

Generalized Witt Algebras and Generalized Poisson Algebras

Ki-Bong Nam *

January 20, 2018

Abstract

It is well known that the Poisson Lie algebra is isomorphic to the Hamiltonian Lie algebra [1],[3],[13]. We show that the Poisson Lie algebra can be embedded properly in the special type Lie algebra [13]. We also generalize the Hamiltonian Lie algebra using exponential functions, and we show that these Lie algebras are simple.

1 Introduction

Let F be a field of characteristic zero. Throughout this paper N will denote the non-negative integers. Let $F[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ be the polynomial ring in indeterminates x_1, \dots, x_n .

Recall that the generalized Witt algebra $W(n)$, as a Lie subalgebra of the algebra of operators on $F[x_1^\pm, \dots, x_n^\pm]$, has a basis

$$\{x_1^{i_1} \cdots x_n^{i_n} \partial_j | i_1, \dots, i_n \in N, j \in \{1, \dots, n\}\},$$

where ∂_j is the usual derivation with respect to x_j . Please refer to Kac's and Rudakov's papers for more details on $W(n)$ [7],[11],[12].

Let $F[x_1, \dots, x_n, y_1, \dots, y_n]$ be the polynomial ring in indeterminates $x_1, \dots, x_n, y_1, \dots, y_n$. Recall that the Poisson algebra $H(n)$ is a subalgebra of the algebra of operators on $F[x_1, \dots, x_n, y_1, \dots, y_n]$ and has a basis

$$B := \{x_1^{i_1} \cdots x_n^{i_n} y_1^{j_1} \cdots y_n^{j_n} | i_1, \dots, j_n \in N, \text{ not all } i_1, \dots, j_n \text{ are } 0\}$$

with Poisson bracket defined for any $f, g \in F[x_1, \dots, x_n, y_1, \dots, y_n]$ by

$$\{f, g\} = \sum_{i \in \{1, \dots, n\}} \left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial g}{\partial y_i} - \frac{\partial f}{\partial y_i} \frac{\partial g}{\partial x_i} \right).$$

*Department of Mathematics, University of Wisconsin, Madison, WI 53706

This makes it a Lie algebra.

Thus we have the series of the Poisson Lie algebras

$$H(1) \subset H(2) \subset \cdots \subset H(n) \subset \cdots.$$

It is very easy to check that $\{x_i y_i | 1 \leq i \leq n\}$ is the set of all ad-diagonal elements of $H(n)$ with respect to the above basis B . It is also well known that $\{x_i \partial_i | 1 \leq i \leq n\}$ is the set of all ad-diagonal elements of $W(n)$, with respect to the above basis.

We also consider the following Lie algebra:

$$D = \{x_1^{i_1} \cdots x_{k-1}^{i_{k-1}} x_{k+1}^{i_{k+1}} \cdots x_n^{i_n} \partial_t | i_1, \dots, i_n \in \mathbb{N}, \\ t \in \{1, \dots, k-1, k+1, \dots, n\}, 1 \leq k \leq n\}$$

and $[d_1, d_2]$ for $d_1, d_2 \in D$, defined as follows for $1 \leq k \leq s \leq n$:

$$\begin{aligned} & [x_1^{i_1} \cdots x_{k-1}^{i_{k-1}} x_{k+1}^{i_{k+1}} \cdots x_n^{i_n} \partial_k, x_1^{j_1} \cdots x_{s-1}^{j_{s-1}} x_{s+1}^{j_{s+1}} \cdots x_n^{j_n} \partial_s] \\ &= j_k x_1^{i_1+j_1} \cdots x_k^{j_k-1} x_{k+1}^{i_{k+1}+j_{k+1}} \cdots x_s^{i_s} x_{s+1}^{i_{s+1}+j_{s+1}} \cdots x_n^{i_n} \partial_s \\ &- i_s x_1^{i_1+j_1} \cdots x_k^{j_k} x_{k+1}^{i_{k+1}+j_{k+1}} \cdots x_s^{i_s-1} x_{s+1}^{i_{s+1}+j_{s+1}} \cdots x_n^{i_n} \partial_k. \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

Then the above Lie algebra is isomorphic to the special type Lie algebra S_n [13].

The Hamiltonian Lie algebra H_{2n} is realized as the subalgebra generated by the elements

$$-\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{m+i}} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} + \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{m+i}} \quad (2)$$

in $W(2n)$, for $u \in F[x_1, \dots, x_n, y_1, \dots, y_n]$.

From (2) we have

$$-\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x_i \partial x_{m+i}} + \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x_i \partial x_{m+i}} = 0.$$

Then, we observe that the Lie algebra H_{2n} is a proper subalgebra of S_{2n} .

Consider the commutative associative algebra over F [1],[6]:

$$F_{n,n} := F[e^{\pm x_1}, x_1^{\pm 1}, \dots, e^{\pm x_n}, x_n^{\pm 1}, e^{\pm y_1}, y_1^{\pm 1}, \dots, e^{\pm y_n}, y_n^{\pm 1}]$$

with basis

$$B_{n,n} := \{e^{a_1 x_1} \cdots e^{a_n x_n} x_1^{i_1} \cdots x_n^{i_n} e^{b_1 y_1} \cdots e^{b_n y_n} y_1^{j_1} \cdots y_n^{j_n} | \\ a_1, \dots, a_n, b_1, \dots, b_n \in \mathbb{Z}, i_1, \dots, i_n, j_1, \dots, j_n \in \mathbb{Z}\}. \quad (3)$$

Define the generalized Poisson algebra $H(n, n)$ with Poisson bracket for $f, g \in F_{n,n}$ given by

$$\{f, g\} = \sum_{i=1}^n \left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial g}{\partial y_i} - \frac{\partial g}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial f}{\partial y_i} \right).$$

The Lie algebra $H(n, n)$ generalizes $H(n)$.
From

$$\begin{aligned} & \{e^{ax}e^{by}y, e^{-ax}e^{-by}\} \\ = & \frac{\partial(e^{ax}e^{by}y)}{\partial x} \frac{\partial(e^{-ax}e^{-by})}{\partial y} - \frac{\partial(e^{ax}e^{by}y)}{\partial y} \frac{\partial(e^{-ax}e^{-by})}{\partial x} \\ = & -2aby - a, \end{aligned}$$

we know that the Lie algebra $H(n, n)$ has a one dimensional center F . Thus, we consider the quotient Lie algebra $\overline{H}(n, n) = H(n, n)/F$.

Note that the algebra generated by basis elements whose exponential parts are all zero is just a Poisson Lie algebra $\overline{H}(n) := \overline{H}(0, n)$ [1],[6],[13].

Consider the commutative associative algebra over F [6]

$$F_{n,i^*} := F[e^{\pm x_1^{i_{11}}}, \dots, e^{\pm x_1^{i_{1m}}}, x_1^{\pm 1}, \dots, e^{\pm x_n^{i_{n1}}}, \dots, e^{\pm x_n^{i_{nr}}}, x_n^{\pm 1}]$$

with basis like $F_{n,n}$, where $i_{11} < \dots < i_{1m}, \dots, i_{n1} < \dots < i_{nr}$ are non-negative integers. Consider the Lie algebra $W(n, i^*)$ with basis

$$\{e^{a_{11}x_1^{i_{11}}} \dots e^{a_{1m}x_1^{i_{1m}}} x_1^{j_1} \dots e^{a_{n1}x_n^{i_{n1}}} \dots e^{a_{nr}x_n^{i_{nr}}} x_n^{j_n} \partial_t\}$$

$$a_{11}, \dots, a_{1m}, \dots, a_{n1}, \dots, a_{nr} \in Z, j_1, \dots, j_n \in N, t \in \{1, \dots, n\},$$

and the Lie bracket

$$[f\partial_p, g\partial_q] = f\partial_p(g)\partial_q - g\partial_q(f)\partial_p,$$

for any $f, g \in F_{n,i^*}$ [1],[13].

Let us put $m + \dots + r = M$. We denote $Z^M = Z \times Z \times \dots \times Z$, m copies of Z for any $m \in N$. The Lie algebra $W(n, i^*)$ has a Z^M -gradation as follows:

$$W(n, i^*) = \bigoplus_{(a_{11}, \dots, a_{1m}, \dots, a_{n1}, \dots, a_{nr}) \in Z^M} W_{(a_{11}, \dots, a_{1m}, \dots, a_{n1}, \dots, a_{nr})}$$

where $W_{(a_{11}, \dots, a_{1m}, \dots, a_{n1}, \dots, a_{nr})}$ is the subspace spanned by

$$\{e^{a_{11}x_1^{i_{11}}} \dots e^{a_{1m}x_1^{i_{1m}}} \dots e^{a_{n1}x_n^{i_{n1}}} \dots e^{a_{nr}x_n^{i_{nr}}} x_1^{j_1} \dots x_n^{j_n} \partial_t\}$$

$$j_1, \dots, j_n \in N, 1 \leq t \leq n\}.$$

Let us call $W_{(a_{11}, \dots, a_{1m}, \dots, a_{n1}, \dots, a_{nr})}$ the $(a_{11}, \dots, a_{1m}, \dots, a_{n1}, \dots, a_{nr})$ -homogeneous component of $W(n, i^*)$ and elements in $W_{(a_{11}, \dots, a_{1m}, \dots, a_{n1}, \dots, a_{nr})}$ the $(a_{11}, \dots, a_{1m}, \dots, a_{n1}, \dots, a_{nr})$ -homogeneous elements.

Definition 1 Let us define a lexicographic order $>_o$ on the Z^M -gradation of $W(n, i^*)$ as follows: given two elements

$$l_1 = e^{a_{11}x_1^{i_{11}}} \dots e^{a_{1m}x_1^{i_{1m}}} \dots e^{a_{n1}x_n^{i_{n1}}} \dots e^{a_{nr}x_n^{i_{nr}}} x_1^{j_1} \dots x_n^{j_n} \partial_t \in W_{(a_{11}, \dots, a_{1m}, \dots, a_{n1}, \dots, a_{nr})}$$

and

$$l_2 = e^{b_{11}x_1^{i_{11}}} \dots e^{b_{1m}x_1^{i_{1m}}} \dots e^{b_{n1}x_n^{i_{n1}}} \dots e^{b_{nr}x_n^{i_{nr}}} x_1^{p_1} \dots x_n^{p_n} \partial_q \in W_{(b_{11}, \dots, b_{1m}, \dots, b_{n1}, \dots, b_{nr})},$$

$$\begin{aligned} l_1 >_o l_2 \text{ if and only if} \\ (a_{11}, \dots, a_{1m}, \dots, a_{n1}, \dots, a_{nr}, j_1, \dots, j_n, t) >_o \\ (b_{11}, \dots, b_{1m}, \dots, b_{n1}, \dots, b_{nr}, p_1, \dots, p_n, q) \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

by the natural lexicographic ordering in Z^M .

Thus, any element $l \in W(n, i^*)$ can be written using the Z^M -gradation and the order (4).

Note that $W_{(0, \dots, 0)}$ is a sub-algebra of $W(n, i^*)$ and has basis

$$\{x_1^{j_1} \dots x_n^{j_n} \partial_t | j_1, \dots, j_n \in N, t \in \{1, \dots, n\}\},$$

which is isomorphic to $W(n)$.

For any $l \in W(n, i^*)$, l can be the sum of different $(a_{11}, \dots, a_{1m}, \dots, a_{nr}, \dots, a_{nr})$ -homogeneous elements, \dots , $(a_{p1}, \dots, a_{pm}, \dots, a_{pr}, \dots, a_{nr})$ -homogeneous elements. Let us define the homogeneous number $w_h(l)$ of l as the total number of different homogeneous components of l . Let us define the total number of $(a_{11}, \dots, a_{1m}, \dots, a_{nr}, \dots, a_{nr})$ -homogeneous elements of l as $T_{(a_{11}, \dots, a_{1m}, \dots, a_{nr}, \dots, a_{nr})}$.

We define $hp(l)$ of $l \in W(n, i^*)$ as the highest power among all powers which appear in l .

The main results of this paper are the following.

Theorem 1 The Lie algebra $W(n, i^*)$ is simple. \square

Theorem 2 The Lie algebra $\overline{H(n, n)}$ is simple. \square

2 Generalized Witt algebras

Lemma 1 If $l \in W(n, i^*)$ is a non-zero element then the ideal $\langle l \rangle$ generated by l contains an element l_1 whose powers of polynomial parts are positive integers.

Proof. We can assume $l \in W(n, i^*)$ has a non-zero $(0, \dots, 0, a_{i,j}, a_{i,j+1}, \dots, a_{nr})$ -homogeneous element. Then, take $x_1^{j_1} \dots x_n^{j_n} \partial_i \in W(n, i^*)$. If i_1, \dots, i_n are sufficiently large non-negative integers, then

$$l_1 = [l, x_1^{j_1} \dots x_n^{j_n} \partial_i] \neq 0$$

is the required element. \square

Lemma 2 *The ideal I of $W(n, i^*)$ which contains an operator ∂_i for any $1 \leq i \leq n$ is $W(n, i^*)$.*

Proof. Since $W_{(0, \dots, 0)} \cong W(n)$ is simple, $W_{(0, \dots, 0)} \subset I$. For any basis element

$$e^{a_{11}x_1^{i_{11}}} \dots e^{a_{1m}x_1^{i_{1m}}} \dots e^{a_{n1}x_n^{i_{n1}}} \dots e^{a_{nr}x_n^{i_{nr}}} x_1^{j_1} \dots x_n^{j_n} \partial_t$$

of $W(n, i^*)$ with $j_t = 0$ and some $j_{ts} \neq 0$ where $1 \leq t \leq n$, we have

$$[\partial_t, x_t e^{a_{11}x_1^{i_{11}}} \dots e^{a_{1m}x_1^{i_{1m}}} \dots e^{a_{n1}x_n^{i_{n1}}} \dots e^{a_{nr}x_n^{i_{nr}}} x_1^{j_1} \dots x_n^{j_n} \partial_t] \in I \quad (5)$$

and

$$[x_t \partial_t, e^{a_{11}x_1^{i_{11}}} \dots e^{a_{1m}x_1^{i_{1m}}} \dots e^{a_{n1}x_n^{i_{n1}}} \dots e^{a_{nr}x_n^{i_{nr}}} x_1^{j_1} \dots x_n^{j_n} \partial_t] \in I. \quad (6)$$

Then

$$(6) - (5) = 2e^{a_{11}x_1^{i_{11}}} \dots e^{a_{1m}x_1^{i_{1m}}} \dots e^{a_{n1}x_n^{i_{n1}}} \dots e^{a_{nr}x_n^{i_{nr}}} x_1^{j_1} \dots x_n^{j_n} \partial_t \in I.$$

For any basis element

$$e^{a_{11}x_1^{i_{11}}} \dots e^{a_{1m}x_1^{i_{1m}}} \dots e^{a_{n1}x_n^{i_{n1}}} \dots e^{a_{nr}x_n^{i_{nr}}} x_1^{j_1} \dots x_n^{j_n} \partial_t$$

with $j_t = 0$ and some $j_{t1}, \dots, j_{tg} = 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & [\partial_t, x_t e^{a_{11}x_1^{i_{11}}} \dots e^{a_{1m}x_1^{i_{1m}}} \dots e^{a_{n1}x_n^{i_{n1}}} \dots e^{a_{nr}x_n^{i_{nr}}} x_1^{j_1} \dots x_n^{j_n} \partial_t] \\ &= e^{a_{11}x_1^{i_{11}}} \dots e^{a_{1m}x_1^{i_{1m}}} \dots e^{a_{n1}x_n^{i_{n1}}} \dots e^{a_{nr}x_n^{i_{nr}}} x_1^{j_1} \dots x_n^{j_n} \partial_t \in I. \end{aligned}$$

For any basis element

$$e^{a_{11}x_1^{i_{11}}} \dots e^{a_{1m}x_1^{i_{1m}}} \dots e^{a_{n1}x_n^{i_{n1}}} \dots e^{a_{nr}x_n^{i_{nr}}} x_1^{j_1} \dots x_n^{j_n} \partial_t$$

with $j_t \neq 0$, we have

$$[\partial_t, e^{a_{11}x_1^{i_{11}}} \dots e^{a_{1m}x_1^{i_{1m}}} \dots e^{a_{n1}x_n^{i_{n1}}} \dots e^{a_{nr}x_n^{i_{nr}}} x_1^{j_1} \dots x_n^{j_n} \partial_t] \in I, \quad (7)$$

and

$$[x_t^{j_t} \partial_t, x_t^{-j_t} e^{a_{11}x_1^{i_{11}}} \dots e^{a_{1m}x_1^{i_{1m}}} \dots e^{a_{n1}x_n^{i_{n1}}} \dots e^{a_{nr}x_n^{i_{nr}}} x_1^{j_1} \dots x_n^{j_n} \partial_t] \in I. \quad (8)$$

Thus

$$(7) - (8) = 2j_t e^{a_{11}x_1^{i_{11}}} \dots e^{a_{1m}x_1^{i_{1m}}} \dots e^{a_{n1}x_n^{i_{n1}}} \dots e^{a_{nr}x_n^{i_{nr}}} x_1^{j_1} \dots x_n^{j_n} \partial_t \in I.$$

Therefore, we have proven the lemma. \square

Theorem 1 *The Lie algebra $W(n, i^*)$ is a simple Lie algebra.*

Proof. Let I be non-zero ideal of $W(n, i^*)$. Then, by Lemma 1 there is a non-zero element l whose polynomial parts are positive. We prove $I = W(n, i^*)$ by induction on $w_h(l)$.

Step 1. If $w_h(l) = 1$, then $l = W(n, i^*)$.

Proof of Step 1. If $w_h(l) = 1$, then l has the form

$$\begin{aligned} & C(j_{11}, \dots, j_{1n}) e^{a_{11}x_1^{i_{11}}} \dots e^{a_{1m}x_1^{i_{1m}}} \dots e^{a_{n1}x_n^{i_{n1}}} \dots e^{a_{nr}x_n^{i_{nr}}} x_1^{j_{11}} \dots x_n^{j_{1n}} \partial_g \\ & + \dots \\ & + C(j_{p1}, \dots, j_{pn}) e^{a_{11}x_1^{i_{11}}} \dots e^{a_{1m}x_1^{i_{1m}}} \dots e^{a_{n1}x_n^{i_{n1}}} \dots e^{a_{nr}x_n^{i_{nr}}} x_1^{j_{p1}} \dots x_n^{j_{pn}} \partial_h \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

where $1 \leq q, h \leq n$ and $C(j_{11}, \dots, j_{1n}), \dots, C(j_{p1}, \dots, j_{pn}) \in F$.

If $a_{11} = \dots = a_{nr} = 0$, then the theorem holds by Lemma 2 since $W(n)$ is simple [7].

Assume l is $(0, \dots, 0, a_{uv}, a_{u,v+1}, \dots, a_{nr})$ -homogeneous. Then

$$[e^{-a_{uv}x_u^{i_{uv}}} \dots e^{-a_{uw}x_u^{i_{uw}}} \dots e^{-a_{n1}x_n^{i_{n1}}} \dots e^{-a_{nr}x_n^{i_{nr}}} \partial_u, l] \neq 0, \quad (10)$$

because of the term

$$i_{u1}a_{u1}x_1^{j_1} \dots x_u^{j_u+j_{uv}-1}x_{u+1}^{j_{u+1}} \dots x_n^{j_n} \partial_t$$

of (10).

We have $I \cap W(n) \neq 0$. Therefore, we have $I = W(n, i^*)$ by Lemma 2.

Step 2. If the theorem holds for $w_h(l) = n - 1$ for any $l \in I$, then the theorem holds for $w_h(l) = n$.

Proof of Step 3. If l has $(0, \dots, 0)$ -homogeneous elements and maximal $(0, \dots, 0, a_{us}, \dots, a_{nr})$ -homogeneous elements such that $a_{us} \neq 0$, then

$$l_1 = [\partial_u, [\partial_u, \dots, [\partial_u, l]] \dots] \neq 0$$

Applying the Lie bracket $(hp(l_2) + 1)$ times gives us $w_h(l_1) = n - 1$. Therefore, we proven the theorem by induction.

If l has no $(0, \dots, 0)$ -homogeneous elements and maximal $(0, \dots, 0, a_{us}, \dots, a_{nr})$ -homogeneous elements such that $a_{us} \neq 0$, then

$$l_1 = [e^{-a_{us}x_u^{i_{us}}} \dots e^{-a_{uw}x_u^{i_{uw}}} \dots e^{-a_{n1}x_n^{i_{n1}}} \dots e^{-a_{nr}x_n^{i_{nr}}} \partial_u, l] \neq 0, \quad (11)$$

and l_1 has $w_h(l_1) = n$ with $(0, \dots, 0)$ -homogeneous elements. Thus, we proved this case above. Therefore, the Lie algebra $W(n, i^*)$ is simple. \square

Consider the sub-algebra $W(1, i_{11}, \dots, i_{1n})$ of $W(n, i^*)$ with basis

$$\{e^{a_1x^{i_{11}}} \dots e^{a_nx^{i_{1n}}} x^j \partial | a_1, \dots, a_n \in Z, j \in N\}.$$

Corollary 1 *The Lie algebra $W(1, i_{11}, \dots, i_{1n})$ is a simple Lie algebra [11].*

Proof. This is clear from the previous theorem. \square

Lemma 3 *Let L be any Lie algebra over field F of characteristic zero. If θ is any Lie algebra automorphism of L and $\{l_i | i \in I\}$ is the basis of L , then $\{\theta(l_i) | i \in I\}$ is also a basis of L where I is an index set.*

Proof. This is trivial. \square

Let $W^+(1)$ be the Witt algebra over a field F of characteristic zero with basis $B = \{x^i \partial | i \in \mathbb{N}\}$ and with Lie bracket

$$[x^i \partial, x^j \partial] = (j - i)x^{i+j-1} \partial$$

for any $x^i \partial, x^j \partial \in B$. Note that $x \partial$ is the ad-diagonal element of $W^+(1)$, with respect to B . Note that

$$[x \partial, x^i \partial] = (i - 1)x^i \partial$$

for any $x^i \partial \in B$.

Proposition 1 *If θ is any automorphism of $W^+(1)$, then $\theta(x \partial) = \alpha x \partial + \beta \partial$ with $\alpha \neq 0$ and $\alpha, \beta \in F$ [8].*

Proof. Let θ be any automorphism of $W^+(1)$. Then

$$\theta(x \partial) = \alpha_{0,1} \partial + \cdots + \alpha_{n,1} x^n \partial$$

with $\alpha_{n,1} \neq 0$, and $\alpha_{0,1}, \dots, \alpha_{n,1} \in F$. Let us assume that

$$\theta(\partial) = \alpha_{0,0} \partial + \cdots + \alpha_{m,0} x^m \partial$$

with $\alpha_{m,0} \neq 0$ and $\alpha_{0,0}, \dots, \alpha_{m,0} \in F$. Thus, we have

$$\theta([\partial, x \partial]) = \alpha_{0,0} \partial + \cdots + \alpha_{m,0} x^m \partial. \quad (12)$$

On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned} [\theta(\partial), \theta(x \partial)] &= [\alpha_{0,0} \partial + \cdots + \alpha_{m,0} x^m \partial, \alpha_{0,1} \partial + \cdots + \alpha_{n,1} x^n \partial] \\ &= * + \alpha_{n,1} \alpha_{m,0} (n - m) x^n \partial = \alpha_{0,0} \partial + \cdots + \alpha_{m,0} x^m \partial, \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

where $*$ represents the remaining terms. From (12) and (13), we have $n = m$ or $n = 1$. First let us assume $n = m$. For $x^p \partial \in B$,

$$\theta(x^p \partial) = \alpha_{0,p} \partial + \cdots + \alpha_{t,p} x^t \partial$$

with $\alpha_{t,p} \neq 0$, and $p \neq 1$, $\alpha_{0,p}, \dots, \alpha_{t,p} \in F$.

On the one hand,

$$([x \partial, x^p \partial]) = (p - 1)\theta(x^p \partial) = (p - 1)\alpha_{0,p} \partial + \cdots + (p - 1)\alpha_{t,p} x^t \partial.$$

While

$$\begin{aligned} [\theta(x \partial), \theta(x^p \partial)] &= [\alpha_{0,1} \partial + \cdots + \alpha_{n,1} x^n \partial, \alpha_{0,p} \partial + \cdots + \alpha_{t,p} x^t \partial] \\ &= \alpha_{n,1} \alpha_{t,p} (t - n) x^{t+n-1} \partial + ** = (p - 1)\alpha_{0,p} \partial + \cdots + (p - 1)\alpha_{t,p} x^t \partial, \end{aligned}$$

where $**$ represents the remaining terms. Then $t = n$ or $n = 1$. Since $t \neq 1$, we have $n = 1$. Therefore, we have proved the proposition. \square

Note that for any automorphism θ of $W^+(1)$ we can determine $\theta(x^j \partial)$ for any $x^j \partial \in B$ inductively on i .

3 Generalized Poisson Algebras

In this section we prove the simplicity of $\overline{H(n, n)}$.

The Lie algebra $H(n, n)$ has Z^{2n} -gradation as follows:

$$H(n, n) = \bigoplus_{(a_1, \dots, a_n, b_1, \dots, b_n) \in Z^{2n}} W_{(a_1, \dots, a_n, b_1, \dots, b_n)}$$

where

$$W_{(a_1, \dots, a_n, b_1, \dots, b_n)} = \{f e^{a_1 x_1} \dots e^{a_n x_n} x_1^{i_1} \dots x_n^{i_n} e^{b_1 y_1} \dots e^{b_n y_n} y_1^{j_1} \dots y_n^{j_n} \mid i_1, \dots, i_n, j_1, \dots, j_n \in Z, f \in F\}.$$

An element of $W_{(a_1, \dots, a_n, b_1, \dots, b_n)}$ is called a $(a_1, \dots, a_n, b_1, \dots, b_n)$ -homogeneous element.

Definition 2 We define a lexicographic order $>_h$ on basis (3) as follows: given two basis elements,

$$\begin{aligned} l &= e^{a_{11}x_1} \dots e^{a_{1n}x_n} x_1^{i_{11}} \dots x_n^{i_{1n}} e^{b_{11}y_1} \dots e^{b_{1n}y_n} y_1^{j_{11}} \dots y_n^{j_{1n}}, \\ m &= e^{a_{21}x_1} \dots e^{a_{2n}x_n} x_1^{i_{21}} \dots x_n^{i_{2n}} e^{b_{21}y_1} \dots e^{b_{2n}y_n} y_1^{j_{21}} \dots y_n^{j_{2n}}, \end{aligned}$$

$$l >_h m \text{ if} \tag{14}$$

$$(a_{11}, \dots, a_{1n}, b_{11}, \dots, b_{1n}, i_{11}, \dots, j_{11}, \dots, j_{1n}) >_h$$

$$(a_{21}, \dots, a_{2n}, b_{21}, \dots, b_{2n}, i_{21}, \dots, j_{21}, \dots, j_{2n})$$

by the natural lexicographic ordering in Z^{2n} .

Using the Z^{2n} -gradation and the order $>_h$, an element $l \in H(n, n)$ can be written as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} l &= \tag{15} \\ &C(i_{11}, \dots, i_{1n}, j_{11}, \dots, j_{1n}) e^{a_{11}x_1} \dots e^{a_{1n}x_n} x_1^{i_{11}} \dots x_n^{i_{1n}} e^{b_{11}y_1} \dots e^{b_{1n}y_n} y_1^{j_{11}} \dots y_n^{j_{1n}} \\ &+ \dots \\ &+ C(i_{s1}, \dots, i_{sn}, j_{s1}, \dots, j_{sn}) e^{a_{s1}x_1} \dots e^{a_{sn}x_n} x_1^{i_{s1}} \dots x_n^{i_{sn}} e^{b_{s1}y_1} \dots e^{b_{sn}y_n} y_1^{j_{s1}} \dots y_n^{j_{sn}} \\ &+ \dots \\ &+ C(m_{11}, \dots, m_{1n}, p_{11}, \dots, p_{1n}) e^{d_{11}x_1} \dots e^{a_{1n}x_n} x_1^{m_{11}} \dots x_n^{m_{1n}} e^{f_{11}y_1} \dots e^{f_{1n}y_n} y_1^{p_{11}} \dots y_n^{p_{1n}} \\ &+ \dots \\ &+ C(m_{t1}, \dots, m_{tn}, p_{t1}, \dots, p_{tn}) e^{d_{t1}x_1} \dots e^{d_{tn}x_n} x_1^{m_{t1}} \dots x_n^{m_{tn}} e^{f_{t1}y_1} \dots e^{f_{tn}y_n} y_1^{p_{t1}} \dots y_n^{p_{tn}} \end{aligned}$$

with appropriate scalars in F and the lexicographic order.

Recall that in [11], $lp(l)$ is defined as the highest power of all polynomials of l . For $l = e^{x_1} x_2^7 + x_1 x_3^{-1} x_7^9$, we have $lp(l) = 9$.

For any $l \in H(n, n)$, let us define $h_h(l)$ as the cardinality of

$$\{(a_{11}, \dots, a_{1n}, b_{11}, \dots, b_{1n}), \dots, (a_{s1}, \dots, a_{sn}, b_{s1}, \dots, b_{sn})\}$$

which is s . For $l = e^{3x_1} e^{4x_2} x_1^5 x_2^7 + 5e^{3x_1} e^{4x_2} x_1^6 x_2^{-7} + 9e^{4x_1} x_2^7$, we have $h_h(l) = 2$.

Lemma 4 *If $l \in \overline{H(n, n)}$ is a non-zero element, then the ideal $\langle l \rangle$ generated by l contains an element l_1 whose powers of polynomial parts are positive integers.*

Proof. Since $\overline{H(n)}$ is a Z^{2n} -graded Lie algebra, it is enough to show the lemma holds for a basis element

$$l := e^{a_{1n}x_n} x_1^{i_{11}} \dots x_n^{i_{1n}} e^{b_{11}y_1} \dots e^{b_{1n}y_n} y_1^{j_{11}} \dots y_n^{j_{1n}}$$

with $i_{1u} > 0$ for $1 \leq u \leq n$, $i_{11}, \dots, i_{1,u-1} \geq 0$.

We can assume $b_{1u} \neq 0$, because

$$\{e^{ry_u}, l\} \neq 0$$

for some large $r \in N$. Take an element $x_1^{k_1} \dots x_n^{k_n} y_1^{h_1} \dots y_n^{h_n}$ where $k_1, \dots, k_n, h_1, \dots, h_n$ are sufficiently large positive integers such that $k_1 \gg \dots \gg k_n \gg h_1 \gg \dots \gg h_n \gg 0$ and $a \gg b$ means a is a sufficiently larger positive integer than b where $a, b \in Z$. Then

$$\{x_1^{k_1} \dots x_n^{k_n}, l\} \neq 0$$

is the required element in the lemma. \square

Lemma 5 *If an ideal I of $\overline{H(n, n)}$ contains $\{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ or $\{y_1, \dots, y_n\}$, then $I = \overline{H(n, n)}$.*

Proof. Since $\overline{H(n)}$ is a simple Lie algebra [12], we have $\overline{H(n)} \subset I$. For any basis element $l = e^{a_1x_1} \dots e^{a_nx_n} x_1^{i_1} \dots x_n^{i_n} e^{b_1y_1} \dots e^{b_ny_n} y_1^{j_1} \dots y_n^{j_n}$, we need to show $l \in I$; without loss of generality we can assume $a_1 \neq 0$ always. Assuming $i_1 = 0$, we have

$$\{y_1, l\} = \frac{\partial y_1}{\partial x_1} \frac{\partial l}{\partial y_1} - \frac{\partial l}{\partial x_1} \frac{\partial y_1}{\partial y_1} = -a_1 l \in I.$$

For $l' = e^{a_1x_1} \dots e^{a_nx_n} x_1 \dots x_n^{i_n} e^{b_1y_1} \dots e^{b_ny_n} y_1^{j_1} \dots y_n^{j_n}$, we have

$$\{y_1, l'\} = \frac{\partial y_1}{\partial x_1} \frac{\partial l'}{\partial y_1} - \frac{\partial l'}{\partial x_1} \frac{\partial y_1}{\partial y_1} = a_1 l' - x_1^{-1} l'.$$

Thus $l' \in I$.

Inductively we can get $x^n l' \in I$. Thus, we have proved the lemma. \square

Theorem 2 *The Lie algebra $\overline{H(n, n)}$ is simple.*

Proof. Let I be a non-zero ideal of $\overline{H(n, n)}$. Then there is a non-zero element $l \in I$ whose polynomial parts are positive by Lemma 4.

We prove the theorem by induction on $h_h(l)$ for an element $l \in I$.

Step 1. If the ideal I has an element l with $h_h(l) = 1$, then I is $\overline{H(n, n)}$.

Proof of Step 1. Assume l is $(0, \dots, 0)$ -homogeneous; then $I = \overline{H(n, n)}$ by the simplicity of $\overline{H(0, n)}$ [12] and Lemma 5.

Assume that l is $(0, \dots, 0, a_s, a_{s+1}, \dots, a_n, b_1, \dots, b_n)$ -homogeneous with $a_s \neq 0$. Then l can be written as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} & C(i_{11}, \dots, i_{1n}, j_{11}, \dots, j_{1n}) e^{a_s x_s} \dots e^{a_n x_n} x_1^{i_{11}} \dots x_n^{i_{1n}} e^{b_1 y_1} \dots e^{b_n y_n} y_1^{j_{11}} \dots y_n^{j_{1n}} \\ & + \dots \\ & + C(i_{p1}, \dots, i_{pn}, j_{p1}, \dots, j_{pn}) e^{a_s x_s} \dots e^{a_n x_n} x_1^{i_{p1}} \dots x_n^{i_{pn}} e^{b_1 y_1} \dots e^{b_n y_n} y_1^{j_{p1}} \dots y_n^{j_{pn}} \end{aligned} \tag{16}$$

with appropriate scalars in F and the lexicographic order of (14).

We can assume $j_{1s} \neq 0$, (if not, then

$$\{y_s^r, l\} \neq 0 \tag{17}$$

is the required element where r is a sufficiently large positive integer).

Then $\{e^{-a_s x_s}, l\} \neq 0$ and $\{e^{-a_s x_s}, l\}$ is $(0, \dots, 0)$ -homogeneous or

$(0, \dots, 0, d_q, d_{q+1}, \dots, d_n, f_1, \dots, f_n)$ -homogeneous with $q > s$.

Therefore, by using the above procedure (17) we can get an element $l_1 \in I$ which is $(0, \dots, 0)$ -homogeneous. Thus, we proved the theorem by the previous lemma and the simplicity of $\overline{H(0, n)}$ [12].

Step 2. If the ideal $\langle l \rangle$ has an element l with $h_h(l) = n$ ($n \geq 2$), then I has an element l' with $h_h(l') = n - 1$.

Proof of Step 2. Let l be the element in I such that $h_h(l) = n$.

If l has a $(0, \dots, 0)$ -homogeneous element and maximal $(0, \dots, 0, a_r, \dots, a_n, b_1, \dots, b_n)$ -homogeneous element such that $a_r \neq 0$, then

$$l_1 = \{y_r, \{y_r, \{\dots, \{y_r, l\} \dots\} \neq 0,$$

with $(lp(l) + 1)$ -times Lie brackets, and l_1 has no $(0, \dots, 0)$ -homogeneous element. Then $h_h(l_1) = n - 1$.

If l has no $(0, \dots, 0)$ -homogeneous element, then l has a maximal

$(0, \dots, 0, c_q, \dots, c_n, d_1, \dots, d_n)$ -homogeneous element and minimal

$(0, \dots, 0, a_r, \dots, a_n, b_1, \dots, b_n)$ -homogeneous element. Then l can be written as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} & l \\ & = C(i_{11}, \dots, i_{1n}, j_{11}, \dots, j_{1n}) e^{c_q x_q} \dots e^{c_n x_n} x_1^{i_{11}} \dots x_n^{i_{1n}} e^{d_1 y_1} \dots e^{d_n y_n} y_1^{j_{11}} \dots y_n^{j_{1n}} \\ & + \dots \\ & + C(i_{u1}, \dots, i_{un}, j_{u1}, \dots, j_{un}) e^{c_q x_q} \dots e^{c_n x_n} x_1^{i_{u1}} \dots x_n^{i_{un}} e^{d_1 y_1} \dots e^{d_n y_n} y_1^{j_{u1}} \dots y_n^{j_{un}} \\ & + \dots \end{aligned} \tag{18}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + C(m_{11}, \dots, m_{1n}, p_{11}, \dots, p_{1n}) e^{a_r x_r} \dots e^{a_n x_n} x_1^{m_{11}} \dots x_n^{m_{1n}} e^{b_1 y_1} \dots e^{b_n y_n} y_1^{p_{11}} \dots y_n^{p_{1n}} \\
& + \dots \\
& + C(m_{q1}, \dots, m_{qn}, p_{q1}, \dots, p_{qn}) e^{a_r x_r} \dots e^{a_n x_n} x_1^{m_{q1}} \dots x_n^{m_{qn}} e^{b_1 y_1} \dots e^{b_n y_n} y_1^{p_{q1}} \dots y_n^{p_{qn}}
\end{aligned}$$

with appropriate scalars in F .

We can assume one of m_{1r}, \dots, m_{qr} is non-zero (if not, $\{y_q^k, l\} \neq 0$ is the required element for k a sufficiently large positive integer.)

Then

$$\{e^{-a_r x_r}, l\} \neq 0.$$

$$h_h(l_1) \leq n.$$

If $h_h(l_1) \leq n - 1$, then we have proved this step by induction. If $h_h(l_1) = n$, then we apply the above procedure (17) and get an element $l_2 \in I$ which has a $(0, \dots, 0)$ -homogeneous element. Therefore, we can get an element l_3 without a $(0, \dots, 0)$ -homogeneous element. We have $h_h(l_3) = n - 1$. \square

The F -algebra $F[e^{x_1}, \dots, e^{x_n}, e^{y_1}, \dots, e^{y_n}]$ has algebra basis

$$\{e^{a_1 x_1} \dots e^{a_n x_n} e^{b_1 y_1} \dots e^{b_n y_n} | a_1, \dots, a_n, b_1, \dots, b_n \in Z\}.$$

Thus we can consider this algebra to be the sub-algebra $\overline{H(n, 0)}$ of $\overline{H(n, n)}$.

Theorem 3 *The Lie algebra $\overline{H(n, 0)}$ is a simple Lie algebra.*

Proof. The proof is similar to that of Theorem 2. \square

Proposition 2 *The Lie algebra $\overline{H(n, 0)}$ is not isomorphic to $\overline{H(0, n)} = \overline{H(n)}$.*

Proof. This is clear from the fact that $\overline{H(0, n)}$ has an ad-diagonal element, but $\overline{H(n, 0)}$ has no ad-diagonal elements. \square

The following method is very useful for finding all the derivations with ad-diagonal elements.

Proposition 3 *All the derivations of the Poisson Lie algebra $H(n)$ are sums of inner derivations and scalar derivations.*

Proof. Let D be any derivation of $H(n)$. Then we have following forms:

$$D(x_1 y_1 + \dots + x_n y_n) = \sum \alpha_{i_1, \dots, i_n} x_1^{i_1} \dots x_n^{i_n}. \quad (19)$$

By adding $\beta \text{ad}_{x_1^{i_1} \dots x_n^{i_n}}$ to (19) with an appropriate scalar β , we can remove the right hand side of (19). We need only to check the case

$$D(x_1 y_1 + \dots + x_n y_n) = \alpha_{1, 1, \dots, n, n} (x_1 y_1 \dots x_n y_n),$$

where $\alpha_{1, 1, \dots, n, n} \in F$. But an easy calculation shows that $\alpha_{1, 1, \dots, n, n} = 2 - 2n$. Actually this comes from the scalar derivation S such that

$$S(x_1^{a_1} y_1^{b_1} \dots x_n^{a_n} y_n^{b_n}) = (2 - \sum_{i=1}^n a_i - \sum_{i=1}^n b_i) x_1^{a_1} y_1^{b_1} \dots x_n^{a_n} y_n^{b_n},$$

for any $x_1^{a_1} y_1^{b_1} \cdots x_n^{a_n} y_n^{b_n} \in H(n)$. This shows that the derivations of $H(n)$ are sums of inner derivations and scalar derivations. \square

Note that the Lie algebra $H(0, 1)$ is isomorphic to the Lie algebra V considered in [9].

Consider the F -algebra [6]

$$F_{n,n,i_*} := F[e^{x_1^{i_{11}}}, \dots, e^{x_1^{i_{1m}}}, x_1, x_1^{-1}, \dots, e^{x_n^{i_{n1}}}, \dots, e^{x_n^{i_{ns}}}, x_n, x_n^{-1}, \\ e^{y_1^{i_{11}}}, \dots, e^{y_1^{i_{1m}}}, y_1, y_1^{-1}, \dots, e^{y_n^{i_{n1}}}, \dots, e^{y_n^{i_{ns}}}, y_n, y_n^{-1}]$$

like the F -algebra $F_{n,n}$ (3), where $i_{11} < \cdots < i_{nm} \in N - \{0\}$ are fixed non-negative integers. Then we get the generalized Poisson Lie algebra $\overline{H(n, n, i_*)}$. If we remove the one-dimensional center, then we get the Lie algebra $\overline{H(n, n, i_*)} = H(n, n, i_*)/F$.

Theorem 4 *The Lie algebra $\overline{H(n, n, i_*)}$ is a simple Lie algebra [11].*

Proof. The proof is similar to that of Theorem 2. \square

It is very easy to see that $\overline{H(n, n)}$ has no ad-diagonal element by using the gradation of $\overline{H(n, n)}$.

References

- [1] A. S. Dzhumadil'daev, *Central Extensions of Infinite-Dimensional Lie Algebras*, Func. Anal., vol. 26, **4**, 1992, 21-29.
- [2] D. Z. Dokovic and K. Zhao, *Derivations, isomorphisms, and second cohomology of generalized Witt algebras*, Trans. AMS, vol. 350, 1998, 2-7.
- [3] D. B. Fuks, *Cohomology of Infinite Dimensional Lie Algebras*, Consultants Bureau, New York, 1986, 2-7.
- [4] J. E. Humphreys, *Intro. to Lie Algebras and Representation Theory*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1987, 7-21.
- [5] T. Ikeda and N. Kawamoto, *On the derivations of generalized Witt algebras*, Hiroshima Math. J., **20**, 1990, 47-55.
- [6] V. G. Kac, *Description of Filtered Lie Algebra with which Graded Lie algebras of Cartan type are Associated*, Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR, Ser. Mat. Tom, **38**, 1974, 832-834.
- [7] N. Kawamoto, *Generalizations of Witt algebras over a field of characteristic zero*, Hiroshima Math. J., **16**, 1986, 417-426.

- [8] N. Kawamoto, *On G-Graded Automorphisms of generalized Witt algebras* , Contem. Math. A.M.S., **184**, 1995, 225-230.
- [9] E. Kirkman and C. Procesi and L. Small, *A q-Analog for the Virasoro Algebra* , Comm. in Alg. ,**22**, 1994, 3755-3763.
- [10] A. I. Kostrikin and I. R. Safarevic, *Graded Lie algebras of finite characteristic* , Math. USSR Izv., **3**, No. 2, 1970, 237-240.
- [11] Ki-Bong Nam, *Generalized Witt Algebras over A Field of Characteristic Zero*, University of Wisconsin-Madison, Thesis, 1997, 5-40.
- [12] M. J. Osborn and K. Zhao, *Generalized Poisson bracket and H type Lie algebra*, Preprint, 1996, 2-7.
- [13] A. N. Rudakov *Groups of Automorphisms of Infinite-Dimensional Simple Lie Algebras*, Math. USSR-Izvestija, **3**, 1969, 836-837.