# G51PGP Programming Paradigms Case Study 3 Tautology Checker

#### Abstract

The goal of this coursework is to write a Haskell script that uses truth tables to decide if logical propositions are true for all possible values of their variables, i.e. if they are *tautologies*.

# Background

Suppose that propositions are built up from the variables  $A,\,B,...,\,Z$  together with the following constants and operators:

Symbol	Meaning
f	false
t	true
$\neg$	not
$\wedge$	and
$\vee$	or
$\Rightarrow$	implies
$\Leftrightarrow$	equivales

For example, the following are all propositions:

$$\begin{array}{c} A \wedge \neg A \\ (A \wedge B) \Leftrightarrow (B \wedge A) \\ A \Rightarrow (A \wedge B) \\ (A \wedge (A \Rightarrow B)) \Rightarrow B \end{array}$$

A simple method for deciding if a proposition is a tautology is to calculate its truth table. For example, the following truth tables prove that the second and fourth propositions above are both tautologies:

## Type Definition

Your script must contain the following type definition:

type Subst = [(Char, Bool)]

That is, a proposition is represented by a value of type Prop, and a substitution (mapping variables to values) is represented by a value of type Subst.

### **Function Definitions**

• Exercise: Define Haskell values

p1 :: Prop
p2 :: Prop
p3 :: Prop
p4 :: Prop

that represent the following four propositions:

$$\begin{array}{c} A \wedge \neg A \\ (A \wedge B) \Leftrightarrow (B \wedge A) \\ A \Rightarrow (A \wedge B) \\ (A \wedge (A \Rightarrow B)) \Rightarrow B \end{array}$$

• Exercise: Define a function

vars :: Prop -> [Char]

that calculates the list of variables in a proposition. For example, vars p2 should give ['A','B','B','A'], which can also be written as "ABBA".

• Exercise: Define a function

rmdups :: Eq a => [a] -> [a]

that removes the duplicate elements from a list. For example, rmdups "ABBA" should give the list of characters "AB".

• Exercise: Define a function

bools :: Int -> [[Bool]]

that calculates all possible lists of logical values of a specific length. For example, bools 2 should give the following list:

exa

• Exercise: Using vars, rmdups and bools, define a function

```
substs :: Prop -> [Subst]
```

that calculates all possible substitutions for the variables of a proposition. For example, substs p2 should give the following list:

```
[[(A,False),(B,False)],
  [(A,False),(B,True)],
  [(A,True),(B,False)],
  [(A,True),(B,True)]]
```

• Exercise: Define a function

```
find :: Eq a => a -> [(a,b)] -> b
```

that finds the value associated with a key in a list of (key, value) pairs. For example, find B [(A,True),(B,False)] should give False.

• Exercise: Define a function

```
eval :: Subst -> Prop -> Bool
```

that evaluates a proposition to a logical value, given a substitution that defines the logical value of each variable in the proposition. For example, eval [(A,True),(B,False)] p2 should give the value True.

• Exercise: Define a function

that decides if a proposition is a tautology, by evaluating the proposition for each possible substitution of its variables. For example, isTaut p1 should give the value False, while isTaut p2 should give the value True.

— The End —

4