

Lecture 5

Interim Report

COMP3050: Individual Dissertation

School of Computer Science

University of Nottingham Ningbo China (UNNC)

Dr Matthew Pike

25 November 2020

- Marking of Project Proposals should be completed now
 - Ensure you have received sufficient feedback from your supervisors
 - This may be available on your Turnitin submission, directly
- A number of projects requiring full ethics approval have not been formally submitted to Moodle
 - You cannot begin your research until this process is completed

Interim Report

Module Timeline

Deliverable	Contribution	Deadline or Due Date
Project Allocation Confirmation	Mandatory	09 Oct 2020
Submit Revised Project Proposal	2%	23 Oct 2020
Preliminary Ethics Form	Mandatory	23 Oct 2020
Interim Report	8%	11 Dec 2020
Dissertation	75%	26 April 2021
Demonstration	15%	03 May 2021

- An interim report consists of an outline with progress update and draft content.
- There is a suggested maximum limit of 5000 words
- The Interim Report should not exceeding 15 pages (A4 sides), including the bibliography and excludes cover/front pages (e.g. abstract, acknowledgements, table of contents).
- There is an example of a good Interim Reports available on Moodle
- Please discuss your interim report with your supervisor before you submit
- The interim report contributes 8% to your overall FYP grade

- The following is a typical interim report structure provided as guidance
 - Your structure **may vary**, depending on the nature of your project
 - It is important to consult with your Supervisor **before** drafting your report.
- Structure
 - Introduction
 - Motivation
 - Related work
 - Description of the work Methodology
 - Design
 - Implementation (if there is any)

- Progress
 - Project management
 - Review of Work-plan (from Project Proposal) detailing: progress, time allocation.
 - How do you manage your resources/time?
 - Review the workplan and provide explanation/reasons for adjusting the future work-plan.
 - Inclusion of a Gantt chart is strongly recommended as a visual indicating the progress of the project.
 - Contributions and reflections
 - Details of your achievements and contributions up to date
 - A personal reflection on the plan and your experience of the project (a critical appraisal of how the project has been progressing).
- Bibliography

Marking

- Your proposals will be marked according to the distributed rubric (on Moodle)
 - Ensure you have a good understanding of this rubric
 - Review your proposal against this rubric before finalising your submission
- The rubric is available via Moodle
 - Module Assessment -> Interim Report (8%) -> Interim Report Marking Rubric
- All submissions will be marked according to this rubric
 - This will be enforced via Turnitin (On Moodle)

In-class Exercise - Understanding the Requirements (10 Mins)

- Find a friend
- Open your laptops / tablet / phone
- One person should open the rubric
- Another person, should open the example Interim Report on Moodle
- Go through and annotate where/when the report does/not meet a criteria specified in the rubric
 - This is what supervisors will be doing when marking your reports

Example of Annotation

2.2 Motivations

Considering the above, it is possible to consider some use cases for this system, which may be useful future goals. Three of these use cases are:

- C2 1. **Alternative Controllers:** This system may be useful for those who have physical disabilities, and would as such be unable to interact with a system using the provided controllers. For instance, this system could be used in the instance where the user cannot hold a game controller, whereby the machine would learn of and use the gestures of another item that the user could hold instead. ?C3?
- C2 2. **Generalised Predictive tracking:** Another case where this may be useful is in predictive object tracking, or indeed any predictive component in the Machine Learning field. This project is a special case of supervised learning (Arguably more similar to reinforcement learning [7]) whereby the user supplies the data and also reinforces it with use; and indeed a common aim of supervised learning is prediction [7]. Given this, it could be reasonable to suggest that a general system such as this could be used in the future, and combined with supervised learning such that it could be possible to produce an N-Dimensional predictive tracking system. More specifically, this system could combine predictive tracking of 3-dimensional objects, and make predictions about other features of a data point, such as temperature or sound output, in order to predict how an object may act. Such a system would also be useful in automating reactive simulators which may change as the environment does. C8
- C2 3. **Medical Use:** A simpler and generally useful case would be that of analysing how users interact with a system. Given the knowledge that the users determine how the system would act, this system could be used in order to find patterns of use amongst a populace. This data could be used for things such as predicting symptoms of physical disabilities (such as say, involuntary twitching) or determining patterns of use in a system in order to improve user interface design in the future.

Fig. 1: Annotations.png

- You should receive feedback from your supervisors, directly.
- Feedback may be delivered in several formats:
 - Verbal - In-person discussion with Supervisor
 - Written - General written feedback on the overall strengths/weaknesses of your report
 - Inline annotations on Turnitin
 - Granular rubric component attribution (on Turnitin)
- I realise the UI/UX of Turnitin is not very good
 - But the feedback is (should be) there!

Finding Turnitin Feedback

- Click the “Turnitin Submission”
- Click the “Title” of your Submission
- You should now see
 - Annotations from your supervisor, giving contextual feedback
 - Rubric categorisation of your work
 - General feedback on the document

Module Administration

- We've received a (fantastic) idea from Ruijie Xiong (Thank you Ruijie!)
 - We've set up a "Experiment Participant Recruitment" Forum on Moodle
 - The forum will facilitate the recruiting of participants to participate in FYP's that require (human) participants
 - Hopefully, FYP students can help each other to collect data for their respective projects or simply participate in research works at UNNC
 - Only research projects with the necessary ethics approval are allowed to post in the forum.

Best FYP Award (1/2)

- “Best Final Year Project” and “Distinguished Final Year Project” Awards
- Motivation - Provide an incentive to motivate all to produce more impactful, high quality FYPs
- Process
 - Each supervisor can nominate one project for consideration
 - A panel, consisting of the FYP module convener and experienced academics in the school will review each nominated project
 - The panel will evaluate projects based on:
 - Impact of Work
 - Novelty and Creativity of the Student’s approach
 - This isn’t necessarily awarded to the project with the highest mark
 - Only one student will be award “Best FYP”. Several students may obtain “Distinguished Project”.

- Award
 - There will be one winner (only)
 - The winner will be allowed to state they were awarded “Best Final Year Project 20/21 in Computer Science at UNNC” on their CV
 - The winner will receive a formal certificate
 - Dave Towey will buy you a drink of your choice



Fig. 2: FYP Mid-Year Attendance and Feedback