

Subject: Re: New NB parameters for HH

From: "William A. Goddard" <wagoddard3@gmail.com>

Date: 4/25/2017 11:33 AM

To: Yerong Li <yerong.li@caltech.edu>

CC: <wag@caltech.edu>, Saber Naserifar <naseri@caltech.edu>

Yerong

Yes, please do QM

The lowest temperature structure has 32 CH₄ in unit cell

But instead to the higher temperature cubic structure.

It has 4 CH₄ per unit cell, the three at faces are ordered. the one at corner is disordered

go ahead and treat it as ordered

Bill

On 4/25/2017 11:09 AM, Yerong Li wrote:

Dear Bill:

Maybe I can do the CH₄ (which has only C-H bonds)since I did some tests diamond previously. At least we can have QM data for CH₄ first.

Yerong

On 4/24/2017 10:28 PM, William A. Goddard wrote:

Saber

the vdw radius of ~3.27 you got for H worries me

I obtained a similar value for Dreiding, but I believe that it is too big for the H that is bonded to C, N, O

This causes bad vdw for HB cases requiring corrections.

I believe that Ro of UFF of ~2.88 is likely to be better when bonded to these electronegative atoms

We need to test for CH₄ crystal (fcc)

Do PBE-D3 to get optimum cubic lattice parameter

Then use new NB and LD and PQEq parameters to calculate optimum

If I am right, the lattice parameter will be too large

Then modify Ro and Eo for H to fit to methane crystal

If this is different from what you got with H₂

Then we should rethink the HB corrections

Bill

--

~~~~~  
William A. Goddard III

Charles and Mary Ferkel Professor of Chemistry, Materials Science, and  
Applied Physics  
Director, Materials and Process Simulation Center (MSC)  
Office: 316 Beckman Institute (BI)  
Mail Code (139-74) (400 South Wilson Ave.)  
California Institute of Technology  
1200 East California Blvd.  
Pasadena, California 91125 USA  
<http://www.wag.caltech.edu>  
Phone:(626)395-3093 (direct, no messages), FAX:(626) 395-8100  
cell 626-833-0036  
email: [wag@wag.caltech.edu](mailto:wag@wag.caltech.edu), copy: [wagoddard3@gmail.com](mailto:wagoddard3@gmail.com)

~~~~~