CSCB63 – Design and Analysis of Data Structures

Anya Tafliovich¹

¹with huge thanks to Anna Bretscher and Albert Lai

AVL tree

- stores key/value pairs in all nodes (both leaf and internal)
- has a property relating the keys stored in a subtree to the key stored in the parent node (ordering)
- maintains the height (number of edges on a root-to-leaf path) of $\mathcal{O}(\log n)$
 - balance factor = height(left subtree) height(right subtree)
 - maintain balance factor of ± 1 or 0 for all nodes

Operations are $\mathcal{O}(\log n)$:

- search(k, T): return the value corresponding to key k in the tree T
- insert(k, v, T): insert the new key/value pair k/v into the tree T
- delete(k, T): delete the key/value pair with key k from the tree T

.

more AVL operations

Given two AVL trees, T_1 and T_2 , create the

- union of T_1 and T_2
 - an AVL tree T that contains key/value pairs from T_1 as well as from T_2
 - if $(k, v_1) \in T_1$ and $(k, v_2) \in T_2$, then decide whether $(k, v_1) \in T$ or $(k, v_2) \in T$
- intersection of T_1 and T_2
 - an AVL tree T that contains key/value pairs that are in both T_1 and T_2
 - if $(k, v_1) \in T_1$ and $(k, v_2) \in T_2$, then decide whether $(k, v_1) \in T$ or $(k, v_2) \in T$
- difference of T_1 and T_2
 - an AVL tree T that contains key/value pairs that are in T_1 but not in T_2

AVL union

Given two AVL trees, T_1 and T_2 , create the union of T_1 and T_2 :

- ullet an AVL tree T that contains key/value pairs from T_1 as well as from T_2
- if $(k, v_1) \in T_1$ and $(k, v_2) \in T_2$, then we will have $(k, v_2) \in T$ (update)

Simple way to construct the union:

- wlog, $numnodes(T_1) = n \le m = numnodes(T_2)$
- insert all nodes from T_1 into T_2
- complexity?
 - each insert $\mathcal{O}(\log(n+m))$
 - n inserts
 - total $\mathcal{O}(n\log(n+m))$
- can we do better?

divide and conquer algorithms

Idea:

- split the input into smaller pieces (divide)
 - obtain smaller problems of the same kind
- apply the algorithm to the smaller pieces (conquer)
 - obtain solutions to the smaller problems
- build the answer from the answers to the smaller problems

Some example you have seen before?

- merge sort
- quick sort
- binary search in an array
- search in a tree
- parsing techniques

AVL union


Given two AVL trees, T_1 and T_2 , create the union of T_1 and T_2 .


Divide and conquer approach:

- split T_1 into smaller trees
- split T_2 into smaller trees
- build unions of smaller trees
- merge results into union of T_1 and T_2

AVL union: split

- suppose tree T_2 has key k at root node
- split T_1 into $T_{< k}$ and $T_{> k}$, both balanced
 - $T_{< k}$ contains keys from T_1 that are less than k
 - $T_{>k}$ contains keys from T_1 that are bigger than k






• need algorithm split(T, k) that returns ($T_{< k}$, $T_{> k}$) such that both $T_{< k}$ and $T_{> k}$ are AVL trees

AVL union: split

split(T, k) idea



- how to split at key 16?
- want {12,14}, {17,25,27,30}
- 16 < 25 :
 - split left subtree into $(L, R) = (\{12, 14\}, \{17\})$
 - new left subtree is the left subtree of the sub-split: $L' = \{12, 14\}$
 - new right subtree is $R' = join(\{17\}, 25, \{27, 30\})$

AVL union: split

```
split(T, k) algorithm
  if T == nil:
      return (nil, nil)
  if k == T.key:
      return (T.left, T.right)
  if k < T.key:
      (L, R) = split(T.left, k)
      R' = join(R, T.key, T.right)
      return (L, R')
  if k > T.key:
      (L, R) = split(T.right, k)
      L' = join(T.left, T.key, L)
      return (L', R)
```


Need algorithm for join!

join(L, k, G) idea

- L already contains keys < k, G already contains keys > k
- if L much taller than G (height(L) height(G) > 1)
 - insert k and G as subtree into L
- if G much taller than L (height(G) height(L) > 1)
 - insert k and L as subtree into G
- if L and G differ by ≤ 1 (abs(height(L) height(G)) ≤ 1)
 - make a tree with k in root, L as left subtree, and G as right subtree

if height(L) - height(G) > 1, insert G as subtree into L:

- 1. in L, keep going to the right to find the node p such that
 - p is still too tall: height(p) height(G) > 1, but
 - but p.right is just right: $height(p.right) height(G) \le 1$
- 2. create new node q with key k, left child p.right, and right child G, this node becomes p's new right child
- 3. rebalance from *p* upwards, as needed



if height(L) - height(G) > 1, insert G as subtree into L.


How do we know the result is an AVL?

- show that it is a BST (ordering)
- show that it is balanced

- height(p) height(G) > 1, but
- $height(p.right) height(G) \le 1$

Let h = height(G).

Case 1:




No rebalancing necessary.

- height(p) height(G) > 1, but
- $height(p.right) height(G) \le 1$

Let h = height(G).

Case 2:




No rebalancing necessary.

- height(p) height(G) > 1, but
- $height(p.right) height(G) \le 1$

Let h = height(G).

Case 3:




Double rotation to rebalance at p. No other rebalancing necessary.

- height(p) height(G) > 1, but
- $height(p.right) height(G) \le 1$

Let h = height(G).

Case 4:



No rotation at p, but ancestors of p may need rebalancing.


```
join(L, k, G) pseudocode
  if height(L) - height(G) > 1:
    p = L
    while height(p.right) - height(G) > 1:
      p = p.right
    q = new node(key=k, left=p.right, right=G)
    p.right = q
    rebalance and update heights at p up to the root
    return I.
  elif height(G) - height(L) > 1:
    ... symmetrical ...
  else:
    return new node(key=k, left=L, right=G)
```

AVL union

```
Finally, union (T_1, T_2) algorithm:
if T_1 == nil:
  return T_2
if T_2 == nil:
  return T_1
k = T_2.key
(L, R) = split(T_1, k)
L' = union(L, T_2.left)
R' = union(R, T_2.right)
return join(L', k, R')
```

AVL union: example

Follow all the steps of the algorithm above to construct the union of:



Complete example in tutorial.

AVL union: complexity

• So, did we do better than our first try?

• Best union / intersection / difference algorithm for balanced trees (including AVL and red-black trees) is $\Theta(m \log(\frac{n}{m} + 1))$

 Can find proof of complexity in Guy Blelloch, Daniel Ferizovic, and Yihan Sun, Parallel ordered sets using join. ACM Symposium on Parallelism in Algorithms and Architectures (SPAA), 2016. https://arxiv.org/abs/1602.02120