

LINEAR ALGEBRA FALL 2019

SOLUTIONS TO SELECTED PROBLEMS FROM HOMEWORK 1–4

1.C. 6. (a) Yes, because if a and b are real numbers, then $a^3 = b^3$ if and only if $a = b$. Clearly the subset $\{(a, b, c) \mid a = b\}$ is closed under addition and scalar multiplication and is therefore a subspace.

(b) No because $(1, 1, 0)$ and $(1, \omega, 0)$ where ω is a 3rd root of 1 other than 1 are in this subset. But $(1, 1, 0) + (1, \omega, 0) = (2, 1 + \omega, 0)$ is not in this subset: We have $\omega^3 - 1 = 0$. Since $\omega^3 - 1 = (\omega - 1)(\omega^2 + \omega + 1)$ and since $\omega \neq 1$, $\omega^2 + \omega + 1 = 0$. So $(1 + \omega)^3 = 1 + 3\omega + 3\omega^2 + 1 = -1 \neq 2^3$.

1.C. 8. The union of the x -axis and the y -axis.

2.A. 5: (a) If we think of \mathbf{C} as a vector space over \mathbf{R} , and if there are scalars $c_1, c_2 \in \mathbf{R}$ such that $c_1(1 + i) + c_2(1 - i) = 0$, then $(c_1 + c_2) + i(c_1 - c_2) = 0$, so $c_1 + c_2 = 0$ and $c_1 - c_2 = 0$ so both c_1 and c_2 are zero.

(b) If we think of \mathbf{C} as a vector space over \mathbf{C} , then the scalars can be any complex numbers. And we have $i(1 + i) + (1 - i) = 0$, so $1 + i$ and $1 - i$ are not linearly independent.

2.C. 2. Let V be a subspace of \mathbf{R}^2 . By 2.39, since $\dim \mathbf{R}^2 = 2$, any two linearly independent vectors in \mathbf{R}^2 span \mathbf{R}^2 . So If V contains 2 linearly independent vectors it is equal to \mathbf{R}^2 . If V does not contain 2 linearly independent vectors, it is either $\{0\}$ or is spanned by 1 non-zero vector (a, b) . In the latter case, $V = \text{span}((a, b)) = \{(\lambda a, \lambda b)\}$ which is the line through the origin and (a, b) .

3.A. 12. Let v_1, \dots, v_n be a basis for V . To show that $\mathcal{L}(V, W)$ is infinite dimensional, it is enough to show that for any positive integer m , there are m linearly independent maps T_1, \dots, T_m in $\mathcal{L}(V, W)$. (because if a vector space is finite dimensional of dimension say r , then there are at most r linearly independent vectors in that space.) Since W is infinite dimensional, we can choose m linearly independent vectors w_1, \dots, w_m in W . Now by 3.5, for each $1 \leq i \leq m$, there is a unique linear map T_i which sends v_1 to w_i and v_2, \dots, v_n

to zero. We claim T_1, \dots, T_m are linearly independent. If $c_1T_1 + \dots + c_mT_m$ is the zero map, then it sends every vector to 0. So in particular

$$c_1T_1 + \dots + c_mT_m(v_1) = 0,$$

so $c_1w_1 + \dots + c_mw_m = 0$. Since the w_i are linearly independent, this implies that all the c_i should be zero, so T_1, \dots, T_m are linearly independent.

3.C. 3 Let $\dim V = n$ and $\dim \text{range}(T) = k$. Then $\dim \text{null}(T) = n - k$. Pick a basis u_{k+1}, \dots, u_n for $\text{null}(T)$ and extend it to a basis u_1, \dots, u_n for V . Let $w_i = T(u_i)$ for $1 \leq i \leq k$. Then $w_1, \dots, w_k \in \text{range}(T)$ and they are linearly independent: To see this assume $c_1w_1 + \dots + c_kw_k = 0$. Then $T(c_1u_1 + \dots + c_ku_k) = 0$, so $c_1u_1 + \dots + c_ku_k \in \text{null}(T)$, so

$$c_1u_1 + \dots + c_ku_k = c_{k+1}u_{k+1} + \dots + c_nu_n$$

for some c_{k+1}, \dots, c_n . Since the u_i are linearly independent, this implies that all the c_i should be 0, so w_1, \dots, w_k are linearly independent.

We now extend w_1, \dots, w_k to a basis w_1, \dots, w_m for W . For $1 \leq i \leq k$, $T(u_i) = w_i$, so there is a 1 in the i -th entry of the i -th column, and the rest of the entries of the i -th column are zero. For $k+1 \leq i \leq n$, $T(u_i) = 0$, so the i -th column is zero.

3.C. 4. The vector $T(v_1)$ is either 0 or not. If $T(v_1) = 0$, then for any basis w_1, \dots, w_m of W , we have

$$T(v_1) = 0w_1 + \dots + 0w_m$$

so the first column of the matrix is zero.

If $T(v_1) \neq 0$, then we let $w_1 = T(v_1)$ and extend w_1 to a basis w_1, \dots, w_m for W . We have

$$T(v_1) = 1w_1 + 0w_2 + \dots + 0w_m.$$

So the first column of the matrix with respect to this basis has 1 in the first row and 0 everywhere else.

3.D. 4. Assume $\text{null}(T_1) = \text{null}(T_2)$. Let w_1, \dots, w_k be a basis for $\text{range}(T_1)$. For each $1 \leq i \leq k$, choose v_i in V such that $T_1(v_i) = w_i$. Let $w'_i = T_2(v_i)$. Then w'_1, \dots, w'_k are linearly independent in W since if a linear combination of them is zero

$$c_1w'_1 + \dots + c_kw'_k = 0,$$

then $T_2(c_1v_1 + \dots + c_kv_k) = 0$, and so $c_1v_1 + \dots + c_kv_k \in \text{null}(T_2) = \text{null}(T_1)$. Therefore, $c_1v_1 + \dots + c_kv_k \in \text{null}(T_1)$ and so $0 = T_1(c_1v_1 + \dots + c_kv_k) =$

$c_1w_1 + \cdots + c_kw_k$. So each c_i should be 0 since by our assumption the w_i are linearly independent. This shows the w'_i are linearly independent, and so

$$\dim \text{range}(T_2) \geq \dim \text{range}(T_1) = k.$$

A similar argument shows $\dim \text{range}(T_1) \geq \dim \text{range}(T_2)$, and so

$$\dim \text{range}(T_2) = \dim \text{range}(T_1) = k.$$

We conclude that w'_1, \dots, w'_k form a basis for $\text{range}(T_2)$. Extend w_1, \dots, w_k to a basis w_1, \dots, w_m for W and extend w'_1, \dots, w'_k to a basis w'_1, \dots, w'_m for W . Define S to be the unique linear map such that $S(w'_i) = w_i$ for each i , $1 \leq i \leq m$. (See Theorem 3.5 on Page 54.) Clearly S is injective and surjective and is therefore invertible.

To show that $T_1 = ST_2$ note that for every $v \in V$, if $T_1(v) = c_1w_1 + \cdots + c_kw_k$, then $T_1(v - c_1v_1 - \cdots - c_kv_k) = 0$, so $v - c_1v_1 - \cdots - c_kv_k \in \text{null}(T_1) = \text{null}(T_2)$. Therefore

$$T_2(v - c_1v_1 - \cdots - c_kv_k) = 0$$

so $T_2(v) = T_2(c_1v_1 + \cdots + c_kv_k) = c_1w'_1 + \cdots + c_kw'_k$. Hence

$$ST_2(v) = S(c_1w'_1 + \cdots + c_kw'_k) = c_1w_1 + \cdots + c_kw_k = T_1(v).$$

3.D. 5. Suppose $\dim V = n$, and $\text{range}(T_1) = \text{range}(T_2)$. Since V is finite dimensional, $\text{range}(T_1)$ and $\text{range}(T_2)$ are both finite dimensional. Let

$$k = \dim \text{range}(T_1) = \dim \text{range}(T_2).$$

Pick a basis w_1, \dots, w_k for $\text{range}(T_1)$. (which is equal to $\text{range}(T_2)$.) Let v_1, \dots, v_k be such that $T_1(v_i) = w_i$ for each $1 \leq i \leq k$. We know that

$$\dim \text{null}(T_1) = \dim V - \dim \text{range}(T_1) = n - k.$$

Pick a basis v_{k+1}, \dots, v_n for $\text{null}(T_1)$. We claim that v_1, \dots, v_n form a basis for V . It is enough to show that they are linearly independent. To show this, assume that

$$c_1v_1 + \cdots + c_nv_n = 0.$$

Then

$$0 = T_1(c_1v_1 + \cdots + c_nv_n) = c_1w_1 + \cdots + c_kw_k.$$

Since the w_i are linearly independent, each $c_i = 0$ for $1 \leq i \leq k$, so $c_{k+1}v_{k+1} + \cdots + c_nv_n = 0$. Since v_{k+1}, \dots, v_n form a basis for $\text{null}(T_1)$, they are linearly independent, so all the c_i should be equal to 0, so v_1, \dots, v_n are linearly independent.

Similarly, pick v'_1, \dots, v'_k in V such that $T_2(v'_i) = w_i$ for $1 \leq i \leq k$. Pick a basis v'_{k+1}, \dots, v'_n for $\text{null}(T_2)$. Then v'_1, \dots, v'_n is a basis for V .

By Theorem 3.5, there is a linear map S such that $S(v_i) = v'_i$ for each $1 \leq i \leq n$. We show $T_1 = T_2S$. We have $T_1(v_i) = 0$ and $T_2S(v_i) = T_2(v'_i) = 0$

for each $k + 1 \leq i \leq n$. And $T_1(v_i) = w_i$ and $T_2S(v_i) = T_2(v'_i) = w_i$ for each $1 \leq i \leq k$. So $T_1 = T_2S$.

3.D: 16. We first show that for every v in V , Tv is a scalar multiple of v . To do this, we assume Tv and v are linearly independent and get a contradiction. If Tv and v are linearly independent, then we can extend Tv, v to a basis Tv, v, v_2, \dots, v_n for V . Then by Theorem 3.5 on Page 54, there is a linear map S which maps Tv to a non-zero vector, and maps v, v_2, \dots, v_n to the zero vector. For such a linear map $TS(v) = T(0) = 0$, but $ST(v) = S(Tv) \neq 0$, a contradiction.

Now suppose u_1, \dots, u_n is a basis for V . We know for each i , there is λ_i such that $Tu_i = \lambda_i u_i$. For any $i \neq j$, we also know by the above argument that $T(v_i + v_j)$ is a scalar multiple of $v_i + v_j$. Suppose that

$$T(v_i + v_j) = \lambda(v_i + v_j).$$

But we assumed $T(v_i) = \lambda_i v_i$ and $T(v_j) = \lambda_j v_j$, so

$$\lambda v_i + \lambda v_j = \lambda_i v_i + \lambda_j v_j.$$

Since v_i and v_j are linearly independent, this implies that $\lambda = \lambda_i = \lambda_j$, so all the λ_i are equal.

3.E. 12. This is easy if we assume V is finite dimensional since the dimension of V and $U \times V/U$ are equal, but here there is no assumption on the dimension of V . Let $v_1 + U, \dots, v_n + U$ be a basis for V/U . Then every vector in V/U can be written uniquely as $c_1(v_1 + U) + \dots + c_n(v_n + U)$. (which is equal to $(c_1 v_1 + \dots + c_n v_n) + U$.)

Define a map T from $U \times V/U$ to V as follows

$$T(u, v + U) = u + c_1 v_1 + \dots + c_n v_n$$

where $v + U = c_1 v_1 + \dots + c_n v_n + U$. Clearly T is linear. To show that T is injective, assume $T(u, v + U) = 0$. This means that $u + c_1 v_1 + \dots + c_n v_n = 0$, so $c_1 v_1 + \dots + c_n v_n \in U$. By 3.85 this is equivalent to say $c_1(v_1 + U) + \dots + c_n(v_n + U) = 0$ in V/U . (the zero vector in V/U is $0 + U = U$.) Since the $v_i + U$ is a basis for V/U , this implies that each c_i is zero, and so u is also zero.

To show T is surjective, we note that if $v \in V$, then $v + U = c_1 v_1 + \dots + c_n v_n + U$ for some c_1, \dots, c_n . Again by 3.85 this means that $v - c_1 v_1 - \dots - c_n v_n \in U$. Call this vector u . Then $T(u, c_1 v_1 + \dots + c_n v_n + U) = v$, so T is surjective.

3.E. 16. Note that here the dimension of V might be infinite. For example, if $F = \mathbf{R}$, V is the vector space of all polynomials with real coefficients, and U

is the subspace of all polynomials f such that $f(0) = 0$, then V/U is spanned by $1 + U$, so V/U is 1-dimensional, but V and U are infinite dimensional.

Let $v + U$ be a basis for V/U . (For any vector v which is not in U , $v + U$ is linearly independent in V/U and is therefore a basis for V/U .) For every $v' \in V$, there is a unique c such that $v' + U = c(v + U)$. Define $T : U \rightarrow F$ such that $T(v') = c$. Clearly T is linear.

We show that $\text{null}(T) = U$. First if $u \in U$, then $u + U = 0 + U = 0(v + U)$, so by our definition of T , $T(u) = 0$. Conversely, if $T(v') = 0$, then $v' + U = 0(v + U) = 0 + U$, so $v' \in U$ by 3.85.