features

January 21, 2023

```
[2]: # This mounts your Google Drive to the Colab VM.
     from google.colab import drive
     drive.mount('/content/drive')
     # TODO: Enter the foldername in your Drive where you have saved the unzipped
     # assignment folder, e.g. 'cs231n/assignments/assignment1/'
     FOLDERNAME = 'cs231n/assignments/assignment1/'
     assert FOLDERNAME is not None, "[!] Enter the foldername."
     # Now that we've mounted your Drive, this ensures that
     # the Python interpreter of the Colab VM can load
     # python files from within it.
     import sys
     sys.path.append('/content/drive/My Drive/{}'.format(FOLDERNAME))
     # This downloads the CIFAR-10 dataset to your Drive
     # if it doesn't already exist.
     %cd /content/drive/My\ Drive/$FOLDERNAME/cs231n/datasets/
     !bash get_datasets.sh
     %cd /content/drive/My\ Drive/$FOLDERNAME
```

Drive already mounted at /content/drive; to attempt to forcibly remount, call drive.mount("/content/drive", force_remount=True). /content/drive/My Drive/cs231n/assignments/assignment1/cs231n/datasets /content/drive/My Drive/cs231n/assignments/assignment1

1 Image features exercise

Complete and hand in this completed worksheet (including its outputs and any supporting code outside of the worksheet) with your assignment submission. For more details see the assignments page on the course website.

We have seen that we can achieve reasonable performance on an image classification task by training a linear classifier on the pixels of the input image. In this exercise we will show that we can improve our classification performance by training linear classifiers not on raw pixels but on features that are computed from the raw pixels.

All of your work for this exercise will be done in this notebook.

1.1 Load data

Similar to previous exercises, we will load CIFAR-10 data from disk.

```
[4]: from cs231n.features import color histogram hsv, hog feature
     def get_CIFAR10_data(num_training=49000, num_validation=1000, num_test=1000):
         # Load the raw CIFAR-10 data
         cifar10_dir = 'cs231n/datasets/cifar-10-batches-py'
         # Cleaning up variables to prevent loading data multiple times (which may u
      → cause memory issue)
         try:
            del X_train, y_train
            del X_test, y_test
            print('Clear previously loaded data.')
         except:
            pass
         X_train, y_train, X_test, y_test = load_CIFAR10(cifar10_dir)
         # Subsample the data
         mask = list(range(num_training, num_training + num_validation))
         X_val = X_train[mask]
         y_val = y_train[mask]
         mask = list(range(num_training))
         X_train = X_train[mask]
         y_train = y_train[mask]
         mask = list(range(num_test))
         X_test = X_test[mask]
```

```
y_test = y_test[mask]
return X_train, y_train, X_val, y_val, X_test, y_test
X_train, y_train, X_val, y_val, X_test, y_test = get_CIFAR10_data()
```

1.2 Extract Features

For each image we will compute a Histogram of Oriented Gradients (HOG) as well as a color histogram using the hue channel in HSV color space. We form our final feature vector for each image by concatenating the HOG and color histogram feature vectors.

Roughly speaking, HOG should capture the texture of the image while ignoring color information, and the color histogram represents the color of the input image while ignoring texture. As a result, we expect that using both together ought to work better than using either alone. Verifying this assumption would be a good thing to try for your own interest.

The hog_feature and color_histogram_hsv functions both operate on a single image and return a feature vector for that image. The extract_features function takes a set of images and a list of feature functions and evaluates each feature function on each image, storing the results in a matrix where each column is the concatenation of all feature vectors for a single image.

```
[5]: from cs231n.features import *
     num_color_bins = 10 # Number of bins in the color histogram
     feature fns = [hog feature, lambda img: color histogram hsv(img,
     →nbin=num_color_bins)]
     X train feats = extract features(X train, feature fns, verbose=True)
     X_val_feats = extract_features(X_val, feature_fns)
     X_test_feats = extract_features(X_test, feature_fns)
     # Preprocessing: Subtract the mean feature
     mean_feat = np.mean(X_train_feats, axis=0, keepdims=True)
     X_train_feats -= mean_feat
     X_val_feats -= mean_feat
     X_test_feats -= mean_feat
     # Preprocessing: Divide by standard deviation. This ensures that each feature
     # has roughly the same scale.
     std feat = np.std(X train feats, axis=0, keepdims=True)
     X_train_feats /= std_feat
     X_val_feats /= std_feat
     X_test_feats /= std_feat
     # Preprocessing: Add a bias dimension
     X_train_feats = np.hstack([X_train_feats, np.ones((X_train_feats.shape[0], 1))])
     X val_feats = np.hstack([X_val_feats, np.ones((X_val_feats.shape[0], 1))])
     X_test_feats = np.hstack([X_test_feats, np.ones((X_test_feats.shape[0], 1))])
```

```
Done extracting features for 1000 / 49000 images
Done extracting features for 2000 / 49000 images
Done extracting features for 3000 / 49000 images
Done extracting features for 4000 / 49000 images
Done extracting features for 5000 / 49000 images
Done extracting features for 6000 / 49000 images
Done extracting features for 7000 / 49000 images
Done extracting features for 8000 / 49000 images
Done extracting features for 9000 / 49000 images
Done extracting features for 10000 / 49000 images
Done extracting features for 11000 / 49000 images
Done extracting features for 12000 / 49000 images
Done extracting features for 13000 / 49000 images
Done extracting features for 14000 / 49000 images
Done extracting features for 15000 / 49000 images
Done extracting features for 16000 / 49000 images
Done extracting features for 17000 / 49000 images
Done extracting features for 18000 / 49000 images
Done extracting features for 19000 / 49000 images
Done extracting features for 20000 / 49000 images
Done extracting features for 21000 / 49000 images
Done extracting features for 22000 / 49000 images
Done extracting features for 23000 / 49000 images
Done extracting features for 24000 / 49000 images
Done extracting features for 25000 / 49000 images
Done extracting features for 26000 / 49000 images
Done extracting features for 27000 / 49000 images
Done extracting features for 28000 / 49000 images
Done extracting features for 29000 / 49000 images
Done extracting features for 30000 / 49000 images
Done extracting features for 31000 / 49000 images
Done extracting features for 32000 / 49000 images
Done extracting features for 33000 / 49000 images
Done extracting features for 34000 / 49000 images
Done extracting features for 35000 / 49000 images
Done extracting features for 36000 / 49000 images
Done extracting features for 37000 / 49000 images
Done extracting features for 38000 / 49000 images
Done extracting features for 39000 / 49000 images
Done extracting features for 40000 / 49000 images
Done extracting features for 41000 / 49000 images
Done extracting features for 42000 / 49000 images
Done extracting features for 43000 / 49000 images
Done extracting features for 44000 / 49000 images
Done extracting features for 45000 / 49000 images
Done extracting features for 46000 / 49000 images
Done extracting features for 47000 / 49000 images
Done extracting features for 48000 / 49000 images
```

1.3 Train SVM on features

Using the multiclass SVM code developed earlier in the assignment, train SVMs on top of the features extracted above; this should achieve better results than training SVMs directly on top of raw pixels.

```
[9]: # Use the validation set to tune the learning rate and regularization strength
    from cs231n.classifiers.linear classifier import LinearSVM
    learning rates = [1e-9, 1e-8, 1e-7]
    regularization_strengths = [5e4, 5e5, 5e6]
    results = {}
    best_val = -1
    best_svm = None
    # TODO:
    # Use the validation set to set the learning rate and regularization strength.
    # This should be identical to the validation that you did for the SVM; save
    # the best trained classifer in best sum. You might also want to play
    # with different numbers of bins in the color histogram. If you are careful
    # you should be able to get accuracy of near 0.44 on the validation set.
    # *****START OF YOUR CODE (DO NOT DELETE/MODIFY THIS LINE)****
    for lr in learning rates:
        for reg in regularization_strengths:
           svm = LinearSVM()
           svm.train(X_train_feats, y_train, lr, reg, num_iters=1500)
           y_train_pred, y_val_pred = svm.predict(X_train_feats), svm.
     →predict(X_val_feats)
           results[(lr, reg)] = np.mean(y_train == y_train_pred), np.mean(y_val ==_
     →y_val_pred)
           if results[(lr, reg)][1] > best_val:
               best_val = results[(lr, reg)][1]
               best_svm = svm
    # *****END OF YOUR CODE (DO NOT DELETE/MODIFY THIS LINE)****
    # Print out results.
    for lr, reg in sorted(results):
        train_accuracy, val_accuracy = results[(lr, reg)]
        print('lr %e reg %e train accuracy: %f val accuracy: %f' % (
                   lr, reg, train_accuracy, val_accuracy))
    print('best validation accuracy achieved: %f' % best_val)
```

```
lr 1.000000e-09 reg 5.000000e+04 train accuracy: 0.103633 val accuracy: 0.118000 lr 1.000000e-09 reg 5.000000e+05 train accuracy: 0.098327 val accuracy: 0.093000 lr 1.000000e-09 reg 5.000000e+06 train accuracy: 0.417041 val accuracy: 0.417000 lr 1.000000e-08 reg 5.000000e+04 train accuracy: 0.086816 val accuracy: 0.071000 lr 1.000000e-08 reg 5.000000e+05 train accuracy: 0.415918 val accuracy: 0.418000 lr 1.000000e-08 reg 5.000000e+06 train accuracy: 0.400306 val accuracy: 0.392000 lr 1.000000e-07 reg 5.000000e+04 train accuracy: 0.414714 val accuracy: 0.414000 lr 1.000000e-07 reg 5.000000e+05 train accuracy: 0.402571 val accuracy: 0.396000 lr 1.000000e-07 reg 5.000000e+06 train accuracy: 0.336224 val accuracy: 0.320000 best validation accuracy achieved: 0.418000
```

```
[10]: # Evaluate your trained SVM on the test set: you should be able to get at least

→0.40

y_test_pred = best_svm.predict(X_test_feats)

test_accuracy = np.mean(y_test == y_test_pred)

print(test_accuracy)
```

0.417

```
[11]: # An important way to gain intuition about how an algorithm works is to
      # visualize the mistakes that it makes. In this visualization, we show examples
      # of images that are misclassified by our current system. The first column
      # shows images that our system labeled as "plane" but whose true label is
      # something other than "plane".
      examples_per_class = 8
      classes = ['plane', 'car', 'bird', 'cat', 'deer', 'dog', 'frog', 'horse', _
      ⇔'ship', 'truck']
      for cls, cls_name in enumerate(classes):
          idxs = np.where((y test != cls) & (y test pred == cls))[0]
          idxs = np.random.choice(idxs, examples_per_class, replace=False)
          for i, idx in enumerate(idxs):
              plt.subplot(examples_per_class, len(classes), i * len(classes) + cls + u
       →1)
              plt.imshow(X_test[idx].astype('uint8'))
              plt.axis('off')
              if i == 0:
                  plt.title(cls_name)
      plt.show()
```



1.3.1 Inline question 1:

Describe the misclassification results that you see. Do they make sense?

Your Answer: Yes, Because the error classified image have the same feature of the right class such as both the normal car and truck have

1.4 Neural Network on image features

Earlier in this assignment we saw that training a two-layer neural network on raw pixels achieved better classification performance than linear classifiers on raw pixels. In this notebook we have seen that linear classifiers on image features outperform linear classifiers on raw pixels.

For completeness, we should also try training a neural network on image features. This approach should outperform all previous approaches: you should easily be able to achieve over 55% classification accuracy on the test set; our best model achieves about 60% classification accuracy.

```
[12]: # Preprocessing: Remove the bias dimension
# Make sure to run this cell only ONCE
print(X_train_feats.shape)
```

```
X_train_feats = X_train_feats[:, :-1]
     X_val_feats = X_val_feats[:, :-1]
     X_test_feats = X_test_feats[:, :-1]
     print(X_train_feats.shape)
    (49000, 155)
     (49000, 154)
[14]: from cs231n.classifiers.fc net import TwoLayerNet
     from cs231n.solver import Solver
     input_dim = X_train_feats.shape[1]
     hidden dim = 500
     num_classes = 10
     data = {
         'X_train': X_train_feats,
         'y_train': y_train,
        'X_val': X_val_feats,
        'y_val': y_val,
        'X_test': X_test_feats,
        'y_test': y_test,
     }
     net = TwoLayerNet(input_dim, hidden_dim, num_classes)
     best net = None
     # TODO: Train a two-layer neural network on image features. You may want to
     # cross-validate various parameters as in previous sections. Store your best
                                                                           #
     # model in the best net variable.
     # *****START OF YOUR CODE (DO NOT DELETE/MODIFY THIS LINE)****
     results = {}
     best_val = -1
     learning_rates = np.linspace(1e-2, 2.75e-2, 4)
     regularization_strengths = np.geomspace(1e-6, 1e-4, 3)
     data = {
         'X_train': X_train_feats,
         'X_val': X_val_feats,
        'X test': X test feats,
         'y_train': y_train,
         'y_val': y_val,
         'y_test': y_test
```

```
for lr in learning_rates:
          for reg in regularization_strengths:
              model = net
              solver = Solver(model, data, optim_config={'learning_rate': lr},__
       →num_epochs=15, verbose=False)
              solver.train()
              # Compute validation set accuracy and append to the dictionary
              results[(lr, reg)] = solver.best_val_acc
              # Save if validation accuracy is the best
              if results[(lr, reg)] > best_val:
                  best_val = results[(lr, reg)]
                  best net = model
      # Print out results.
      for lr, reg in sorted(results):
          val_accuracy = results[(lr, reg)]
          print('lr %e reg %e val accuracy: %f' % (lr, reg, val_accuracy))
      print('best validation accuracy achieved during cross-validation: %f' %11
       →best_val)
      # *****END OF YOUR CODE (DO NOT DELETE/MODIFY THIS LINE)****
     lr 1.000000e-02 reg 1.000000e-06 val accuracy: 0.518000
     lr 1.000000e-02 reg 1.000000e-05 val accuracy: 0.547000
     lr 1.000000e-02 reg 1.000000e-04 val accuracy: 0.574000
     lr 1.583333e-02 reg 1.000000e-06 val accuracy: 0.597000
     lr 1.583333e-02 reg 1.000000e-05 val accuracy: 0.611000
     lr 1.583333e-02 reg 1.000000e-04 val accuracy: 0.610000
     lr 2.166667e-02 reg 1.000000e-06 val accuracy: 0.609000
     lr 2.166667e-02 reg 1.000000e-05 val accuracy: 0.608000
     lr 2.166667e-02 reg 1.000000e-04 val accuracy: 0.610000
     lr 2.750000e-02 reg 1.000000e-06 val accuracy: 0.609000
     lr 2.750000e-02 reg 1.000000e-05 val accuracy: 0.605000
     lr 2.750000e-02 reg 1.000000e-04 val accuracy: 0.603000
     best validation accuracy achieved during cross-validation: 0.611000
[15]: # Run your best neural net classifier on the test set. You should be able
      # to get more than 55% accuracy.
      y_test_pred = np.argmax(best_net.loss(data['X_test']), axis=1)
      test_acc = (y_test_pred == data['y_test']).mean()
      print(test_acc)
```

0.586