

Equivariant Factorization Homology and Nonabelian Poincaré Duality

Foling Zou

University of Chicago

August 19, 2019

History

- Belinson-Drinfeld;
- Lurie, Ayala-Francis;
- Kupers-Miller, Knudsen, ...


little n -disk operad

The operad \mathcal{D}_n has the following data:

little n -disk operad

The operad \mathcal{D}_n has the following data:

- Spaces $\mathcal{D}_n(k) = \{e_1, \dots, e_k \mid \text{conditions}\}$.
 - Each $e_i : D^n \rightarrow D^n$ is in the form of $e_i(\mathbf{v}) = a\mathbf{v} + \mathbf{b}$ for $a > 0, \mathbf{b} \in D^n$.
 - The images of e_i 's are disjoint;
- Structure maps $\gamma : \mathcal{D}_n(k) \times \mathcal{D}_n(j_1) \times \dots \times \mathcal{D}_n(j_k) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_n(j_1 + \dots + j_k)$.



G -operad

- G : finite group. V : n -dimensional orthogonal G -representation.

G -operad

- G : finite group. V : n -dimensional orthogonal G -representation.
- A G -operad in \mathbf{Top} is an operad such that the spaces are G -spaces and structure maps are G -equivariant.

G-operad

- G : finite group. V : n -dimensional orthogonal G -representation.
- A G -operad in \mathbf{Top} is an operad such that the spaces are G -spaces and structure maps are G -equivariant. Equivalently, it is an operad in \mathbf{Top}^G .

G-operad

- G : finite group. V : n -dimensional orthogonal G -representation.
- A G -operad in \mathbf{Top} is an operad such that the spaces are G -spaces and structure maps are G -equivariant. Equivalently, it is an operad in \mathbf{Top}^G .

Example

- 1 Replacing the disk D^n by the unit disk $D(V)$, we get the little V -disk operad \mathcal{D}_V (Guillou-May).

E_n -algebra

- The (reduced) operad \mathcal{D}_n is associated with a monad $D_n : \text{Top}_* \rightarrow \text{Top}_*$:

$$D_n X = \coprod_k \mathcal{D}_n(k) \times_{\Sigma_k} X^k / \sim$$

- An E_n -algebra is a spece A with structure maps


$$\lambda : D_n A \rightarrow A.$$

E_n -algebra

Example

$\Omega^n X$ is an E_n -algebra.

$$D_n(\Omega^n X) \xrightarrow{s(\Omega^n X)} \Omega^n \Sigma^n(\Omega^n X) \xrightarrow{\text{counit}} \Omega^n X.$$



E_n -algebra

One alternative way to see an E_n -algebra A :

- Let $\text{Disk}_n^{\text{fr}}$ be the symmetric monoidal topological category with

$$\text{obj} : [k] \text{ for } k \geq 0;$$

$$\text{mor} : \text{Emb}^{\text{fr}}(\sqcup_k D^n, \sqcup_l D^n);$$

$$\otimes : [k] \otimes [l] \cong [k + l].$$

E_n -algebra

One alternative way to see an E_n -algebra A :

- Let $\text{Disk}_n^{\text{fr}}$ be the symmetric monoidal topological category with

$$\text{obj} : [k] \text{ for } k \geq 0;$$

$$\text{mor} : \text{Emb}^{\text{fr}}(\sqcup_k D^n, \sqcup_l D^n);$$

$$\otimes : [k] \otimes [l] \cong [k + l].$$

- Then A is a symmetric monoidal topological functor $\text{Disk}_n^{\text{fr}} \rightarrow \text{Top}$.

factorization homology for framed manifold

Factorization homology of framed manifolds with coefficient A is the symmetric monoidal topological left Kan extension:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \mathrm{Disk}_n^{\mathrm{fr}} & \xrightarrow{A} & \mathcal{C} \\
 \downarrow & \nearrow f_{-} A & \\
 \mathrm{Mfld}_n^{\mathrm{fr}} & &
 \end{array}$$

the Top^G -category $\mathrm{Mfld}_n^{\mathrm{fr}V}$

Definition

A smooth G -manifold is V -framed if there is G -vector bundle isomorphism

$$TM \cong M \times V.$$

Example

- 1 V is V -framed;

the Top^G -category $\mathrm{Mfld}_n^{\mathrm{fr}V}$

Definition

A smooth G -manifold is V -framed if there is G -vector bundle isomorphism

$$TM \cong M \times V.$$

Example

- 1 V is V -framed;
- 2 $G = C_2$. Let σ be the sign representation. Then S^σ is σ -framed.

the Top^G -category $\mathrm{Mfld}_n^{\mathrm{fr}V}$

Definition

A smooth G -manifold is V -framed if there is G -vector bundle isomorphism

$$TM \cong M \times V.$$

Example

- 1 V is V -framed;
- 2 $G = C_2$. Let σ be the sign representation. Then S^σ is σ -framed.
- 3 $G = C_p$. Then S_{rot}^1 is \mathbb{R} -framed.

the Top^G -category $\mathrm{Mfld}_n^{\mathrm{fr}V}$

Definition

A smooth G -manifold is V -framed if there is G -vector bundle isomorphism

$$TM \cong M \times V.$$

Example

- 1 V is V -framed;
- 2 $G = C_2$. Let σ be the sign representation. Then S^σ is σ -framed.
- 3 $G = C_p$. Then S_{rot}^1 is \mathbb{R} -framed.
- 4 $G = C_p$. Let λ be the 2-dimensional rotation representation. Then $S_{\mathrm{rot}}^1 \times \mathbb{R}$ is both λ - and \mathbb{R}^2 -framed.

the Top^G -category $\mathrm{Mfld}_n^{\mathrm{fr}V}$

Definition

A smooth G -manifold is V -framed if there is G -vector bundle isomorphism

$$TM \cong M \times V.$$

Example

- 1 V is V -framed;
- 2 $G = C_2$. Let σ be the sign representation. Then S^σ is σ -framed.
- 3 $G = C_p$. Then S_{rot}^1 is \mathbb{R} -framed.
- 4 $G = C_p$. Let λ be the 2-dimensional rotation representation. Then $S_{\mathrm{rot}}^1 \times \mathbb{R}$ is both λ - and \mathbb{R}^2 -framed.

Construction

Following Kupers-Miller, we construct a symmetric monoidal Top^G -category $(\mathrm{Mfld}_n^{\mathrm{fr}^V}, \sqcup)$ of V -framed manifolds such that $\mathrm{Aut}(V) \simeq *$.

Construction

Following Kupers-Miller, we construct a symmetric monoidal Top^G -category $(\mathrm{Mfld}_n^{\mathrm{fr} V}, \sqcup)$ of V -framed manifolds such that $\mathrm{Aut}(V) \simeq *$.
(Idea: Steiner paths.)

Construction

Following Kupers-Miller, we construct a symmetric monoidal \mathbf{Top}^G -category $(\mathbf{Mfld}_n^{\mathrm{fr}V}, \sqcup)$ of V -framed manifolds such that $\mathrm{Aut}(V) \simeq *$.
(Idea: Steiner paths.)

- Endomorphism operad $\mathcal{D}_V^{\mathrm{fr}V}$ and monad $D_V^{\mathrm{fr}V}$. ($\mathcal{D}_V^{\mathrm{fr}V}$ is equivalent to \mathcal{D}_V .)
- Moreover, any manifold M gives rise to a functor

$$D_M^{\mathrm{fr}V} : \mathbf{Top}^G \rightarrow \mathbf{Top}^G$$

$$X \mapsto \coprod_{k \geq 0} \mathrm{Emb}^{\mathrm{fr}V}(\sqcup_k V, M) \times_{\Sigma_k} X^k / \sim.$$

Construction

Following Kupers-Miller, we construct a symmetric monoidal Top^G -category $(\mathrm{Mfld}_n^{\mathrm{fr}V}, \sqcup)$ of V -framed manifolds such that $\mathrm{Aut}(V) \simeq *$.
(Idea: Steiner paths.)

- Endomorphism operad $\mathcal{D}_V^{\mathrm{fr}V}$ and monad $D_V^{\mathrm{fr}V}$. ($\mathcal{D}_V^{\mathrm{fr}V}$ is equivalent to \mathcal{D}_V .)
- Moreover, any manifold M gives rise to a functor

$$D_M^{\mathrm{fr}V} : \mathrm{Top}^G \rightarrow \mathrm{Top}^G$$

$$X \mapsto \coprod_{k \geq 0} \mathrm{Emb}^{\mathrm{fr}V}(\sqcup_k V, M) \times_{\Sigma_k} X^k / \sim.$$

- $D_M^{\mathrm{fr}V} X$ is the V -fattened configuration space on M with based labels in X .

Proposition

Evaluation at 0 gives a G -homotopy equivalence

$$\mathrm{ev}_0 : D_M^{\mathrm{fr}V} X \rightarrow \coprod_k \mathrm{PConf}(M, k) \times_{\Sigma_k} X^k / \sim.$$

Construction

Following Kupers-Miller, we construct a symmetric monoidal Top^G -category $(\mathrm{Mfld}_n^{\mathrm{fr}V}, \sqcup)$ of V -framed manifolds such that $\mathrm{Aut}(V) \simeq *$.
(Idea: Steiner paths.)

- Endomorphism operad $\mathcal{D}_V^{\mathrm{fr}V}$ and monad $D_V^{\mathrm{fr}V}$. ($\mathcal{D}_V^{\mathrm{fr}V}$ is equivalent to \mathcal{D}_V .)
- Moreover, any manifold M gives rise to a functor

$$D_M^{\mathrm{fr}V} : \mathrm{Top}^G \rightarrow \mathrm{Top}^G$$

$$X \mapsto \coprod_{k \geq 0} \mathrm{Emb}^{\mathrm{fr}V}(\sqcup_k V, M) \times_{\Sigma_k} X^k / \sim.$$

- $D_M^{\mathrm{fr}V} X$ is the V -fattened configuration space on M with based labels in X .

Proposition

Evaluation at 0 gives a G -homotopy equivalence

$$\mathrm{ev}_0 : D_M^{\mathrm{fr}V} X \rightarrow \coprod_k \mathrm{PConf}(M, k) \times_{\Sigma_k} X^k / \sim.$$

structures

$$D_M^{\mathrm{fr}_V}(X) = \coprod_{k \geq 0} \mathrm{Emb}^{\mathrm{fr}_V}(\sqcup_k V, M) \times_{\Sigma_k} X^k / \sim.$$

structures

$$D_M^{\text{fr}_V}(X) = \coprod_{k \geq 0} \text{Emb}^{\text{fr}_V}(\sqcup_k V, M) \times_{\Sigma_k} X^k / \sim.$$

- Composition $D_M^{\text{fr}_V} \circ D_V^{\text{fr}_V} \rightarrow D_M^{\text{fr}_V}$; $D_V^{\text{fr}_V} \circ D_V^{\text{fr}_V} \rightarrow D_V^{\text{fr}_V}$;
- Unit $\text{Id} \rightarrow D_V^{\text{fr}_V}$ from the element $\text{id} : V \rightarrow V$;

Take a (non-degenerately based) $D_V^{\text{fr}_V}$ -algebra A in Top^G ,

- Structure map $D_V^{\text{fr}_V}(A) \rightarrow A$.

structures

$$D_M^{\text{fr}_V}(X) = \coprod_{k \geq 0} \text{Emb}^{\text{fr}_V}(\sqcup_k V, M) \times_{\Sigma_k} X^k / \sim.$$

- Composition $D_M^{\text{fr}_V} \circ D_V^{\text{fr}_V} \rightarrow D_M^{\text{fr}_V}$; $D_V^{\text{fr}_V} \circ D_V^{\text{fr}_V} \rightarrow D_V^{\text{fr}_V}$;
- Unit $\text{Id} \rightarrow D_V^{\text{fr}_V}$ from the element $\text{id} : V \rightarrow V$;

Take a (non-degenerately based) $D_V^{\text{fr}_V}$ -algebra A in Top^G ,

- Structure map $D_V^{\text{fr}_V}(A) \rightarrow A$.

We have a simplicial G -space:

$$\mathbf{B}_\bullet(D_M^{\text{fr}_V}, D_V^{\text{fr}_V}, A) = D_M^{\text{fr}_V}(D_V^{\text{fr}_V})^\bullet(A).$$

structures

$$D_M^{\text{fr}_V}(X) = \coprod_{k \geq 0} \text{Emb}^{\text{fr}_V}(\sqcup_k V, M) \times_{\Sigma_k} X^k / \sim.$$

- Composition $D_M^{\text{fr}_V} \circ D_V^{\text{fr}_V} \rightarrow D_M^{\text{fr}_V}$; $D_V^{\text{fr}_V} \circ D_V^{\text{fr}_V} \rightarrow D_V^{\text{fr}_V}$;
- Unit $\text{Id} \rightarrow D_V^{\text{fr}_V}$ from the element $\text{id} : V \rightarrow V$;

Take a (non-degenerately based) $D_V^{\text{fr}_V}$ -algebra A in Top^G ,

- Structure map $D_V^{\text{fr}_V}(A) \rightarrow A$.

We have a simplicial G -space:

$$\mathbf{B}_\bullet(D_M^{\text{fr}_V}, D_V^{\text{fr}_V}, A) = D_M^{\text{fr}_V}(D_V^{\text{fr}_V})^\bullet(A).$$

Definition

The factorization homology of M with coefficient A is

$$\int_M A := \mathbf{B}(D_M^{\text{fr}_V}, D_V^{\text{fr}_V}, A).$$


structure

$$\int_M A := \mathbf{B}(D_M^{\mathrm{fr}_V}, D_V^{\mathrm{fr}_V}, A).$$

structure

$$\int_M A := \mathbf{B}(D_M^{\text{fr}_V}, D_V^{\text{fr}_V}, A).$$

The bar construction is a model for configuration spaces with E_V -summable labels (Salvatore).



scanning map

- The scanning maps on configuration spaces have studied by McDuff, Segal, Bökigheimer, Manthorpe-Tillmann, ...
- It maps **a configuration of points on M** to **a section of the tangent bundle**. Intuitively, it is the Pontryagin-Thom collapse map.




Figure: illustration of the scanning map by Church

- It maps a configuration of points on M to a section of the tangent bundle.

- It maps a configuration of points on M to a section of the tangent bundle.
- In our V -framed case, it takes the form:

Construction

$$s : D_M^{\text{fr}_V}(X) \rightarrow \text{Map}_*(M^+, \Sigma^V X).$$

- It maps a configuration of points on M to a section of the tangent bundle.
- In our V -framed case, it takes the form:

Construction

$$s : D_M^{\text{fr}_V}(X) \rightarrow \text{Map}_*(M^+, \Sigma^V X).$$

- For labelled configuration space on a G -manifold M , the following theorem has been proved geometricly: (for $M = V$, it is the equivariant recognition principle by Guillou-May)

Theorem (Rourke-Sanderson)

The scanning map is a G -weak equivalence if X is G -connected.

$$s : D_M^{\mathrm{fr}^V}(X) \rightarrow \mathrm{Map}_*(M^+, \Sigma^V X).$$

$$s : D_M^{\mathrm{fr}V}(X) \rightarrow \mathrm{Map}_*(M^+, \Sigma^V X).$$

The scanning map is simplicial:

$$s : D_M^{\mathrm{fr}V}(D_V^{\mathrm{fr}V})^\bullet(X) \rightarrow \mathrm{Map}_*(M^+, \Sigma^V(D_V^{\mathrm{fr}V})^\bullet X).$$

$$s : D_M^{\text{fr}V}(X) \rightarrow \text{Map}_*(M^+, \Sigma^V X).$$

The scanning map is simplicial:

$$s : D_M^{\text{fr}V}(D_V^{\text{fr}V})^\bullet(X) \rightarrow \text{Map}_*(M^+, \Sigma^V(D_V^{\text{fr}V})^\bullet X).$$

So it realizes to

$$\begin{aligned} \int_M A = D_M^{\text{fr}V}(D_V^{\text{fr}V})^\bullet(X) &\rightarrow |\text{Map}_*(M^+, \Sigma^V(D_V^{\text{fr}V})^\bullet X)| \\ &\rightarrow \text{Map}_*(M^+, |\Sigma^V(D_V^{\text{fr}V})^\bullet X|) = \text{Map}_*(M^+, \mathbf{B}^V A). \end{aligned}$$

Nonabelian Poincaré duality

Theorem (Z.)

Let M be a V -framed manifold and A be a $D_V^{\text{fr}V}$ -algebra in Top^G . Assume that A is non-degenerately based and G -connected. Then the scanning map induces a G -weak equivalence:

$$\int_M A \rightarrow \text{Map}_*(M^+, \mathbf{B}^V A).$$

Application: baby equivariant Poincaré duality

Let A be a discrete $\mathbb{Z}[G]$ -module. Then it is a G - E_∞ -space.

Application: baby equivariant Poincaré duality

Let A be a discrete $\mathbb{Z}[G]$ -module. Then it is a G - E_∞ -space.

$$\int_M A = M \otimes A.$$

The equivariant Dold-Thom theorem:

Theorem (Lima-Filho, Santos)

$$\pi_\star^G(X \otimes A) \cong \tilde{H}_\star^G(X, \underline{A}).$$

Application: baby equivariant Poincaré duality

Let A be a discrete $\mathbb{Z}[G]$ -module. Then it is a G - E_∞ -space.

$$\int_M A = M \otimes A.$$

The equivariant Dold-Thom theorem:

Theorem (Lima-Filho, Santos)

$$\pi_\star^G(X \otimes A) \cong \tilde{H}_\star^G(X, \underline{A}).$$

Corollary

For V -framed manifold M , there is isomorphism:

$$\tilde{H}_\star^G(M, \underline{A}) \cong H_G^{V-\star}(M^+, \underline{A}).$$

Application: factorization homology on Thom spectra

Theorem (Horev-Klang-Z.)

Let A be the Thom spectrum of an E_{V+1} -map $\Omega^{V+1}X \rightarrow \mathrm{Pic}(\mathrm{Sp}^G)$ such that X is suitably connected. Then

$$\int_{S^V \times \mathbb{R}} A \simeq A \wedge \Omega X_+.$$

Application: factorization homology on Thom spectra

Theorem (Horev-Klang-Z.)

Let A be the Thom spectrum of an E_{V+1} -map $\Omega^{V+1}X \rightarrow \mathrm{Pic}(\mathrm{Sp}^G)$ such that X is suitably connected. Then

$$\int_{S^V \times \mathbb{R}} A \simeq A \wedge \Omega X_+.$$

Take $G = C_2$. Let σ be the sign representation, $\rho \cong \sigma + 1$ the 2-dimensional regular representation.

Application: factorization homology on Thom spectra

Theorem (Horev-Klang-Z.)

Let A be the Thom spectrum of an E_{V+1} -map $\Omega^{V+1}X \rightarrow \mathrm{Pic}(\mathrm{Sp}^G)$ such that X is suitably connected. Then

$$\int_{S^V \times \mathbb{R}} A \simeq A \wedge \Omega X_+.$$

Take $G = C_2$. Let σ be the sign representation, $\rho \cong \sigma + 1$ the 2-dimensional regular representation.

Theorem (Behrens-Wilson)

The Eilenberg-MacLane spectrum $\underline{H}\mathbb{F}_2$ is equivariantly the Thom spectrum of a ρ -fold loop map $\Omega^\rho S^{\rho+1} \rightarrow B_{C_2} O$.

Application: factorization homology on Thom spectra

Theorem (Horev-Klang-Z.)

Let A be the Thom spectrum of an E_{V+1} -map $\Omega^{V+1}X \rightarrow \text{Pic}(\text{Sp}^G)$ such that X is suitably connected. Then

$$\int_{S^V \times \mathbb{R}} A \simeq A \wedge \Omega X_+.$$

Take $G = C_2$. Let σ be the sign representation, $\rho \cong \sigma + 1$ the 2-dimensional regular representation.

Theorem (Behrens-Wilson)

The Eilenberg-MacLane spectrum $\underline{H}\mathbb{F}_2$ is equivariantly the Thom spectrum of a ρ -fold loop map $\Omega^\rho S^{\rho+1} \rightarrow B_{C_2} O$.

Corollary

$$THR(\underline{H}\mathbb{F}_2) \simeq \underline{H}\mathbb{F}_2 \wedge (\Omega S^{\rho+1})_+.$$

Questions:

- As a functor for M :

Questions:

- As a functor for M : can we get a better understood equivariant Poincaré duality theorem?

Questions:

- As a functor for M : can we get a better understood equivariant Poincaré duality theorem?
- As a functor for A :

Questions:

- As a functor for M : can we get a better understood equivariant Poincaré duality theorem?
- As a functor for A : can we get useful invariants for algebras with partial norms?

Questions:

- As a functor for M : can we get a better understood equivariant Poincaré duality theorem?
- As a functor for A : can we get useful invariants for algebras with partial norms?
- For a ring spectrum R ,

Questions:

- As a functor for M : can we get a better understood equivariant Poincaré duality theorem?
- As a functor for A : can we get useful invariants for algebras with partial norms?
- For a ring spectrum R , can we identify R -orientable manifold and $E_n^{R-\text{ori}}$ -algebra?

Tangential structure

- $B_G O(n)$: the classifying space for G -equivariant n -dimensional vector bundle.

Tangential structure

- $B_G O(n)$: the classifying space for G -equivariant n -dimensional vector bundle.
- Tangential structure: a map $\theta : B \rightarrow B_G O(n)$.
- θ -framing on M : a G -bundle map $\phi : TM \rightarrow \theta^* \gamma$, where γ is the universal bundle on $B_G O(n)$.
- Equivalently,

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 & & B \\
 & \nearrow \tau_B & \downarrow \theta \\
 M & \xrightarrow{\tau} & B_G O(n)
 \end{array}$$

The diagram shows a commutative square. At the top right is B , at the bottom right is $B_G O(n)$, and at the bottom left is M . A solid arrow labeled τ points from M to $B_G O(n)$. A solid arrow labeled θ points from B to $B_G O(n)$. A dotted arrow labeled τ_B points from M to B . A vertical dotted arrow labeled \uparrow points from $B_G O(n)$ to B .

E_V^θ -algebra

Let θ be a tangential structure such that V is θ -framed.

We can identify \mathcal{D}_V^θ with a semidirect product of \mathcal{D}_V (Salvatore-Wahl):

Proposition

*There is an equivalence of G -operads: $\mathcal{D}_V^\theta \simeq \mathcal{D}_V \rtimes (\text{Aut}^\theta(V))$.
(Here, $\text{Aut}^\theta(V)$ is a group object in Top^G . It is equivalent to ΩB .)*

E_V^θ -algebra

Let θ be a tangential structure such that V is θ -framed.

We can identify \mathcal{D}_V^θ with a semidirect product of \mathcal{D}_V (Salvatore-Wahl):

Proposition

*There is an equivalence of G -operads: $\mathcal{D}_V^\theta \simeq \mathcal{D}_V \rtimes (\text{Aut}^\theta(V))$.
(Here, $\text{Aut}^\theta(V)$ is a group object in Top^G . It is equivalent to $\Omega B.$)*

In terms of algebras:

$$(\text{Top}^G)^\Pi \cong \text{Top}^{\Pi \rtimes_\alpha G}.$$

$$\mathcal{C}[\text{Top}^G] \cong (\mathcal{C} \rtimes G)[\text{Top}].$$

$$(\mathcal{C} \rtimes \Pi)[\text{Top}^G] \cong \mathcal{C}[\text{Top}^{\Pi \rtimes_\alpha G}] \cong \mathcal{C} \rtimes (\Pi \rtimes_\alpha G)[\text{Top}].$$

Thank you!