

## Divisorial contraction in Kähler MMP reading notes

Spring 2025

## Lecture 4 — 25, 02, 2025 (draft version)

Yi Li

## Contents

<b>1 Overview</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>2 Das-Hacon's approach to divisorial contraction for Kähler 3-fold MMP</b>	<b>1</b>
2.1 The null locus is a Moishezon surface whose smooth model is projective uniruled . . .	2
2.2 Take DLT modification . . . . .	3
2.3 Run the relative MMP . . . . .	3
2.4 Control the set of divisors being contracted . . . . .	3
2.5 Proof the base pointness . . . . .	3
<b>3 Höring-Peternell's approach for Kähler 3-fold MMP</b>	<b>3</b>

## 1 Overview

## 2 Das-Hacon's approach to divisorial contraction for Kähler 3-fold MMP

In this section, we will prove the following theorem.

**Theorem 1** ([DH24, Theorem 6.9]). Let  $(X, B)$  be a strong  $\mathbb{Q}$ -factorial Kähler 3-fold KLT pair. With the following condition holds

1.  $K_X + B$  is pseudo-effective
2.  $\alpha = [K_X + B + \beta]$  is nef and big class such that  $\beta$  is Kähler,
3. The negative extremal ray  $R = \overline{\text{NA}}(X) \cap \alpha^\perp$  is divisorial.

Then there exists an  $\alpha$ -trivial divisorial contraction

$$f : X \rightarrow Z,$$

such that there exist some Kähler form  $\alpha_Z$  on  $Z$  such that  $\phi^*(\alpha_Z) = \alpha$ .

Before going to the proof let us briefly sketch the idea. We first try to prove that the null locus  $\text{Null}(\alpha)$  is the Moishezon surface whose smooth model is projective uniruled. We then take a DLT modification

$$\varphi : (X', \Delta') \rightarrow (X, \Delta)$$

of the pair  $(X, \Delta = B + (1 - b)S)$  (note that this pair  $(X, \Delta)$  differs from the original pair  $(X, B)$  and it is not a KLT pair).

We show that the DLT modification  $\varphi$  preserve the geometry outside the null locus  $\text{Null}(\alpha)$ . We then run the relative Kähler MMP for  $(X', \Delta')$  over  $(X, \Delta)$ , which becomes the core of the proof. Since it's Kähler 3-fold MMP, the termination is known. So that it's possible to produce positivity (say  $K_{X^m} + \Delta^m$  is nef over  $(X, \Delta)$ ) by the termination theorem.

We need to control the divisors being contracted in the MMP.

So that the induced bimeromorphic map  $f : X \dashrightarrow X^m$  is a morphism, and this is the divisorial contraction we want.

In the final step, we will show that the base point freeness holds for the divisorial contraction, say  $\alpha$  as pull back of some Kähler form  $\alpha_Z$  down stairs.

## 2.1 The null locus is a Moishezon surface whose smooth model is projective uniruled

In this subsection, we will proof the following lemma.

**Lemma 2.** In the same setting as Theorem 1. The null locus  $\text{Null}(\alpha)$  is a irreducible Moishezon surface, whose smooth model is projective uniruled. Such that the curves in the negative extremal ray  $R$  covers the surface  $S$  with

$$R \cdot S < 0.$$

**Remark 3.** Let us briefly sketch the idea. The class  $\alpha|_S, \alpha|_{S'}, \alpha|_{S''}$  play important role in this lemma (for simplicity let us assume for now that  $S$  is a smooth surface). The idea is to try to use that if a smooth surface is not pseudo-effective, then it's uniruled projective surface. The non-pseudo-effectiveness comes from some intersection number analysis. To be more precise, we will use that  $S = \text{Null}(\alpha)$ , so that volume  $\text{vol}(\alpha|_S) = (\alpha|_S)^2 = 0$  (by definition of null locus). In particular, the restriction  $\alpha|_S$  can not be a big class. On the other hand, we can apply adjunction to

$$\alpha|_S = (K_X + B + \beta)|_S.$$

If the coefficient of  $S$  in  $B$  is 1, then everything is nice and we get

$$\alpha|_S = (K_X + B' + S + \beta)|_S = K_S + B'|_S + \beta|_S.$$

Since  $B'|_S \geq 0$  and  $\beta|_S$  Kahler, this will imply that  $K_S$  can not be pseudo-effective.

However, the coefficient of  $S$  in  $B$  is not 1, so that we need to take some scaling,

What nice on the projective uniruled surface is that the  $(0,2)$ -Hodge number is 0, so that the Bott-Chern class can be realized as a  $\mathbf{R}$ -divisor (which is also a  $\mathbb{R}$ -curve on the surface).

Finally, we need to prove that  $R \cdot S < 0$ . To do this, Batyrev cone theorem for mobile curve is applied.

*Proof.*

□

**2.2 Take DLT modification**

**2.3 Run the relative MMP**

**2.4 Control the set of divisors being contracted**

**2.5 Proof the base pointness**

### **3 Höring-Peternell's approach for Kähler 3-fold MMP**

#### **References**

[DH24] Omprokash Das and Christopher Hacon, *On the minimal model program for kähler 3-folds*, 2024.