

Dummit and Foote Exercises

April 6, 2016

Chapter 10

Introduction to Module Theory

10.1 Basic Definitions and Examples

In these exercises R is a ring with 1 and M is a left R -module.

Exercise 10.1.1. Prove that $0m = 0$ and $(-1)m = -m$ for all $m \in M$.

Solution: We have via straightforward application of the module axioms that

$$0m = (0 - 0)m = 0m - 0m = 0.$$

Likewise, we can compute that

$$(-1)m = -m + m + (-1)m = -m + (1)m + (-1)m = -m + (1 - 1)m = -m - 0m = -m.$$

□

Exercise 10.1.2. Prove that R^\times and M satisfy the two axioms in Section 1.7 for a *group action* of the multiplicative group R^\times on the set M .

Solution: We know that R^\times is a group, and by the module axioms we know $1 \cdot m = m$ for all $m \in M$ and hence the identity acts on M in accordance with a group action. We also have via the module axioms that $uv \cdot m = u \cdot (v \cdot m)$ for all $u, v \in R^\times$, and so the action of R^\times satisfies both axioms of a group action.

□

Exercise 10.1.3. Assume that $rm = 0$ for some $r \in R$ and some $m \in M$ with $m \neq 0$. Prove that r does not have a left inverse (i.e., there is no $s \in R$ such that $sr = 1$).

Solution: Suppose otherwise, so that there exists $s \in R$ so that $sr = 1$. Then we have that

$$m = (sr)m = s(rm) = s0 = 0$$

a contradiction.

□

Exercise 10.1.4. Let M be the module R^n described in Example 3 and let I_1, I_2, \dots, I_n be left ideals of R . Prove that the following are submodules of M :

- (a) $\{(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \mid x_i \in I_i\}$

(b) $\{(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \mid x_i \in R \text{ and } x_1 + x_2 + \dots + x_n = 0\}$.

Solution: (a)

The set is clearly nonempty since $(0, 0, \dots, 0)$ is in it. The second condition of the submodule criterion is also satisfied since

$$(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) + r(x'_1, x'_2, \dots, x'_n) = (x_1 + rx'_1, x_2 + rx'_2, \dots, x_n + rx'_n)$$

for any $r \in R$ and $x_i + rx'_i \in I$ by virtue of I being an ideal. Thus the set is a submodule.

(b)

As in (a) we notice that $(0, 0, \dots, 0)$ is in the set, and so it is nonempty. Letting $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$ and $y = (x'_1, \dots, x'_n)$ be two elements of the set we have that $x + ry$ is in the set since

$$\begin{aligned} (x_1 + rx'_1) + (x_2 + rx'_2) + \dots + (x_n + rx'_n) &= (x_1 + x_2 + \dots + x_n) + r(x'_1 + x'_2 + \dots + x'_n) \\ &= 0 + r0 \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Thus the set satisfies the submodule criterion and is a submodule. □

Exercise 10.1.5. For any left ideal I of R define

$$IM = \left\{ \sum_{\text{finite}} a_i m_i \mid a_i \in I, m_i \in M \right\}$$

to be the collection of all finite sums of elements of the form am where $a \in I$ and $m \in M$. Prove that IM is a submodule of M .

Solution: Note that $0_M \in IM$ since $0_R \in I$ and $0_M \in M$ so $0_M = 0_R \cdot 0_M \in IM$. Now let $x = \sum a_i m_i$ and $y = \sum b_j m_j$ be two elements of IM . Then notice for any $r \in R$ that

$$x + ry = \sum a_i m_i + \sum r b_j m_j$$

which is again in IM since both sums are finite and $rb_j \in I$ by virtue of I being a left ideal. Thus IM satisfies the submodule criterion and is a submodule. □

Exercise 10.1.6. Show that the intersection of any nonempty collection of submodules of an R -module is a submodule.

Solution: Let M be an R -module and let $\{N_\alpha\}$ be an arbitrary collection of submodules of M . Let $N = \cap_\alpha N_\alpha$. Notice that N is nonempty since each N_α must contain zero by virtue of being a subgroup over the overall module. Then let $x, y \in N$. Since each N_α is a submodule we have $x + ry \in N_\alpha$ for all $r \in R$ and all α . We conclude that $x + ry \in N$ and so N satisfies the submodule criterion. This proves the result. □

Exercise 10.1.7. Let $N_1 \subseteq N_2 \subseteq \dots$ be an ascending chain of submodules of M . Prove that $\cup_{i=1}^\infty N_i$ is a submodule of M .

Solution: Let $N = \cup_{i=1}^\infty N_i$. Note that $0 \in N$ so N is nonempty. Then let $x, y \in N$. There must exist N_i so that $x, y \in N_i$ and by virtue of N_i being a submodule we will have $x + ry \in N_i$ for all $r \in R$ and hence $x + ry \in N$. This proves that N is a submodule. □

Exercise 10.1.8. An element m of the R -module M is called a *torsion element* if $rm = 0$ for some nonzero element $r \in R$. The set of torsion elements is denoted

$$\text{Tor}(M) = \{m \in M \mid rm = 0 \text{ for some nonzero } r \in R\}.$$

- (a) Prove that if R is an integral domain then $\text{Tor}(M)$ is a submodule of M (called the *torsion submodule* of M).
- (b) Give an example of a ring R and an R -module M such that $\text{Tor}(M)$ is not a submodule. [Consider the torsion elements in the R -module R .]
- (c) If R has zero divisors show that every nonzero R -module has nonzero torsion elements.

Solution: (a)

Let R be an integral domain and observe that $\text{Tor}(M)$ is nonempty since it contains zero. Then let $x, y \in \text{Tor}(M)$ and let $r_1, r_2 \in R$ be nonzero so that $r_1x = 0$ and $r_2y = 0$. For an arbitrary $r \in R$ we can notice that

$$r_1r_2(x + ry) = r_1r_2x + r_1r_2ry = r_2r_1x + r_1rr_2y = r_2 \cdot 0 + r_1r \cdot 0 = 0 + 0 = 0$$

where above we have used the commutativity of R . Furthermore observe that r_1r_2 is nonzero since R is an integral domain, and so $x + ry \in \text{Tor}(M)$. This proves that $\text{Tor}(M)$ is a submodule by the submodule criterion.

(b)

Consider $\mathbb{Z}/6\mathbb{Z}$. The torsion elements of this ring as a module over itself are $\{0, 2, 3, 4\}$ which do not even form an additive subgroup, much less a submodule.

(c)

Suppose R has zero divisors and let $x, y \in R$ be nonzero so that $xy = 0$. Then for some nonzero $m \in M$ consider ym . If $ym = 0$ then m is a nonzero torsion element. Otherwise ym is a nonzero torsion element since $x(ym) = (xy)m = 0m = 0$.

□

Exercise 10.1.9. If N is a submodule of M , the *annihilator of N in R* is defined to be $\{r \in R \mid rn = 0 \text{ for all } n \in N\}$. Prove that the annihilator of N in R is a 2-sided ideal of R .

Solution: Let N be a submodule and let I be its annihilator. Clearly I contains 0 and so is nonempty. Furthermore if $a, b \in I$ then $a - b \in I$ since for any $n \in N$ we have

$$(a - b)n = an + (-b)n = 0 - (bn) = 0 - 0 = 0$$

where above we have used the fact that $(-b)n = -(bn)$ which can be proved analogously to property 2 in Problem 1. Thus I is an additive subgroup of R .

Finally let $r \in R$ be arbitrary and let $a \in I$. Clearly $ra \in I$ since

$$ran = r(an) = r0 = 0$$

for any $n \in N$. We also have $ar \in I$ since

$$arn = a(rn) = 0$$

for any $n \in N$, where above we have used that $an \in N$. This proves that I is a 2-sided ideal in R .

□

Exercise 10.1.10. If I is a right ideal of R , the *annihilator of I in M* is defined to be $\{m \in M \mid am = 0 \text{ for all } a \in I\}$. Prove that the annihilator of I in M is a submodule of M .

Solution: Let I be a right ideal of R and let N be its annihilator. Notice immediately that $0 \in N$ since $an = 0$ for all $a \in I$. Then let $n, n' \in N$ and $r \in R$. We have that

$$\begin{aligned} a(n + rn') &= an + arn' \\ &= 0 + (ar)n' \\ &= 0 + 0 \\ &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

where above we have used that $ar \in I$ by virtue of I being a right ideal. This proves that N satisfies the submodule criterion, and so it is a submodule. \square

Exercise 10.1.11. Let M be the abelian group (i.e., \mathbb{Z} -module) $\mathbb{Z}/24\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/15\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/50\mathbb{Z}$.

- (a) Find the annihilator of M in \mathbb{Z} (i.e. a generator for this principal ideal).
- (b) Let $I = 2\mathbb{Z}$. Describe the annihilator of I in M as a direct product of cyclic groups.

Solution: (a)

Notice that if $r \in \mathbb{Z}$ annihilates M it must annihilate each coordinate. In particular, it must be a multiple of 24, of 15, and of 50. This condition is both necessary and sufficient and so the annihilator of M is $600\mathbb{Z}$, the ideal generated by the least common multiple of 24, 15, and 50.

(b)

The ideal $2\mathbb{Z}$ annihilates 0 and 12 in the first coordinate, 0 in the second coordinate, and 0 and 25 in the third coordinate. Hence the annihilator of $2\mathbb{Z}$ is the set

$$\{(0, 0, 0), (12, 0, 0), (0, 0, 25), (12, 0, 25)\}$$

which as a direct product of cyclic groups is isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$. \square

Exercise 10.1.12. In the notation of the preceding exercises prove the following facts about annihilators.

- (a) Let N be a submodule of M and let I be its annihilator in R . Prove that the annihilator of I in M contains N . Give an example where the annihilator of I in M does not equal N .
- (b) Let I be a right ideal of R and let N be its annihilator in M . Prove that the annihilator of N in R contains I . Give an example where the annihilator of N in R does not equal I .

Solution: (a)

Let A be the annihilator of I in M and let $n \in N$. Then $an = 0$ for all $a \in I$ by definition. But this means that $n \in A$. This proves that $N \subseteq A$ as desired. As an example where containment is strict let $M = \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ be a \mathbb{Z} -module and let N be the subgroup $\{(0, 0), (1, 0)\}$. Notice that $2\mathbb{Z}$ is the annihilator of N , but the annihilator of $2\mathbb{Z}$ is all of M .

(b)

Let J be the annihilator of N in R and let $a \in I$. Then $an = 0$ for all $n \in N$. But then by definition

$a \in J$, and so $I \subseteq J$ as desired. An example where containment is strict occurs when considering the annihilator of $6\mathbb{Z}$ in the \mathbb{Z} -module $M = N = \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$. This ideal annihilates all of M , but the annihilator of M is $2\mathbb{Z}$ which strictly contains $6\mathbb{Z}$. \square

Exercise 10.1.13. Let I be an ideal of R . Let M' be the subset of elements a of M that are annihilated by some power, I^k of the ideal I , where the power may depend on a . Prove that M' is a submodule of M . [Use Exercise 7.]

Solution: Let N_k be the annihilator of I^k . Elements of I^k are of the form $\sum a_i^k$ where the sum is finite and each a_i is an element of I . We thus notice that $N_k \subseteq N_{k+1}$ since if n is annihilated by all finite sums $\sum a_i^k$ with $a_i \in I$ then

$$\left(\sum a_i^{k+1}\right)n = \sum (a_i^{k+1}n) = \sum (a_i a_i^k n) = \sum (a_i 0) = 0$$

and so it is also annihilated by elements of I^{k+1} . Thus the union of all N_k is a submodule by Exercise 7. This union is exactly M' , proving the desired result. \square

Exercise 10.1.14. Let z be an element of the center of R , i.e. $zr = rz$ for all $r \in R$. Prove that zM is a submodule of M , where $zM = \{zm \mid m \in M\}$. Show that if R is the ring of 2×2 matrices over a field and e is the matrix with a 1 in position 1, 1 and zeros elsewhere then eR is *not* a left R -submodule (where $M = R$ is considered as a left R -module as in Example 1)—in this case the matrix e is not in the center of R .

Solution: Note that $0 = z0 \in zM$ and so zM is nonempty. Letting $zx, zy \in zM$ where $x, y \in M$ are arbitrary and letting $r \in R$ we have that

$$zx + rzy = zx + zry = z(x + ry) \in zM$$

and so zM satisfies the submodule criterion.

Notice that

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} c & d \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

and so in the example eM is the set of matrices with zero entries in the bottom row and arbitrary entries in the top row. This collection is not a submodule since as a set it is not invariant under the left action of R on it. In particular,

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

which is not a matrix with zero entries in the bottom row. We conclude that e is indeed not in the center of R . \square

Exercise 10.1.15. If M is a finite abelian group then M is naturally a \mathbb{Z} -module. Can this action be extended to make M into a \mathbb{Q} -module?

Solution: No, not always. Consider the \mathbb{Z} -module $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$. If this were naturally a \mathbb{Q} -module then it would have some element $\frac{1}{2} \cdot 1$. This element would satisfy

$$\frac{1}{2} \cdot 1 + \frac{1}{2} \cdot 1 = \left(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2}\right) \cdot 1 = 1 \cdot 1 = 1$$

and in particular it would have order at least three as an element of the group $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$. This is not possible. More generally, for any finite abelian group G one can consider the action of $\frac{1}{|G|}$ to derive a contradiction. Thus finite abelian group never has a \mathbb{Q} action compatible with the natural \mathbb{Z} action.

However, if an abelian group is divisible then we can extend its natural \mathbb{Z} action to a \mathbb{Q} action. Of course nonzero divisible abelian groups are necessarily infinite, so this falls outside the scope of the problem. \square

Exercise 10.1.16. Prove that the submodules U_k describe in the example of $F[x]$ -modules are all of the $F[x]$ -submodules for the shift operator.

Solution: Let $V = F^n$ be a $F[x]$ module where x acts as the shift operator and F acts as normal. Let $U \subseteq V$ be a submodule of V . Let k be the largest index such that there exists a vector in U whose k -th coordinate is nonzero. Then we claim $U = U_k$. The inclusion $U \subseteq U_k$ is trivial since U_k is all vectors in V where coordinates following the k -th are zero. Hence we only have to show $U_k \subseteq U$.

To show that $U_k \subseteq U$ we will show straightforwardly that e_i is in U for $1 \leq i \leq k$. The set of these e_i forms a basis for U_k and so it will follow that $U_k \subseteq U$. Notice that we really only need to construct e_k , since all e_i for $i < k$ can be obtained by the action of x , which will still be in U since U is a submodule. To construct e_k , let $v = (v_1, v_2, \dots, v_k, 0, 0, \dots, 0)$ be a vector in U where $v_k \neq 0$. Then we can construct the basis vector e_k by repeatedly zeroing out smaller coordinates in v_k : first consider

$$v - \left(\frac{v_{k-1}}{v_k} x \right) v \in U.$$

The $(k-1)$ -th coordinate of this vector will be $v_k - v_k = 0$. We can repeat this process, acting on our new vector by x^2 multiplied by an appropriate scalar, subtracting the result, and so on. This eventually leads to a vector $(0, 0, \dots, 0, v_k, 0, 0, \dots, 0)$ which can be transformed to e_k via multiplication by the scalar $\frac{1}{v_k}$. This proves that $e_k \in U$, and as previously discussed this implies that $e_i \in U$ for all $1 \leq i \leq k$. Hence $U_k \subseteq U$ and we are done. \square

Exercise 10.1.17. Let T be the shift operator on the vector space V and let e_1, \dots, e_n be the usual basis vector described in the example of $F[x]$ -modules. If $m \geq n$ find $(a_m x^m + a_{m-1} x^{m-1} + \dots + a_0) e_n$.

Solution: For convenience let $p(x) = a_m x^m + a_{m-1} x^{m-1} + \dots + a_0$. We compute directly that

$$\begin{aligned} p(x) \cdot e_n &= \left(\sum_{i=0}^m a_i x^i \right) \cdot e_n \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^m a_i (x^i \cdot e_n) && \text{Via module axioms} \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^n a_i (x^i \cdot e_n) && \text{Since } x^i \cdot e_n = 0 \text{ for } i > n \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^n a_i (e_{n-i}) && \text{Since } x \text{ acts as shift operator} \\ &= (a_n, a_{n-1}, \dots, a_1, a_0). \end{aligned}$$

Thus $p(x) \cdot e_n$ gives us the first $n+1$ coefficients in $p(x)$ in a vector in reverse order. \square

Exercise 10.1.18. Let $F = \mathbb{R}$. Let $V = \mathbb{R}^2$ and let T be the linear transformation from V to V which is rotation clockwise about the origin by $\pi/2$ radians. Show that V and 0 are the only $F[x]$ -submodules for this T .

Solution: It suffices to show that every nontrivial submodule is equal to V . Given a nontrivial submodule U , let v be a nonzero vector in U . Then notice that $x \cdot v \in U$ is linearly independent from v . Since U must also be a subspace of the vector space V , we see that U contains $\text{span}\{v, x \cdot v\} = V$. Hence U is all of V . \square

Exercise 10.1.19. Let $F = \mathbb{R}$, let $V = \mathbb{R}^2$ and let T be the linear transformation from V to V which is projection onto the y -axis. Show that $V, 0$, the x -axis and the y -axis are the only $F[x]$ -submodules for this T .

Solution: We know that 0 and V are always submodules. It remains to characterize the nontrivial proper submodules. Notice that such submodules are necessarily 1-dimensional subspaces of $V = \mathbb{R}^2$ since submodules under the action of $F[x]$ are always subspaces and 0- and 2-dimensional subspaces are trivial and non-proper submodules respectively.

Let $U = \text{span}\{v\}$ be some nontrivial proper submodule. Since U is 1-dimensional we must have that $x \cdot v = av$ for some scalar a . In particular v is an eigenvector of T and so U is an eigenspace of T . The only eigenspaces are clearly the x and y axes. One can verify quickly that these are submodules: they both are subspaces (in particular subgroups) of V and are invariant under the action of $F[x]$ since the y -axis is only scaled and the x -axis is annihilated by any nonunits in $F[x]$. \square

Exercise 10.1.20. Let $F = \mathbb{R}$, let $V = \mathbb{R}^2$ and let T be the linear transformation from V to V which is rotation clockwise about the origin by π radians. Show that *every* subspace of V is an $F[x]$ submodule for this T .

Solution: Rotating by π radians is the same as additive negation. Hence we have $x \cdot v = -v$ for all vectors v . Being invariant under the action of F and x is enough to be a submodule, and subspaces are invariant under both by the definition of being a subspace (and hence an additive subgroup). Thus all subspaces are submodules. \square

Exercise 10.1.21. Let $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$, $n > 1$ and let R be the ring of $n \times n$ matrices with entries from a field F . Let M be the set of $n \times n$ matrices with arbitrary elements of F in the first column and zeros elsewhere. Show that M is a submodule of R when R is considered as a left module over itself, but M is not a submodule of R when R is considered as a right R -module.

Solution: It is clear that M is an additive subgroup of the module R . When R acts on M from the left M is invariant since the i -th column of rm for $r \in R$ and $m \in M$ is just the product of r with the i -th column in m . For $i > 1$ this column is zero and so must be r 's product with it. Hence $rm \in M$.

On the other hand when R acts from the right the columns in mr beyond the first may nonzero, as illustrated by the small example below.

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \notin M.$$

\square

Exercise 10.1.22. Suppose that A is a ring with identity 1_A that is a (unital) left R -module satisfying $r \cdot (ab) = (r \cdot a)b = a(r \cdot b)$ for all $r \in R$ and $a, b \in A$. Prove that the map $f : R \rightarrow A$ defined by $f(r) = r \cdot 1_A$ is a ring homomorphism mapping 1_R to 1_A and $f(R)$ is contained in the center of A . Conclude that A is an R -algebra and that the R -module structure on A induced by its algebra structure is precisely the original R -module structure.

Solution: That f maps 1_R to 1_A follows from the fact that $f(1_R) = 1_R \cdot 1_A = 1_A$. Given $r, s \in R$ we have that

$$f(r + s) = (r + s) \cdot 1_A = r \cdot 1_A + s \cdot 1_A = f(r) + f(s)$$

and

$$f(rs) = rs \cdot 1_A = r \cdot (s \cdot 1_A) = r \cdot (s \cdot 1_A 1_A) = r \cdot (1_A (s \cdot 1_A)) = (r \cdot 1_A)(s \cdot 1_A) = f(r)f(s)$$

so f is a ring homomorphism. Let $r \cdot 1_A \in f(R)$ and $a \in A$. Then we have that

$$(r \cdot 1_A)a = r \cdot (1_A a) = r \cdot a = r \cdot (a 1_A) = a(r \cdot 1_A)$$

and so $f(R)$ is in the center of A . This proves that A is an R -algebra. The R -module structure on A as an algebra is the same as its original structure since $r \cdot a = r \cdot (1_A a) = (r \cdot 1_A)a$. □

Exercise 10.1.23. Let A be the direct product ring $\mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{C}$ (cf Section 7.6). Let τ_1 denote the identity map on \mathbb{C} and let τ_2 denote complex conjugation. For any pair $p, q \in \{1, 2\}$ (not necessarily distinct) define

$$f_{p,q} : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{C} \quad \text{by} \quad f_{p,q}(z) = (\tau_p(z), \tau_q(z)).$$

So, for example $f_{2,1} : z \mapsto (\bar{z}, z)$ where \bar{z} is the complex conjugate of z , i.e. $\tau_2(z)$.

- (a) Prove that each $f_{p,q}$ is an injective ring homomorphism, and that they all agree on the subfield \mathbb{R} of \mathbb{C} . Deduce that A has four distinct \mathbb{C} -algebra structures. Explicitly give the action $z \cdot (u, v)$ of a complex number z on an ordered pair in A in each case.
- (b) Prove that if $f_{p,q} \neq f_{p',q'}$ then the identity map on A is *not* a \mathbb{C} -algebra homomorphism from A considered as a \mathbb{C} -algebra via $f_{p,q}$ to A considered as a \mathbb{C} algebra via $f_{p',q'}$ (although the identity is an \mathbb{R} algebra isomorphism).
- (c) Prove that for any pair p, q there is some ring isomorphism from A to itself such that A is isomorphic as a \mathbb{C} algebra via $f_{p,q}$ to A considered as a \mathbb{C} algebra via $f_{1,1}$ (the “natural” \mathbb{C} -algebra structure on A).

Remark: In the preceding exercise $A = \mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{C}$ is not a \mathbb{C} -algebra over either of the direct factor component copies of \mathbb{C} (for example the subring $\mathbb{C} \times 0 \cong \mathbb{C}$) since it is not a unital module over these copies of \mathbb{C} (the 1 of these subrings is not the same as the 1 of A).

Solution: (a)

That each $f_{p,q}$ agrees on \mathbb{R} is trivial since complex conjugation fixes \mathbb{R} . Also recall that complex conjugation is an automorphism of \mathbb{C} and so each τ_p is an automorphism. Hence $f_{p,q}$ behaves as a ring homomorphism in each coordinate and overall will be a homomorphism. It is a proper ring homomorphism since it maps $1_{\mathbb{C}} = 1$ to $1_{\mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{C}} = (1, 1)$. That each $f_{p,q}$ is injective follows from the injectivity of τ_p for $p = 1, 2$. In particular if z is nonzero then $f_{p,q}(z)$ is nonzero for all p, q and hence the kernel of $f_{p,q}$ is trivial.

The explicit action induced by $f_{p,q}$ is just

$$z \cdot (u, v) = (\tau_p(z)u, \tau_q(z)v).$$

In particular, $f_{1,1}$ acts via natural scalar multiplication.

(b)

If $f_{p,q} \neq f_{p',q'}$ then we notice that

$$f_{p,q}(i) \neq f_{p',q'}(i)$$

since there must be a coordinate in which one map conjugates and the other does not. Hence the action of $i \in \mathbb{C}$ induced by $f_{p,q}$ differs from that induced by $f_{p',q'}$ and in particular there exists $(z_1, z_2) \in \mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{C}$ so that the action of i on (z_1, z_2) induced by each is a different element of $\mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{C}$. Denote by \cdot the action induced by $f_{p,q}$ and by \circ the action induced by $f_{p',q'}$. If the identity map Id on $\mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{C}$ were a \mathbb{C} -algebra homomorphism we would have that

$$i \cdot (z_1, z_2) = \text{Id}(i \cdot (z_1, z_2)) = i \circ \text{Id}((z_1, z_2)) = i \circ (z_1, z_2)$$

which is a contradiction. Hence the identity is not a \mathbb{C} -algebra homomorphism.

(c)

For $f_{p,q}$ the isomorphism of $\mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{C}$ which makes it isomorphic to the natural action is the isomorphism which acts as τ_p in the first coordinate and τ_q in the second. Let ϕ denote this map. The map ϕ is clearly a ring isomorphism since τ_p and τ_q are ring isomorphisms of each coordinate. To see that this gives $\mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{C}$ the natural \mathbb{C} -algebra structure, let \cdot denote the natural action and \circ denote the action induced by $f_{p,q}$. Then we have that ϕ is a \mathbb{C} -algebra isomorphism since

$$\begin{aligned} \phi(z \circ (z_1, z_2)) &= \phi((\tau_p(z)z_1, \tau_q(z)z_2)) \\ &= (\tau_p(\tau_p(z)z_1), \tau_q(\tau_q(z)z_2)) \\ &= (z\tau_p(z_1), z\tau_q(z_2)) && \text{Since } \tau_p(\tau_p(z)) = z \text{ for all } \tau_p \\ &= z \cdot (\tau_p(z_1), \tau_q(z_2)) \\ &= z \cdot \phi((z_1, z_2)). \end{aligned}$$

Hence $\mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{C}$ with the $f_{p,q}$ action is \mathbb{C} -algebra isomorphic to $\mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{C}$ with the natural action, as desired. □

10.2 Quotient Modules and Module Homomorphisms

In these exercises R is a ring with 1 and M is a left R -module.

Exercise 10.2.1. Use the submodule criterion to show that kernels and images of R -module homomorphisms are submodules.

Solution: TODO □

Exercise 10.2.2. Show that the relation “is R -module isomorphic to” is an equivalence relation on any set of R -modules.

Solution: TODO

□

Exercise 10.2.3. Give an explicit example of a map from one R -module to another which is a group homomorphism but not an R -module homomorphism.

Solution: TODO

□

Exercise 10.2.4. Let A be any \mathbb{Z} -module, let a be any element of A and let n be a positive integer. Prove that the map $\phi_a : \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow A$ given by $\phi_a(\bar{k}) = ka$ is a well defined \mathbb{Z} -module homomorphism if and only if $na = 0$. Prove that $\text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}, A) \cong A_n$ where $A_n = \{a \in A \mid na = 0\}$ (so A_n is the annihilator of A in the ideal (n) of \mathbb{Z} — cf. Exercise 10, Section 1).

Solution: TODO

□

Exercise 10.2.5. Exhibit all \mathbb{Z} -module homomorphisms from $\mathbb{Z}/30\mathbb{Z}$ to $\mathbb{Z}/21\mathbb{Z}$.

Solution: TODO

□

Exercise 10.2.6. Prove that $\text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathbb{Z}/(n, m)\mathbb{Z}$.

Solution: TODO

□

Exercise 10.2.7. Let z be a fixed element of the center of R . Prove that the map $m \mapsto zm$ is an R -module homomorphism from M to itself. Show that for a commutative ring R the map from R to $\text{End}_R(M)$ given by $r \mapsto rI$ is a ring homomorphism (where I is the identity endomorphism).

Solution: TODO

□

Exercise 10.2.8. Let $\phi : M \rightarrow N$ be an R -module homomorphism. Prove that $\phi(\text{Tor}(M)) \subseteq \text{Tor}(n)$ (cf. Exercise 8 in Section 1).

Solution: TODO

□

Exercise 10.2.9. Let R be a commutative ring. Prove that $\text{Hom}_R(R, M)$ and M are isomorphic as left R -modules. [Show that each element of $\text{Hom}_R(R, M)$ is determined by its value on the identity of R .]

Solution: TODO

□

Exercise 10.2.10. Let R be a commutative ring. Prove that $\text{Hom}_R(R, R)$ and R are isomorphic as rings.

Solution: TODO

□

Exercise 10.2.11. Let A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n be R -modules and let B_i be a submodule of A_i for each $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$. Prove that

$$(A_1 \times \cdots \times A_n)/(B_1 \times \cdots \times B_n) \cong (A_1/B_1) \times \cdots \times (A_n/B_n).$$

[Recall Exercise 14 in Section 5.1.]

Solution: TODO

□

Exercise 10.2.12. Let I be a left ideal of R and let n be a positive integer. Prove

$$R^n/IR^n \cong R/IR \times \cdots \times R/IR \quad (n \text{ times})$$

where IR^n is defined as in Exercise 5 of Section 1. [Use the preceding exercise.]

Solution: TODO

□

Exercise 10.2.13. Let I be a nilpotent ideal in a commutative ring R (cf. Exercise 37, 7.3), let M and N be R -modules and let $\phi : M \rightarrow N$ be an R -module homomorphism. Show that if the induced map $\bar{\phi} : M/IM \rightarrow N/IN$ is surjective, then ϕ is surjective.

Solution: TODO

□

Exercise 10.2.14. Let $R = \mathbb{Z}[x]$ be the ring of polynomials in x and let $A = \mathbb{Z}[t_1, t_2, \dots]$ be the ring of polynomials in the independent indeterminates t_1, t_2, \dots . Define an action of R on A as follows: 1) let $1 \in R$ act on A as the identity, 2) for $n \geq 1$ let $x^n \circ 1 = t_n$, let $x^n \circ t_i = t_{n+i}$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots$, and let x^n act as 0 on monomials in A of (total) degree at least two, and 3) extend \mathbb{Z} -linearly, i.e., so that the module axioms 2(a) and 2(c) are satisfied.

- (a) Show that $x^{p+1} \circ t_i = x^p \circ (x^q \circ t_i) = t_{p+q+i}$ and use this show that under this action the ring A is a (unital) R -module.
- (b) Show that the map $\phi : R \rightarrow A$ defined by $\phi(r) = r \circ 1_A$ is an R -module homomorphism of the ring R into the ring A mapping 1_R to 1_A , but not a ring homomorphism from R to A .

Solution: TODO

□

10.3 Generation of Modules, Direct Sums, and Free Modules

In these exercises R is a ring with 1 and M is a left R -module.

Exercise 10.3.1. Prove that if A and B are sets of the same cardinality, then the free modules $F(A)$ and $F(B)$ are isomorphic.

Solution: TODO

□

Exercise 10.3.2. Assume R is commutative. Prove that $R^n \cong R^m$ if and only if $n = m$, i.e., two free R -modules of finite rank are isomorphic if and only if they have the same rank. [Apply Exercise 12 of Section 2 with I a maximal ideal of R . You may assume that if F is a field, then $F^n \cong F^m$ if and only if $n = m$, i.e. two finite dimensional vector spaces over F are isomorphic if and only if they have the same dimension — this will be proved later in Section 11.1]

Solution: TODO

□

Exercise 10.3.3. Show that the $F[x]$ -modules in Exercises 18 and 19 of Section 1 are both cyclic.

Solution: TODO

□

Exercise 10.3.4. An R -module M is called a *torsion* module if for each $m \in M$ there is a nonzero element of $r \in R$ such that $rm = 0$, where r may depend on m (i.e., $M = \text{Tor}(M)$ in the notation of Exercise 8 of Section 1). Prove that every finite abelian group is a torsion \mathbb{Z} -module. Give an example of an infinite abelian group that is a torsion \mathbb{Z} -module.

Solution: TODO

□

Exercise 10.3.5. Let R be an integral domain. Prove that every finitely generated torsion R -module has a nonzero annihilator i.e., there is a nonzero element $r \in R$ such that $rm = 0$ for all $m \in M$ — here r does not depend on m (the annihilator of a module was defined in Exercise 9 of Section 1). Give an example of a torsion R -module whose annihilator is the zero ideal.

Solution: TODO

□

Exercise 10.3.6. Prove that if M is a finitely generated R -module that is generated by n elements then every quotient of M may be generated by n (or fewer) elements. Deduce that quotients of cyclic modules are cyclic.

Solution: TODO

□

Exercise 10.3.7. Let N be a submodule of M . Prove that if both M/N and N are finitely generated then so is M .

Solution: TODO

□

Exercise 10.3.8. Let S be the collection of sequences (a_1, a_2, a_3, \dots) of integers a_1, a_2, a_3, \dots where all but finitely many of the a_i are 0 (called the *direct sum* of infinitely many copies of \mathbb{Z}). Recall that S is a ring under componentwise addition and multiplication and S does not have a multiplicative identity — cf. Exercise 20, Section 7.1. Prove that S is not finitely generated as a module over itself.

Solution: TODO

□

Exercise 10.3.9. An R -module M is called *irreducible* if $M \neq 0$ and if 0 and M are the only submodules of M . Show that M is irreducible if and only if $M \neq 0$ and M is a cyclic module with any nonzero element as a generator. Determine all the irreducible \mathbb{Z} -modules.

Solution: TODO

□

Exercise 10.3.10. Assume R is commutative. Show that an R -module M is irreducible if and only if M is isomorphic (as an R -module) to R/I where I is a maximal ideal of R . [By the previous exercise, if M is irreducible then there is a natural map $R \rightarrow M$ defined by $r \mapsto rm$ where m is any fixed nonzero element of M .]

Solution: TODO

□

Exercise 10.3.11. Show that if M_1 and M_2 are irreducible R -modules, then any nonzero R -module homomorphism from M_1 to M_2 is an isomorphism. Deduce that if M is irreducible then $\text{End}_R(M)$ is a division ring (this result is called *Schur's Lemma*). [Consider the kernel and the image.]

Solution: TODO

□

Exercise 10.3.12. Let R be a commutative ring and let A, B and M be R -modules. Prove the following isomorphisms of R -modules:

$$(a) \text{Hom}_R(A \times B, M) \cong \text{Hom}_R(A, M) \times \text{Hom}_R(B, M)$$

$$(b) \text{Hom}_R(M, A \times B) \cong \text{Hom}_R(M, A) \times \text{Hom}_R(M, B).$$

Solution: TODO

□

Exercise 10.3.13. Let R be a commutative ring and let F be a free R -module of finite rank. Prove the following isomorphism of R -modules: $\text{Hom}_R(F, R) \cong F$.

Solution: TODO

□

Exercise 10.3.14. Let R be a commutative ring and let F be the free R -module of rank n . Prove that $\text{Hom}_R(F, M) \cong M \times \cdots \times M$ (n times). [Use Exercise 9 in Section 2 and Exercise 12.]

Solution: TODO

□

Exercise 10.3.15. An element $e \in R$ is called a *central idempotent* if $e^2 = e$ and $er = re$ for all $r \in R$. If e is a central idempotent in R , prove that $M = eM \oplus (1 - e)M$. [Recall Exercise 14 in Section 1.]

Solution: TODO

□

The next two exercises establish the Chinese Remainder Theorem for modules (cf. Section 7.6).

Exercise 10.3.16. For any ideal I of R let IM be the submodule defined in Exercise 5 of Section 1. Let A_1, \dots, A_k be any ideals in the ring R . Prove that the map

$$M \rightarrow A/A_1M \times \cdots M/A_kM \quad \text{defined by} \quad m \mapsto (m + A_1M, \dots, m + A_kM)$$

is an R -module homomorphism with kernel $A_1M \cap A_2M \cap \cdots \cap A_kM$.

Solution: TODO

□

Exercise 10.3.17. In the notation of the preceding exercise, assume further that the ideals A_1, \dots, A_k are pairwise comaximal (i.e. $A_i + A_j = R$ for all $i \neq j$). Prove that

$$M/(A_1 \cdots A_k)M \cong M/A_1M \times \cdots M/A_kM.$$

[See the proof of the Chinese Remainder Theorem for rings in Section 7.6.]

Solution: TODO

□

Exercise 10.3.18. Let R be a Principal Ideal Domain and let M be an R -module that is annihilated by the nonzero, proper ideal (a) . Let $a = p_1^{\alpha_1} p_2^{\alpha_2} \cdots p_k^{\alpha_k}$ be the unique factorization of a into distinct prime powers in R . Let M_i be the annihilator of $p_i^{\alpha_i}$ in M , i.e. M_i is the set $\{m \in M \mid p_i^{\alpha_i} m = 0\}$ —called the p_i -primary component of M . Prove that

$$M = M_1 \oplus M_2 \oplus \cdots \oplus M_k.$$

Solution: TODO

□

Exercise 10.3.19. Show that if M is a finite abelian group of order $a = p_1^{\alpha_1} p_2^{\alpha_2} \cdots p_k^{\alpha_k}$ then, considered as a \mathbb{Z} -module, M is annihilated by (a) , the p_i -primary component of M is the unique Sylow p_i -subgroup of M and M is isomorphic to the direct product of its Sylow subgroups.

Solution: TODO

□

Exercise 10.3.20. Let I be a nonempty index set and for each $i \in I$ let M_i be an R -module. The *direct product* of the modules M_i is defined to be their direct product as abelian groups (cf. Exercise 15 in Section 5.1) with the action of R componentwise multiplication. The *direct sum* of the modules M_i is defined to be the restricted direct product of the abelian groups M_i (cf. Exercise 17 in Section 5.1) with the action of R componentwise multiplication. In other words, the direct sum of the M_i 's is the subset of the direct product $\prod_{i \in I} M_i$, which consists of all elements $\prod_{i \in I} m_i$ such that only finitely many of the components m_i are nonzero; the action of R on the direct product or direct sum is given by $r \prod_{i \in I} m_i = \prod_{i \in I} r m_i$ (cf. Appendix I for the definition of the Cartesian products of infinitely many sets). The direct sum will be denoted by $\oplus_{i \in I} M_i$.

(a) Prove that the direct product of the M_i 's is an R -module and the direct sum of the M_i 's is a submodule of their direct product.

(b) Show that if $R = \mathbb{Z}$, $I = \mathbb{Z}^+$ and M_i is the cyclic group of order i for each i , then the direct sum of the M_i 's is not isomorphic to their direct product. [Look at torsion.]

Solution: TODO

□

Exercise 10.3.21. let I be a nonempty index set and for each $i \in I$ let N_i be a submodule of M . Prove that the following are equivalent:

- (i) the submodule of M generated by all the N_i 's is isomorphic to the direct sum of the N_i 's
- (ii) if $\{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_k\}$ is any finite subset of I then $N_{i_1} \cap (N_{i_2} + \dots + N_{i_k}) = 0$
- (iii) if $\{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_k\}$ is any finite subset of I then $N_1 + \dots + N_k = N_1 \oplus \dots \oplus N_k$
- (iv) for every element x of the submodule of M generated by the N_i 's there are unique elements $a_i \in N_i$ for all $i \in I$ such that all but a finite number of the a_i are zero and x is the (finite) sum of the a_i .

Solution: TODO

□

Exercise 10.3.22. Let R be a Principal Ideal Domain, let M be a torsion R -module (cf. Exercise 4) and let p be a prime in R (do not assume M is finitely generated, hence it need not have a nonzero annihilator — cf. Exercise 5). The p -primary component of M is the set of all elements of M that are annihilated by some positive power of p .

- (a) Prove that the p -primary component is a submodule. [See Exercise 13 in Section 1.]
- (b) Prove that this definition of p -primary component agrees with the one given in Exercise 18 when M has a nonzero annihilator.
- (c) Prove that M is the (possible infinite) direct sum of its p -primary components, as p runs over all primes of R .

Solution: TODO

□

Exercise 10.3.23. Show that any direct sum of free R -modules is free.

Solution: TODO

□

Exercise 10.3.24. (An arbitrary direct product of free modules need not be free) For each positive integer i let M_i be the free \mathbb{Z} -module \mathbb{Z} , and let M be the direct product $\prod_{i \in \mathbb{Z}^+} M_i$ (cf. Exercise 20). Each element of M can be written uniquely in the form (a_1, a_2, a_3, \dots) with $a_i \in \mathbb{Z}$ for all i . Let N be the submodule of M consisting of all such tuples with only finitely many nonzero a_i . Assume M is a free \mathbb{Z} module with basis \mathcal{B} .

- (a) Show that N is countable.
- (b) Show that there is some countable subset \mathcal{B}_1 of \mathcal{B} such that N is contained in the submodule, N_1 , generated by \mathcal{B}_1 . Show also that N_1 is countable.
- (c) Let $\overline{M} = M/N_1$. Show that \overline{M} is a free \mathbb{Z} -module. Deduce that if \overline{x} is any nonzero element of \overline{M} then there are only finitely many distinct positive integers k such that $\overline{x} = k\overline{m}$ for some $m \in M$ (depending on k).

- (d) Let $\mathcal{S} = \{(b_1, b_2, b_3, \dots) \mid b_i = \pm i! \text{ for all } i\}$. Prove that \mathcal{S} is uncountable. Deduce that there is some $s \in \mathcal{S}$ with $s \notin N_1$.
- (e) Show that the assumption M is free leads to a contradiction: By (d) we may choose $s \in \mathcal{S}$ with $s \notin N_1$. Show that for each positive integer k there is some $m \in M$ with $\bar{s} = k\bar{m}$, contrary to (c). [Use the fact that $N \subseteq N_1$.]

Solution: TODO

□

Exercise 10.3.25. In the construction of direct limits, Exercise 8 of Section 7.6, show that if all A_i are R -modules and the maps ρ_{ij} are R -module homomorphisms, then the direct limit $A = \varinjlim A_i$ may be given the structure of an R -module in a natural way such that the maps $\rho_i : A_i \rightarrow A$ are all R -module homomorphisms. Verify the corresponding universal property (part (e)) for R -module homomorphism $\phi_i : A_i \rightarrow C$ commuting with the ρ_{ij} .

Solution: TODO

□

Exercise 10.3.26. Carry out the analysis of the preceding exercise corresponding to the inverse limits to show that the inverse limit of R -modules is an R -module satisfying the appropriate universal property (cf. Exercise 10 of Section 7.6).

Solution: TODO

□

Exercise 10.3.27. (*Free modules over noncommutative rings need not have a unique rank*) Let M be the \mathbb{Z} -module $\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z} \times \dots$ of Exercise 24 and let R be its endomorphism ring, $R = \text{End}_{\mathbb{Z}}(M)$ (cf. Exercises 29 and 30 in Section 7.1). Define $\phi_1, \phi_2 \in R$ by

$$\begin{aligned}\phi_1(a_1, a_2, a_3, \dots) &= (a_1, a_3, a_5, \dots) \\ \phi_2(a_1, a_2, a_3, \dots) &= (a_2, a_4, a_6, \dots)\end{aligned}$$

- (a) Prove that $\{\phi_1, \phi_2\}$ is a free basis of the left R -module R . [Define the maps ψ_1 and ψ_2 by $\psi_1(a_1, a_2, \dots) = (a_1, 0, a_2, 0, \dots)$ and $\psi_2(a_1, a_2, \dots) = (0a_1, 0, a_2, \dots)$. Verify that $\phi_i\psi_i = 1$, $\phi_1\psi_2 = 0 = \phi_2\psi_1$ and $\psi_1\phi + \psi_2\phi_2 = 1$. Use these relations to prove that ψ_2, ϕ_2 are independent and generate R as a left R -module.]
- (b) Use (a) to prove that $R \cong R^2$ and deduce that $R \cong R^n$ for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$.

Solution: TODO

□

10.4 Tensor Products of Modules

Let R be a ring with 1.

Exercise 10.4.1. Let $f : R \rightarrow S$ be a ring homomorphism from the ring R to the ring S with $f(1_R) = 1_S$. Verify the details that $sr = sf(r)$ defines a right R -action on S under which S is an (S, R) -bimodule.

Solution: TODO

□

Exercise 10.4.2. Show that the element “ $2 \otimes 1$ ” is 0 in $\mathbb{Z} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ but is nonzero in $2\mathbb{Z} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$.

Solution: TODO

□

Exercise 10.4.3. Show that $\mathbb{C} \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C}$ and $C \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathbb{C}$ are both left \mathbb{R} -modules but are not isomorphic as \mathbb{R} -modules.

Solution: TODO

□

Exercise 10.4.4. Show that $\mathbb{Q} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}$ and $Q \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{Q}$ are isomorphic left \mathbb{Q} -modules. [Show they are both 1-dimensional vector spaces over \mathbb{Q} .]

Solution: TODO

□

Exercise 10.4.5. Let A be a finite abelian group of order n and let p^k be the largest power of the prime p dividing n . Prove that $\mathbb{Z}/p^k\mathbb{Z} \otimes \mathbb{Z}A$ is isomorphic to the Sylow p -subgroup of A .

Solution: TODO

□

Exercise 10.4.6. If R is any integral domain with a quotient field Q , prove that $(Q/R) \otimes_R (Q/R) = 0$.

Solution: TODO

□

Exercise 10.4.7. If R is any integral domain with quotient field Q and N is a left R -module, prove that every element of the tensor product $Q \otimes_R N$ can be written as a simple tensor of the form $(1/d) \otimes n$ for some nonzero $d \in R$ and some $n \in N$.

Solution: TODO

□

Exercise 10.4.8. Suppose R is an integral domain with quotient field Q and let N be any R -module. Let $U = R^\times$ be the set of nonzero elements in R and define $U^{-1}N$ to be the set of equivalence classes of ordered pairs of elements (u, n) with $u \in U$ and $n \in N$ under the equivalence relation $(u, n) \sim (u', n')$ if and only if $u'n = un'$ in N .

- (a) Prove that $U^{-1}N$ is an abelian group under the addition defined by $\overline{(u_1, n_1)} + \overline{(u_2, n_2)} = \overline{(u_1 u_2, u_2 n_1 + u_1 n_2)}$. Prove that $r \cdot \overline{(u, n)} = \overline{(u, rn)}$ defines an action of R on $U^{-1}N$ making it into an R -module. [This is an example of *localization* considered in general in Section 4 of Chapter 15, cf. also Section 6 in Chapter 7.]
- (b) Show that the map from $Q \times N$ to $U^{-1}N$ defined by sending $(a/b, n)$ to $\overline{(b, an)}$ for $a \in R, b \in U, n \in N$, is an R -balanced map, so induces a homomorphism f from $Q \otimes_R N$ to $U^{-1}N$. Show that the map g from $U^{-1}N$ to $Q \otimes_R N$ defined by $g(\overline{(u, n)}) = (1/u) \otimes n$ is well defined and is an inverse homomorphism to f . Conclude that $Q \otimes_R N \cong U^{-1}N$ as R -modules.

- (c) Conclude from (b) that $(1/d) \otimes n$ is 0 in $Q \otimes_R N$ if and only if $rn = 0$ for some nonzero $r \in R$.
- (d) If A is an abelian group show that $\mathbb{Q} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} A = 0$ if and only if A is a torsion abelian group (i.e., every element of A has finite order).

Solution: TODO

□

Exercise 10.4.9. Suppose R is an integral domain with the quotient field Q and let N be any R -module. Let $Q \otimes_R N$ be the module obtained from N by extension of scalars from R to Q . Prove that the kernel of the R -module homomorphism $\iota : N \rightarrow Q \otimes_R N$ is the torsion submodule of N (cf. Exercise 8 in Section 1). [Use the previous exercise.]

Solution: TODO

□

Exercise 10.4.10. Suppose R is commutative and $N \cong R^n$ is a free R -module of rank n with R -module basis e_1, \dots, e_n .

- (a) For any nonzero R -module M show that every element of $M \otimes N$ can be written uniquely in the form $\sum_{i=1}^n m_i \otimes e_i$ where $m_i \in M$. Deduce that if $\sum_{i=1}^n m_i \otimes e_i = 0$ in $M \otimes N$ then $m_i = 0$ for $i = 1, \dots, n$.
- (b) Show that if $\sum m_i \otimes n_i = 0$ in $M \otimes N$ where n_i are merely assumed to be R -linearly independent then it is not necessarily true that all m_i are 0. [Consider $R = \mathbb{Z}, n = 1, M = \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ and the element $1 \otimes 2$.]

Solution: TODO

□

Exercise 10.4.11. Let $\{e_1, e_2\}$ be a basis of $V = \mathbb{R}^2$. Show that the element $e_1 \otimes e_2 + e_2 \otimes e_1$ in $V \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} V$ cannot be written as a simple tensor $v \otimes w$ for any $v, w \in \mathbb{R}^2$.

Solution: TODO

□

Exercise 10.4.12. Let V be a vector space over the field F and let v, v' be nonzero elements of V . Prove that $v \otimes v' = v' \otimes v$ in $V \otimes_F V$ if and only if $v = av'$ for some $a \in F$.

Solution: TODO

□

Exercise 10.4.13. Prove that the usual dot product of vectors defined by letting $(a_1, \dots, a_n) \cdots (b_1, \dots, b_n)$ be $a_1 b_1 + \dots + a_n b_n$ is a bilinear map from $R^n \times R^n$ to \mathbb{R} .

Solution: TODO

□

Exercise 10.4.14. Let I be an arbitrary nonempty index set and for each $i \in I$ let N_i be a left R -modules. Let M be a right R -module. Prove the group isomorphism: $M \otimes (\oplus_{i \in I} N_i) \cong \oplus_{i \in I} (M \otimes N_i)$, where the direct sum of an arbitrary collection of modules is defined in Exercise 20, Section 3. [Use the same argument as for the direct sum of two modules, taking care to note where the direct *sum* hypothesis is needed — cf. the next exercise.]

Solution: TODO

□

Exercise 10.4.15. Show that tensor products do not commute with direct products in general. [Consider the extension of scalars from \mathbb{Z} to \mathbb{Q} of the direct product of the modules $M_i = \mathbb{Z}/2^i\mathbb{Z}$, $i = 1, 2, \dots$].

Solution: TODO

□

Exercise 10.4.16. Suppose R is commutative and let I and J be ideals of R , so R/I and R/J are naturally R -modules.

- (a) Prove that every element of $R/I \otimes_R R/J$ can be written as a simple tensor of the form $(1 \bmod I) \otimes (r \bmod J)$.
- (b) Prove that there is an R -module isomorphism $R/I \otimes_R R/J \cong R/(I+J)$ mapping $(r \bmod I) \otimes (r' \bmod J)$ to $rr' \bmod (I+J)$.

Solution: TODO

□

Exercise 10.4.17. Let $I = (2, x)$ be the ideal generated by 2 and x in the ring $R = \mathbb{Z}[x]$. The ring $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} = R/I$ is naturally an R -module annihilated by both 2 and x .

- (a) Show that the map $\phi : I \times I \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ defined by

$$\phi(a_0 + a_1x + \cdots a_nx^n, b_0 + b_1x + \cdots b_mx^m) = \frac{a_0}{2}b_1 \bmod 2$$

is R -bilinear.

- (b) Show that there is an R -module homomorphism from $I \otimes_R I \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ mapping $p(x) \otimes q(x)$ to $\frac{p(0)}{2}q'(0)$ where q' denotes the usual polynomial derivative of q .
- (c) Show that $2 \otimes x \neq x \otimes 2$ in $I \otimes_R I$.

Solution: TODO

□

Exercise 10.4.18. Suppose I is a principal ideal in the integral domain R . Prove that the R -modules $I \otimes_R I$ has no nonzero torsion elements (i.e. $rm = 0$ with $0 \neq r \in R$ and $m \in I \otimes_R I$ implies $m = 0$).

Solution: TODO

□

Exercise 10.4.19. Let $I = (2, x)$ be the ideal generated by 2 and x in the ring $R = \mathbb{Z}[x]$ as in Exercise 17. Show that the nonzero element $2 \otimes x - x \otimes 2$ in $I \otimes_R I$ is a torsion element. Show in fact that $2 \otimes x - x \otimes 2$ is annihilated by both 2 and x and that the submodule of $I \otimes_R I$ generated by $2 \otimes x - x \otimes 2$ is isomorphic to R/I .

Solution: TODO

□

Exercise 10.4.20. Let $I = (2, x)$ be the ideal generated by 2 and x in the ring $R = \mathbb{Z}[x]$. Show that the element $2 \otimes 2 + x \otimes x$ in $I \otimes_R I$ is not a simple tensor, i.e. cannot be written as $a \otimes b$ for some $a, b \in I$.

Solution: TODO

□

Exercise 10.4.21. Suppose R is commutative and let I and J be ideals of R .

- (a) Show that there is a surjective R -module homomorphism from $I \otimes_R J$ to the product ideal IJ mapping $I \otimes J$ to the element ij .
- (b) Give an example to show that the map in (a) need not be injective (cf. Exercise 17).

Solution: TODO

□

Exercise 10.4.22. Suppose that m is a left and a right R -module such that $rm = mr$ for all $r \in R$ and $m \in M$. Show that the elements ${}_1r_2$ and r_2r_1 act the same on M for every $r_1, r_2 \in R$. (This explains why the assumption that R is commutative in the definition of an R -algebra is a fairly natural one.)

Solution: TODO

□

Exercise 10.4.23. Verify the details that the multiplication in Proposition 19 makes $A \otimes_R B$ into an R -algebra.

Solution: TODO

□

Exercise 10.4.24. Prove that the extension of scalars from \mathbb{Z} to the Gaussian integers $\mathbb{Z}[i]$ of the ring \mathbb{R} is isomorphic to \mathbb{C} as a ring: $\mathbb{Z}[i] \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{R} \cong \mathbb{C}$ as rings.

Solution: TODO

□

Exercise 10.4.25. Let R be a subring of the commutative ring S and let x be an indeterminate over S . Prove that $S[x]$ and $S \otimes_R R[x]$ are isomorphic as S -algebras.

Solution: TODO

□

Exercise 10.4.26. Let S be a commutative ring containing R (with $1_s = 1_R$) and let x_1, \dots, x_n be independent indeterminates over the ring S . Show that for every ideal I in the polynomial ring $R[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ that $S \otimes_R (R[x_1, \dots, x_n]/I) \cong S[x_1, \dots, x_n]/IS[x_1, \dots, x_n]$.

Solution: TODO

□

Exercise 10.4.27. The next exercise shows the ring $C \otimes_R \mathbb{C}$ introduced at the end of this section is isomorphic to $\mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{C}$. One may also prove this via Exercise 26 and Proposition 16 in Section 9.5, since $\mathbb{C} \cong \mathbb{R}[x]/(x^2 + 1)$. The ring $C \times \mathbb{C}$ is also discussed in Exercise 23 of Section 1.

- (a) Write down a formula for the multiplication of two elements $a \cdot 1 + b \cdot e_2 + c \cdot e_3 + d \cdot e_4$ and $a' \cdot 1 + b' \cdot e_2 + c' \cdot e_3 + d' \cdot e_4$ in the example $A = \mathbb{C} \otimes \mathbb{R}\mathbb{C}$ following Proposition 21 (where $1 = 1 \otimes 1$ is the identity of A).
- (b) Let $\epsilon_1 = \frac{1}{2}(1 \otimes 1 + i \otimes i)$ and let $\epsilon_2 = \frac{1}{2}(1 \otimes 1 - i \otimes i)$. Show that $\epsilon_1 \epsilon_2 = 0$, $\epsilon_1 + \epsilon_2 = 1$ and $\epsilon_j^2 = \epsilon_j$ for $j = 1, 2$ (ϵ_1 and ϵ_2 are called *orthogonal idempotents* in A). Deduce that A is isomorphic as a ring to the direct product of two principal ideals: $A \cong A\epsilon_1 \times A\epsilon_2$ (cf. Exercise 1, Section 7.6).
- (c) Prove that the map $\phi : \mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{C}$ by $\phi(z_1, z_2) = (z_1 z_2, z_1 \overline{z_2})$, where $\overline{z_2}$ denotes the complex conjugate of z_2 , is an \mathbb{R} -bilinear map.
- (d) Let Φ be the \mathbb{R} -module homomorphism from A to $\mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{C}$ obtained from ϕ in (c). Show that $\Phi(\epsilon_1) = (0, 1)$ and $\Phi(\epsilon_2) = (1, 0)$. Show also that Φ is \mathbb{C} -linear, where the action of \mathbb{C} is on the left tensor factor in A and on both factors in $\mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{C}$. Deduce that Φ is surjective. Show that Φ is a \mathbb{C} -algebra isomorphism.

Solution: TODO

□

10.5 Exact Sequences—Projective, Injective, and Flat Modules

Exercise 10.5.1. Suppose that

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} A & \xrightarrow{\psi} & B & \xrightarrow{\phi} & C \\ \alpha \downarrow & & \beta \downarrow & & \gamma \downarrow \\ A' & \xrightarrow{\psi'} & B' & \xrightarrow{\phi'} & C' \end{array}$$

is a commutative diagram of groups and that the rows are exact. Prove that

- (a) If ϕ and α are surjective, and β is injective then γ is injective. [If $c \in \ker \gamma$, show there is a $b \in B$ with $\phi(b) = c$. Show that $\phi'(\beta(b)) = 0$ and deduce that $\beta(b) = \phi'(a')$ for some $a' \in A'$. Show that there is an $a \in A$ with $\alpha(a) = a'$ and that $\beta(\psi(a)) = \beta(b)$. Conclude that $b = \psi(a)$ and hence $c = \phi(b) = 0$.]
- (b) If ϕ', α and γ are injective, then β is injective.
- (c) If ϕ, α and γ are surjective, then β is surjective.
- (d) If β is injective, α and ϕ are surjective, then γ is injective.
- (e) If β is surjective, γ and ψ' are injective, then α is surjective.

Solution: TODO

□

Exercise 10.5.2. Suppose that

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} A & \longrightarrow & B & \longrightarrow & C & \longrightarrow & D \\ \alpha \downarrow & & \beta \downarrow & & \gamma \downarrow & & \delta \downarrow \\ A' & \xrightarrow{\quad} & B' & \xrightarrow{\quad} & C' & \xrightarrow{\quad} & D' \end{array}$$

is a commutative diagram of groups and that the rows are exact. Prove that

- (a) If α is surjective and β, δ are injective, then γ is injective.
- (b) If δ is injective, and α, γ are surjective, then β is surjective.

Solution: TODO

□

Exercise 10.5.3. Let P_1 and P_2 be R -modules. Prove that $P_1 \oplus P_2$ is a projective R -module if and only if both P_1 and P_2 are projective.

Solution: TODO

□

Exercise 10.5.4. Let Q_1 and Q_2 be R -modules. Prove that $Q_1 \oplus Q_2$ is an injective R -modules if and only if both Q_1 and Q_2 are injective.

Solution: TODO

□

Exercise 10.5.5. Let A_1 and A_2 be R -modules. Prove that $A_1 \oplus A_2$ is a flat R -modules if and only if both A_1 and A_2 are flat. More generally, prove that an arbitrary direct sum $\sum A_i$ of R -modules is flat if and only if each A_i is flat. [Use the fact that tensor product commutes with arbitrary direct sums.]

Solution: TODO

□

Exercise 10.5.6. Prove that the following are equivalent for a ring R :

- (i) Every R -module is projective.
- (ii) Every R -module is injective.

Solution: TODO

□

Exercise 10.5.7. Let A be a nonzero finite abelian group.

- (a) Prove that A is not a projective \mathbb{Z} -module.
- (b) Prove that A is not an injective \mathbb{Z} -module.

Solution: TODO

□

Exercise 10.5.8. Let Q be a nonzero divisible \mathbb{Z} -module. Prove that Q is not a projective \mathbb{Z} -module. Deduce that the rational numbers \mathbb{Q} is not a projective \mathbb{Z} -module. [Show first that if F is any free module then $\cap_{n=1}^{\infty} nF = 0$ (use a basis of F to prove this). Now suppose to the contrary that Q is projective and derive a contradiction from Proposition 30(4).]

Solution: TODO

□

Exercise 10.5.9. Assume R is commutative with 1.

- (a) Prove that the tensor product of two free R -modules is free. [Use the fact that tensor products commute with arbitrary direct sums.]
- (b) Use (a) to prove that the tensor product of two projective R -modules is projective.

Solution: TODO

□

Exercise 10.5.10. Let R and S be rings with 1 and let M and N be left R -modules. Assume also that M is an (R, S) -bimodule.

- (a) For $s \in S$ and for $\phi \in \text{Hom}_R(M, N)$ define $(s\phi) : M \rightarrow N$ by $(s\phi)(m) = \phi(ms)$. Prove that $s\phi$ is a homomorphism of left R -modules, and that this action of S on $\text{Hom}_R(M, N)$ makes it into a *left* S -module.
- (b) Let $S = R$ and let $M = R$ (considered as an (R, R) -bimodule by left and right ring multiplication on itself). For each $n \in N$ define $\phi_n : R \rightarrow N$ by $\phi_n(r) = rn$, i.e. ϕ_n is the unique R -module homomorphism mapping 1_R to n . Show that $\phi_n \in \text{Hom}_R(R, N)$. Use part (a) to show that the map $n \mapsto \phi_n$ is an isomorphism of left R -modules: $N \cong \text{Hom}_R(R, N)$.
- (c) Deduce that if N is a free (respectively, projective, injective, flat) left R -module, then $\text{Hom}_R(R, N)$ is also a free (respectively, projective, injective, flat) left R -module.

Solution: TODO

□

Exercise 10.5.11. Let R and S be rings with 1 and let M and N be left R -modules. Assume also that M is an (R, S) -bimodule.

- (a) For $s \in S$ and for $\phi \in \text{Hom}_R(M, N)$ define $(\phi s) : M \rightarrow N$ by $(\phi s)(m) = \phi(m)s$. Prove that ϕs is a homomorphism of left R -modules, and that this action of S on $\text{Hom}_R(M, N)$ makes it into a *right* S -module. Deduce that $\text{Hom}_R(M, R)$ is a right R -module, for any R -module M — called the *dual module* to M .
- (b) Let $N = R$ be considered as an (R, R) bimodule as usual. Under the action defined in part (a) show that the map $r \mapsto \phi_r$ is an isomorphism of right R -modules: $\text{Hom}_R(R, R) \cong R$, where ϕ_r is the homomorphism that maps 1_R to r . Deduce that if M is a finitely generated free left R -module, then $\text{Hom}_R(M, R)$ is a free right R -module of the same rank. (cf. also Exercise 13).
- (c) Show that if M is a finitely generated projective R -module then its dual module $\text{Hom}_R(M, R)$ is also projective.

Solution: TODO

□

Exercise 10.5.12. Let A be an R -module, let I be any nonempty index set and for each $i \in I$ let B_i be an R -module. Prove the following isomorphisms of abelian groups; when R is commutative prove also that these are R -module isomorphisms. (Arbitrary direct sums and direct products of modules are introduced in Exercise 20 of Section 3.)

- (a) $\text{Hom}_R(\bigoplus_{i \in I} B_i, A) \cong \prod_{i \in I} \text{Hom}_R(B_i, A)$
- (b) $\text{Hom}_R(A, \prod_{i \in I} B_i) \cong \prod_{i \in I} \text{Hom}_R(A, B_i)$.

Solution: TODO

□

Exercise 10.5.13. (a) Show that the dual of the free \mathbb{Z} -module with countable basis is not free. [Use the preceding exercise and Exercise 24, Section 3.] (See also Exercise 5 in Section 11.3.)

(b) Show that the dual of the free \mathbb{Z} -module with countable basis is not projective. [You may use the fact that any submodule of a free \mathbb{Z} -module is free.]

Solution: TODO

□

Exercise 10.5.14. Let $0 \longrightarrow L \xrightarrow{\psi} M \xrightarrow{\phi} N \longrightarrow 0$ be a sequence of R -modules.

(a) Prove that the associated sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \operatorname{Hom}_R(D, L) \xrightarrow{\psi'} \operatorname{Hom}_R(D, M) \xrightarrow{\phi'} \operatorname{Hom}_R(D, N) \longrightarrow 0$$

is a short exact sequence of abelian groups for all R -modules D if and only if the original sequence is a split short exact sequence. [To show the sequence splits, take $D = N$ and show the lift of the identity map in $\operatorname{Hom}_R(N, N)$ to $\operatorname{Hom}_R(N, M)$ is a splitting homomorphism for ϕ .]

(b) Prove that the associated sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \operatorname{Hom}_R(N, D) \xrightarrow{\phi'} \operatorname{Hom}_R(M, D) \xrightarrow{\psi'} \operatorname{Hom}_R(L, D) \longrightarrow 0$$

is a short exact sequence of abelian groups for all R -modules D if and only if the original sequence is a split short exact sequence.

Solution: TODO

□

Exercise 10.5.15. Let M be a left \mathbb{Z} -module and let R be a ring with 1.

(a) Show that $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(R, M)$ is a left R -module under the action $(r\phi)(r') = \phi(r'r)$ (see Exercise 10).

(b) Suppose that $0 \longrightarrow A \xrightarrow{\psi} B$ is an exact sequence of R -modules. Prove that if every \mathbb{Z} -module homomorphism f from A to M lifts to a \mathbb{Z} -module homomorphism F from B to M with $f = F \circ \psi$, then every R -module homomorphism f' from A to $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(R, M)$ lifts to an R -module homomorphism F' from B to $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(R, M)$ with $f' = F' \circ \psi$. [Given f' , show that $f(a) = f'(a)(1_R)$ defines a \mathbb{Z} -module homomorphism of A to M . If F is the associated lift of f to B , show that $F'(b)(r) = F(rb)$ defines an R -modules homomorphism from B to $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(R, M)$ that lifts f' .]

(c) Prove that if Q is an injective \mathbb{Z} -module then $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(R, Q)$ is an injective R -module.

Solution: TODO

□

Exercise 10.5.16. This exercise proves Theorem 38 that every left R -module M is contained in an injective left R -module.

- (a) Show that M is contained in an injective \mathbb{Z} -module Q . [M is a \mathbb{Z} -module—use Corollary 37.]
- (b) Show that $\text{Hom}_R(R, M) \subseteq \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(R, M) \subseteq \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(R, Q)$.
- (c) Use the R -module isomorphism $M \cong \text{Hom}_R(R, M)$ (Exercise 10) and the previous exercise to conclude that M is contained in an injective R -module.

Solution: TODO

□

Exercise 10.5.17. This exercise completes the proof of Proposition 34. Suppose that Q is an R -module with the property that every short exact sequence $0 \longrightarrow Q \longrightarrow M_1 \longrightarrow N \longrightarrow 0$ splits and suppose that the sequence $0 \rightarrow L \xrightarrow{\psi} M \rightarrow 0$ is exact. Prove that every R -module homomorphism f from L to Q can be lifted to an R -module homomorphism F from M to Q with $f = F \circ \psi$. [By the previous exercise, Q is contained in an injective R -module. Use the splitting property together with Exercise 4 (noting that Exercise 4 can be proved using (2) in Proposition 34 as the definition of an injective module).]

Solution: TODO

□

Exercise 10.5.18. Prove that the injective hull of the \mathbb{Z} -module \mathbb{Z} is \mathbb{Q} [Let H be the injective hull of \mathbb{Z} and argue that \mathbb{Q} contains an isomorphic copy of H . Use the divisibility of H to show that $1/n \in H$ for all nonzero integers n , and deduce that $H = \mathbb{Q}$.]

Solution: TODO

□

Exercise 10.5.19. If F is a field, prove that the injective hull of F is F .

Solution: TODO

□

Exercise 10.5.20. Prove that the polynomial ring $R[x]$ with indeterminate x over the commutative ring R is a flat R -module.

Solution: TODO

□

Exercise 10.5.21. Let R and S be rings with 1 and suppose M is a right R -module, and N is an (R, S) -bimodule. If M is flat over R and N is flat as an S -module prove that $M \otimes_R N$ is flat as a right S -module.

Solution: TODO

□

Exercise 10.5.22. Suppose that R is a commutative ring and that M and N are flat R -modules. Prove that $M \otimes_R N$ is a flat R -module. [Use the previous exercise.]

Solution: TODO

□

Exercise 10.5.23. Prove that the (right) module $M \otimes_R S$ obtained by changing the base from the ring R to the ring S (by some homomorphism $f : R \rightarrow S$ with $f(1_R) = 1_S$ cf. Example 6 following Corollary 12 in Section 4) of the flat (right) R -module M is a flat S -module.

Solution: TODO

□

Exercise 10.5.24. Prove that A is a flat R -module if and only if for any left R -modules L and M where L is *finitely egenerated*, then $\psi : L \rightarrow M$ is injective implies that also $1 \otimes \psi : A \otimes_R L \rightarrow A \otimes_R M$ is injective. [Use the techniques if the proof of corollary 42.]

Solution: TODO

□

Exercise 10.5.25. (A Flatness Criterion) Parts (a)-(c) of this exercise prove that A is a flat R -module if and only if for every finitely generated ideal I of R , the map from $A \otimes_R I \rightarrow A \otimes_R R \cong A$ induced by the inclusion $I \subseteq R$ is again injective (or equivalently, $A \otimes_R I \cong AI \subseteq A$).

- (a) Prove that if A is flat then $A \otimes_R I \rightarrow A \otimes_R R$ is injective.
- (b) If $A \otimes_R I \rightarrow A \otimes_R R$ is injective for every finitely generated ideal I , prove that $A \otimes_R I \rightarrow A \otimes_R R$ is injective for every ideal I . Show that if K is any submodule of a finitely generated free module F then $A \otimes_R K \rightarrow A \otimes_R F$ is injective. Show that the same is true for any free module F . [Cf. the proof of Corollary 42.]
- (c) Under the assumption in (b), suppose L and M are R -modules and $L \xrightarrow{\psi} M$ is injective. Prove that $A \otimes_R L \xrightarrow{1 \otimes \psi} A \otimes_R M$ is injective and conclude that A is flat. [Write M as a quotient of the free module F , giving a short exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow K \longrightarrow F \xrightarrow{f} M \longrightarrow 0.$$

Show that if $J = f^{-1}(\psi(L))$ and $\iota : J \rightarrow F$ is the natural injection, then the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & K & \longrightarrow & J & \longrightarrow & L \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & id \downarrow & & \iota \downarrow & & \psi \downarrow \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & K & \longrightarrow & F & \longrightarrow & M \longrightarrow 0 \end{array}$$

is commutative with exact rows. Show that the induced diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} A \otimes_R K & \longrightarrow & A \otimes_R J & \longrightarrow & A \otimes_R L & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ & & id \downarrow & & 1 \otimes \iota \downarrow & & 1 \otimes \psi \downarrow \\ A \otimes_R K & \longrightarrow & A \otimes_R F & \longrightarrow & A \otimes_R M & \longrightarrow & 0 \end{array}$$

is commutative with exact rows. Use (b) to show that $1 \otimes \iota$ is injective, then use Exercise 1 to conclude that $1 \otimes \psi$ is injective.]

- (d) (A Flatness Criterion for quotients) Suppose $A = F/K$ where F is flat (e.g., if F is free) and K is an R -submodule of F . Prove that A is flat if and only if $FI \cap K = KI$ for every finitely generated ideal I of R . [Use (a) to prove $F \otimes_R I \cong FI$ and observe the image of $K \otimes_R I$ is KI ; tensor the exact sequence $0 \rightarrow K \rightarrow F \rightarrow A \rightarrow 0$ with I to prove that $A \otimes_R I \cong FI/KI$, and apply the flatness criterion.]

Solution: TODO

□

Exercise 10.5.26. Suppose R is a PID. This exercise proves that A is a flat R -module if and only if A is a torsion free R -module (i.e., if $a \in A$ is nonzero and $r \in R$, then $ra = 0$ implies $r = 0$).

- (a) Suppose that A is flat and for fixed $r \in R$ consider the map $\psi_r : R \rightarrow R$ defined by multiplication by r : $\psi_r(x) = rx$. If r is nonzero show that ψ_r is an injection. Conclude from the flatness of A that the map from A to A defined by mapping a to ra is injective and that A is torsion free.
- (b) Suppose that A is torsion free. If I is a nonzero ideal of R , then $I = rR$ for some nonzero $r \in R$. Show that the map ψ_r in (a) induces an isomorphism $R \cong I$ of R -modules and that the composite $R \xrightarrow{\psi_r} I \xrightarrow{\iota} R$ of ψ_r with the inclusion $\iota : I \subseteq R$ is multiplication by r . Prove that the composite $A \otimes_R R \xrightarrow{1 \otimes \psi_r} A \otimes_R I \xrightarrow{1 \otimes \iota} A \otimes_R R$ corresponds to the map $a \mapsto ra$ under the identification $A \otimes_R R = A$ and that this composite is injective since A is torsion free. Show that $1 \otimes \psi_r$ is an isomorphism and deduce that $1 \otimes i$ is injective. Use the previous exercise to conclude that A is flat.

Solution: TODO

□

Exercise 10.5.27. Let M, A and B be R -modules.

- (a) Suppose $f : A \rightarrow M$ and $g : B \rightarrow M$ are R -module homomorphisms. Prove that $X = \{(a, b) \mid a \in A, b \in B \text{ with } f(a) = g(b)\}$ is an R -submodule of the direct sum $A \oplus B$ (called the *pullback* or *fiber product* of f and g) and that there is a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X & \xrightarrow{\pi_2} & B \\ \pi_1 \downarrow & & \downarrow g \\ A & \xrightarrow{f} & M \end{array}$$

where π_1 and π_2 are the natural projections onto the first and second components.

- (b) Suppose $f' : M \rightarrow A$ and $g' : M \rightarrow B$ are R -module homomorphisms. Prove that the quotient Y of $A \oplus B$ by $\{(f'(m), -g'(m)) \mid m \in M\}$ is an R -module (called the *pushout* or *fiber sum* of f' and g') and that there is a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} M & \xrightarrow{g'} & B \\ f' \downarrow & & \downarrow \pi'_2 \\ A & \xrightarrow{\pi'_1} & X \end{array}$$

where ϕ'_1 and ϕ'_2 are the natural maps to the quotient induced by the maps into the first and second components.

Solution: TODO

□

Exercise 10.5.28. (a) (*Schanuel's Lemma*) If $0 \longrightarrow K \longrightarrow P \xrightarrow{\phi} M \longrightarrow 0$ and $0 \longrightarrow K' \longrightarrow P' \xrightarrow{\phi'} M \longrightarrow 0$ are exact sequences of R -modules where P and P' are projective, prove that $P \oplus K' \cong P' \oplus K$ as R -modules. [Show that there is an exact sequence $0 \longrightarrow \ker \phi \longrightarrow X \xrightarrow{\pi} P \longrightarrow 0$ with $\ker \pi \cong K'$, where X is the fiber product of ϕ and ϕ' as in the previous exercise. Deduce that $X \cong P \oplus K'$. Show similarly that $X \cong P' \oplus K$.]

- (b) If $0 \longrightarrow M \longrightarrow Q \xrightarrow{\psi} L \longrightarrow 0$ and $0 \longrightarrow M \longrightarrow Q' \xrightarrow{\psi'} L' \longrightarrow 0$ are exact sequences of R -modules where Q and Q' are injective, prove that $Q \oplus L' \cong Q' \oplus L$ as R -modules.

The R modules M and N are said to be *projectively equivalent* if $M \oplus P \cong N \oplus P'$ for some projective modules P, P' . Similarly, M and N are *injective equivalent* if $M \oplus Q \cong N \oplus Q'$ for some injective modules Q, Q' . The previous exercise shows K and K' are projectively equivalent and L and L' are injectively equivalent.

Solution: TODO

□