

# DEFORMATION OF GALOIS REPRESENTATIONS

A notes for the number theory seminar at YMSC, 2022

Update on 2022-10-12 15:07

YIJUN YUAN 

*We are given either a number field  $K$  and a finite set of primes  $S$ , or a local field  $F$ , and we are given a representation of either  $G_{K,S}$  or  $G_F$  into  $\mathrm{GL}_n(k)$ , where  $k$  is a finite field. We want to try to understand all possible lifts of this representation to  $\mathrm{GL}_n(A)$ , where  $A$  is a complete noetherian local ring with residue field  $k$ .*

—Fernando Q. Gouvêa (cf. [Gou01])

**Main reference:** [Böc13; Maz89]

**Motivation and history of deformation theory:** [Maz97; Gou01]

**Basics of groupoids:** Appendix of [Kis09]

## 1. DEFORMATIONS OF REPRESENTATIONS OF PROFINITE GROUPS

*Notations.*

$p$	prime number
$\mathbb{F}$	finite field of char. $p$
$W(\mathbb{F})$	ring of Witt vectors <sup>1</sup> over $A$
$G$	profinite group
$V_{\mathbb{F}}$	finite $\mathbb{F}[G]$ -module with continuous $G$ -action
$d$	dimeinsion of $V_{\mathbb{F}}$
$\beta_{\mathbb{F}}$	a $\mathbb{F}$ -basis of $V_{\mathbb{F}}$

### 1.1. Deformation functors.

*Notations.*

$\widehat{\mathfrak{Ar}}_{W(\mathbb{F})}$	category of complete Noetherian local $W(\mathbb{F})$ -algebra with residue field $\mathbb{F}$
$\mathfrak{Ar}_{W(\mathbb{F})}$	full sub-category of finite local Artinian $W(\mathbb{F})$ -algebras
$\mathfrak{m}_A$	maximal ideal of $A \in \widehat{\mathfrak{Ar}}_{W(\mathbb{F})}$

**Remark 1.1** (???). *Via the  $W(\mathbb{F})$ -structure, the residue field of any  $A \in \widehat{\mathfrak{Ar}}_{W(\mathbb{F})}$  is canonically isomorphic to  $\mathbb{F}$ .*

**Definition 1.2.** *Let  $A \in \mathfrak{Ar}_{W(\mathbb{F})}$ .*

- (1) *A **deformation** of  $V_{\mathbb{F}}$  to  $A$  is a pair  $(V_A, \iota_A)$ , such that*
  - (a)  *$V_A$  is  $A[G]$ -module, finite free over  $A$ , with continuous  $G$ -action;*
  - (b)  *$\iota_A : V_A \otimes_A \mathbb{F} \xrightarrow{\cong} V_{\mathbb{F}}$  is  $G$ -equivariant.*
- (2) *A **framed deformation** of  $(V_{\mathbb{F}}, \beta_{\mathbb{F}})$  to  $A$  is a triple  $(V_A, \iota_A, \beta_A)$ , where*

<sup>1</sup> $W(\mathbb{F})$  is the unique (up to unique isomorphism) complete discrete valuation ring which is absolutely unramified (uniformizer =  $p$ ) and has residue field  $\mathbb{F}$ .

We view  $\mathbb{F}$  as an  $A$ -module via the canonical projection  $A \rightarrow A/\mathfrak{m}_A = \mathbb{F}$ .

- (a)  $(V_A, \iota_A)$  is a deformation of  $V_{\mathbb{F}}$  to  $A$ ;  
 (b)  $\beta_A$  is a  $A$ -basis of  $V_A$  which reduces to  $\beta_{\mathbb{F}}$  under  $\iota_A$ .

Set  $D_{V_{\mathbb{F}}}, D_{V_{\mathbb{F}}}^{\square} : \mathfrak{A}\mathfrak{r}_{W(\mathbb{F})} \rightarrow \text{Set}$ ,

$$D_{V_{\mathbb{F}}}(A) = \{\text{deformations of } V_{\mathbb{F}} \text{ to } A\} / \cong,$$

$$D_{V_{\mathbb{F}}}^{\square}(A) = \{\text{framed deformations of } (V_{\mathbb{F}}, \beta_{\mathbb{F}}) \text{ to } A\} / \cong.$$

**Remark 1.3.**

- (1) The **FIXED** basis  $\beta_{\mathbb{F}}$  gives the isomorphism  $V_{\mathbb{F}} \cong \mathbb{F}^d$  as vector space. Thus we can view  $V_{\mathbb{F}}$  as  $\bar{\rho} : G \rightarrow \text{GL}(V_{\mathbb{F}}) = \text{GL}_d(\mathbb{F})$ : a  $d$ -dimensional  $\mathbb{F}$ -representation of  $G$ . Then

$$D_{V_{\mathbb{F}}}^{\square}(A) = \{\rho : G \rightarrow \text{GL}_d(A) \text{ lifting } \bar{\rho}\},$$

*Does not guarantee  $\beta_A \rightsquigarrow \beta_{\mathbb{F}}!$*

$$D_{V_{\mathbb{F}}}(A) = D_{V_{\mathbb{F}}}^{\square}(A) / \text{action by conjugates of } \ker(\text{GL}_d(A) \rightarrow \text{GL}_d(\mathbb{F})).$$

*Not always representable!*

- (2) Mazur only consider  $D_{V_{\mathbb{F}}}$ , which describes representations lifting  $V_{\mathbb{F}}$  up to isomorphism.

Add "base condition"  $\rightsquigarrow D_{V_{\mathbb{F}}}^{\square}$ .

- (3) Often consider deformation functors on  $\mathfrak{A}\mathfrak{r}_{\mathcal{O}} =$  category of local artinian  $\mathcal{O}$ -algebra with residue field  $\mathbb{F}$ , where  $\mathcal{O}$  is ring of integers of a finite totally ramified extension of  $W(\mathbb{F}) \left[ \frac{1}{p} \right]$  ( $\mathcal{O}/\pi\mathcal{O} \cong \mathbb{F}$ ).

For example, let  $K$  be a  $p$ -adic field with residue field  $\mathbb{F}_q$ , ring of integers  $\mathcal{O}_K$ , then  $K/W(\mathbb{F}_q) \left[ \frac{1}{p} \right]$  is totally ramified and  $W(\mathbb{F}_q) \left[ \frac{1}{p} \right] / \mathbb{Q}_p$  is unramified.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} G & \xrightarrow{\rho} & \text{GL}_d(A) \\ & \searrow \bar{\rho} & \downarrow \\ & & \text{GL}_d(\mathbb{F}) \end{array}$$

## 1.2. Representability.

### 1.2.1. A finiteness condition.

**Definition 1.4** (Mazur). A profinite group  $G$  has finiteness condition  $\Phi_p$ , if  $\forall$  open subgroup  $G' \subset G$ ,  $\dim_{\mathbb{F}_p} \text{Hom}_{\text{cont}}(G', \mathbb{F}_p) < +\infty$ .

**Remark 1.5.**

- (1) (Burnside basis theorem)  $\dim_{\mathbb{F}_p} \text{Hom}_{\text{cont}}(G', \mathbb{F}_p) < +\infty \Leftrightarrow$  maximal pro- $p$  quotient of  $G'$  is topologically finitely generated.  
 (2)  $\text{Hom}_{\text{cont}}(G', \mathbb{F}_p) \cong \text{Hom}_{\text{cont}}(G'^{\text{ab}}, \mathbb{F}_p)$ .

**Example 1.6** (by CFT). The following groups have  $\Phi_p$ :

- (1) The Galois group  $\mathcal{G}_K = \text{Gal}(\bar{K}/K)$ , with  $K$  a  $p$ -adic field.  
 (2) The Galois group  $\mathcal{G}_{F,S} = \text{Gal}(F_S/F)$ , where  $F$  is a number field,  $S$  is a finite set of places of  $F$  and  $F_S \subset \bar{F}$  is the maximal extension of  $F$  unramified outside  $S$ .

### 1.2.2. Main proposition.

prop:1587

**Proposition 1.7** (Mazur). If  $G$  has  $\Phi_p$ , then

- (1) The functor  $D_{V_{\mathbb{F}}}^{\square}$  is pro-representable by some  $R_{V_{\mathbb{F}}}^{\square} \in \widehat{\mathfrak{A}\mathfrak{r}}_{W(\mathbb{F})}$ , i.e.

$$D_{V_{\mathbb{F}}}^{\square}(A) \cong \text{Hom}_{W(\mathbb{F})}(R_{V_{\mathbb{F}}}^{\square}, A),$$

which is functorial in  $A \in \mathfrak{A}\mathfrak{r}_{W(\mathbb{F})}$ .

*universal framed deformation ring*

- (2) If  $\text{End}_{\mathbb{F}[G]}(V_{\mathbb{F}}) = \mathbb{F}$ , then  $D_{V_{\mathbb{F}}}$  is pro-representable by some  $R_{V_{\mathbb{F}}} \in \widehat{\mathfrak{A}\mathfrak{r}}_{W(\mathbb{F})}$ .

*universal deformation ring*

Maybe it's better to write  $D_{V_{\mathbb{F}}}^{\square}(A) \cong \text{Hom}_{\widehat{\mathfrak{A}\mathfrak{r}}_{W(\mathbb{F})}}(R_{V_{\mathbb{F}}}^{\square}, A)$ ?

**Remark 1.8.** (1) Literally, pro-representable functor = limit of representable functor. How can we realize that? [nLa22] defines pro-representable to be the filtered colimit of representables. There's a post on MSE (cf. [htt]) which discusses the difference between the two definitions. It might be true that

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{W(\mathbb{F})}(R_{V_{\mathbb{F}}}^{\square}, A) = \mathrm{Hom}_{\widehat{\mathfrak{A}r_{W(\mathbb{F})}}}\left(\varprojlim_k R_{V_{\mathbb{F}}}^{\square}/\mathfrak{m}_{R_{V_{\mathbb{F}}}^{\square}}^k, A\right) \cong \varprojlim_k \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathfrak{A}r_{W(\mathbb{F})}}\left(R_{V_{\mathbb{F}}}^{\square}/\mathfrak{m}_{R_{V_{\mathbb{F}}}^{\square}}^k, A\right),$$

for any  $A \in \mathfrak{A}r_{W(\mathbb{F})}$ .

(2)  $(\rho_{V_{\mathbb{F}}}^{\square})$  is unique up to unique isomorphism; the identity map in  $\mathrm{Hom}(R_{V_{\mathbb{F}}}^{\square}, R_{V_{\mathbb{F}}}^{\square})$  gives rise to a universal framed deformation over  $R_{V_{\mathbb{F}}}^{\square}$ .

(3)  $R_{V_{\mathbb{F}}}^{\square}$  exists without  $\Phi_p$ , but maybe no longer noetherian.

(4)  $(\rho_{V_{\mathbb{F}}}^{\square}) \hookrightarrow \mathrm{End}_{\mathbb{F}[G]}(V_{\mathbb{F}}) \rightsquigarrow$  write " $=$ " in  $\mathrm{End}_{\mathbb{F}[G]}(V_{\mathbb{F}}) = \mathbb{F}$ .

*Proof of Proposition 1.7.*

(1)  $G$  finite  $\rightsquigarrow$  profinite.

• **(FORMAL) CONSTRUCTION:**

Suppose  $G$  is finite. Set

$$G = \langle g_1, \dots, g_s | r_1(g_1, \dots, g_s), \dots, r_t(g_1, \dots, g_s) \rangle$$

a presentation. Define

$$\mathcal{R} = W(\mathbb{F})[X_{i,j}^k | i, j = 1, \dots, d; k = 1, \dots, s] / \mathcal{I},$$

where

$$\mathcal{I} = \langle r_l(X^1, \dots, X^s) - \mathrm{id} \rangle_{1 \leq l \leq t}, X^k = (X_{i,j}^k)_{d \times d}.$$

To make  $\mathcal{R}$  complete, local, noetherian, take

$$\mathcal{J} = \ker(\mathcal{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{F}, X^k \mapsto \bar{\rho}(g_k), k = 1, \dots, s),$$

and set  $R_{V_{\mathbb{F}}}^{\square} = \varprojlim_n \mathcal{R} / \mathcal{J}^n$  to be the  $\mathcal{J}$ -adic completion of  $\mathcal{R}$ . Besides that, we set  $\rho_{V_{\mathbb{F}}}^{\square} : G \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_d(R_{V_{\mathbb{F}}}^{\square})$ ,  $g_k \mapsto$  image of  $X^k$  in  $\mathrm{GL}_d(R_{V_{\mathbb{F}}}^{\square})$ .

**VERIFICATION:**

Take  $\rho \in D_{V_{\mathbb{F}}}^{\square}(A)$ , where  $\rho : G \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_d(A)$ . Define

$$\mathfrak{F}_{\rho} \in \mathrm{Hom}_{W(\mathbb{F})}(R_{V_{\mathbb{F}}}^{\square}, A), \text{ entries of } X^k \mapsto \text{corresponding entries of } \rho(g_k), \forall k = 1, \dots, s.$$

Then  $\mathfrak{F}_{\rho}$  induces  $\widehat{\mathfrak{F}}_{\rho} : \mathrm{GL}_d(R_{V_{\mathbb{F}}}^{\square}) \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_d(A)$ . It's immediate to check that  $\rho = \widehat{\mathfrak{F}}_{\rho} \circ \rho_{V_{\mathbb{F}}}^{\square}$  and  $\widehat{\mathfrak{F}}_{\rho}$  is unique choice to make the diagram commute. Thus,  $\mathfrak{F} : \rho \mapsto \mathfrak{F}_{\rho}$  gives the pro-representability when  $G$  is finite.

- When  $G$  is profinite, we have  $G = \varprojlim_i G/H_i$ , where  $H_i \subset \ker(\bar{\rho})$  are open normal subgroups. For every  $i$ , one has a universal pair  $(R_i^{\square}, \rho_i^{\square})$  by previous construction. Passing by limits, we define

$$(R_{V_{\mathbb{F}}}^{\square}, \rho_{V_{\mathbb{F}}}^{\square}) = \varprojlim_i (R_i^{\square}, \rho_i^{\square}), \text{ with } R_{V_{\mathbb{F}}}^{\square} \in \widehat{\mathfrak{A}r_{W(\mathbb{F})}}.$$

We will show in [Section 1.4, TBA] that  $R_{V_{\mathbb{F}}}^{\square}$  is noetherian.

- (2) By Schlessinger's representability criterion (cf. [Section 1.7, TBA]) or by Kisin's work (cf. [Section 2.1, TBA by Y. Chen]).

□

## 1.7. Groupoid over categories (abstract stuff...)

**Definition 1.9.**

- (1) A **groupoid category** is a category in which all morphisms are isomorphisms.
- (2) Call the isomorphism classes the **connected components** of the groupoid.

**Remark 1.10.**

- (1) Not necessarily all objects in a groupoid are isomorphic.
- (2)  $\text{Hom}_{\mathfrak{C}}(A, A)$  forms a group for  $\forall A \in \text{ob } \mathfrak{C}$ , where  $\mathfrak{C}$  is a groupoid category, and the identity in  $\text{Hom}_{\mathfrak{C}}(A, A)$  is the identity morphism.
- (3)  $A \cong B \Rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\mathfrak{C}}(A, A) \cong \text{Hom}_{\mathfrak{C}}(B, B)$  (non-canonically)

**Definition 1.11.** Let  $\mathfrak{C}$  be a category. Let  $\mathfrak{F}$  be another category,  $\Theta : \mathfrak{F} \rightarrow \mathfrak{C}$  be a functor.

- (1) We say  $\eta \in \text{ob}(\mathfrak{F})$  **lies above**  $T \in \text{ob}(\mathfrak{C})$ , if  $\Theta(\eta) = T$ .
- (2) We say  $(\eta \xrightarrow{\alpha} \zeta) \in \text{Mor}_{\mathfrak{F}}$  **lies above**  $(T \xrightarrow{f} S) \in \text{Mor}_{\mathfrak{C}}$ , if  $\Theta(\eta) = T$  and  $\Theta(\zeta) = S$ .
- (3)  $(T \in \text{ob}(\mathfrak{C}), \text{id}_T)$  is a subcategory of  $\mathfrak{C}$ . Write  $\mathfrak{F}(T)$  the subcategory of  $\mathfrak{F}$  over  $(T, \text{id}_T)$ .

**Definition 1.12** (groupoid over  $\mathfrak{C}$ /category cofibered in groupoids over  $\mathfrak{C}$ ). The triple  $(\mathfrak{F}, \mathfrak{C}, \Theta)$  is a **groupoid over  $\mathfrak{C}$**  if

- (1) for any morphisms  $(\eta \xrightarrow{\alpha} \zeta)$  and  $(\eta \xrightarrow{\alpha'} \zeta')$  in  $\mathfrak{F}$  over the same morphism  $T \rightarrow S$  in  $\mathfrak{C}$ , there exists unique  $\zeta \xrightarrow{u} \zeta'$  in  $\mathfrak{F}$  over  $\text{id}_S$  such that  $u \circ \alpha = \alpha'$ .
- (2) For any  $\eta \in \text{ob}(\mathfrak{F})$  and any  $T \xrightarrow{f} S$  in  $\text{Mor}_{\mathfrak{C}}$  with  $\eta$  over  $T$ , there exists morphism  $\eta \xrightarrow{\alpha} \zeta$  in  $\text{Mor}_{\mathfrak{F}}$  over  $f$ .

**Remark 1.13.** (1)  $\forall T \in \text{ob}(\mathfrak{C})$ , the category  $\mathfrak{F}(T)$  is a groupoid. It's natural to specify a groupoid by specifying objects in  $\mathfrak{F}(T)$  for any  $T \in \text{ob}(\mathfrak{C})$ , and specifying isomorphism class of morphisms above any  $T \xrightarrow{f} S$  in  $\mathfrak{C}$ .  
 (2) Scheme and stack stuff....

If for each  $T \in \text{ob}(\mathfrak{C})$ , the isomorphism classes of  $\mathfrak{F}(T)$  forms a set, we associate to the category  $\mathfrak{F}$  over  $\mathfrak{C}$  a functor  $|\mathfrak{F}| : \mathfrak{C} \rightarrow \text{Set}$  by sending  $T$  to the set of isomorphism classes of  $\mathfrak{F}(T)$ .

**Example 1.14.** Let  $\mathfrak{C} = \mathfrak{A}l_W(\mathbb{F})$ . To the representation  $V_{\mathbb{F}}$  of  $G$ , we define a groupoid  $\mathcal{D}_{V_{\mathbb{F}}}$  over  $\mathfrak{C}$ :

- (1)  $\forall A \in \mathfrak{A}l_W(\mathbb{F})$ , objects of  $\mathcal{D}_{V_{\mathbb{F}}}$  over  $A$  are pairs  $(V_A, \iota_A)$  in  $D_{V_{\mathbb{F}}}(A)$ .
- (2) A morphism  $(V_A, \iota_A) \rightarrow (V_{A'}, \iota_{A'})$  over  $A \rightarrow A'$  in  $\mathfrak{A}l_W(\mathbb{F})$  is an isomorphism class

$$\left\{ \alpha : V_A \otimes_A A' \xrightarrow{\cong} V_{A'} \text{ is an isomorphism} \mid \iota_{A'} \circ \alpha = \iota_A \right\} / (A')^*$$

**Remark 1.15.** (1) The deformation functor  $D_{V_{\mathbb{F}}}$  defined before is exactly  $|\mathcal{D}_{V_{\mathbb{F}}}|$  above.  
 (2) When  $V_{\mathbb{F}}$  has non-trivial automorphisms, then so do the object in  $D_{V_{\mathbb{F}}}(A)$ . (???) In this situation, the groupoid  $\mathcal{D}_{V_{\mathbb{F}}}$  captures the geometry of the deformation theory of  $V_{\mathbb{F}}$  more accurately than its functor if isomorphism classes.

**Representability of a groupoid  $\Theta : \mathfrak{F} \rightarrow \mathfrak{C}$ .**

**Definition 1.16.**

- (1)  $\forall \eta \in \text{ob}(\mathfrak{F})$ , define the category  $\tilde{\eta}$  (**the category under  $\eta$** ) as the category with objects are morphisms with source  $\eta$  and whose morphisms from  $\eta \xrightarrow{\alpha} \zeta$  to  $\eta \xrightarrow{\alpha'} \zeta'$  are morphisms  $\zeta \xrightarrow{u} \zeta'$  in  $\mathfrak{F}$  such that  $u \circ \alpha = \alpha'$ .
- (2) Groupoid  $\mathfrak{F}$  over  $\mathfrak{C}$  is **representable** if there exists  $\eta \in \mathfrak{F}$  such that the canonical functor  $\tilde{\eta} \rightarrow \mathfrak{F}$  is an equivalence of categories.
- (3) Similarly, we define the category  $\tilde{T}$  for every  $T \in \mathfrak{C}$ .

$\zeta$  and  $\zeta'$  are not necessarily lying on the same object of  $\mathfrak{C}$

## REFERENCES

- Bockle2013** [Böc13] G. Böckle. “Deformations of Galois Representations”. In: L. Berger et al. *Elliptic Curves, Hilbert Modular Forms and Galois Deformations*. Springer Basel, 2013, pp. 21–115. DOI: 10.1007/978-3-0348-0618-3\_2.
- Gouvea2001** [Gou01] F. Q. Gouvêa. “Deformations of Galois representations”. In: *Arithmetic Algebraic Geometry*. Ed. by B. Conrad and K. Rubin. American Mathematical Society, 2001, pp. 235–406. URL: <http://www.ams.org/books/pcms/009/05>.
- MSE4013849** [htt] S. M. (<https://math.stackexchange.com/users/572592/sebastian-monnet>). *Relationship between two definitions of pro-representable functors*. Mathematics Stack Exchange. URL: <https://math.stackexchange.com/q/4013849>.
- Kisin2009** [Kis09] M. Kisin. “Moduli of finite flat group schemes, and modularity”. In: *Annals of Mathematics* 170.3 (Nov. 2009), pp. 1085–1180. DOI: 10.4007/annals.2009.170.1085.
- Mazur1989** [Maz89] B. Mazur. “Deforming Galois Representations”. In: *Galois Groups over  $\mathbb{Q}$* . Ed. by Y. Ihara, K. Ribet, and J.-P. Serre. Vol. 16. Springer US, 1989, pp. 385–437. DOI: 10.1007/978-1-4613-9649-9\_7.
- Mazur1997** [Maz97] B. Mazur. “An Introduction to the Deformation Theory of Galois Representations”. In: *Modular Forms and Fermat’s Last Theorem*. Ed. by G. Cornell, J. H. Silverman, and G. Stevens. Springer New York, 1997, pp. 243–311. DOI: 10.1007/978-1-4612-1974-3\_8.
- nlab** [nLa22] nLab authors. *prorepresentable functor*. Oct. 2022. URL: <https://ncatlab.org/nlab/revision/prorepresentable%20functor/7>.