Internation Hackathon TAR UMT 2024						
Topic: Data Leaking						
No	Criteria	Description	Excellent (4 points)	Good (3 points)	Fair (2 points)	Poor (1 point)	Remarks

No	Criteria	Description	Excellent (4 points)	Good (3 points)	Fair (2 points)	Poor (1 point)	Remarks
1	Idea & Concept	Originality and clarity of the problem being addressed or the solution proposed.	Novel and impactful idea with clear value proposition.	Addresses a need or offers a unique solution.	Somewhat derivative idea, but addresses a relevant problem.	Unclear or unoriginal idea with limited value.	
2	Innovation & Creativity	The degree of innovation and creativity used in the approach.	Highly innovative and demonstrates a new way of thinking.	Implements existing ideas in a creative and interesting way.	Uses standard approches without siginificant innovation	Lacks creativity and relies heavily on existing solutions.	
3	Functionality & Usability	Completeness of the project and its ability to function as intended.	Fully functional and user-friendly with a polished interface	Mostly functional with some minor bugs or usability issues.	Partially functional with significant limitations or usability problems.	Non-functional or has major usability issues	
4	Technical Execution	Quality and efficiency of the code, design, or hardware implementation.	Demonstrates strong technical skills and best practices.	Solid technical execution with few errors or inefficiencies.	Code or design is functional but with some inefficiencies or errors.	Poor technical execution with significant errors or limitations.	
5	Presentation & Communication	Clarity and effectiveness of the team's presentation in conveying the project's value.	Engaging and well-structured presentation that clearly explains the	Clear and informative presentation, but may lack some polish.	Presentation is somewhat unclear or disorganized.	Poorly presented project with lack of clarity or communication.	
6	Market Potential & Scalability	Potential for the project to be a viable product or service in the real world.	Strong potential for market adoption and scalability.	Shows potential for growth and future development.	Limited market potential or unclear path to scalability.	Lacks market potential or a plan for future development.	
7	Adherence to Theme	How well the project aligns with the hackathon's theme or challenge.	Project directly addresses the theme, demonstrating a clear understanding of the goals.	Project generally aligns with the theme, but there may be some minor deviations.	Project has some connection to the theme, but there are significant deviations or a lack	Project has no clear connection to the hackathon's theme or challenge.	
8	Time Management	How well the team managed their time throughout the event.	Team remained focused, utilized their time efficiently, and completed their project within the allotted timeframe.	Team mostly managed their time well, but may have encountered some minor delays or inefficiencies.	Team encountered significant time management issues, hindering their progress or impacting the project's completion.	Team poorly managed their time, leading to incomplete work or a rushed final product.	
9	Quality of Work	Functionality, innovation, and technical execution of the project.	Fully functional and well-developed project demonstrating strong technical skills. Innovative approach with a clear solution addressing the problem or challenge.	Mostly functional with some minor bugs or limitations. Implements existing ideas effectively, but lacks significant innovation.	Project has significant functionality issues or limitations. Limited innovation or originality in the approach.	Non-functional project or poorly implemented with major technical issues.	