

# TWO-SIDED CELLS IN TYPE $B$ (ASYMPTOTIC CASE)

CÉDRIC BONNAFÉ

**ABSTRACT.** We compute two-sided cells of Weyl groups of type  $B$  for the “asymptotic” choice of parameters. We also obtain some partial results concerning Lusztig’s conjectures in this particular case.

Let  $W_n$  be a Weyl group of type  $B_n$ . The present paper is a continuation of the work done by L. Iancu and the author [3] concerning Kazhdan-Lusztig theory of  $W_n$  for the asymptotic choice of parameters [3, §6]. To each element  $w \in W_n$  is associated a pair of standard bi-tableaux  $(P(w), Q(w))$  (see [19] or [3, §3]): this can be viewed as a Robinson-Schensted type correspondence. Our main result [3, Theorem 7.7] was the complete determination of the left cells: two elements  $w$  and  $w'$  are in the same left cell if and only if  $Q(w) = Q(w')$ . For the corresponding result for the symmetric group, see [12] and [1]. We have also computed the character afforded by a left cell representation [3, Proposition 7.11] (this character is irreducible).

In this paper, we are concerned with the computation of the two-sided cells. Let us state the result here. If  $w \in W_n$ , write  $Q(w) = (Q^+(w), Q^-(w))$  and denote by  $\lambda^+(w)$  and  $\lambda^-(w)$  the shape of  $Q^+(w)$  and  $Q^-(w)$  respectively. Note that  $(\lambda^+(w), \lambda^-(w))$  is a bipartition of  $n$ .

**Theorem (see 3.9).** *For the choice of parameters as in [3, §6], two elements  $w$  and  $w'$  are in the same two-sided cell if and only if  $(\lambda^+(w), \lambda^-(w)) = (\lambda^+(w'), \lambda^-(w'))$ .*

Lusztig [17, Chapter 14] has proposed fifteen conjectures on Kazhdan-Lusztig theory of Hecke algebras with unequal parameters. In the asymptotic case, Geck and Iancu [9] use some of our results, namely some informations on the preorder  $\leq_{\mathcal{LR}}$  (see Theorem 3.5 and Proposition 4.2), to compute the function  $\mathbf{a}$  and to prove Lusztig’s conjectures  $P_i$ , for  $i \in \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 11, 12, 13, 14\}$ . On the other hand, Geck [8] has shown that Lusztig’s conjectures  $P_9$  and  $P_{10}$  hold. More precisely, he proved that the Kazhdan-Lusztig basis is *cellular* (in the sense of [11]). He also proved a slightly weaker version of  $P_{15}$  (but his version is sufficient for constructing the homomorphism from the Hecke algebra to the asymptotic algebra  $J$ ).

The present paper is organized as follows. In Section 1, we study some consequences of Lusztig’s conjectures on the multiplication by  $T_{w_0}$ , where  $w_0$  is the longest element of

---

*Date:* January 4, 2018.

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* According to the 2000 classification: Primary 20C08; Secondary 20C15.

a finite Weyl group. From Section 2 to the end of the paper, we assume that the Weyl group is of type  $B_n$  and that the choice of parameters is done as in [3, §6]. In Section 2, we establish some preliminary results concerning the Kazhdan-Lusztig basis. In Section 3, we prove the above theorem by introducing a new basis of the Hecke algebra: this was inspired by the work of Geck on the induction of Kazhdan-Lusztig cells [7]. Section 4 contains some results related to Lusztig's conjectures. In Section 5, we determine which specializations of the parameters preserve the Kazhdan-Lusztig basis.

## 1. GENERALITIES

**1.A. Notation.** We slightly modify the notation used in [3, §5]. Let  $(W, S)$  be a Coxeter group with  $|S| < \infty$ . We denote by  $\ell : W \rightarrow \mathbb{N} = \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$  the length function relative to  $S$ . If  $W$  is finite,  $w_0$  denotes its longest element. Let  $\leq$  denote the Bruhat ordering on  $W$ . If  $I \subset S$ , we denote by  $W_I$  the standard parabolic subgroup of  $W$  generated by  $I$ .

Let  $\Gamma$  be a totally ordered abelian group which will be denoted additively. The order on  $\Gamma$  will be denoted by  $\leq$ . If  $\gamma_0 \in \Gamma$ , we set

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma_{<\gamma_0} &= \{\gamma \in \Gamma \mid \gamma < \gamma_0\}, & \Gamma_{\leq \gamma_0} &= \{\gamma \in \Gamma \mid \gamma \leq \gamma_0\}, \\ \Gamma_{>\gamma_0} &= \{\gamma \in \Gamma \mid \gamma > \gamma_0\} & \text{and} & \quad \Gamma_{\geq \gamma_0} = \{\gamma \in \Gamma \mid \gamma \geq \gamma_0\}. \end{aligned}$$

Let  $A$  be the group algebra of  $\Gamma$  over  $\mathbb{Z}$ . It will be denoted exponentially: as a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -module, it is free with basis  $(v^\gamma)_{\gamma \in \Gamma}$  and the multiplication rule is given by  $v^\gamma v^{\gamma'} = v^{\gamma+\gamma'}$  for all  $\gamma, \gamma' \in \Gamma$ . If  $a \in A$ , we denote by  $a_\gamma$  the coefficient of  $a$  on  $v^\gamma$ , so that  $a = \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} a_\gamma v^\gamma$ . If  $a \neq 0$ , we define the *degree* and the *valuation* of  $a$  (which we denote respectively by  $\deg a$  and  $\text{val } a$ ) as the elements of  $\Gamma$  equal to

$$\deg a = \max\{\gamma \mid a_\gamma \neq 0\}$$

$$\text{and} \quad \text{val } a = \min\{\gamma \mid a_\gamma \neq 0\}.$$

By convention, we set  $\deg 0 = -\infty$  and  $\text{val } 0 = +\infty$ . So  $\deg : A \rightarrow \Gamma \cup \{-\infty\}$  and  $\text{val} : A \rightarrow \Gamma \cup \{+\infty\}$  satisfy  $\deg ab = \deg a + \deg b$  and  $\text{val } ab = \text{val } a + \text{val } b$  for all  $a, b \in A$ . We denote by  $A \rightarrow A$ ,  $a \mapsto \bar{a}$  the automorphism of  $A$  induced by the automorphism of  $\Gamma$  sending  $\gamma$  to  $-\gamma$ . Note that  $\deg a = -\text{val } \bar{a}$ . If  $\gamma_0 \in \Gamma$ , we set

$$\begin{aligned} A_{<\gamma_0} &= \bigoplus_{\gamma < \gamma_0} \mathbb{Z}v^\gamma, & A_{\leq \gamma_0} &= \bigoplus_{\gamma \leq \gamma_0} \mathbb{Z}v^\gamma, \\ A_{>\gamma_0} &= \bigoplus_{\gamma > \gamma_0} \mathbb{Z}v^\gamma & \text{and} & \quad A_{\geq \gamma_0} = \bigoplus_{\gamma \geq \gamma_0} \mathbb{Z}v^\gamma. \end{aligned}$$

We fix a *weight function*  $L : W \rightarrow \Gamma$ , that is a function satisfying  $L(ww') = L(w) + L(w')$  whenever  $\ell(ww') = \ell(w) + \ell(w')$ . We also assume that  $L(s) > 0$  for every  $s \in S$ . We denote by  $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}(W, S, L)$  the *Hecke algebra* of  $W$  associated to the weight function  $L$ . It is the

associative  $A$ -algebra with  $A$ -basis  $(T_w)_{w \in W}$  indexed by  $W$  and whose multiplication is determined by the following two conditions:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{(a)} \quad & T_w T_{w'} = T_{ww'} && \text{if } \ell(ww') = \ell(w) + \ell(w') \\ \text{(b)} \quad & T_s^2 = 1 + (v^{L(s)} - v^{-L(s)})T_s && \text{if } s \in S. \end{aligned}$$

It is easily seen from the above relations that  $(T_s)_{s \in S}$  generates the  $A$ -algebra  $\mathcal{H}$  and that  $T_w$  is invertible for every  $w \in W$ . If  $h = \sum_{w \in W} a_w T_w \in \mathcal{H}$ , we set  $\bar{h} = \sum_{w \in W} \bar{a}_w T_w^{-1}$ . Then the map  $\mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ ,  $h \mapsto \bar{h}$  is a semi-linear involutive automorphism of  $\mathcal{H}$ . If  $I \subset S$ , we denote by  $\mathcal{H}(W_I)$  the sub- $A$ -algebra of  $\mathcal{H}$  generated by  $(T_s)_{s \in I}$ .

Let  $w \in W$ . By [17, Theorem 5.2], there exists a unique element  $C_w \in \mathcal{H}$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} \text{(a)} \quad & C_w = \bar{C}_w \\ \text{(b)} \quad & C_w \in T_w + \left( \bigoplus_{y \in W} A_{<0} T_y \right). \end{aligned}$$

Write  $C_w = \sum_{y \in W} p_{y,w}^* T_y$  with  $p_{y,w}^* \in A$ . Then [17, 5.3]

$$\begin{aligned} p_{w,w}^* &= 1 \\ p_{y,w}^* &= 0 && \text{if } y \not\leq w. \end{aligned}$$

In particular,  $(C_w)_{w \in W}$  is an  $A$ -basis of  $\mathcal{H}$ : it is called the *Kazhdan-Lusztig basis* of  $\mathcal{H}$ . Write now  $p_{y,w} = v^{L(w)-L(y)} p_{y,w}^*$ . Then

$$p_{y,w} \in A_{\geq 0}$$

and the coefficient of  $p_{y,w}$  on  $v^0$  is equal to 1 (see [17, Proposition 5.4 (a)]).

We define the relations  $\leq_{\mathcal{L}}$ ,  $\leq_{\mathcal{R}}$ ,  $\leq_{\mathcal{LR}}$ ,  $\sim_{\mathcal{L}}$ ,  $\sim_{\mathcal{R}}$  and  $\sim_{\mathcal{LR}}$  as in [17, §8].

**1.B. The function  $\mathbf{a}$ .** Let  $x, y \in W$ . Write

$$C_x C_y = \sum_{z \in W} h_{x,y,z} C_z,$$

where  $h_{x,y,z} \in A$  for  $z \in W$ . Of course, we have

$$(1.1) \quad \overline{h_{x,y,z}} = h_{x,y,z}.$$

The following lemma is well-known [17, Lemma 10.4 (c) and formulas 13.1 (a) et (b)].

**Lemma 1.2.** *Let  $x, y$  and  $z$  be three elements of  $W$ . Then*

$$\deg h_{x,y,z} \leq \min(L(x), L(y)).$$

**Conjecture  $P_0$  (Lusztig):** *There exists  $N \in \Gamma$  such that  $\deg h_{x,y,z} \leq N$  for all  $x, y$  and  $z$  in  $W$ .*

If  $W$  is finite, then  $W$  satisfies obviously  $P_0$ . If  $W$  is an affine Weyl group, then it also satisfies  $P_0$  [16, 7.2]. From now on, we assume that  $W$  satisfies  $P_0$ , so that the next definition is valid. If  $z \in W$ , we set

$$\mathbf{a}(z) = \max_{x,y \in W} \deg h_{x,y,z}.$$

Since  $h_{1,z,z} = 1$ , we have  $\mathbf{a}(z) \in \Gamma_{\geq 0}$ . If necessary, we will write  $\mathbf{a}_W(z)$  for  $\mathbf{a}(z)$ . We denote by  $\gamma_{x,y,z^{-1}} \in \mathbb{Z}$  the coefficient of  $v^{\mathbf{a}(z)}$  in  $h_{x,y,z}$ . The next proposition shows how the function  $\mathbf{a}$  can be calculated by using different bases.

**Proposition 1.3.** *Let  $(X_w)_{w \in W}$  and  $(Y_w)_{w \in W}$  be two families of elements of  $\mathcal{H}$  such that, for every  $w \in W$ ,  $X_w - T_w$  and  $Y_w - T_w$  belong to  $\oplus_{y < w} A_{< 0} T_y$ . For all  $x$  and  $y$  in  $W$ , write*

$$X_x Y_y = \sum_{z \in W} \xi_{x,y,z} C_z.$$

Then, if  $x, y, z \in W$ , we have:

- (a)  $\deg \xi_{x,y,z} \leq \min\{L(x), L(y)\}$ .
- (b)  $\xi_{x,y,z} \in \gamma_{x,y,z^{-1}} v^{\mathbf{a}(z)} + A_{< \mathbf{a}(z)}$ .

In particular,

$$\mathbf{a}(z) = \max_{x,y \in W} \deg \xi_{x,y,z}.$$

PROOF - Clear. ■

1.C. **Lusztig's conjectures.** Let  $\tau : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow A$  be the  $A$ -linear map such that  $\tau(T_w) = \delta_{1,w}$  if  $w \in W$ . It is the canonical symmetrizing form on  $\mathcal{H}$  (recall that  $\tau(T_x T_y) = \delta_{xy,1}$ ). If  $z \in W$ , let

$$\Delta(z) = -\deg p_{1,z}^* = -\deg \tau(C_z).$$

Let  $n_z$  be the coefficient of  $p_{1,z}^*$  on  $v^{-\Delta(z)}$ . Finally, let

$$\mathcal{D} = \{z \in W \mid \mathbf{a}(z) = \Delta(z)\}.$$

**Conjectures (Lusztig):** *With the above notation, we have:*

- P<sub>1</sub>.** *If  $z \in W$ , then  $\mathbf{a}(z) \leq \Delta(z)$ .*
- P<sub>2</sub>.** *If  $d \in \mathcal{D}$  and if  $x, y \in W$  satisfy  $\gamma_{x,y,d} \neq 0$ , then  $x = y^{-1}$ .*
- P<sub>3</sub>.** *If  $y \in W$ , then there exists a unique  $d \in \mathcal{D}$  such that  $\gamma_{y^{-1},y,d} \neq 0$ .*
- P<sub>4</sub>.** *If  $z' \leq_{\mathcal{LR}} z$ , then  $\mathbf{a}(z) \leq \mathbf{a}(z')$ . Therefore, if  $z \sim_{\mathcal{LR}} z'$ , then  $\mathbf{a}(z) = \mathbf{a}(z')$ .*
- P<sub>5</sub>.** *If  $d \in \mathcal{D}$  and  $y \in W$  satisfy  $\gamma_{y^{-1},y,d} \neq 0$ , then  $\gamma_{y^{-1},y,d} = n_d = \pm 1$ .*
- P<sub>6</sub>.** *If  $d \in \mathcal{D}$ , then  $d^2 = 1$ .*
- P<sub>7</sub>.** *If  $x, y, z \in W$ , then  $\gamma_{x,y,z} = \gamma_{y,z,x}$ .*
- P<sub>8</sub>.** *If  $x, y, z \in W$  satisfy  $\gamma_{x,y,z} \neq 0$ , then  $x \sim_{\mathcal{L}} y^{-1}$ ,  $y \sim_{\mathcal{L}} z^{-1}$  and  $z \sim_{\mathcal{L}} x^{-1}$ .*
- P<sub>9</sub>.** *If  $z' \leq_{\mathcal{L}} z$  and  $\mathbf{a}(z') = \mathbf{a}(z)$ , then  $z' \sim_{\mathcal{L}} z$ .*
- P<sub>10</sub>.** *If  $z' \leq_{\mathcal{R}} z$  and  $\mathbf{a}(z') = \mathbf{a}(z)$ , then  $z' \sim_{\mathcal{R}} z$ .*
- P<sub>11</sub>.** *If  $z' \leq_{\mathcal{LR}} z$  and  $\mathbf{a}(z') = \mathbf{a}(z)$ , then  $z' \sim_{\mathcal{LR}} z$ .*
- P<sub>12</sub>.** *If  $I \subset S$  and  $z \in W_I$ , then  $\mathbf{a}_{W_I}(z) = \mathbf{a}_W(z)$ .*
- P<sub>13</sub>.** *Every left cell  $\mathcal{C}$  of  $W$  contains a unique element  $d \in \mathcal{D}$ . If  $y \in \mathcal{C}$ , then  $\gamma_{y^{-1},y,d} \neq 0$ .*
- P<sub>14</sub>.** *If  $z \in W$ , then  $z \sim_{\mathcal{LR}} z^{-1}$ .*

**$P_{15}$ .** If  $x, x', y, w \in W$  are such that  $\mathbf{a}(y) = \mathbf{a}(w)$ , then

$$\sum_{y' \in W} h_{w,x',y'} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} h_{x,y',y} = \sum_{y' \in W} h_{y',x',y} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} h_{x,w,y'}$$

in  $A \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} A$ .

Lusztig has shown that these conjectures hold if  $W$  is a finite or affine Weyl group and  $L = \ell$  [17, §15], if  $W$  is dihedral and  $L$  is any weight function [17, §17] and if  $(W, L)$  is quasi-split [17, §16].

**1.D. Lusztig's conjectures and multiplication by  $T_{w_0}$ .** We assume in this subsection that  $W$  is finite. We are interested here in certain properties of the multiplication by  $T_{w_0}^n$  for  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Some of them are partially known [14, Lemma 1.11 and Remark 1.12]. If  $y \in W$  and  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ , we set

$$T_{w_0}^n C_y = \sum_{x \in W} \lambda_{x,y}^{(n)} C_x.$$

Note that  $\lambda_{x,y}^{(n)} = 0$  if  $x \not\leq_{\mathcal{L}} y$ .

**Proposition 1.4.** Assume that  $W$  is finite and satisfies Lusztig's conjectures  $P_1$ ,  $P_4$  and  $P_8$ . Let  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$  and let  $x$  and  $y$  be two elements of  $W$  such that  $x \leq_{\mathcal{L}} y$ . Then:

- (a) If  $n \geq 0$ , then  $\deg \lambda_{x,y}^{(n)} \leq n(\mathbf{a}(x) - \mathbf{a}(w_0x))$ . If moreover  $x <_{\mathcal{L}} y$ , then  $\deg \lambda_{x,y}^{(n)} < n(\mathbf{a}(x) - \mathbf{a}(w_0x))$ .
- (b) If  $n \leq 0$ , then  $\deg \lambda_{x,y}^{(n)} \leq n(\mathbf{a}(y) - \mathbf{a}(w_0y))$ . If moreover  $x <_{\mathcal{L}} y$ , then  $\deg \lambda_{x,y}^{(n)} < n(\mathbf{a}(y) - \mathbf{a}(w_0y))$ .
- (c) If  $n$  is even and if  $x \sim_{\mathcal{L}} y$ , then  $\lambda_{x,y}^{(n)} = \delta_{x,y} v^{n(\mathbf{a}(x) - \mathbf{a}(w_0x))}$ .

PROOF - If  $n = 0$ , then (a), (b) and (c) are easily checked. Let us now prove (a) and (b). By [17, Proposition 11.4],

$$T_{w_0} = \sum_{u \in W} (-1)^{\ell(w_0u)} p_{1,w_0u}^* C_u.$$

Consequently,

$$\lambda_{x,y}^{(1)} = \sum_{\substack{u \in W \\ x \leq_{\mathcal{R}} u}} (-1)^{\ell(w_0u)} p_{1,w_0u}^* h_{u,y,x}.$$

But, by  $P_1$ , we have  $\deg p_{1,w_0u}^* \leq -\mathbf{a}(w_0u)$ . If moreover  $x \leq_{\mathcal{R}} u$ , then  $w_0u \leq_{\mathcal{R}} w_0x$  and so  $-\mathbf{a}(w_0x) \geq -\mathbf{a}(w_0u)$  by  $P_4$ . Therefore

$$\deg \lambda_{x,y}^{(1)} \leq \mathbf{a}(x) - \mathbf{a}(w_0x).$$

On the other hand, if  $\deg \lambda_{x,y}^{(1)} = \mathbf{a}(x) - \mathbf{a}(w_0x)$ , then there exists  $u \in W$  such that  $x \leq_{\mathcal{R}} u$  and  $\deg h_{u,y,x} = \mathbf{a}(x)$ . So, by  $P_8$ , we get that  $x \sim_{\mathcal{L}} y$ . This shows (a) for  $n = 1$ .

Now, let  $\nu : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$  denote the  $A$ -linear map such that  $\nu(C_w) = v^{\mathbf{a}(w_0w) - \mathbf{a}(w)} C_w$  for all  $w \in W$  and let  $\mu : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ ,  $h \mapsto T_{w_0}h$ . Then, if  $w \in W$ , we have

$$\nu\mu(C_y) = \sum_{u \leqslant_{\mathcal{L}} y} v^{\mathbf{a}(w_0u) - \mathbf{a}(u)} \lambda_{u,y}^{(1)} C_u.$$

So, by the previous discussion, we have  $v^{\mathbf{a}(w_0u) - \mathbf{a}(u)} \lambda_{u,y}^{(1)} \in A_{\leqslant 0}$ . Moreover, if  $u <_{\mathcal{L}} y$ , then  $v^{\mathbf{a}(w_0u) - \mathbf{a}(u)} \lambda_{u,y}^{(1)} \in A_{<0}$ . On the other hand,  $\det \mu = \pm 1$  and  $\det \nu = 1$ . Therefore, if we write

$$\mu^{-1}\nu^{-1}(C_y) = \sum_{u \leqslant_{\mathcal{L}} y} \beta_{u,y} C_u,$$

then  $\beta_{u,y} \in A_{\leqslant 0}$  and, if  $u <_{\mathcal{L}} y$ , then  $\beta_{u,y} \in A_{<0}$ . Finally,

$$\begin{aligned} T_{w_0}^{-1}C_y &= \mu^{-1}(C_y) \\ &= \mu^{-1}\nu^{-1}\nu(C_y) \\ &= v^{\mathbf{a}(w_0y) - \mathbf{a}(y)} \mu^{-1}\nu^{-1}(C_y) \\ &= \sum_{u \leqslant_{\mathcal{L}} y} v^{\mathbf{a}(w_0y) - \mathbf{a}(y)} \beta_{u,y} C_u. \end{aligned}$$

In other words,  $\lambda_{x,y}^{(-1)} = v^{\mathbf{a}(w_0y) - \mathbf{a}(y)} \beta_{x,y}$ . This shows that (b) holds if  $n = -1$ . An elementary induction argument using  $P_4$  shows that (a) and (b) hold in full generality.

Let us now prove (c). Let  $K$  be the field of fraction of  $A$ . Let  $C$  be a left cell of  $W$  and let  $c \in C$ . We set

$$\mathcal{H}^{\leqslant_{\mathcal{L}} C} = \bigoplus_{w \leqslant_{\mathcal{L}} c} AC_w \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{H}^{<_{\mathcal{L}} C} = \bigoplus_{w <_{\mathcal{L}} c} AC_w.$$

Then  $\mathcal{H}^{\leqslant_{\mathcal{L}} C}$  and  $\mathcal{H}^{<_{\mathcal{L}} C}$  are left ideals of  $\mathcal{H}$ . The algebra  $K\mathcal{H} = K \otimes_A \mathcal{H}$  being semisimple, there exists a left ideal  $I_C$  of  $K\mathcal{H}$  such that  $K\mathcal{H}^{\leqslant_{\mathcal{L}} C} = K\mathcal{H}^{<_{\mathcal{L}} C} \oplus I_C$ .

We need to prove that, for all  $h \in I_C$ ,

$$T_{w_0}^n h = v^{n(\mathbf{a}(c) - \mathbf{a}(w_0c))} h.$$

For this, we may, and we will, assume that  $n > 0$ . Let  $V_1^C, V_2^C, \dots, V_{n_C}^C$  be irreducible sub- $K\mathcal{H} \otimes_A K$ -modules of  $I_C$  such that

$$I_C = V_1^C \oplus \dots \oplus V_{n_C}^C.$$

Let  $j \in \{1, 2, \dots, n_C\}$ . Since  $T_{w_0}^n$  is central and invertible in  $\mathcal{H}$ , there exists  $\varepsilon \in \{1, -1\}$  and  $i_j^C \in \Gamma$  such that

$$T_{w_0}^n h = \varepsilon v^{i_j^C} h$$

for every  $h \in V_j^C$ . By specializing  $v^\gamma \mapsto 1$ , we get that  $\varepsilon = 1$ . Moreover, by (a) and (b),  $i_j^C \leqslant n(\mathbf{a}(c) - \mathbf{a}(w_0c))$ . On the other hand, since  $\det \mu = \pm 1$ , we have  $\det \mu^n = 1$ . But

$\det \mu^n = v^r$ , where

$$\begin{aligned}
r &= \sum_{C \in \mathcal{LC}(W)} \sum_{j=1}^{n_C} i_j^C \dim V_j^C \\
&\leq n \sum_{C \in \mathcal{LC}(W)} (\mathbf{a}(C) - \mathbf{a}(w_0 C)) \sum_{j=1}^{n_C} \dim V_j^C \\
&= n \sum_{C \in \mathcal{LC}(W)} (\mathbf{a}(C) - \mathbf{a}(w_0 C)) |C| \\
&= n \sum_{w \in W} (\mathbf{a}(w) - \mathbf{a}(w_0 w)) \\
&= 0.
\end{aligned}$$

Here,  $\mathcal{LC}(W)$  denotes the set of left cells in  $W$  and, if  $C \in \mathcal{LC}(W)$ ,  $\mathbf{a}(C)$  denotes the value of  $\mathbf{a}$  on  $C$  (according to  $P_4$ ). The fact that  $r = 0$  forces the equality  $i_j^C = n(\mathbf{a}(C) - \mathbf{a}(w_0 C))$  for every left cell  $C$  and every  $j \in \{1, 2, \dots, n_C\}$ . ■

REMARK 1.5 - Assume here that  $w_0$  is central in  $W$  and keep the notation of the proof of Proposition 1.4 (c). Let  $j \in \{1, 2, \dots, n_C\}$ . Then there exists  $\varepsilon_j(C) \in \{1, -1\}$  et  $e_j(C) \in \Gamma$  such that  $T_{w_0} h = \varepsilon_j(C) v^{e_j(C)} h$  for every  $h \in V_j^C$ .

**Question:** Let  $j, j' \in \{1, 2, \dots, n_C\}$ . Does  $\varepsilon_j(C) = \varepsilon_{j'}(C)$  ?

A positive answer to this question would allow to generalize Proposition 1.4 (c) to the case where  $w_0^n$  is central. □

**Corollary 1.6.** Assume that  $W$  is finite and satisfies Lusztig's conjectures  $P_1, P_2, P_4, P_8, P_9$  and  $P_{13}$ . Let  $w \in W$  and let  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then  $\deg \tau(T_{w_0}^{-n} C_w) \leq -\mathbf{a}(w) + n(\mathbf{a}(w_0 w) - \mathbf{a}(w))$ . Moreover,  $\deg \tau(T_{w_0}^{-n} C_w) = -\mathbf{a}(w) + n(\mathbf{a}(w_0 w) - \mathbf{a}(w))$  if and only if  $w_0^n w^{-1} \in \mathcal{D}$ .

PROOF - Assume first that  $n$  is even. In particular,  $w_0^n = 1$ . By Proposition 1.4, we have

$$\tau(T_{w_0}^{-n} C_w) = v^{n(\mathbf{a}(w_0 w) - \mathbf{a}(w))} \tau(C_w) + \sum_{x <_{\mathcal{L}} w} \lambda_{x,w}^{(-n)} \tau(C_x).$$

But, if  $x <_{\mathcal{L}} w$ , then  $\deg \tau(C_x) = -\Delta(x) \leq -\mathbf{a}(x) \leq -\mathbf{a}(w)$  by  $P_1$  and  $P_4$ . So, by Proposition 1.4 (b), we have that  $\deg \lambda_{x,w}^{(-n)} \tau(C_x) < -\mathbf{a}(w) + n(\mathbf{a}(w_0 w) - \mathbf{a}(w))$ . Moreover, again by  $P_1$ , we have  $\deg \tau(C_w) = -\Delta(w) \leq -\mathbf{a}(w)$ . This shows that  $\deg \tau(T_{w_0}^{-n} C_w) \leq -\mathbf{a}(w) + n(\mathbf{a}(w_0 w) - \mathbf{a}(w))$  and that equality holds if and only if  $\Delta(w) = \mathbf{a}(w)$ , that is, if and only if  $w \in \mathcal{D}$ , as desired.

Assume now that  $n = 2k + 1$  for some natural number  $k$ . Recall that, by [17, Proposition 11.4],  $T_{w_0} = \sum_{u \in W} (-1)^{\ell(w_0 u)} p_{1, w_0 u}^* C_u$ . Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} T_{w_0}^{-n} C_w &= \sum_{u \in W} (-1)^{\ell(w_0 u)} p_{1, w_0 u}^* T_{w_0}^{-n-1} C_u C_w \\ &= \sum_{\substack{u, x \in W \\ x \leq_{\mathcal{L}} w \text{ and } x \leq_{\mathcal{R}} u}} (-1)^{\ell(w_0 u)} p_{1, w_0 u}^* h_{u, w, x} T_{w_0}^{-n-1} C_x. \end{aligned}$$

This implies that

$$\tau(T_{w_0}^{-n} C_w) = \sum_{\substack{u, x \in W \\ x \leq_{\mathcal{L}} w \text{ and } x \leq_{\mathcal{R}} u}} (-1)^{\ell(w_0 u)} p_{1, w_0 u}^* h_{u, w, x} \tau(T_{w_0}^{-n-1} C_x),$$

$$\text{so} \quad \deg \tau(T_{w_0}^{-n} C_w) \leq \max_{\substack{u, x \in W \\ x \leq_{\mathcal{L}} w \text{ and } x \leq_{\mathcal{R}} u}} \deg(p_{1, w_0 u}^* h_{u, w, x} \tau(T_{w_0}^{-n-1} C_x)).$$

Let  $u$  and  $x$  be two elements of  $W$  such that  $x \leq_{\mathcal{L}} w$  and  $x \leq_{\mathcal{R}} u$ . Since  $n + 1$  is even and by the previous discussion, we have

$$\deg \tau(T_{w_0}^{-n-1} C_x) \leq -\mathbf{a}(x) + (n + 1)(\mathbf{a}(w_0 x) - \mathbf{a}(x)).$$

By  $P_1$  and  $P_4$ ,  $\deg p_{1, w_0 u}^* \leq -\mathbf{a}(w_0 u) \leq -\mathbf{a}(w_0 x)$ . Moreover,  $\deg h_{u, w, x} \leq \mathbf{a}(x)$ . Consequently,

$$\begin{aligned} \deg(p_{1, w_0 u}^* h_{u, w, x} \tau(T_{w_0}^{-n-1} C_x)) &\leq -\mathbf{a}(x) + n(\mathbf{a}(w_0 x) - \mathbf{a}(x)) \\ &\leq -\mathbf{a}(w) + n(\mathbf{a}(w_0 w) - \mathbf{a}(w)). \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, equality holds if and only if  $w_0 u \in \mathcal{D}$ ,  $\deg h_{u, w, x} = \mathbf{a}(x) = \mathbf{a}(w)$  and  $x \in \mathcal{D}$ .

We first deduce that

$$\deg \tau(T_{w_0}^{-n} C_w) \leq -\mathbf{a}(w) + n(\mathbf{a}(w_0 w) - \mathbf{a}(w))$$

which is the first assertion of the proposition.

Assume now that  $\deg \tau(T_{w_0}^{-n} C_w) = -\mathbf{a}(w) + n(\mathbf{a}(w_0 w) - \mathbf{a}(w))$ . Then there exists  $u$  and  $x$  in  $W$  such that  $x \leq_{\mathcal{L}} w$ ,  $x \leq_{\mathcal{R}} u$ ,  $w_0 u \in \mathcal{D}$ ,  $\deg h_{u, w, x} = \mathbf{a}(x) = \mathbf{a}(w)$  and  $x \in \mathcal{D}$ . Since  $\deg h_{u, w, x} = \mathbf{a}(x)$  and  $x \in \mathcal{D}$ , we deduce from  $P_2$  that  $w = u^{-1}$ , which shows that  $w_0 w^{-1} \in \mathcal{D}$ .


Conversely, assume that  $w_0 w^{-1}$  belongs to  $\mathcal{D}$ . To show that  $\deg \tau(T_{w_0}^{-n} C_w) = -\mathbf{a}(w) + n(\mathbf{a}(w_0 w) - \mathbf{a}(w))$ , it is sufficient to show that there is a unique pair  $(u, x)$  of elements of  $W$  such that  $x \leq_{\mathcal{L}} w$ ,  $x \leq_{\mathcal{R}} u$ ,  $w_0 u \in \mathcal{D}$ ,  $\deg h_{u, w, x} = \mathbf{a}(x) = \mathbf{a}(w)$  and  $x \in \mathcal{D}$ . The existence follows from  $P_{13}$  (take  $u = w^{-1}$  and  $x$  be the unique element of  $\mathcal{D}$  belonging to the left cell containing  $w$ ). Let us now show unicity. Let  $(u, x)$  be such a pair. Since  $\deg h_{u, w, x} = \mathbf{a}(x)$  and  $x \in \mathcal{D}$ , we deduce from  $P_2$  that  $u = w^{-1}$ . Moreover, since  $\mathbf{a}(x) = \mathbf{a}(w)$  and  $x \leq_{\mathcal{L}} w$ , we have  $x \sim_{\mathcal{L}} w$  by  $P_9$ . But, by  $P_{13}$ ,  $x$  is the unique element of  $\mathcal{D}$  belonging to the left cell containing  $w$ . ■



2. PRELIMINARIES ON TYPE  $B$  (ASYMPTOTIC CASE)

From now on, we are working under the following hypothesis.

**Hypothesis and notation:** We assume now that  $W = W_n$  is of type  $B_n$ ,  $n \geq 1$ . We write  $S = S_n = \{t, s_1, \dots, s_{n-1}\}$  as in [3, §2.1]: the Dynkin diagram of  $W_n$  is given by



We also assume that  $\Gamma = \mathbb{Z}^2$  and that  $\Gamma$  is ordered lexicographically:

$$(a, b) \leq (a', b') \iff a < a' \text{ or } (a = a' \text{ and } b \leq b').$$

We set  $V = v^{(1,0)}$  and  $v = v^{(0,1)}$  so that  $A = \mathbb{Z}[V, V^{-1}, v, v^{-1}]$  is the Laurent polynomial ring in two algebraically independent indeterminates  $V$  and  $v$ . If  $w \in W_n$ , we denote by  $\ell_t(w)$  the number of occurrences of  $t$  in a reduced expression of  $w$ . We set  $\ell_s(w) = \ell(w) - \ell_t(w)$ . Then  $\ell_s$  and  $\ell_t$  are weight functions and we assume that  $L = L_n : W_n \rightarrow \Gamma$ ,  $w \mapsto (\ell_t(w), \ell_s(w))$ . So  $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}_n = \mathcal{H}(W_n, S_n, L_n)$ . We denote by  $\mathfrak{S}_n$  the subgroup of  $W$  generated by  $\{s_1, \dots, s_{n-1}\}$ : it is isomorphic to the symmetric group of degree  $n$ .

We now recall some notation from [3, §2.1 and 4.1]. Let  $r_1 = t_1 = t$  and, if  $1 \leq i \leq n-1$ , let  $r_{i+1} = s_i r_i$  and  $t_{i+1} = s_i t_i s_i$ . If  $0 \leq l \leq n$ , let  $a_l = r_1 r_2 \dots r_l$ . We denote by  $\mathfrak{S}_l$ ,  $W_l$ ,  $\mathfrak{S}_{l,n-l}$ ,  $W_{l,n-l}$  the standard parabolic subgroups of  $W_n$  generated by  $\{s_1, s_2, \dots, s_{l-1}\}$ ,  $\{t, s_1, s_2, \dots, s_{l-1}\}$ ,  $S_n \setminus \{t, s_l\}$  and  $S_n \setminus \{s_l\}$  respectively. The longest element of  $\mathfrak{S}_l$  is denoted by  $\sigma_l$ . Let

$$Y_{l,n-l} = \{a \in \mathfrak{S}_n \mid \forall \sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_{l,n-l}, \ell(a\sigma) \geq \ell(\sigma)\}.$$

If  $w \in W_n$  is such that  $\ell_t(w) = l$ , then [3, §4.6] there exist unique  $a_w, b_w \in Y_{l,n-l}$ ,  $\sigma_w \in \mathfrak{S}_{l,n-l}$  such that  $w = a_w a_l \sigma_w b_w^{-1}$ . Recall that  $\ell(w) = \ell(a_w) + \ell(a_l) + \ell(\sigma_w) + \ell(b_w)$ .

**2.A. Some submodules of  $\mathcal{H}$ .** If  $l$  is a natural number such that  $0 \leq l \leq n$ , we set

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{T}_l &= \bigoplus_{\substack{w \in W_n \\ \ell_t(w)=l}} AT_w, & \mathcal{T}_{\leq l} &= \bigoplus_{\substack{w \in W_n \\ \ell_t(w) \leq l}} AT_w, & \mathcal{T}_{\geq l} &= \bigoplus_{\substack{w \in W_n \\ \ell_t(w) \geq l}} AT_w, \\ \mathcal{C}_l &= \bigoplus_{\substack{w \in W_n \\ \ell_t(w)=l}} AC_w, & \mathcal{C}_{\leq l} &= \bigoplus_{\substack{w \in W_n \\ \ell_t(w) \leq l}} AC_w & \text{ and } & \mathcal{C}_{\geq l} = \bigoplus_{\substack{w \in W_n \\ \ell_t(w) \geq l}} AC_w. \end{aligned}$$

Let  $\Pi_{\text{?}}^T : \mathcal{H}_n \rightarrow \mathcal{T}_{\text{?}}$  and  $\Pi_{\text{?}}^C : \mathcal{H}_n \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_{\text{?}}$  be the natural projections (for  $\text{?} \in \{l, \leq l, \geq l\}$ ).

**Proposition 2.1.** *Let  $l$  be a natural number such that  $0 \leq l \leq n$ . Then:*

- (a)  $\mathcal{T}_l$  and  $\mathcal{C}_l$  are sub- $\mathcal{H}(\mathfrak{S}_n)$ -modules- $\mathcal{H}(\mathfrak{S}_n)$  of  $\mathcal{H}_n$ . The maps  $\Pi_l^T$  and  $\Pi_l^C$  are morphisms of  $\mathcal{H}(\mathfrak{S}_n)$ -modules- $\mathcal{H}(\mathfrak{S}_n)$ .
- (b)  $\mathcal{T}_{\leq l} = \mathcal{C}_{\leq l}$ .

(c)  $\mathcal{C}_{\geq l}$  is a two-sided ideal of  $\mathcal{H}_n$ .

PROOF - (a) follows from [3, Theorem 6.3 (b)]. (b) is clear. (c) follows from [3, Corollary 6.7]. ■

The next proposition is a useful characterization of the elements of the two-sided ideal  $\mathcal{C}_n$ .

**Proposition 2.2.** *Let  $h \in \mathcal{H}_n$ . The following are equivalent:*

- (1)  $h \in \mathcal{C}_n$ .
- (2)  $\forall x \in \mathcal{H}_n, (T_t - V)xh = 0$ .
- (3)  $\forall x \in \mathcal{H}(\mathfrak{S}_n), (T_t - V)xh = 0$ .

PROOF - If  $\ell_t(w) = n$ , then  $tw < w$  so  $(T_t - V)C_w = 0$  by [17, Theorem 6.6 (b)]. Since  $\mathcal{C}_n$  is a two-sided ideal of  $\mathcal{H}_n$  (see Proposition 2.1 (c)), we get that (1) implies (2). It is also obvious that (2) implies (3). It remains to show that (3) implies (1).

Let  $I = \{h \in \mathcal{H}_n \mid \forall x \in \mathcal{H}(\mathfrak{S}_n), (T_t - V)xh = 0\}$ . Then  $I$  is clearly a sub- $\mathcal{H}(\mathfrak{S}_n)$ -module of  $\mathcal{H}_n$ . We need to show that  $I \subset \mathcal{C}_n$ . In other words, since  $\mathcal{C}_n \subset I$ , we need to show that  $I \cap \mathcal{C}_{\leq n-1} = 0$ . Let  $I' = I \cap \mathcal{C}_{\leq n-1} = I \cap \mathcal{T}_{\leq n-1}$  (see Proposition 2.1 (b)). Let  $X = \{w \in W_n \mid \tau(I'T_{w^{-1}}) \neq 0\}$ . Showing that  $I' = 0$  is equivalent to showing that  $X = \emptyset$ .

Assume  $X \neq \emptyset$ . Let  $w$  be an element of  $X$  of maximal length and let  $h$  be an element of  $I'$  such that  $\tau(hT_{w^{-1}}) \neq 0$ . Since  $h \in \mathcal{T}_{\leq n-1}$ , we have  $\ell_t(w) \leq n-1$ . Moreover,  $T_th = Vh$ , so  $\tau(T_thT_{w^{-1}}) = \tau(hT_{w^{-1}}T_t) \neq 0$ . By the maximality of  $\ell(w)$ , we get that  $tw < w$ . So, there exists  $s \in S_n$  such that  $sw > w$  and  $s \neq t$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \tau(T_shT_{(sw)^{-1}}) &= \tau(hT_{(sw)^{-1}}T_s) \\ &= \tau(hT_{w^{-1}}) + (v - v^{-1})\tau(hT_{(sw)^{-1}}) \\ &\neq 0, \end{aligned}$$

the last inequality following from the maximality of  $\ell(w)$  (which implies that  $\tau(hT_{(sw)^{-1}}) = 0$ ). But  $T_sh \in I'$  and so  $sw \in X$ . This contradicts the maximality of  $\ell(w)$ . ■

**2.B. Some results on the Kazhdan-Lusztig basis.** In this subsection, we study the elements of the Kazhdan-Lusztig basis of the form  $C_{a_l\sigma}$  where  $0 \leq l \leq n$  and  $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_n$ .

**Proposition 2.3.** *Let  $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_n$  and let  $0 \leq l \leq n$ . Then  $C_{a_l}C_\sigma = C_{a_l\sigma}$  and  $C_\sigma C_{a_l} = C_{\sigma a_l}$ .*

PROOF - Let  $C = C_{a_l}C_\sigma$ . Then  $\overline{C} = C$  and

$$C - T_{a_l\sigma} = \sum_{w < a_l\sigma} \lambda_w T_w$$

with  $\lambda_w \in A$  for  $w < a_l\sigma$ . To show that  $C = C_{a_l\sigma}$ , it is sufficient to show that  $\lambda_w \in A_{<0}$ .

But,  $C_{a_l} = T_{a_l} + \sum_{x < a_l} V^{\ell_t(x)-l} \beta_x T_x$  with  $\beta_x \in \mathbb{Z}[v, v^{-1}]$  (see [3, Theorem 6.3 (a)]). Hence,

$$C = T_{a_l \sigma} + \sum_{\tau < \sigma} p_{\tau, \sigma}^* T_{a_l \tau} + \sum_{x < a_l} V^{\ell_t(x)-l} \beta_x T_x C_{\sigma}.$$

But, if  $x < a_l$ , then  $\ell_t(x) < l$ . This shows that  $\lambda_w \in A_{<0}$  for every  $w < a_l \sigma$ . This shows the first equality. The second one is obtained by a symmetric argument. ■

Proposition 2.3 shows that it can be useful to compute in different ways the elements  $C_{a_l}$  to be able to relate the Kazhdan-Lusztig basis of  $\mathcal{H}_n$  to the Kazhdan-Lusztig basis of  $\mathcal{H}(\mathfrak{S}_n)$ . Following the work of Dipper-James-Murphy [4], Ariki-Koike [2] and Graham-Lehrer [11, §5], we set

$$\begin{aligned} P_l &= (T_{t_1} + V^{-1})(T_{t_2} + V^{-1}) \dots (T_{t_l} + V^{-1}) \\ &= \sum_{0 \leq k \leq l} V^{k-l} \left( \sum_{1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_k \leq l} T_{t_{i_1} \dots t_{i_k}} \right). \end{aligned}$$

**Lemma 2.4.**  $P_n$  is central in  $\mathcal{H}_n$ .

PROOF - First,  $P_n$  commutes with  $T_t$  (indeed,  $tt_i = t_i t > t_i$  for  $1 \leq i \leq n$ ). By [2, Lemma 3.3],  $P_n$  commutes with  $T_{s_i}$  for  $1 \leq i \leq n-1$ . Since the notation and conventions are somewhat different, we recall here a brief proof. First, if  $j \notin \{i, i+1\}$ ,  $s_i t_j = t_j s_i > t_j$  so  $T_{s_i}$  commutes with  $T_{t_j}$ . Therefore, it is sufficient to show that  $T_{s_i}$  commutes with  $(T_{t_i} + V^{-1})(T_{t_{i+1}} + V^{-1})$ . This follows from a straightforward computation using the fact that  $s_i t_i > t_i$ , that  $t_{i+1} s_i < t_{i+1}$  and that  $s_i t_i = t_{i+1} s_i$ . ■

**Proposition 2.5.** If  $0 \leq l \leq n$ , then  $C_{a_l} = P_l T_{\sigma_l}^{-1} = T_{\sigma_l}^{-1} P_l$ .

PROOF - The computation may be performed in the subalgebra of  $\mathcal{H}_n$  generated by  $\{T_t, T_{s_1}, \dots, T_{s_{l-1}}\}$  so we may, and we will, assume that  $l = n$ . First, we have  $T_t P_n = V P_n$ . Since  $P_n$  is central in  $\mathcal{H}_n$ , it follows from the characterization of  $\mathcal{C}_n$  given by Proposition 2.2 that  $P_n \in \mathcal{C}_n$ .

Now, let  $h = C_{a_n} - P_n T_{\sigma_n}^{-1}$ . Then, by Proposition 2.1 (a), we have  $h \in \mathcal{C}_n$ . Moreover, it is easily checked that  $h \in \mathcal{T}_{\leq n-1} = \mathcal{C}_{\leq n-1}$ . So  $h = 0$ . ■

**Corollary 2.6.** If  $0 \leq l \leq n$  and  $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_n$ , then  $\Pi_0^T(C_{a_l \sigma}) = V^{-l} T_{\sigma_l}^{-1} C_{\sigma}$ . In particular,  $\tau(C_{a_l \sigma}) = V^{-l} \tau(T_{\sigma_l}^{-1} C_{\sigma})$ .

PROOF - Since  $\Pi_0^T$  is a morphism of right  $\mathcal{H}(\mathfrak{S}_n)$ -modules (see Proposition 2.1 (a)) and since  $C_{a_l \sigma} = P_l T_{\sigma_l}^{-1} C_{\sigma}$  (see Propositions 2.3 and 2.5), we have  $\Pi_0^T(C_{a_l \sigma}) = \Pi_0^T(P_l) T_{\sigma_l}^{-1} C_{\sigma}$ . But,  $\Pi_0^T(P_l) = V^{-l}$ . This completes the proof of the corollary. ■

## 3. TWO-SIDED CELLS

The aim of this section is to show that, if  $x$  and  $y$  are two elements of  $W$  such that  $\ell_t(x) = \ell_t(y) = l$ , then  $x \leq_{\mathcal{LR}} y$  if and only if  $\sigma_x \leq_{\mathcal{LR}}^{\mathfrak{S}_{l,n-l}} \sigma_y$  (see Theorem 3.5). Here,  $\leq_{\mathcal{LR}}^{\mathfrak{S}_{l,n-l}}$  is the preorder  $\leq_{\mathcal{LR}}$  defined inside the parabolic subgroup  $\mathfrak{S}_{l,n-l}$ . For this, we adapt an argument of Geck [7] who was considering the preorder  $\leq_{\mathcal{L}}$ .

We start by defining an order relation  $\preccurlyeq$  on  $W$ . Let  $x$  and  $y$  be two elements of  $W$ . Then  $x \prec y$  if the following conditions are fulfilled:

- (1)  $\ell_t(x) = \ell_t(y)$ ,
- (2)  $x \leq y$ ,
- (3)  $a_x < a_y$  or  $b_x < b_y$ ,
- (4)  $\sigma_x \leq_{\mathcal{LR}}^{\mathfrak{S}_{l,n-l}} \sigma_y$ .

We write  $x \preccurlyeq y$  if  $x \prec y$  or  $x = y$ . If  $y \in W_n$ , we set

$$\Gamma_y = T_{a_y} C_{a_{\ell_t(y)}} C_{\sigma_y} T_{b_y^{-1}}.$$

**Lemma 3.1.** *Let  $y \in W$ . Then  $\Gamma_y \in T_y + \bigoplus_{x \prec y} A_{<0} T_x$ .*

PROOF - First, recall that  $\Gamma_y$  is a linear combination of elements of the form  $T_{a_y} T_z T_{b_y^{-1}}$  with  $z \leq a_{\ell_t(y)} \sigma_y$ , so it is a linear combination of elements of the form  $T_x$  with  $x \leq y$ .

Let  $l = \ell_t(y)$  and  $\sigma = \sigma_y$ . We have

$$\Gamma_y = T_{a_y} T_{a_l} T_{\sigma} T_{b_y^{-1}} + \left( \sum_{\tau < \sigma} p_{\tau, \sigma}^* T_{a_y} T_{a_l} T_{\tau} T_{b_y^{-1}} \right) + \left( \sum_{a < a_l, \tau \leq \sigma} p_{a, a_l}^* p_{\tau, \sigma}^* T_{a_y} T_a T_{\tau} T_{b_y^{-1}} \right).$$

If  $\tau < \sigma$ , then  $T_{a_y} T_{a_l} T_{\tau} T_{b_y^{-1}} = T_{a_y a_l \tau b_y^{-1}}$  by [3, §4.6]. On the other hand, if  $a < a_l$ , then  $\ell_t(a) < l$  so  $T_{a_y} T_a T_{\tau} T_{b_y^{-1}}$  is a linear combination, with coefficients in  $\mathbb{Z}[v, v^{-1}]$  of elements  $T_w$  with  $\ell_t(w) = \ell_t(a) < l$  (because  $a_y$ ,  $\tau$  and  $b_y^{-1}$  are elements of  $\mathfrak{S}_n$ ). Since  $V^{l-\ell_t(a)} p_{a, a_l}^* \in \mathbb{Z}[v, v^{-1}]$  by [3, Theorem 6.3 (a)], this proves the lemma. ■

**Lemma 3.2.** *If  $y \in W_n$ , then*

$$\bar{\Gamma}_y = \Gamma_y + \sum_{x \prec y} \rho_{x,y} \Gamma_x$$

where the  $\rho_{x,y}$ 's belong to  $\mathbb{Z}[v, v^{-1}]$ .

PROOF - Let  $l = \ell_t(y)$ . Then

$$T_{a_y}^{-1} = T_{a_y} + \sum_{\substack{a \in Y_{l,n-l} \\ x \in \mathfrak{S}_{l,n-l} \\ ax < a_y}} R_{ax, a_y} T_a T_x.$$

Moreover, if  $a \in Y_{l,n-l}$  and  $x \in \mathfrak{S}_{l,n-l}$  are such that  $ax < a_y$ , then  $a < a_y$  (see [17, Lemma 9.10 (f)]). Thus,

$$\bar{\Gamma}_y = \Gamma_y + \sum_{\substack{a,b \in Y_{l,n-l} \\ x,x' \in \mathfrak{S}_{l,n-l} \\ ax < a_y \text{ or } bx' < b_y}} R_{ax,a_y} R_{bx',b_y} T_a (T_x C_{a_l \sigma_y} T_{x'^{-1}}) T_b^{-1}.$$

The result now follows from Lemma 3.1. ■

**Corollary 3.3.** *If  $x \preceq y$ , then  $\sum_{x \preceq z \preceq y} \bar{\rho}_{x,z} \rho_{z,y} = \delta_{x,y}$ .*

PROOF - This follows immediately from Lemma 3.2 and from the fact that  $\mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ ,  $h \mapsto \bar{h}$  is an involution. ■

**Corollary 3.4.** *If  $w \in W$ , then*

$$C_w = \Gamma_w + \sum_{y \prec w} \pi_{y,w}^* \Gamma_y$$

where  $\pi_{y,w}^* \in v^{-1}\mathbb{Z}[v^{-1}] \subset A_{<0}$  if  $y \prec w$ .

PROOF - By Corollary 3.3, there exists a unique family  $(\pi_{y,w}^*)_{y \prec w}$  of elements of  $v^{-1}\mathbb{Z}[v^{-1}]$  such that  $\Gamma_w + \sum_{y \prec w} \pi_{y,w}^* \Gamma_y$  is stable under the involution  $h \mapsto \bar{h}$  of  $\mathcal{H}_n$  (see [6, Page 214]: this contains a general setting for including the arguments in [13, Proposition 2] or in [7, Proposition 3.3]). But, by Lemma 3.1, we have

$$\Gamma_w + \sum_{y \prec w} \pi_{y,w}^* \Gamma_y \in T_w + \left( \bigoplus_{y \prec w} A_{<0} T_y \right).$$

So  $C_w = \Gamma_w + \sum_{y \prec w} \pi_{y,w}^* \Gamma_y$ . ■

We are now ready to prove the main theorem of this section.

**Theorem 3.5.** *Let  $x$  and  $y$  be two elements of  $W$  such that  $\ell_t(x) = \ell_t(y) = l$ . Then  $x \leq_{\mathcal{LR}} y$  if and only if  $\sigma_x \leq_{\mathcal{LR}}^{\mathfrak{S}_{l,n-l}} \sigma_y$ .*

PROOF - Assume first that  $\sigma_x \leq_{\mathcal{LR}}^{\mathfrak{S}_{l,n-l}} \sigma_y$ . Decompose  $\sigma_x = (\sigma'_x, \sigma''_x)$  with  $\sigma'_x \in \mathfrak{S}_l$  and  $\sigma''_x \in \mathfrak{S}_{n-l}$ . Then  $\sigma'_x \leq_{\mathcal{LR}}^{\mathfrak{S}_l} \sigma'_y$  so  $\sigma_l \sigma'_y \leq_{\mathcal{LR}}^{\mathfrak{S}_l} \sigma_l \sigma'_x$  so  $w_l \sigma_l \sigma'_x \leq_{\mathcal{LR}}^{W_l} w_l \sigma_l \sigma'_y$ . In other words,  $a_l \sigma'_x \leq_{\mathcal{LR}}^{W_l} a_l \sigma'_y$ . Therefore,  $a_l \sigma_x \leq_{\mathcal{LR}} a_l \sigma_y$ . But, by [3, Theorem 7.7], we have  $x \sim_{\mathcal{LR}} a_l \sigma_x$  and  $y \sim_{\mathcal{LR}} a_l \sigma_y$ . So  $x \leq_{\mathcal{LR}} y$ .

To show the converse statement, it is sufficient to show that

$$I = \left( \bigoplus_{\substack{u \in W_n \\ \ell_t(u)=l \text{ and } \sigma_u \leq_{\mathcal{LR}}^{\mathfrak{S}_{l,n-l}} \sigma_y}} AC_u \right) \oplus \mathcal{C}_{\geq l+1}$$

is a two-sided ideal. But, by Corollary 3.4, we have

$$I = \left( \bigoplus_{\substack{u \in W_n \\ \ell_t(u)=l \text{ and } \sigma_u \leq_{\mathcal{LR}}^{\mathfrak{S}_{l,n-l}} \sigma_y}} A\Gamma_u \right) \oplus \mathcal{C}_{\geq l+1}.$$

By symmetry, we only need to prove that  $I$  is a left ideal. Let  $h \in \mathcal{H}_n$  and let  $u \in W_n$  such that  $\ell_t(u) = l$  and  $\sigma_u \leq_{\mathcal{LR}}^{\mathfrak{S}_{l,n-l}} \sigma_y$ . We want to prove that  $h\Gamma_u \in I$ . For simplification, let  $a = a_u$ ,  $b = b_u$ ,  $\sigma = \sigma_u$ . Let

$$X_l = \{x \in W_n \mid \forall w \in W_{l,n-l}, \ell(xw) \geq \ell(w)\}.$$

Then, by [7, Proposition 3.3] and [3, Lemma 7.3 and Corollary 7.4],

$$T_a C_{a_l \sigma} \in \bigoplus_{\substack{x \in X_l \\ \tau \leq_{\mathcal{LR}}^{\mathfrak{S}_{l,n-l}} \sigma}} AC_{xa_l \tau}.$$

Let  $I'$  be the right-hand side of the previous formula. By [7, Corollary 3.4],  $I'$  is a left ideal. Therefore,  $hT_a C_{a_l \sigma} \in I'$ . On the other hand,

$$I' \subset \left( \bigoplus_{\substack{x \in Y_{l,n-l} \\ \tau \leq_{\mathcal{LR}}^{\mathfrak{S}_{l,n-l}} \sigma}} AC_{xa_l \tau} \right) \oplus \mathcal{C}_{\geq l+1}.$$

Now, by Corollary 3.4, we have

$$I' \subset \left( \bigoplus_{\substack{x \in Y_{l,n-l} \\ \tau \leq_{\mathcal{LR}}^{\mathfrak{S}_{l,n-l}} \sigma}} AT_x C_{a_l \tau} \right) \oplus \mathcal{C}_{\geq l+1}.$$

Therefore,  $h\Gamma_u \in I'T_{b^{-1}} \subset I$ , as desired. ■

**Corollary 3.6.** *Let  $x$  and  $y$  be two elements of  $W_n$ . Then  $x \sim_{\mathcal{LR}} y$  if and only if  $\ell_t(x) = \ell_t(y)$  ( $= l$ ) and  $\sigma_x \sim_{\mathcal{LR}}^{\mathfrak{S}_{l,n-l}} \sigma_y$ .*

**REMARK 3.7** - We associate to each element  $w \in W_n$  a pair  $(P(w), Q(w))$  of standard bi-tableaux as in [3, §3]. Let  $l = \ell_t(w)$ . Write  $Q(w) = (Q^+(w), Q^-(w))$  and denote by  $\lambda^?(w)$  the shape of  $Q^?(w)$  for  $? \in \{+, -\}$ . The map  $w \mapsto (P(w), Q(w))$  is a generalization of the Robinson-Schensted correspondence (see [19, Theorem 3.3] or [3, Theoreme 3.3]). Then  $\lambda^+(w)$  is a partition of  $n - l$  and  $\lambda^-(w)$  is a partition of  $l$ , so that  $\lambda(w) = (\lambda^+(w), \lambda^-(w))$  is a bipartition of  $n$ . If we write  $\sigma_w = \sigma_w^- \times \sigma_w^+$  with  $\sigma_w^- \in \mathfrak{S}_l$  and  $\sigma_w^+ \in \mathfrak{S}_{n-l}$ , note that  $\lambda^+(w)$  is the shape of the standard tableau associated to  $\sigma_w^+$  by the classical Robinson-Schensted correspondence while  $\lambda^-(w)^*$  (the partition conjugate to  $\lambda^-(w)$ ) is the shape of the standard tableau associated to  $\sigma_w^-$ . Let  $\leq$  denote the *dominance order* on partitions: if  $\alpha = (\alpha_1 \geq \alpha_2 \geq \dots)$  and  $\beta = (\beta_1 \geq \beta_2 \geq \dots)$  are two partitions of the same natural number, we write  $\alpha \leq \beta$  if

$$\sum_{j=1}^i \alpha_j \leq \sum_{j=1}^i \beta_j$$

for every  $i \geq 1$ . Now, let  $x$  and  $y$  be two elements of  $W_n$ . If  $\ell_t(x) = \ell_t(y)$ , then Theorem 3.5 is equivalent to:

$$(3.8) \quad x \leq_{\mathcal{LR}} y \text{ if and only if } \lambda^+(x) \leq \lambda^+(y) \text{ and } \lambda^-(y) \leq \lambda^-(x).$$

This follows from [18, 3.2] and [5, 2.13.1] (see also [10, Exercise 5.6]). Then, for general  $x$  and  $y$ , Corollary 3.6 is equivalent to:

$$(3.9) \quad x \sim_{\mathcal{LR}} y \text{ if and only if } \lambda(x) = \lambda(y).$$

#### 4. AROUND LUSZTIG'S CONJECTURES

In this section, we prove some results which are related to Lusztig's conjectures. If  $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_n$ , we denote by  $\mathbf{a}_{\mathfrak{S}}(\sigma)$  the function  $\mathbf{a}$  evaluated on  $\sigma$  but computed in  $\mathfrak{S}_n$ . It is given by the following formula. Let  $\lambda = (\lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2 \geq \dots)$  be the shape of the left cell of  $\sigma$ . Then

$$\mathbf{a}_{\mathfrak{S}}(\sigma) = \sum_{i \geq 1} (i-1)\lambda_i.$$

We denote by  $\mathbf{a}_{\lambda}$  the right-hand side of the previous formula. If  $z \in W$ , we set

$$\alpha(z) = (\ell_t(z), 2\mathbf{a}_{\mathfrak{S}}(\sigma_z) - \mathbf{a}_{\mathfrak{S}}(\sigma_{\ell_t(z)}\sigma_z)) \in \mathbb{N}^2.$$

In terms of partitions (using the notation introduced in Remark 3.7), we have

$$\alpha(z) = (|\lambda^-(z)|, \mathbf{a}_{\lambda^+(z)} + 2\mathbf{a}_{\lambda^-(z)^*} - \mathbf{a}_{\lambda^-(z)}).$$

We now study some properties of the function  $\alpha$ .

REMARK 4.1 - Geck and Iancu [9] have proved, using the result of this section (and especially Proposition 4.2), that  $\alpha = \mathbf{a}$ . They have deduced, using the notion of *orthogonal representations*, that Lusztig's conjectures  $P_i$  hold for  $i \in \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 11, 12, 13, 14\}$ . After that, Geck [8] proved  $P_9$  and  $P_{10}$ .  $\square$

The first proposition shows that  $\alpha$  is decreasing with respect to  $\leq_{\mathcal{LR}}$  (compare with Lusztig's conjecture  $P_4$ ).

**Proposition 4.2.** *Let  $z$  and  $z'$  be two elements of  $W$ . Then:*

- (a) *If  $z \leq_{\mathcal{LR}} z'$ , then  $\alpha(z') \leq \alpha(z)$ .*
- (b) *If  $z \leq_{\mathcal{LR}} z'$  and  $\alpha(z) = \alpha(z')$  then  $z \sim_{\mathcal{LR}} z'$ .*

PROOF - Since  $z \leq_{\mathcal{LR}} z'$ , we have  $\ell_t(z) \geq \ell_z(z')$  by [3, Corollary 6.7]. Therefore, if  $\ell_t(z) > \ell_t(z')$ , then  $\alpha(z) > \alpha(z')$  and  $z \not\sim_{\mathcal{LR}} z'$ . This proves (a) and (b) in this case.

So, assume that  $\ell_t(z) = \ell_t(z') = l$ . Then, by Theorem 3.5, we have  $\sigma_z \leq_{\mathcal{LR}}^{\mathfrak{S}_{l, n-l}} \sigma_{z'}$ . Write  $\sigma_z = (\sigma, \tau)$  and  $\sigma_{z'} = (\sigma', \tau')$  where  $\sigma, \sigma' \in \mathfrak{S}_l$  and  $\tau, \tau' \in \mathfrak{S}_{n-l}$ . Then

$$\alpha(z) = (l, 2\mathbf{a}_{\mathfrak{S}}(\sigma) - \mathbf{a}_{\mathfrak{S}}(\sigma_l\sigma) + \mathbf{a}_{\mathfrak{S}}(\tau))$$

and

$$\alpha(z') = (l, 2\mathbf{a}_{\mathfrak{S}}(\sigma') - \mathbf{a}_{\mathfrak{S}}(\sigma_l\sigma') + \mathbf{a}_{\mathfrak{S}}(\tau')).$$

But  $\sigma \leq_{\mathcal{LR}}^{\mathfrak{S}_l} \sigma'$  and  $\tau \leq_{\mathcal{LR}}^{\mathfrak{S}_{l, n-l}} \tau'$ . Moreover,  $\sigma_l\sigma' \leq_{\mathcal{LR}}^{\mathfrak{S}_l} \sigma_l\tau'$ . Therefore, since Lusztig's conjecture  $P_4$  holds in the symmetric groups, we obtain (a).

If moreover  $\alpha(z) = \alpha(z')$ , then  $\mathbf{a}_{\mathfrak{S}_l}(\sigma) = \mathbf{a}_{\mathfrak{S}_l}(\sigma')$  so  $\sigma \sim_{\mathcal{LR}} \sigma'$  by property  $P_{11}$  for the symmetric group. Similarly,  $\tau \sim_{\mathcal{LR}} \tau'$  so  $\sigma_z \sim_{\mathcal{LR}} \sigma_{z'}$ . So, by Corollary 3.6,  $z \sim_{\mathcal{LR}} z'$ . ■

The next proposition relates the functions  $\alpha$  and  $\Delta$ .

**Proposition 4.3.** *Let  $z \in W$ . Then  $\alpha(z) \leq \Delta(z)$ . Moreover,  $\alpha(z) = \Delta(z)$  if and only if  $z^2 = 1$ .*

PROOF - Let us start with two results concerning the degree of  $\tau(\Gamma_z)$  for  $z \in W_n$  :

**Lemma 4.4.** *Let  $z \in W_n$ . Then*

$$\tau(\Gamma_z) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } a_z \neq b_z, \\ V^{-\ell_t(z)} \tau(T_{\sigma_{\ell_t(z)}}^{-1} C_{\sigma_z}) & \text{if } a_z = b_z. \end{cases}$$

PROOF OF LEMMA 4.4 - Write  $l = \ell_t(z)$ . Then,  $\tau(\Gamma_z) = \tau(\Pi_0^T(\Gamma_z))$ . So, by Proposition 2.1 (a) and Corollary 2.6, we have  $\tau(\Gamma_z) = V^{-l} \tau(T_{a_z} T_{\sigma_l}^{-1} C_{\sigma_z} T_{b_z^{-1}})$ . Therefore,  $V^l \tau(\Gamma_z)$  is equal to the coefficient of  $T_{b_z}$  in  $T_{a_z} T_{\sigma_l}^{-1} C_{\sigma_z}$ . Write  $T_{\sigma_l}^{-1} C_{\sigma_z} = \sum_{x \in \mathfrak{S}_{l, n-l}} \beta_x T_x$ . Then  $T_{a_z} T_{\sigma_l}^{-1} C_{\sigma_z} = \sum_{x \in \mathfrak{S}_{l, n-l}} \beta_x T_{a_z x}$ . Thus, if  $a_z \neq b_z$ , then  $b_z \notin a_z \mathfrak{S}_{l, n-l}$  so  $\tau(\Gamma_z) = 0$ . If  $a_z = b_z$ , then  $\tau(\Gamma_z) = V^{-l} \beta_1 = V^{-l} \tau(T_{\sigma_l}^{-1} C_{\sigma_z})$ . ■

**Corollary 4.5.** *Let  $z \in W_n$ . Then :*

- (a)  $\deg \tau(\Gamma_z) \leq -\alpha(z)$ .
- (b)  $\deg \tau(\Gamma_z) = -\alpha(z)$  if and only if  $z$  is an involution.

PROOF OF COROLLARY 4.5 - This follows from Lemma 4.4 and Corollary 1.6 (recall that Lusztig's conjectures  $(P_i)_{1 \leq i \leq 15}$  hold in the symmetric group). ■

Let us now come back to the computation of  $\Delta(z)$ . By Corollary 3.4, we have

$$\tau(C_z) = \tau(\Gamma_z) + \sum_{y \prec z} \pi_{y,z}^* \tau(\Gamma_y).$$

But, if  $y \prec z$ , then  $\alpha(z) \leq \alpha(y)$  (see Proposition 4.2 (a)). Therefore, by Corollary 4.5 (a), we have  $\deg \pi_{y,z}^* \tau(\Gamma_y) < -\alpha(z)$ . So  $\deg \tau(C_z) \leq -\alpha(z)$  and  $\deg \tau(C_z) = -\alpha(z)$  if and only if  $\deg \tau(\Gamma_z) = -\alpha(z)$  that is, if and only if  $z$  is an involution (see Corollary 4.5 (b)). ■

## 5. SPECIALIZATION

We fix now a totally ordered abelian group  $\Gamma^\circ$  and a weight function  $L^\circ : W_n \rightarrow \Gamma^\circ$  such that  $L^\circ(s) > 0$  for every  $s \in S_n$ . Let  $A^\circ = \mathbb{Z}[\Gamma^\circ]$  be denoted exponentially and let  $\mathcal{H}_n^\circ = \mathcal{H}(W_n, S_n, L^\circ)$ . Let  $(T_w^\circ)_{w \in W_n}$  denote the usual  $A^\circ$ -basis of  $\mathcal{H}_n^\circ$  and let  $(C_w^\circ)_{w \in W_n}$  denote the Kazhdan-Lusztig basis of  $\mathcal{H}_n^\circ$ .

Let  $b = L^\circ(t)$  and  $a = L^\circ(s_1) = \dots = L^\circ(s_{n-1})$ . Let  $\theta_\Gamma : \Gamma \rightarrow \Gamma^\circ$ ,  $(r, s) \mapsto ar + bs$ . It is a morphism of groups which induces a morphism of  $\mathbb{Z}$ -algebras  $\theta_A : A \rightarrow A^\circ$  such that



$\theta_A(V) = v^b$  and  $\theta_A(v) = v^a$ . If  $\mathcal{H}_n^\circ$  is viewed as an  $A$ -algebra through  $\theta_A$ , then there is a unique morphism of  $A$ -algebras  $\theta_{\mathcal{H}} : \mathcal{H}_n \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_n^\circ$  such that  $\theta_{\mathcal{H}}(T_w) = T_w^\circ$  for every  $w \in W_n$ . The main result of this section is the following:

**Proposition 5.1.** *If  $b > (n-1)a$ , then  $\theta_{\mathcal{H}}(C_w) = C_w^\circ$  for every  $w \in W_n$ .*

PROOF - Assume that  $b > (n-1)a$ . Since  $\overline{\theta_{\mathcal{H}}(C_w)} = \theta_{\mathcal{H}}(C_w)$ , it is sufficient to show that  $\theta_{\mathcal{H}}(C_w) \in T_w^\circ + (\oplus_{y < w} A_{<0}^\circ T_y^\circ)$ . Since  $\theta_A(\pi_{y,w}^*) \in A_{<0}^\circ$  for every  $y < w$ , it is sufficient to show that  $\theta_{\mathcal{H}}(\Gamma_w) \in T_w^\circ + (\oplus_{y < w} A_{<0}^\circ T_y^\circ)$ . For simplification, we set  $l = \ell_t(w)$ ,  $a = a_w$ ,  $b = b_w$  and  $\sigma = \sigma_w$ . We set  $\Gamma'_w = T_a C_{a_l} T_\sigma T_{b^{-1}}$ . Then  $\Gamma_w = \sum_{\tau \leq \sigma} p_{\tau,\sigma}^* \Gamma'_{aa_l \tau b^{-1}}$ , with  $p_{\tau,\sigma}^* \in v^{-1}\mathbb{Z}[v^{-1}]$  if  $\tau < \sigma$  and  $p_{\sigma,\sigma}^* = 1$ . So it is sufficient to show that  $\theta_{\mathcal{H}}(\Gamma'_w) \in T_w^\circ + (\oplus_{y < w} A_{<0}^\circ T_y^\circ)$ . By Proposition 2.5, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma'_w &= T_a P_l T_{\sigma_l}^{-1} T_\sigma T_{b^{-1}} \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^l V^{k-l} \left( \sum_{1 \leq i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_k \leq l} T_a T_{t_{i_1} t_{i_2} \dots t_{i_k}} T_{\sigma_l}^{-1} T_{\sigma b^{-1}} \right) \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^l V^{k-l} \left( \sum_{1 \leq i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_k \leq l} T_{a\alpha(i_1, \dots, i_k)} T_{a_k} T_{\beta(i_1, \dots, i_k)} T_{\sigma_l}^{-1} T_{\sigma b^{-1}} \right), \end{aligned}$$

where  $t_{i_1} \dots t_{i_k}$  is equal to  $\alpha(i_1, \dots, i_k) a_k \beta(i_1, \dots, i_k)$  with  $\alpha(i_1, \dots, i_k) \in Y_{k, n-k} \cap \mathfrak{S}_l$  and  $\beta(i_1, \dots, i_k) \in \mathfrak{S}_l$ . Note that  $\alpha(i_1, \dots, i_k) a_k = r_{i_1} \dots r_{i_k}$  (recall that  $r_i$  is defined as in [3, §4.1]) so that  $\ell(\beta(i_1, \dots, i_k)) = (i_1 - 1) + \dots + (i_k - 1)$ . Now, let  $\gamma(i_1, \dots, i_k) = \sigma_l \beta(i_1, \dots, i_k)^{-1}$ . Then

$$\Gamma'_w = T_w + \sum_{k=0}^{l-1} V^{k-l} \left( \sum_{1 \leq i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_k \leq l} T_{a\alpha(i_1, \dots, i_k)} T_{a_k} T_{\gamma(i_1, \dots, i_k)}^{-1} T_{\sigma b^{-1}} \right).$$

If  $0 \leq k \leq l-1 \leq n-1$ , we define

$$Y_{k, l-k, n-l} = \{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_n \mid \forall i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n-1\} \setminus \{k, l\}, \sigma s_i > \sigma\}.$$

Then  $Y_{k, l-k, n-l} = Y_{l, n-l}(Y_{k, n-k} \cap \mathfrak{S}_l)$ . Therefore,  $a\alpha(i_1, \dots, i_k) \in Y_{k, l-k, n-l}$ . But, we have  $Y_{k, l-k, n-l} = Y_{k, n-k}(Y_{l, n-l} \cap \mathfrak{S}_{k, n-k})$ . So we can write  $a\alpha(i_1, \dots, i_k) = \alpha_{i_1, \dots, i_k} \alpha'(i_1, \dots, i_k)$  with  $\alpha_{i_1, \dots, i_k} \in Y_{k, n-k}$  and  $\alpha'(i_1, \dots, i_k) \in Y_{l, n-l} \cap \mathfrak{S}_{k, n-k}$ . Then  $\ell(\alpha'(i_1, \dots, i_k)) \leq (l-k)(n-l)$  (indeed,  $Y_{l, n-l} \cap \mathfrak{S}_{k, n-k}$  may be identified with the set of minimal length coset representatives of  $\mathfrak{S}_{n-k}/\mathfrak{S}_{l-k, n-l}$ ). Note also that  $a_k$  and  $\alpha'(i_1, \dots, i_k)$  commute. So

$$\Gamma'_w = T_w + \sum_{k=0}^{l-1} V^{k-l} \left( \sum_{1 \leq i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_k \leq l} T_{\alpha_{i_1, \dots, i_k} a_k} T_{\alpha'(i_1, \dots, i_k)} T_{\gamma(i_1, \dots, i_k)}^{-1} T_{\sigma b^{-1}} \right).$$

If we write  $T_u T_v^{-1} T_{\sigma b^{-1}} = \sum_{\tau \in \mathfrak{S}_n} \eta_{u,v,\tau} T_\tau$  with  $\eta_{u,v,\tau} \in \mathbb{Z}[v, v^{-1}]$ , then, by [17, Lemma 10.4 (c)], we have  $\deg \eta_{u,v,\tau} \leq \ell(u) + \ell(v)$ . Moreover,

$$\Gamma'_w = T_w + \sum_{k=0}^{l-1} V^{k-l} \left( \sum_{1 \leq i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_k \leq l} \left( \sum_{\tau \in \mathfrak{S}_n} \eta_{\alpha'(i_1, \dots, i_k), \gamma(i_1, \dots, i_k), \tau} T_{\alpha_{i_1, \dots, i_k} a_k \tau} \right) \right).$$

So it is sufficient to show that, for every  $k \in \{0, 1, \dots, l-1\}$  and every sequence  $1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_k \leq l$ , we have

$$(*) \quad (k-l)b + \left( \ell(\alpha'(i_1, \dots, i_k)) + \ell(\gamma(i_1, \dots, i_k)) \right) a < 0.$$

But,  $\ell(\alpha'(i_1, \dots, i_k)) \leq (l-k)(n-l)$  and

$$\begin{aligned} \ell(\gamma(i_1, \dots, i_k)) &= \ell(\sigma_l) - \ell(\beta(i_1, \dots, i_k)) \\ &= \frac{l(l-1)}{2} - (i_1 - 1) - \dots - (i_k - 1) \\ &\leq \frac{l(l-1)}{2} - \frac{k(k-1)}{2} \\ &= \frac{1}{2}(l-k)(l+k-1). \end{aligned}$$

So, in order to prove  $(*)$ , it is sufficient to prove that

$$(**) \quad 2(k-l)b + (l-k)(2(n-l) + (l+k-1))a < 0.$$

But,

$$2(k-l)b + a(l-k)(2(n-l) + (l+k-1))a = 2(k-l)(b - (n-1)a) + (l-k)(k+1-l)a.$$

Since  $k-l < 0$ ,  $b - (n-1)a > 0$  and  $k+1-l \leq 0$ , we get  $(**)$ . ■

If  $x$  and  $y$  are two elements of  $W_n$ , we write

$$C_x^\circ C_y^\circ = \sum_{z \in W_n} h_{x,y,z}^\circ C_z^\circ,$$

where  $h_{x,y,z}^\circ \in A^\circ$ . We denote by  $\leq_{\mathcal{L}}^\circ$ ,  $\leq_{\mathcal{R}}^\circ$ ,  $\leq_{\mathcal{LR}}^\circ$  the preorders  $\leq_{\mathcal{L}}$ ,  $\leq_{\mathcal{R}}$  and  $\leq_{\mathcal{LR}}$  defined in  $\mathcal{H}_n^\circ$ . Similarly, we define  $\sim_{\mathcal{L}}^\circ$ ,  $\sim_{\mathcal{R}}^\circ$  and  $\sim_{\mathcal{LR}}^\circ$ .

**Corollary 5.2.** *Assume that  $b > (n-1)a$ . Let  $x$ ,  $y$  and  $z$  be elements of  $W_n$  and let  $? \in \{\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{R}, \mathcal{LR}\}$ . Then:*

- (a)  $h_{x,y,z}^\circ = \theta_A(h_{x,y,z})$ .
- (b) If  $x \leq_{?}^\circ y$ , then  $x \leq_{?} y$ .
- (c)  $x \sim_{?}^\circ y$  if and only if  $x \sim_{?} y$ .

PROOF - (a) follows from Proposition 5.1. (b) follows from (a). (c) follows from (b) and from the counting argument in the proof of [3, Theorem 7.7]. ■

Let  $\tau^\circ : \mathcal{H}_n^\circ \rightarrow A^\circ$  denote the canonical symmetrizing form. If  $z \in W_n$ , we set

$$\mathbf{a}^\circ(z) = \max_{x,y \in W_n} \deg h_{x,y,z}^\circ,$$

$$\Delta^\circ(z) = -\deg \tau^\circ(C_z^\circ)$$

and

$$\alpha^\circ(z) = \theta_\Gamma(\alpha(z)).$$

By Corollary 5.2 (b) and by the same argument as in the proof of Proposition 4.2, we have, for every  $z, z' \in W_n$  such that  $\ell_t(z) = \ell_t(z')$  and  $z \leq_{\mathcal{LR}}^\circ z'$ ,

$$(5.3) \quad \alpha^\circ(z') \leq \alpha^\circ(z).$$

Remark - Using the result of this section, Geck and Iancu [9] proved that  $\mathbf{a}^\circ = \alpha^\circ$  whenever  $b > (n-1)a$ .  $\square$

**Proposition 5.4.** *Assume that  $b > (n-1)a$ . Let  $z \in W_n$ . Then:*

- (a)  $\Delta^\circ(z) = \theta_\Gamma(\Delta(z)) \geq \alpha^\circ(z)$ .
- (b)  $\Delta^\circ(z) = \alpha^\circ(z)$  if and only if  $z^2 = 1$ .

PROOF - First, note that  $\tau^\circ \circ \theta_{\mathcal{H}} = \theta_{\mathcal{H}} \circ \tau$ . Moreover, by Proposition 5.1, we have  $\theta_{\mathcal{H}}(C_z) = C_z^\circ$ . Since  $V^{\ell_t(z)}\tau(C_z) \in \mathbb{Z}[v, v^{-1}]$ , we get that  $\Delta^\circ(z) = \theta_\Gamma(\Delta(z))$ . The other assertions follow easily.  $\blacksquare$

We conclude this section by showing that the bound given by Proposition 5.1 is optimal.

**Proposition 5.5.** *If  $b \leq (n-1)a$ , there exists  $w \in W_n$  such that  $\theta_{\mathcal{H}}(C_w) \neq C_w^\circ$ .*

PROOF - Assume that  $b \leq (n-1)a$ . To prove the proposition, it is sufficient to show that there exists  $w \in W_n$  such that  $\theta_{\mathcal{H}}(C_w) \notin T_w^\circ + \bigoplus_{y < w} A_{<0}^\circ T_y^\circ$ . Using Corollary 3.4, we see that it is sufficient to show that there exists  $w \in W_n$  such that  $\theta_{\mathcal{H}}(\Gamma_w) \notin T_w^\circ + \bigoplus_{y < w} A_{<0}^\circ T_y^\circ$ . This follows from the next lemma:

**Lemma 5.6.** *Let  $w = s_{n-1} \dots s_2 s_1 t \sigma_n$ . Then  $\theta_{\mathcal{H}}(\Gamma_w) \notin T_w^\circ + \bigoplus_{y < w} A_{<0}^\circ T_y^\circ$ .*

PROOF - We have, by Proposition 2.3,

$$\Gamma_w = T_{s_{n-1} \dots s_2 s_1 t} C_{\sigma_n} + V^{-1} T_{s_{n-1} \dots s_2 s_1} C_{\sigma_n}.$$

But,  $T_{s_{n-1} \dots s_2 s_1} C_{\sigma_n} = v^{n-1} C_{\sigma_n}$  (see [17, Theorem 6.6 (b)]). Therefore, since  $\theta_{\mathcal{H}}(C_\sigma) = C_\sigma^\circ$  for every  $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_n$ , we have

$$\theta_{\mathcal{H}}(\Gamma_w) = \left( \sum_{\tau \in \mathfrak{S}_n} v^{(\ell(\tau) - \ell(\sigma_n))a} T_{s_{n-1} \dots s_2 s_1 t \tau}^\circ \right) + v^{-b+(n-1)a} C_{\sigma_n}^\circ.$$

(Recall that  $C_{\sigma_n} = \sum_{\tau \in \mathfrak{S}_n} v^{(\ell(\tau) - \ell(\sigma_n))} T_\tau$  by [17, Corollary 12.2].) So the coefficient of  $\theta_{\mathcal{H}}(\Gamma_w)$  on  $T_{\sigma_n}^\circ$  is equal to  $v^{-b+(n-1)a}$ , which does not belong to  $A_{<0}^\circ$ .  $\blacksquare$

## REFERENCES

- [1] S. Ariki, *Robinson-Schensted correspondence and left cells*. Combinatorial methods in representation theory (Kyoto, 1998), 1–20, Adv. Stud. Pure Math. **28**, Kinokuniya, Tokyo, 2000.
- [2] S. Ariki and K. Koike, *A Hecke algebra of  $(\mathbb{Z}/r\mathbb{Z}) \wr S_n$  and construction of its irreducible representations*, Adv. Math. **106** (1992), 216–243.
- [3] C. Bonnafé & L. Iancu, *Left cells in type  $B_n$  with unequal parameters*, Represent. Theory **7** (2003), 587–609.
- [4] R. Dipper, G. D. James and G. E. Murphy, *Hecke algebras of type  $B_n$  at roots of unity*, Proc. London Math. Soc. **70** (1995), 505–528.
- [5] J. Du, B. Parshall, and L. Scott, *Cells and  $q$ -Schur algebras*, Transformation Groups **3** (1998), 33–49.
- [6] F. Du Cloux, *An abstract model for Bruhat intervals*, Europ. J. Combinatorics **21** (2000), 197–222.
- [7] M. Geck, *On the induction of Kazhdan-Lusztig cells*, Bull. London Math. Soc. **35** (2003), 608–614.
- [8] M. Geck, *Relative Kazhdan-Lusztig cells and the left cell representations in type  $B_n$* , preprint (2005), [math.RT/0504216](#).
- [9] M. Geck and L. Iancu, *Lusztig’s  $\mathbf{a}$ -function in type  $B_n$  (asymptotic case)*, Nagoya Math. J. **182** (2006), 199–240.
- [10] M. Geck and G. Pfeiffer, *Characters of finite Coxeter groups and Iwahori–Hecke algebras*, London Math. Soc. Monographs, New Series **21**, Oxford University Press, 2000.
- [11] J. J. Graham and G. I. Lehrer, *Cellular algebras*, Invent. Math. **123** (1996), 1–34.
- [12] D. A. Kazhdan and G. Lusztig, *Representations of Coxeter groups and Hecke algebras*, Invent. Math. **53** (1979), 165–184.
- [13] G. Lusztig, *Left cells in Weyl groups*, Lie Group Representations I (R. L. R. Herb and J. Rosenberg, eds.), Lecture Notes in Math., vol. 1024, Springer-Verlag, 1983, pp. 99–111.
- [14] G. Lusztig, *Unipotent characters of the symplectic and odd orthogonal groups over a finite field*, Invent. Math. **64** (1981), 263–296.
- [15] G. Lusztig, *Characters of reductive groups over a finite field*, Ann. of Math. Stud., vol. 107, Princeton University Press, 1984.
- [16] G. Lusztig, *Cells in affine Weyl groups*, Algebraic groups and related topics (Kyoto/Nagoya, 1983), Adv. Stud. Pure Math., vol. 6, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1985, pp. 255–287.
- [17] G. Lusztig, *Hecke algebras with unequal parameters*, CRM Monograph Series **18**, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI (2003), 136 pp.
- [18] G. Lusztig and N. Xi, *Canonical left cells in affine Weyl groups*, Advances in Math. **72** (1988), 284–288.
- [19] S. Okada, *Wreath products by the symmetric groups and product posets of Young’s lattices*, J. Combin. Theory Ser. A **55** (1990) 14–32.

LABO. DE MATH. DE BESANÇON (CNRS: UMR 6623), UNIVERSITÉ DE FRANCHE-COMTÉ, 16 ROUTE DE GRAY, 25030 BESANÇON CEDEX, FRANCE

*E-mail address:* [bonnaf@math.univ-fcomte.fr](mailto:bonnaf@math.univ-fcomte.fr)