

Sports and statistics

Lecture 6: NFL, expected points, game theory

Goals

- i) Expected points
- ii) NFL game theory & decision making

Tools

- i) Expected value
- ii) Review of logistic regression

From last week:

```
fit_2 <- glm(Success ~ Distance + Grass + Year + GameMinute,  
             data = nfl_kick, family = "binomial")
```

```
tidy(fit_2)
```

A tibble: 5 x 5

term <chr>	estimate <dbl>	std.error <dbl>	statistic <dbl>	p.value <dbl>
(Intercept)	-104.	17.3	-6.02	1.78e- 9
Distance	-0.105	0.00318	-33.0	1.84e-238
GrassTRUE	-0.155	0.0549	-2.82	4.75e- 3
Year	0.0548	0.00863	6.35	2.14e- 10
GameMinute	0.000289	0.00163	0.177	8.59e- 1

Summary: odds ratios, z-test statistics, predicted probabilities

Link to expected points:

Transform logistic regression model into probabilities

- `predict()` function (or by hand)
- Example:

$P(\text{Success} = 1 \mid \text{Dist} = 40, \text{Grass} = \text{TRUE}, \text{Yr} = 2010, \text{GM} = 10) = 0.82$

- Expected points: $E(X_i) = x_i * p_i$

$3 * 0.82 = 2.46$ points

Interpretation:

Link to expected points:

So kicking a field goal attempt is worth 2.46 points.
What else?

Other possible decisions:

- Go for it
- Punt it?


All possible outcomes:

- Successful fourth down conversion
- Successful field goal
- Missed fourth down conversion
- Missed field goal
- Disaster plays

Formal definition:

“Given any combination of down, yards to go, and distance from the end zone, the expected value of the points from that position are equal to the average of every next score from that position.” - Causey

Function of 3 play-specific characteristics: down, distance, yard line.



Some probability:

Expectation of random variable X

$$E(x) = \sum_{i=1} x_i p_i = x_1 p_1 + x_2 p_2 + \dots$$

x	7	3	-2	-3	-7
p	0.4	0.2	<0.01	0.2	0.2

$$E(X) = 7(0.4) + 3(0.2) - 3(0.2) - 7(0.2) = 1.4$$

Expected value calculations

Point totals fixed – stated in terms of offense

- -7, -3, -2, 0, 2, 3, 7

Probabilities are the probability that each point total is the next one scored

- Conditional on **down, distance, yard line**
- Ex: First and 10 from own 20-yard line


Expected value estimates

TABLE I
THE EXPECTED POINT VALUES OF POSSESSION OF THE FOOTBALL WITH FIRST
DOWN AND TEN YARDS TO GO FOR VARIOUS TEN-YARD STRIPS

Center of the ten-yard strip (yards from the target goal line): X	Expected point value: $E(X)$
95	-1.245
85	-0.637
75	+0.236
65	0.923
55	1.538
45	2.392
35	3.167
25	3.681
15	4.572
5	6.041


-[Carter/Machol](#), 1971

Expected value estimates




-[Burke](#), 2010

Expected value estimates



-[Trey Causey](#), 2015

Expected value estimates



-Yurko et al, 2019

Expected points and NFL decisions

Example:

- 4th - 2 from opponents 23-yard, $E(X) = 2.1$

How to calculate probabilities?

1:

2:

How to improve calculations?

1:

2:

Expected points and NFL decisions

- 4th - 2 from opponents 23-yard line

```
> Fourth.2 <- filter(A2, yfog >=75, yfog <=80, dwn == 4, ytg <=3)
> tab.Fourth.2 <-tally(pts.next ~ Decision,
+ data = Fourth.2, format = "proportion")
> round(tab.Fourth.2, 2)
```

	Decision	
pts.next	Go	for it Kick
-8	0.00	0.00
-7	0.09	0.06
-6	0.00	0.00
-3	0.07	0.05
2	0.01	0.00
3	0.23	0.81
6	0.04	0.00
7	0.37	0.04
8	0.01	0.00
<NA>	0.19	0.02

Go for it:

- $E(X) = 2.81$

Kick it:


- $E(X) = 2.14$

What do coaches do?

Expected points and NFL decisions

Fourth and 2, opponents 23 yard line

- Going for it ~ 2.8 expected points
- Field goal ~ 2.1 expected points



- In reality, coaches kick field goal roughly twice as often in this example

Expected points and NFL decisions


Details

- Quarters 1/3 & closer games receive priority
- Extensions: Expected points added
 - Ex 1: Kicker value
 - Ex 2: Play value
 - Ex 3: Play choice
 - Win probability models
- Weaknesses: Not all points created equally


NFL Decisions

SEPT. 4, 2014

WHAT NYT 4TH DOWN BOT RECOMMENDS ON 4TH DOWN



WHAT N.F.L. COACHES DO MOST OFTEN



NFL Decisions

Reasons for varying strategy

- 1) Minimax
- 2) Prospect theory
- 3) Risk aversion

NFL Decisions: Minimax

Minimax: decision that minimizes possible loss in *worst case* scenario


Ex: 4th and 2 from opponents 23

```
> round(tab.Fourth.2, 2)
```

	Decision	
pts.next	Go for it	Kick
-8	0.00	0.00
-7	0.09	0.06
-6	0.00	0.00
-3	0.07	0.05
2	0.01	0.00
3	0.23	0.81
6	0.04	0.00
7	0.37	0.04
8	0.01	0.00
<NA>	0.19	0.02

NFL Decisions: Prospect Theory

Prospect theory: humans make decisions based on value of losses and gains, and not on final outcome – and fear of losses outweighs equivalent gains.



Ex: Run versus pass

NFL Decisions: Risk aversion

Risk adverse: reluctance to accept bargain in favor of decision with more certain – but possibly lower - payoff


"Had we done that [gone for it] after what we had done to get down there and [not scored a touchdown], I can imagine what the critique would have been today about the play call." – Brian Billick

"You guys might very well be right that we're calling something too conservative in that situation. But what you guys don't understand is that if I make a call that's viewed to be controversial by the fans and the owner, and I fall, I lose my job" – Marvin Lewis


[What was Mike Smith thinking](#)

Ex: 4th and 2 from opponents 23

NFL Decisions:



NFL Decisions:



<https://twitter.com/StatsbyLopez/status/1179426045432344576/photo/1>