

BCHM Readings Notes

Summer 2024

Note 2 — 29, 08, 2024 (draft version 0)

*Yi Li***Contents**

1 Overview	1
2 Hacon Mckernan extension theorem	1
3 Theorems that allow to lift sections	2
3.1 Lifting sections that vanish along the multiplier ideal	2
3.2 Lifting section with ample twist	3
4 DeFernex-Hacon extension theorem	3
4.1 Restriction of minimal model program	3
4.2 Proof of DeFernex-Hacon extension	3
5 Demailly-Hacon-Paun DLT extension theorem	3
6 Applications of Hacon Mckernan extension theorem	3
6.1 In the existence of KLT flips theorem	4
6.2 In the Shokurov rational connectedness conjecture	4
6.3 In the deformation of Mori chamber for a family of Fano varieties	4
6.4 In the Boundedness of general type problem	4

1 Overview

The aim of this note is try to prove the Hacon-Mckernan's extension theorem. This theorem will be the technical core of the proof of existence of KLT flips. Let us briefly sketch the idea in the proof of the theorem. We will first prove a technical lemma which allows to lift section after ample twist (which also appears in the algebraic proof of invariance of plurigenera). To prove this lemma, we first observe that by the Serre vanishing theorem the restricted linear series coincide with the linear series of the restriction divisor when twisted with sufficient ample divisor, .

In the second step, we will going to prove the main theorem of this note.

The major references will be [HM10] and [HK10]

2 Hacon Mckernan extension theorem

Hacon-Mckernan's extension theorem says that

Theorem 2.1. Let $\pi : X \rightarrow Z$ be a projective morphism (from a smooth quasi-projective variety) to a normal affine variety.

Let $(X, D = S + A + B)$ be a PLT pair of dimension n where X and S are smooth, $D \in \text{Div}_{\mathbb{Q}}(X)$, $\lfloor D \rfloor = S$, A is a general ample \mathbb{Q} -divisor,

1. $(S, \Omega = (D - S)|_S)$ is canonical (terminal), and
2. the stable base locus

$$\text{supp } S \not\subset \mathbf{B}(K_X + D)$$

- (3) For any sufficiently divisible $m > 0$, let

$$F_m = \text{Fix}(|m(K_X + D)|_S) / m \text{ and } F = \lim F_{m_i}$$

If $0 < \epsilon \in \mathbb{Q}$ is such that $\epsilon(K_X + D) + A$ is ample, $\Phi \in \text{Div}_{\mathbb{Q}}(S)$ and $0 < k \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that kD and $k\Phi$ are Cartier, and

$$\Omega \wedge \lambda F \leq \Phi \leq \Omega$$

where $\lambda = 1 - \epsilon/k$,

Then

$$|k(K_S + \Omega - \Phi)| + k\Phi \subset |k(K_X + D)|_S.$$

Before going further let us make few remarks

Remark 2.2 (Few remarks about the theorem).

1. The first thing is how to understand this theorem? Things become more clear if we can choose $\Phi = 0$. The result says that $|k(K_S + \Omega)| = |k(K_X + D)|_S$, which is what we hope, lifting pluricanonical section. However, we can not expect the result to as nice as we hope. Indeed we have the following example: Let X be the blow-up of \mathbb{P}^2 at a point q , E the exceptional divisor, S the strict transform of a line through q and L_i the strict transforms of general lines on \mathbb{P}^2 . We let $\Delta = S + \frac{2}{3}(L_1 + L_2 + L_3)$ so that $\Omega = \frac{2}{3}(E + L_1 + L_2 + L_3)|_S = \frac{2}{3}(p + p_1 + p_2 + p_3)$. For $k = 3l$, we have that $|k(K_S + \Omega)| = |2lp| = \mathbb{P}^{2l}$ whereas $|k(K_X + \Delta)| = |2lE|$. Thus we need some modification on the result. The idea is ...
2. The second thing is to show that assumptions in the theorem is essential.
3. We get divisor F via a limiting process. Since $(m+1)! = (m+1)m!$, we have $F_{(m+1)!} \leq F_{m!}$ and so $\lim F_{m!} = \inf \{F_{m!}\}$ exists.

4. About the analytic proof. The analytic proof is much more stronger. This can be seen already from the Ohsawa-Takegoshi extension theorem, which only requires some positivity weaker than ampleness. If you want to prove some result without ampleness condition, the analytic method may be necessary, as a paid-off the proof will sometimes be more complicated.

3 Theorems that allow to lift sections

3.1 Lifting sections that vanish along the multiplier ideal

By the standard argument of Nadel vanishing theorem, we can prove the following lemma. The lemma shows that

Lemma 3.1 (Lifting sections that vanish along the multiplier ideal). Let X be a smooth quasi-projective variety, Δ be a reduced divisor with simple normal crossings support and $D \geq 0$ be a \mathbb{Q} -Cartier divisor whose support contains no non-klt center of (X, Δ) . Let $\pi : X \rightarrow Z$ be a projective morphism to a normal affine variety Z and N be a Cartier divisor on X such that $N - D$ is ample. Then

$$H^i(X, \mathcal{I}_{\Delta, D}(K_X + \Delta + N)) = 0 \quad \text{for } i > 0,$$

and if S is a component of Δ , then the homomorphism

$$H^0(X, \mathcal{I}_{\Delta, D}(K_X + \Delta + N)) \rightarrow H^0(S, \mathcal{I}_{(\Delta-S)|S, D|S}(K_X + \Delta + N))$$

is surjective.

The proof is not hard

Proof.

□

3.2 Lifting section with ample twist

As have been mentioned in Section 1. The first step of proving the Hacon McKernan extension theorem is the following lemma which allows to lift section after sufficient ample twist.

Lemma 3.2 (Lifting section with ample twist). Let $\pi : X \rightarrow Y$ be a projective morphism from a smooth quasi-projective variety to an affine variety, $\Delta = \sum \delta_i \Delta_i$ be a divisor with simple normal crossings support and rational coefficients $0 \leq \delta_i \leq 1$ and S an irreducible component of $\lfloor \Delta \rfloor$. Let $k > 0$ be an integer such that $D = k(K_X + \Delta)$ is Cartier.

If $\mathbf{B}(D)$ contains no non-klt centers of $(X, \lfloor \Delta \rfloor)$ and if A is a sufficiently ample Cartier divisor, then for all $m > 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{I}_{\lfloor mD \rfloor | S} &\subseteq \mathcal{I}_{(\lfloor \Delta \rfloor - S)|S, \lfloor mD + A \rfloor | S} \quad , \\ \pi_* \mathcal{I}_{\lfloor mD \rfloor | S}(mD + A) &\subseteq \text{Im}(\pi_* \mathcal{O}_X(mD + A) \rightarrow \pi_* \mathcal{O}_S(mD + A)) \end{aligned}$$

Let us make few remarks about the lemma:

Remark 3.3. 1. The result of the lemma above says that

Proof.

□

4 DeFernex-Hacon extension theorem

In this section we try to prove the DeFernex-Hacon extension theorem.

4.1 Restriction of minimal model program

We will prove the following result about the restriction of MMP step.

4.2 Proof of DeFernex-Hacon extension

5 Demailly-Hacon-Paun DLT extension theorem

6 Applications of Hacon McKernan extension theorem

In this section, we will summarize all the known applications of Hacon-Mckernan type extension theorem.

6.1 In the existence of KLT flips theorem

6.2 In the Shokurov rational connectedness conjecture

6.3 In the deformation of Mori chamber for a family of Fano varieties

6.4 In the Boundedness of general type problem

References

- [HK10] Christopher D. Hacon and Sándor J. Kovács. *Classification of higher dimensional algebraic varieties*. Vol. 41. Oberwolfach Seminars. Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, 2010, pp. x+208. ISBN: 978-3-0346-0289-1. DOI: [10.1007/978-3-0346-0290-7](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-0346-0290-7). URL: <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-0346-0290-7>.
- [HM10] Christopher D. Hacon and James McKernan. “Existence of minimal models for varieties of log general type. II”. In: *J. Amer. Math. Soc.* 23.2 (2010), pp. 469–490. ISSN: 0894-0347,1088-6834. DOI: [10.1090/S0894-0347-09-00651-1](https://doi.org/10.1090/S0894-0347-09-00651-1). URL: <https://doi.org/10.1090/S0894-0347-09-00651-1>.