

---

# Primary Decomposition of Ideals

**Miliyon T.,** Adama Science and Technology University

---

May 27, 2016

**T**he decomposition of an ideal into primary ideals is a traditional pillar of ideal theory. From another perspective primary decomposition provides a generalization of the factorization of an integer as a product of prime-powers [Atiyah]. In these presentation we have compiled some of the basic definitions and results for the theory of primary decomposition of ideals.

## 1 Definitions

**Definition 1.1** (Ideal). An ideal  $\mathfrak{a}$  of a ring  $R$  is a subset of  $R$  which is an additive subgroup and is such that  $R\mathfrak{a} \subset \mathfrak{a}$  (i.e.,  $x \in R$  and  $y \in \mathfrak{a}$  imply  $xy \in \mathfrak{a}$ ).

**Definition 1.2** (Ideal quotient). If  $\mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{b}$  are ideals in a ring  $R$ , their Ideal quotient is

$$(\mathfrak{a} : \mathfrak{b}) = \{x \in R : x\mathfrak{b} \subset \mathfrak{a}\}$$

which is an ideal. If  $\mathfrak{b}$  is a principal ideal  $(x)$ , we shall write  $(\mathfrak{a} : x)$  in place of  $(\mathfrak{a} : (x))$ .

**Definition 1.3** (Radical). If  $\mathfrak{a}$  is any ideal of  $R$ , the radical of  $\mathfrak{a}$  is

$$r(\mathfrak{a}) = \{x \in R : x^n \in \mathfrak{a} \text{ for some } n > 0\}.$$

**Definition 1.4** (Nilradical). The set  $\mathfrak{N}$  of all nilpotent<sup>1</sup> elements in a ring  $R$  is called nilradical of  $R$ .

**Definition 1.5** (Prime Ideal). An ideal  $\mathfrak{p}$  in  $R$  is prime if  $\mathfrak{p} \neq (1)$  and if  $xy \in \mathfrak{p} \Rightarrow x \in \mathfrak{p}$  or  $y \in \mathfrak{p}$ .

**Definition 1.6** (Primary Ideal). An ideal  $\mathfrak{q}$  in a ring  $R$  is primary if  $\mathfrak{q} \neq R$  and if  $xy \in \mathfrak{q} \Rightarrow$  either  $x \in \mathfrak{q}$  or  $y^n \in \mathfrak{q}$  for some  $n > 0$ .

Equivalently, an ideal  $\mathfrak{q}$  is primary if  $R/\mathfrak{q} \neq 0$  and every zero-divisor<sup>2</sup> in  $R/\mathfrak{q}$  is nilpotent.

**Remark 1.7.** Trivially every prime ideal is primary.

**Definition 1.8** (Noetherian Ring). A ring  $R$  is said to be Noetherian if every ideal  $I$  of  $R$  is finitely generated.

---

<sup>1</sup>An element  $a$  in a ring  $R$  is said to be nilpotent if  $a^n = 0$  for some  $n \geq 1$ .

<sup>2</sup>A zero-divisor is a nonzero element  $a$  of a ring  $R$  such that there is a nonzero element  $b \in R$  with  $ab = 0$ .

## 1.1 Examples

**Example 1.9.** The primary ideals in  $\mathbb{Z}$  are  $(0)$  and  $(p^n)$ , where  $p$  is prime. If  $xy \in \langle p^n \rangle$  then some power of  $p$  divides  $x$  or  $y$ . Let  $p|x$ , then  $x^n \in \langle p^n \rangle$ .

**Example 1.10.** Let  $A = k[x, y]$ ,  $\mathfrak{q} = \langle x, y^2 \rangle$ . Then

$$A/\mathfrak{q} = \frac{k[x, y]}{\langle x, y^2 \rangle} = \frac{k[y]}{\langle y^2 \rangle}$$

in which the zero divisors are all the multiples of  $y$ , hence are nilpotent. Hence  $\mathfrak{q}$  is primary, and its radical  $r(\mathfrak{q}) = \langle x, y \rangle = \mathfrak{p}$ . Note that  $\mathfrak{p}$  is prime since  $A/\mathfrak{p} = k$  is a field and  $\mathfrak{p}^2 = \langle x^2, xy, y^2 \rangle$ . We have the strict inclusions  $\mathfrak{p}^2 \subsetneq \mathfrak{q} \subsetneq \mathfrak{p}$ . Thus, a primary ideal is not necessarily a prime power.

**Example 1.11.** Conversely, a prime power  $\mathfrak{p}^n$  is not necessarily primary, although its radical is the prime ideal  $\mathfrak{p}$ . For example, let  $A = k[x, y, z]/\langle xy - z^2 \rangle$  and let  $\bar{x}, \bar{y}, \bar{z}$  denote the images of  $x, y, z$  respectively in  $A$ . Then  $\mathfrak{p} = \langle \bar{x}, \bar{z} \rangle$  is prime (since  $A/\mathfrak{p} = k[y]$ , an integral domain); we have  $\bar{x}\bar{y} = \bar{z}^2 \in \mathfrak{p}^2$  but  $\bar{x} \notin \mathfrak{p}^2$  and  $\bar{y} \notin r(\mathfrak{p}^2) = \mathfrak{p}$ ; hence  $\mathfrak{p}^2$  is not primary.

## 1.2 Basic results

An alternative definition of  $\mathfrak{R}$  is given by the following lemma.

**Lemma 1.12.** The nilradical of  $R$  is the intersection of all the prime ideals of  $R$ .

**Proposition 1.13.** The radical of an ideal  $\mathfrak{a}$  is the intersection of the prime ideals which contain  $\mathfrak{a}$ .

*Proof.* Lemma (1.12) applied to  $R/\mathfrak{a}$  tells us that the nilradical of  $R/\mathfrak{a}$  is the intersection of all prime ideals of  $R/\mathfrak{a}$  which is in correspondence with the set of all prime ideals containing  $\mathfrak{a}$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 1.14.** If  $\mathfrak{q}$  is primary, then  $r(\mathfrak{q})$  is a prime ideal.

*Proof.* Let  $r = st \in r(\mathfrak{q})$ . If  $s \notin r(\mathfrak{q})$  we must show that  $t \in r(\mathfrak{q})$ . If  $s \notin r(\mathfrak{q})$ , then no power of  $s$  belongs to  $\mathfrak{q}$ . Then  $t \in \mathfrak{q} \subset r(\mathfrak{q})$  since  $\mathfrak{q}$  is primary.  $\square$

If  $\mathfrak{p} = r(\mathfrak{q})$ , then  $\mathfrak{q}$  is said to be  $\mathfrak{p}$ -primary.

**Remark 1.15.** Let  $\mathfrak{q}$  be  $\mathfrak{p}$ -primary. This means if  $ab \in \mathfrak{q}$ , then either  $a \in \mathfrak{p}$  or  $b \in \mathfrak{q}$ .

**Lemma 1.16.** If  $\mathfrak{q}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{q}_n$  are  $\mathfrak{p}$ -primary ideals, then  $\mathfrak{q} = \bigcap_{i=1}^n \mathfrak{q}_i$  is a  $\mathfrak{p}$ -primary ideal.

*Proof.*  $r(\mathfrak{q}) = r(\bigcap_{i=1}^n \mathfrak{q}_i) = \bigcap_{i=1}^n r(\mathfrak{q}_i) = \mathfrak{p}$ . Let  $xy \in \mathfrak{q}$ ,  $y \notin \mathfrak{q}$ . Then for some  $i$  we have  $xy \in \mathfrak{q}_i$  and  $y \notin \mathfrak{q}_i$  hence  $x \in \mathfrak{p}$ , since  $\mathfrak{q}_i$  is primary.  $\square$

Let  $R$  be a ring and  $I$  an ideal of  $R$ . We say that  $I$  is *irreducible* if for any two ideals  $J, K$  of  $R$  such that  $I = J \cap K$  we have either  $I = J$  or  $I = K$ .

**Proposition 1.17.** (a) A prime ideal is irreducible. (b) An irreducible ideal in a Noetherian ring is primary.

**Lemma 1.18.** Let  $R$  be ring, let  $\mathfrak{q}$  be a  $\mathfrak{p}$ -primary ideal, and let  $x \in R$ .

- (a) If  $x \in \mathfrak{q}$  then  $(\mathfrak{q} : (x)) = R$ .
- (b) If  $x \notin \mathfrak{q}$  then  $(\mathfrak{q} : (x))$  is  $\mathfrak{p}$ -primary.
- (c) If  $x \notin \mathfrak{p}$  then  $(\mathfrak{q} : (x)) = \mathfrak{q}$ .

*Proof.* (a) If  $x \in \mathfrak{q}$  then  $1 \cdot (x) = (x) \subset \mathfrak{q}$  so  $1 \in (\mathfrak{q} : (x))$ .

(b) If  $y \in (\mathfrak{q} : (x))$ , then  $xy \in \mathfrak{q}$ . By assumption  $x \notin \mathfrak{q}$ , so  $y^n \in \mathfrak{q}$  for some  $n$  and thus  $y \in r(\mathfrak{q}) = \mathfrak{p}$ . So  $\mathfrak{q} \subseteq (\mathfrak{q} : (x)) \subseteq \mathfrak{p}$ ; taking radicals we get  $r((\mathfrak{q} : (x))) = \mathfrak{p}$ . Moreover, if  $yz \in (\mathfrak{q} : (x))$  with  $y \notin r(\mathfrak{q} : (x)) = \mathfrak{p}$ , then  $xyz = y(xz) \in \mathfrak{q}$ , so  $xz \in \mathfrak{q}$ , thus  $z \in (\mathfrak{q} : (x))$ . We get that  $(\mathfrak{q} : (x))$  is primary.

(c) In any case  $\mathfrak{q} \subseteq (\mathfrak{q} : (x))$ . If  $x \notin \mathfrak{p} = r(\mathfrak{q})$  and  $y \in (\mathfrak{q} : (x))$ , then  $xy \in \mathfrak{q}$ ; since no power of  $x$  is in  $\mathfrak{q}$ , we must have  $y \in \mathfrak{q}$ .  $\square$

## 2 Main Topic

### 2.1 Primary Decomposition of Ideals

**Definition 2.1** (Primary Decomposition). A primary decomposition of an ideal  $\mathfrak{a}$  in  $R$  is an expression of  $\mathfrak{a}$  as a finite intersection of primary ideals, say

$$\mathfrak{a} = \bigcap_{i=1}^n \mathfrak{q}_i. \quad (1)$$

where each  $\mathfrak{q}_i$  is primary ideal.

In general such a primary decomposition need not exist. We shall say that  $\mathfrak{a}$  is **decomposable** if it has a primary decomposition [Atiyah].

We say the above primary decomposition is minimal(irredundant) if

- (i) The prime ideals  $r(\mathfrak{q}_i)$  are distinct,
- (ii) No  $\mathfrak{q}_i$  can be omitted i.e.  $\bigcap_{j \neq i} \mathfrak{q}_j \not\subseteq \mathfrak{q}_i$  ( $1 \leq i \leq n$ ).

By lemma (1.16) we can achieve (i) and then we can omit any superfluous terms to achieve (ii); thus any primary decomposition can be reduced to a minimal one.

**Definition 2.2.** Associated prime ideal of  $\mathfrak{a}$  can be written as  $r(\mathfrak{a} : x)$  for some  $x \in R$ . The set of such prime ideals of  $\mathfrak{a}$  is called associated prime ideals of  $\mathfrak{a}$  and denoted by  $\text{Ass}(\mathfrak{a})$ .

**Example 2.3.** Here are some examples of primary decomposition

1.  $\langle 12 \rangle = \langle 4 \rangle \cap \langle 3 \rangle$  in  $R = \mathbb{Z}$ . Here  $\mathfrak{q}_1 = \langle 4 \rangle = \mathfrak{p}_1^2$ ,  $\mathfrak{p}_1 = \langle 2 \rangle$  and  $\mathfrak{q}_2 = \mathfrak{p}_2 = \langle 3 \rangle$ .
2.  $\langle x^2, y \rangle = \langle x \rangle \cap \langle x, y \rangle^2$  in  $R = k[x, y]$  where  $k$  is a field. Here  $\mathfrak{q}_1 = \langle x \rangle = \mathfrak{p}_1$  and  $\mathfrak{q}_2 = \mathfrak{p}_2^2$  with  $\mathfrak{p}_2 = \langle x, y \rangle$ .
3. For  $I = \langle xy, xz, yz \rangle \subset k[x, y, z]$  there is a primary decomposition  $I = \langle x, y \rangle \cap \langle x, z \rangle \cap \langle y, z \rangle$  and  $\text{Ass}(I) = \{\langle x, y \rangle, \langle x, z \rangle, \langle y, z \rangle\}$ .
4. Consider  $\mathfrak{a} = \langle x^3, x^2y, xy^2z \rangle$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{a} &= \langle x^3, x^2, x \rangle \cap \langle x^3, x^2, y^2 \rangle \cap \langle x^3, x^2, z \rangle \cap \langle x^3, y, x \rangle \cap \langle x^3, y, y^2 \rangle \cap \langle x^3, y, z \rangle \\ &= \langle x \rangle \cap \langle x^2, y^2 \rangle \cap \langle x^2, z \rangle \cap \langle y, x \rangle \cap \langle x^3, y \rangle \cap \langle x^3, y, z \rangle \end{aligned}$$

We have  $\langle x^3, y \rangle \subset \langle x^3, y, z \rangle$  and  $\langle x^2, y^2 \rangle \subset \langle x, y \rangle$ , so we can delete  $\langle x^3, y, z \rangle$  and  $\langle x, y \rangle$ . We have  $\langle x^2, y^2 \rangle \cap \langle x^3, y \rangle = \langle x^3, x^2y, x^3y^2, y^2 \rangle = \langle x^3, x^2y, y^2 \rangle$ . Thus  $\mathfrak{a} = \langle x \rangle \cap \langle x^3, x^2y, y^2 \rangle \cap \langle x^2, z \rangle$  is a primary decomposition of  $\mathfrak{a}$ . The associated prime ideals are  $\langle x \rangle, \langle x, y \rangle$  and  $\langle x, z \rangle$

**Theorem 2.4.** Let  $\mathfrak{a}$  be a decomposable ideal and let  $\mathfrak{a} = \bigcap_{i=1}^n \mathfrak{q}_i$  be a minimal primary decomposition of  $\mathfrak{a}$ . Let  $\mathfrak{p}_i = r(\mathfrak{q}_i)$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq n$ . Then the  $\mathfrak{p}_i$  are precisely the prime ideals which occur in the set of ideals  $r(\mathfrak{a} : x)$ ,  $x \in A$ , and hence are independent of the particular decomposition of  $\mathfrak{a}$ .

*Proof.* Since the decomposition is minimal we can find  $x \in \bigcap_{j \neq i} \mathfrak{q}_j$  with  $x \notin \mathfrak{q}_i$  and we ave

$$r(\mathfrak{a} : x) = r(\mathfrak{q}_1 : x \cap \cdots \cap \mathfrak{q}_n : x) = r(\mathfrak{q}_1 : x) \cap \cdots \cap r(\mathfrak{q}_n : x) = R \cap \cdots \cap \mathfrak{p}_i \cap \cdots \cap R = \mathfrak{p}_i$$

Hence  $\mathfrak{p}_i \in \text{Ass}(\mathfrak{a})$

Let  $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Ass}(\mathfrak{a})$ , thus,  $r(\mathfrak{a} : x) = \mathfrak{p}$  for some  $x \in R$ . Then

$$\mathfrak{p} = r(\mathfrak{a} : x) = r(\mathfrak{q}_1 : x) \cap \cdots \cap r(\mathfrak{q}_n : x)$$

Since  $\mathfrak{p}$  is prime it contains  $r(\mathfrak{q}_i : x)$  for some  $i$ . Also  $\mathfrak{p} \subset r(\mathfrak{q}_i : x)$ . Thus,  $\mathfrak{p} = r(\mathfrak{q}_i : x)$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 2.5** (Noether). *Any proper ideal in a Noetherian ring admits a primary decomposition.*

*Proof.* Let  $I$  be a proper ideal in the Noetherian ring  $R$ . We claim  $I$  is a finite intersection of irreducible ideals; by part (b) of Proposition 1.17 this gives the desired result. To see this: suppose that the set of proper ideals which cannot be written as a finite intersection of irreducible ideals is nonempty, and choose a maximal element  $I$ . Then  $I$  is reducible, so we may write  $I = J \cap K$  where each of  $J$  and  $K$  is strictly larger than  $I$ . But being strictly larger than  $I$  each of  $J$  and  $K$  can be written as a finite intersection of irreducible ideals, and hence so can  $I$ , which is a contradiction.  $\square$

## References

- [1] [David Cox, John Little & Donal O'Shea] Ideals, Varieties, and Algorithms (1997).
- [2] [M. F. Atiyah & I. G. MacDonald] Introduction to Commutative Algebra (1969).
- [3] [Ralf Fröberg] An Introduction to Gröbner Bases (1997).