=> Covariance of ti, ti = E[14i - f[ri])(ti-E[ri])] = 0 for every i+j

 $E[(Y_i - f(Y_i))(Y_j - E(Y_j))] = E(Y_i Y_j) - E(Y_i) = 0 \Rightarrow E(Y_i Y_j) = E(Y_i) \cdot E(Y_j)$ choose m=2 => when  $Y_i, Y_i$  has zero covariance => I is digonal

Suppose  $Y_1$  is random variable with uniform distribution in interval [-1,1]Then we set  $Y_2 = SY_1$ , S is another random variable  $S_1X_1$ ,  $S = \int_{-1}^{1} P = \frac{1}{2}$ Clearly,  $S = IY_1 \Rightarrow E[Y_2] = E[SY_1^2] = E[S] E[Y_1^2] = 0$ Also,  $E(Y_1) = 0 \Rightarrow E[Y_1] \cdot E[Y_2] = 0 \Rightarrow E[Y_1 Y_2] = E[Y_1] \cdot E[Y_2] \Rightarrow Covariance of <math>Y_1$ ,  $Y_2 = 0$ 

I is diagonal

However, since  $P( \frac{1}{2} > \frac{1}{2} | Y_1 > \frac{1}{2} ) = \frac{1}{2} + P(Y_2 > \frac{1}{2}) = \frac{1}{4} \Rightarrow Y_1, Y_2 \text{ aren't independent}$  $\Rightarrow Y \text{ isn't a vector of independent even when } I \text{ is diagonal}$ 

 $\begin{array}{lll}
\text{Y}_{1} & \sim N(0,1), \; \Upsilon_{2} = \Upsilon_{1}^{2} - 1 \\
& E[\Upsilon_{1} \Upsilon_{2}] = E[\Upsilon_{1}^{3} - \Upsilon_{2}] = 0 - 0 = 0 \quad E[\Upsilon_{1}] \cdot E[\Upsilon_{2}] = 0 \cdot E[\Upsilon_{1}] = 0 \implies \text{Cov}(\Upsilon_{1}, \Upsilon_{2}) = 0 \implies \text{I is diagonal} \\
& \text{however}, \quad P(\Upsilon_{2} > \delta^{2} - 1 \mid \Upsilon_{1} > 0) = \quad \underbrace{P(\Upsilon_{1} > \delta)}_{P(\Upsilon_{1} > 0)} = 2P(\Upsilon_{1} > \delta) + P(\Upsilon_{2} > \delta^{2} - 1) = \frac{1}{2}
\end{array}$ 

=> Y1, Y2 aren't independent even when I is diagonal

if I is diagonal with diagonal element denotes 0,2, 0,2 -- om, respectively

$$\Rightarrow f(\lambda) = \frac{1}{(\pi L)^{N/2} \cdot \prod_{j=1}^{M} \lambda^{2}} \cdot exp(-\frac{1}{2} \cdot \prod_{j=1}^{M} \lambda^{2}_{j} \cdot (\lambda^{2} - \lambda^{2}))$$

$$=\frac{1}{\sqrt{10}}\frac{(210)^{2}\cdot \sqrt{1}}{\sqrt{10}}\cdot \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}\cdot\left(\frac{\sqrt{10}-\sqrt{10}}{\sqrt{10}}\right)^{2}\right)$$

=  $\prod_{i=1}^{m} f_{i}(y_{i}) \Rightarrow it$  is proved that all the elements in Y are independent if  $Y \cap N(p_{i}, \Sigma)_{\sharp}$ 

By #2, we can simply recognize that 0; is the std of each random variable  $Y_i$   $= \sum_{j=1}^{n} \sum_{$ 

by definition  $E[T] = \prod_{i=1}^{n} P(A_i) \cdot E[Y|A_i] \cdot \prod_{i=1}^{n} P(A_i) = 1$ 

=)  $\alpha_1$  should be  $p(X_1 > 0.015) = 0.091 \quad \alpha_2$  should be  $p(X_1 \le 0.015) = 0.999$   $\frac{46}{504}$   $\frac{458}{504}$ 

 $\begin{cases} \beta_{11} = \frac{1}{2} \left( X_{1} > 0.015, \ X_{2} > 0.02 \right) = 0.056 \\ \beta_{21} = \frac{1}{2} \left( X_{1} \leq 0.015, \ X_{2} \leq 0.02 \right) = 0.036 \\ \beta_{21} = \frac{1}{2} \left( X_{1} \leq 0.015, \ X_{2} \leq 0.02 \right) = 0.438 \\ \beta_{21} = \frac{1}{2} \left( X_{1} \leq 0.015, \ X_{2} \leq 0.02 \right) = 0.438 \\ \beta_{30} = \frac{1}{2} \left( X_{1} \leq 0.015, \ X_{2} \leq 0.02 \right) = 0.438 \\ \beta_{30} = \frac{1}{2} \left( X_{1} \leq 0.015, \ X_{2} \leq 0.02 \right) = 0.438 \\ \beta_{30} = \frac{1}{2} \left( X_{1} \leq 0.015, \ X_{2} \leq 0.02 \right) = 0.438 \\ \beta_{30} = \frac{1}{2} \left( X_{1} \leq 0.015, \ X_{2} \leq 0.02 \right) = 0.438 \\ \beta_{30} = \frac{1}{2} \left( X_{1} \leq 0.015, \ X_{2} \leq 0.02 \right) = 0.438 \\ \beta_{30} = \frac{1}{2} \left( X_{1} \leq 0.015, \ X_{2} \leq 0.02 \right) = 0.438 \\ \beta_{30} = \frac{1}{2} \left( X_{1} \leq 0.015, \ X_{2} \leq 0.02 \right) = 0.438 \\ \beta_{30} = \frac{1}{2} \left( X_{1} \leq 0.015, \ X_{2} \leq 0.02 \right) = 0.438 \\ \beta_{30} = \frac{1}{2} \left( X_{1} \leq 0.015, \ X_{2} \leq 0.02 \right) = 0.438 \\ \beta_{30} = \frac{1}{2} \left( X_{1} \leq 0.015, \ X_{2} \leq 0.02 \right) = 0.438 \\ \beta_{30} = \frac{1}{2} \left( X_{1} \leq 0.015, \ X_{2} \leq 0.02 \right) = 0.438 \\ \beta_{30} = \frac{1}{2} \left( X_{1} \leq 0.015, \ X_{2} \leq 0.02 \right) = 0.438 \\ \beta_{30} = \frac{1}{2} \left( X_{1} \leq 0.015, \ X_{2} \leq 0.02 \right) = 0.438 \\ \beta_{30} = \frac{1}{2} \left( X_{1} \leq 0.015, \ X_{2} \leq 0.02 \right) = 0.438 \\ \beta_{30} = \frac{1}{2} \left( X_{1} \leq 0.015, \ X_{2} \leq 0.02 \right) = 0.438 \\ \beta_{30} = \frac{1}{2} \left( X_{1} \leq 0.015, \ X_{2} \leq 0.02 \right) = 0.438 \\ \beta_{30} = \frac{1}{2} \left( X_{1} \leq 0.015, \ X_{2} \leq 0.02 \right) = 0.438 \\ \beta_{30} = \frac{1}{2} \left( X_{1} \leq 0.015, \ X_{2} \leq 0.02 \right) = 0.438 \\ \beta_{30} = \frac{1}{2} \left( X_{1} \leq 0.015, \ X_{2} \leq 0.02 \right) = 0.438 \\ \beta_{30} = \frac{1}{2} \left( X_{1} \leq 0.015, \ X_{2} \leq 0.02 \right) = 0.438 \\ \beta_{30} = \frac{1}{2} \left( X_{1} \leq 0.015, \ X_{2} \leq 0.02 \right) = 0.438 \\ \beta_{30} = \frac{1}{2} \left( X_{1} \leq 0.015, \ X_{2} \leq 0.02 \right) = 0.438 \\ \beta_{30} = \frac{1}{2} \left( X_{1} \leq 0.015, \ X_{2} \leq 0.02 \right) = 0.438 \\ \beta_{30} = \frac{1}{2} \left( X_{1} \leq 0.015, \ X_{2} \leq 0.02 \right) = 0.438 \\ \beta_{30} = \frac{1}{2} \left( X_{1} \leq 0.015, \ X_{2} \leq 0.02 \right) = 0.438 \\ \beta_{30} = \frac{1}{2} \left( X_{1} \leq 0.015, \ X_{2} \leq 0.02 \right) = 0.438 \\ \beta_{30} = \frac{1}{2} \left( X_{1} \leq 0.015, \ X_{2} \leq 0.02 \right) = 0.0143 \\ \beta_{30} = \frac{1}{2} \left( X_{1} \leq 0.015, \ X_{2} \leq 0.02 \right) = 0.0143 \\ \beta_{30} = \frac{1}{2} \left( X_{$ 

$$E[(m(x) - x'b)]$$

$$= E[(m(x)^{2} - b'x \cdot m(x) - m(x)'x'b + b'xx'b]$$

$$= E[(m(x)^{2} - b'x \cdot m(x) - m(x)'x'b + b'xx'b]$$

$$= E[(m(x)^{2}) - 2b'E[x \cdot m(x)] + b'E[xx']b$$

$$derive by b , argmin should satisfy that
$$\nabla_{b}E[(m(x) - x'b)^{2}] = -2E[x m(x)] + 2E[xx']b = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow we can obtain b by solving 
$$E[xx']b = E[x m(x)]$$

$$since E[xx'] is positivel-definite = E[xx']^{-1} exists$$

$$\Rightarrow b = E[xx']^{-1} \cdot E[x m(x)]$$

$$= E[xx']^{-1} \cdot E[x \cdot E[x]x]$$

$$E[E[x \cdot x]x] = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} E[x \cdot x] \cdot f_{x}(x) dx$$

$$= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} x \cdot y \cdot f_{x}(y) dy f_{x}(x) dy$$

$$= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} x \cdot y \cdot f_{x}(y) dy dx$$

$$= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} x \cdot y \cdot f_{x}(y) dy dx$$

$$= E[x \cdot x]$$$$$$

 $\Rightarrow P = E[xx,]_1 \cdot E[x \cdot E[x|x]] = E[xx,]_1 \cdot E[x,x]$ 

pdf of  $x: f(t; 3) = \frac{f(2)}{\sqrt{3\pi} f(1.5)} (1+\frac{t^2}{3})^2 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} \cdot \frac{1}{\pi} \cdot (1+\frac{t^2}{3})^{-2}$ (1) we need degree of freedom to make X possess larger variance, which enable X to reach a wider range than normal distribution could. It make X be able to endure the outliers.

(2)

linear projection of Y on X follows the least square error Y and Y are Y are Y are Y and Y are Y are Y are Y and Y are Y are Y and Y are Y are Y and Y are Y are Y and Y are Y and Y are Y and Y are Y and Y are Y and Y are Y are Y are Y and Y are Y and Y are Y are Y and Y are Y and Y are Y are Y and Y are Y are Y and Y are Y are Y are Y and Y are Y are Y are Y and Y are Y are Y and Y are Y are Y are Y are Y are Y are Y and Y are Y are Y are Y and Y are Y are Y are Y and Y are Y are Y are Y are Y are Y and Y are Y and Y are Y and Y are Y are Y are Y are Y are Y and Y are Y are

Since  $Y = \frac{1}{1+x^4}$  is non-linear, Y has a complicated distribution =) it is hard to compute COV[X,Y]

Thus I use python to sample loopoopts of X and estimate the  $\beta$  based on the sample points.

I got (\$\$\frac{1}{2}-0.00041), which means that linear relationship between X, Y is very weak.

```
import numpy as np
from scipy.stats import t

# Define the function for Y = 1 / (1 + X^4)

def Y_func(X):
    return 1 / (1 + X**4)

# Generate random samples for X from t-distribution with 3
np.random.seed(0)
num_samples = 100000
X_samples = t.rvs(df=3, size=num_samples)

# Calculate the corresponding Y values
Y_samples = Y_func(X_samples)

# Estimate the necessary expectations
E_X = np.mean(X_samples)
E_Y = np.mean(X_samples)
E_X2 = np.mean(X_samples * Y_samples)

# Calculate the linear regression coefficient b
b = (E_XY - E_X * E_Y) / (E_X2 - E_X**2)
```