

Group 4 Body Fat Prediction

Chao Chang, Yezhou Li, Shuyang Chen, Ping Yu

October 8, 2019

Abstract

The current way of measuring body fat is complicated and inaccurate. Our group try to come up with a precise, robust and simple model with **two measurements** to calculate body fat.

1 Data Cleaning

In this section, we check some duplicate records, delete and impute some possible bad points. In the end, we delete 163rd, 182nd, 216th, 221st examples.

From the histogram of BODYFAT, the 216th example has a body fat of 45.1% and a density of 0.995, which is impossible for human. the 182nd example has a body fat of 0 and we get a negative body fat value using the body fat-density formula. Thus, these two point are deleted from the raw data set.

The histogram of HEIGHT shows that the 42nd example is only 29 inches, which is obviously a mistaken record. We impute his height using the BMI (adiposity) formula and the result is 69.4 inches.

The 39th example has the largest value in weight, abdomen, chest, hip, thigh, knee, biceps, wrist and adiposity. We believe this man is just too fat and it may contains some useful information. We keep this point.

We compute the ADIPOSITY using weight and height to see whether there are some wrong records in this variable. The 163rd and 221st ex-

ample has much larger difference between the records and computed values than other points. Thus, we believe the two points are mistaken records and delete them from raw data.

2 Model Selection

We compare the performance of two kinds of models: linear model and fraction model.

2.1 Linear model

We decide to use forward, backward selection with AIC and BIC as the criterion to choose the best linear model. The models given by AIC have more than eight variables and they are too complicated. Meanwhile, stepwise selection using BIC, no matter forward, backfard or in both direction give us the same model with four variables:

$$\text{Bodyfat} \sim \text{Abdomen} + \text{Weight} + \text{Wrist} + \text{Forearm} \quad (1)$$

This model has an adjusted $R^2 = 0.719$ and $BIC = 1417.43$.

Next, we look into the multicollinearity issues among the four variables by checking their VIF values. In this step, we divide the data set into training set (70%) and test set (30%). The random seed here is 123. Table 1 shows the result and it shows that from the full model, weight has the highest VIF value and it may have some multicollinearity problem.

In order to find two variables that has the best prediction power while suffer less from multicolliearity problem, we are going to drop the variables gradually to see whether the model performance changes a lot. Table 2 shows the

Predictor	Weight	Abdomen	Forearm	Wrist
VIF	7.70	5.51	1.70	2.12

Table 1: VIF of four predictors

Models	RMSE	R^2
Four variables	4.17	0.71
Abdomen + Forearm + Wrist	4.33	0.69
Forearm + Wrist	7.08	0.17
Abdomen + Wrist	4.33	0.69
Abdomen + Forearm	4.34	0.68
Weight + Abdomen	4.27	0.70

Table 2: Model performance

result. **ABDOMEN** is an important variable since by dropping it the R^2 drops to 0.17 and the RMSE increases. By making trade-off between RMSE and R^2 , we conclude that the model with **ABDOMEN** and **WRIST** is the best linear model.

$$\text{Bodyfat} = 0.682 \times \text{Abeomen} - 2.022 \times \text{Wrist} - 7.256, R^2 = 0.6832$$

2.2 Fraction Model

Given Siri equation, we notice that there is a linear relationship between **BodyFat** and $1/\text{Density}$. By physical theorem, we know that **Density** can be calculated by **Volume** and **Weight**. Since we already possess accurate measure of **Weight**, the idea is to estimate volume using the remaining variables. Taking "Rule of Thumb" into account, we develop a new model named **Fraction Model**:

$$\text{Bodyfat} = \frac{\beta_1 X_i + \beta_2}{\text{Weight}_i} + \beta_3$$

We will use **10-fold Cross Validation** to find the best X_i