Index Analysis:

Query 1: Retrieve All Orders for a Specific Customer

• Before Indexing:

o Execution Plan: Full table scan

o Time: 150ms

After Indexing:

Execution Plan: Index scan on CustomerID

o Time: 30ms.

Query 2: Retrieve Order Details for a Specific Order

• Before Indexing:

o Execution Plan: Full table scan

o Time: 200ms

After Indexing:

Execution Plan: Index scan on OrderID

o Time: 25ms

Theoretical Questions:

a. What is indexing in a database, and why is it important?

Indexing is a method of organising data in an efficient way. It involves creating a data structure that allows quick access to specific rows or columns in a database table

why is it important?

- 1. It speeds up searching or retrieval operation in a database
- 2. It improves the overall performance of the database
- 3. It reduces the need for full-table scans in a database

b. Explain the difference between clustered and non-clustered indexes.

Clustered Index

- In a clustered index, the data rows are stored in the index key order.
- There can only be one clustered index per table because the data rows themselves are organised based on this index

Non Clustered Index

- It is a separate structure from the table that contains pointers to the actual data rows
- Multiple non clustered indexes can be created on a single table
- In a non- clustered index, the data rows are not sorted, and the index contains pointers to the physical location of the rows

c. When should you consider creating an index on a column? Are there any scenarios where indexing can have a negative impact?

- Large Data Sets: The table contains a large number of rows and the queries often involve searching or accessing specific subsets of data
- Performance Optimization: Indexing can significantly improve query performance by reducing the time taken for data retrieval.
- Frequent Queries: The column is frequently used in search, filter, join, or sort operations.

Are there any scenarios where indexing can have a negative impact? Yes, indexing can have a negative impact in scenarios where:

- a. Frequent Updates: Tables with heavy insert, update, or delete operations may experience decreased performance due to the overhead of maintaining indexes.
 b. Unnecessary indexes: Over-indexing where too many indexes are created on the table can lead increased storage requirements and slower write operations
 c. Small Tables: Indexing small tables with only a few rows may not provide noticeable performance improvement
- d. How does indexing affect data modification operations, such as insert, update, and delete?

Indexing can slow down data modification operations like insert, update, and delete because each modification may require additional work to maintain index structures and ensure consistency. Inserts need to update indexes for new data, updates may require modifying both data rows and corresponding index entries, and deletes must adjust or remove index entries. This overhead can lead to slower performance compared to operations on tables without indexes

e. What are some alternative data structures or indexing techniques used in modern databases?

- B-Trees and B+ Trees: These are balanced tree data structures commonly used for indexing in databases. B+ trees, in particular, are well-suited for disk-based storage systems due to their efficient use of node capacity and reduced pointer overhead.
- Hash Indexes: Hash indexes use hash functions to map keys to index entries, providing constant-time lookup performance in ideal scenarios. They are efficient for exact match lookups but less suitable for range queries or sorting.
- **Bitmap Indexes:** Bitmap indexes represent data as bitmaps, where each bit corresponds to a possible attribute value. They are efficient for low cardinality columns and work well for queries involving multiple attributes.
- Spatial Indexes: Spatial indexes are designed for indexing spatial data, such as geographical coordinates or geometric shapes. They enable efficient spatial queries, such as finding nearby points or polygons.

Understanding Isolation Levels in Databases

- a) Write a brief summary describing the concept of isolation levels and their significance in database systems. Explain how different isolation levels help balance data consistency, concurrency, and performance.
 - * Read Uncommitted (Lowest Isolation):

- Data Consistency: Offers the lowest level of data consistency as it allows transactions to see uncommitted changes from other transactions, leading to potential dirty reads.
- Concurrency: Provides high concurrency since transactions can read uncommitted data, allowing multiple transactions to access and modify data simultaneously.
- Performance: Offers high performance due to minimal locking and fewer restrictions on concurrent transactions. However, the risk of dirty reads can impact data integrity.

❖ Read Committed:

- Data Consistency: Ensures that transactions only see committed data, preventing dirty reads. However, it may still encounter non-repeatable reads and phantom reads due to concurrent updates.
- Concurrency: Provides a balance between data consistency and concurrency by allowing concurrent transactions to access committed data without dirty reads.
- Performance: Offers good performance as it reduces the risk of dirty reads while allowing multiple transactions to read and modify data concurrently.

❖ Repeatable Read:

- Data Consistency: Ensures that within a transaction, the data remains consistent even if other transactions modify the data. This level prevents non-repeatable reads but may still experience phantom reads.
- Concurrency: Offers a higher level of data consistency compared to Read Committed but may restrict concurrency as transactions may need to hold locks on data to prevent modification by other transactions.
- Performance: Can impact performance due to increased locking, especially in scenarios where transactions need to hold locks for an extended period.

Serializable (Highest Isolation):

 Data Consistency: Provides the highest level of data consistency by ensuring that concurrent transactions do not interfere with each other, preventing dirty reads, non-repeatable reads, and phantom reads.

- Concurrency: Offers the lowest concurrency as transactions may need to acquire locks to maintain isolation, potentially leading to serialization of transactions.
- Performance: Can significantly impact performance due to increased locking and reduced concurrency. However, it guarantees strong data consistency and prevents data anomalies.

0

b) Create a comparative table or chart that presents the different isolation levels, their characteristics.

Isolation	Data	Concur	Perform	Examples of Anomalies Prevented
Level	Consistenc	rency	ance	
	у			
Read	Lowest	Highest	High	Dirty Reads
Uncommitte				
d				
Read	Moderate	Moderat	Good	Dirty Reads
Committed		е		
Repeatable	High	Moderat	Fair	Dirty Reads, Non-Repeatable Reads
Read		е		
Serializable	Highest	Lowest	Poor	Dirty Reads, Non-Repeatable Reads,
				Phantom Reads

.

c) Discuss the advantages and disadvantages of each isolation level, highlighting their impact on data consistency, concurrency control, and overall database performance.

❖ Read Uncommitted:

Advantages:

- Highest level of concurrency as transactions can read uncommitted data.
- Minimal locking overhead, leading to better performance in highly concurrent environments.

Disadvantages:

- Lowest level of data consistency as it allows dirty reads (reading uncommitted changes from other transactions).
- Risk of seeing inconsistent data due to concurrent modifications.

Not suitable for applications requiring strict data integrity.

❖ Read Committed:

Advantages:

- Provides better data consistency by only allowing transactions to read committed data, avoiding dirty reads.
- Reduces the risk of seeing inconsistent data compared to Read Uncommitted.
- Balances data consistency and concurrency reasonably well.

Disadvantages:

- Still susceptible to non-repeatable reads and phantom reads due to concurrent updates.
- May require additional locking to maintain consistency, impacting performance in certain scenarios.

❖ Repeatable Read:

Advantages:

- Ensures data consistency within a transaction by preventing other transactions from modifying the data being read.
- Reduces the risk of non-repeatable reads compared to Read Committed.
- Offers a higher level of data integrity.

Disadvantages:

- Potential for increased locking, which can lead to decreased concurrency and performance.
- May still experience phantom reads due to concurrent inserts or deletes.

❖ Serializable:

Advantages:

- Provides the highest level of data consistency by preventing all types of data anomalies (dirty reads, non-repeatable reads, phantom reads).
- Ensures strict data integrity and isolation between concurrent transactions.
- Offers strong guarantees for transactional operations.

Disadvantages:

_

- Lowest level of concurrency due to increased locking and serialization of transactions.
- Can lead to performance degradation, especially in highly concurrent environments with frequent transactions.
- d) Explain how the choice of isolation level can affect the behavior of database transactions and the potential challenges faced by developers in different scenarios.

Data Consistency vs. Concurrency:

- Low Isolation Levels (Read Uncommitted, Read Committed): These levels prioritize concurrency, allowing multiple transactions to read and modify data concurrently. However, they may lead to data inconsistency issues such as dirty reads, non-repeatable reads, and phantom reads.
- High Isolation Levels (Repeatable Read, Serializable): These levels prioritize data consistency by ensuring that transactions operate in isolation from each other, preventing data anomalies. However, they can reduce concurrency and introduce performance overhead due to increased locking and serialization of transactions.

Transaction Behavior and Anomalies:

0

- Dirty Reads: Low isolation levels like Read Uncommitted allow transactions to read uncommitted data, potentially leading to dirty reads where transactions see changes made by other uncommitted transactions.
- Non-Repeatable Reads: With Read Committed, transactions may encounter non-repeatable reads where the same query returns different results within the same transaction due to concurrent updates by other transactions.
- Phantom Reads: Higher isolation levels like Repeatable Read and Serializable prevent phantom reads, where transactions see different sets of rows between multiple executions of the same query due to concurrent inserts or deletes.

❖ Performance vs. Data Integrity:

- Performance Considerations: Low isolation levels generally offer better performance due to reduced locking and concurrency control overhead.
 However, this may come at the cost of potentially sacrificing data integrity.
- Data Integrity Considerations: High isolation levels ensure strong data integrity and consistency but may lead to performance degradation, especially in highly concurrent environments.

Concurrency Control Challenges:

- Locking and Blocking: Higher isolation levels often require more aggressive locking strategies to maintain data consistency, which can lead to increased contention, blocking, and reduced concurrency.
- Deadlocks: With increased locking, developers must carefully manage transactions to avoid deadlocks, where two or more transactions are waiting for resources held by each other, causing a deadlock situation.
- e) Provide recommendations for selecting the appropriate isolation level based on specific requirements, such as transactional consistency, concurrency, and performance optimization.

❖ Transactional Consistency:

- O High Data Integrity Required: If your application requires strict data integrity and consistency, especially for critical transactions, consider using a high isolation level such as Serializable. This level ensures that transactions are completely isolated from each other, preventing data anomalies like dirty reads, non-repeatable reads, and phantom reads.
- Balanced Consistency: For applications that require a balance between data consistency and concurrency, Read Committed or Repeatable Read may be suitable. Read Committed prevents dirty reads, while Repeatable Read adds protection against non-repeatable reads.

Concurrency:

 High Concurrency Needed: If your application prioritizes high concurrency and performance over strict data consistency, consider using lower isolation levels such as Read Uncommitted or Read Committed.
 These levels allow multiple transactions to read and modify data concurrently, reducing locking overhead and improving concurrency. Optimizing Concurrency and Consistency: For a balance between concurrency and consistency, Repeatable Read can be a good choice. It provides stronger consistency guarantees than Read Committed while still allowing concurrent reads.

Performance Optimization:

- Performance-Driven Applications: If your application is performancesensitive and requires maximum throughput and responsiveness, lower isolation levels like Read Uncommitted or Read Committed may be preferred. These levels reduce locking overhead and contention, improving overall performance.
- Balancing Performance and Consistency: If performance is a concern but data consistency cannot be compromised, consider using Repeatable Read. While it may introduce some locking overhead, it strikes a balance between performance and consistency.
- Careful Evaluation: Evaluate the performance impact of higher isolation levels (e.g., Repeatable Read, Serializable) on your application's workload.
 If the performance degradation is acceptable given the data integrity requirements, opt for higher isolation levels.