

# # LeetCode 23

---

<https://leetcode.com/problems/merge-k-sorted-lists/description/>

Yifeng Zeng

## # Description

---

23. Merge k Sorted Lists

## # Idea Report

---

We want to merge  $k$  already sorted lists `ListNode[]` into one single linked list. We need to find the smallest `ListNode` in these  $k$  lists one at a time, add it to the output. Also we need to remove the smallest from the input and then again find the smallest `ListNode` from the updated input. The primitive idea is to loop the  $k$  nodes and find the smallest one, the time complexity is  $O(nk*k)$ , where  $n$  is the average number of nodes for each linked list because for each node we need to loop  $k$  nodes to find the smallest.

To speed up, we are actually looking for the smallest node from  $k$  nodes, then we can put the  $k$  nodes in a container and pick the smallest one from this container. The minHeap can find the smallest element from  $k$  elements so we can use a minHeap instead of looping through the  $k$  nodes. Each time we poll out the smallest node, add it to output, and if it's `.next` node is not null, we add `.next` node back into the minHeap. This way the time complexity is  $O(nk\log k)$ .

Code

```
public class Solution {
    public ListNode mergeKLists(ListNode[] lists) {
        if (lists == null || lists.length == 0) {
            return null;
        }

        Queue<ListNode> pq = new PriorityQueue<>((a, b) -> (a.val - b.val));
        for (ListNode list : lists) {
            if (list != null) {
                pq.offer(list);
            }
        }
    }
}
```

```

        ListNode dummy = new ListNode(0);
        ListNode head = dummy;
        while (!pq.isEmpty()) {
            ListNode cur = pq.poll();
            head.next = cur;
            head = head.next;
            if (cur.next != null) {
                pq.offer(cur.next);
            }
        }

        return dummy.next;
    }
}

```

Another faster way is to merge these k lists pair by pair. Suppose if we have 4 lists A,B,C,D, we select 2 lists A,B to merge them together into E, and we merge the next 2 lists C,D to merge them together into F. And then we merge E and F to the final result. In this way, we reduce the input size by half, to the time complexity is  $O(n \log k)$ , where n is the average length of each list because to merge 2 lists use  $O(n)$  time, and we need to merge  $\log k$  times.

Code

```

class Solution {

    public ListNode mergeKLists(ListNode[] lists) {
        if (lists == null || lists.length == 0) {
            return null;
        }

        return mergeKLists(lists, 0, lists.length - 1);
    }

    private ListNode mergeKLists(ListNode[] lists, int start, int end) {
        if (start == end) {
            return lists[start];
        }

        int mid = (end - start) / 2 + start;
        ListNode left = mergeKLists(lists, start, mid);
        ListNode right = mergeKLists(lists, mid + 1, end);
        return merge(left, right);
    }

    private ListNode merge(ListNode left, ListNode right) {
        ListNode dummy = new ListNode(0);

```

```

        ListNode head = dummy;
        while (left != null && right != null) {
            if (left.val < right.val) {
                head.next = left;
                left = left.next;
            } else {
                head.next = right;
                right = right.next;
            }
            head = head.next;
        }
        if (left != null) {
            head.next = left;
        }
        if (right != null) {
            head.next = right;
        }
        return dummy.next;
    }
}

```

## # Summary

---

- Looking for smallest/largest one in k elements, we can use a heap.
- For a large input with a parallel task, we can consider divid input into two halves to solve the two sub problem.