

db hw7

3200105872 庄毅非

7.1

7.1 Suppose that we decompose the schema $R = (A, B, C, D, E)$ into

$$\begin{aligned} &(A, B, C) \\ &(A, D, E). \end{aligned}$$

Show that this decomposition is a lossless decomposition if the following set F of functional dependencies holds:

$$\begin{aligned} &A \rightarrow BC \\ &CD \rightarrow E \\ &B \rightarrow D \\ &E \rightarrow A \end{aligned}$$

Answer: From the functional dependencies set we can get that $A^+ = R$, so that A is a candidate key for relation R . Denote $R_1 = (A, B, C)$ and $R_2 = (A, D, E)$, since $R_1 \cap R_2 = A$, which is a candidate key. So this decomposition is a lossless decomposition.

7.13 Show that the decomposition in Exercise 7.1 is not a dependency-preserving decomposition.

Answer: It's clearly that function dependency $CD \rightarrow E$, $B \rightarrow D$ and $E \rightarrow A$ are all not preserved in result set.

7.21 Give a lossless decomposition into BCNF of schema R of Exercise 7.1.

Answer: From the FD set we can see that A is not candidate key for the attribute set. Then FD $A \rightarrow BC$ violate BCNF's definition, we can split R into $R_1 = (A, B, C, E)$ and $R_2 = (B, D)$, which is a BCNF schema.

7.22 Give a lossless, dependency-preserving decomposition into 3NF of schema R of Exercise 7.1.

Answer: We can split the original set R into $R_1 = (A,B,C)$, $R_2 = (C,D,E)$, $R_3 = (B,D)$ and $R_4 = (A,E)$ and we know that R_1 contains a candidate key (A) , so (R_1,R_2,R_3,R_4) is a 3nf decomposition.

7.30 Consider the following set F of functional dependencies on the relation schema (A, B, C, D, E, G) :

$$A \rightarrow BCD$$

$$BC \rightarrow DE$$

$$B \rightarrow D$$

$$D \rightarrow A$$

a. compute B^+

Answer: $B^+ = ABCDE$

b. Prove (using Armstrong's axioms) that AG is a superkey.

Answer:

$$\because A \rightarrow BCD$$

$$\therefore A^+ = ABCD \text{ (by definition of attribute's closure)}$$

$$\because BC \rightarrow DE$$

$$\therefore A^+ = ABCDE \text{ (transitivity rule)}$$

$$\therefore (ABCDEG) \subset (AG)^+$$

$$\because (AG)^+ \subset (ABCDEG)$$

$$\therefore (AG)^+ = (ABCDEG)$$

c. Compute a canonical cover for this set of functional dependencies F ; give each step of your derivation with an explanation.

Answer:

step 1: remove column D in the right side of $BC \rightarrow DE$. Since it is an extraneous attribute, since before and after we remove it, the closure for BC is always $ABCDE$.

step 2: remove column D in the right side of $A \rightarrow BCD$, since $B \rightarrow D$

step 3: remove column **C** in the left side of $BC \rightarrow E$, since B^+ contains C.

step 4: combine $B \rightarrow E$ and $B \rightarrow D$.

step 3: we can deduce that current function dependency and the original FD are equivalent to each other, and there is no extraneous attributes any more. So FD

$$A \rightarrow BC$$

$$B \rightarrow DE$$

$$D \rightarrow A$$

is the canonical cover we want.

d. Give a 3NF decomposition of the given schema based on a canonical cover.

Answer: From question we can get $R1 = (A,B,C)$, $R2 = (B,D,E)$, $R3 = (D,A)$. After removing redundant set, result we get is $(R1 = (A,B,C), R2 = (B,D,E), R3 = (D,A))$. Since there is no candidate key, we add a set $R4 = (A,G)$. So final result is $R1 = (A,B,C)$ and $R2 = (B,D,E), R3 = (D,A), R4 = (A,G)$

e. Give a BCNF decomposition of the given schema using the original set F of functional dependencies.

Answer:

step 1: FD $B \rightarrow CDE$ violates BCNF's definition, so we split R into $R1 = (\underline{B}, C, D, E)$, $R1' = (A, B, G)$, we can see $R1$ is a BCNF.

step 2: FD $A \rightarrow B$ violates BCNF's definition, so we can split $R1'$ into $R2 = (\underline{A}, B)$, $R2' = (\underline{A}, \underline{G})$, then we can see $R2$ and $R2'$ both satisfy BCNF's definition.

step 3: Final result is $R1 = (\underline{B}, C, D, E)$, $R2 = (\underline{A}, B)$, $R3 = (\underline{A}, \underline{G})$

