Necessary Conditions of General Nonlinear Constrained Optimization Problems and the Lagrange Multipliers

Kuei-Yuan Chan, chanky@mail.ncku.edu.tw Professor of Mechanical Engineering, National Taiwan University.

Let us consider continuous optimization problems of general nonlinear objective functions and nonlinear equality constraints in the form of Eq.(1).

mimimize
$$f(\mathbf{x})$$

s.t. $h_j(\mathbf{x}) = 0, \ j = 1 \cdots m$ $\forall \mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{X}$ (1)

We learned that the reduced gradient of Eq.(1) at the stationary point $\mathbf{x}_{\dagger} = (\mathbf{d}_{\dagger}, \mathbf{s}_{\dagger})^T$ must satisfy

$$(\partial z/\partial \mathbf{d})_{\dagger} = \mathbf{0}^T$$

or one can also restate using the original symbols of Eq.(1) as

$$\left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial \mathbf{d}}\right)_{\dagger} - \left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial \mathbf{s}}\right)_{\dagger} \left(\frac{\partial \mathbf{h}}{\partial \mathbf{s}}\right)_{\dagger}^{-1} \left(\frac{\partial \mathbf{h}}{\partial \mathbf{d}}\right)_{\dagger} = \mathbf{0}^{T}$$
(2)

with all quantities being evaluated at \mathbf{x}_{\dagger} .

Let's define

$$\lambda^{T} \triangleq -\left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial \mathbf{s}}\right)_{\dagger} \left(\frac{\partial \mathbf{h}}{\partial \mathbf{s}}\right)_{\dagger}^{-1} \tag{3}$$

After rearrangements, we have substitute Eq.(3) into Eq.(2) as

$$\left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial \mathbf{d}}\right)_{\dagger} + \lambda^T \left(\frac{\partial \mathbf{h}}{\partial \mathbf{d}}\right)_{\dagger} = \mathbf{0}^T \tag{4}$$

Eq.(2) can also be

$$\left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial \mathbf{s}}\right)_{\dagger} + \lambda^T \left(\frac{\partial \mathbf{h}}{\partial \mathbf{s}}\right)_{\dagger} = \mathbf{0}^T \tag{5}$$

Combining Eqs.(4, 5), we have the *necessary condition* for a minimum: the gradient of the objective function must be the linear combination of the gradients of the constraints at the optimum as

$$\nabla f(\mathbf{x}_{\dagger}) + \lambda^T \nabla \mathbf{h}(\mathbf{x})_{\dagger} = \mathbf{0}^T$$
 (6)

The stationary conditions in Eq.(6) is often expressed in terms of a special function, the Lagrangian function defined by

$$L(\mathbf{x}, \lambda) \triangleq f(\mathbf{x}) + \lambda^T \mathbf{h}(\mathbf{x}) \tag{7}$$

where λ) is called the **Lagrange multipliers**.

Let's extend the discussions to general problems with both equality and inequality constraints as

mimimize
$$f(\mathbf{x})$$

s.t. $\mathbf{h}(\mathbf{x}) = 0$ (8)
 $\mathbf{g}(\mathbf{x}) \le 0$

At a constrained minimum, only some of the inequalities will be active. Let's represent the active inequalities as $\bar{\mathbf{g}}$. At the \mathbf{x}_{\star} , $\bar{\mathbf{g}}$ will be the same as \mathbf{h} . Therefore by extending Eq.(6), the first order optimality conditions will apply to the active inequalities

$$\nabla f(\mathbf{x}_{\star}) + \mu^T \nabla \bar{\mathbf{g}}(\mathbf{x}_{\star}) = \mathbf{0}^T \tag{9}$$

where μ^T is the Lagrange multipliers associated with the active inequalities.

The Karush-Kuhn-Tucker conditions is the first order necessary conditions for a problem with both equality and inequality constraints.

1.
$$\mathbf{h}(\mathbf{x}_{\star}) = 0, \ \mathbf{g}(\mathbf{x}_{\star}) \le 0 \ ;$$

2.
$$\nabla f_{\star} + \lambda^T \nabla \mathbf{h}_{\star} + \mu^T \nabla \mathbf{g}_{\star} = \mathbf{0}^T$$
, where $\lambda \neq \mathbf{0}, \mu \geq \mathbf{0}, \mu^T \mathbf{g} = \mathbf{0}$