , such that  $u'w'v \geq w\delta(u)$ . Assume that  $v = v's_k$  for  $v' < v$  and  $k \in \delta(K)$ . Then  $w\delta(u) < w\delta(u)s_k$ . By 3.1,  $w\delta(u) \leq u'w'v'$ . By 3.4, there exists  $u'_1 \leq u' \leq u$ , such that  $w'v' \geq (u'_1)^{-1}w\delta(u)$ . By the remark of 3.8,  $w'v' \geq (u'_2)^{-1}w\delta(u'_2)$  for some  $u'_2 \leq u'_1$ . Thus by induction hypothesis,  $w' \geq_{J,\delta} w$ .

If  $u_2 \neq 1$ . Then  $l(u_1) < l(u)$ . By 3.4, there exists  $u_3 \leq u_2$  and  $u_4 \leq u_2^{-1}$ , such that  $u_3w'v\delta(u_4) \geq u_1^{-1}w\delta(u_1)$ . Note that  $u_3w'vu_4 = w'((w')^{-1}u_3w')v\delta(u_4) \in w'W_{\delta(K)}$ . By induction hypothesis on  $l(u_1)$ ,  $w' \geq_{J,\delta} w$ .  $\square$

**5.3.** Let  $J \subset I$ . For  $w \in W$ , set

$$\begin{aligned} I_1(J, w, \delta) &= \max\{K \subset J \mid w \in W^{\delta(K)}\}, \\ I_2(J, w, \delta) &= \max\{K \subset J \mid \text{Ad}(w)\Phi_{\delta(K)} = \Phi_K\}. \end{aligned}$$

Now let  $(J, w) \in \mathcal{I}_\delta$ . Set

$$W_\delta(J, w) = \{u \in W \mid u \geq_{J,\delta} w, I_2(J, u, \delta) \subset I_1(J, u, \delta)\}.$$

For any  $u \in W_\delta(J, w)$ , set

$$\begin{aligned} X_u^{(J,w,\delta)} &= \sqcup_{K \subset I_1(J,u,\delta)} \sqcup_{v \in W_{\delta(I_2(J,u,\delta))} \cap W^{\delta(K)}} Z_{K,\delta}^{uv} \\ &= \sqcup_{v \in W_{\delta(I_2(J,u,\delta))}} \sqcup_{K \subset I_1(J,uv,\delta)} Z_{K,\delta}^{uv}. \end{aligned}$$

For  $w' \geq_{J,\delta} w$ , we have that  $w' = uv$  for some  $u \in W^{\delta(I_2(J,w'))}$  and  $v \in W_{\delta(I_2(J,w'))}$ . Then  $I_2(J, u, \delta) = I_2(J, w', \delta) \subset I_1(J, u, \delta)$ . By 5.2,  $u \geq_{J,\delta} w$ . Thus  $u \in W_\delta(J, w)$  and  $\sqcup_{K \subset I_1(J,w',\delta)} Z_{K,\delta}^{w'} \subset X_u^{(J,w,\delta)}$ .

For  $u_1, u_2 \in W(J, w)$  and  $v_1 \in W_{\delta(I_2(J,u_1))}, v_2 \in W_{\delta(I_2(J,u_2))}$  with  $u_1v_1 = u_2v_2$ , we have that  $I_2(J, u_1, \delta) = I_2(J, u_1v_1, \delta) = I_2(J, u_2v_2, \delta) = I_2(J, u_2, \delta)$ . Note that  $u_1, u_2 \in W^{\delta(I_2(J,u_1))}$ . Thus  $u_1 = u_2$  and  $v_1 = v_2$ .

Therefore  $\overline{Z_{J,\delta}^w} = \sqcup_{u \in W_\delta(J,w)} X_u^{(J,w,\delta)}$ .

**Lemma 5.4.** Let  $(J, w) \in \mathcal{I}_\delta$ . Set  $I_2 = I_2(J, w, \delta)$ . For  $K \subset J$ , we have that

$$\sqcup_{v \in W_{\delta(I_2)} \cap W^{\delta(K)}} (L_{I_2})_{\text{diag}}(\dot{w}v, B \cap L_{I_2}) \cdot h_{K,\delta} = (L_{I_2}, L_{I_2})(\dot{w}, 1) \cdot h_{K,\delta}.$$

*Proof.* At first, we will prove the case when  $K \subset I_2$ . In this case, set  $g_1 = g_0\dot{w}$ . Then  $g_1L_{\delta(I_2)}g_1^{-1} = L_{\delta(I_2)}$  and  $g_1(L_{\delta(I_2)} \cap B)g_1^{-1} = L_{\delta(I_2)} \cap B$ . Now

consider  $\overline{L_{\delta(I_2)}/Z(L_{\delta(I_2)})}g_1$  (a variety that is isomorphic to  $\overline{L_{\delta(I_2)}/Z(L_{\delta(I_2)})}$ , but with “twisted”  $L_{\delta(I_2)} \times L_{\delta(I_2)}$  action, see 2.3). We have that

$$\sqcup_{v \in W_{\delta(I_2)} \cap W^{\delta(K)}(L_{\delta(I_2)})_{diag}} (\dot{v}, B \cap L_{\delta(I_2)}) \cdot (h_{\delta(K)}g_1) = (L_{\delta(I_2)}, L_{\delta(I_2)}) \cdot (h_{\delta(K)}g_1).$$

(In the case when  $g_1^n \in L_{\delta(I_2)}$  for some  $n \in \mathbf{N}$ ,  $L_{\delta(I_2)}g_1$  is a connected component of the group generated by  $L_{\delta(I_2)}$  and  $g_1$ . In this case, the left hand side is the union of some  $L_{\delta(I_2)}$ -stable pieces and the equality follows from [L4, 12,3]. The general case can be shown in the same way.)

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} & \sqcup_{v \in W_{\delta(I_2)} \cap W^{\delta(K)}} (\dot{w}^{-1}, g_0)(L_{I_2})_{diag}(\dot{w}, g_0^{-1})(\dot{v}, B \cap L_{\delta(I_2)}) \cdot h_{\delta(K)} \\ &= \sqcup_{v \in W_{\delta(I_2)} \cap W^{\delta(K)}} (1, g_1)(L_{\delta(I_2)})_{diag}(1, g_1^{-1})(\dot{v}, B \cap L_{\delta(I_2)}) \cdot h_{\delta(K)} \\ &= (L_{\delta(I_2)}, L_{\delta(I_2)})(\dot{w}, 1) \cdot h_{\delta(K)}. \end{aligned}$$

Note that  $h_{K,\delta} = h_{\delta(K)}g_0$ . Then  $\sqcup_{v \in W_{\delta(I_2)} \cap W^{\delta(K)}} (\dot{w}^{-1}, 1)(L_{I_2})_{diag}(\dot{w}\dot{v}, B \cap L_{I_2}) \cdot h_{K,\delta} = (L_{\delta(I_2)}, L_{I_2}) \cdot h_{K,\delta}$ . Hence  $\sqcup_{v \in W_{\delta(I_2)} \cap W^{\delta(K)}} (L_{I_2})_{diag}(\dot{w}\dot{v}, B \cap L_{I_2}) \cdot h_{K,\delta} = (L_{I_2}, L_{I_2}) \cdot h_{K,\delta}$ .

In the general case, Consider  $\pi : (L_{\delta(I_2)}, L_{I_2}) \cdot h_{K,\delta} \rightarrow \overline{L_{\delta(I_2)}/Z(L_{\delta(I_2)})}g_0$  defined by  $\pi((l_1, l_2)h_{K,\delta}) = (l_1, l_2) \cdot (h_{\delta(K) \cap \delta(I_2)}g_0)$  for  $l_1 \in L_{\delta(I_2)}, l_2 \in L_{I_2}$ . Here  $h_{\delta(K) \cap \delta(I_2)}$  on the right side is the base point in  $\overline{L_{\delta(I_2)}/Z(L_{\delta(I_2)})}$  that corresponds to  $\delta(K) \cap \delta(I_2)$ . It is easy to see that the morphism is well-defined. Now define the  $T$ -action on  $(L_{\delta(I_2)}, L_{I_2}) \cdot h_{K,\delta}$  by  $t \cdot ((l_1, l_2)h_{K,\delta}) = (tl_1, l_2)h_{K,\delta}$  for  $t \in T$  and  $l_1 \in L_{\delta(I_2)}, l_2 \in L_{I_2}$ . Then  $T$  acts transitively on  $\pi^{-1}(a)$  for any  $a \in (L_{\delta(I_2)}, L_{I_2}) \cdot (h_{\delta(K) \cap \delta(I_2)}g_0)$ . Now

$$\begin{aligned} & \sqcup_{v \in W_{\delta(I_2)} \cap W^{\delta(K)}} \pi((\dot{w}^{-1}, 1)(L_{I_2})_{diag} \cdot (\dot{w}\dot{v}, B \cap L_{I_2}) \cdot h_{K,\delta}) \\ &= \sqcup_{v \in W_{\delta(I_2)} \cap W^{\delta(K)}} (\dot{w}^{-1}, 1)(L_{I_2})_{diag}(\dot{w}\dot{v}, B \cap L_{I_2}) \cdot (h_{\delta(K) \cap \delta(I_2)}g_0) \\ &= (L_{\delta(I_2)}, L_{I_2}) \cdot (h_{\delta(K) \cap \delta(I_2)}g_0). \end{aligned}$$

Moreover  $\sqcup_{v \in W_{\delta(I_2)} \cap W^{\delta(K)}} (\dot{w}^{-1}, 1)(L_{I_2})_{diag} \cdot (\dot{w}\dot{v}, B \cap L_{I_2}) \cdot h_{K,\delta}$  is stable under  $T$ -action. Thus  $\sqcup_{v \in W_{\delta(I_2)} \cap W^{\delta(K)}} (\dot{w}^{-1}, 1)(L_{I_2})_{diag} \cdot (\dot{w}\dot{v}, B \cap L_{I_2}) \cdot h_{K,\delta} = (L_{\delta(I_2)}, L_{I_2}) \cdot h_{K,\delta}$ . The lemma is proved.  $\square$

**Proposition 5.5.** *Let  $(J, w) \in \mathcal{I}_\delta$  and  $u \in W_\delta(J, w)$ . Set  $I_1 = I_1(J, u, \delta)$ ,  $I_2 = I_2(J, u, \delta)$  and  $L_u^{(J,w,\delta)} = \sqcup_{K \subset I_1} (L_{I_2}, L_{I_2})(\dot{u}, 1) \cdot h_{K,\delta}$ . Then we have that*

(1)  $L_u^{(J,w,\delta)}$  is a fibre bundle over  $\overline{L_{I_2}/Z(L_{I_2})}$  with fibres isomorphic to an affine space of dimension  $|I_1| - |I_2|$ .

(2)  $X_u^{(J,w,\delta)} = G_{diag} \cdot L_u^{(J,w,\delta)}$  is isomorphic to  $G \times_{P_{I_2}} ((P_{I_2})_{diag} \cdot L_u^{(J,w,\delta)})$ .

(3)  $(P_{I_2})_{diag} \cdot L_u^{(J,w,\delta)} = (B \times B) \cdot L_u^{(J,w,\delta)} \cong (U \cap \dot{w}_0^{I_2} \dot{u} \dot{w}_0 U^-) \times L_u^{(J,w,\delta)}$ .

Proof. For part (1), note that  $L_u^{(J,u,\delta)} = \sqcup_{K \subset I_1} (\dot{u}, 1)(L_{\delta(I_2)}, L_{I_2}) \cdot h_{K,\delta} = (\dot{u}L_{\delta(I_2)}, L_{I_2}) \cdot (\sqcup_{K \subset I_1} (T, 1)h_{K,\delta})$  is a variety. Consider the morphism

$$\pi' : \sqcup_{K \subset I_1} (L_{\delta(I_2)}, L_{I_2}) \cdot h_{K,\delta} \rightarrow \overline{L_{\delta(I_2)}/Z(L_{\delta(I_2)})}g_0$$

defined by  $\pi'((l_1, l_2)h_{K,\delta}) = (l_1, l_2) \cdot (h_{\delta(K) \cap \delta(I_2)}g_0)$  for  $l_1 \in L_{\delta(I_2)}, l_2 \in L_{I_2}$ . It is easy to see that  $\pi'$  is well defined and is a locally trivial fibration with fibers isomorphic to an affine space of dimension  $|I_1| - |I_2|$ .

Let  $v \in W_{\delta(I_2)}$ . For  $K \subset J$ , if  $\text{Ad}(uv)\delta(K) = K$ , then  $\text{Ad}(u)\Phi_{\delta(K)} = \text{Ad}(uv^{-1}u^{-1})\Phi_K$ . Since  $uv^{-1}u^{-1} \in W_{I_2}$ , we have that  $\text{Ad}(u)\Phi_{\delta(K)} \subset \Phi_{K \cup I_2}$ . Thus  $\text{Ad}(u)\Phi_{\delta(K \cup I_2)} \subset \Phi_{K \cup I_2}$ . By the maximal property of  $I_2$ ,  $K \cup I_2 \subset I_2$ . Thus  $I_2(J, uv, \delta) \subset I_2$ . Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} G_{diag} \cdot L_u^{(J,w,\delta)} &= G_{diag}(\sqcup_{K \subset I_1} (L_{I_2}, L_{I_2})(\dot{u}, 1) \cdot h_{K,\delta}) \\ &= G_{diag}(\sqcup_{K \subset I_1} \sqcup_{v \in W_{\delta(I_2)} \cap W^{\delta(K)}} (L_{I_2})_{diag}(\dot{u}\dot{v}, B \cap L_{I_2(J,u,\delta)}) \cdot h_{K,\delta}) \\ &= \sqcup_{K \subset I_1} \sqcup_{v \in W_{\delta(I_2)} \cap W^{\delta(K)}} G_{diag}(\dot{u}\dot{v}, B \cap L_{I_2}) \cdot h_{K,\delta} \\ &= \sqcup_{K \subset I_1} \sqcup_{v \in W_{\delta(I_2)}} Z_{J,\delta}^{uv} = X_u^{(J,w,\delta)}. \end{aligned}$$

Assume that  $(g, g)a = b$  for some  $g \in G$  and  $a, b \in L_u^{(J,u,\delta)}$ . Then  $a, b$  are in the same  $G$  orbit. Note that any element in  $L_u^{(J,u,\delta)}$  is conjugate by  $L_{I_2}$  to an element of the form  $(\dot{u}\dot{v}, l)h_{K,\delta}$  with  $v \in W_{\delta(I_2)}$ ,  $K \subset I_1(J, uv, \delta)$  and  $l \in L_{I_2} \cap B$ . Moreover,  $(\dot{u}\dot{v}, L_{I_2} \cap B) \cdot h_{K,\delta} \subset Z_{K,\delta}^{uv}$ . Thus if  $v_1 \neq v_2$  or  $K_1 \neq K_2$ , then for any  $l, l' \in L_{I_2} \cap B$ ,  $(\dot{u}\dot{v}_1, l) \cdot h_{K_1,\delta}$  and  $(\dot{u}\dot{v}_2, l') \cdot h_{K_2,\delta}$  are not in the same  $G$  orbit. Thus  $(g, g)(\dot{u}\dot{v}, l_1) \cdot h_{K,\delta} = (\dot{u}\dot{v}, l_2) \cdot h_{K,\delta}$  for some  $v \in W_{\delta(I_2)}$ ,  $K \subset I_1(J, uv, \delta)$  and  $l_1, l_2 \in L_{I_2} \cap B$ . By 1.12,  $g \in P_{I_2(K, uv, \delta)}$ . Since  $I_2(K, uv, \delta) \subset I_2(J, uv, \delta) \subset I_2$ , we have that  $g \in P_{I_2}$ . By 1.9,  $X_u^{(J,w,\delta)} \cong G \times_{P_{I_2}} ((P_{I_2})_{diag} \cdot L_u^{(J,w,\delta)})$ . Part (2) is proved.

For part (3), it is easy to see that  $(P_{I_2(J,u,\delta)})_{diag} \cdot L_u^{(J,w,\delta)} \subset (B \times B) \cdot L_u^{(J,w,\delta)}$ . On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned} (B \times B) \cdot L_u^{(J,w,\delta)} &= (U_{P_{I_2}}, U_{P_{I_2}})(L_{I_2})_{diag}(\sqcup_{v \in W_{\delta(I_2)}} \sqcup_{K \subset I_2(J, uv, \delta)} (\dot{u}\dot{v}, B) \cdot h_{K,\delta}) \\ &= (L_{I_2})_{diag}(U_{P_{I_2}}, U_{P_{I_2}})(\sqcup_{v \in W_{\delta(I_2)}} \sqcup_{K \subset I_2(J, uv, \delta)} (\dot{u}\dot{v}, B) \cdot h_{K,\delta}). \end{aligned}$$

By 1.12,  $(U_{P_{I_2}}, U_{P_{I_2}})(\dot{u}\dot{v}, B) \cdot h_{K,\delta} = (B \times B)(\dot{u}\dot{v}, 1) \cdot h_{K,\delta} \subset (P_{I_2(K, uv, \delta)})_{diag} \cdot (L_{I_2(K, uv, \delta)}, L_{I_2(K, uv, \delta)})(\dot{u}\dot{v}, 1)h_{K,\delta}$ . We have showed that  $I_2(K, uv, \delta) \subset I_2$ . Hence  $(U_{P_{I_2}}, U_{P_{I_2}})(\dot{u}\dot{v}, B) \cdot h_{K,\delta} \subset (P_{I_2(J, u, \delta)})_{diag} \cdot L_u^{(J,w,\delta)}$ . Therefore,  $(P_{I_2})_{diag} \cdot L_u^{(J,w,\delta)} = (B \times B) \cdot L_u^{(J,w,\delta)}$ .

Consider the morphism  $\pi : (U \cap \dot{w}_0^{I_2} \dot{u} \dot{w}_0 U^-) \times L_u^{(J,w,\delta)} \rightarrow (B \times B) \cdot L_u^{(J,w,\delta)}$  defined by  $\pi(b, l) = (b, 1) \cdot l$  for  $b \in U \cap \dot{w}_0^{I_2} \dot{u} \dot{w}_0 U^-$  and  $l \in L_u^{(J,w,\delta)}$ . By the similar argument as we did in 1.10, we can show that  $\pi$  is an isomorphism.  $\square$

**Corollary 5.6.** *We keep the notation of 5.5. If moreover,  $I_2 = \emptyset$ , then  $X_u^{(J,w,\delta)}$  admits a cellular decomposition.*

Proof. If  $I_2 = \emptyset$ , then  $L_u^{(J,w,\delta)}$  is an affine space. Thus  $X_u^{(J,w,\delta)}$  is isomorphic to  $G \times BC$ , where  $C = (B \times B) \cdot L_u^{(J,w,\delta)}$ . By part (3) of 5.5,  $C$  is an affine space. It is easy to see that  $B$  acts linearly on  $C$ . Therefore  $X_u^{(J,w,\delta)}$  is a vector bundle over  $\mathcal{B}$ . Note that  $\mathcal{B}$  admits a cellular decomposition. By a well-known result (see [Q], [Su] or [VS]),  $X_u^{(J,w,\delta)}$  admits a cellular decomposition.  $\square$

**5.7.** For  $w_1, w_2 \in W_\delta(J, w)$ , we say  $w_2 \leq' w_1$  if there exists  $w_1 = x_0, x_1, \dots, x_n = w_2$ ,  $v_i \in \delta(I_2(J, x_{i+1}, \delta))$  for all  $i$ , such that  $x_{i+1}v_i \geq_{I_1(J, x_i, \delta), \delta} x_i$ .

By 4.5,  $\overline{X_{u_1}^{(J,w,\delta)}} \cap X_{u_2}^{(J,w,\delta)} = \emptyset$  if  $u_2 \not\leq' u_1$ . hence if  $\leq'$  is a partial order on  $W_\delta(J, w)$ , then  $\overline{Z_{J,\delta}^w} = \sqcup_{u \in W_\delta(J, w)} X_u^{(J,w,\delta)}$  is an  $\alpha$ -partition. We will show that  $\leq'$  is a partial order if  $\overline{Z_{J,\delta}^w}$  contains finitely many  $G$ -orbits.

**Lemma 5.8.** *Let  $J \subset I$ ,  $u \in W$ ,  $w \in W^J$  and  $v \in W_J$ . Assume that  $uwv = w'v'$  for some  $w' \in W^J$  and  $v' \in W_J$ . If  $l(uwv) = l(wv) - l(u)$ , then  $w' \leq w$ . If moreover,  $w' = w$ , then  $\text{Ad}(w^{-1})\text{supp}(u) \subset J$ .*

Proof. If  $u = s_i$  for some  $i \in J$  and  $l(s_j wv) = l(wv) - 1$ , then either  $s_i w < w$  and  $s_i w \in W^J$  or  $s_i w = ws_j$  for some  $j \in J$ . It is easy to check that the statement holds in both cases.

The general case can be proved by induction on  $l(u)$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 5.9.** *If  $w_1, w_2 \in W_\delta(J, w)$  with  $w_1 \leq' w_2$  and  $w_2 \leq' w_1$  and  $I_2(J, w_1, \delta) = I_2(J, w_2, \delta) = \emptyset$ , then  $w_1 = w_2$ .*

Proof. We will prove the case: if  $w_1 \geq_{I_1(J, w_2, \delta), \delta} w_2$ ,  $w_2 \geq_{I_1(J, w_1, \delta), \delta} w_1$  and  $I_2(J, w_1, \delta) = \emptyset$ , then  $w_1 = w_2$ . The general case can be proved in the similar way.

We argue by induction on  $|J|$ . Since  $l(w_1) \geq l(w_2)$  and  $l(w_2) \geq l(w_1)$ , we have that  $l(w_1) = l(w_2)$ . Thus  $w_1 = u_2^{-1}w_2\delta(u_2)$  and  $w_2 = u_1^{-1}w_1\delta(u_1)$  for some  $u_1 \in W_{I_1(J, w_1, \delta)}$  and  $u_2 \in W_{I_1(J, w_2, \delta)}$ . By induction hypothesis, it suffices to prove the case when  $J = \text{supp}(u_1) \cup \text{supp}(u_2)$ .

We have that  $w_1 = w'_1\delta(v_1)$  and  $w_2 = w'_2\delta(v_2)$  for some  $w'_1, w'_2 \in W^{\delta(J)}$  and  $v_1, v_2 \in W_J$ . Note that  $w'_1\delta(v_1) = u_2^{-1}w'_2\delta(v_2u_2)$  and  $l(u_2^{-1}w'_2\delta(v_2u_2)) = l(w'_2\delta(v_2u_2)) - l(u_2)$ . By 5.8,  $w'_1 \leq w'_2$ . Similarly  $w'_2 \leq w'_1$ . Therefore  $w'_1 = w'_2$ . By 5.8,  $\text{Ad}(w'_2)^{-1}\text{supp}(u_2) \subset \delta(J)$  and  $\text{Ad}(w'_1)^{-1}\text{supp}(u_1) \subset \delta(J)$ . Therefore  $\text{Ad}(w'_1)^{-1}J \subset \delta(J)$ . Hence  $\text{Ad}(w_1)^{-1}\Phi_J = \Phi_{\delta(J)}$ . Since  $I_2(J, w_1, \delta) = \emptyset$ , we have that  $J = \emptyset$ . Therefore  $w_1 \geq w_2$  and  $w_2 \geq w_1$ . Thus  $w_1 = w_2$ . The case is proved.  $\square$

As a summary, we have the following result.

**Theorem 5.10.** *If  $\overline{Z_{J,\delta}^w}$  contains only finitely many  $G$ -orbits, then it has a cellular decomposition.*

Proof. If  $\overline{Z_{J,\delta}^w}$  contains only finitely many  $G$ -orbits, then  $I_2(J, u, \delta) = \emptyset$  for  $u \geq_{J,\delta} w$ . In this case,  $W_\delta(J, w) = \{u \in W \mid u \geq_{J,\delta} w\}$ . We have that

$$\overline{Z_{J,\delta}^w} = \sqcup_{u \in W_\delta(J,\delta)} X_u^{(J,w,\delta)}.$$

By 5.7 and 5.9, the partition is an  $\alpha$ -partition. Thus by 5.6,  $\overline{Z_{J,\delta}^w}$  has a cellular decomposition.  $\square$

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS.

We thank George Lusztig for suggesting the problem and for many helpful discussions. We thank T. A. Springer and David Vogan for some useful comments. We also thank Jiang-hua Lu for point out a mistake in the previous version and suggested the reference [SL].

#### REFERENCES

- [DP] C. De Concini and C. Procesi, *Complete symmetric varieties*, Invariant theory (Montecatini 1982), Lect. Notes Math., vol. 996, Springer, 1983, pp. 1-44.
- [H] X. He, *Unipotent variety in the group compactification*, Adv. in Math., in press.
- [L1] G. Lusztig, *Total positivity in reductive groups*, Lie Theory and Geometry: in honor of Bertram Kostant, Progress in Math. **123** (1994), Birkhäuser, Boston, 531-568.
- [L2] G. Lusztig, *Hecke algebras with unequal parameters*, CRM Monograph Series, 18, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2003.
- [L3] G. Lusztig, *Parabolic character sheaves I*, Moscow Math.J **4** (2004), 153-179.
- [L4] G. Lusztig, *Parabolic character sheaves II*, Moscow Math.J **4** (2004), 869-896.
- [Q] D. Quillen, *Projective modules over polynomial rings*, Invent. Math. **36** (1976), 167-171.
- [S] T. A. Springer, *Intersection cohomology of  $B \times B$ -orbits closures in group compactifications*, J. Alg. **258** (2002), 71-111.
- [SL] P. Slodowy, *Simple singularities and simple algebraic groups*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, 815, Springer, Berlin, 1980.
- [Su] A. A. Suslin, *Projective modules over polynomial rings are free (Russian)*, Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR **229** (1976), no. 5, 1063-1066.
- [VS] L. N. Vaserstein and A. A. Suslin, *Serre's problem on projective modules over polynomial rings, and algebraic K-theory (Russian)*, Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR Ser. Mat. **40** (1976), no. 5, 993-1054, 1199.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, M.I.T., CAMBRIDGE, MA 02139  
*E-mail address:* xuhua@mit.edu, hugo@math.mit.edu