

Exercise Sheet 15

Exercise 1: RBM with Ternary Hidden Units (20 + 10 P)

We consider a variant of the restricted Boltzmann machine with ternary hidden units $\mathbf{h} \in \{-1, 0, 1\}^H$. Input features remain binary, i.e. $\mathbf{x} \in \{0, 1\}^d$, like for the classical RBM. The probability model is given by:

$$p(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{h} | \theta) = \frac{1}{\mathcal{Z}} \exp \left(\sum_{j=1}^H \mathbf{w}_j^\top \mathbf{x} \cdot h_j + \sum_{j=1}^H h_j b_j \right)$$

where $\theta = (\mathbf{w}_j, b_j)_{j=1}^H$ are the parameters of the model, and where \mathcal{Z} is the partition function that normalizes the probability distribution to 1.

- (a) Show that this modified RBM can also be expressed as a product of experts

$$p(\mathbf{x} | \theta) = \frac{1}{\mathcal{Z}} \prod_{j=1}^H g_j(\mathbf{x}, \theta_j),$$

with

$$g_j(\mathbf{x}, \theta_j) = 1 + 2 \cosh(\mathbf{w}_j^\top \mathbf{x} + b_j),$$

where \cosh is the hyperbolic cosine function.

- (b) Show that the gradient of the log-likelihood assigned to some data point \mathbf{x}_n by the modified RBM has the form

$$\begin{aligned} \forall_{j=1}^H : \frac{\partial \log p(\mathbf{x}_n | \theta)}{\partial \mathbf{w}_j} &= \mathbf{x}_n \cdot \sigma(\mathbf{w}_j^\top \mathbf{x}_n + b_j) - \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{x} \sim p(\mathbf{x} | \theta)} [\mathbf{x} \cdot \sigma(\mathbf{w}_j^\top \mathbf{x} + b_j)] \\ \forall_{j=1}^H : \frac{\partial \log p(\mathbf{x}_n | \theta)}{\partial b_j} &= \sigma(\mathbf{w}_j^\top \mathbf{x}_n + b_j) - \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{x} \sim p(\mathbf{x} | \theta)} [\sigma(\mathbf{w}_j^\top \mathbf{x} + b_j)] \end{aligned}$$

where $\sigma(t) = \frac{\sinh(t)}{0.5 + \cosh(t)}$.

Exercise 2: Product of Gaussian Mixture Models (20 + 10 P)

Consider the product of experts:

$$p(\mathbf{x} | \theta) = \frac{1}{\mathcal{Z}} \prod_{j=1}^H g_j(\mathbf{x}, \theta_j)$$

where each expert is a Gaussian mixture model in d -dimensions, and where each element of the mixture is Gaussian with identity covariance:

$$\forall_{j=1}^H : g_j(\mathbf{x}, \theta_j) = \sum_{k=1}^C \alpha_{jk} \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{d/2}} \exp \left(-\frac{1}{2} \|\mathbf{x} - \boldsymbol{\mu}_{jk}\|^2 \right).$$

- (a) Show that $p(\mathbf{x} | \theta)$ can be rewritten as a mixture of C^H elements, where each mixture element (indexed by the vector $\mathbf{k} \in \{1, \dots, C\}^H$) has center

$$\mathbf{m}_{\mathbf{k}} = \frac{1}{H} \sum_{j=1}^H \boldsymbol{\mu}_{jk_j}.$$

- (b) Give the centers $\mathbf{m}_{\mathbf{k}}$ of the mixture model equivalent to a product of two mixture models, where each mixture model in the product has 2 elements, where the first mixture has the two-dimensional centers $\boldsymbol{\mu}_{11} = \begin{pmatrix} 2 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$ and $\boldsymbol{\mu}_{12} = \begin{pmatrix} 4 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$, and where the second mixture has the two-dimensional centers $\boldsymbol{\mu}_{21} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 2 \end{pmatrix}$ and $\boldsymbol{\mu}_{22} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 4 \end{pmatrix}$.

Exercise 3: Programming (40 P)

Download the programming files on ISIS and follow the instructions.

Exercise 1: RBM with Ternary Hidden Units (20 + 10 P)

We consider a variant of the restricted Boltzmann machine with ternary hidden units $\mathbf{h} \in \{-1, 0, 1\}^H$. Input features remain binary, i.e. $\mathbf{x} \in \{0, 1\}^d$, like for the classical RBM. The probability model is given by:

$$p(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{h} | \theta) = \frac{1}{\mathcal{Z}} \exp \left(\sum_{j=1}^H \mathbf{w}_j^\top \mathbf{x} \cdot h_j + \sum_{j=1}^H h_j b_j \right)$$

where $\theta = (\mathbf{w}_j, b_j)_{j=1}^H$ are the parameters of the model, and where \mathcal{Z} is the partition function that normalizes the probability distribution to 1.

(a) Show that this modified RBM can also be expressed as a product of experts

$$p(\mathbf{x} | \theta) = \frac{1}{\mathcal{Z}} \prod_{j=1}^H g_j(\mathbf{x}, \theta_j),$$

with

$$g_j(\mathbf{x}, \theta_j) = 1 + 2 \cosh(\mathbf{w}_j^\top \mathbf{x} + b_j),$$

where \cosh is the hyperbolic cosine function.

$$\begin{aligned} p(\mathbf{x} | \theta) &= \sum_{\mathbf{h} \in \{-1, 0, 1\}^H} p(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{h} | \theta) = \sum_{\mathbf{h} \in \{-1, 0, 1\}^H} \frac{1}{\mathcal{Z}} \cdot \exp \left(\sum_{j=1}^H \mathbf{w}_j^\top \mathbf{x} \cdot h_j + \sum_{j=1}^H h_j b_j \right) \\ &= \sum_{\mathbf{h} \in \{-1, 0, 1\}^H} \frac{1}{\mathcal{Z}} \exp \left(\sum_{j=1}^H [(\mathbf{w}_j^\top \mathbf{x} + b_j) h_j] \right) \\ &= \sum_{\mathbf{h} \in \{-1, 0, 1\}^H} \frac{1}{\mathcal{Z}} \prod_{j=1}^H \exp((\mathbf{w}_j^\top \mathbf{x} + b_j) h_j) \\ &= \frac{1}{\mathcal{Z}} \prod_{j=1}^H \sum_{h_j \in \{-1, 0, 1\}} \exp((\mathbf{w}_j^\top \mathbf{x} + b_j) h_j) \\ &= \frac{1}{\mathcal{Z}} \prod_{j=1}^H (1 + \exp(-(\mathbf{w}_j^\top \mathbf{x} + b_j)) + \exp(\mathbf{w}_j^\top \mathbf{x} + b_j)) \\ &= \frac{1}{\mathcal{Z}} \prod_{j=1}^H (1 + 2 \cosh(\mathbf{w}_j^\top \mathbf{x} + b_j)) \\ &= \frac{1}{\mathcal{Z}} \prod_{j=1}^H g_j(\mathbf{x}, \theta_j) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} p(\mathbf{x} | \theta) &= \sum_{\mathbf{h} \in \{-1, 0, 1\}^H} p(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{h} | \theta) \\ &= \sum_{\mathbf{h} \in \{-1, 0, 1\}^H} \frac{1}{\mathcal{Z}} \exp \left(\sum_{j=1}^H \mathbf{w}_j^\top \mathbf{x} \cdot h_j + \sum_{j=1}^H h_j b_j \right) \\ &= \sum_{\mathbf{h} \in \{-1, 0, 1\}^H} \frac{1}{\mathcal{Z}} \exp \left(\sum_{j=1}^H (\mathbf{w}_j^\top \mathbf{x} + b_j) \cdot h_j \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{\mathcal{Z}} \sum_{\mathbf{h} \in \{-1, 0, 1\}^H} \prod_{j=1}^H \exp((\mathbf{w}_j^\top \mathbf{x} + b_j) \cdot h_j) \\ &= \frac{1}{\mathcal{Z}} \sum_{h_1 \in \{-1, 0, 1\}} \cdots \sum_{h_H \in \{-1, 0, 1\}} \prod_{j=1}^H \exp((\mathbf{w}_j^\top \mathbf{x} + b_j) \cdot h_j) \\ &= \frac{1}{\mathcal{Z}} \prod_{j=1}^H \sum_{h_j \in \{-1, 0, 1\}} \exp((\mathbf{w}_j^\top \mathbf{x} + b_j) \cdot h_j) \\ &= \frac{1}{\mathcal{Z}} \prod_{j=1}^H (1 + \exp(\mathbf{w}_j^\top \mathbf{x} + b_j) + \exp(-(\mathbf{w}_j^\top \mathbf{x} + b_j))) \\ &= \frac{1}{\mathcal{Z}} \prod_{j=1}^H (1 + 2 \cosh(\mathbf{w}_j^\top \mathbf{x} + b_j)) \\ &= \frac{1}{\mathcal{Z}} \prod_{j=1}^H g_j(\mathbf{x}, \theta_j) \end{aligned}$$

$$\mathcal{Z} = \sum_{\mathbf{x} \in \{0, 1\}^d} \prod_{j=1}^H g_j(\mathbf{x}, \theta_j)$$

(b) Show that the gradient of the log-likelihood assigned to some data point \mathbf{x}_n by the modified RBM has the form

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla_{\mathbf{w}_j}^H : \frac{\partial \log p(\mathbf{x}_n | \theta)}{\partial \mathbf{w}_j} &= \mathbf{x}_n \cdot \sigma(\mathbf{w}_j^\top \mathbf{x}_n + b_j) - \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{x} \sim p(\mathbf{x} | \theta)} [\mathbf{x} \cdot \sigma(\mathbf{w}_j^\top \mathbf{x} + b_j)] \\ \nabla_{b_j}^H : \frac{\partial \log p(\mathbf{x}_n | \theta)}{\partial b_j} &= \sigma(\mathbf{w}_j^\top \mathbf{x}_n + b_j) - \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{x} \sim p(\mathbf{x} | \theta)} [\sigma(\mathbf{w}_j^\top \mathbf{x} + b_j)] \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{where } \sigma(t) = \frac{\sinh(t)}{0.5 + \cosh(t)}.$$

$$\begin{aligned} p(\mathbf{x}_n | \theta) &= \frac{1}{\mathcal{Z}} \prod_{j=1}^H (1 + 2 \cosh(\mathbf{w}_j^\top \mathbf{x}_n + b_j)) \\ \log p(\mathbf{x}_n | \theta) &= \log \left(\frac{1}{\mathcal{Z}} \prod_{j=1}^H (1 + 2 \cosh(\mathbf{w}_j^\top \mathbf{x}_n + b_j)) \right) \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^H \log(1 + 2 \cosh(\mathbf{w}_j^\top \mathbf{x}_n + b_j)) - \log \mathcal{Z} = \sum_{j=1}^H \log(g_j(\mathbf{x}, \theta_j)) - \log \mathcal{Z} \\ \frac{\partial \log \mathcal{Z}}{\partial \mathbf{w}_j} &= \frac{1}{\mathcal{Z}} \cdot \frac{\partial \mathcal{Z}}{\partial \mathbf{w}_j} = \frac{1}{\mathcal{Z}} \cdot \frac{\partial \sum_{\mathbf{x} \in \{0, 1\}^d} \prod_{i=1}^H g_i(\mathbf{x}, \theta_i)}{\partial \mathbf{w}_j} \\ &= \frac{1}{\mathcal{Z}} \cdot \sum_{\mathbf{x} \in \{0, 1\}^d} \frac{\partial \prod_{i=1}^H g_i(\mathbf{x}, \theta_i)}{\partial \mathbf{w}_j} \\ &= \frac{1}{\mathcal{Z}} \cdot \sum_{\mathbf{x} \in \{0, 1\}^d} \left(\frac{\prod_{i \neq j} g_i(\mathbf{x}, \theta_i)}{\text{const}} \right) \cdot \frac{\partial g_j(\mathbf{x}, \theta_j)}{\partial \mathbf{w}_j} \\ &= \frac{1}{\mathcal{Z}} \cdot \sum_{\mathbf{x} \in \{0, 1\}^d} \left(\frac{\prod_{i \neq j} g_i(\mathbf{x}, \theta_i)}{\text{const}} \right) \cdot 2 \sinh(\mathbf{w}_j^\top \mathbf{x} + b_j) \cdot \mathbf{x} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \sum_{\mathbf{x}_n \in \{\pm 1\}^d} \frac{1}{Z} \cdot \prod_{i=1}^H g_i(\mathbf{x}, \theta_i) \cdot \frac{2 \sinh(\mathbf{w}_j^T \mathbf{x} + b_j) \cdot \mathbf{x}}{g_j(\mathbf{x}, \theta_j)} \\
&= \frac{2}{Z} \sum_{\mathbf{x}_n \in \{\pm 1\}^d} P(\mathbf{x}|\theta) \cdot \frac{2 \sinh(\mathbf{w}_j^T \mathbf{x} + b_j) \cdot \mathbf{x}}{1 + 2 \cosh(\mathbf{w}_j^T \mathbf{x} + b_j)} \\
&= \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{x} \sim P(\mathbf{x}|\theta)} \cdot \frac{\sinh(\mathbf{w}_j^T \mathbf{x} + b_j)}{0.5 + \cosh(\mathbf{w}_j^T \mathbf{x} + b_j)} \cdot \mathbf{x} \\
&= \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{x} \sim P(\mathbf{x}|\theta)} \cdot [\delta(\mathbf{w}_j^T \mathbf{x} + b_j) \cdot \mathbf{x}]
\end{aligned}$$

$$\frac{\partial \log P(\mathbf{x}_n|\theta)}{\partial \mathbf{w}_j} = \frac{2 \sinh(\mathbf{w}_j^T \mathbf{x}_n + b_j) \cdot \mathbf{x}_n}{1 + 2 \cosh(\mathbf{w}_j^T \mathbf{x}_n + b_j)} - \frac{\partial \log Z}{\partial \mathbf{w}_j}$$

$$= \mathbf{x}_n \delta(\mathbf{w}_j^T \mathbf{x}_n + b_j) - \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{x} \sim P(\mathbf{x}|\theta)} [\delta(\mathbf{w}_j^T \mathbf{x} + b_j) \cdot \mathbf{x}]$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial \log Z}{\partial b_j} &= \frac{1}{Z} \cdot \frac{\partial Z}{\partial b_j} = \frac{1}{Z} \cdot \frac{\partial \sum_{\mathbf{x} \in \{\pm 1\}^d} \prod_{i=1}^H g_i(\mathbf{x}, \theta_i)}{\partial b_j} \\
&= \frac{1}{Z} \cdot \sum_{\mathbf{x} \in \{\pm 1\}^d} \frac{\partial \prod_{i=1}^H g_i(\mathbf{x}, \theta_i)}{\partial b_j} \\
&= \frac{1}{Z} \cdot \sum_{\mathbf{x} \in \{\pm 1\}^d} \left(\prod_{i \neq j} g_i(\mathbf{x}, \theta_i) \right) \cdot \frac{\partial g_j(\mathbf{x}, \theta_j)}{\partial b_j} \\
&= \frac{1}{Z} \cdot \sum_{\mathbf{x} \in \{\pm 1\}^d} \left(\prod_{i \neq j} g_i(\mathbf{x}, \theta_i) \right) \cdot \sinh(\mathbf{w}_j^T \mathbf{x} + b_j) \\
&= \sum_{\mathbf{x}_n \in \{\pm 1\}^d} \frac{1}{Z} \cdot \prod_{i=1}^H g_i(\mathbf{x}, \theta_i) \cdot \frac{\sinh(\mathbf{w}_j^T \mathbf{x} + b_j)}{g_j(\mathbf{x}, \theta_j)} \\
&= \frac{2}{Z} \sum_{\mathbf{x}_n \in \{\pm 1\}^d} P(\mathbf{x}|\theta) \cdot \frac{\sinh(\mathbf{w}_j^T \mathbf{x} + b_j)}{1 + 2 \cosh(\mathbf{w}_j^T \mathbf{x} + b_j)} \\
&= \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{x} \sim P(\mathbf{x}|\theta)} \cdot \frac{\sinh(\mathbf{w}_j^T \mathbf{x} + b_j)}{0.5 + \cosh(\mathbf{w}_j^T \mathbf{x} + b_j)} \\
&= \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{x} \sim P(\mathbf{x}|\theta)} [\delta(\mathbf{w}_j^T \mathbf{x} + b_j)]
\end{aligned}$$

$$\frac{\partial \log P(\mathbf{x}_n|\theta)}{\partial b_j} = \frac{2 \sinh(\mathbf{w}_j^T \mathbf{x}_n + b_j)}{1 + 2 \cosh(\mathbf{w}_j^T \mathbf{x}_n + b_j)} - \frac{\partial \log Z}{\partial b_j}$$

$$= \delta(\mathbf{w}_j^T \mathbf{x}_n + b_j) - \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{x} \sim P(\mathbf{x}|\theta)} [\delta(\mathbf{w}_j^T \mathbf{x} + b_j)]$$

(b) We build upon the the PoE formulation from exercise 1(a), which we rewrite as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
 p(\mathbf{x}|\boldsymbol{\theta}) &= \frac{1}{\mathcal{Z}} \prod_{j=1}^H (1 + 2 \cosh(\mathbf{w}_j^\top \mathbf{x} + b_j)) = \frac{1}{\mathcal{Z}} \exp \left(\underbrace{\log \prod_{j=1}^H (1 + 2 \cosh(\mathbf{w}_j^\top \mathbf{x} + b_j))}_{f(\mathbf{x}, \boldsymbol{\theta})} \right) \\
 &= \frac{1}{\mathcal{Z}} \exp(f(\mathbf{x}, \boldsymbol{\theta})) = \frac{\exp(f(\mathbf{x}, \boldsymbol{\theta}))}{\sum_{\mathbf{x} \in \{0,1\}^d} \exp(f(\mathbf{x}, \boldsymbol{\theta}))},
 \end{aligned}$$

where in the last step we expanded the normalization constant \mathcal{Z} . Therefore, the log-likelihood has the following form:

$$\log p(\mathbf{x}_n|\boldsymbol{\theta}) = f(\mathbf{x}_n, \boldsymbol{\theta}) - \log \sum_{\mathbf{x} \in \{0,1\}^d} \exp(f(\mathbf{x}, \boldsymbol{\theta}))$$

We use this form to compute the gradient as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
 \nabla_{\boldsymbol{\theta}} \log p(\mathbf{x}_n|\boldsymbol{\theta}) &= \nabla_{\boldsymbol{\theta}} f(\mathbf{x}_n, \boldsymbol{\theta}) - \nabla_{\boldsymbol{\theta}} \log \sum_{\mathbf{x} \in \{0,1\}^d} \exp(f(\mathbf{x}, \boldsymbol{\theta})) \\
 &= \nabla_{\boldsymbol{\theta}} f(\mathbf{x}_n, \boldsymbol{\theta}) - \frac{1}{\sum_{\mathbf{x} \in \{0,1\}^d} \exp(f(\mathbf{x}, \boldsymbol{\theta}))} \cdot \sum_{\mathbf{x} \in \{0,1\}^d} \exp(f(\mathbf{x}, \boldsymbol{\theta})) \cdot \nabla_{\boldsymbol{\theta}} f(\mathbf{x}, \boldsymbol{\theta}) \\
 &= \nabla_{\boldsymbol{\theta}} f(\mathbf{x}_n, \boldsymbol{\theta}) - \sum_{\mathbf{x} \in \{0,1\}^d} \underbrace{\frac{\exp(f(\mathbf{x}, \boldsymbol{\theta}))}{\sum_{\mathbf{y} \in \{0,1\}^d} \exp(f(\mathbf{y}, \boldsymbol{\theta}))}}_{p(\mathbf{x}|\boldsymbol{\theta})} \cdot \nabla_{\boldsymbol{\theta}} f(\mathbf{x}, \boldsymbol{\theta}) \\
 &= \nabla_{\boldsymbol{\theta}} f(\mathbf{x}_n, \boldsymbol{\theta}) - \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{x} \sim p(\mathbf{x}|\boldsymbol{\theta})} [\nabla_{\boldsymbol{\theta}} f(\mathbf{x}, \boldsymbol{\theta})]
 \end{aligned}$$

That is,

$$\boxed{\nabla_{\boldsymbol{\theta}} \log p(\mathbf{x}_n|\boldsymbol{\theta}) = \nabla_{\boldsymbol{\theta}} f(\mathbf{x}_n, \boldsymbol{\theta}) - \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{x} \sim p(\mathbf{x}|\boldsymbol{\theta})} [\nabla_{\boldsymbol{\theta}} f(\mathbf{x}, \boldsymbol{\theta})]} \quad (1)$$

Remember our definition of $f(\mathbf{x}, \boldsymbol{\theta})$:

$$f(\mathbf{x}, \boldsymbol{\theta}) = \log \prod_{j=1}^H (1 + 2 \cosh(\mathbf{w}_j^\top \mathbf{x} + b_j)) = \sum_{j=1}^H \log(1 + 2 \cosh(\mathbf{w}_j^\top \mathbf{x} + b_j)) \quad (2)$$

Therefore, the corresponding gradients are given as:

$$\nabla_{\mathbf{w}_j} f(\mathbf{x}, \boldsymbol{\theta}) = \underbrace{\frac{2 \sinh(\mathbf{w}_j^\top \mathbf{x} + b_j)}{1 + 2 \cosh(\mathbf{w}_j^\top \mathbf{x} + b_j)}}_{\sigma(\mathbf{w}_j^\top \mathbf{x} + b_j)} \mathbf{x} = \sigma(\mathbf{w}_j^\top \mathbf{x} + b_j) \cdot \mathbf{x} \quad (3)$$

$$\frac{\partial f(\mathbf{x}, \boldsymbol{\theta})}{\partial b_j} = \underbrace{\frac{2 \sinh(\mathbf{w}_j^\top \mathbf{x} + b_j)}{1 + 2 \cosh(\mathbf{w}_j^\top \mathbf{x} + b_j)}}_{\sigma(\mathbf{w}_j^\top \mathbf{x} + b_j)} = \sigma(\mathbf{w}_j^\top \mathbf{x} + b_j) \quad (4)$$

Inserting (3) and (4) into (1) gives our target form.

Exercise 2: Product of Gaussian Mixture Models (20 + 10 P)

Consider the product of experts:

$$p(x|\theta) = \frac{1}{Z} \prod_{j=1}^H g_j(x, \theta_j)$$

where each expert is a Gaussian mixture model in d -dimensions, and where each element of the mixture is Gaussian with identity covariance:

$$\forall_{j=1}^H : g_j(x, \theta_j) = \sum_{k=1}^C \alpha_{jk} \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{d/2}} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}\|x - \mu_{jk}\|^2\right).$$

- (a) Show that $p(x|\theta)$ can be rewritten as a mixture of C^H elements, where each mixture element (indexed by the vector $k \in \{1, \dots, C\}^H$) has center

$$m_k = \frac{1}{H} \sum_{j=1}^H \mu_{jk_j}.$$

$$\begin{aligned} p(x|\theta) &= \frac{1}{Z} \prod_{j=1}^H \sum_{k=1}^C \alpha_{jk} \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{d/2}} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}\|x - \mu_{jk}\|^2\right) \\ &= \sum_{k_1=1}^C \dots \sum_{k_H=1}^C \left(\frac{1}{Z} \prod_{i=1}^H \alpha_{i k_i} \right) \cdot \left(\prod_{i=1}^H \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{d/2}} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}\|x - \mu_{i k_i}\|^2\right) \right) \\ &= \sum_{k_1=1}^C \dots \sum_{k_H=1}^C \left(\frac{1}{Z} \prod_{i=1}^H \alpha_{i k_i} \right) \cdot \left(\frac{1}{(2\pi)^{\frac{dH}{2}}} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^H \|x - \mu_{i k_i}\|^2\right) \right) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \|x - m_k\|^2 &= \|x\|^2 - 2x^T m_k + \|m_k\|^2 \\ H \cdot \|x - m_k\|^2 &= H \cdot \|x\|^2 - 2x^T m_k + H \cdot \|m_k\|^2 \\ &= H \cdot \|x\|^2 - 2x^T (H m_k) + H \cdot \|m_k\|^2 \\ &= H \cdot \|x\|^2 - 2x^T \cdot \left(\sum_{j=1}^H \mu_{j k_j} \right) + H \cdot \|m_k\|^2 \end{aligned}$$

$$\Rightarrow H \cdot \|x\|^2 - 2x^T \cdot \left(\sum_{j=1}^H \mu_{j k_j} \right) = \frac{H \cdot \|x - m_k\|^2 - H \cdot \|m_k\|^2}{1}$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^H \|x - \mu_{i k_i}\|^2 = \sum_{i=1}^H \left[\|x\|^2 - 2x^T \mu_{i k_i} + \|\mu_{i k_i}\|^2 \right]$$

$$= H \cdot \|x\|^2 - 2x^T \sum_{i=1}^H \mu_{i k_i} + \sum_{i=1}^H \|\mu_{i k_i}\|^2$$

$$= H \cdot \|x - m_k\|^2 - H \cdot \|m_k\|^2 + \sum_{i=1}^H \|\mu_{i k_i}\|^2$$

$$\exp\left(-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^H \|x - \mu_{i k_i}\|^2\right) = \exp\left(-\frac{H}{2} \|x - m_k\|^2\right) \cdot \exp\left(\frac{H}{2} \|m_k\|^2 - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^H \|\mu_{i k_i}\|^2\right)$$

$$= \sum_{k_1=1}^C \dots \sum_{k_H=1}^C \underbrace{\left(\frac{1}{Z} \prod_{i=1}^H \alpha_{i k_i} \right)}_{\text{new mixing coef.}} \cdot \underbrace{\frac{1}{(2\pi)^{\frac{dH}{2}}} \exp\left(-\frac{H}{2} \|x - m_k\|^2\right)}_{\text{Gaussian with mean } m_k, \Sigma = \frac{1}{H} I}$$

- (b) Give the centers \underline{m}_k of the mixture model equivalent to a product of two mixture models, where each mixture model in the product has 2 elements, where the first mixture has the two-dimensional centers $\underline{\mu}_{11} = \begin{pmatrix} 2 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$ and $\underline{\mu}_{12} = \begin{pmatrix} 4 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$, and where the second mixture has the two-dimensional centers $\underline{\mu}_{21} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 2 \end{pmatrix}$ and $\underline{\mu}_{22} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 4 \end{pmatrix}$. H x C

$$m_k = \frac{1}{H} \sum_{j=1}^H \mu_{jk_j}$$

index k is a vector !

H=2

$$k = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \quad m_k = \frac{1}{2} \cdot (\mu_{1k_1} + \mu_{2k_2}) = \frac{1}{2} (\mu_{11} + \mu_{21}) = \frac{1}{2} \left[\begin{pmatrix} 2 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 2 \end{pmatrix} \right] = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$k = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \end{pmatrix} \quad m_k = \frac{1}{2} (\mu_{11} + \mu_{22}) = \frac{1}{2} \left[\begin{pmatrix} 2 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 4 \end{pmatrix} \right] = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$k = \begin{pmatrix} 2 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \quad m_k = \frac{1}{2} (\mu_{12} + \mu_{21}) = \frac{1}{2} \left[\begin{pmatrix} 4 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 2 \end{pmatrix} \right] = \begin{pmatrix} 2 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$k = \begin{pmatrix} 2 \\ 2 \end{pmatrix} \quad m_k = \frac{1}{2} (\mu_{12} + \mu_{22}) = \begin{pmatrix} 2 \\ 2 \end{pmatrix}$$