Adversarial training protects the non-robust features. A trade-off emerges if those features are useful.

A High Dimensional Statistical Model for Adversarial Training: Geometry and Trade-Offs

Empirical Misk Minimization

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} g \left(y_{i} \frac{\boldsymbol{\theta}^{\top} \boldsymbol{x}_{i}}{\sqrt{d}} - \varepsilon_{t} \frac{\sqrt{\boldsymbol{\theta}^{\top} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{\delta} \boldsymbol{\theta}}}{\sqrt{d}} \right) + r(\boldsymbol{\theta}). \tag{1}$$

0.1 Block Features

$$\Sigma_{\mathsf{x}} = \mathsf{blockdiag}\left(\psi_1\mathbb{1}_{d_1}, \ldots, \psi_k\mathbb{1}_{d_k}\right)$$
,

$$\Sigma_{\boldsymbol{\delta}} = \mathsf{blockdiag}\left(\Delta_1\mathbb{1}_{d_1}, \ldots, \Delta_k\mathbb{1}_{d_k}\right)$$
,

$$\Sigma_{\boldsymbol{v}} = \operatorname{blockdiag}\left(\Upsilon_1\mathbb{1}_{d_1}, \ldots, \Upsilon_k\mathbb{1}_{d_k}\right)$$
,

$$\Sigma_{m{ heta}} = \mathsf{blockdiag}\left(t_1\mathbb{1}_{d_1}, \ldots, t_k\mathbb{1}_{d_k}
ight)$$
 ,

0.2 Usefulness and robustness

$$\mathcal{U}_{oldsymbol{ heta}_0} = rac{1}{\sqrt{d}} \mathbb{E}_{oldsymbol{x}, oldsymbol{y}} [oldsymbol{y} oldsymbol{ heta}_0^ op oldsymbol{x}]$$
 ,


$$\mathcal{R}_{oldsymbol{ heta}_0} = rac{1}{\sqrt{d}} \mathbb{E}_{oldsymbol{x}, oldsymbol{y}} \left[\inf_{\|oldsymbol{\delta}\|_{\Sigma_{oldsymbol{u}}^{-1} \le arepsilon_g} oldsymbol{y} oldsymbol{ heta}_0^ op (oldsymbol{x} + oldsymbol{\delta})
ight].$$

following proximal operator


$\mathcal{P}(\omega) = \min_{x} \left[\frac{(x - \omega)^2}{2V} + g(yx - \varepsilon_t \sqrt{P}) \right]. \tag{8}$

The second set of equation depend on the spectral distribution of the matrices Σ_x , Σ_δ and on the limiting distribution of the elements of $\bar{\theta}$. The equations read


Trade-Offs







0.4 Tradeoff directions and innocuous directions



Main Theorem

For the ERM estimator of the risk function with ℓ_2 regularisation $r(\theta) = \frac{\lambda}{2} ||\theta||_2^2$ and $\lambda \geq 0$, under the data model defined in $\ref{eq:condition}$? and in the high dimensional proportional limit, the generalisation error E_{gen} and the boundary error E_{bnd} concentrate to

$$E_{\mathrm{gen}} = \frac{1}{\pi} \arccos\left(m/\sqrt{(\rho + \tau^2)q}\right)$$
, (5)

$$E_{\text{bnd}} = \int_0^{\varepsilon_g \frac{\sqrt{A}}{\sqrt{q}}} \operatorname{erfc}\left(\frac{-\frac{m}{\sqrt{q}}\nu}{\sqrt{2(\rho + \tau^2 - m^2/q)}}\right) \frac{e^{-\frac{\nu^2}{2}}}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \,\mathrm{d}\nu , \qquad (6)$$


and the adversarial generalisation error concentrates to $E_{adv} = E_{gen} + E_{bnd}$.

The values of m and q are the solutions of a system of eight self-consistent equations for the unknowns $(m, q, V, P, \hat{m}, \hat{q}, \hat{V}, \hat{P})$. The first four equations are dependant on the loss function g and the adversarial training strength ε_t and read

$$\begin{cases} \hat{m} = \alpha \mathbb{E}_{\xi} \left[\int_{\mathbb{R}} dy \, \partial_{\omega} \mathcal{Z}_{0} f_{g}(y, \sqrt{q}\xi, P) \right] \\ \hat{q} = \alpha \mathbb{E}_{\xi} \left[\int_{\mathbb{R}} dy \, \mathcal{Z}_{0} f_{g}^{2}(y, \sqrt{q}\xi, P) \right] \\ \hat{V} = -\alpha \mathbb{E}_{\xi} \left[\int_{\mathbb{R}} dy \, \mathcal{Z}_{0} \partial_{\omega} f_{g}(y, \sqrt{q}\xi, P) \right] \\ \hat{P} = -\frac{\varepsilon_{t}}{2\sqrt{P}} \alpha \mathbb{E}_{\xi} \left[\int_{\mathbb{R}} dy \, y \, \mathcal{Z}_{0} f_{g}(y, \sqrt{q}\xi, P) \right] \end{cases}$$


$$(7)$$


where $\xi \sim \mathcal{N}(0,1)$ and $\mathcal{Z}_0 = 1/2 \operatorname{erfc}(-y\omega/\sqrt{2(V+\tau^2)})$ and $f_g(y,\omega,V,P) = (\mathcal{P}(\omega)-\omega)/V$, where \mathcal{P} is the



The value of *A* can be obtained from the solution of the same system of self consistent equations as







Acknowledgements

Kasimir Tanner
Matteo Vilucchio
Bruno Loureiro
Florent Krzakala

