

A Simple Survey of AIGC

1st Yichi Zhang

Zhejiang University
Hangzhou, China
yichics02@gmail.com

Abstract—AIGC refers to Artificial Intelligence Generated Content, which is generated automatically or collaboratively using artificial intelligence techniques in response to user input or demand, including text, images, audio, video, and other forms of content. In this survey, the basic principles of Transformer and diffusion models are presented. The GPT and BERT models based on Transformer are introduced, as well as three different types of diffusion models and their practical applications. Finally, the security and robustness issues of AIGC models are discussed.

Index Terms—AIGC, Transformer, Diffusion, Security, Robustness

I. INTRODUCTION

This survey will cover the following contains: First, we will focus on explaining the basic principles of the Transformer [1], followed by a discussion of the details of language models (LLMs) that use the Transformer, such as BERT [2], GPT [3]–[6], etc. We will also cover some techniques for training and optimizing these models. Finally, we will discuss the security and robustness of GPT models, respectively, based on recent research findings.

II. TRANSFORMER

Generative models have a long history in artificial intelligence, dating back to the 1950s with the development of Hidden Markov Models (HMMs) [7] and Gaussian Mixture Models (GMMs) [8]. These models generated sequential data such as speech and time series. However, it wasn't until the advent of deep learning that generative models saw significant improvements in performance. In early years of deep generative models, different areas do not have much overlap in general. In natural language processing (NLP), a traditional method to generate sentences is to learn word distribution using N-gram language modeling [9] and then search for the best sequence. However, this method cannot effectively adapt to long sentences. To solve this problem, recurrent neural networks (RNNs) [10] were later introduced for language modeling tasks, allowing for modeling relatively long dependency. This was followed by the development of Long Short-Term Memory (LSTM) [11] and Gated Recurrent Unit (GRU) [12], which leveraged gating mechanism to control memory during training. These methods are capable of attending to around 200 tokens in a sample [13], which marks a significant improvement compared to N-gram language models.

Meanwhile, in computer vision (CV), before the advent of deep learning-based methods, tra-

ditional image generation algorithms used techniques such as texture synthesis [14] and texture mapping [15]. These algorithms were based on hand-designed features, and were limited in their ability to generate complex and diverse images. In 2014, Generative Adversarial Networks (GANs) [16] was first proposed, which was a significant milestone in this area, due to its impressive results in various applications. Variational Autoencoders (VAEs) [17] and other methods like diffusion generative models [18] have also been developed for more fine-grained control over the image generation process and the ability to generate high-quality images.

The advancement of generative models in various domains has followed different paths, but eventually, the intersection emerged: the transformer architecture [1]. The Transformer was initially developed to handle NLP tasks, but it has also been applied to tasks in computer vision. Its various variants for different tasks quickly achieved state-of-the-art performance. In the field of NLP, many prominent large language models, e.g., BERT and GPT, adopt the transformer architecture as their primary building block. In CV, Vision Transformer (ViT) [19] and Swin Transformer [20] later takes this concept even further by combining the transformer architecture with visual components, allowing it to be applied to image based downstreams. Except for the improvement that transformer brought to individual modalities, this intersection also enabled models from different domains to be fused together for multimodal tasks. One such example of multimodal models is CLIP [21]. CLIP is a joint vision-language model that combines the transformer architecture with visual components, allowing it to be trained on a massive amount of text and image data. Since it combines visual and language knowledge during pre-training, it can also be used as image encoders in multimodal prompting for generation. In all, the emergence of transformer based models revolutionized AI generation and led to the possibility of large-scale training.

A. Model Architecture of Transformer

Here is a diagram of the Transformer model architecture. We will now explain this architecture by focusing on several modules: Encoder-Decoder [22], Self-Attention, Position-wise Feed-Forward Network, Embeddings, and Softmax.

1) *Encoder-Decoder*: The Encoder consists of N layers, each of which contains two sub-layers. The first sub-layer includes a Multi-Head Attention module, and the second sub-layer includes a position-wise fully connected feed-forward




Fig. 1. Model Architecture of Transformer

network. In each sub-layer, we apply a residual connection [23] module, followed by layer normalization [24].

The Decoder also consists of N independent layers, but unlike the Encoder, each layer of the Decoder contains three sub-layers, which has three different kinds of modules: Masked Multi-Head Attention, Multi-Head Attention, and position-wise fully connected feed-forward network. Similarly, we apply the same residual connection and layer normalization after each sub-layer.

This results in the following equation for each sub-layer:

$$Output(x) = LayerNorm(x + SubLayer(x))$$

where $Sublayer(x)$ is the function implemented by the sub-layer itself.

2) *Attention*: An attention function can be described as mapping a query and a key-value pair to a set of outputs, where all of these quantities are vectors. This allows the output to be viewed as a weighted sum of values, where each value's weight can be seen as calculated based on the query and its corresponding key.

From Fig2, we can see that the Multi-Head Attention used in the model consists of multiple Scaled Dot-Product Attention modules. Therefore, let's focus on Scaled Dot-Product




Fig. 2. Attention Mechanism

Attention first.

$$Attention(Q, K, V) = softmax(\frac{QK^T}{\sqrt{d_k}})V$$

Compared to regular dot-product attention, Scaled Dot-Product Attention includes a scaling factor $\sqrt{d_k}$ [25] in the equation to improve the performance of the softmax function on larger datasets.

Next, let's consider how to apply Scaled Dot-Product Attention to Multi-Head Attention. For a set of K, Q, V , each with a d_{model} dimension, they are projected to different dimensions (d_k, d_k , and d_v , respectively). Then, attention calculations are performed in parallel on the projected versions of the Q, K , and V , resulting in an output vector with a dimension of d_v .

$$MultiHead(Q, K, V) = Concat(head_1, head_2, ..., head_h)W^O$$

$$where head_i = Attention(QW_i^Q, KW_i^K, VW_i^V)$$

$$W_i^Q \in \mathbb{R}^{d_{model} \times d_k}, W_i^K \in \mathbb{R}^{d_{model} \times d_k}$$

$$W_i^V \in \mathbb{R}^{d_{model} \times d_v}, W^O \in \mathbb{R}^{hd_v \times d_{model}}$$

Where h is the number of attention layers that are computing in parallel.

Note that in Fig2, there is also an optional mask module used to mask out certain invalid positions or information when calculating attention scores. This is done to prevent these invalid positions or information from being considered when calculating attention weights.

3) *Position-wise Feed-Forward Networks*: In each layer of the Encoder or the Decoder, there is a fully connected feed-forward network that is applied separately and identically to each position. This network consists of two layers of ReLU activation functions combined together.

$$FFN(x) = max(0, xW_1 + b_1)W_2 + b_2$$

Of course, different layers may use different parameters, and there may be changes in dimensions caused by modules such as convolutional layers between layers.

4) *Embeddings and Softmax*: Like other sequence processing models, the Transformer also uses a learnable embedding to convert input and output tokens into d_{model} -dimensional vectors. It also uses a learnable linear transformer and softmax

function to convert the decoder's output into predicted probabilities for the next token. In the embedding layers, multiply those weights by $\sqrt{d_{model}}$.

5) *Positional Encoding*: Since the Transformer does not contain convolutional or recurrent components, position encoding is required to incorporate positional information of the input sequence. Here, the position encoding uses the same dimension as the embedding to enable addition of the two. Given that there are various forms of position encoding, both learnable and fixed, the Transformer uses sine and cosine functions of different frequencies to encode position information.

$$PE_{(pos,2i)} = \sin(pos/10000^{2i/d_{model}})$$

$$PE_{(pos,2i+1)} = \cos(pos/10000^{2i/d_{model}})$$

where pos is the position and i is the dimension.

B. Training of Transformer

In the original Transformer paper, the model was trained on the standard WMT 2014 English-German dataset using the Adam optimizer with hyperparameters $\beta_1 = 0.9$, $\beta_2 = 0.98$, and $\epsilon = 10^{-9}$. The learning rate was assigned based on a formula, where the number of *warmup_steps* was set to 4000.

$$lrate = \frac{\min(step_num^{0.5}, step_num \cdot warmup_steps^{-1.5})}{\sqrt{d_{model}}}$$

In addition, to prevent overfitting during training, several regularization techniques were applied, such as Residual Dropout with $P_{drop} = 0.1$ and label smoothing with a value of $\epsilon_{ps} = 0.1$. The use of these techniques allowed the Transformer to achieve better performance after training.

III. BERT

BERT [2], which stands for Bidirectional Encoder Representations from Transformers. Unlike recent language representation models (Peters et al., 2018a; Radford et al., 2018), BERT is designed to pre-train deep bidirectional representations from unlabeled text by jointly conditioning on both left and right context in all layers. As a result, the pre-trained BERT model can be fine-tuned with just one additional output layer to create state-of-the-art models for a wide range of tasks, such as question answering and language inference, without substantial task-specific architecture modifications.

A. Model Architecture of BERT

The model architecture of BERT is a multi-layer bidirectional Transformer encoder based on the Transformer architecture. Compared to the original Transformer architecture, BERT uses a bidirectional structure, which will be explained in more details later.

In addition, BERT also employs different structures for input and output representations. To adapt to different downstream tasks, the input representation should be able to handle sequences consisting of multiple sentences as single token.

Therefore, BERT uses WordPiece embeddings for this purpose.

In BERT, the first token of each sequence is a special classification token ([CLS]). Sentences pairs are packed into a single sequence. There are two methods to differentiate between different sentences within a sequence. Firstly, a special separator token ([SEP]) is used to separate different sentences. Secondly, a learnable embedding is added to each token to indicate which sentence it belongs to. For a given token, its input representation is constructed by summing the corresponding token, segment, and position embeddings, that's what Fig3 shows.




Fig. 3. Input Representation of BERT

B. Training of BERT

Training BERT is a crucial part of its development, and it involves several techniques, including Unsupervised Feature-based Approaches, Unsupervised Fine-tuning Approaches, and Transfer Learning from Supervised Data. The training process is divided into two parts.




Fig. 4. Training of BERT

The first part is Pre-Training, during which BERT is trained to perform two tasks. Task 1 is Masked Language Modeling (MLM), where 15% of the wordpiece tokens are randomly masked and replaced with a special token ([MASK]). BERT is then trained to predict these masked tokens. Task 2 is Next Sentence Prediction (NSP), where BERT is given a pair of sentences A and B and is trained to predict whether sentence B follows sentence A.

The second part is fine-tuning, where we use a small amount of labeled data to train BERT for specific downstream tasks. Because of the properties of the Transformer architecture, BERT can model downstream tasks by adjusting its inputs and outputs. During fine-tuning, BERT uses bidirectional self-attention mechanisms to encode text and to coordinate and unify its inputs and outputs for the specific task at hand.

IV. GPT

GPT [3] (Generative Pre-trained Transformer) is a Transformer-based pre-trained language model developed by OpenAI. The development of GPT can be divided into four versions. GPT-1 was pre-trained on a large corpus of text and was one of the first models to demonstrate excellent performance in natural language processing tasks. GPT-2 is an improved version of GPT-1, pre-trained on larger datasets with more parameters, and can generate more natural and fluent text. GPT-3 is a much larger pre-trained language model with 175 billion parameters, achieving state-of-the-art results on various natural language processing tasks. As of today, GPT-4 is currently under development, expected to have even larger model parameters and better support for multimodal input to handle more complex tasks.

A. GPT-1

GPT-1 [3] implemented a semi-supervised approach that combines unsupervised pre-training and supervised fine-tuning to accomplish language understanding tasks. This approach enables a large amount of training to form a global transformation, and only minor adjustments are needed to adapt to a wide range of downstream tasks.

1) *Model Architecture of GPT-1*: GPT-1 uses a Transformer Decoder [26] as its primary architecture, which has been proven to have strong performance on various tasks. However, depending on the specific task, we can replace or fine-tune different modules of the model, such as its input and output, to better adapt to the task at hand.




Fig. 5. Model Architecture of GPT-1 & The Task-specific for different tasks

As GPT use a Transformer Decoder, this model applies multi-headed self-attention operation over the input context tokens, followed by position-wise feedforward layers, to produce an output distribution over target tokens.

$$\begin{aligned} h_0 &= UW_e + W_p \\ h_l &= \text{transformer_block}(h_{l-1}) \quad \forall l \in [1, n] \\ P(u) &= \text{softmax}(h_n W_e^T) \end{aligned}$$

where $U = (u_{-k}, \dots, u_{-1})$ is the context vector of tokens, n is the number of layers, W_e is the token embedding matrix, and W_p is the position embedding matrix.

2) *Training of GPT-1*: The training of GPT-1 is divided into two parts.

The first part being unsupervised pre-training. In this process, GPT is given a set of unlabeled corpus tokens $\mathcal{U} = \{u_1, u_2, \dots, u_n\}$ and utilizes a standard language model to maximize the following likelihood:

$$L_1(\mathcal{U}) = \sum_i \log P(u_i | u_{i-k}, \dots, u_{i-1}; \Theta)$$

where k is the size of the context window, and the conditional probability P is modeled using a neural network with parameters Θ . These parameters are trained using stochastic gradient descent [51].

The second part is supervised fine-tuning, which involves adjusting the model parameters for a specific task after unsupervised pre-training. Assuming there is a labeled dataset \mathcal{C} , where each input has an associated label. The input is processed by the model to obtain an activation value h_l^m , which, when multiplied by W_y , can predict the label y . This allows us to maximize the following objective $L_2(\mathcal{C})$:

$$\begin{aligned} P(y | x^1, x^2, \dots, x^m) &= \text{softmax}(h_l^m W_y) \\ L_2(\mathcal{C}) &= \sum_i \log P(y | x^1, x^2, \dots, x^m) \end{aligned}$$

Adding a language model as an auxiliary objective during supervised fine-tuning can improve learning by (a) enhancing the generalization ability of the supervised model, and (b) accelerating convergence. Therefore, we perform a maximization operation on the following objective $L_3(\mathcal{C})$:

$$L_3(\mathcal{C}) = L_2(\mathcal{C}) + \lambda L_1(\mathcal{C})$$

where λ is weight.

B. GPT-2

GPT-2 [4] largely follows the architecture of its predecessor GPT-1, with only minor modifications. Layer normalization is moved to after the input of each sub-block, and additional layer normalization is added after the final self-attention module. A modified initialization is used to account for accumulation on the residual path with model depth, where the weights of residual layers are scaled by a factor of $1/\sqrt{N}$ at initialization, with N being the number of residual layers. The vocabulary is expanded to 50,257, and the context size is increased from 512 to 1024 tokens. A larger batch size of 512 is also used.

GPT-2 was trained on the WebText dataset, and in the original paper, the authors evaluated GPT-2's performance on various tasks, including Language Modeling, Children's book test, LAMBADA, Winograd Schema Challenge, Reading Comprehension, Translation, and Question Answering. As the number of parameters increased, GPT-2 exhibited remarkable abilities.

C. GPT3

The model size and amount of data used for training in GPT-3 [4] have significantly increased. GPT-3 has 96 layers, each with 96 attention heads, for a total of 175 billion parameters, which is 116 times more than GPT-2. GPT-3 was trained on a 45 terabytes text dataset selected from Common Crawl, containing 500 billion words, which is 10 times larger than the dataset used for GPT-2.

GPT-3's main selling point is few-shot learning, which means it can complete various downstream tasks with only a few examples as input, without fine-tuning or gradient updates. GPT-3 has demonstrated outstanding performance on several natural language processing tasks, even surpassing some specially designed models.

D. GPT4

GPT-4 [5]'s main selling point is multimodal learning, which means it can accept both images and text as input, and output text. GPT-4 can generate descriptive or creative text based on the content of the images, and it can also generate relevant images based on the content of the text.

V. SECURITY AND ROBUSTNESS OF GPT

REFERENCES

- [1] A. Vaswani, N. Shazeer, N. Parmar, J. Uszkoreit, L. Jones, A. N. Gomez, E. Kaiser, and I. Polosukhin, "Attention is all you need," *Advances in neural information processing systems*, vol. 30, 2017. I, II
- [2] J. Devlin, M.-W. Chang, K. Lee, and K. Toutanova, "Bert: Pre-training of deep bidirectional transformers for language understanding," *arXiv preprint arXiv:1810.04805*, 2018. I, III
- [3] A. Radford, K. Narasimhan, T. Salimans, I. Sutskever *et al.*, "Improving language understanding by generative pre-training," 2018. I, IV, IV-A
- [4] A. Radford, J. Wu, R. Child, D. Luan, D. Amodei, I. Sutskever *et al.*, "Language models are unsupervised multitask learners," *OpenAI blog*, vol. 1, no. 8, p. 9, 2019. I, IV-B, IV-C
- [5] T. Brown, B. Mann, N. Ryder, M. Subbiah, J. D. Kaplan, P. Dhariwal, A. Neelakantan, P. Shyam, G. Sastry, A. Askell *et al.*, "Language models are few-shot learners," *Advances in neural information processing systems*, vol. 33, pp. 1877–1901, 2020. I, IV-D
- [6] OpenAI, "Gpt-4 technical report," *arXiv*, 2023. I
- [7] K. Knill and S. Young, "Hidden markov models in speech and language processing," *Corpus-based methods in language and speech processing*, pp. 27–68, 1997. II
- [8] D. A. Reynolds *et al.*, "Gaussian mixture models," *Encyclopedia of biometrics*, vol. 741, no. 659–663, 2009. II
- [9] Y. Bengio, R. Ducharme, and P. Vincent, "A neural probabilistic language model," *Advances in neural information processing systems*, vol. 13, 2000. II
- [10] T. Mikolov, M. Karafiát, L. Burget, J. Cernocký, and S. Khudanpur, "Recurrent neural network based language model," in *Interspeech*, vol. 2, no. 3. Makuhari, 2010, pp. 1045–1048. II
- [11] A. Graves and A. Graves, "Long short-term memory," *Supervised sequence labelling with recurrent neural networks*, pp. 37–45, 2012. II
- [12] R. Dey and F. M. Salem, "Gate-variants of gated recurrent unit (gru) neural networks," in *2017 IEEE 60th international midwest symposium on circuits and systems (MWSCAS)*. IEEE, 2017, pp. 1597–1600. II
- [13] U. Khandelwal, H. He, P. Qi, and D. Jurafsky, "Sharp nearby, fuzzy far away: How neural language models use context," *arXiv preprint arXiv:1805.04623*, 2018. II
- [14] A. A. Efros and T. K. Leung, "Texture synthesis by non-parametric sampling," in *Proceedings of the seventh IEEE international conference on computer vision*, vol. 2. IEEE, 1999, pp. 1033–1038. II
- [15] P. S. Heckbert, "Survey of texture mapping," *IEEE computer graphics and applications*, vol. 6, no. 11, pp. 56–67, 1986. II
- [16] I. Goodfellow, J. Pouget-Abadie, M. Mirza, B. Xu, D. Warde-Farley, S. Ozair, A. Courville, and Y. Bengio, "Generative adversarial networks," *Communications of the ACM*, vol. 63, no. 11, pp. 139–144, 2020. II
- [17] D. P. Kingma and M. Welling, "Auto-encoding variational bayes," *arXiv preprint arXiv:1312.6114*, 2013. II
- [18] Y. Song and S. Ermon, "Generative modeling by estimating gradients of the data distribution," *Advances in neural information processing systems*, vol. 32, 2019. II
- [19] A. Dosovitskiy, L. Beyer, A. Kolesnikov, D. Weissenborn, X. Zhai, T. Unterthiner, M. Dehghani, M. Minderer, G. Heigold, S. Gelly *et al.*, "An image is worth 16x16 words: Transformers for image recognition at scale," *arXiv preprint arXiv:2010.11929*, 2020. II
- [20] Z. Liu, Y. Lin, Y. Cao, H. Hu, Y. Wei, Z. Zhang, S. Lin, and B. Guo, "Swin transformer: Hierarchical vision transformer using shifted windows," in *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF international conference on computer vision*, 2021, pp. 10012–10022. II
- [21] A. Radford, J. W. Kim, C. Hallacy, A. Ramesh, G. Goh, S. Agarwal, G. Sastry, A. Askell, P. Mishkin, J. Clark *et al.*, "Learning transferable visual models from natural language supervision," in *International conference on machine learning*. PMLR, 2021, pp. 8748–8763. II
- [22] D. Bahdanau, K. Cho, and Y. Bengio, "Neural machine translation by jointly learning to align and translate," *arXiv preprint arXiv:1409.0473*, 2014. II-A
- [23] K. He, X. Zhang, S. Ren, and J. Sun, "Deep residual learning for image recognition," in *Proceedings of the IEEE conference on computer vision and pattern recognition*, 2016, pp. 770–778. II-A1
- [24] J. L. Ba, J. R. Kiros, and G. E. Hinton, "Layer normalization," *arXiv preprint arXiv:1607.06450*, 2016. II-A1
- [25] D. Britz, A. Goldie, M.-T. Luong, and Q. Le, "Massive exploration of neural machine translation architectures," *arXiv preprint arXiv:1703.03906*, 2017. II-A2
- [26] P. J. Liu, M. Saleh, E. Pot, B. Goodrich, R. Sepassi, L. Kaiser, and N. Shazeer, "Generating wikipedia by summarizing long sequences," *arXiv preprint arXiv:1801.10198*, 2018. IV-A1