

CSCI 567 Machine Learning

Homework #4

Name : Yi Zhao

Question 1.1 Answer :

$$P(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_N) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{\theta^N}, & \forall x_1, x_2, \dots, x_N \in (0, \theta] \\ 0, & \exists x_1, x_2, \dots, x_N \notin (0, \theta]. \end{cases}$$

$$\theta^* = \max\{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_N\}$$

because $\frac{d \ln P(\theta|\mathbf{x})}{d\theta} = -\frac{N}{\theta}$, $P(\theta|\mathbf{x})$ is a decreasing function, and the minimal number for θ make $P(\theta|\mathbf{x}) \neq 0$ is $\max\{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_N\}$.

Question 1.2 Answer :

$$P(k|x_n, \theta_1, \theta_2, \omega_1, \omega_2) = \frac{P(k)P(x_n|k)}{P(x_n, \theta_1, \theta_2, \omega_1, \omega_2)} = \frac{\omega_k U(X = x_n|\theta_k)}{\omega_1 U(X = x_n|\theta_1) + \omega_2 U(X = x_n|\theta_2)}$$

$$P(k|x_n, \theta_1, \theta_2, \omega_1, \omega_2) = \frac{\omega_k \frac{1}{\theta_k} \mathbf{1}[0 < x_n \leq \theta_k]}{\omega_1 \frac{1}{\theta_1} \mathbf{1}[0 < x_n \leq \theta_1] + \omega_2 \frac{1}{\theta_2} \mathbf{1}[0 < x_n \leq \theta_2]}$$

$$Q_q(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = \sum_n \sum_{\mathbf{z}_n} q(\mathbf{z}_n) \log p(x_n, \mathbf{z}_n|\boldsymbol{\theta})$$

$$Q_q(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = \sum_{n=1}^N [P(1|x_n, \boldsymbol{\theta}) \log p(x_n, \mathbf{z}_n = 1|\boldsymbol{\theta}) + P(2|x_n, \boldsymbol{\theta}) \log p(x_n, \mathbf{z}_n = 2|\boldsymbol{\theta})]$$

$$Q_q(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = \sum_{n=1}^N \frac{\omega_1 U(X = x_n|\theta_1) \log p(x_n, \mathbf{z}_n = 1|\boldsymbol{\theta}) + \omega_2 U(X = x_n|\theta_2) \log p(x_n, \mathbf{z}_n = 2|\boldsymbol{\theta})}{\omega_1 U(X = x_n|\theta_1) + \omega_2 U(X = x_n|\theta_2)}$$

$$Q(\boldsymbol{\theta}, \boldsymbol{\theta}^{\text{OLD}}) = \sum_{n=1}^N \frac{\omega_1^{\text{OLD}} U(X = x_n|\theta_1^{\text{OLD}}) \log[\omega_1 U(X = x_n|\theta_1)] + \omega_2^{\text{OLD}} U(X = x_n|\theta_2^{\text{OLD}}) \log[\omega_2 U(X = x_n|\theta_2)]}{\omega_1^{\text{OLD}} U(X = x_n|\theta_1^{\text{OLD}}) + \omega_2^{\text{OLD}} U(X = x_n|\theta_2^{\text{OLD}})}$$

In order to maximize $Q(\boldsymbol{\theta}, \boldsymbol{\theta}^{\text{OLD}})$, then we should maximize $\sum \log[\omega_1 U(X = x_n|\theta_1)]$ and $\sum \log[\omega_2 U(X = x_n|\theta_2)]$. If $\theta_1 < \max\{x_i \mid \forall x_i \leq \theta_1^{\text{OLD}}\}$ or $\theta_2 < \max\{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_N\}$, we can find that $\exists i$, makes $Q(\boldsymbol{\theta}, \boldsymbol{\theta}^{\text{OLD}}) = -\infty$. For $U(X = x_n|\theta_1)$ and $U(X = x_n|\theta_2)$, according to problem 1.1, we can get

$$\theta_1^* = \max\{x_i \mid \forall x_i \leq \theta_1^{\text{OLD}}\}$$

$$\theta_2^* = \max\{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_N\}$$

Question 2.1 Answer :

$$\text{Because } P(\mathbf{x}_a, \mathbf{x}_b) = \sum_{k=1}^K \pi_k P(\mathbf{x}_a, \mathbf{x}_b|k)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{P(\mathbf{x}_a, \mathbf{x}_b)}{P(\mathbf{x}_a)} &= \sum_{k=1}^K \pi_k \frac{P(\mathbf{x}_a, \mathbf{x}_b|k)}{P(\mathbf{x}_a)} \\
P(\mathbf{x}_b|\mathbf{x}_a) &= \sum_{k=1}^K \pi_k P(\mathbf{x}_b|\mathbf{x}_a, k) \frac{P(\mathbf{x}_a, \mathbf{x}_b|k)}{P(\mathbf{x}_a)P(\mathbf{x}_b|\mathbf{x}_a, k)} \\
P(\mathbf{x}_b|\mathbf{x}_a) &= \sum_{k=1}^K \pi_k P(\mathbf{x}_b|\mathbf{x}_a, k) \frac{P(\mathbf{x}_a|k)}{P(\mathbf{x}_a)} \\
P(\mathbf{x}_b|\mathbf{x}_a) &= \sum_{k=1}^K \left(\pi_k \frac{P(\mathbf{x}_a|k)}{P(\mathbf{x}_a)} \right) P(\mathbf{x}_b|\mathbf{x}_a, k) \\
P(\mathbf{x}_b|\mathbf{x}_a) &= \sum_{k=1}^K \left(\frac{\pi_k P(\mathbf{x}_a|k)}{\sum_{k=1}^K \pi_k P(\mathbf{x}_a|k)} \right) P(\mathbf{x}_b|\mathbf{x}_a, k) \\
\text{So, } \lambda_k &= \frac{\pi_k P(\mathbf{x}_a|k)}{\sum_{k=1}^K \pi_k P(\mathbf{x}_a|k)} \\
\text{So, } \sum_{k=1}^K \lambda_k &= \frac{\sum_{k=1}^K \pi_k P(\mathbf{x}_a|k)}{\sum_{k=1}^K \pi_k P(\mathbf{x}_a|k)} = 1
\end{aligned}$$

Question 3.1 Answer :

$$\begin{aligned}
\lim_{\sigma \rightarrow 0} \gamma(z_{nk}) &= \lim_{\sigma \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{1 + \sum_{j \neq k} (\pi_j / \pi_k) \exp[(\|\mathbf{x}_n - \boldsymbol{\mu}_k\|^2 - \|\mathbf{x}_n - \boldsymbol{\mu}_j\|^2) / 2\sigma^2]} \\
\lim_{\sigma \rightarrow 0} \gamma(z_{nk}) &= \frac{1}{1 + \sum_{j \neq k} \lim_{\sigma \rightarrow 0} (\pi_j / \pi_k) \exp[(\|\mathbf{x}_n - \boldsymbol{\mu}_k\|^2 - \|\mathbf{x}_n - \boldsymbol{\mu}_j\|^2) / 2\sigma^2]} \\
\text{If } k \neq \arg\min_{k'} \|\mathbf{x}_n - \boldsymbol{\mu}_{k'}\|^2, &\text{ then } \exists j \neq k \text{ makes } \|\mathbf{x}_n - \boldsymbol{\mu}_k\|^2 - \|\mathbf{x}_n - \boldsymbol{\mu}_j\|^2 > 0, \\
\text{then } \lim_{\sigma \rightarrow 0} \exp[(\|\mathbf{x}_n - \boldsymbol{\mu}_k\|^2 - \|\mathbf{x}_n - \boldsymbol{\mu}_j\|^2) / 2\sigma^2] &= +\infty, \quad \lim_{\sigma \rightarrow 0} \gamma(z_{nk}) = \lim_{\delta \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{1}{C + \delta} = 0. \\
\text{If } k = \arg\min_{k'} \|\mathbf{x}_n - \boldsymbol{\mu}_{k'}\|^2, &\text{ then } \forall j \neq k \text{ makes } \|\mathbf{x}_n - \boldsymbol{\mu}_k\|^2 - \|\mathbf{x}_n - \boldsymbol{\mu}_j\|^2 < 0, \\
\text{then } \lim_{\sigma \rightarrow 0} \exp[(\|\mathbf{x}_n - \boldsymbol{\mu}_k\|^2 - \|\mathbf{x}_n - \boldsymbol{\mu}_j\|^2) / 2\sigma^2] &= 0, \quad \lim_{\sigma \rightarrow 0} \gamma(z_{nk}) = \lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{1 + \delta} = 1.
\end{aligned}$$

So, we can verify $\lim_{\sigma \rightarrow 0} \gamma(z_{nk}) = r_{nk}$

$$\begin{aligned}
\lim_{\sigma \rightarrow 0} \sum_n \sum_k \gamma(z_{nk}) \log p(\mathbf{x}_n, z_n = k) &= \lim_{\sigma \rightarrow 0} \sum_n \sum_k r_{nk} [\log \pi_k - \frac{\|\mathbf{x}_n - \boldsymbol{\mu}_k\|_2^2}{2\sigma^2} - \log \sqrt{2\pi\sigma}] \\
&= \lim_{\sigma \rightarrow 0} \sum_n \sum_k r_{nk} \left(-\frac{\|\mathbf{x}_n - \boldsymbol{\mu}_k\|_2^2}{2\sigma^2} \right) = -\frac{1}{2\sigma^2} \lim_{\sigma \rightarrow 0} \sum_n \sum_k r_{nk} \|\mathbf{x}_n - \boldsymbol{\mu}_k\|_2^2 \\
\text{So, } \sum_n \sum_k \gamma(z_{nk}) \log p(\mathbf{x}_n, z_n = k) &= -\frac{1}{2\sigma^2} J, \text{ as } \sigma \rightarrow 0.
\end{aligned}$$

Question 4.1 Answer :

$$L = \log \prod_{n=1}^N P(y_n) P(x_n|y_n) = \log \prod_{n=1}^N [P(y_n = c) \prod_{d=1}^D P(X_{nd} = x_{nd}|y_n = c)]$$

$$L = \log \prod_{n=1}^N P(y_n = c) \prod_{n=1}^N \prod_{d=1}^D P(X_{nd} = x_{nd}|y_n = c)$$

$$L = \sum_{n=1}^N \log \pi_{y_n} + \sum_{n=1}^N \sum_{d=1}^D \log P(X_{nd} = x_{nd}|y_n = c)$$

$$L = \sum_{n=1}^N \log \pi_{y_n} + \sum_{n=1}^N \sum_{d=1}^D \left(-\frac{(x_{nd} - \mu_{cd})^2}{2\sigma_{cd}^2} - \log \sqrt{2\pi} \sigma_{cd} \right)$$

$$L = \sum_{n=1}^N \log \pi_{y_n} + \sum_c \sum_{n:y_n=c} \sum_{d=1}^D \left(-\frac{(x_{nd} - \mu_{cd})^2}{2\sigma_{cd}^2} - \log \sqrt{2\pi} \sigma_{cd} \right)$$

$$L = \sum_c \log \pi_c \times (\text{number of data points labeled as } c) + \sum_c \sum_{n:y_n=c} \sum_{d=1}^D \left(-\frac{(x_{nd} - \mu_{cd})^2}{2\sigma_{cd}^2} - \log \sqrt{2\pi} \sigma_{cd} \right)$$

Question 4.2 Answer :

Make $\#_c$ represents number of data points labeled as c in the training points

$$\pi_c^* = \frac{\#_c}{N}$$

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial \mu_{cd}} = 0, \text{ then } \mu_{cd}^* = \frac{\sum_{n:y_n=c} x_{nd}}{\#_c}$$

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial \sigma_{cd}^2} = 0, \text{ then } \sigma_{cd}^{2*} = \frac{\sum_{n:y_n=c} (x_{nd} - \mu_{cd})^2}{\#_c}$$

$$\sigma_{cd}^{2*} = \frac{1}{\#_c} \sum_{n:y_n=c} x_{nd}^2 - \frac{1}{\#_c^2} \sum_{i:y_i=c} \sum_{j:y_j=c} x_{id} x_{jd}$$