

INFINITE-DIMENSIONAL LIE SUPERALGEBRAS AND HOOK SCHUR FUNCTIONS

SHUN-JEN CHENG* AND NGAU LAM**

ABSTRACT. Making use of a Howe duality involving the infinite-dimensional Lie superalgebra $\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_{\infty|\infty}$ and the finite-dimensional group GL_l of [CW3] we derive a character formula for a certain class of irreducible quasi-finite representations of $\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_{\infty|\infty}$ in terms of hook Schur functions. We use the reduction procedure of $\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_{\infty|\infty}$ to $\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_{n|n}$ to derive a character formula for a certain class of level 1 highest weight irreducible representations of $\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_{n|n}$, the affine Lie superalgebra associated to the finite-dimensional Lie superalgebra $\mathfrak{gl}_{n|n}$. These modules turn out to form the complete set of integrable $\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_{n|n}$ -modules of level 1. We also show that the characters of all integrable level 1 highest weight irreducible $\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_{m|n}$ -modules may be written as a sum of products of hook Schur functions.

1. INTRODUCTION

Symmetric functions have been playing an important role in relating combinatorics and representation theory of Lie groups/algebras. Interesting combinatorial identities involving symmetric functions, more often than not, have remarkable underlying representation-theoretic explanation. As an example consider the classical Cauchy identity

$$(1.1) \quad \prod_{i,j} \frac{1}{(1 - x_i y_j)} = \sum_{\lambda} s_{\lambda}(x_1, x_2, \dots) s_{\lambda}(y_1, y_2, \dots),$$

where x_1, x_2, \dots and y_1, y_2, \dots are indeterminates, and $s_{\lambda}(x_1, x_2, \dots)$ stands for the Schur function associated to the partition λ . Here the summation of λ above is over all partitions. Now the underlying representation-theoretic interpretation of (1.1) is of course the so-called (GL, GL) Howe duality [H1] [H2]. Namely, let \mathbb{C}^m and \mathbb{C}^n be the m - and n -dimensional complex vector spaces, respectively. We have an action of the respective general linear groups GL_m and GL_n on \mathbb{C}^m and \mathbb{C}^n . This induces a joint action of $GL_m \times GL_n$ on $\mathbb{C}^m \otimes \mathbb{C}^n$, which in turn induces an action on the symmetric tensor $S(\mathbb{C}^m \otimes \mathbb{C}^n)$. As partitions of appropriate length may be regarded as highest weights of irreducible representations of a general

*Partially supported by NSC-grant 91-2115-M-002-007 of the R.O.C.

**Partially supported by NSC-grant 90-2115-M-006-015 of the R.O.C.

linear group, (1.1) simply gives an identity of characters of the decomposition of $S(\mathbb{C}^m \otimes \mathbb{C}^n)$ with respect to this joint action.

It was observed in [BR] that a generalization of Schur functions, the so-called the hook Schur functions (see (3.1) for definition), play a similar role in the representation theory of a certain class of finite-dimensional irreducible modules over the general linear Lie superalgebra. To be more precise, consider the general linear Lie superalgebra $gl_{m|n}$ acting on the complex superspace $\mathbb{C}^{m|n}$ of (super)dimension $(m|n)$. We may consider its induced action on the k -th tensor power $T^k(\mathbb{C}^{m|n}) = \bigotimes^k(\mathbb{C}^{m|n})$. It turns out [BR] that the tensor algebra $T(\mathbb{C}^{m|n}) = \bigoplus_{k=0}^{\infty} T^k(\mathbb{C}^{m|n})$ is completely reducible as a $gl_{m|n}$ -module and the characters of the irreducible representations appearing in this decomposition are given by hook Schur functions associated to partitions lying in a certain hook whose shape is determined by the integers m and n . Now, as in the classical case, one may consider the joint action of two general linear Lie superalgebras $gl_{m|n} \times gl_{p|q}$ on the symmetric tensor $S(\mathbb{C}^{m|n} \otimes \mathbb{C}^{p|q})$. This action again is completely reducible and its decomposition with respect to the joint action, in a similar fashion, gives rise to a combinatorial identity involving hook Schur functions [CW1]. So here we have an interplay between combinatorics and representation theory of finite-dimensional Lie superalgebras as well. For another interplay involving Schur Q -functions and the queer Lie superalgebra see [CW2]. For further articles related to Howe duality in the Lie superalgebra settings we refer to [S1], [S2], [N] and [OP].

The purpose of the present paper is to demonstrate that symmetric functions may play a similarly prominent role relating combinatorics and representation theory of infinite-dimensional Lie superalgebras as well. It was shown in [CW3] that on the infinite-dimensional Fock space generated by n pairs of free bosons and n pairs of free fermions we have a natural commuting action of the finite-dimensional group GL_n and the infinite-dimensional Lie superalgebra $\widehat{gl}_{\infty|\infty}$ of central charge n . It can be shown [CW3] that the pair $(GL_n, \widehat{gl}_{\infty|\infty})$ forms a dual pair in the sense of Howe. The irreducible representations of GL_n appearing in this decomposition ranges over all rational representations, so that they are parameterized by generalized partitions of length not exceeding n . The irreducible representations of $\widehat{gl}_{\infty|\infty}$ appearing in the same decomposition are certain quasi-finite highest weight irreducible representations. In particular this relates rational representations of the finite-dimensional group GL_n and a certain class of quasi-finite representations of the infinite-dimensional Lie superalgebra $\widehat{gl}_{\infty|\infty}$.

A natural question that arises is the computation of the character of these quasi-finite highest weight irreducible representations of $\widehat{gl}_{\infty|\infty}$. This is solved in the present paper by combining the Howe duality of [CW3] and a combinatorial identity involving hook Schur functions (Proposition 3.1). It turns out that the characters of these representations can be written as an infinite sum of products of

two hook Schur functions. Each coefficient of these products can be determined by decomposing a certain tensor product of two finite-dimensional irreducible representations of GL_n . The same method applied to the classical Lie algebra \hat{gl}_∞ (using Lemma 3.1 now together with the dual Cauchy identity instead of Proposition 3.1) gives rise to a character formula for \hat{gl}_∞ involving Schur functions instead of hook Schur functions. This formula has been discovered earlier by Kac and Radul [KR2] and even earlier in the simplest case by Awata, Fukuma, Matsuo and Odake [AFMO2]. However, our approach in these classical cases appears to be simpler. It is indeed remarkable that the same character identity obtained in [KR2] with Schur functions replaced by hook Schur functions associated to the same partitions gives rise to our character identity for $\hat{gl}_{\infty|\infty}$. That is, the coefficients remain unchanged!

Making use of the same combinatorial identity we then proceed to compute the corresponding q -character formula for this class of highest weight irreducible representations of $\hat{gl}_{\infty|\infty}$. We remark that when computing just the q -characters we can obtain a simpler formula, which involves a sum of just hook Schur functions, instead of a product of hook Schur functions. We note that a q -character formula in the case when the central charge is 1 has been obtained earlier by Kac and van de Leur [KL]. Our formula in this case looks rather different from theirs, thus giving rise to another combinatorial identity. It would be interesting to find a purely combinatorial proof of this identity.

We use the reduction procedure from $\hat{gl}_{\infty|\infty}$ to the $\hat{gl}_{n|n}$ [KL] in the level 1 case to obtain a character formula for certain highest weight irreducible representations of the affine Lie superalgebra $\hat{gl}_{n|n}$ at level 1. However, the Borel subalgebra coming from the reduction procedure is different from the standard Borel subalgebra, and hence the corresponding highest weights in general are different. However, we show that by a sequence of odd reflections [PS] our highest weights may be transformed into highest weights corresponding to integrable $\hat{gl}_{n|n}$ -modules (in the sense of [KW]) so that we obtain a character formula for all integrable highest weight $\hat{gl}_{n|n}$ -modules of level 1. In [KW] a character formula has been obtained for level 1 integrable highest weight irreducible $\hat{gl}_{m|n}$ -modules. Our formula looks rather different.

We also show that by applying our method together with [KW] the characters of all level 1 integrable representations of $\hat{gl}_{m|n}$, $m \geq 2$, may be written in terms of hook Schur functions as well. This seems to indicate the relevance of these generalized symmetric functions in the representation theory of affine superalgebras.

As we have obtained a character formula for certain representations of $\hat{gl}_{\infty|\infty}$ at arbitrary positive integral level, it is our hope that our formula may provide

some direction in finding a character formula for integrable $\hat{gl}_{n|n}$ -, or maybe even $\hat{gl}_{m|n}$ -modules, at higher positive integral levels.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we collect the definitions and notation to be used throughout. In Section 3 we first prove the combinatorial identity mentioned above and then use it to write the characters of certain $\hat{gl}_{\infty|\infty}$ -modules in terms of hook Schur functions. In Section 4 we calculate a q -character formula for these modules, while in Section 5 we calculate the characters of the associated affine $\hat{gl}_{n|n}$ -modules. In Section 6 we compute the tensor product decomposition of two $\hat{gl}_{\infty|\infty}$ -modules. It turns out that even though such a decomposition involves an infinite number of irreducible components, each irreducible component appears with a finite multiplicity. This multiplicity can be computed via the usual Littlewood-Richardson rule.

2. PRELIMINARIES

Let $\mathbb{C}^{m|n} = \mathbb{C}^{m|0} \oplus \mathbb{C}^{0|n}$ denote the $m|n$ -dimensional superspace. Let $gl_{m|n}$ be the Lie superalgebra of general linear transformations on the superspace $\mathbb{C}^{m|n}$. Choosing a basis $\{e_1, \dots, e_m\}$ for the even subspace $\mathbb{C}^{m|0}$ and a basis $\{f_1, \dots, f_n\}$ for the odd subspace $\mathbb{C}^{0|n}$, we may regard $gl_{m|n}$ as $(m+n) \times (m+n)$ matrices of the form

$$(2.1) \quad \begin{pmatrix} E & a \\ b & e \end{pmatrix},$$

where the complex matrices E , a , b and e are respectively $m \times m$, $m \times n$, $n \times m$ and $n \times n$. Let X_{ij} denote the corresponding elementary matrix with 1 in the i -th row and j -th column and zero elsewhere, where $X = E, b, a, e$. Then $\mathfrak{h} = \sum_{i=1}^m \mathbb{C}E_{ii} + \sum_{j=1}^n \mathbb{C}e_{jj}$ is a Cartan subalgebra of $gl_{m|n}$.

It is clear that any ordering of the basis $\{e_1, \dots, e_m, f_1, \dots, f_n\}$ that preserves the order among the even and odd basis elements themselves gives rise to a Borel subalgebra of $gl_{m|n}$ containing \mathfrak{h} . In particular the ordering $e_1 < \dots < e_m < f_1 < \dots < f_n$ gives rise to the standard Borel subalgebra. In the case when $m = n$ the ordering $f_1 < e_1 < f_2 < e_2 < \dots < f_n < e_n$ gives rise to a Borel subalgebra that we will refer to as *non-standard* from now on.

Fixing the standard Borel subalgebra, we let $V_{m|n}^\lambda$ denote the finite-dimensional highest weight irreducible module with highest weight λ .

Let $\epsilon_i \in \mathfrak{h}^*$ be defined by $\epsilon_i(E_{jj}) = \delta_{ij}$ and $\epsilon_i(e_{jj}) = 0$. Furthermore let δ_j be defined by $\delta_j(E_{ii}) = 0$ and $\delta_j(e_{ii}) = \delta_{ij}$. Then ϵ_i and δ_j are the fundamental weights of $gl_{m|n}$.

Let $\mathbb{C}[t, t^{-1}]$ be the ring of Laurent polynomials in the indeterminate t . Let $\hat{gl}_{m|n} \equiv gl_{m|n} \otimes \mathbb{C}[t, t^{-1}] + \mathbb{C}c + \mathbb{C}d$ be the affine Lie superalgebra associated to the Lie superalgebra $gl_{m|n}$. Writing $A(k)$ for $A \otimes t^k$, $A \in gl_{m|n}$, the Lie (super)bracket

is given by

$$\begin{aligned} [A(k), B(l)] &= [A, B](k+l) + \delta_{k+l,0} k \text{Str}(AB)C, \\ [d, A(k)] &= kA(k), \quad A, B \in gl_{m|n}, \quad k, l \in \mathbb{Z}, \end{aligned}$$

Here C is a central element, d is the scaling element and Str denote the super trace operator of a matrix, which for a matrix of the form (2.1) takes the form $\text{Tr}(E) - \text{Tr}(e)$.

A Cartan subalgebra of $\hat{gl}_{m|n}$ is given by $\hat{\mathfrak{h}} = \mathfrak{h} + \mathbb{C}C + \mathbb{C}d$. We may extend respectively ϵ_i and δ_j to elements $\tilde{\epsilon}_i$ and $\tilde{\delta}_j$ in $\hat{\mathfrak{h}}^*$ in a trivial way. Furthermore we define $\tilde{\Lambda}_0 \in \hat{\mathfrak{h}}^*$ and $\tilde{\delta} \in \hat{\mathfrak{h}}^*$ by $\tilde{\Lambda}_0(\mathfrak{h}) = \tilde{\Lambda}_0(d) = 0$, $\tilde{\Lambda}_0(C) = 1$ and $\tilde{\delta}(\mathfrak{h}) = \tilde{\delta}(C) = 0$, $\tilde{\delta}(d) = 1$, respectively.

Let $B \subseteq gl_{m|n}$ be a Borel subalgebra containing \mathfrak{h} . Then $B + \mathbb{C}C + \mathbb{C}d + gl_{m|n} \otimes t\mathbb{C}[t]$ is a Borel subalgebra of $\hat{gl}_{m|n}$. We define highest weight irreducible modules of $\hat{gl}_{m|n}$ in the usual way. It is clear that any highest weight irreducible $\hat{gl}_{m|n}$ -module is completely determined by an element $\Lambda \in \hat{\mathfrak{h}}^*$. We will denote this module by $L(\hat{gl}_{m|n}, \Lambda)$.

Consider now the infinite-dimensional complex superspace $\mathbb{C}^{\infty|\infty}$ with even basis elements labelled by integers and odd basis elements labelled half-integers. Arranging the basis elements in strictly increasing order any linear transformation may be written as an infinite-sized square matrix with coefficients in \mathbb{C} . This associative algebra is naturally \mathbb{Z}_2 -graded, so that it is an associative superalgebra, which we denote by $\tilde{M}_{\infty|\infty}$. Let

$$M_{\infty|\infty} := \{A = (a_{ij}) \in \tilde{M}_{\infty|\infty}, i, j \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z} \mid a_{ij} = 0 \text{ for } |j - i| \gg 0\}.$$

That is, $M_{\infty|\infty}$ consists of those matrices in $\tilde{M}_{\infty|\infty}$ with finitely many non-zero diagonals. We denote the corresponding Lie superalgebra by $gl_{\infty|\infty}$. Furthermore let us denote by e_{ij} , $i, j \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}$ the elementary matrices with 1 at the i -th row and j -th column and 0 elsewhere. Then the subalgebra generated by $\{e_{ij} \mid i, j \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}\}$ is a dense subalgebra inside $gl_{\infty|\infty}$.

The Lie superalgebra $gl_{\infty|\infty}$ has a central extension (by an even central element C), denoted from now on by $\hat{gl}_{\infty|\infty}$, corresponding to the following two-cocycle

$$\alpha(A, B) = \text{Str}([J, A]B), \quad A, B \in gl_{\infty|\infty},$$

where J denotes the matrix $\sum_{r \leq 0} e_{rr}$, and for a matrix $D = (d_{ij}) \in gl_{\infty|\infty}$, $\text{Str}(D)$ stands for the supertrace of the matrix D and which here is given by $\sum_{r \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}} (-1)^{2r} d_{rr}$. We note that the expression $\alpha(A, B)$ is well-defined for $A, B \in gl_{\infty|\infty}$.

The Lie superalgebra $\hat{gl}_{\infty|\infty}$ has a natural $\frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}$ -gradation by setting $\deg E_{ij} = j - i$, for $i, j \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}$. Thus we have the triangular decomposition

$$\hat{gl}_{\infty|\infty} = (\hat{gl}_{\infty|\infty})_- \oplus (\hat{gl}_{\infty|\infty})_0 \oplus (\hat{gl}_{\infty|\infty})_+,$$

where the subscripts $+$, 0 and $-$ respectively denote the positive, zero-th and negative graded components. Thus we have a notion of a highest weight Verma module, which contains a unique irreducible quotient, which is determined by an element $\Lambda \in (\hat{gl}_{\infty|\infty})_0^*$. We will denote this module by $L(\hat{gl}_{\infty|\infty}, \Lambda)$. Let ω_s , $s \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}$, denote the fundamental weights of $\hat{gl}_{\infty|\infty}$. That is, $\omega_s(e_{rr}) = 0$, $r \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}$, and $\omega_s(C) = 0$. Furthermore let $\Lambda_0 \in (\hat{gl}_{\infty|\infty})_0^*$ with $\Lambda_0(e_{rr}) = 0$ and $\Lambda_0(C) = 1$.

Note that by declaring the highest weight vectors to be of degree zero, the module $L(\hat{gl}_{\infty|\infty}, \Lambda)$ is naturally $\frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}$ -graded, i.e.

$$L(\hat{gl}_{\infty|\infty}, \Lambda) = \bigoplus_{r \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}_+} L(\hat{gl}_{\infty|\infty}, \Lambda)_r.$$

The module $L(\hat{gl}_{\infty|\infty}, \Lambda)$ is said to be *quasi-finite* [KR1] if $\dim L(\hat{gl}_{\infty|\infty}, \Lambda)_r < \infty$, for all $r \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}_+$.

3. A CHARACTER FORMULA FOR $\hat{gl}_{\infty|\infty}$ -MODULES

First we recall the notion of the hook Schur function of Berele-Regev [BR].

Let $\mathbf{x} = \{x_1, x_2, \dots\}$ be a countable set of variables. To a partition λ of non-negative integers we may associate the Schur function $s_\lambda(x_1, x_2, \dots)$. We will write $s_\lambda(\mathbf{x})$ for $s_\lambda(x_1, x_2, \dots)$. For a partition $\mu \subset \lambda$ we let $s_{\lambda/\mu}(\mathbf{x})$ denote the corresponding skew Schur function. Denoting by μ' the conjugate partition of a partition μ the *hook Schur function* corresponding to a partition λ is defined by

$$(3.1) \quad HS_\lambda(\mathbf{x}; \mathbf{y}) := \sum_{\mu \subset \lambda} s_\mu(\mathbf{x}) s_{\lambda'/\mu'}(\mathbf{y}),$$

where as usual $\mathbf{y} = \{y_1, y_2, \dots\}$.

Let λ be a partition and $\mu \subseteq \lambda$. We fill the boxes in μ with entries from the linearly ordered set $\{x_1 < x_2 < \dots\}$ so that the resulting tableau is semi-standard. Recall that this means that the rows are non-decreasing, while the columns are strictly increasing. Next we fill the skew partition λ/μ with entries from the linearly ordered set $\{y_1 < y_2 < \dots\}$ so that it is conjugate semi-standard, which means that the rows are strictly increasing, while its columns are non-decreasing. We will refer to such a tableau as an $(\infty|\infty)$ -*semi-standard tableau* (cf. [BR]). To each such tableau T we may associate a polynomial $(\mathbf{xy})^T$, which is obtained by taking the products of all the entries in T . Then we have [BR]

$$(3.2) \quad HS_\lambda(\mathbf{x}; \mathbf{y}) = \sum_T (\mathbf{xy})^T,$$

where the summation is over all $(\infty|\infty)$ -semi-standard tableaux of shape λ .

We have the following combinatorial identity involving hook Schur functions that is crucial in the sequel.

Proposition 3.1. *Let $\mathbf{x} = \{x_1, x_2, \dots\}$, $\mathbf{y} = \{y_1, y_2, \dots\}$ be two infinite countable sets of variables and $\mathbf{z} = \{z_1, z_2, \dots, z_m\}$ be m variables. Then*

$$(3.3) \quad \prod_{i,j,k} (1 - x_i z_k)^{-1} (1 + y_j z_k) = \sum_{\lambda} HS_{\lambda}(\mathbf{x}; \mathbf{y}) s_{\lambda}(\mathbf{z}),$$

where $1 \leq i, j < \infty$, $1 \leq k \leq m$ and λ is summed over all partitions λ with length not exceeding m .

Proof. Consider the classical Cauchy identity

$$(3.4) \quad \prod_{i,j} (1 - x_i z_j)^{-1} (1 - y_j z_j)^{-1} = \sum_{\lambda} s_{\lambda}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) s_{\lambda}(\mathbf{z}),$$

where λ is summed over all partitions of length not exceeding m . Recall that for any partition λ one has (cf. [M] (I.5.9))

$$(3.5) \quad s_{\lambda}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) = \sum_{\mu \subset \lambda} s_{\mu}(\mathbf{x}) s_{\lambda/\mu}(\mathbf{y}).$$

Let ω denote the involution of the ring of symmetric functions, which sends the elementary symmetric functions to the complete symmetric functions, so that we have $\omega(s_{\lambda}(\mathbf{x})) = s_{\lambda'}(\mathbf{x})$. Now applying ω to the set of variables \mathbf{y} in (3.4) we obtain together with (3.5)

$$\begin{aligned} \prod_{i,j,k} (1 - x_i z_k)^{-1} (1 + y_j z_k) &= \sum_{\lambda} \left(\sum_{\mu \subset \lambda} s_{\mu}(\mathbf{x}) s_{\lambda'/\mu'}(\mathbf{y}) \right) s_{\lambda}(\mathbf{z}) \\ &= \sum_{\lambda} HS_{\lambda}(\mathbf{x}; \mathbf{y}) s_{\lambda}(\mathbf{z}), \end{aligned}$$

as required. \square

We note that Proposition 3.1 in the case when the sets of variables are all finite sets follows from the Howe duality ([H1], [H2]) involving a general linear Lie superalgebra and a general linear Lie algebra described in [CW1]. Since we will need this result in the case when both algebras involved are Lie algebras later on we will recall it here.

Proposition 3.2. [H2] *The Lie algebras gl_d and gl_m with their natural actions on $S(\mathbb{C}^d \otimes \mathbb{C}^m)$ form a dual pair. With respect to their joint action we have the following decomposition.*

$$S(\mathbb{C}^d \otimes \mathbb{C}^m) \cong \sum_{\lambda} V_d^{\lambda} \otimes V_m^{\lambda},$$

where the summation is over all partitions with length not exceeding $\min(l, m)$.

Below we will recall the $\hat{gl}_{\infty|\infty} \times gl_l$ duality of [CW3]. Consider l pairs of free fermions $\psi^{\pm,i}(z)$ and l pairs of free bosons $\gamma^{\pm,i}(z)$ with $i = 1, \dots, l$. That is we have

$$\begin{aligned}\psi^{+,i}(z) &= \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \psi_n^{+,i} z^{-n-1}, & \psi^{-,i}(z) &= \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \psi_n^{-,i} z^{-n}, \\ \gamma^{+,i}(z) &= \sum_{r \in \frac{1}{2} + \mathbb{Z}} \gamma_r^{+,i} z^{-r-1/2}, & \gamma^{-,i}(z) &= \sum_{r \in \frac{1}{2} + \mathbb{Z}} \gamma_r^{-,i} z^{-r-1/2}\end{aligned}$$

with non-trivial commutation relations $[\psi_m^{+,i}, \psi_n^{-,j}] = \delta_{ij} \delta_{m+n,0}$ and $[\gamma_r^{+,i}, \gamma_s^{-,j}] = \delta_{ij} \delta_{r+s,0}$.

Let \mathfrak{F} denote the corresponding Fock space generated by the vacuum vector $|0\rangle$. That is $\psi_n^{+,i}|0\rangle = \psi_m^{-,i}|0\rangle = \gamma_r^{\pm,i}|0\rangle = 0$, for $n \geq 0$, $m > 0$ and $r > 0$. These operators are called *annihilation operators*.

Explicitly we have an action of $\hat{gl}_{\infty|\infty}$ of central charge l on \mathfrak{F} given by $(i, j \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $r, s \in \frac{1}{2} + \mathbb{Z})$

$$\begin{aligned}e_{ij} &= \sum_{p=1}^l : \psi_{-i}^{+,p} \psi_j^{-,p} :, \\ e_{rs} &= - \sum_{p=1}^l : \gamma_{-r}^{+,p} \gamma_s^{-,p} :, \\ e_{is} &= \sum_{p=1}^l : \psi_{-i}^{+,p} \gamma_s^{-,p} :, \\ e_{rj} &= - \sum_{p=1}^l : \gamma_{-r}^{+,p} \psi_j^{-,p} :.\end{aligned}$$

An action of gl_l on \mathfrak{F} is given by the formula

$$E_{ij} = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} : \psi_{-n}^{+,i} \psi_n^{-,j} : - \sum_{r \in \frac{1}{2} + \mathbb{Z}} : \gamma_{-r}^{+,i} \gamma_r^{-,j} :.$$

Here and further $::$ denotes the normal ordering of operators. That is, if A and B are two operators, then $:AB := AB$, if B is an annihilation operator, while $:AB := (-1)^{p(A)p(B)}BA$, otherwise. As usual, $p(X)$ denotes the parity of the operator X .

Before stating the duality of [CW3] we need some more notation. For $j \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ we define the matrices X^{-j} as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
X^0 &= \begin{pmatrix} \psi_0^{-,l} & \psi_0^{-,l-1} & \cdots & \psi_0^{-,1} \\ \psi_0^{-,l} & \psi_0^{-,l-1} & \cdots & \psi_0^{-,1} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \cdots & \vdots \\ \psi_0^{-,l} & \psi_0^{-,l-1} & \cdots & \psi_0^{-,1} \end{pmatrix}, \\
X^{-1} &= \begin{pmatrix} \gamma_{-\frac{1}{2}}^{-,l} & \gamma_{-\frac{1}{2}}^{-,l-1} & \cdots & \gamma_{-\frac{1}{2}}^{-,1} \\ \psi_{-1}^{-,l} & \psi_{-1}^{-,l-1} & \cdots & \psi_{-1}^{-,1} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \cdots & \vdots \\ \psi_{-1}^{-,l} & \psi_{-1}^{-,l-1} & \cdots & \psi_{-1}^{-,1} \end{pmatrix}, \\
X^{-2} &= \begin{pmatrix} \gamma_{-\frac{1}{2}}^{-,l} & \gamma_{-\frac{1}{2}}^{-,l-1} & \cdots & \gamma_{-\frac{1}{2}}^{-,1} \\ \gamma_{-\frac{3}{2}}^{-,l} & \gamma_{-\frac{3}{2}}^{-,l-1} & \cdots & \gamma_{-\frac{3}{2}}^{-,1} \\ \psi_{-2}^{-,l} & \psi_{-2}^{-,l-1} & \cdots & \psi_{-2}^{-,1} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \cdots & \vdots \\ \psi_{-2}^{-,l} & \psi_{-2}^{-,l-1} & \cdots & \psi_{-2}^{-,1} \end{pmatrix}, \\
&\vdots \\
&\vdots \\
X^{-k} \equiv X^{-l} &= \begin{pmatrix} \gamma_{-\frac{1}{2}}^{-,l} & \gamma_{-\frac{1}{2}}^{-,l-1} & \cdots & \gamma_{-\frac{1}{2}}^{-,1} \\ \gamma_{-\frac{3}{2}}^{-,l} & \gamma_{-\frac{3}{2}}^{-,l-1} & \cdots & \gamma_{-\frac{3}{2}}^{-,1} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \cdots & \vdots \\ \gamma_{-l+\frac{1}{2}}^{-,l} & \gamma_{-l+\frac{1}{2}}^{-,l-1} & \cdots & \gamma_{-l+\frac{1}{2}}^{-,1} \\ \gamma_{-l-\frac{1}{2}}^{-,l} & \gamma_{-l-\frac{1}{2}}^{-,l-1} & \cdots & \gamma_{-l-\frac{1}{2}}^{-,1} \end{pmatrix}, \quad k \geq l.
\end{aligned}$$

The matrices X^j , for $j \in \mathbb{N}$, are defined similarly. Namely, X^j is obtained from X^{-j} by replacing $\psi_i^{-,k}$ by $\psi_i^{+,l-k+1}$ and $\gamma_r^{-,k}$ by $\gamma_r^{+,l-k+1}$.

For $0 \leq r \leq l$, we let X_r^i ($i \geq 0$) denote the first $r \times r$ minor of the matrix X^i and let X_{-r}^i ($i < 0$) denote the first $r \times r$ minor of the matrix X^i .


Consider a generalized partition $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_p)$ of length not exceeding l with

$$\lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2 \geq \cdots \geq \lambda_i > \lambda_{i+1} = 0 = \cdots = \lambda_{j-1} > \lambda_j \geq \cdots \geq \lambda_l.$$

Now the irreducible rational representations of GL_l are parameterized by generalized partitions, hence these may be interpreted as highest weights of irreducible representations of GL_l . We denote the corresponding finite-dimensional highest weight irreducible GL_l - (or gl_l -) module by V_l^λ . Let λ'_j be the length of the j -th column of λ . We use the convention that the first column of λ is the first column

of the partition $\lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2 \geq \dots \geq \lambda_i$. The column to the right is the second column of λ , while the column to the left of it is the zeroth column and the column to the left of the zeroth column is the -1 -st column. We also use the convention that a non-positive column has non-positive length. As an example consider $\lambda = (5, 3, 2, 1, -1, -2)$ with $l(\lambda) = 6$. We have $\lambda'_{-1} = -1$, $\lambda'_0 = -2$, $\lambda'_1 = 4$ etc. (see (3.6)).

(3.6)



For $\Lambda \in (\widehat{gl}_{\infty|\infty})_0^*$, we set $\Lambda_s = \Lambda(e_{ss})$, for $s \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}$. Given a generalized partition λ with $l(\lambda) \leq l$, we define $\Lambda(\lambda) \in (\widehat{gl}_{\infty|\infty})_0^*$ by:

$$\begin{aligned} \Lambda(\lambda)_i &= \langle \lambda'_i - i \rangle, \quad i \in \mathbb{N}, \\ \Lambda(\lambda)_j &= -\langle -\lambda'_j + j \rangle, \quad j \in -\mathbb{Z}_+, \\ \Lambda(\lambda)_r &= \langle \lambda_{r+1/2} - (r - 1/2) \rangle, \quad r \in \frac{1}{2} + \mathbb{Z}_+, \\ \Lambda(\lambda)_s &= -\langle -\lambda_{p+(s+1/2)} + (s - 1/2) \rangle, \quad s \in -\frac{1}{2} - \mathbb{Z}_+, \\ \Lambda(\lambda)(C) &= l. \end{aligned}$$

Here for an integer k the expression $\langle k \rangle \equiv k$, if $k > 0$, and $\langle k \rangle \equiv 0$, otherwise. We have the following theorem.

Theorem 3.1. [CW3] *The Lie superalgebra $\widehat{gl}_{\infty|\infty}$ and gl_l form a dual pair on \mathfrak{F} in the sense of Howe. Furthermore we have the following (multiplicity-free) decomposition of F with respect to their joint action*

$$\mathfrak{F} \cong \sum_{\lambda} L(\widehat{gl}_{\infty|\infty}, \Lambda(\lambda)) \otimes V_l^{\lambda},$$

where the summation is over all generalized partitions of length not exceeding l . Furthermore, the joint highest weight vector of the λ -component is given by

$$\det X_{\lambda'_{\lambda_l+1}}^{\lambda_l+1} \cdots \det X_{\lambda'_{-1}}^{-1} \cdot \det X_{\lambda'_0}^0 \cdot \det X_{\lambda'_1}^1 \cdot \det X_{\lambda'_2}^2 \cdots \det X_{\lambda'_1}^{\lambda_1} |0\rangle.$$

We compute for $i \in \mathbb{Z}$, $r \in \frac{1}{2} + \mathbb{Z}$

$$\begin{aligned} [e_{ii}, \psi_{-n}^{+,p}] &= \delta_{in} \psi_{-n}^{+,p}, \\ [e_{ii}, \psi_{-n}^{-,p}] &= -\delta_{-in} \psi_{-n}^{-,p}, \\ [e_{rr}, \psi_{-n}^{\pm,p}] &= [e_{ii}, \gamma_{-r}^{\pm,p}] = 0, \\ [e_{rr}, \gamma_{-s}^{+,p}] &= \delta_{rs} \gamma_{-s}^{+,p}, \\ [e_{rr}, \gamma_{-s}^{-,p}] &= -\delta_{-rs} \gamma_{-s}^{-,p}. \end{aligned}$$

Furthermore for $i = 1, \dots, l$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} [E_{ii}, \psi_{-n}^{+,p}] &= \delta_{ip} \psi_{-n}^{+,p}, \\ [E_{ii}, \psi_{-n}^{-,p}] &= -\delta_{ip} \psi_{-n}^{-,p}, \\ [E_{ii}, \gamma_{-r}^{+,p}] &= \delta_{ip} \gamma_{-r}^{+,p}, \\ [E_{ii}, \gamma_{-r}^{-,p}] &= -\delta_{ip} \gamma_{-r}^{-,p}. \end{aligned}$$

Let e be a formal indeterminate and set for $j \in \mathbb{Z}$, $r \in \frac{1}{2} + \mathbb{Z}$, $i = 1, \dots, l$

$$x_i = e^{\epsilon_i}, \quad y_j = e^{\omega_j}, \quad z_r = e^{\omega_r},$$

where $\epsilon_1, \dots, \epsilon_l$ and ω_s are the respective fundamental weights of gl_l and $\widehat{gl}_{\infty|\infty}$ introduced earlier. It is easy to see that the character of \mathfrak{F} , with respect to the abelian algebra $\sum_{s \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{C}e_{ss} \oplus \sum_{i=1}^l \mathbb{C}E_{ii}$, is given by

$$(3.7) \quad \text{ch} \mathfrak{F} = \prod_{i=1}^l \frac{\prod_{n \in \mathbb{N}} (1 + x_i y_n) \prod_{m \in \mathbb{Z}_+} (1 + x_i^{-1} y_{-m}^{-1})}{\prod_{r \in 1/2 + \mathbb{Z}_+} (1 - x_i z_r) (1 - x_i^{-1} z_{-r}^{-1})}$$

By Proposition 3.1 we can rewrite (3.7) as

$$(3.8) \quad \text{ch} \mathfrak{F} = \sum_{\mu, \nu} HS_{\mu}(\mathbf{z}; \mathbf{y}) HS_{\nu}(\mathbf{z}^{-1}; \mathbf{y}^{-1}) s_{\mu}(\mathbf{x}) s_{\nu}(\mathbf{x}^{-1}),$$

where μ and ν are summed over all partitions of length not exceeding l . Here we use the notation $\mathbf{y} = \{y_1, y_2, \dots\}$, $\mathbf{y}^{-1} = \{y_0^{-1}, y_{-1}^{-1}, \dots\}$, $\mathbf{z} = \{z_{\frac{1}{2}}, z_{\frac{3}{2}}, \dots\}$, $\mathbf{z}^{-1} = \{z_{-\frac{1}{2}}^{-1}, z_{-\frac{3}{2}}^{-1}, \dots\}$, $\mathbf{x} = \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_l\}$, and $\mathbf{x}^{-1} = \{x_1^{-1}, x_2^{-1}, \dots, x_l^{-1}\}$.

It is clear that $s_{\nu}(\mathbf{x}^{-1})$ is just the character of the gl_l -module $(V_l^{\nu})^*$, the module contragredient to V_l^{ν} . Therefore we have

$$(3.9) \quad s_{\mu}(\mathbf{x}) s_{\nu}(\mathbf{x}^{-1}) = \sum_{\lambda} c_{\mu\nu}^{\lambda} \text{ch} V_l^{\lambda},$$

where the summation now is over generalized partitions of length not exceeding l . Here the non-negative integers $c_{\mu\nu}^{\lambda}$ are of course just the multiplicity of V_l^{λ} in the

tensor product decomposition of $V_l^\mu \otimes (V_l^\nu)^*$. This combined with (3.8) allows us to write the character of \mathfrak{F} as

$$(3.10) \quad \text{ch} \mathfrak{F} = \sum_{\lambda} \left(\sum_{\mu, \nu} c_{\mu\nu}^\lambda HS_\mu(\mathbf{z}; \mathbf{y}) HS_\nu(\mathbf{z}^{-1}; \mathbf{y}^{-1}) \right) \text{ch} V_l^\lambda.$$

On the other hand Theorem 3.1 implies that

$$(3.11) \quad \text{ch} \mathfrak{F} = \sum_{\lambda} \text{ch} L(\hat{gl}_{\infty|\infty}, \Lambda(\lambda)) \text{ch} V_l^\lambda.$$

Using (3.10) together with (3.11) we can prove the following character formula.

Theorem 3.2. *We have*

$$\text{ch} L(\hat{gl}_{\infty|\infty}, \Lambda(\lambda)) = \sum_{\mu, \nu} c_{\mu\nu}^\lambda HS_\mu(\mathbf{z}; \mathbf{y}) HS_\nu(\mathbf{z}^{-1}; \mathbf{y}^{-1}),$$

where the summation is over all partitions μ and ν of length not exceeding l and $c_{\mu\nu}^\lambda$ are determined by the tensor product decomposition $V_l^\mu \otimes (V_l^\nu)^* = \sum_{\lambda} c_{\mu\nu}^\lambda V_l^\lambda$.

Proof. The statement of the theorem would follow from the linear independence of the Schur functions in the ring of symmetric functions, if the summation in (3.10) and (3.11) were over partitions λ of length not exceeding l . However, here we need to deal with summation over generalized partitions λ . This will follow from the following lemma. \square

Lemma 3.1. *Let q be an indeterminate and suppose that $\sum_{\lambda} \phi(q) \text{ch} V_l^\lambda = 0$, where $\phi_{\lambda}(q)$ are power series in q and λ above is summed over all generalized partitions of length not exceeding l . Then $\phi_{\lambda}(q) = 0$, for all λ .*

Proof. We continue to use the notation from above. We let $p_l = (x_1 x_2 \cdots x_l)^{\frac{1}{l}}$ and $p_1 = (x_1 x_2^{-1})^{\frac{1}{l}}, p_2 = (x_2 x_3^{-1})^{\frac{1}{l}}, \dots, p_{l-1} = (x_{l-1} x_l^{-1})^{\frac{1}{l}}$. Then $\text{ch} V_l^\lambda$ may be written as

$$(3.12) \quad \text{ch} V_l^\lambda = p_l^{\sum_{i=1}^l \lambda_i} \rho_{\lambda}(p_1, \dots, p_{l-1}),$$

where $\rho_{\lambda}(p_1, \dots, p_{l-1})$ are Laurent polynomials in p_1, \dots, p_{l-1} . The Laurent polynomial $\rho_{\lambda}(p_1, \dots, p_{l-1})$ here is of course just the corresponding character of the irreducible sl_n -module.

We need to show that if we have $\sum_{\lambda} \phi_{\lambda}(q) \text{ch} V_l^\lambda = 0$, then $\phi_{\lambda}(q) = 0$ for all λ , where q is some indeterminate. Using (3.12) by considering just the coefficient of p_l^m , $m \in \mathbb{Z}$, we deduce that

$$(3.13) \quad \sum_{\lambda, \sum \lambda_i = m} \phi_{\lambda}(q) \rho_{\lambda}(p_1, \dots, p_{l-1}) = 0.$$

Now it is clear that $\sum_{i=1}^l \lambda_i$ and $\rho_{\lambda}(p_1, \dots, p_{l-1})$ uniquely determines λ . Hence if we sum over generalized partitions λ with $\sum_{i=1}^l \lambda_i$ fixed, ρ_{λ} is summed over

inequivalent irreducible finite-dimensional sl_n -characters. Thus by the Weyl character formula we may write

$$\rho_\lambda(p_1, \dots, p_{l-1}) = \frac{\sum_{w \in W} \epsilon_w e^{w(\lambda' + \rho)}}{\prod_{\alpha \in \Delta_+} (e^{\alpha/2} - e^{-\alpha/2})},$$

where λ' is the corresponding sl_l -highest weight of λ , W is the Weyl group, ϵ_w is the sign of $w \in W$, Δ_+ is a set of positive roots and $\rho = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\alpha \in \Delta_+} \alpha$.

Multiplying (3.13) by $\prod_{\alpha \in \Delta_+} (e^{\alpha/2} - e^{-\alpha/2})$ and using the Weyl character formula we get

$$(3.14) \quad \sum_{\lambda'} \phi_\lambda(q) \sum_{w \in W} e^{w(\lambda' + \rho)} = 0.$$

As $\lambda' + \rho$ is a regular dominant weight, the coefficient of $e^{\lambda' + \rho}$ in (3.14) above is $\phi_\lambda(q)$. Thus $\phi_\lambda(q) = 0$. \square

We conclude this section by applying the character formula to the case of $l = 1$, that is when the central charge is 1. In this case μ and ν are integers. Furthermore, $\Lambda(\lambda) = \lambda\omega_{\frac{1}{2}} + \Lambda_0$, for $\lambda \geq 0$, and $\Lambda(\lambda) = -\omega_0 + (\lambda + 1)\omega_{-\frac{1}{2}} + \Lambda_0$, for $\lambda < 0$. Since obviously $V_1^\mu \otimes (V_1^\nu)^* = V_1^{\mu - \nu}$, we see that

$$(3.15) \quad \begin{aligned} \text{ch}L(\hat{gl}_{\infty|\infty}, \lambda\omega_{\frac{1}{2}} + \Lambda_0) &= \sum_{\mu - \nu = \lambda} HS_\mu(\mathbf{z}; \mathbf{y}) HS_\nu(\mathbf{z}^{-1}; \mathbf{y}^{-1}), \quad \lambda \geq 0, \\ \text{ch}L(\hat{gl}_{\infty|\infty}, -\omega_0 + (\lambda + 1)\omega_{-\frac{1}{2}} + \Lambda_0) &= \sum_{\mu - \nu = \lambda} HS_\mu(\mathbf{z}; \mathbf{y}) HS_\nu(\mathbf{z}^{-1}; \mathbf{y}^{-1}), \quad \lambda < 0, \end{aligned}$$

where the summation is over all partitions of non-negative integers μ and ν .

4. A q -CHARACTER FORMULA FOR $\hat{gl}_{\infty|\infty}$ -MODULES

We can obtain a q -character formula for the irreducible highest weight module $L(\hat{gl}_{\infty|\infty}, \Lambda(\lambda))$ from Theorem 3.2. The resulting character formula will involve a sum of products of hook Schur functions. However we can use Proposition 3.1 and Lemma 3.1 to obtain a simpler formula that will only involve a sum of hook Schur functions. This we will discuss below.

Since $\hat{gl}_{\infty|\infty}$ has a principal $\frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}$ -gradation, by declaring the highest weight vectors to be of degree 0, its irreducible highest weight modules are naturally $\frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}$ -graded. Given a quasi-finite highest weight module $V = \bigoplus_{s \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}_+} V_s$, we can define the q -character of V to be

$$\text{ch}_q V = \sum_{s \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}_+} \dim V_s q^s.$$

It is known [CW3] that $L(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_{\infty|\infty}, \Lambda(\lambda))$ is quasi-finite, so that we may compute its q -character.

We first introduce the Virasoro field:

$$(4.1) \quad L(z) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} L_n z^{-n-2} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n (:\partial \psi^{+,i}(z) \psi^{-,i}(z): - : \psi^{+,i}(z) \partial \psi^{-,i}(z):) \\ + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n (:\gamma^{+,i}(z) \partial \gamma^{-,i}(z): - : \partial \gamma^{+,i}(z) \gamma^{-,i}(z):).$$

Now set $\tilde{d} = -L_0 - \frac{1}{2}\alpha_0$, where $\alpha_0 = \sum_{i=1}^l (\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} : \psi_n^{+,i} \psi_n^{-,i} :)$. We have

$$[\tilde{d}, \psi_n^{\pm,i}] = n \psi_n^{\pm,i}, \quad [\tilde{d}, \gamma_r^{\pm,i}] = r \gamma_r^{\pm,i}.$$

It is clear that \tilde{d} commutes with $\sum_{i=1}^l \mathbb{C} E_{ii}$ so that we may decompose the Fock space \mathfrak{F} into its $\mathbb{C}\tilde{d} + \sum_{i=1}^l \mathbb{C} E_{ii}$ -weight spaces. Let $\text{ch}_q \mathfrak{F}$ denote the resulting character. Letting $x_i = e^{\epsilon_i}$ be as before and $e^{-\delta'} = q$ with δ' defined by $\delta'(E_{ii}) = 0$ and $\delta'(\tilde{d}) = 1$ we have

$$\text{ch}_q \mathfrak{F} = \prod_{i=1}^l \left(\prod_{n \in \mathbb{N}, r \in \frac{1}{2} + \mathbb{Z}_+} \frac{(1 + q^n x_i)(1 + q^n x_i^{-1})}{(1 - q^r x_i)(1 - q^r x_i^{-1})} (1 + x_i^{-1}) \right).$$

By Proposition 3.1 we have

$$(4.2) \quad \prod_{i=1}^l \prod_{n \in \mathbb{N}, r \in \frac{1}{2} + \mathbb{Z}_+} \frac{(1 + q^n x_i)(1 + q^n x_i^{-1})}{(1 - q^r x_i)(1 - q^r x_i^{-1})} = \sum_{\mu} HS_{\mu}(\mathbf{q}^r; \mathbf{q}^n) s_{\mu}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}^{-1}),$$

where $\mathbf{q}^r = \{q^{\frac{1}{2}}, q^{\frac{3}{2}}, \dots\}$, $\mathbf{q}^n = \{q, q^2, \dots\}$. Here the summation of μ is over all partitions of length not exceeding $2l$, and $s_{\mu}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}^{-1}) = s_{\mu}(x_1, \dots, x_l, x_1^{-1}, \dots, x_l^{-1})$. The expression $s_{\mu}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}^{-1})$ has a simple interpretation. Consider the embedding of the Lie algebra gl_l into gl_{2l} given by

$$A \in gl_l \rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} A & 0 \\ 0 & -A^t \end{pmatrix} \in gl_{2l}.$$

The gl_{2l} -module V_{2l}^{μ} has gl_{2l} -character $s_{\mu}(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{2l})$. We may restrict V_{2l}^{μ} via the embedding above to a gl_l -module. Then its gl_l -character is given by $s_{\mu}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}^{-1})$.

Of course the product $\prod_{i=1}^l (1 + x_i^{-1})$ is nothing but the character of $\Lambda^{\bullet}(\mathbb{C}^{l*})$, the exterior algebra of the gl_l -module contragredient to the standard module. We may decompose $V_{2l}^{\mu} \otimes \Lambda^{\bullet}(\mathbb{C}^{l*})$ as a gl_l -module and obtain

$$V_{2l}^{\mu} \otimes \Lambda^{\bullet}(\mathbb{C}^{l*}) \cong \sum_{\lambda} c_{\lambda}^{\mu} V_l^{\lambda},$$

where λ are now generalized partitions of lengths not exceeding l and c_λ^μ are the multiplicities of this tensor product decomposition. Therefore we have the corresponding character identity

$$(4.3) \quad s_\mu(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}^{-1}) \prod_{i=1}^l (1 + x_i^{-1}) = \sum_{\lambda} c_\lambda^\mu \text{ch} V_l^\lambda.$$

Using (4.2) and (4.3) we obtain

$$(4.4) \quad \text{ch}_q \mathfrak{F} = \sum_{\lambda} \left(\sum_{\mu} c_\lambda^\mu H S_\mu(\mathbf{q}^r; \mathbf{q}^n) \right) \text{ch} V_l^\lambda,$$

where μ here is summed over all generalized partitions of length not exceeding l .

On the other hand by Theorem 3.1, using the explicit formula of the joint highest weight vectors, we see that

$$(4.5) \quad \text{ch}_q \mathfrak{F} = \sum_{\lambda} q^{h(\lambda)} \text{ch}_q L(\widehat{\text{gl}}_{\infty|\infty}, \Lambda(\lambda)) \text{ch} V_l^\lambda,$$

where $h(\lambda) = \sum_{r \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}} r \Lambda(\lambda)_r$. Combining (4.4) with (4.5), using Lemma 3.1, we have thus proved the following.

Theorem 4.1.

$$\text{ch}_q L(\widehat{\text{gl}}_{\infty|\infty}, \Lambda(\lambda)) = q^{-h(\lambda)} \sum_{\mu} c_\lambda^\mu H S_\mu(\mathbf{q}^r, \mathbf{q}^n),$$

where the sum is over all partitions of length not exceeding $2l$ and the coefficient c_λ^μ are determined by (4.3).

We consider the simplest case when $l = 1$ and let $x = x_1$. In this case it is clear that $s_\mu(x, x^{-1})$ is just the character of the corresponding sl_2 -module, and hence for a partitions $\mu = (\mu_1, \mu_2)$ with $\mu_1 \geq \mu_2 \geq 0$ we have

$$s_\mu(x, x^{-1}) = x^{\mu_1 - \mu_2} + x^{\mu_1 - \mu_2 - 2} + \dots + x^{\mu_2 - \mu_1}.$$

Hence

$$s_\mu(x, x^{-1})(1 + x^{-1}) = x^{\mu_1 - \mu_2} + x^{\mu_1 - \mu_2 - 1} + \dots + x^{\mu_2 - \mu_1 - 1}.$$

Therefore we see that for $\lambda \in \mathbb{Z}$

$$\text{ch}_q L(\widehat{\text{gl}}_{\infty|\infty}, \lambda \omega_{\frac{1}{2}} + \Lambda_0) = q^{-\frac{\lambda}{2}} \sum_{\mu_1 - \mu_2 \geq \lambda} H S_\mu(\mathbf{q}^r; \mathbf{q}^n), \quad \lambda \geq 0,$$

$$\text{ch}_q L(\widehat{\text{gl}}_{\infty|\infty}, -\omega_0 + (\lambda + 1)\omega_{-\frac{1}{2}} + \Lambda_0) = q^{\frac{\lambda+1}{2}} \sum_{\mu_2 - \mu_1 - 1 \leq \lambda} H S_\mu(\mathbf{q}^r; \mathbf{q}^n), \quad \lambda < 0.$$

Note that the coefficient of q^s , $s \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}_+$ in $H S_\mu(\mathbf{q}^r; \mathbf{q}^n)$ can be computed as follows. Arrange $\mathbf{q}^r = \{q^{\frac{1}{2}} < q^{\frac{3}{2}} < \dots\}$ and $\mathbf{q}^n = \{q < q^2 < \dots\}$ in increasing order. Let T be an $(\infty|\infty)$ -semi-standard tableau of shape μ . Let $q^{m(T)}$ denote the product of all entries in T so that $m(T) \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}_+$. Then for a fixed $s \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}_+$ the

coefficient of q^s in $HS_\mu(\mathbf{q}^r; \mathbf{q}^n)$ is the number of $(\infty|\infty)$ -semi-standard tableaux of shape μ with $m(T) = s$. Hence we have the formula

$$HS_\mu(\mathbf{q}^r; \mathbf{q}^n) = \sum_{s \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}_+} \left(\sum_{m(T)=s} 1 \right) q^s.$$

For example if μ is the partition $(2, 0)$, then $HS_{(2,0)}(\mathbf{q}^r, \mathbf{q}^n) = q + q^{\frac{3}{2}} + 2q^2 + 2q^{\frac{5}{2}} + \dots$.

Now by [KL]

$$\begin{aligned} \text{ch}_q L(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_{\infty|\infty}, \lambda\omega_{\frac{1}{2}} + \Lambda_0) &= (1 + q^{\lambda+\frac{1}{2}})^{-1} \prod_{n \in \mathbb{N}, r \in \frac{1}{2} + \mathbb{Z}_+} \frac{(1 + q^r)^2}{(1 - q^n)^2}, \quad \lambda \geq 0, \\ \text{ch}_q L(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_{\infty|\infty}, -\omega_0 + (\lambda + 1)\omega_{-\frac{1}{2}} + \Lambda_0) &= (1 + q^{-\lambda-\frac{1}{2}})^{-1} \prod_{n \in \mathbb{N}, r \in \frac{1}{2} + \mathbb{Z}_+} \frac{(1 + q^r)^2}{(1 - q^n)^2}, \quad \lambda < 0. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore we obtain the following interesting combinatorial identities.

Theorem 4.2. *For $\lambda \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $\mu = (\mu_1, \mu_2)$ a partition of length at most 2 we have*

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\mu_1 - \mu_2 \geq \lambda} HS_\mu(\mathbf{q}^r; \mathbf{q}^n) &= q^{\frac{\lambda}{2}} (1 + q^{\lambda+\frac{1}{2}})^{-1} \prod_{n \in \mathbb{N}, r \in \frac{1}{2} + \mathbb{Z}_+} \frac{(1 + q^r)^2}{(1 - q^n)^2}, \quad \lambda \geq 0, \\ \sum_{\mu_2 - \mu_1 - 1 \leq \lambda} HS_\mu(\mathbf{q}^r; \mathbf{q}^n) &= q^{-\frac{\lambda+1}{2}} (1 + q^{-\lambda-\frac{1}{2}})^{-1} \prod_{n \in \mathbb{N}, r \in \frac{1}{2} + \mathbb{Z}_+} \frac{(1 + q^r)^2}{(1 - q^n)^2}, \quad \lambda < 0. \end{aligned}$$

First we note that $\text{ch}_q L(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_{\infty|\infty}, \Lambda_0) = \sum_\mu HS_\mu(\mathbf{q}^r; \mathbf{q}^n)$, where the summation is over all μ . Also we have

$$(4.6) \quad \text{ch}_q L(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_{\infty|\infty}, \lambda\omega_{\frac{1}{2}} + \Lambda_0) = \text{ch}_q L(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_{\infty|\infty}, -\omega_0 - \lambda\omega_{-\frac{1}{2}} + \Lambda_0),$$

for all $\lambda \in \mathbb{Z}_+$. We have a similar q -character identity for higher level representations as well. In fact one has the following proposition.

Proposition 4.1. *Let $l \in \mathbb{N}$ and λ be a generalized partition of length not exceeding l . We have*

$$\begin{aligned} \text{ch}_q L(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_{\infty|\infty}, \Lambda(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_l)) &= \\ &= \text{ch}_q L(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_{\infty|\infty}, \Lambda(-\lambda_l - 1, \dots, -\lambda_2 - 1, -\lambda_1 - 1)). \end{aligned}$$

In particular when $l = 1$ the above identity reduces to (4.6).

Proof. We will use the Howe duality involving a B -type subalgebra of $\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_{\infty|\infty}$ and the double covering Lie group Pin_{2l} of the spin group Spin_{2l} on \mathfrak{F} in [CW3] to prove this identity.

We recall that the subalgebra \mathcal{B} is the subalgebra of $\mathfrak{gl}_{\infty|\infty}$ preserving the even non-degenerate bilinear form $(\cdot|\cdot)$ of $\mathfrak{gl}_{\infty|\infty}$ defined by

$$\begin{aligned} (e_i|e_j) &= (-1)^i \delta_{i,-j}, \quad i, j \in \mathbb{Z}, \\ (e_r|e_s) &= (-1)^{r+\frac{1}{2}} \delta_{r,-s}, \quad r, s \in \frac{1}{2} + \mathbb{Z}. \end{aligned}$$

Restricting the 2-cocycle of $\mathfrak{gl}_{\infty|\infty}$ to \mathcal{B} we obtain a central extension $\widehat{\mathcal{B}}$ of \mathcal{B} . Obviously $\widehat{\mathcal{B}}$ acts on \mathfrak{F} and in fact there exists an action of Pin_{2l} on \mathfrak{F} such that $(\widehat{\mathcal{B}}, \text{Pin}_{2l})$ forms a dual pair [CW3]. Hence we have a multiplicity-free decomposition

$$\mathfrak{F} \cong \sum_{\mu} L(\widehat{\mathcal{B}}, \mu') \otimes W_{2l}^{\mu},$$

where W_{2l}^{μ} (respectively $L(\widehat{\mathcal{B}}, \mu')$) stands for an irreducible Pin_{2l} -module (respectively $\widehat{\mathcal{B}}$ -module) of highest weight μ (respectively μ') and the map $\mu \rightarrow \mu'$ is a bijection. On the other hand by Theorem 3.1 we have another dual pair $(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_{\infty|\infty} \times GL_l)$ on \mathfrak{F} . Now if we twist the action of GL_l on \mathfrak{F} by $(\det)^{\frac{1}{2}}$, then these two dual pairs form a seesaw pair in the sense of Kudla [KU]. This implies that we have the following decompositions of respectively $\widehat{\mathcal{B}}$ - and GL_l -modules:

$$\begin{aligned} L(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_{\infty|\infty}, \Lambda(\lambda')) &\cong \bigoplus_{\mu} b_{\lambda}^{\mu} L(\widehat{\mathcal{B}}, \mu'), \\ W_{2l}^{\mu} &\cong \bigoplus_{\lambda} b_{\lambda}^{\mu} V_l^{\lambda}, \end{aligned}$$

where here $\lambda' = \lambda - (\frac{1}{2}, \dots, \frac{1}{2})$ due to the twist by $\det^{\frac{1}{2}}$ and $b_{\lambda}^{\mu} \in \mathbb{Z}_+$.

Now the Pin_{2l} -modules that appear in the decomposition of \mathfrak{F} with respect to the dual pair $(\widehat{\mathcal{B}}, \text{Pin}_{2l})$, when regarded as a module over the Lie algebra \mathfrak{so}_{2l} , decomposes into two irreducible modules contragredient to each other. Hence $b_{\lambda}^{\mu} = b_{\lambda^*}^{\mu}$. But then as $\widehat{\mathcal{B}}$ -modules we have

$$\begin{aligned} L(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_{\infty|\infty}, \Lambda(\lambda')) &\cong \bigoplus_{\mu} b_{\lambda}^{\mu} L(\widehat{\mathcal{B}}, \mu') \\ &\cong \bigoplus_{\mu} b_{\lambda^*}^{\mu} L(\widehat{\mathcal{B}}, \mu') \\ &\cong L(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_{\infty|\infty}, \Lambda(\lambda'^*)). \end{aligned}$$

Hence as modules over $\widehat{\mathcal{B}}$ we have an isomorphism

$$L(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_{\infty|\infty}, \Lambda(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_l)) \cong L(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_{\infty|\infty}, \Lambda(-\lambda_l - 1, \dots, -\lambda_2 - 1, -\lambda_1 - 1)).$$

Since $\widehat{\mathcal{B}}$ is a subalgebra of $\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_{\infty|\infty}$ preserving the principal \mathbb{Z} -gradation, the proposition follows. \square

5. A CHARACTER FORMULA FOR $\hat{gl}_{m|n}$ -MODULES AT LEVEL 1

We first recall a method of constructing representations of affine superalgebras $\hat{gl}_{n|n}$ from representations of $\hat{gl}_{\infty|\infty}$ [KL]. It is a generalization of the classical reduction from \hat{gl}_{∞} to \hat{gl}_n . Our presentation below is somewhat different from [KL] in flavor.

Let $\psi^+(z) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \psi_n^+ z^{-n-1}$ and $\psi^-(z) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \psi_n^- z^{-n}$ be a pair of free fermions and let $\gamma^{\pm}(z) = \sum_{r \in \frac{1}{2} + \mathbb{Z}} \gamma_r^{\pm} z^{-r-\frac{1}{2}}$ be a pair of free bosons. Let \mathfrak{F} denote the corresponding Fock space generated by the vacuum vector $|0\rangle$. We have thus an action of the dual pair $(\hat{gl}_{\infty|\infty}, gl_1)$ on \mathfrak{F} , where the central charge of $\hat{gl}_{\infty|\infty}$ is 1. From the pair of free fermions we may construct n pairs of free fermions $\psi^{\pm, i}(z)$, for $i = 1, \dots, n$, as follows:

$$(5.1) \quad \psi^{+, i}(z) = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \psi_k^{+, i} z^{-k-1} = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \psi_{-i+n(k+1)}^+ z^{-k-1},$$

$$(5.2) \quad \psi^{-, i}(z) = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \psi_k^{-, i} z^{-k} = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \psi_{i+n(k-1)}^- z^{-k}.$$

It is easy to check that the only non-zero commutation relations are

$$[\psi_m^{+, i}, \psi_n^{-, j}] = \delta_{ij} \delta_{m+n, 0}, \quad m, n \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

Similarly we construct from our pair of free bosons n pairs of free bosons $\gamma^{\pm, i}(z)$ for $i = 1, \dots, n$, via

$$(5.3) \quad \gamma^{+, i}(z) = \sum_{r \in \frac{1}{2} + \mathbb{Z}} \gamma_r^{+, i} z^{-r-\frac{1}{2}} = \sum_{r \in \frac{1}{2} + \mathbb{Z}} \gamma_{-i+\frac{1}{2}+n(r+\frac{1}{2})}^+ z^{-r-\frac{1}{2}},$$

$$(5.4) \quad \gamma^{-, i}(z) = \sum_{r \in \frac{1}{2} + \mathbb{Z}} \gamma_r^{-, i} z^{-r-\frac{1}{2}} = \sum_{r \in \frac{1}{2} + \mathbb{Z}} \gamma_{i-\frac{1}{2}+n(r-\frac{1}{2})}^- z^{-r-\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Again it is easily checked that the only non-zero commutation relations are

$$[\gamma_r^{+, i}, \gamma_s^{-, j}] = \delta_{ij} \delta_{r+s, 0}, \quad r, s \in \frac{1}{2} + \mathbb{Z}.$$

We may now use these n pairs of fermions and bosons to construct a copy of the affine $gl_{n|n}$ of central charge 1 in the standard way:

$$\begin{aligned}
E_{ij}(z) &= \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} E_{ij}(m) z^{-m-1} =: \psi^{+,i}(z) \psi^{-,j}(z) :, \\
e_{ij}(z) &= \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} e_{ij}(m) z^{-m-1} = - : \gamma^{+,i}(z) \gamma^{-,j}(z) :, \\
a_{ij}(z) &= \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} a_{ij}(m) z^{-m-1} =: \psi^{+,i}(z) \gamma^{-,j}(z) :, \\
b_{ij}(z) &= \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} b_{ij}(m) z^{-m-1} = - : \gamma^{+,i}(z) \psi^{-,j}(z) :.
\end{aligned}$$

Explicitly we have the following formulas.

$$\begin{aligned}
E_{ij}(m) &= \sum_{k+l=m} : \psi_{-i+n(k+1)}^+ \psi_{j+n(l-1)}^- :, \\
e_{ij}(m) &= - \sum_{r+s=m} : \gamma_{-i+\frac{1}{2}+n(r+\frac{1}{2})}^+ \gamma_{j-\frac{1}{2}+n(s-\frac{1}{2})}^- :, \\
a_{ij}(m) &= \sum_{k+r+\frac{1}{2}=m} : \psi_{-i+n(k+1)}^+ \gamma_{j-\frac{1}{2}+n(r-\frac{1}{2})}^- :, \\
b_{ij}(m) &= - \sum_{k+r-\frac{1}{2}=m} : \gamma_{\frac{1}{2}-i+n(r+\frac{1}{2})}^+ \psi_{j+n(k-1)}^- :.
\end{aligned}$$

The following lemma is straightforward.

Lemma 5.1. *We have*

$$\begin{aligned}
E_{ij}(m) &\in (\hat{gl}_{\infty|\infty})_+, \text{ for } i < j \text{ with } m = 0 \text{ and for } m \geq 1, \\
e_{ij}(m) &\in (\hat{gl}_{\infty|\infty})_+, \text{ for } i < j \text{ with } m = 0 \text{ and for } m \geq 1, \\
a_{ij}(m) &\in (\hat{gl}_{\infty|\infty})_+, \text{ for } i < j \text{ with } m = 0 \text{ and for } m \geq 1, \\
b_{ij}(m) &\in (\hat{gl}_{\infty|\infty})_+, \text{ for } i \leq j \text{ with } m = 0 \text{ and for } m \geq 1.
\end{aligned}$$

Now every representation of $\hat{gl}_{\infty|\infty}$ that appears in the decomposition of \mathfrak{F} is obviously invariant under the action of $\hat{gl}_{n|n}$ constructed via reduction modulo n . By [KL] every irreducible $\hat{gl}_{\infty|\infty}$ -module that appears in \mathfrak{F} in fact remains irreducible when restricted to $\hat{gl}_{n|n}$. Hence it follows from the previous lemma that every irreducible representation of $\hat{gl}_{\infty|\infty}$ that appears in the decomposition of \mathfrak{F} is a highest weight irreducible $\hat{gl}_{n|n}$ -module with respect to the Borel subalgebra induced by the non-standard Borel subalgebra of $gl_{n|n}$.

Remark 5.1. In general one can construct m pairs of free fermions and n pairs of free bosons using the method just described. One then constructs a copy of the

affine $gl_{m|n}$ of central charge 1 in the usual way. However, the resulting representations of this affine $gl_{m|n}$ on the highest weight irreducible representations of $\hat{gl}_{\infty|\infty}$ are not highest weight representations with respect to a Borel subalgebra induced from a Borel subalgebra of $gl_{m|n}$.

We want to deduce a character formula for the $\hat{gl}_{n|n}$ -module $L(\hat{gl}_{\infty|\infty}, \Lambda(\lambda))$ from (3.15). For this we will need to find a slightly more general formula for $\text{ch}L(\hat{gl}_{\infty|\infty}, \Lambda(\lambda))$.

Set $\bar{d} = -L_0$ (see (4.1)) so that we have

$$[\bar{d}, \psi_k^{\pm, i}] = (k \pm \frac{1}{2})\psi_k^{\pm, i}, \quad [\bar{d}, \gamma_r^{\pm, i}] = r\gamma_r^{\pm, i}.$$

From this the following lemma is a straightforward computation.

Lemma 5.2. *For all $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ we have $[\bar{d}, X(m)] = mX(m)$, for $X = E, e, a, b$.*

By construction we see that \bar{d} acts on each $L(\hat{gl}_{\infty|\infty}, \Lambda(\lambda))$ as a semisimple linear operator and also $[\bar{d}, (\hat{gl}_{\infty|\infty})_0] = 0$. Hence we may compute the character of $L(\hat{gl}_{\infty|\infty}, \Lambda(\lambda))$ with respect to $(\hat{gl}_{\infty|\infty})_0 \oplus \mathbb{C}\bar{d}$. Letting $\delta \in ((\hat{gl}_{\infty|\infty})_0 \oplus \mathbb{C}\bar{d})^*$ defined by $\delta(\bar{d}) = 1$ and $\delta((\hat{gl}_{\infty|\infty})_0) = 0$ we have $(x = x_1, q = e^{-\delta})$:

$$\text{ch}\mathfrak{F} = \prod_{k \in \mathbb{N}, s \in \frac{1}{2} + \mathbb{Z}_+} \prod_{j=1}^n \frac{(1 + xy_{j+n(k-1)}q^{k-\frac{1}{2}})(1 + x^{-1}y_{j-nk}^{-1}q^{k-\frac{1}{2}})}{(1 - xz_{j-\frac{1}{2}+(s-\frac{1}{2})n}q^s)(1 - x^{-1}z_{-j+\frac{1}{2}-(s-\frac{1}{2})n}^{-1}q^s)}.$$

It follows again from Proposition 3.1 that with respect to $(\hat{gl}_{\infty|\infty})_0 \oplus \mathbb{C}\bar{d}$ the character of $L(\hat{gl}_{\infty|\infty}, \Lambda(\lambda))$ equals to

$$(5.5) \quad \sum_{\mu - \nu = \lambda} HS_{\mu}(\mathbf{z}\mathbf{q}; \mathbf{y}\mathbf{q}) HS_{\nu}(\mathbf{z}^{-1}\mathbf{q}; \mathbf{y}^{-1}\mathbf{q}),$$

where for $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $s \in \frac{1}{2} + \mathbb{Z}_+$ and $j = 1, \dots, n$. Here $\mathbf{y}\mathbf{q} = \{y_{j+n(k-1)}q^{k-\frac{1}{2}}\}$, $\mathbf{y}^{-1}\mathbf{q} = \{y_{j-nk}^{-1}q^{k-\frac{1}{2}}\}$, $\mathbf{z}\mathbf{q} = \{z_{j-\frac{1}{2}+(s-\frac{1}{2})n}q^s\}$ and $\mathbf{z}^{-1}\mathbf{q} = \{z_{-j+\frac{1}{2}-(s-\frac{1}{2})n}^{-1}q^s\}$.

The following lemma follows easily from our construction.

Lemma 5.3. *For $i, j = 1, \dots, n$; $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $r \in \frac{1}{2} + \mathbb{Z}$ we have*

$$\begin{aligned} [E_{ii}(0), \psi_k^{\pm, j}] &= \pm \delta_{ij} \psi_k^{\pm, j}, & [E_{ii}(0), \gamma_r^{\pm, j}] &= 0, \\ [e_{ii}(0), \psi_k^{\pm, j}] &= 0, & [e_{ii}(0), \gamma_r^{\pm, j}] &= \pm \delta_{ij} \gamma_r^{\pm, j}. \end{aligned}$$

Let us denote the scaling operator of $\hat{gl}_{n|n}$ by d . It is clear from Lemma 5.2 that the linear operator $d - \bar{d}$ acts as a scalar on each irreducible representation of $\hat{gl}_{n|n}$ that appears in the decomposition of \mathfrak{F} . The scalar can be computed from

the explicit formulas of the $\hat{gl}_{\infty|\infty}$ highest weight vectors given by Theorem 3.1. In the case of $l = 1$ the highest weight vectors are given by

$$(5.6) \quad (\gamma_{-\frac{1}{2}}^+)^{\lambda}|0\rangle, \quad \lambda \geq 0,$$

$$(5.7) \quad (\gamma_{-\frac{1}{2}}^-)^{-\lambda-1}\psi_0^-|0\rangle, \quad \lambda < 0.$$

Now \bar{d} acts on the former with eigenvalue $-\frac{\lambda}{2}$ and on the latter with eigenvalue $\frac{\lambda}{2}$. Thus using Lemma 5.3 we can rewrite (5.5) in the following form.

Theorem 5.1. *For $\mathbf{yq} = \{y_j q^s\}$, $\mathbf{y}^{-1}\mathbf{q} = \{y_j^{-1} q^s\}$, $\mathbf{zq} = \{z_j q^s\}$ and $\mathbf{z}^{-1}\mathbf{q} = \{z_j^{-1} q^s\}$ with $j = 1, \dots, n$ and $s \in \frac{1}{2} + \mathbb{Z}_+$ we have ($\mu, \nu \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ and $\lambda \in \mathbb{Z}$)*

$$\text{ch}L(\hat{gl}_{n|n}, \lambda\tilde{\delta}_1 + \tilde{\Lambda}_0) = q^{-\frac{\lambda}{2}} \sum_{\mu-\nu=\lambda} HS_{\mu}(\mathbf{zq}; \mathbf{yq}) HS_{\nu}(\mathbf{z}^{-1}\mathbf{q}; \mathbf{y}^{-1}\mathbf{q}), \quad \lambda \geq 0,$$


$$\text{ch}L(\hat{gl}_{n|n}, (\lambda+1)\tilde{\delta}_n - \tilde{\epsilon}_n + \tilde{\Lambda}_0) = q^{\frac{\lambda}{2}} \sum_{\mu-\nu=\lambda} HS_{\mu}(\mathbf{zq}; \mathbf{yq}) HS_{\nu}(\mathbf{z}^{-1}\mathbf{q}; \mathbf{y}^{-1}\mathbf{q}), \quad \lambda < 0.$$

where $y_j = e^{\tilde{\epsilon}_j}$, $z_j = e^{\tilde{\delta}_j}$ and $q = e^{-\tilde{\delta}}$.


Proposition 5.1. *The $\hat{gl}_{n|n}$ -modules in Theorem 5.1 are integrable. Furthermore they form a complete list of integrable $\hat{gl}_{n|n}$ -modules of level 1.*

Proof. We will employ the method of simple odd reflections [PS] following [KW].

Recall that the set of simple roots of $\hat{gl}_{n|n}$ with respect to the standard Borel subalgebra is given by $\{\alpha_0 = \tilde{\delta}_n - \tilde{\epsilon}_1 + \tilde{\delta}, \alpha_1 = \tilde{\epsilon}_1 - \tilde{\epsilon}_2, \alpha_2 = \tilde{\epsilon}_2 - \tilde{\epsilon}_3, \dots, \alpha_n = \tilde{\epsilon}_n - \tilde{\delta}_1, \alpha_{n+1} = \tilde{\delta}_1 - \tilde{\delta}_2, \dots, \alpha_{2n-1} = \tilde{\delta}_{n-1} - \tilde{\delta}_n\}$. The corresponding Dynkin diagram is as follows (as usual \otimes denotes an isotropic odd root):




Now the set of simple roots with respect to the non-standard Borel subalgebra are given by $\{\beta_0 = \tilde{\epsilon}_n - \tilde{\delta}_1 + \tilde{\delta}, \beta_1 = \tilde{\delta}_1 - \tilde{\epsilon}_1, \beta_2 = \tilde{\epsilon}_1 - \tilde{\delta}_2, \beta_3 = \tilde{\delta}_2 - \tilde{\epsilon}_2, \dots, \beta_{2n-2} = \tilde{\epsilon}_{n-1} - \tilde{\delta}_n, \beta_{2n-1} = \tilde{\delta}_n - \tilde{\epsilon}_n\}$, with the corresponding Dynkin diagram



We can use a chain of odd reflections [PS] to bring the second diagram to the first as follows. We reflect first along the odd simple root β_{2n-1} . After that we

reflect along the right most odd simple root in the bottom row of the Dynkin diagram which is of the form $\tilde{\delta}_i - \tilde{\epsilon}_j$. For example, after reflecting along β_{2n-1} we obtain the diagram



where $\gamma = \tilde{\epsilon}_{n-1} - \tilde{\epsilon}_n$. As $-\beta_{2n-1}$ and γ are not of the form $\tilde{\delta}_i - \tilde{\epsilon}_j$, the next step is to reflect along the odd simple root β_{2n-3} . Continuing this way we obtain the diagram corresponding to the standard Borel subalgebra.

Now according to Lemma 1.4 of [KW] a highest weight vector v_Λ of highest weight Λ with respect to the original Borel subalgebra remains a highest weight vector of the new Borel subalgebra if and only if the $\Lambda(\tilde{\gamma}) = 0$, where $\tilde{\gamma}$ is the simple coroot corresponding to the odd simple root γ , along which we have reflected. Furthermore in this case the new highest weight and the original highest weight coincide. If however $\Lambda(\tilde{\gamma}) \neq 0$, then $e_{-\gamma}v$ is the highest weight vector with respect to the new Borel subalgebra, where $e_{-\gamma}$ is the root vector corresponding to $-\gamma$. Furthermore the new highest weight is $\Lambda - \gamma$.

Let $0 \leq \lambda \leq n$ and consider the highest weight $\lambda\tilde{\delta}_1 + \tilde{\Lambda}_0$. It follows that when we change from non-standard to the standard Borel subalgebra it gets transformed to $\tilde{\epsilon}_1 + \cdots + \tilde{\epsilon}_\lambda + \tilde{\Lambda}_0$. If $\lambda > n$, then the highest weight gets transformed to $\tilde{\epsilon}_1 + \cdots + \tilde{\epsilon}_n + (\lambda - n)\tilde{\delta}_1 + \tilde{\Lambda}_0$. On the other hand let $\lambda < 0$ and consider the highest weight of the form $(\lambda + 1)\tilde{\delta}_n + \tilde{\epsilon}_n + \tilde{\Lambda}_0$. Changing from the non-standard Borel to the standard Borel via the sequence of odd reflections described above it follows that the highest weight gets transformed to $\lambda\tilde{\delta}_n + \tilde{\Lambda}_0$. However, the list of highest weights here for the standard Borel subalgebra coincides with the list of integrable highest weights in [KW]. Thus all our modules are integrable. \square

As the Cartan subalgebras of the non-standard Borel and standard Borel subalgebras coincide, it follows that our character formula agree with the character formula of [KW]. Comparing both formulas gives rise to combinatorial identities.

Our method can also be used to obtain a character formula for integrable level 1 $\hat{gl}_{m|n}$ -modules as follows. Consider the Fock space \mathfrak{F} generated by m pairs of free fermions $\psi^{\pm,i}(z)$, $i = 1, \dots, m$ and n pairs of free bosons $\gamma^{\pm,j}(z)$, $j = 1, \dots, n$. Then \mathfrak{F} according to [KW] is completely reducible as a level 1 $\hat{gl}_{m|n}$ -module. To be more precise there is an action of $\hat{gl}_{m|n} \times gl_1$ on \mathfrak{F} and they form a dual pair. The action of the $\hat{gl}_{m|n}$ is given in the usual way, while gl_1 is generated by the *charge operator* $I = \sum_{i=1}^m \left(\sum_{s \in \frac{1}{2} + \mathbb{Z}_+} : \psi_{-s}^{+,i} \psi_s^{-,i} : \right) - \sum_{j=1}^n \left(\sum_{r \in \frac{1}{2} + \mathbb{Z}_+} : \gamma_{-r}^{+,j} \gamma_r^{-,j} : \right)$. We

have the following decomposition with respect to this joint action [KW]:

$$(5.8) \quad \mathfrak{F} \cong \sum_{\lambda \in \mathbb{Z}} L(\hat{gl}_{m|n}, \tilde{\Lambda}(\lambda)) \otimes V_1^\lambda,$$

where $\tilde{\Lambda}(\lambda)$ is given as follows:

$$(5.9) \quad \tilde{\Lambda}(\lambda) = \begin{cases} \tilde{\epsilon}_1 + \cdots + \tilde{\epsilon}_\lambda + \tilde{\Lambda}_0, & \text{for } 0 \leq \lambda \leq m, \\ \tilde{\epsilon}_1 + \cdots + \tilde{\epsilon}_m + (\lambda - m)\tilde{\delta}_1 + \tilde{\Lambda}_0, & \text{for } \lambda > m, \\ \lambda\tilde{\delta}_n + \tilde{\Lambda}_0, & \text{for } \lambda < 0. \end{cases}$$

We remark that (5.9) is a complete list (up to *essential equivalence* [KW]) of integrable highest weights for $\hat{gl}_{m|n}$ of level 1 with $m \geq 2$ [KW]. Now we can write the character of \mathfrak{F} as

$$(5.10) \quad \text{ch} \mathfrak{F} = \prod_{s \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}_+} \frac{\prod_{i=1}^m (1 + xy_i q^s)(1 + x^{-1}y_i^{-1} q^s)}{\prod_{j=1}^n (1 - xz_j q^s)(1 - x^{-1}z_j^{-1} q^s)},$$

where again we use the notation $y_i = e^{\tilde{\epsilon}_i}$, $z_j = e^{\tilde{\delta}_j}$ and $x = e^\epsilon$ with $\epsilon \in (gl_1)^*$ such that $\epsilon(I) = 1$. By Proposition 3.1 (5.10) can be written as ($q = e^{\tilde{\delta}}$)

$$(5.11) \quad \sum_{\lambda \in \mathbb{Z}} \left(\sum_{\mu - \nu = \lambda} HS_\mu(\mathbf{z}\mathbf{q}; \mathbf{y}\mathbf{q}) HS_\nu(\mathbf{z}^{-1}\mathbf{q}; \mathbf{y}^{-1}\mathbf{q}) \right) x^\lambda,$$

where $\mathbf{z}^{\pm 1}\mathbf{q} = \{z_j^{\pm 1}q^s | j = 1, \dots, n; s \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}_+\}$ and $\mathbf{y}^{\pm 1}\mathbf{q} = \{y_i^{\pm 1}q^s | i = 1, \dots, m; s \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}_+\}$. Thus we have arrived at the following description of characters by Lemma 3.1.

Theorem 5.2. *Let $\lambda \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $\tilde{\Lambda}(\lambda)$ be the $\hat{gl}_{m|n}$ -highest weight defined by (5.9). Then*

$$\text{ch} L(\hat{gl}_{m|n}, \tilde{\Lambda}(\lambda)) = q^{-\frac{|\lambda|}{2}} \sum_{\mu - \nu = \lambda} HS_\mu(\mathbf{z}\mathbf{q}; \mathbf{y}\mathbf{q}) HS_\nu(\mathbf{z}^{-1}\mathbf{q}; \mathbf{y}^{-1}\mathbf{q}),$$

where $\mu, \nu \in \mathbb{Z}_+$.

Remark 5.2. We note that we can derive character formulas in an analogous fashion for the \hat{gl}_∞ -modules that appear in the Fock space decomposition [F] [FKRW] [KR2] (see also [W1] and [W2] for a rather elegant argument in the spirits of Howe duality). The resulting formulas will be sums of products of ordinary Schur functions instead of hook Schur functions. These formulas agree with the ones obtained in [KR2] and the one in [AFMO2] in the special case when $\Lambda = 0$. However, when dealing with the q -character formulas, we can also produce a character involving just a sum of Schur functions.

Remark 5.3. By [KR1] the representation theory of \hat{gl}_∞ is closely related to the representation theory of $W_{1+\infty}$, which is the limit (in an appropriate sense) of

the W -algebras W_N , as $N \rightarrow \infty$ [PRS1] [PRS2]. In particular, quasi-finite irreducible highest weight representations of latter can be constructed on a suitable tensor product of quasi-finite irreducible highest weight representations of a central extension of $gl_\infty \otimes A_n$, where $A_n \cong \mathbb{C}[t]/t^n$. Using analogous argument it can be shown that the quasi-finite highest weight irreducible representations of the Lie superalgebra of differential operators on the super circle, the super $W_{1+\infty}$ introduced in [MR] (cf. [AFMO1] and [CW3] for definition), can be realized on a suitable tensor product of quasi-finite highest weight irreducible modules of a central extension of $gl_{\infty|\infty} \otimes A_n$. Furthermore each $L(\hat{gl}_{\infty|\infty}, \Lambda(\lambda))$ carries a structure of an irreducible representations of super $W_{1+\infty}$ [CW3]. In particular, our character formula may be modified to obtain a character formula for these quasi-finite irreducible super $W_{1+\infty}$ -modules.

6. TENSOR PRODUCT DECOMPOSITION

In this section as another application of Theorem 3.1 we will compute the tensor product decomposition

$$(6.1) \quad L(\hat{gl}_{\infty|\infty}, \Lambda(\mu)) \otimes L(\hat{gl}_{\infty|\infty}, \Lambda(\nu)) \cong \sum_{\lambda} a_{\lambda}^{\mu\nu} L(\hat{gl}_{\infty|\infty}, \Lambda(\lambda)),$$

where $\Lambda(\mu)$ and $\Lambda(\nu)$ denote highest weights of $\hat{gl}_{\infty|\infty}$ of level l and level r , respectively, so that μ and ν are generalized partitions with $l(\mu) \leq l$ and $l(\nu) \leq r$. The summation λ in (6.1) is over all generalized partitions of length not exceeding $l+r$ and $\Lambda(\lambda)$ is viewed as a highest weight of $\hat{gl}_{\infty|\infty}$ of level $l+r$. We will compute the coefficients $a_{\lambda}^{\mu\nu}$ in terms of the usual Littlewood-Richardson coefficients (see e.g. [M]).

To emphasize the dependence of the Fock space \mathfrak{F} in Theorem 3.1 on the integer l we will write $\mathfrak{F} = \mathfrak{F}^l$ and hence Theorem 3.1 reads

$$\mathfrak{F}^l \cong \sum_{\lambda} L(\hat{gl}_{\infty|\infty}, \Lambda(\lambda)) \otimes V_l^{\lambda}.$$

Therefore we have

$$\mathfrak{F}^l \otimes \mathfrak{F}^r \cong \sum_{\mu, \nu} \left(L(\hat{gl}_{\infty|\infty}, \Lambda(\mu)) \otimes L(\hat{gl}_{\infty|\infty}, \Lambda(\nu)) \right) \otimes \left(V_l^{\mu} \otimes V_r^{\nu} \right).$$

Now $\mathfrak{F}^l \otimes \mathfrak{F}^r \cong \mathfrak{F}^{l+r}$ and hence using Theorem 3.1 again we have

$$(6.2) \quad \sum_{\lambda} L(\hat{gl}_{\infty|\infty}, \Lambda(\lambda)) \otimes V_{l+r}^{\lambda} \cong \sum_{\mu, \nu} \left(L(\hat{gl}_{\infty|\infty}, \Lambda(\mu)) \otimes L(\hat{gl}_{\infty|\infty}, \Lambda(\nu)) \right) \otimes \left(V_l^{\mu} \otimes V_r^{\nu} \right).$$

Now suppose that V_{l+r}^λ , when regarded as a $gl_l \times gl_r$ -module via the obvious embedding of $gl_l \times gl_r$ into gl_{l+r} , decomposes as

$$V_{l+r}^\lambda \cong \sum_{\mu, \nu} b_{\mu\nu}^\lambda V_l^\mu \otimes V_r^\nu.$$

This together with (6.1) and (6.2) give

$$(6.3) \quad a_\lambda^{\mu\nu} = b_{\mu\nu}^\lambda.$$

The duality between the branching coefficients and tensor products of a general dual pair is well-known [H2]. We recall that in (6.3) μ , ν and λ are generalized partitions subject to constraints on their lengths.

Now Proposition 3.2 combined with an analogous argument as the one given above imply that

$$(6.4) \quad \tilde{a}_\lambda^{\mu\nu} = b_{\mu\nu}^\lambda,$$

where here μ , ν , λ are partitions of appropriate lengths and the $\tilde{a}_\lambda^{\mu\nu}$'s are the usual Littlewood-Richardson coefficients. We remark that there are combinatorial algorithms to compute these coefficients, the most well-known probably being the celebrated Littlewood-Richardson rule (again see e.g. [M]).

Now for generalized partitions μ , ν and λ of appropriate lengths the decomposition $V_{l+r}^\lambda \cong \sum_{\mu, \nu} b_{\mu\nu}^\lambda V_l^\mu \otimes V_r^\nu$ implies that $V_{l+r}^{\lambda+d\mathbf{1}_{l+r}} \cong \sum_{\mu, \nu} b_{\mu\nu}^\lambda V_l^{\mu+d\mathbf{1}_l} \otimes V_r^{\nu+d\mathbf{1}_r}$, where here $\mathbf{1}_k$ denotes the k -tuple $(1, 1, \dots, 1)$ regarded as a partition. Hence $b_{\mu\nu}^\lambda = b_{\mu+d\mathbf{1}_l, \nu+d\mathbf{1}_r}^{\lambda+d\mathbf{1}_{l+r}}$. Now if we choose a non-negative integer d so that $\lambda + d\mathbf{1}_{l+r}$ is a partition, then $b_{\mu+d\mathbf{1}_l, \nu+d\mathbf{1}_r}^{\lambda+d\mathbf{1}_{l+r}} = \tilde{a}_{\lambda+d\mathbf{1}_{l+r}}^{\mu+d\mathbf{1}_l, \nu+d\mathbf{1}_r}$ and hence by (6.3) and (6.4)

$$a_\lambda^{\mu\nu} = \tilde{a}_{\lambda+d\mathbf{1}_{l+r}}^{\mu+d\mathbf{1}_l, \nu+d\mathbf{1}_r}.$$

From our discussion above we arrive at the following theorem.

Theorem 6.1. *Let μ and ν be generalized partitions with $l(\mu) \leq l$ and $l(\nu) \leq r$ so that we may regard $\Lambda(\mu)$ and $\Lambda(\nu)$ as $\hat{gl}_{\infty|\infty}$ -highest weights of level l and r , respectively. Then we have the following decomposition of $L(\hat{gl}_{\infty|\infty}, \Lambda(\mu)) \otimes L(\hat{gl}_{\infty|\infty}, \Lambda(\nu))$ into $\hat{gl}_{\infty|\infty}$ -highest weight modules of level $l+r$:*

$$L(\hat{gl}_{\infty|\infty}, \Lambda(\mu)) \otimes L(\hat{gl}_{\infty|\infty}, \Lambda(\nu)) \cong \sum_{(\lambda, d)} \tilde{a}_\lambda^{\mu+d\mathbf{1}_l, \nu+d\mathbf{1}_r} L(\hat{gl}_{\infty|\infty}, \Lambda(\lambda - d\mathbf{1}_{l+r})),$$

where the summation above is over all pairs (λ, d) subject to the following three conditions:

- (i) λ is a partition of length not exceeding $l+r$ and d a non-negative integer.
- (ii) $\mu + d\mathbf{1}_l$ and $\nu + d\mathbf{1}_r$ are partitions.
- (iii) If $d > 0$, then λ is a partition with $\lambda_{l+r} = 0$.

Here the coefficients $\tilde{a}_\lambda^{\mu+d\mathbf{1}_l, \nu+d\mathbf{1}_r}$ are determined by the tensor product decomposition of gl_k -modules $V_k^{\mu+d\mathbf{1}_l} \otimes V_k^{\nu+d\mathbf{1}_r} \cong \sum_\lambda \tilde{a}_\lambda^{\mu+d\mathbf{1}_l, \nu+d\mathbf{1}_r} V_k^\lambda$, where $k \geq l + r$.

Remark 6.1. Making use of the Howe duality between \hat{gl}_∞ and gl_l mentioned earlier in Remark 5.2, one derives in a completely analogous fashion a tensor product decomposition rule for these \hat{gl}_∞ -modules that is identical to that for $\widehat{gl}_\infty|_\infty$ -modules.

REFERENCES

- [AFMO1] Awata, H.; Fukuma, M.; Matsuo, Y.; Odake, S.: *Quasifinite highest weight modules over the super $W_{1+\infty}$ algebra*, Comm. Math. Phys. **170** (1995) 151–179.
- [AFMO2] Awata, H.; Fukuma, M.; Matsuo, Y.; Odake, S.: *Character and determinant formulae of quasifinite representation of the $W_{1+\infty}$ algebra*, Comm. Math. Phys. **172** (1995) 377–400.
- [BR] Berele, A.; Regev, A.: *Hook Young diagrams with applications to combinatorics and representations of Lie superalgebras*, Adv. Math. **64** (1987) 118–175.
- [BS] Bouwknegt, P.; Schoutens, K.: *W-symmetry in conformal field theory*, Phys. Rep. **223** (1993) 183–276.
- [CW1] Cheng, S.-J.; Wang, W.: *Howe Duality for Lie Superalgebras*, Compositio Math. **128** (2001) 55–94.
- [CW2] Cheng, S.-J.; Wang, W.: *Remarks on the Schur-Howe-Sergeev Duality*, Lett. Math. Phys. **52** (2000) 143–153.
- [CW3] Cheng, S.-J.; Wang, W.: *Lie subalgebras of differential operators on the super circle*, to appear in Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci., math.QA/0103092.
- [F] Frenkel, I.: *Representations of affine Lie algebras, Hecke modular forms and Kortweg-de Vries type equations*, Lect. Notes. Math **933** (1982) 71–110.
- [FKRW] Frenkel, E.; Kac, V.; Radul, A.; Wang, W.: $\mathcal{W}_{1+\infty}$ and $\mathcal{W}(gl_N)$ with central charge N , Commun. Math. Phys. **170** (1995) 337–357.
- [H1] Howe, R.: *Remarks on classical invariant theory*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **313** (1989) 539–570.
- [H2] Howe, R.: *Perspectives on Invariant Theory: Schur Duality, Multiplicity-free Actions and Beyond*, The Schur Lectures, Israel Math. Conf. Proc. **8**, Tel Aviv (1992) 1–182.
- [KL] Kac, V.; van de Leur, J.: *Super boson-fermion correspondence*, Ann. Inst. Fourier **37** (1987) 99–137.
- [KR1] Kac, V.; Radul, A.: *Quasi-finite highest weight modules over the Lie algebra of differential operators on the circle*, Comm. Math. Phys. **157** (1993) 429–457.
- [KR2] Kac, V.; Radul, A.: *Representation Theory of the Vertex Algebra $W_{1+\infty}$* , Transf. Groups **1** (1996) 41–70.
- [KW] Kac, V.; Wakimoto, M.: *Integrable highest weight modules over affine superalgebras and Appell’s function*, Comm. Math. Phys. **215** (2001) 631–682.
- [KU] Kudla, S.: *Seesaw reductive pairs in Automorphic forms in several variables*, Taniguchi Symposium, Katata 1983, Birkhäuser, Boston, 244–268.
- [M] Macdonald, I. G.: *Symmetric functions and Hall polynomials*, Oxford Math. Monogr., Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1995.
- [MR] Manin, Y.; Radul, A.: *A supersymmetric extension of the Kadomtsev-Petviashvili Hierarchy*, Comm. Math. Phys. **98** (1985) 65–77.

- [N] Nazarov, M.: *Capelli identities for Lie superalgebras*, Ann. Scient. Éc Norm. Sup. 4^e série, t. 30 (1997) 847–872.
- [OP] Ol’shanskii, G.; Prati, M., *Extremal weights of finite-dimensional representations of the Lie superalgebra $\mathfrak{gl}_{n|m}$* , Il Nuovo Cimento, **85 A** (1985) 1–18.
- [PRS1] Pope, C.; Romans, L.; Shen, X.: *A new higher-spin algebra and the lone-star product*, Phys. Lett. **D242** (1990) 401–406.
- [PRS2] Pope, C.; Romans, L.; Shen, X.: W_∞ and the Racah-Wigner algebra, Nucl. Phys. **D339** (1990) 191–221.
- [PS] Penkov, I.; Serganova, V.: *Representations of classical Lie superalgebras of type I*, Indag. Math. **3** (1992) 419–466.
- [S1] Sergeev, A.: *An analog of the classical invariant theory for Lie superalgebras, I*, Michigan Math. J. **49** (2001) 113–146.
- [S2] Sergeev, A.: *An analog of the classical invariant theory for Lie superalgebras, II*, Michigan Math. J. **49** (2001) 147–168.
- [W1] Wang, W.: *Duality in infinite dimensional Fock representations*, Comm. Contem. Math. **1** (1999) 155–199.
- [W2] Wang, W.: *Dual Pairs and Infinite Dimensional Lie Algebras*, In: Recent Developments in Quantum Affine Algebras and Related Topics, (eds. N. Jing and K.C. Misra), Contemp. Math. **248** (1999) 453–469.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, NATIONAL TAIWAN UNIVERSITY, TAIPEI, TAIWAN 106
E-mail address: chengsj@math.ntu.edu.tw

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, NATIONAL CHENG-KUNG UNIVERSITY, TAINAN, TAIWAN 701
E-mail address: nlam@mail.ncku.edu.tw