# **Probability-based Learning Chapter 6 – Naive Bayes' Classifier**

Péter Molnár<sup>1</sup>

J. Mack Robinson College of Business Georgia State University

MSA8150 - Spring 2016

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Original slides from John Kelleher, Brian Mac Namee, and Aoife D'Arcy

### Naive Bayes' Classifier

$$\mathbb{M}(\mathbf{q}) = \underset{l \in levels(t)}{\operatorname{argmax}} \left( \prod_{i=1}^{m} P(\mathbf{q}[i] \mid t = l) \right) \times P(t = l)$$

#### Naive Bayes' is simple to train!

- 1. calculate the priors for each of the target levels
- 2. calculate the conditional probabilities for each feature given each target level.

Table: A dataset from a loan application fraud detection domain.

CREDIT	GUARANTOR/		
HISTORY	COAPPLICANT	ACCOMODATION	FRAUD
current	none	own	true
paid	none	own	false
paid	none	own	false
paid	guarantor	rent	true
arrears	none	own	false
arrears	none	own	true
current	none	own	false
arrears	none	own	false
current	none	rent	false
none	none	own	true
current	coapplicant	own	false
current	none	own	true
current	none	rent	true
paid	none	own	false
arrears	none	own	false
current	none	own	false
arrears	coapplicant	rent	false
arrears	none	free	false
arrears	none	own	false
paid	none	own	false
	current paid paid paid arrears current arrears current current current current paid arrears current arrears arrears current paid arrears current paid arrears current arrears current arrears current arrears arrears arrears	CURRENT COAPPLICANT  CURRENT NONE paid none paid guarantor arrears none current none arrears none current none current none current coapplicant current none current none current none current current current none arrears none	Current none own paid none own paid none own paid none own paid guarantor arrears none own current none current none current none current none current coapplicant own current none current none own current none own current current none own current arears none own current current coapplicant own current none own arrears none own arrears none own arrears none own arrears coapplicant rent arrears none free arrears none free

P(fr)	=	0.3	$P(\neg fr)$	=	0.7
P(CH = 'none'   fr)	=	0.1666	$P(CH = 'none'   \neg fr)$	=	0
P(CH = 'paid'   fr)	=	0.1666	$P(CH = 'paid'   \neg fr)$	=	0.2857
P(CH = 'current'   fr)	=	0.5	$P(CH = 'current'   \neg fr)$	=	0.2857
P(CH = 'arrears'   fr)	=	0.1666	$P(CH = 'arrears'   \neg fr)$	=	0.4286
$P(GC = 'none' \mid \mathit{fr})$	=	0.8334	P(GC = 'none'    eg fr)	=	0.8571
$P(GC = 'guarantor' \mid fr)$	=	0.1666	$P(GC = 'guarantor'   \neg fr)$	=	0
P(GC = 'coapplicant'   fr)	=	0	$P(GC = 'coapplicant'   \neg fr)$	=	0.1429
P(ACC = 'own'   fr)	=	0.6666	$P(ACC = 'own' \mid \neg fr)$	=	0.7857
$P(ACC = 'rent' \mid \mathit{fr})$	=	0.3333	$P(ACC = 'rent' \mid \neg fr)$	=	0.1429

P(ACC = 'free' | fr) = 0  $P(ACC = 'free' | \neg fr) = 0.0714$  **Table:** The probabilities needed by a Naive Bayes prediction model calculated from the dataset. Notation key: FR=FRAUDULENT, CH=CREDIT HISTORY, GC = GUARANTOR/COAPPLICANT, ACC = ACCOMODATION, T='true', F='false'.

P(fr)	=	0.3		$P(\neg fr)$	=	0.7
P(CH = 'none'   fr)	=	0.1666	F	$P(CH = 'none'   \neg fr)$	=	0
P(CH = 'paid'   fr)	=	0.1666		$P(CH = 'paid'   \neg fr)$	=	0.2857
P(CH = 'current'   fr)	=	0.5	P(	$CH = \textit{'current'} \mid \neg \textit{fr})$	=	0.2857
P(CH = 'arrears'   fr)	=	0.1666	P(	$CH = \textit{'arrears'} \mid \neg \textit{fr}$ )	=	0.4286
$P(GC = 'none' \mid \mathit{fr})$	=	0.8334	F	$P(GC = 'none' \mid \neg fr)$	=	0.8571
$P(GC = 'guarantor' \mid \mathit{fr})$	=	0.1666	P(GC	$C = 'guarantor'   \neg fr)$	=	0
$P(GC = 'coapplicant' \mid \mathit{fr})$	=	0	P(GC =	$=$ 'coapplicant' $ \neg fr)$	=	0.1429
P(ACC = 'own'   fr)	=	0.6666	P	$P(ACC = 'own' \mid \neg fr)$	=	0.7857
$P(ACC = 'rent' \mid \mathit{fr})$	=	0.3333	F	$P(ACC = 'rent' \mid \neg fr)$	=	0.1429
P(ACC = 'free'   fr)	=	0	F	$P(ACC = '\mathit{free'} \mid \neg \mathit{fr})$	=	0.0714
CREDIT HISTORY GUARA	ANTO	R/COAP	PLICANT	ACCOMODATION	FR	AUDULE
paid			none	rent		

$$P(fr) = 0.3 P(\neg fr) = 0.7$$

$$P(CH = 'paid' | fr) = 0.1666 P(CH = 'paid' | \neg fr) = 0.2857$$

$$P(GC = 'none' | fr) = 0.8334 P(GC = 'none' | \neg fr) = 0.8571$$

$$P(ACC = 'rent' | fr) = 0.3333 P(ACC = 'rent' | \neg fr) = 0.1429$$

$$\left(\prod_{k=1}^{m} P(\mathbf{q}[k] | fr)\right) \times P(fr) = 0.0139$$

$$\left(\prod_{k=1}^{m} P(\mathbf{q}[k] | \neg fr)\right) \times P(\neg fr) = 0.0245$$

CREDIT HISTORY	GUARANTOR/COAPPLICANT	ACCOMODATION	FRAUDULENT
paid	none	rent	?

$$P(fr) = 0.3 P(\neg fr) = 0.7$$

$$P(CH = 'paid' | fr) = 0.1666 P(CH = 'paid' | \neg fr) = 0.2857$$

$$P(GC = 'none' | fr) = 0.8334 P(GC = 'none' | \neg fr) = 0.8571$$

$$P(ACC = 'rent' | fr) = 0.3333 P(ACC = 'rent' | \neg fr) = 0.1429$$

$$\left(\prod_{k=1}^{m} P(\mathbf{q}[k] | fr)\right) \times P(fr) = 0.0139$$

$$\left(\prod_{k=1}^{m} P(\mathbf{q}[k] | \neg fr)\right) \times P(\neg fr) = 0.0245$$

CREDIT HISTORY	GUARANTOR/COAPPLICANT	ACCOMODATION	FRAUDULENT
paid	none	rent	'false'

# The model is generalizing beyond the dataset!

	CREDIT	GUARANTOR/		
ID	HISTORY	COAPPLICANT	ACCOMMODATION	FRAUD
1	current	none	own	true
2	paid	none	own	false
3	paid	none	own	false
4	paid	guarantor	rent	true
5	arrears	none	own	false
6	arrears	none	own	true
7	current	none	own	false
8	arrears	none	own	false
9	current	none	rent	false
10	none	none	own	true
11	current	coapplicant	own	false
12	current	none	own	true
13	current	none	rent	true
14	paid	none	own	false
15	arrears	none	own	false
16	current	none	own	false
17	arrears	coapplicant	rent	false
18	arrears	none	free	false
19	arrears	none	own	false
20	paid	none	own	false

# Smoothing

P(fr)	=	0.3		$P(\neg fr)$	=	0.7
P(CH = 'none'   fr)	=	0.1666		$P(CH = 'none'   \neg fr)$	=	0
P(CH = 'paid'   fr)	=	0.1666		$P(CH = 'paid'   \neg fr)$	=	0.2857
P(CH = 'current'   fr)	=	0.5		$P(CH = 'current'   \neg fr)$	=	0.2857
P(CH = 'arrears'   fr)	=	0.1666	ı	$P(CH = 'arrears'   \neg fr)$	=	0.4286
$P(GC = 'none' \mid \mathit{fr})$	=	0.8334		$P(GC = 'none' \mid \neg fr)$	=	0.8571
$P(GC = 'guarantor' \mid fr)$	=	0.1666	P(0	$GC = 'guarantor'   \neg fr)$	=	0
P(GC = 'coapplicant'   fr)	=	0	<i>P</i> (G(	$C = 'coapplicant'   \neg fr)$	=	0.1429
P(ACC = 'own'   fr)	=	0.6666		$P(ACC = 'own'   \neg fr)$	=	0.7857
$P(ACC = 'rent' \mid \mathit{fr})$	=	0.3333		$P(ACC = 'rent' \mid \neg fr)$	=	0.1429
P(ACC = 'free'   fr)	=	0		$P(ACC = 'free'   \neg fr)$	=	0.0714
CREDIT HISTORY GUARA	NTO	r/Co <b>A</b> pp	LICANT	ACCOMMODATION	FR	AUDULEI
paid		gua	arantor	free		

P(fr)	=	0.3	$P(\neg fr)$	=	0.7	
$P(CH = paid \mid fr)$	=	0.1666	$P(CH = paid \mid \neg fr)$	=	0.2857	
$P(GC = guarantor \mid fr)$	=	0.1666	$P(GC = guarantor \mid \neg fr)$	=	0	
$P(ACC = free \mid fr)$	=	0	$P(ACC = free \mid \neg fr)$	=	0.0714	
$\frac{\left(\prod_{k=1}^{m} P(\mathbf{q}[k] \mid fr)\right) \times P(fr) = 0.0}{\left(\prod_{k=1}^{m} P(\mathbf{q}[k] \mid fr)\right) \times P(fr) = 0.0}$						
$\left(\prod_{k=1}^{m} P(\mathbf{q}[k] \mid \neg \mathit{fr})\right)  imes P(\neg \mathit{fr}) = 0.0$						

$(\prod_{k=1}^{\infty} P(\mathbf{q}[k] \mid \neg r)) \times P(\neg r) = 0.0$					
CREDIT HISTORY	Guarantor/CoApplicant	ACCOMMODATION	FRAUDULENT		

guarantor

free

paid

- ▶ The standard way to avoid this issue is to use **smoothing**.
- ➤ Smoothing takes some of the probability from the events with lots of the probability share and gives it to the other probabilities in the set.
- ► There are several different ways to smooth probabilities, we will use **Laplacian smoothing**.

## Laplacian Smoothing (conditional probabilities)

$$P(f = v|t) = \frac{count(f = v|t) + k}{count(f|t) + (k \times |Domain(f)|)}$$

0	=	$P(\textit{GC} = \textit{guarantor}  \neg \textit{fr})$	Probabilities
0.1429	=	$P(GC = coapplicant   \neg fr)$	
3	=	k	Smoothing
14	=	count(GC  eg fr)	Parameters
12	=	$\mathit{count}(\mathit{GC} = \mathit{none}  \neg \mathit{fr})$	
0	=	$\mathit{count}(\mathit{GC} = \mathit{guarantor}  \neg \mathit{fr})$	
2	=	$\mathit{count}(\mathit{GC} = \mathit{coapplicant}  \neg \mathit{fr})$	
3	=	Domain(GC)	
0.6522	=	$P(\textit{GC} = \textit{none}   \neg \textit{fr}) = rac{12+3}{14+(3 imes 3)}$	Smoothed
0.1304	=	$P(GC = guarantor   \neg fr) = \frac{0+3}{14+(3\times 3)}$	Probabilities
0.2174	=	$P(GC = coapplicant   \neg fr) = \frac{2+3}{14+(3\times 3)}$	
_			

Raw

 $P(GC = none | \neg fr) =$ 

0.8571

**Table:** Smoothing the posterior probabilities for the GUARANTOR/COAPPLICANT feature conditioned on FRAUDULENT being False.

	( )					
	P(CH = none fr)	=	0.2222	$P(CH = none   \neg fr)$	=	0.1154
	P(CH = paid fr)	=	0.2222	$P(CH = paid   \neg fr)$	=	0.2692
	P(CH = current fr)	=	0.3333	$P(\mathit{CH} = \mathit{current}   \neg \mathit{fr})$	=	0.2692
	P(CH = arrears fr)	=	0.2222	$P(\mathit{CH} = \mathit{arrears}   \neg \mathit{fr})$	=	0.3462
	P(GC = none fr)	=	0.5333	$P(\mathit{GC} = \mathit{none}   \neg \mathit{fr})$	=	0.6522
	P(GC = guarantor fr)	=	0.2667	$P(\mathit{GC} = \mathit{guarantor}   \neg \mathit{fr})$	=	0.1304
P	(GC = coapplicant fr)	=	0.2	$P(\textit{GC} = \textit{coapplicant}   \neg \textit{fr})$	=	0.2174
	P(ACC = own fr)	=	0.4667	$P(ACC = own   \neg fr)$	=	0.6087
	P(ACC = rent fr)	=	0.3333	$P(ACC = rent   \neg fr)$	=	0.2174

 $P(\neg fr) = 0.7$ 

P(fr)

= 0.3

P(ACC = rent|fr) = 0.3333  $P(ACC = rent|\neg fr) = 0.2174$  P(ACC = Free|fr) = 0.2  $P(ACC = Free|\neg fr) = 0.1739$ Table: The Laplacian smoothed, with k = 3, probabilities needed by a Naive Bayes

**Table:** The Laplacian smoothed, with k=3, probabilities needed by a Naive Bayes prediction model calculated from the fraud detection dataset. Notation key: FR=FRAUDULENT, CH=CREDIT HISTORY, GC = GUARANTOR/COAPPLICANT, ACC = ACCOMODATION, T='True', F='False'.

CREDIT HISTORY	Guarantor/CoApplicant	ACCOMMODATION	FRAUDULENT
paid	guarantor	free	?

$$P(fr) = 0.3$$
  $P(\neg fr) = 0.7$   
 $P(CH = paid|fr) = 0.2222$   $P(CH = paid|\neg fr) = 0.2692$   
 $P(GC = guarantor|fr) = 0.2667$   $P(GC = guarantor|\neg fr) = 0.1304$   
 $P(ACC = Free|fr) = 0.2$   $P(ACC = Free|\neg fr) = 0.1739$   
 $\left(\prod_{k=1}^{m} P(\mathbf{q}[m]|fr)\right) \times P(fr) = 0.0036$   
 $\left(\prod_{k=1}^{m} P(\mathbf{q}[m]|\neg fr)\right) \times P(\neg fr) = 0.0043$ 

**Table:** The relevant smoothed probabilities, from Table 4 [15], needed by the Naive Bayes prediction model in order to classify the query from the previous slide and the calculation of the scores for each candidate classification.

$$P(t|\mathbf{d}) = \frac{P(\mathbf{d}|t) \times P(t)}{P(\mathbf{d})} \tag{1}$$

- ► A Naive Bayes' classifier naively assumes that each of the descriptive features in a domain is conditionally independent of all of the other descriptive features, given the state of the target feature.
- ► This assumption, although often wrong, enables the Naive Bayes' model to maximally factorise the representation that it uses of the domain.
- Surprisingly, given the naivety and strength of the assumption it depends upon, a Naive Bayes' model often performs reasonably well.