Machine Learning I Exam from 24.09.2020

This document is not official; it is not endorsed by the university or the lecturer.

120 minutes, no auxiliary tools allowed, $20 + 15 + 25 + 20 + 20 = 100$ points.

1. Multiple choice ($4 \times 5 = 20$ Points)

Answer the following multiple choice questions.

(a) The Bayes error is lowest possible error over all models / error of Bayes optimal classifier

- ☐ the lowest error of a linear classifier.
- ☐ the expected error of a random linear classifier.
- ■ the error of any nonlinear classifier.
- ☐ the error of a naive Bayes classifier .

no correct item

(b) The Fisher linear discriminant find the projection $y = w^\mathsf{T} x$ of the data that maximises

- ☐ the margin between the two data generating distributions.
- ☐ the within-class variance divided by the between-class variance.
- ☐ the margin between the means of the data generating distributions.
- ■ the between-class variance divided by the within-class variance.

$$\arg\max_w \frac{(\mu_2(w) - \mu_1(w))^2}{s_1(w) + s_2(w)}$$

$$\frac{w^T S_B w}{w^T S_W w}$$

$$S_B = (\mu_2 - \mu_1)(\mu_2 - \mu_1)^T$$

$$S_W = S_1 + S_2$$

(c) A biased estimator is used to

e.g. James-Stein Estimator ($\text{Bias}(\hat{\mu}_{JS}) > 0$, $\text{MSE}(\hat{\mu}_{JS}) < \text{MSE}(\hat{\mu})$) (error)

- ■ make the estimator less affected by the sampling of the data.
- ☐ make the estimation procedure more (less) sensitive to the sample data.
- ☐ reduce the risk of underfitting (over) the data.
- ☐ None of the above, an unbiased estimator is always better.

(d) Let $x_1, \ldots, x_N \in \mathbb{R}^d$ be unlabelled observations. Consider a Gaussian kernel and its Gram matrix $K \in \mathbb{R}^{N \times N}$. Which is always true?

- ☐ $K^T K = I$.
- ☐ $K K^T = I$.
- ■ $\forall u \in \mathbb{R}^N \; uKu \geq 0$.
- ☐ $\forall u \in \mathbb{R}^N \; uKu \leq 0$.

2. Neural Networks ($10 + 5 = 15$ Points)

(a) Build a neural network that models the function $f\colon \mathbb{R}^2 \to \{0,1\}$, $x \mapsto \mathbb{1}_{\min(x_1,x_2)\leq -1}(x)$ with at most three neurons of the form $a_j = \text{step}\left(\sum_i w_{ij} a_i + b_j\right)$, where $\text{step}(z) := \mathbb{1}_{\{z\geq 0\}}(z)$. State weights and biases.

Define $a_1 = \text{step}(-x_1 - 1)$ and $a_2 = \text{step}(-x_2 - 1)$ to check if $x_1 \leq -1$ and $x_2 \leq -1$. If (at least) one of them gives 1, we want the output to be one and zero else. Thus $a_3 = \text{step}(a_1 + a_2 - 1)$.



(b) State the number of neurons needed to build a neural network that models $f\colon \mathbb{R}^d \to \{0,1\}$, $x \mapsto \mathbb{1}_{\|x\|_\infty \leq 5}(x)$ and describe the weights and bias of one such neurons.

We need $2d + 1$ neurons. We have that $\|x\|_\infty \leq 5$ if and only if $-5 \leq x_k \leq 5$ for all $k \in \{1, \ldots, d\}$. For $k \in \{1, \ldots, d\}$, we thus take $a_{2k-1} = \text{step}(5 - x_k)$ (to check that $x_k \leq 5$) and $a_{2k} = \text{step}(x_k + 5)$ (to check that $x_k \geq -5$). The output neuron is $a_{2d+1} = \text{step}(\sum_{k=1}^{2d} \frac{1}{2d} a_k - 1)$, as we only want to output 1 if all other a_j give 1.

3. Maximum likelihood and Bayes ($5 \times 5 = 25$ Points)

People queue at the post office and their i.i.d processing times are $D = (x_1, x_2, x_3) = (1, 1, 2)$. The data generating distribution is $P(x_i = k) = (1-\theta)^{k-1}\theta$, where $k \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\}$ and $\theta \in [0,1]$ is unknown.

(a) State likelihood function $P(D|\theta)$.

$P(D|\theta) = (1-\theta)^{1-1}\theta \cdot (1-\theta)^{1-1}\theta \cdot (1-\theta)^{2-1}\theta = \theta^3(1-\theta)$.

(b) Find the maximum likelihood parameter $\hat{\theta}$.

We have $\hat{\theta} = \arg\max_\theta P(D|\theta)$. We have $\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}\theta}\theta^3(1-\theta) = 3\theta^2 - 4\theta^3$, so $\theta = 0$ or $\theta = \frac{3}{4}$. We also have to check the boundary of the definition domain of $P(D|\theta)$: we have $P(D|0) = 0 = P(D|1) < P(D|\frac{3}{4}) = \frac{27}{64}$, so $\hat{\theta} = \frac{3}{4}$.

(c) Evaluate $P(x_4 > 1|\hat{\theta})$.

Since x_4 can be every integer between 2 and ∞, we have

$$P(x_4 > 1|\hat{\theta}) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} P(x_i = k) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left(1-\hat{\theta}\right)^{k-1} \hat{\theta} = \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \left(1 - \frac{3}{4}\right)^{k-1} \frac{3}{4}$$
$$= \frac{3}{4} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{4}\right)^k = \frac{3}{4} \cdot \frac{1}{3} = \frac{1}{4}.$$

The sum is a geometric series so we can get the finite expression $\frac{1}{3}$ for it.

Simpler computation using the complement:

$$P(x_4 > 1|\hat{\theta}) = 1 - P(x_4 = 1|\hat{\theta}) = 1 - (1-\hat{\theta})^{1-1}\hat{\theta} = 1 - \hat{\theta} = 1 - \frac{3}{4} = \frac{1}{4}.$$

We now adopt a Bayesian view point on this problem, where we assume a prior distribution for the parameter θ to be defined as:

$$p(\theta) = \begin{cases} 1, & \theta \in [0,1], \\ 0 & \text{else.} \end{cases}$$

(d) Show that the posterior distribution $p(\theta|D)$ is $20(1-\theta)\theta^3$ for $\theta \in [0,1]$ and zero elsewhere.

By the theorem of Bayes and the law of total probability we have

$$\begin{aligned} p(\theta|D) &= \frac{p(D|\theta)p(\theta)}{p(D)} = \frac{p(D|\theta)p(\theta)}{\int_{\mathbb{R}} p(D|\theta)p(\theta)\,\mathrm{d}\theta} = \frac{\theta^3(1-\theta)\cdot \mathbb{1}_{[0,1]}(\theta)}{\int_0^1 \theta^3(1-\theta)\,\mathrm{d}\theta} \\ &= \frac{\theta^3(1-\theta)\cdot \mathbb{1}_{[0,1]}(\theta)}{\frac{1}{20}} = 20(1-\theta)\theta^3 \cdot \mathbb{1}_{[0,1]}(\theta) \end{aligned}$$

(e) Evaluate $P(x_4 > 1|D) = \int p(x|\theta)p(\theta|D)\,\mathrm{d}\theta$.

We have

$$\begin{aligned} P(x_4 > 1|D) &= 1 - P(x_4 = 1|\hat{\theta}) = 1 - \int 20(1-\theta)\theta^3 \cdot \mathbb{1}_{[0,1]}(\theta)\cdot\theta(1-\theta)^{1-1}\,\mathrm{d}\theta \\ &= 1 - 20\int_0^1 \theta^4(1-\theta)\,\mathrm{d}\theta = 1 - 20\int_0^1 \theta^4 - \theta^5\,\mathrm{d}\theta = 1 - 20\left(\frac{1}{5} - \frac{1}{6}\right) \\ &= 1 - \frac{2}{3} = \frac{1}{3} \end{aligned}$$

4. Lagrange multipliers ($4 \times 5 = 20$ Points)

Let $\Sigma \in \mathbb{R}^{d\times d}$ be a positive semidefinite matrix. Consider the constrained maximisation problem:

$$\max_{w\in\mathbb{R}^d} \|w\|^2 \quad \text{subject to} \quad w^\mathsf{T}\Sigma^{-1}w = 1$$

(a) State the Lagrange function.

$L(w,\lambda) \coloneqq \|w\|^2 + \lambda(1 - w^\mathsf{T}\Sigma^{-1}w)$.

(b) Show that the problem is an eigenvalue problem of Σ.

For w to be optimal, we need

$$\frac{\partial L(w,\lambda)}{\partial w} = 2w - 2\lambda\Sigma^{-1}w \stackrel{!}{=} 0 \iff w = \lambda\Sigma^{-1}w \iff \Sigma w = \lambda w,$$

so w has to be a eigenvector of Σ with eigenvalue λ.

(c) Show that the solution is the eigenvector associated to the highest eigenvalue of Σ.

From the constraint $w^\mathsf{T}\Sigma^{-1}w = 1$ and $w = \lambda\Sigma^{-1}w$ we get (as Σ is symmetric)

$$\|w\|^2 = w^\mathsf{T}w = \lambda w^\mathsf{T}\Sigma^{-1}w = \lambda.$$

Thus the value of the eigenvalue coincides with the quantity we want to maximise.

(d) Let $w_1, \ldots, w_T$ be a sequence of vectors where w_t is obtained from w_{t-1} as the solution of the constraint problem

$$\max_{z\in\mathbb{R}^d} z^\mathsf{T}w_{t-1} \quad \text{subject to} \quad z^\mathsf{T}\Sigma^{-1}z = 1.$$

Find a closed form solution of w_t as a function of w_{t-1}.

The Lagrangian is

$$L(z,\lambda) \coloneqq z^\mathsf{T}w_{t-1} + \lambda(1 - z^\mathsf{T}\Sigma^{-1}z).$$

In order for z to be optimal, we require

$$\frac{\partial L(z,\lambda)}{\partial z} = w_{t-1} - 2\lambda\Sigma^{-1}z \stackrel{!}{=} 0 \iff w_{t-1} = 2\lambda\Sigma^{-1}z \iff z = \frac{1}{2\lambda}\Sigma w_{t-1}.$$

Plugging the second last equality into the constraint $z^\mathsf{T}\Sigma^{-1}z = 1$, we get

$$z^\mathsf{T}w_{t-1} = 2\lambda z^\mathsf{T}\Sigma^{-1}z = 2\lambda$$

and using the last equality we get

$$2\lambda = z^\mathsf{T}w_{t-1} = \frac{1}{2\lambda}w_{t-1}^\mathsf{T}\Sigma w_{t-1},$$

implying

$$2\lambda = \sqrt{w_{t-1}^\mathsf{T}\Sigma w_{t-1}},$$

as Σ is positive semidefinite (so we don't have to consider $-\sqrt{\ldots}$). We thus get

$$w_t = z = \frac{\Sigma w_{t-1}}{\sqrt{w_{t-1}^\mathsf{T}\Sigma w_{t-1}}} = \frac{\Sigma w_{t-1}}{\|\Sigma w_{t-1}\|_{\Sigma^{-1}}} \quad \text{with } \|x\|_{\Sigma^{-1}}^2 \coloneqq x^\mathsf{T}\Sigma^{-1}x.$$

5. Ridge regression ($10 + 10 = 20$ Points)

Consider the problem

$$\min_{w \in \mathbb{R}^d} \|y - Xw\|^2 \quad \text{subject to} \quad \|w\|_\infty \leq C,$$

where $C > 0$ is a constant, $y \in \mathbb{R}^N$ and $X \in \mathbb{R}^{N \times d}$ is the data matrix.

(a) Show that the problem is equivalent to

$$\min_{w \in \mathbb{R}^d} w^\mathsf{T} X^\mathsf{T} X w - 2y^\mathsf{T} X w \quad \text{subject to} \quad -C \leq w_i \leq C \ \forall i \in \{1, \dots, d\}$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} \|y - Xw\|^2 &= (y - Xw)^\mathsf{T}(y - Xw) = y^\mathsf{T} y - y^\mathsf{T} X w - (Xw)^\mathsf{T} y + (Xw)^\mathsf{T} X w \\ &= y^\mathsf{T} y - 2y^\mathsf{T} X w + w^\mathsf{T} X^\mathsf{T} X w. \end{aligned}$$

Since $y^\mathsf{T} y$ is independent of w, we can neglect it when minimising over w. We have $y^\mathsf{T} X w = (Xw)^\mathsf{T} y$, as it is a scalar and so it is equal to its transpose.

Furthermore, $\|w\|_\infty = \max\{|w_1|, \dots, |w_d|\}$, so $\|w\|_\infty \leq C$ is equivalent to $|w_k| \leq C$ for all $k \in \{1, \dots, d\}$, i.e. $-C \leq w_k \leq C$ for all $k \in \{1, \dots, d\}$.

(b) At our disposal we have a quadratic solver `QP(Q, l, A, b)`, which solves the generic quadratic problem

$$\min_v v^\mathsf{T} Q v + \ell^\mathsf{T} v \quad \text{subject to} \quad Av \leq b.$$

Write the numpy code constructing the arrays Q, ℓ, A and b from X, y and C.

```
def Reg(X, y, C):
    Q = X.T.dot(X)
    l = - 2 * y.T.dot(X).T
    d = Q.shape[0]
    A = np.concatenate([np.identity(d), -1 * np.identity(d)], axis=0)
    b = C * np.ones(2 * d)
    t = QP(Q, l, A, b)
return t
```

The grey code was given.

Thanks to everyone contributing to this account of the exam and its solutions :)

2. Neural Networks (10 + 5 = 15 Points)

(a) Build a neural network that models the function $f\colon \mathbb{R}^2 \to \{0,1\}$, $x \mapsto \mathbb{1}_{\min(x_1,x_2)\leq -1}(x)$ with at most three neurons of the form $a_j = \text{step}\left(\sum_i w_{ij}a_i + b_j\right)$, where $\text{step}(z) := \mathbb{1}_{\{z\geq 0\}}(z)$. State weights and biases.





(b) State the number of neurons needed to build a neural network that models $f\colon \mathbb{R}^d \to \{0,1\}$, $x \mapsto \mathbb{1}_{\|x\|_\infty \leq 5}(x)$ and describe the weights and bias of one such neurons.

neurons = 2d + 1

hidden layer: make sure $-5 \leq x_k \leq 5$ for $k \in \{1, \dots, d\}$

d neurons: $a = \delta(-x_i - 5)$

d neurons: $a = \delta(x_i - 5)$

output layer: $y = 1 - \sum_i^d \delta(-x_i - 5) - \sum_i^d \delta(x_i - 5)$

3. Maximum likelihood and Bayes ($5 \times 5 = 25$ Points)

People queue at the post office and their i.i.d processing times are $D = (x_1, x_2, x_3) = (1, 1, 2)$. The data generating distribution is $P(x_i = k) = (1-\theta)^{k-1}\theta$, where $k \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\}$ and $\theta \in [0, 1]$ is unknown.

(a) State likelihood function $P(D|\theta)$.

$P(D|\theta) = \prod_i^3 P(x_i|\theta) = (1-\theta)^0\theta\,(1-\theta)^0\theta\,(1-\theta)^1\theta = (1-\theta)^1\theta^3$

(b) Find the maximum likelihood parameter $\hat{\theta}$.

$\log(1-\theta) + 3\log\theta$ $\qquad \nabla = \frac{-1}{1-\theta} + \frac{3}{\theta} = -\theta + 3 - 3\theta = 0$

$\theta = \frac{3}{4}$

~~$\frac{\partial P(D|\theta)}{\partial\theta} = -\theta^3 + 3(1-\theta)\theta^2 = -\theta^3 + 3\theta^2 - 3\theta^3 = -4\theta^3 + 3\theta^2 = \theta^2(-4\theta + 3) = 0$~~

$\theta_1 = 0 \quad \theta_2 = \frac{3}{4}$

$P(D|\theta=0) = 0 < P(D|\theta=\frac{3}{4}) = \frac{1}{4}\cdot(\frac{3}{4})^3$

$\Rightarrow \hat{\theta} = \frac{3}{4}$

(c) Evaluate $P(x_4 > 1|\hat{\theta})$.

$P(x_i = k|\hat{\theta}) = (1-\frac{3}{4})^{k-1}\cdot\frac{3}{4}$

2. 前 n 项和公式

$\sum_{k=0}^{n} \quad S_n = \frac{a_1(1-r^n)}{1-r}, \quad (r \neq 1)$

$S = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} ar^k = \frac{ar}{1-r}, \quad$ 当 $|r| < 1$

$P(x_4 > 1|\hat{\theta}) = \sum_{k=2}^{\infty}(1-\frac{3}{4})^{k-1}\cdot\frac{3}{4} = \frac{3}{4}\sum_{k=2}^{\infty}(\frac{1}{4})^{k-1}$

$= \frac{3}{4}\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}(\frac{1}{4})^{k}$

$= \frac{3}{4}\left(\frac{1}{1-\frac{1}{4}} - 1\right)$ $\quad$ ↑ $k=0$

$= \frac{1}{4}$

Oder $P(x_4 > 1|\hat{\theta}) = 1 - P(x=1|\hat{\theta}) = 1 - (\frac{1}{4})^0\cdot\frac{3}{4} = \frac{1}{4}$

We now adopt a Bayesian view point on this problem, where we assume a prior distribution for the parameter θ to be defined as:

$$p(\theta) = \begin{cases} 1, & \theta \in [0,1], \\ 0 & \text{else.} \end{cases}$$

(d) Show that the posterior distribution $p(\theta|D)$ is $20(1-\theta)\theta^3$ for $\theta \in [0, 1]$ and zero elsewhere.

$P(\theta|D) = \frac{P(D|\theta)P(\theta)}{\int P(D|\theta_i)P(\theta_i)\,d\theta_i} = \frac{(1-\theta)\theta^3\,1_{\{\theta\in[0,1]\}}}{\int_0^1(1-\theta)\theta^3\,1\,d\theta}$ $\qquad \theta^3 - \theta^4$

$= \frac{(1-\theta)\theta^3\,1_{\{\theta\in[0,1]\}}}{\frac{1}{4}\theta^4 - \frac{1}{5}\theta^5\,\Big|_0^1}$

$= 20(1-\theta)\theta^3\,1_{\{\theta\in[0,1]\}}$

(e) Evaluate $P(x_4 > 1|D) = \int p(x|\theta)p(\theta|D)\,d\theta$.

$P(x_4 > 1|D) = 1 - P(x=1|D) = 1 - \int P(x=1|\theta)\,P(\theta|D)\,d\theta$

$= 1 - \int_0^1 \theta\cdot 20(1-\theta)\theta^3\,1_{\{\theta\in[0,1]\}}\,d\theta$

$= 1 - \int_0^1 20(1-\theta)\theta^4\,d\theta$

$= 1 - 20\left(\frac{1}{5}\theta^5 - \frac{1}{6}\theta^6\right)_0^1 = 1 - 20\cdot\frac{1}{30} = \frac{1}{3}$

4. Lagrange multipliers ($4 \times 5 = 20$ Points)

Let $\Sigma \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times d}$ be a positive semidefinite matrix. Consider the constrained maximisation problem:

$$\max_{w \in \mathbb{R}^d} \|w\|^2 \quad \text{subject to} \quad w^\top \Sigma^{-1} w = 1$$

(a) State the Lagrange function.

$L(w, \lambda) = \|w\|^2 - \lambda(w^\top \Sigma^{-1} w - 1)$

(b) Show that the problem is an eigenvalue problem of Σ.

$\frac{\partial L}{\partial w} = 2w - 2\lambda \Sigma^{-1} w = 0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad w = \lambda \Sigma^{-1} w$

$\Rightarrow \Sigma w = \lambda w$

(c) Show that the solution is the eigenvector associated to the highest eigenvalue of Σ.

$\|w\|^2 = w^\top w = \lambda w^\top \Sigma^{-1} w = \lambda$

(d) Let $w_1, \ldots, w_T$ be a sequence of vectors where w_t is obtained from w_{t-1} as the solution of the constraint problem

$$\max_{z \in \mathbb{R}^d} z^\top w_{t-1} \quad \text{subject to} \quad z^\top \Sigma^{-1} z = 1.$$

Find a closed form solution of w_t as a function of w_{t-1}.

$L(z, \lambda) = z^\top w_{t-1} - \lambda(z^\top \Sigma^{-1} z - 1)$

$\frac{\partial L}{\partial z} = w_{t-1} - 2\lambda \Sigma^{-1} z \overset{!}{=} 0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad w_{t-1} = 2\lambda \Sigma^{-1} z$

$z = \frac{1}{2\lambda} \Sigma w_{t-1}$

$z^\top w_{t-1} = \frac{1}{2\lambda} w_{t-1}^\top \Sigma w_{t-1}$

$= z^\top \cdot 2\lambda \Sigma^{-1} z = 2\lambda$

$\Rightarrow 4\lambda^2 = w_{t-1}^\top \Sigma w_{t-1}$

$\Rightarrow \lambda = \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{w_{t-1}^\top \Sigma w_{t-1}}$

$w_t = z = \frac{1}{2\lambda} \Sigma w_{t-1} = \frac{\Sigma w_{t-1}}{\sqrt{w_{t-1}^\top \Sigma w_{t-1}}}$

5. Ridge regression (10 + 10 = 20 Points)

Consider the problem

$$\min_{w\in\mathbb{R}^d} \|y - Xw\|^2 \quad \text{subject to} \quad \|w\|_\infty \le C,$$

where $C > 0$ is a constant, $y \in \mathbb{R}^N$ and $X \in \mathbb{R}^{N\times d}$ is the data matrix.

(a) Show that the problem is equivalent to

$$\min_{w\in\mathbb{R}^d} w^\mathsf{T}X^\mathsf{T}Xw - 2y^\mathsf{T}Xw \quad \text{subject to} \quad -C \le w_i \le C \ \forall i \in \{1,\dots,d\}$$

$\min_w \|y-Xw\|^2 = \min_w (y-Xw)^T(y-Xw)$

$= \min_w \underbrace{y^Ty}_{\text{independent of } w} - 2y^TXw + (Xw)^T(Xw)$

$= \min_w w^TX^TXw - 2y^TXw$

$\|w\|_\infty = \max_i |w_i| \le C \Rightarrow |w_i| \le C \ \forall i \in \{1,\dots,d\}$

$\Rightarrow -C \le w_i \le C \ \forall i \in \{1,\dots,d\}$

$w_i \le C$

$-w_i \le C$

(b) At our disposal we have a quadratic solver `QP(Q, l, A, b)`, which solves the generic quadratic problem

$$\min_v v^\mathsf{T}Qv + \ell^\mathsf{T}v \quad \text{subject to} \quad Av \le b.$$

$v = w$, $Q = X^TX$, $\ell = -2X^Ty$, $A = \begin{bmatrix} I \\ -I \end{bmatrix}$, $b = \begin{bmatrix} C \\ C \end{bmatrix}$

Write the numpy code constructing the arrays Q, ℓ, A and b from X, y and C.

```
def Reg(X,y,C):

    Q = X.T @ X
    l = -2 * X.T @ y
    d = Q.shape[0]
    A = np.concatenate([np.identity(d), -np.identity(d)], axis=0)
    b = C * np.ones([2*d])
     t= QP(Q, l, A, b)

return t
```