

Homework 2

ML

Mar. 5th. 2023

1. $E_D(w) = \frac{1}{2} \sum r_n (t_n - w^T \phi(x_n))^2$

To minimize the square error, we need to find the largest w^T . So take the gradient w^T

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial w} \frac{1}{2} \sum r_n (t_n - w^T \phi(x_n))^2 = 0$$

$$\therefore \sum r_n (t_n - w^T \phi(x_n)) \cdot \phi(x_n) = 0$$

$$\therefore \sum r_n t_n \phi_n - \sum w^T \phi(x_n) \phi(x_n)^T r_n$$

$$\therefore w^T = \frac{\sum r_n t_n \phi_n}{\sum \phi(x_n) \phi(x_n)^T r_n}$$

① Assume $\epsilon_i \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma^2)$ so $y_i \sim \mathcal{N}(x_i w^T, \sigma^2)$

$$\therefore E_D(w) = \frac{1}{2\sigma^2} \sum_{i=1}^n (t_n - \phi(x_n) w^T)^2$$

$$\text{Suppose } \sigma^2 = \frac{1}{2r_n} \text{ then } E_D(w) = \frac{1}{2r_n} \sum (t_n - \phi(x_n) w^T)^2$$

$$= \sum r_n (t_n - \phi(x_n) w^T)^2 \text{ proved}$$

② If r_n is the repulsed data points

$$\text{then } \sum (t_n - \phi(x_n) w^T)^2 = \sum (t_n^* r_n^2 - r_n^2 \phi(x_n) w^T)^2$$

$$= \sum r_n (t_n^* - \phi(x_n) w^T)^2$$

$$2. \quad y(X, w) = w_0 + \sum_{i=1}^D w_i x_i = \sum_{i=0}^D w_i x_i$$

$$E_0(w) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^N \{ y_j(X_j, w) - t_j \}^2$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^N \left\{ \sum_{i=0}^D w_i x_{ji} - t_j \right\}^2$$

To minimize $E_0(w)$, we need differentiate $E_0(w)$ over w

$$\frac{\partial E_0(w)}{\partial w} = 0 = \sum_{j=1}^N \left(\sum_{i=0}^D w_i x_{ji} - t_j \right) x_{ji}$$

$$\therefore \sum_{j=1}^N t_j x_{ji} = \sum_{j=1}^N \sum_{i=0}^D w_i x_{ji}$$

$$\hat{y} = w_0 + \sum_{i=1}^D w_i (x_i + \varepsilon_i) = w_0 + \sum_{i=1}^D w_i x_i + \sum w_i \varepsilon_i = y + \sum w_i \varepsilon_i$$

$$\therefore E_0(w) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^N \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^D w_i \varepsilon_{ji} + y - t_j \right\}^2$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^N \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^D w_i^2 \varepsilon_{ji}^2 + 2 \sum_{i=1}^D w_i \varepsilon_{ji} (y - t_j) + (y - t_j)^2 \right\}$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^N \sum_{i=1}^D w_i^2 \varepsilon_{ji}^2 + 2 \sum_{j=1}^N \sum_{i=1}^D w_i \varepsilon_{ji} (y - t_j) + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^N (y - t_j)^2$$

Given that $E(\varepsilon_i \varepsilon_j) = \delta_{ij} \sigma^2$ $E(\varepsilon_i) = 0 \therefore \sum w_i \varepsilon_i = 0$

$$\therefore E = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^N \sum_{i=1}^D w_i^2 \varepsilon_{ji}^2 + 0 + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^N (y - t_j)^2$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^N \{ y - t_j \}^2 + \frac{\sigma^2}{2} \sum_{j=1}^N \sum_{i=1}^D w_i^2 \delta_{ij}$$

$$= E_0(w) + \frac{\sigma^2}{2} \sum_{j=1}^N \sum_{i=1}^D w_i^2 \delta_{ij} \quad \text{proved}$$

HW2 Question 3

From the formula (3.49) in the textbook:

$$\sigma^2(x) = \underbrace{\beta^{-1}}_{\text{noise}} + \underbrace{\phi(x)^T S_N \phi(x)}_{\text{uncertainty}}$$

Formula (3.51)

$$S_N^{-1} = S_0^{-1} + \beta \Phi \Phi^T \Rightarrow S_0^{-1} + \beta \sum_{i=1}^N \phi(x_i) \phi(x_i)^T$$

Therefore

$$S_{N+1}^{-1} = S_N^{-1} + \beta \phi(x_{N+1}) \phi(x_{N+1})^T$$

$$\therefore \sigma_{N+1}^2(x) - \sigma_N^2(x) = \phi(x_{N+1}) (S_{N+1}^{-1} - S_N^{-1}) \phi(x_{N+1})^T \quad (1)$$

Now, Given the formula from the question,

$$(M + UV^T)^{-1} = S_N^{-1} + \sqrt{\beta} \phi(x_{N+1}) \sqrt{B} \phi(x_{N+1})^T = S_{N+1}^{-1}$$

$$\text{if } M = S_N^{-1} \quad V = \sqrt{B} \phi(x_{N+1})$$

$$\therefore S_{N+1}^{-1} = S_N^{-1} - \frac{(S_N \sqrt{B} \phi(x_{N+1})) (\sqrt{B} \phi(x_{N+1})^T S_N)}{1 + \sqrt{B} \phi(x_{N+1})^T S_N \sqrt{B} \phi(x_{N+1})} \quad (2)$$

So replace $S_{N+1}^{-1} - S_N^{-1}$ in (1) by (2)

$$\sigma_{N+1}^2(x) - \sigma_N^2(x) = \frac{\phi(x_{N+1}) S_N \sqrt{B} \phi(x_{N+1}) (\sqrt{B} \phi(x_{N+1})^T S_N) \phi(x_{N+1})^T}{1 + \sqrt{B} \phi(x_{N+1})^T S_N \sqrt{B} \phi(x_{N+1})} \quad (3)$$

Knowing that $\phi(x_{N+1})$, \sqrt{B} , S_N , 1 are all positive

so (3) is negative

which means $\sigma_{N+1}^2(x) - \sigma_N^2(x) < 0 \Rightarrow \sigma_{N+1}^2(x) < \sigma_N^2(x)$

$$4. p(w, t) \propto \left(\prod_{n=1}^N N(t_n; \phi(x_n, w), \sigma^2) \right) \times (N(w; 0, \sigma_1^2 \Pi))$$

solution:

Given the Gaussian prior the likelihood can be written

$$\text{As: } p(t|w) = \prod_{n=1}^N N(t_n; w^T \phi(x_n), \sigma^2)$$

so the posterior can be written in form of Gaussian as the product of likelihood x prior

$$\text{prior: } p(w | \sigma_1^2 \Pi) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi} \sigma_1 \Pi} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2} w^T \sigma_1^{-2} w\right)$$

take the \ln $\sigma_1^2 \Pi$ suppose $\beta = \sigma_1^2$, $\alpha = \sigma^2 \Pi$

$$\text{likelihood: } \ln p(w | \alpha) = -\frac{N}{2} \ln w^T w \alpha - \frac{N}{2} \ln 2\pi = -\alpha E_w(w) + \text{const.}$$

$$\text{and } p(t|w) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi} \sigma} \prod_{n=1}^N \exp\left(-\frac{(t_n - w^T \phi(x_n))^2}{2\sigma^2}\right)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \ln p(t|w) &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=1}^N (t_n - w^T \phi(x_n))^2 \beta + \ln(\beta^{-1})^{-\frac{1}{2}} \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=1}^N (t_n - w^T \phi(x_n))^2 \beta + \text{const.} \\ &\quad - \beta E_w(w) \end{aligned}$$

Therefore to maximize $\ln p(w | \alpha) + \ln p(t|w)$ which is derived from $\arg \max p(w | \alpha) \cdot p(t|w) \stackrel{\ln}{=} \ln p(w | \alpha) + \ln p(t|w)$ can be written as $-\alpha E_w(w) + \beta E_w(w)$ if we discard the constant term that don't depend on w .

Therefore max posterior is equivalent to $\max -(\alpha E_w(w) + \beta E_w(w))$ which is minimization of $\alpha E_w(w) + \beta E_w(w)$

To uniform this equation to $E_w(w) + \lambda E_w(w) = \beta E_w(w) + \alpha E_w(w)$

$$\lambda = \frac{\alpha}{\beta} = \frac{\sigma_1^2 \Pi}{\sigma^2}$$

Question2

https://colab.research.google.com/drive/1tNr-Jz3DrmaUb360j9fDPYys2ZjTweol?authuser=1#scrollTo=XmJ_Ei7bYV6I