CSCB63 – Design and Analysis of Data Structures

Anya Tafliovich¹

¹with huge thanks to Anna Bretscher and Albert Lai

introduction

Today we begin studying a different way to look at complexity of algorithms.

So far we saw

- worst case analysis of individual operations
- amortised analysis over a sequence of operations

Today we add

- expected running time analysis
- need to review our probabilities!

quicksort: idea

A divide-and-conquer algorithm.

- 1. if |A| < 2: stop
- 2. pick an element x, called "pivot"
- 3. partition A into:
 - L: array of elements $\leq x$
 - G: array of elements > x

$$(\Theta(|A|) \text{ time; } |A|-1 \text{ comparisons against pivot})$$

- 4. recurse: sort L
- 5. recurse: sort *G*
- 6. concatenate sorted L, sorted G

We write L, G as new arrays to show the idea.

In reality, "partition" is done in-place in A, so L occupies the left side, G occupies the right side, and there is no "concatenate" step.

quicksort: algorithm

```
quicksort(A, p, r):
0. if p < r:
1. q = partition(A, p, r)

 quicksort(A, p, q-1)

 quicksort(A, q+1, r)

partition(A, p, r):
0. x := A[r] // pivot
1. i := p-1
2. for j in p, ..., r-1:
3. if A[i] \leq x:
4. i := i + 1
5. exchange A[i] with A[j]
6. exchange A[i+1] with A[r]
7. return i + 1
```

quicksort: example

Trace quicksort on the array

$$A = [2, 8, 7, 1, 3, 5, 6, 4]$$

quicksort: correctness

The loop invariants of partition:

- $A[k] \le x$ for $p \le k \le i$
- A[k] > x for $i + 1 \le k \le j 1$
- A[k] = x for k = r

Proof: exercise.

quicksort: complexity

running time of partition is

$$\Theta(n)$$
 where $n = r - p + 1$

- running time depends on partitioning
 - balanced partition produces better time
- worst case when:
 - n-1 elements in one partition and 1 element in the other
- best case when:
 - n/2 elements in one partition and n/2 elements in the other
- average case:
 - is much closer to best case than to the worst case!

randomised quicksort: idea

- remove "bias" in the input that may cause too many unbalanced partitions
- by selecting pivots at random
- we expect the split to be reasonably well balanced on average
- technique called <u>random sampling</u>

randomised quicksort: algorithm

```
r-quicksort(A, p, r):
0. if p < r:
1. q = r-partition(A, p, r)
2. r-quicksort(A, p, q-1)
3. r-quicksort(A, q+1, r)
r-partition(A, p, r):
0. exchange A[r] with A[random(p, r)]
1. x := A[r] // pivot is now random from A[p..r]
2. i := p-1
3. for j in p, ..., r-1:
4. if A[j] \leq x:
5. i := i + 1
6. exchange A[i] with A[j]
7. exchange A[i+1] with A[r]
8. return i + 1
```

randomised quicksort: complexity

Idea:

- partition selects a pivot
- pivot never included in any future recursive calls
- at most n calls to partition over entire execution of quicksort
- partition is: $\mathcal{O}(1)$ plus for-loop
- focus on line 4 in for-loop
- can count number of times line 4 runs
- will give us bound on time spent in the for-loop over entire execution of quicksort

Formally:

- quicksort is $\mathcal{O}(n+X)$ where
- n is the length of array A and
- X is the total number of comparisons on line 4 over entire execution

randomised quicksort: complexity

Notice:

- each pair of elements is compared at most once:
- elements are compared only to the pivot
- pivot is never used after call to partition

Let:

- elements of A: $\{z_1, z_2, ..., z_n\}$ where z_i is the i^{th} smallest element of A
- $Z_{ij} = \{z_i, z_{i+1}, ..., z_j\}$ be set of elements between z_i and z_j
- $X_{ij} = I\{z_i \text{ is compared to } z_j\}$ be indicator random variable

stats flashback: indicator variable

An indicator random variable X is

$$X_i = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if an event } i \text{ occurs} \\ 0 & \text{if it does not} \end{cases}$$

Total number of comparisons:

$$E(X) = E\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \sum_{j=i+1}^{n} X_{ij}\right)$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \sum_{j=i+1}^{n} E(X_{ij})$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \sum_{j=i+1}^{n} \Pr(z_i \text{ is compared to } z_j)$$

When is z_i compared to z_i ?

In
$$z_i < ... < x < ... < z_j$$
,

- if x becomes pivot first, z_i is not compared to z_j
 (z_i moved to left partition, z_i moved to right partition)
- if z_i becomes pivot first, z_i is compared to z_j
- if z_j becomes pivot first, z_i is compared to z_j

$$Pr(z_i \text{ is compared to } z_j)$$

$$= Pr(z_i \text{ or } z_j \text{ is the first pivot chosen from } Z_{ij})$$

$$= Pr(z_i \text{ is the first pivot chosen from } Z_{ij})$$

$$+ Pr(z_j \text{ is the first pivot chosen from } Z_{ij})$$

$$= \frac{1}{j-i+1} + \frac{1}{j-i+1}$$

$$= \frac{2}{j-i+1}$$

1.5

Total number of comparisons:

$$E(X) = \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \sum_{j=i+1}^{n} \Pr(z_i \text{ is compared to } z_j)$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \sum_{j=i+1}^{n} \frac{2}{j-i+1}$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \sum_{k=1}^{n-i} \frac{2}{k+1}$$

$$< 2 * \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{1}{k}$$

$$< 2 * \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \ln n$$

$$= 2(n-1) \ln n$$

$$\in \mathcal{O}(n \log n)$$