## DEFORMATION OF GALOIS REPRESENTATIONS

A notes for the number theory seminar at YMSC, 2022 Update on 2022-10-10 11:12

#### YIJUN YUAN®

We are given either a number field K and a finite set of primes S, or a local field F, and we are given a representation of either  $G_{K,S}$  or  $G_F$  into  $\mathrm{GL}_n(k)$ , where k is a finite field. We want to try to understand all possible lifts of this representation to  $\mathrm{GL}_n(A)$ , where A is a complete noetherian local ring with residue field k.

-Fernando Q. Gouvêa (cf. [Gou01])

Main reference: [Böc13; Maz89]

Motivation and history of deformation theory: [Maz97; Gou01]

1. Deformations of representations of profinite groups

## Notations.

p prime number

 $\mathbb{F}$  finite field of char. p

 $W(\mathbb{F})$  ring of Witt vectors<sup>1</sup> over A

G profinite group

 $V_{\mathbb{F}}$  finite  $\mathbb{F}[G]$ -module with continuous G-action

d dimension of  $V_{\mathbb{F}}$ 

 $\beta_{\mathbb{F}}$  a  $\mathbb{F}$ -basis of  $V_{\mathbb{F}}$ 

## 1.1. Deformation functors.

Notations.

 $\widehat{\mathfrak{Ar}}_{W(\mathbb{F})}$  category of complete Noetherian local  $W(\mathbb{F})$ -algebra with residue field  $\mathbb{F}$ 

 $\mathfrak{Ar}_{W(\mathbb{F})}$  full sub-category of finite local Artinian  $W(\mathbb{F})$ -algebras

 $\mathfrak{m}_A$  maximal ideal of  $A \in \widehat{\mathfrak{Ar}}_{W(\mathbb{F})}$ 

**Remark 1.1** (???). Via the  $W(\mathbb{F})$ -structure, the residue field of any  $A \in \widehat{\mathfrak{Ar}}_{W(\mathbb{F})}$  is canonically isomorphic to  $\mathbb{F}$ .

## **Definition 1.2.** Let $A \in \mathfrak{Ar}_{W(\mathbb{F})}$ .

- (1) A deformation of  $V_{\mathbb{F}}$  to A is a pair  $(V_A, \iota_A)$ , such that
  - (a)  $V_A$  is A[G]-module, finite free over A, with continuous G-action;
  - (b)  $\iota_A: V_A \otimes_A \mathbb{F} \xrightarrow{\cong} V_{\mathbb{F}}$  is G-equivariant.
- (2) A framed deformation of  $(V_{\mathbb{F}}, \beta_{\mathbb{F}})$  to A is a triple  $(V_A, \iota_A, \beta_A)$ , where

(a)  $(V_A, \iota_A)$  is a deformation of  $V_{\mathbb{F}}$  to A;

We view  $\mathbb{F}$  as an A-module via the canonical projection  $A \to A/\mathfrak{m}_A = F$ 

 $<sup>{}^1</sup>W(\mathbb{F})$  is the unique (up to unique isomorphism) complete discrete valuation ring which is absolutely unramified (uniformizer= p) and has residue field  $\mathbb{F}$ .

(b)  $\beta_A$  is a A-basis of  $V_A$  which reduces to  $\beta_{\mathbb{F}}$  under  $\iota_A$ .

Set 
$$D_{V_{\mathbb{F}}}, D_{V_{\mathbb{F}}}^{\square} : \mathfrak{Ar}_{W(\mathbb{F})} \to \operatorname{Set},$$

$$D_{V_{\mathbb{F}}}(A) = \{ deformations \ of \ V_{\mathbb{F}} \ to \ A \} / \cong,$$

$$D^\square_{V_{\mathbb{F}}}(A) = \{ \textit{framed deformations of } (V_{\mathbb{F}}, \beta_{\mathbb{F}}) \ \textit{to} \ A \} / \cong .$$

#### Remark 1.3.

(1) The <u>FIXED</u> basis  $\beta_{\mathbb{F}}$  gives the isomorphism  $V_{\mathbb{F}} \cong \mathbb{F}^d$  as vector space. Thus we can view  $V_{\mathbb{F}}$  as  $\bar{\rho}: G \to \mathrm{GL}(V_{\mathbb{F}}) = \mathrm{GL}_d(\mathbb{F})$ : a d-dimensional  $\mathbb{F}$ -representation of G. Then

$$D_{V_{\mathbb{F}}}^{\square}(A) = \{ \rho : G \to \operatorname{GL}_d(A) \text{ lifting } \bar{\rho} \},$$

$$\begin{array}{c} {\hbox{\it Does not guarantee}} \ \beta_A \leadsto \beta_{\mathbb{F}}! \\ \\ {\hbox{\it D}_{V_{\mathbb{F}}}(A)} = D_{V_{\mathbb{F}}}^{\square}(A)/action \ \ by \ \ conjugates \ \ of \ \ker(\mathrm{GL}_d(A) \to \mathrm{GL}_d(\mathbb{F})). \\ \\ \\ {\hbox{\it Not always representable!}} \end{array}$$

- (2) Mazur only consdier  $D_{V_{\mathbb{F}}}$ , which describes representations lifting  $V_{\mathbb{F}}$  up to isomorphism. Add "base condition"  $\leadsto D_{V_{\pi}}^{\square}$ .
- (3) Often consider deformation functors on  $\mathfrak{Ar}_{\mathcal{O}} = category$  of local artinian  $\mathcal{O}$ -algebra with residue field  $\mathbb{F}$ , where  $\mathcal{O}$  is ring of integers of a finite totally ramified extension of  $W(\mathbb{F}) \left| \frac{1}{n} \right|$

For example, let K be a p-adic field with residue field  $\mathbb{F}_q$ , ring of integers  $\mathcal{O}_K$ , then  $K/W(\mathbb{F}_q)\left[\frac{1}{p}\right]$  is totally ramified and  $W(\mathbb{F}_q)\left[\frac{1}{p}\right]/\mathbb{Q}_p$  is unramified.

## 1.2. Representability.

1.2.1. A finiteness condition.

**Definition 1.4** (Mazur). A profinite group G has finiteness condition  $\Phi_p$ , if  $\forall$  open subgroup  $G' \subset G$ ,  $\dim_{\mathbb{F}_p} \operatorname{Hom}_{\operatorname{cont}}(G', \mathbb{F}_p) < +\infty$ .

### Remark 1.5.

- (1) (Burnside basis theorem)  $\dim_{\mathbb{F}_p} \operatorname{Hom}_{\operatorname{cont}}(G', \mathbb{F}_p) < +\infty \Leftrightarrow \text{maximal pro-p quotient of } G'$ is topologically finitely generated.
- (2)  $\operatorname{Hom}_{\operatorname{cont}}(G', \mathbb{F}_p) \cong \operatorname{Hom}_{\operatorname{cont}}(G', \mathbb{F}_p)$ .

**Example 1.6** (by CFT). The following groups have  $\Phi_p$ :

- (1) The Galois group  $\mathcal{G}_K = \operatorname{Gal}(\bar{K}/K)$ , with K a p-adic field.
- (2) The galois group  $\mathcal{G}_{F,S} = \operatorname{Gal}(F_S/F)$ , where F is a number field, S is a finite set of places of F and  $F_S \subset \overline{F}$  is the maximal extension of F unramified outside S.
- 1.2.2. Main proposition.

**Proposition 1.7** (Mazur). If G has  $\Phi_p$ , then prop:1587

(1) The functor  $D_{V_{\mathbb{F}}}^{\square}$  is pro-representable by some  $R_{V_{\mathbb{F}}}^{\square} \in \widehat{\mathfrak{Ar}}_{W(\mathbb{F})}$ , i.e.

$$D^\square_{V_\mathbb{F}}(A)\cong \mathrm{Hom}_{W(\mathbb{F})}\Big(egin{array}{c} R^\square_{V_\mathbb{F}} \ A\Big), \ A\in \mathfrak{At}_{W(\mathbb{F})}. \end{array}$$
 universal framed deformation ring

which is functorial in  $A \in \mathfrak{Ar}_{W(\mathbb{F})}$ .

(2) If  $\operatorname{End}_{\mathbb{F}[G]}(V_{\mathbb{F}}) = \mathbb{F}$ , then  $D_{V_{\mathbb{F}}}$  is pro-representable by some  $R_{V_{\mathbb{F}}} \in \widehat{\mathfrak{Ar}}_{W(\mathbb{F})}$ . universal deformation ring

Maybe it's better to write  $D_{V_{\mathbb{Z}}}^{\square}(A) \cong$ 

(1) Literally, pro-representable functor = limit of representable functor. How Remark 1.8. can we realize that? [nLa22] defines pro-representable to be the filtered colimit of representables. There's a post on MSE (cf. [htt]) which discusses the difference between the two definitions. It might be ture that

$$\operatorname{Hom}_{W(\mathbb{F})}\Big(R_{V_{\mathbb{F}}}^{\square},A\Big) = \operatorname{Hom}_{\widehat{\mathfrak{Ar}}_{W(\mathbb{F})}}\left(\varprojlim_{k} R_{V_{\mathbb{F}}}^{\square}/\mathfrak{m}_{R_{V_{\mathbb{F}}}^{\square}}^{k},A\right) \cong \varprojlim_{k} \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathfrak{Ar}_{W(\mathbb{F})}}\left(R_{V_{\mathbb{F}}}^{\square}/\mathfrak{m}_{R_{V_{\mathbb{F}}}^{\square}}^{k},A\right),$$

for any  $A \in \mathfrak{Ar}_{W(\mathbb{F})}$ .

- (2) (???) $R_{V_{\mathbb{F}}}^{\square}$  is unique up to unique isomorphism; the identity map in  $\operatorname{Hom}(R_{V_{\mathbb{F}}}^{\square}, R_{V_{\mathbb{F}}}^{\square})$  gives rise to a universal framed deformation over  $R_{V_{\mathbb{F}}}^{\square}$ .
- (3)  $R_{V_{\pi}}^{\square}$  exists without  $\Phi_p$ , but maybe no longer noetherian.
- $(4) \ (???)\mathbb{F} \hookrightarrow \operatorname{End}_{\mathbb{F}[G]}(V_{\mathbb{F}}) \leadsto write \ "=" in \ \operatorname{End}_{\mathbb{F}[G]}(V_{\mathbb{F}}) = \mathbb{F}.$

Proof of Proposition 1.7.

(1) G finite  $\rightsquigarrow$  profinite.

# • (FORMAL) CONSTRUCTION:

Suppose G is finite. Set

$$G = \langle g_1, \cdots, g_s | r_1(g_1, \cdots, g_s), \cdots, r_t(g_1, \cdots, g_s) \rangle$$

a presentation. Define

$$\mathcal{R} = W(\mathbb{F})[X_{i,j}^k|i,j=1,\cdots,d;k=1,\cdots,s]/\mathcal{I},$$

where

$$\mathcal{I} = \langle r_l(X^1, \cdots, X^s) - \mathrm{id} \rangle_{1 \le l \le t}, X^k = (X_{i,j}^k)_{d \times d}.$$

To make  $\mathcal{R}$  complete, local, noetherian, take

$$\mathcal{J} = \ker(\mathcal{R} \to \mathbb{F}, X^k \mapsto \bar{\rho}(g_k), k = 1, \cdots, s),$$

and set  $R_{V_{\mathbb{F}}}^{\square} = \varprojlim_{n} \mathcal{R}/\mathcal{J}^{n}$  to be the  $\mathcal{J}$ -adic completion of  $\mathcal{R}$ . Besides that, we set  $\rho_{V_{\mathbb{F}}}^{\square} : G \to \mathrm{GL}_{d}(R_{V_{\mathbb{F}}}^{\square}), g_{k} \mapsto \mathrm{image} \text{ of } X^{k} \text{ in } \mathrm{GL}_{d}(R_{V_{\mathbb{F}}}^{\square}).$ VERIFICATION:

Take  $\rho \in D^{\square}_{V_{\sigma}}(A)$ , where  $\rho : G \to \mathrm{GL}_d(A)$ . Define

 $\mathfrak{F}_{\rho} \in \operatorname{Hom}_{W(\mathbb{F})}\left(R_{V_{\mathbb{F}}}^{\square}, A\right), \overline{\text{entries of } X^k} \mapsto \text{corresponding entries of } \rho(g_k), \forall k = 1, \cdots, s.$ 

Then  $\mathfrak{F}_{\rho}$  induces  $\widehat{\mathfrak{F}_{\rho}}: \mathrm{GL}_d(R_{V_{\mathbb{F}}}^{\square}) \to \mathrm{GL}_d(A)$ . It's immediate to check that  $\rho = \widehat{\mathfrak{F}_{\rho}} \circ \rho_{V_{\mathbb{F}}}^{\square}$ and  $\widehat{\mathfrak{F}}_{\rho}$  is unique choice to make the diagram commute. Thus,  $\mathfrak{F}: \rho \mapsto \mathfrak{F}_{\rho}$  gives the pro-representability when G is finite.

• When G is profinite, we have  $G = \lim_i G/H_i$ , where  $H_i \subset \ker(\bar{\rho})$  are open normal subgroups. For every i, one has a universal pair  $(R_i^{\square}, \rho_i^{\square})$  by previous construction. Passing by limits, we define

$$\left(R_{V_{\mathbb{F}}}^{\square},\rho_{V_{\mathbb{F}}}^{\square}\right)=\varprojlim_{i}\Bigl(R_{i}^{\square},\rho_{i}^{\square}\Bigr), \text{with } R_{V_{\mathbb{F}}}^{\square}\in\widehat{\mathfrak{Ar}}_{W(\mathbb{F})}.$$

We will show in [Section 1.4, TBA] that  $R_{V_{\mathbb{F}}}^{\square}$  is noetherian.

(2) By Schlessinger's representability criterion (cf. [Section 1.7, TBA]) or by Kisin's work (cf. [Section 2.1, TBA by Y. Chen]).

REFERENCES

4

## 1.7. Groupid over categories (abstract stuff...)

## Definition 1.9.

- (1) A groupoid category is a category in which all morphisms are isomorphisms.
- (2) Call the isomorphism classes the **connected components** of the groupoid.

## Remark 1.10.

- (1) Not necessarily all objects in a groupoid are isomorphic.
- (2)  $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathscr{C}}(A,A)$  forms a group for  $\forall A \in \operatorname{ob}\mathscr{C}$ , where  $\mathscr{C}$  is a groupoid category, and the identity in  $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathscr{C}}(A,A)$  is the identity morphism.
- (3)  $A \cong B \Rightarrow \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathscr{C}}(A, A) \cong \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathscr{C}}(B, B)$  (non-canonically)

**Definition 1.11.** Let  $\mathscr C$  be a category. Let  $\mathscr F$  be another category,  $\Theta:\mathscr F\to\mathscr C$  be a functor.

- (1) We say  $\eta \in ob(\mathscr{F})$  lies above  $T \in ob(\mathscr{C})$ , if  $\Theta(\eta) = T$ .
- (2) We say (η <sup>α</sup>/<sub>→</sub> ζ) ∈ Mor<sub>F</sub> lies above (T <sup>f</sup>/<sub>→</sub> S) ∈ Mor<sub>C</sub>, if Θ(η) = f.
  (3) (T ∈ ob(C), id<sub>T</sub>) is a subcategory of C. Write F(T) the subcategory of F over (T, id<sub>T</sub>).

**Definition 1.12** (groupoid over  $\mathscr{C}$ /category cofibered in groupoids over  $\mathscr{C}$ ). The triple  $\mathscr{F},\mathscr{C},\Theta$ ) is a groupoid over & if

- (1) for any morphisms  $\left(\eta \xrightarrow{\alpha} \zeta\right)$  and  $\left(\eta \xrightarrow{\alpha'} \zeta'\right)$  in  $\mathscr F$  over the ssame morphism  $T \to S$  in  $\mathscr{C}$ , there exists unique  $\zeta \xrightarrow{u} \zeta'$  in  $\mathscr{F}$  over  $\mathrm{id}_S$  such that  $u \circ \alpha = \alpha'$ .
- (2) For any

#### References

Bockle2013 [Böc13] G. Böckle. "Deformations of Galois Representations". In: L. Berger et al. Elliptic Curves, Hilbert Modular Forms and Galois Deformations. Springer Basel, 2013, pp. 21-115. DOI: 10.1007/978-3-0348-0618-3\_2.

Gouvea2001 [Gou01] F. Q. Gouvêa. "Deformations of Galois representations". In: Arithmetic Algebraic Geometry. Ed. by B. Conrad and K. Rubin. American Mathematical Society, 2001, pp. 235-406. URL: http://www.ams.org/books/pcms/009/05.

MSE4013849 [htt] S. M. (https://math.stackexchange.com/users/572592/sebastian-monnet). Relationship between two definitions of pro-representable functors. Mathematics Stack Exchange. URL: https://math.stackexchange.com/q/4013849.

B. Mazur. "Deforming Galois Representations". In: Galois Groups over  $\mathbb{Q}$ . Ed. by Mazur1989 [Maz89] Y. Ihara, K. Ribet, and J.-P. Serre. Vol. 16. Springer US, 1989, pp. 385–437. DOI: 10.1007/978-1-4613-9649-9\_7.

B. Mazur. "An Introduction to the Deformation Theory of Galois Representations". In: Mazur1997 [Maz97]Modular Forms and Fermat's Last Theorem. Ed. by G. Cornell, J. H. Silverman, and G. Stevens. Springer New York, 1997, pp. 243-311. DOI: 10.1007/978-1-4612-1974-3\_8.

nLab authors. prorepresentable functor. Oct. 2022. URL: https://ncatlab.org/nlab/ nlab [nLa22]revision/prorepresentable%20functor/7.