# Introduction and Basic Implementation for Finite Element Methods

Chapter 6: Finite elements for 2D steady Stokes equation

Xiaoming He
Department of Mathematics & Statistics
Missouri University of Science & Technology

#### Outline

- Weak/Galerkin formulation
- 2 FE discretization
- 3 Dirichlet boundary condition
- 4 FE Method
- More Discussion

#### Outline

- Weak/Galerkin formulation
- 2 FE discretization
- 3 Dirichlet boundary condition
- 4 FE Method
- More Discussion

#### Target problem

Consider the 2D Stokes equation:

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{ll} -\nabla\cdot\mathbb{T}(\mathbf{u},p) = \mathbf{f} & in & \Omega, \\ \nabla\cdot\mathbf{u} = 0 & in & \Omega, \\ \mathbf{u} = \mathbf{g} & on & \partial\Omega. \end{array} \right.$$

where

$$\mathbf{u}(x,y) = (u_1, u_2)^t, \ \mathbf{g}(x,y) = (g_1, g_2)^t, \ \mathbf{f}(x,y) = (f_1, f_2)^t.$$

• The stress tensor  $\mathbb{T}(\mathbf{u},p)$  is defined as

$$\mathbb{T}(\mathbf{u}, p) = 2\nu \mathbb{D}(\mathbf{u}) - p\mathbb{I}$$

where  $\nu$  is the viscosity and the deformation tensor

$$\mathbb{D}(\mathbf{u}) = \frac{1}{2}(\nabla \mathbf{u} + (\nabla \mathbf{u})^t)$$

- Since p appears in the equation without any derivative, then, if  $(\mathbf{u},p)$  is a solution, then  $(\mathbf{u},p+c)$  is also a solution where c is a constant. Hence we need to impose additional condition for p. Here are three regular choices:
- (1) Fix p at one point in the domain  $\Omega$ .
- (2) Apply a stress or Robin boundary condition (at least in the normal direction) on part of the boundary  $\partial\Omega$ .
- (3) Apply  $\int_{\Omega} p dx dy = 0$ . (A good reference: On the finite element solution of the pure Neumann problem, Pavel Bochev, R. B. Lehoucq, SIAM Review, 47(1): 50-66, 2005.)

#### Target problem

Weak/Galerkin formulation

In more details, the deformation tensor can be written as

$$\mathbb{D}(\mathbf{u}) = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial u_1}{\partial x} & \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{\partial u_1}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial u_2}{\partial x} \right) \\ \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{\partial u_1}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial u_2}{\partial x} \right) & \frac{\partial u_2}{\partial y} \end{pmatrix}$$

Hence the stress tensor can be written as

$$\mathbb{T}(\mathbf{u}, p) = \begin{pmatrix} 2\nu \frac{\partial u_1}{\partial x} - p & \nu \left( \frac{\partial u_1}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial u_2}{\partial x} \right) \\ \nu \left( \frac{\partial u_1}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial u_2}{\partial x} \right) & 2\nu \frac{\partial u_2}{\partial y} - p \end{pmatrix}$$

 First, take the inner product with a vector function  $\mathbf{v}(x,y) = (v_1, v_2)^t$  on both sides of the Stokes equation:

$$\begin{split} &-\nabla \cdot \mathbb{T}(\mathbf{u},p) = \mathbf{f} \quad \text{in } \Omega \\ \Rightarrow &-(\nabla \cdot \mathbb{T}(\mathbf{u},p)) \cdot \mathbf{v} = \mathbf{f} \cdot \mathbf{v} \quad \text{in } \Omega \\ \Rightarrow &-\int_{\Omega} (\nabla \cdot \mathbb{T}(\mathbf{u},p)) \cdot \mathbf{v} \ dxdy = \int_{\Omega} \mathbf{f} \cdot \mathbf{v} \ dxdy. \end{split}$$

 Second, multiply the divergence free equation by a function q(x,y):

$$\nabla \cdot \mathbf{u} = 0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad (\nabla \cdot \mathbf{u})q = 0$$
$$\Rightarrow \quad \int_{\Omega} (\nabla \cdot \mathbf{u})q \ dxdy = 0.$$

•  $\mathbf{u}(x,y)$  and p(x,y) are called trail functions and  $\mathbf{v}(x,y)$  and q(x,y) are called test functions.

• Using integration by parts in multi-dimension:

$$\int_{\Omega} (\nabla \cdot \mathbb{T}) \cdot \mathbf{v} \ dxdy = \int_{\partial \Omega} (\mathbb{T} \mathbf{n}) \cdot \mathbf{v} \ ds - \int_{\Omega} \mathbb{T} : \nabla \mathbf{v} \ dxdy,$$

where  $\mathbf{n} = (n_1, n_2)^t$  is the unit outer normal vector of  $\partial \Omega$ , we obtain

$$\int_{\Omega} \mathbb{T}(\mathbf{u}, p) : \nabla \mathbf{v} \ dxdy - \int_{\partial \Omega} (\mathbb{T}(\mathbf{u}, p)\mathbf{n}) \cdot \mathbf{v} \ ds = \int_{\Omega} \mathbf{f} \cdot \mathbf{v} \ dxdy.$$

Here.

$$A:B = \begin{pmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} \\ a_{21} & a_{22} \end{pmatrix} : \begin{pmatrix} b_{11} & b_{12} \\ b_{21} & b_{22} \end{pmatrix}$$
$$= a_{11}b_{11} + a_{12}b_{12} + a_{21}b_{21} + a_{22}b_{22}.$$

• Using the above definition for A: B, it is not difficult to verify (an independent study project topic) that

$$\mathbb{T}(\mathbf{u}, p) : \nabla \mathbf{v} = (2\nu \mathbb{D}(\mathbf{u}) - p\mathbb{I}) : \nabla \mathbf{v} 
= 2\nu \mathbb{D}(\mathbf{u}) : \mathbb{D}(\mathbf{v}) - p(\nabla \cdot \mathbf{v}).$$

Hence we obtain

$$\int_{\Omega} 2\nu \mathbb{D}(\mathbf{u}) : \mathbb{D}(\mathbf{v}) \ dxdy - \int_{\Omega} p(\nabla \cdot \mathbf{v}) \ dxdy$$
$$- \int_{\partial \Omega} (\mathbb{T}(\mathbf{u}, p)\mathbf{n}) \cdot \mathbf{v} \ ds = \int_{\Omega} \mathbf{f} \cdot \mathbf{v} \ dxdy,$$
$$- \int_{\Omega} (\nabla \cdot \mathbf{u}) q \ dxdy = 0.$$

Here we multiply the second equation by -1 in order to keep the matrix formulation symmetric later.

- Since the solution on the domain boundary  $\partial\Omega$  are given by  $\mathbf{u}=\mathbf{g}$ , then we can choose the test function  $\mathbf{v}(x,y)$  such that  $\mathbf{v}=0$  on  $\partial\Omega$ .
- Hence

$$\int_{\Omega} 2\nu \mathbb{D}(\mathbf{u}) : \mathbb{D}(\mathbf{v}) \ dxdy - \int_{\Omega} p(\nabla \cdot \mathbf{v}) \ dxdy = \int_{\Omega} \mathbf{f} \cdot \mathbf{v} \ dxdy,$$
$$- \int_{\Omega} (\nabla \cdot \mathbf{u}) q \ dxdy = 0.$$

Weak/Galerkin formulation

• Weak formulation in the vector format: find  $\mathbf{u} \in H^1(\Omega) \times H^1(\Omega)$ and  $p \in L^2(\Omega)$  such that

Dirichlet boundary condition

$$\int_{\Omega} 2\nu \mathbb{D}(\mathbf{u}) : \mathbb{D}(\mathbf{v}) \ dxdy - \int_{\Omega} p(\nabla \cdot \mathbf{v}) \ dxdy = \int_{\Omega} \mathbf{f} \cdot \mathbf{v} \ dxdy, 
- \int_{\Omega} (\nabla \cdot \mathbf{u}) q \ dxdy = 0,$$

for any  $\mathbf{v} \in H_0^1(\Omega) \times H_0^1(\Omega)$  and  $q \in L^2(\Omega)$ .

- Let  $a(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}) = \int_{\Omega} 2\nu \mathbb{D}(\mathbf{u}) : \mathbb{D}(\mathbf{v}) \ dxdy$ ,  $b(\mathbf{u},q) = -\int_{\Omega} (\nabla \cdot \mathbf{u}) q \, dx dy$ , and  $(\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{v}) = \int_{\Omega} \mathbf{f} \cdot \mathbf{v} \, dx dy$ .
- Weak formulation: find  $\mathbf{u} \in H^1(\Omega) \times H^1(\Omega)$  and  $p \in L^2(\Omega)$  s. t.

$$a(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}) + b(\mathbf{v}, p) = (\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{v}),$$
  
 $b(\mathbf{u}, q) = 0,$ 

for any  $\mathbf{v} \in H_0^1(\Omega) \times H_0^1(\Omega)$  and  $q \in L^2(\Omega)$ .

Weak/Galerkin formulation

In more details.

$$\mathbb{D}(\mathbf{u}) : \mathbb{D}(\mathbf{v}) \\
= \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial u_1}{\partial x} & \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{\partial u_1}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial u_2}{\partial x} \right) \\ \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{\partial u_1}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial u_2}{\partial x} \right) & \frac{\partial u_2}{\partial y} \end{pmatrix} \\
: \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial v_1}{\partial x} & \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{\partial v_1}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial v_2}{\partial x} \right) \\ \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{\partial v_1}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial v_2}{\partial x} \right) & \frac{\partial v_2}{\partial y} \end{pmatrix} \\
= \frac{\partial u_1}{\partial x} \frac{\partial v_1}{\partial x} + \frac{1}{4} \left( \frac{\partial u_1}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial u_2}{\partial x} \right) \left( \frac{\partial v_1}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial v_2}{\partial x} \right) \\
+ \frac{1}{4} \left( \frac{\partial u_1}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial u_2}{\partial x} \right) \left( \frac{\partial v_1}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial v_2}{\partial x} \right) + \frac{\partial u_2}{\partial y} \frac{\partial v_2}{\partial y}.$$

Hence

$$\begin{split} & \mathbb{D}(\mathbf{u}) : \mathbb{D}(\mathbf{v}) \\ & = \frac{\partial u_1}{\partial x} \frac{\partial v_1}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial u_2}{\partial y} \frac{\partial v_2}{\partial y} + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial u_1}{\partial y} \frac{\partial v_1}{\partial y} \\ & + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial u_1}{\partial y} \frac{\partial v_2}{\partial x} + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial u_2}{\partial x} \frac{\partial v_1}{\partial y} + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial u_2}{\partial x} \frac{\partial v_2}{\partial x}. \end{split}$$

Then

$$\int_{\Omega} 2\nu \mathbb{D}(\mathbf{u}) : \mathbb{D}(\mathbf{v}) \, dx dy$$

$$= \int_{\Omega} \nu \left( 2 \frac{\partial u_1}{\partial x} \frac{\partial v_1}{\partial x} + 2 \frac{\partial u_2}{\partial y} \frac{\partial v_2}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial u_1}{\partial y} \frac{\partial v_1}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial u_1}{\partial y} \frac{\partial v_1}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial u_2}{\partial x} \frac{\partial v_2}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial u_2}{\partial x} \frac{\partial v_1}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial u_2}{\partial x} \frac{\partial v_2}{\partial x} \right) \, dx dy.$$

Weak/Galerkin formulation

We also have

$$\int_{\Omega} p(\nabla \cdot \mathbf{v}) \ dxdy = \int_{\Omega} \left( p \frac{\partial v_1}{\partial x} + p \frac{\partial v_2}{\partial y} \right) \ dxdy,$$

$$\int_{\Omega} \mathbf{f} \cdot \mathbf{v} \ dxdy = \int_{\Omega} (f_1 v_1 + f_2 v_2) \ dxdy,$$

$$\int_{\Omega} (\nabla \cdot \mathbf{u}) q \ dxdy = \int_{\Omega} \left( \frac{\partial u_1}{\partial x} q + \frac{\partial u_2}{\partial y} q \right) \ dxdy.$$

• Weak formulation in the scalar format: find  $u_1 \in H^1(\Omega)$ ,  $u_2 \in H^1(\Omega)$ , and  $p \in L^2(\Omega)$  such that

$$\int_{\Omega} \nu \left( 2 \frac{\partial u_1}{\partial x} \frac{\partial v_1}{\partial x} + 2 \frac{\partial u_2}{\partial y} \frac{\partial v_2}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial u_1}{\partial y} \frac{\partial v_1}{\partial y} \right) 
+ \frac{\partial u_1}{\partial y} \frac{\partial v_2}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial u_2}{\partial x} \frac{\partial v_1}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial u_2}{\partial x} \frac{\partial v_2}{\partial x} \right) dxdy 
- \int_{\Omega} \left( p \frac{\partial v_1}{\partial x} + p \frac{\partial v_2}{\partial y} \right) dxdy 
= \int_{\Omega} (f_1 v_1 + f_2 v_2) dxdy. 
- \int_{\Omega} \left( \frac{\partial u_1}{\partial x} q + \frac{\partial u_2}{\partial y} q \right) dxdy = 0.$$

for any  $v_1 \in H^1_0(\Omega)$ ,  $v_2 \in H^1_0(\Omega)$ , and  $q \in L^2(\Omega)$ .

#### Galerkin formulation

Weak/Galerkin formulation

- Consider a finite element space  $U_h \subset H^1(\Omega)$  for the velocity and a finite element space  $W_h \subset L^2(\Omega)$  for the pressure. Define  $U_{b0}$  to be the space which consists of the functions of  $U_h$  with value 0 on the Dirichlet boundary.
- Then the Galerkin formulation is to find  $\mathbf{u}_h \in U_h \times U_h$  and  $p_h \in W_h$  such that

$$a(\mathbf{u}_h, \mathbf{v}_h) + b(\mathbf{v}_h, p_h) = (\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{v}_h),$$
  
 $b(\mathbf{u}_h, q_h) = 0,$ 

for any  $\mathbf{v}_h \in U_{h0} \times U_{h0}$  and  $q_h \in W_h$ .

#### Galerkin formulation

Weak/Galerkin formulation

 For an easier implementation, we use the following Galerkin formulation (without considering the Dirichlet boundary condition, which will be handled later): find  $\mathbf{u}_h \in U_h \times U_h$ and  $p_h \in W_h$  such that

$$a(\mathbf{u}_h, \mathbf{v}_h) + b(\mathbf{v}_h, p_h) = (\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{v}_h),$$
  
 $b(\mathbf{u}_h, q_h) = 0,$ 

for any  $\mathbf{v}_h \in U_h \times U_h$  and  $q_h \in W_h$ .

• In more details of the vector format, the Galerkin formulation (without considering the Dirichlet boundary condition, which will be handled later) is to find  $\mathbf{u}_h \in U_h \times U_h$  and  $p_h \in W_h$  such that

$$\int_{\Omega} 2\nu \mathbb{D}(\mathbf{u}_h) : \mathbb{D}(\mathbf{v}_h) \ dxdy - \int_{\Omega} p_h(\nabla \cdot \mathbf{v}_h) \ dxdy = \int_{\Omega} \mathbf{f} \cdot \mathbf{v}_h \ dxdy,$$
$$-\int_{\Omega} (\nabla \cdot \mathbf{u}_h) q_h \ dxdy = 0,$$

for any  $\mathbf{v}_h \in U_h \times U_h$  and  $q_h \in W_h$ .

- In our numerical example,  $U_h = span\{\phi_j\}_{j=1}^{N_b}$  and  $W_h = span\{\psi_j\}_{j=1}^{N_{bp}}$  are chosen to be the finite element spaces with the quadratic global basis functions  $\{\phi_j\}_{j=1}^{N_b}$  and linear global basis functions  $\{\psi_j\}_{j=1}^{N_{bp}}$ , which are defined in Chapter 2. They are called Taylor-Hood finite elements.
- Why do we choose the pairs of finite elements in this way?
- Stability of mixed finite elements: inf-sup condition.

$$\inf_{0 \neq q_h \in W_h} \sup_{0 \neq \mathbf{u}_h \in U_h \times U_h} \frac{b(\mathbf{u}_h, q_h)}{\|\nabla \mathbf{u}_h\|_0 \|q_h\|_0} > \beta,$$

where  $\beta > 0$  is a constant independent of mesh size h.

 See other course materials and references for the theory and more examples of stable mixed finite elements for Stokes equation.

#### Galerkin formulation

• In the scalar format, the Galerkin formulation (without considering the Dirichlet boundary condition, which will be handled later) is to find  $u_{1h} \in U_h$ ,  $u_{2h} \in U_h$ , and  $p_h \in W_h$  such that

$$\int_{\Omega} \nu \left( 2 \frac{\partial u_{1h}}{\partial x} \frac{\partial v_{1h}}{\partial x} + 2 \frac{\partial u_{2h}}{\partial y} \frac{\partial v_{2h}}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial u_{1h}}{\partial y} \frac{\partial v_{1h}}{\partial y} \right) 
+ \frac{\partial u_{1h}}{\partial y} \frac{\partial v_{2h}}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial u_{2h}}{\partial x} \frac{\partial v_{1h}}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial u_{2h}}{\partial x} \frac{\partial v_{2h}}{\partial x} \right) dxdy 
- \int_{\Omega} \left( p_h \frac{\partial v_{1h}}{\partial x} + p_h \frac{\partial v_{2h}}{\partial y} \right) dxdy 
= \int_{\Omega} (f_1 v_{1h} + f_2 v_{2h}) dxdy. 
- \int_{\Omega} \left( \frac{\partial u_{1h}}{\partial x} q_h + \frac{\partial u_{2h}}{\partial y} q_h \right) dxdy = 0.$$

for any  $v_{1h}\in U_h$ ,  $v_{2h}\in U_h$ , and  $q_h\in W_{h_{\triangle}}$  , and  $q_h\in W_{h_{\triangle}}$ 

#### Outline

- Weak/Galerkin formulation
- 2 FE discretization
- 3 Dirichlet boundary condition
- 4 FE Method
- More Discussion

Weak/Galerkin formulation

Recall the following definitions from Chapter 2:

- N: number of mesh elements.
- $N_m$ : number of mesh nodes.
- $E_n$   $(n=1,\cdots,N)$ : mesh elements.
- $Z_k$   $(k=1,\cdots,N_m)$ : mesh nodes.
- $N_l$ : number of local mesh nodes in a mesh element.
- P:information matrix consisting of the coordinates of all mesh nodes.
- T: information matrix consisting of the global node indices of the mesh nodes of all the mesh elements.

- We only consider the nodal basis functions (Lagrange type) in this course.
- $N_{lb}$ : number of local finite element nodes (=number of local finite element basis functions) in a mesh element.
- $N_b$ : number of the finite element nodes (= the number of unknowns = the total number of the finite element basis functions).
- $X_i$   $(j=1,\cdots,N_b)$ : finite element nodes.
- P<sub>b</sub>: information matrix consisting of the coordinates of all finite element nodes.
- T<sub>b</sub>: information matrix consisting of the global node indices of the finite element nodes of all the mesh elements.

Weak/Galerkin formulation

• Since  $u_{1h}$ ,  $u_{2h} \in U_h = span\{\phi_j\}_{j=1}^{N_b}$  and  $p_h \in W_h = span\{\psi_j\}_{j=1}^{N_{bp}}$ , then

$$u_{1h} = \sum_{j=1}^{N_b} u_{1j}\phi_j, \quad u_{2h} = \sum_{j=1}^{N_b} u_{2j}\phi_j, \quad p_h = \sum_{j=1}^{N_{bp}} p_j\psi_j$$

for some coefficients  $u_{1i}$ ,  $u_{2i}$   $(j = 1, \dots, N_b)$ , and  $p_i \ (i = 1, \cdots, N_{bn}).$ 

• If we can set up a linear algebraic system for  $u_{1i}$ ,  $u_{2j}$   $(j=1,\cdots,N_b)$ , and  $p_j$   $(j=1,\cdots,N_{bp})$ , then we can solve it to obtain the finite element solution  $\mathbf{u}_h = (u_{1h}, u_{2h})^t$ and  $p_h$ .

Weak/Galerkin formulation

 ${\bf v}_h = (\phi_i, 0)^t \ (i = 1, \cdots, N_h)$  and  $\mathbf{v}_h = (0, \phi_i)^t \ (i = 1, \cdots, N_b)$ . That is, in the first set of test functions, we choose  $v_{1h} = \phi_i$   $(i = 1, \dots, N_h)$  and  $v_{2h} = 0$ ; in the second set of test functions, we choose  $v_{1h}=0$  and  $v_{2h} = \phi_i \ (i = 1, \cdots, N_b).$ 

For the first equation in the Galerkin formulation, we choose

Dirichlet boundary condition

 For the second equation in the Galerkin formulation, we choose  $q_h = \psi_i \ (i = 1, \cdots, N_{bp}).$ 

Weak/Galerkin formulation

• Set  $\mathbf{v}_h=(\phi_i,0)^t$ , i.e.,  $v_{1h}=\phi_i$  and  $v_{2h}=0$   $(i=1,\cdots,N_b)$ , in the first equation of the Galerkin formulation. Then

$$2\int_{\Omega} \nu \left( \sum_{j=1}^{N_b} u_{1j} \frac{\partial \phi_j}{\partial x} \right) \frac{\partial \phi_i}{\partial x} dx dy + \int_{\Omega} \nu \left( \sum_{j=1}^{N_b} u_{1j} \frac{\partial \phi_j}{\partial y} \right) \frac{\partial \phi_i}{\partial y} dx dy + \int_{\Omega} \nu \left( \sum_{j=1}^{N_b} u_{2j} \frac{\partial \phi_j}{\partial x} \right) \frac{\partial \phi_i}{\partial y} dx dy - \int_{\Omega} \left( \sum_{j=1}^{N_{bp}} p_j \psi_j \right) \frac{\partial \phi_i}{\partial x} dx dy = \int_{\Omega} f_1 \phi_i dx dy.$$

• Set  $\mathbf{v}_h = (0, \phi_i)^t$ , i.e.,  $v_{1h} = 0$  and  $v_{2h} = \phi_i$   $(i = 1, \dots, N_b)$ , in the first equation of the Galerkin formulation. Then

$$2\int_{\Omega} \nu \left( \sum_{j=1}^{N_b} u_{2j} \frac{\partial \phi_j}{\partial y} \right) \frac{\partial \phi_i}{\partial y} dxdy + \int_{\Omega} \nu \left( \sum_{j=1}^{N_b} u_{1j} \frac{\partial \phi_j}{\partial y} \right) \frac{\partial \phi_i}{\partial x} dxdy + \int_{\Omega} \nu \left( \sum_{j=1}^{N_b} u_{2j} \frac{\partial \phi_j}{\partial x} \right) \frac{\partial \phi_i}{\partial x} dxdy - \int_{\Omega} \left( \sum_{j=1}^{N_{bp}} p_j \psi_j \right) \frac{\partial \phi_i}{\partial y} dxdy = \int_{\Omega} f_2 \phi_i dxdy.$$

• Set  $q_h = \psi_i \ (i = 1, \cdots, N_{bp})$  in the second equation of the Galerkin formulation. Then

$$-\int_{\Omega} \left( \sum_{j=1}^{N_b} u_{1j} \frac{\partial \phi_j}{\partial x} \right) \psi_i \, dx dy - \int_{\Omega} \left( \sum_{j=1}^{N_b} u_{2j} \frac{\partial \phi_j}{\partial y} \right) \psi_i \, dx dy = 0.$$

• Simplify the above three sets of equations, we obtain

 $\sum_{i=1}^{N_b} u_{1j} \left( 2 \int_{\Omega} \nu \frac{\partial \phi_j}{\partial x} \frac{\partial \phi_i}{\partial x} dx dy + \int_{\Omega} \nu \frac{\partial \phi_j}{\partial y} \frac{\partial \phi_i}{\partial y} dx dy \right)$ 

$$\begin{split} &+\sum_{j=1}^{N_b}u_{2j}\left(\int_{\Omega}\nu\frac{\partial\phi_j}{\partial x}\frac{\partial\phi_i}{\partial y}\;dxdy\right)+\sum_{j=1}^{N_{bp}}p_j\left(-\int_{\Omega}\psi_j\frac{\partial\phi_i}{\partial x}\;dxdy\right)=\int_{\Omega}f_1\phi_idxdy,\\ &\sum_{j=1}^{N_b}u_{1j}\left(\int_{\Omega}\nu\frac{\partial\phi_j}{\partial y}\frac{\partial\phi_i}{\partial x}\;dxdy\right)\\ &+\sum_{j=1}^{N_b}u_{2j}\left(2\int_{\Omega}\nu\frac{\partial\phi_j}{\partial y}\frac{\partial\phi_i}{\partial y}\;dxdy+\int_{\Omega}\nu\frac{\partial\phi_j}{\partial x}\frac{\partial\phi_i}{\partial x}\;dxdy\right)\\ &+\sum_{j=1}^{N_{bp}}p_j\left(-\int_{\Omega}\psi_j\frac{\partial\phi_i}{\partial y}\;dxdy\right)=\int_{\Omega}f_2\phi_idxdy,\\ &\sum_{j=1}^{N_b}u_{1j}\left(-\int_{\Omega}\frac{\partial\phi_j}{\partial x}\psi_i\;dxdy\right)+\sum_{j=1}^{N_b}u_{2j}\left(-\int_{\Omega}\frac{\partial\phi_j}{\partial y}\psi_i\;dxdy\right)+\sum_{j=1}^{N_{bp}}p_j*0=0. \end{split}$$

Define

$$A_{3} = \left[ \int_{\Omega} \nu \frac{\partial \phi_{j}}{\partial x} \frac{\partial \phi_{i}}{\partial y} \, dx dy \right]_{i,j=1}^{N_{b}}, \quad A_{4} = \left[ \int_{\Omega} \nu \frac{\partial \phi_{j}}{\partial y} \frac{\partial \phi_{i}}{\partial x} \, dx dy \right]_{i,j=1}^{N_{b}},$$

$$A_{5} = \left[ \int_{\Omega} -\psi_{j} \frac{\partial \phi_{i}}{\partial x} \, dx dy \right]_{i=1,j=1}^{N_{b}, N_{bp}}, \quad A_{6} = \left[ \int_{\Omega} -\psi_{j} \frac{\partial \phi_{i}}{\partial y} \, dx dy \right]_{i=1,j=1}^{N_{b}, N_{bp}}$$

$$A_{7} = \left[ \int_{\Omega} -\frac{\partial \phi_{j}}{\partial x} \psi_{i} \, dx dy \right]_{i=1,j=1}^{N_{bp}, N_{b}}, \quad A_{8} = \left[ \int_{\Omega} -\frac{\partial \phi_{j}}{\partial y} \psi_{i} \, dx dy \right]_{i=1,j=1}^{N_{bp}, N_{b}}$$

 $A_1 = \left[ \int_{\Omega} \nu \frac{\partial \phi_j}{\partial x} \frac{\partial \phi_i}{\partial x} \ dx dy \right]_{i, i=1}^{N_b}, \quad A_2 = \left[ \int_{\Omega} \nu \frac{\partial \phi_j}{\partial y} \frac{\partial \phi_i}{\partial y} \ dx dy \right]_{i, j=1}^{N_b},$ 

• Define a zero matrix  $\mathbb{O}_1 = [0]_{i=1}^{N_{bp}, N_{bp}}$  whose size is  $N_{bp} \times N_{bp}$ . Then

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 2A_1 + A_2 & A_3 & A_5 \\ A_4 & 2A_2 + A_1 & A_6 \\ A_7 & A_8 & \mathbb{O}_1 \end{pmatrix}$$

- Each matrix above can be obtained by Algorithm I-3 in Chapter 3.
- It is not difficult to verify (an independent study project topic) that

$$A_4 = A_3^t$$
,  $A_7 = A_5^t$ ,  $A_8 = A_6^t$ .

ullet Hence the matrix A is actually symmetric:

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 2A_1 + A_2 & A_3 & A_5 \\ A_3^t & 2A_2 + A_1 & A_6 \\ A_5^t & A_6^t & \mathbb{O}_1 \end{pmatrix}$$

Define the load vector

$$ec{b} = \left( egin{array}{c} ec{b}_1 \ ec{b}_2 \ ec{0} \end{array} 
ight)$$

Dirichlet boundary condition

where

$$\vec{b}_1 = \left[ \int_{\Omega} f_1 \phi_i dx dy \right]_{i=1}^{N_b}, \quad \vec{b}_2 = \left[ \int_{\Omega} f_2 \phi_i dx dy \right]_{i=1}^{N_b}.$$

Here the size of the zero vector is  $N_{bp} imes 1$ . That is,  $\vec{0} = [0]_{i=1}^{N_{bp}}$ .

• Each of  $\vec{b}_1$  and  $\vec{b}_2$  can be obtained by Algorithm II-3 in Chapter 3.

Define the unknown vector

$$ec{X} = \left( egin{array}{c} ec{X}_1 \ ec{X}_2 \ ec{X}_3 \end{array} 
ight)$$

where

$$\vec{X}_1 = [u_{1j}]_{j=1}^{N_b}, \quad \vec{X}_2 = [u_{2j}]_{j=1}^{N_b}, \quad \vec{X}_3 = [p_j]_{j=1}^{N_{bp}}.$$

Dirichlet boundary condition

Then we obtain the linear algebraic system

$$A\vec{X} = \vec{b}$$

#### Outline

- Weak/Galerkin formulation
- 2 FE discretization
- 3 Dirichlet boundary condition
- 4 FE Method
- More Discussion

Weak/Galerkin formulation

## chiet boundary condition

- Basically, the Dirichlet boundary condition  $\mathbf{u} = \mathbf{g}$  (i.e.,  $u_1 = g_1$  and  $u_2 = g_2$ ) provides the solutions at all boundary finite element nodes.
- Since the coefficient  $u_{1j}$  and  $u_{2j}$  in the finite element solutions  $u_{1h} = \sum_{j=1}^{N_b} u_{1j} \phi_j$  and  $u_{2h} = \sum_{j=1}^{N_b} u_{2j} \phi_j$  are actually the numerical solutions at the finite element node  $X_j$   $(j=1,\cdots,N_b)$  when nodal basis functions are used, we actually know those  $u_{1j}$  and  $u_{2j}$  which are corresponding to the boundary finite element nodes.
- Recall that boundarynodes(2,:) store the global node indices
  of all boundary finite element nodes.
- If  $m \in boundarynodes(2,:)$ , then the  $m^{th}$  equation is called a boundary node equation for  $u_1$  and the  $(N_b + m)^{th}$  equation is called a boundary node equation for  $u_2$ .
- $\bullet$  Set nbn to be the number of boundary nodes;

### Dirichlet boundary condition

 One way to impose the Dirichlet boundary condition is to replace the boundary node equations in the linear system by the following equations

$$u_{1m} = g_1(X_m)$$
  
$$u_{2m} = g_2(X_m).$$

for all  $m \in boundary nodes(2,:)$ . This is similar to  $u_m = g(X_m)$  in Chapter 3. We already discussed about this in Chapter 5.

• Since the Dirichlet boundary condition only involves  $u_1$  and  $u_2$ , not p, only the first two rows of the  $3\times 3$  block matrix A need to be modified for the Dirichlet boundary condition. This is similar to how we handle Dirichlet boundary condition in Chapter 5. Hence we can still use Algorithm III-3 in Chapter 5.

#### Recall Algorithm III-3 from Chapter 5:

• Deal with the Dirichlet boundary conditions:

```
FOR \ k = 1, \cdots, nbn:
     If boundary nodes(1, k) shows Dirichlet condition, then
          i = boundary nodes(2, k);
          A(i,:) = 0:
          A(i,i) = 1:
          b(i) = \mathbf{g_1}(P_b(:,i));
          A(N_b + i, :) = 0:
          A(N_b + i, N_b + i) = 1;
          b(N_b + i) = q_2(P_b(:,i));
     ENDIF
END
```

### Additional treatment for the solution uniqueness

#### Recall:

Weak/Galerkin formulation

• Since p appears in the equation without any derivative, then, if  $(\mathbf{u}, p)$  is a solution, then  $(\mathbf{u}, p + c)$  is also a solution where c is a constant. Hence we need to impose additional condition for p. Here are three regular choices:

Dirichlet boundary condition

- (1) Fix p at one point in the domain  $\Omega$ .
- (2) Apply a stress or Robin boundary condition (at least in the normal direction) on part of the boundary  $\partial\Omega$ .
- (3) Apply  $\int_{\Omega} p dx dy = 0$ .

### Outline

- Weak/Galerkin formulation
- 2 FE discretization
- 3 Dirichlet boundary condition
- 4 FE Method
- More Discussion

#### Universal framework of the finite element method

#### Recall from Chapter 3:

- Generate the mesh information: matrices P and T:
- Assemble the matrices and vectors: local assembly based on P and T only;
- Deal with the boundary conditions: boundary information matrix and local assembly;
- Solve linear systems: numerical linear algebra.

- Generate the mesh information matrices P and T.
- Assemble the stiffness matrix A by using Algorithm I. (We will choose Algorithm I-3 in class)
- Assemble the load vector  $\vec{b}$  by using Algorithm II. (We will choose Algorithm II-3 in class)
- Deal with the Dirichlet boundary condition by using Algorithm III-3.
- Fix the pressure at one point in the domain  $\Omega$ .
- Solve  $A\vec{X} = \vec{b}$  for  $\vec{X}$  by using a direct or iterative method.

Weak/Galerkin formulation

Recall Algorithm I-3 from Chapter 3:

- Initialize the matrix:  $A = sparse(N_{h}^{test}, N_{h}^{trial});$
- Compute the integrals and assemble them into A:

```
FOR \ n=1,\cdots,N
         FOR \ \alpha = 1, \cdots, N_n^{trial}
                  FOR \ \beta = 1, \cdots, N_{lh}^{test}
                            Compute r = \int_{E_n}^{\infty} c \frac{\partial^{r+s} \varphi_{n\alpha}}{\partial x^r \partial y^s} \frac{\partial^{p+q} \psi_{n\beta}}{\partial x^p \partial y^q} \ dxdy;
                            Add r to A(T_h^{test}(\beta, n), T_h^{trial}(\alpha, n)).
                   END
         END
END
```

- Call Algorithm I-3 with r=1, s=0, p=1, q=0,  $c=\nu$ , basis type of  ${\bf u}$  for trial function, and basis type of  ${\bf u}$  for test function, to obtain  $A_1$ .
- Call Algorithm I-3 with r=0, s=1, p=0, q=1,  $c=\nu$ , basis type of  ${\bf u}$  for trial function, and basis type of  ${\bf u}$  for test function, to obtain  $A_2$ .
- Call Algorithm I-3 with  $r=1,\ s=0,\ p=0,\ q=1,\ c=\nu$  , basis type of u for trial function, and basis type of u for test function, to obtain  $A_3$ .
- Call Algorithm I-3 with r=0, s=0, p=1, q=0, c=-1, basis type of p for trial function, and basis type of  $\mathbf{u}$  for test function, to obtain  $A_5$ .
- Call Algorithm I-3 with r=0, s=0, p=0, q=1, c=-1, basis type of p for trial function, and basis type of  $\mathbf{u}$  for test function, to obtain  $A_6$ .
- Generate a zero matrix  $\mathbb O$  whose size is  $N_{bp} \times N_{bp}$ .
- Then the stiffness matrix  $A = [2A_1 + A_2 \ A_3 \ A_5; A_5^t \ 2A_2 + A_1 \ A_6; A_5^t \ A_6^t \ \mathbb{O}].$

#### Recall Algorithm II-3 from Chapter 3:

- Initialize the vector:  $b = sparse(N_b, 1)$ ;
- Compute the integrals and assemble them into b:

```
FOR \ n=1,\cdots,N:
       FOR \ \beta = 1, \cdots, N_{lb}:
               Compute r = \int_{E_n} f \frac{\partial^{p+q} \psi_{n\beta}}{\partial x^p \partial y^q} \ dx dy;
               b(T_b(\beta, n), 1) = \ddot{b}(T_b(\beta, n), 1) + r:
        END
END
```

Weak/Galerkin formulation

• Call Algorithm II-3 with p = q = 0 and  $f = f_1$  to obtain  $b_1$ .

Dirichlet boundary condition

- Call Algorithm II-3 with p = q = 0 and  $f = f_2$  to obtain  $b_2$ .
- Define a zero column vector  $\vec{0}$  whose size is  $N_{bp} \times 1$ .
- Then the load vector  $\vec{b} = [b_1; b_2; \vec{0}]$ .

FE Method

## Algorithm

#### Recall Algorithm III-3 from Chapter 5:

Deal with the Dirichlet boundary conditions:

```
FOR \ k = 1, \cdots, nbn:
    If boundary nodes(1, k) shows Dirichlet condition, then
         i = boundary nodes(2, k);
         A(i,:) = 0:
         A(i,i) = 1:
         b(i) = q_1(P_b(:,i));
         A(N_b + i, :) = 0:
         A(N_b + i, N_b + i) = 1;
         b(N_b + i) = q_2(P_b(:,i));
     ENDIF
END
```

Weak/Galerkin formulation

#### • $L^{\infty}$ norm error:

$$\|\mathbf{u} - \mathbf{u}_h\|_{\infty} = \max \left( \|u_1 - u_{1h}\|_{\infty}, \|u_2 - u_{2h}\|_{\infty} \right),$$

$$\|u_1 - u_{1h}\|_{\infty} = \sup_{\Omega} |u_1 - u_{1h}|,$$

$$\|u_2 - u_{2h}\|_{\infty} = \sup_{\Omega} |u_2 - u_{2h}|,$$

$$\|p - p_h\|_{\infty} = \sup_{\Omega} |p - p_h|.$$

### Measurements for errors

Weak/Galerkin formulation

•  $L^2$  norm error:

$$\|\mathbf{u} - \mathbf{u}_h\|_0 = \sqrt{\|u_1 - u_{1h}\|_0^2 + \|u_2 - u_{2h}\|_0^2},$$

$$\|u_1 - u_{1h}\|_0 = \sqrt{\int_{\Omega} (u_1 - u_{1h})^2 dx dy},$$

$$\|u_2 - u_{2h}\|_0 = \sqrt{\int_{\Omega} (u_2 - u_{2h})^2 dx dy},$$

$$\|p - p_h\|_0 = \sqrt{\int_{\Omega} (p - p_h)^2 dx dy}.$$

### Measurements for errors

•  $H^1$  semi-norm error:

$$|\mathbf{u} - \mathbf{u}_h|_1 = \sqrt{|u_1 - u_{1h}|_1^2 + |u_2 - u_{2h}|_1^2},$$

$$|u_1 - u_{1h}|_1 = \sqrt{\int_{\Omega} \left(\frac{\partial(u_1 - u_{1h})}{\partial x}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{\partial(u_1 - u_{1h})}{\partial y}\right)^2 dxdy},$$

$$|u_2 - u_{2h}|_1 = \sqrt{\int_{\Omega} \left(\frac{\partial(u_2 - u_{2h})}{\partial x}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{\partial(u_2 - u_{2h})}{\partial y}\right)^2 dxdy},$$

$$|p - p_h|_1 = \sqrt{\int_{\Omega} \left(\frac{\partial(p - p_h)}{\partial x}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{\partial(p - p_h)}{\partial y}\right)^2 dxdy}.$$

• Basic idea: call Algorithm IV and Algorithm V in Chapter 3 for each of  $u_1$ ,  $u_2$ , and p; then plug the results into the above formulas for the errors of  $\mathbf{u}$  and p.

• Example 1: Use the finite element method to solve the following equation on the domain  $\Omega = [0,1] \times [-0.25,0]$ :

$$\begin{split} -\nabla \cdot \mathbb{T}(\mathbf{u}, p) &= \quad \mathbf{f} \quad \text{ on } \Omega, \\ \nabla \cdot \mathbf{u} &= \quad 0 \quad in \quad \Omega, \\ u_1 &= \quad e^{-y} \quad \text{on } x = 0, \\ u_1 &= \quad y^2 + e^{-y} \quad \text{on } x = 1, \\ u_1 &= \quad \frac{1}{16} x^2 + e^{0.25} \quad \text{on } y = -0.25, \\ u_1 &= \quad 1 \quad \text{on } y = 0, \\ u_2 &= \quad 2 \quad \text{on } x = 0, \\ u_2 &= \quad 2 \quad \text{on } x = 0, \\ u_2 &= \quad -\frac{2}{3} y^3 + 2 \quad \text{on } x = 1, \\ u_2 &= \quad \frac{1}{96} x + 2 - \pi \sin(\pi x) \quad \text{on } y = -0.25, \\ u_2 &= \quad 2 - \pi \sin(\pi x) \quad \text{on } y = 0. \end{split}$$

Here

Weak/Galerkin formulation

$$f_1 = -2\nu x^2 - 2\nu y^2 - \nu e^{-y} + \pi^2 \cos(\pi x) \cos(2\pi y),$$
  

$$f_2 = 4\nu xy - \nu \pi^3 \sin(\pi x) + 2\pi (2 - \pi \sin(\pi x)) \sin(2\pi y).$$

• The analytic solution of this problem is

$$u_1 = x^2 y^2 + e^{-y}, \quad u_2 = -\frac{2}{3} x y^3 + 2 - \pi \sin(\pi x),$$
  
 $p = -(2 - \pi \sin(\pi x)) \cos(2\pi y),$ 

which can be used to compute the errors between the numerical solution and the analytic solution. We can also verify  $f_1$  and  $f_2$  above by plugging the analytic solutions into the Stokes equation.

- Let's code for the Taylor-Hood finite elements for the 2D Stokes equation together!
- Taylor-Hood finite elements: linear finite elements for the pressure and quadratic finite elements for the velocity.
- Open your Matlab!

Weak/Galerkin formulation

h	$\left\ \mathbf{u}-\mathbf{u}_{h} ight\ _{\infty}$	$\ \mathbf{u} - \mathbf{u}_h\ _0$	$\left \left \mathbf{u}-\mathbf{u}_{h} ight _{1}$
1/8	$1.6765 \times 10^{-3}$	$3.5687 \times 10^{-4}$	$2.0424 \times 10^{-2}$
1/16	$2.0256 \times 10^{-4}$	$4.4059 \times 10^{-5}$	$5.0674 \times 10^{-3}$
1/32	$2.5182 \times 10^{-5}$	$5.4832 \times 10^{-6}$	$1.2623 \times 10^{-3}$
1/64	$3.1057 \times 10^{-6}$	$6.8444 \times 10^{-7}$	$3.1522 \times 10^{-4}$

Table: The numerical errors for quadratic finite elements of the velocity.

- Any Observation?
- Third order convergence  $O(h^3)$  in  $L^2/L^\infty$  norm and second order convergence  $O(h^2)$  in  $H^1$  semi-norm, which match the optimal approximation capability expected from piecewise quadratic functions.

Weak/Galerkin formulation

h	$  p-p_h  _{\infty}$	$\ p-p_h\ _0$	$ p-p_h _1$
1/8	$1.3124 \times 10^{-1}$	$2.1810 \times 10^{-2}$	$1.2651 \times 10^{0}$
1/16	$4.5401 \times 10^{-2}$	$8.4643 \times 10^{-3}$	$6.3072 \times 10^{-1}$
1/32	$1.2473 \times 10^{-2}$	$2.4475 \times 10^{-3}$	$3.1369 \times 10^{-1}$
1/64	$3.2434 \times 10^{-3}$	$6.5205 \times 10^{-4}$	$1.5658 \times 10^{-1}$

Table: The numerical errors for linear finite elements of the pressure.

- Any Observation?
- Second order convergence  $O(h^2)$  in  $L^2/L^\infty$  norm and first order convergence O(h) in  $H^1$  semi-norm, which match the optimal approximation capability expected from piecewise linear functions.

More Discussion

### Outline

- Weak/Galerkin formulation
- 2 FE discretization
- 3 Dirichlet boundary condition
- 4 FE Method
- More Discussion

Consider

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{ll} -\nabla \cdot \mathbb{T}(\mathbf{u},p) = \mathbf{f} & in \quad \Omega, \\ \nabla \cdot \mathbf{u} = 0 & in \quad \Omega, \\ \mathbb{T}(\mathbf{u},p)\mathbf{n} = \mathbf{p} & on \quad \partial \Omega. \end{array} \right.$$

where  $\mathbf{n}=(n_1,\,n_2)^t$  is the unit outer normal vector of  $\partial\Omega$  and

$$\mathbf{p}(x,y) = (p_1, p_2)^t, \ \mathbf{f}(x,y) = (f_1, f_2)^t.$$

Recall

$$\int_{\Omega} 2\nu \mathbb{D}(\mathbf{u}) : \mathbb{D}(\mathbf{v}) \ dxdy - \int_{\Omega} p(\nabla \cdot \mathbf{v}) \ dxdy$$
$$- \int_{\partial\Omega} (\mathbb{T}(\mathbf{u}, p)\mathbf{n}) \cdot \mathbf{v} \ ds = \int_{\Omega} \mathbf{f} \cdot \mathbf{v} \ dxdy,$$
$$- \int_{\Omega} (\nabla \cdot \mathbf{u}) q \ dxdy = 0.$$

Hence

$$\begin{split} & \int_{\Omega} 2\nu \mathbb{D}(\mathbf{u}) : \mathbb{D}(\mathbf{v}) \ dxdy - \int_{\Omega} p(\nabla \cdot \mathbf{v}) \ dxdy - \int_{\partial\Omega} \mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{v} \ ds \\ & = \int_{\Omega} \mathbf{f} \cdot \mathbf{v} \ dxdy, \\ & - \int_{\Omega} (\nabla \cdot \mathbf{u}) q \ dxdy = 0. \end{split}$$

- Is there anything wrong? The solution is not unique!
- Recall that

$$\mathbb{D}(\mathbf{u}) = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial u_1}{\partial x} & \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{\partial u_1}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial u_2}{\partial x} \right) \\ \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{\partial u_1}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial u_2}{\partial x} \right) & \frac{\partial u_2}{\partial y} \end{pmatrix}.$$

• If  $\mathbf{u} = (u_1, u_2)^t$  is a solution, then  $\mathbf{u} + \mathbf{c}$  is also a solution where c is a constant vector. 4 D > 4 B > 4 B > 4 B > B = 900

Consider

$$\begin{split} -\nabla \cdot \mathbb{T}(\mathbf{u}, p) &= \mathbf{f} & in \quad \Omega, \\ \nabla \cdot \mathbf{u} &= 0 & in \quad \Omega, \\ \mathbb{T}(\mathbf{u}, p) \mathbf{n} &= \mathbf{p} \quad \text{on } \Gamma_S \subset \partial \Omega, \\ \mathbf{u} &= \mathbf{g} \quad \text{on } \Gamma_D &= \partial \Omega / \Gamma_S. \end{split}$$

Recall

$$\int_{\Omega} 2\nu \mathbb{D}(\mathbf{u}) : \mathbb{D}(\mathbf{v}) \ dxdy - \int_{\Omega} p(\nabla \cdot \mathbf{v}) \ dxdy$$
$$- \int_{\partial\Omega} (\mathbb{T}(\mathbf{u}, p)\mathbf{n}) \cdot \mathbf{v} \ ds = \int_{\Omega} \mathbf{f} \cdot \mathbf{v} \ dxdy,$$
$$- \int_{\Omega} (\nabla \cdot \mathbf{u}) q \ dxdy = 0.$$

FE Method

- Since the solution on  $\Gamma_D = \partial \Omega / \Gamma_S$  is given by  $\mathbf{u} = \mathbf{g}$ , then we can choose the test function  $\mathbf{v}(x,y)$  such that  $\mathbf{v}=0$  on  $\partial \Omega/\Gamma_S$ .
- Then

$$\begin{split} & \int_{\partial\Omega} (\mathbb{T}(\mathbf{u},p)\mathbf{n}) \cdot \mathbf{v} \ ds \\ = & \int_{\Gamma_S} (\mathbb{T}(\mathbf{u},p)\mathbf{n}) \cdot \mathbf{v} \ ds + \int_{\partial\Omega/\Gamma_S} (\mathbb{T}(\mathbf{u},p)\mathbf{n}) \cdot \mathbf{v} \ ds \\ = & \int_{\Gamma_S} \mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{v} \ ds. \end{split}$$

• The weak formulation is to find  $\mathbf{u} \in H^1(\Omega) \times H^1(\Omega)$  and  $p \in L^2(\Omega)$  such that

$$\int_{\Omega} 2\nu \mathbb{D}(\mathbf{u}) : \mathbb{D}(\mathbf{v}) \ dxdy - \int_{\Omega} p(\nabla \cdot \mathbf{v}) \ dxdy$$

$$= \int_{\Omega} \mathbf{f} \cdot \mathbf{v} \ dxdy + \int_{\Gamma_{S}} \mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{v} \ ds,$$

$$- \int_{\Omega} (\nabla \cdot \mathbf{u}) q \ dxdy = 0,$$

for any  $\mathbf{v} \in H^1_{0D}(\Omega) \times H^1_{0D}(\Omega)$  and  $q \in L^2(\Omega)$ . Here

$$\int_{\Gamma_S} \mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{v} \ ds = \int_{\Gamma_S} p_1 v_1 \ ds + \int_{\Gamma_S} p_2 v_2 \ ds,$$
$$H_{0D}^1(\Omega) = \{ v \in H^1(\Omega) : v = 0 \text{ on } \Gamma_D \}.$$

• Then the Galerkin formulation is to find  $\mathbf{u}_h \in U_h \times U_h$  and  $p_h \in W_h$  such that

$$\int_{\Omega} 2\nu \mathbb{D}(\mathbf{u}_h) : \mathbb{D}(\mathbf{v}_h) \ dxdy - \int_{\Omega} p_h(\nabla \cdot \mathbf{v}_h) \ dxdy$$

$$= \int_{\Omega} \mathbf{f} \cdot \mathbf{v}_h \ dxdy + \int_{\Gamma_S} \mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{v}_h \ ds,$$

$$- \int_{\Omega} (\nabla \cdot \mathbf{u}_h) q_h \ dxdy = 0,$$

for any  $\mathbf{v}_h \in U_{h0} \times U_{h0}$  and  $q_h \in W_h$ .

• For an easier implementation, we use the following Galerkin formulation (without considering the Dirichlet boundary condition, which will be handled later): find  $\mathbf{u}_h \in U_h \times U_h$ and  $p_h \in W_h$  such that

$$\int_{\Omega} 2\nu \mathbb{D}(\mathbf{u}_h) : \mathbb{D}(\mathbf{v}_h) \ dxdy - \int_{\Omega} p_h(\nabla \cdot \mathbf{v}_h) \ dxdy$$

$$= \int_{\Omega} \mathbf{f} \cdot \mathbf{v}_h \ dxdy + \int_{\Gamma_S} \mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{v}_h \ ds,$$

$$- \int_{\Omega} (\nabla \cdot \mathbf{u}_h) q_h \ dxdy = 0,$$

for any  $\mathbf{v}_h \in U_h \times U_h$  and  $q_h \in W_h$ .

Weak/Galerkin formulation

• Since  $u_{1h}$ ,  $u_{2h} \in U_h = span\{\phi_i\}_{i=1}^{N_b}$  and  $p_h \in W_h = span\{\psi_i\}_{i=1}^{N_{bp}}$ , then

$$u_{1h} = \sum_{j=1}^{N_b} u_{1j}\phi_j, \quad u_{2h} = \sum_{j=1}^{N_b} u_{2j}\phi_j, \quad p_h = \sum_{j=1}^{N_{bp}} p_j\psi_j$$

Dirichlet boundary condition

for some coefficients  $u_{1i}$ ,  $u_{2i}$   $(j = 1, \dots, N_b)$ , and  $p_i \ (i = 1, \cdots, N_{bn}).$ 

- For the first equation in the Galerkin formulation, we choose  $\mathbf{v}_{b} = (\phi_{i}, 0)^{t} \ (i = 1, \dots, N_{b}) \ \text{and} \ \mathbf{v}_{b} = (0, \phi_{i})^{t} \ (i = 1, \dots, N_{b}).$ That is, in the first set of test functions, we choose  $v_{1h} = \phi_i \ (i = 1, \cdots, N_h)$  and  $v_{2h} = 0$ ; in the second set of test functions, we choose  $v_{1h}=0$  and  $v_{2h}=\phi_i$   $(i=1,\cdots,N_b)$ .
- For the second equation in the Galerkin formulation, we choose  $q_h = \psi_i \ (i = 1, \cdots, N_{hn}).$

FE Method

### Stress boundary condition

 Then by the same procedure to derive the matrix formulation before, we obtain the following linear system

$$\sum_{j=1}^{N_b} u_{1j} \left( 2 \int_{\Omega} \nu \frac{\partial \phi_j}{\partial x} \frac{\partial \phi_i}{\partial x} \ dx dy + \int_{\Omega} \nu \frac{\partial \phi_j}{\partial y} \frac{\partial \phi_i}{\partial y} \ dx dy \right) + \sum_{j=1}^{N_b} u_{2j} \left( \int_{\Omega} \nu \frac{\partial \phi_j}{\partial x} \frac{\partial \phi_i}{\partial y} \ dx dy \right)$$

$$+ \sum_{j=1}^{N_{bp}} p_j \left( - \int_{\Omega} \psi_j \frac{\partial \phi_i}{\partial x} \ dx dy \right) = \int_{\Omega} f_1 \phi_i dx dy + \int_{\Gamma_S} \mathbf{p}_1 \phi_i \ ds$$

$$\sum_{j=1}^{N_b} u_{1j} \left( \int_{\Omega} \nu \frac{\partial \phi_j}{\partial y} \frac{\partial \phi_i}{\partial x} \ dx dy \right)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + \sum_{j=1}^{N_b} u_{2j} \left( 2 \int_{\Omega} \nu \frac{\partial \phi_j}{\partial y} \frac{\partial \phi_i}{\partial y} \ dx dy + \int_{\Omega} \nu \frac{\partial \phi_j}{\partial x} \frac{\partial \phi_i}{\partial x} \ dx dy \right) \\
& + \sum_{j=1}^{N_{bp}} p_j \left( - \int_{\Omega} \psi_j \frac{\partial \phi_i}{\partial y} \ dx dy \right) = \int_{\Omega} f_2 \phi_i dx dy + \int_{\Gamma_S} p_2 \phi_i \ ds,
\end{aligned}$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^{N_b} u_{1j} \left( -\int_{\Omega} \frac{\partial \phi_j}{\partial x} \psi_i \, dx dy \right) + \sum_{i=1}^{N_b} u_{2j} \left( -\int_{\Omega} \frac{\partial \phi_j}{\partial y} \psi_i \, dx dy \right) + \sum_{i=1}^{N_{bp}} p_j * 0 = 0.$$

#### Recall

Weak/Galerkin formulation

$$A_{1} = \left[ \int_{\Omega} \nu \frac{\partial \phi_{j}}{\partial x} \frac{\partial \phi_{i}}{\partial x} \, dx dy \right]_{i,j=1}^{N_{b}}, \quad A_{2} = \left[ \int_{\Omega} \nu \frac{\partial \phi_{j}}{\partial y} \frac{\partial \phi_{i}}{\partial y} \, dx dy \right]_{i,j=1}^{N_{b}},$$

$$A_{3} = \left[ \int_{\Omega} \nu \frac{\partial \phi_{j}}{\partial x} \frac{\partial \phi_{i}}{\partial y} \, dx dy \right]_{i,j=1}^{N_{b}},$$

$$A_{5} = \left[ \int_{\Omega} -\psi_{j} \frac{\partial \phi_{i}}{\partial x} \, dx dy \right]_{i=1,j=1}^{N_{b},N_{bp}}, \quad A_{6} = \left[ \int_{\Omega} -\psi_{j} \frac{\partial \phi_{i}}{\partial y} \, dx dy \right]_{i=1,j=1}^{N_{b},N_{bp}}$$

and

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 2A_1 + A_2 & A_3 & A_5 \\ A_3^t & 2A_2 + A_1 & A_6 \\ A_5^t & A_6^t & \mathbb{O}_1 \end{pmatrix}$$

where  $\mathbb{O}_1$  is a zero matrix whose size is  $N_{bp} \times N_{bp}$ .

More Discussion

Recall

$$ec{b} = \left(egin{array}{c} ec{b}_1 \ ec{b}_2 \ ec{0} \end{array}
ight)$$

where

$$\vec{b}_1 = \left[ \int_{\Omega} f_1 \phi_i dx dy \right]_{i=1}^{N_b}, \ \vec{b}_2 = \left[ \int_{\Omega} f_2 \phi_i dx dy \right]_{i=1}^{N_b}, \ \vec{0} = [0]_{i=1}^{N_{bp}}.$$

Recall the unknown vector

$$ec{X} = \left( egin{array}{c} ec{X}_1 \ ec{X}_2 \ ec{X}_3 \end{array} 
ight)$$

where 
$$\vec{X}_1 = [u_{1j}]_{j=1}^{N_b}$$
,  $\vec{X}_2 = [u_{2j}]_{j=1}^{N_b}$ ,  $\vec{X}_3 = [p_j]_{j=1}^{N_{bp}}$ .

• Define the additional vector from the stress boundary condition:

$$ec{v} = \left( egin{array}{c} ec{v}_1 \ ec{v}_2 \ ec{0} \end{array} 
ight)$$

where

$$\vec{v}_1 = \left[ \int_{\Gamma_S} p_1 \phi_i \ ds \right]_{i=1}^{N_b}, \quad \vec{v}_2 = \left[ \int_{\Gamma_S} p_2 \phi_i \ ds \right]_{i=1}^{N_b}, \quad \vec{0} = [0]_{i=1}^{N_{bp}}.$$

- Define the new vector  $\tilde{\vec{b}} = \vec{b} + \vec{v}$ .
- Then we obtain the linear algebraic system

$$A\vec{X} = \widetilde{\vec{b}}.$$

• Similar to Chapter 5, we essentially only need repeat the code of Neumman condition in Chapter 3 for  $\vec{v}_1$  and  $\vec{v}_2$ .

Based on Algorithm VI-2 in Chapter 5, we obtain Algorithm VI-4:

- Initialize the vector:  $v = sparse(2N_b + N_{bp}, 1)$ ;
- ullet Compute the integrals and assemble them into v:

```
FOR \ k = 1, \cdots, nbe:
       IF\ boundaryedges(1,k) shows stress boundary, THEN
              n_k = boundaryedges(2, k);
              FOR \beta = 1, \cdots, N_{lb}:
                     Compute r = \int_{e_k} p_1 \frac{\partial^{a+b} \psi_{n_k \beta}}{\partial x^a \partial u^b} \ ds;
                     v(T_b(\beta, n_k), 1) = v(T_b(\beta, n_k), 1) + r;
                     Compute r = \int_{e_k} p_2 \frac{\partial^{a+b} \psi_{n_k \beta}}{\partial x^a \partial y^b} ds;
                     v(N_b + T_b(\beta, n_k), 1) = v(N_b + T_b(\beta, n_k), 1) + r;
              END
       ENDIF
END
```

FE Method

## Robin boundary conditions

Consider

$$\begin{split} -\nabla \cdot \mathbb{T}(\mathbf{u}, p) &= \mathbf{f} & in \quad \Omega, \\ \nabla \cdot \mathbf{u} &= 0 & in \quad \Omega, \\ \mathbb{T}(\mathbf{u}, p) \mathbf{n} + r \mathbf{u} &= \mathbf{q} \quad \text{on } \Gamma_R \subseteq \partial \Omega, \\ \mathbf{u} &= \mathbf{g} \quad \text{on } \Gamma_D &= \partial \Omega / \Gamma_R. \end{split}$$

Recall

$$\int_{\Omega} 2\nu \mathbb{D}(\mathbf{u}) : \mathbb{D}(\mathbf{v}) \ dxdy - \int_{\Omega} p(\nabla \cdot \mathbf{v}) \ dxdy - \int_{\partial\Omega} (\mathbb{T}(\mathbf{u}, p)\mathbf{n}) \cdot \mathbf{v} \ ds = \int_{\Omega} \mathbf{f} \cdot \mathbf{v} \ dxdy, - \int_{\Omega} (\nabla \cdot \mathbf{u}) q \ dxdy = 0.$$

FE Method

### Robin boundary condition

- Since the solution on  $\Gamma_D = \partial \Omega / \Gamma_R$  is given by  $\mathbf{u} = \mathbf{g}$ , then we can choose the test function  $\mathbf{v}(x,y)$  such that  $\mathbf{v}=0$  on  $\partial \Omega/\Gamma_R$ .
- Then

$$\int_{\partial\Omega} (\mathbb{T}(\mathbf{u}, p)\mathbf{n}) \cdot \mathbf{v} \, ds$$

$$= \int_{\Gamma_R} (\mathbb{T}(\mathbf{u}, p)\mathbf{n}) \cdot \mathbf{v} \, ds + \int_{\partial\Omega/\Gamma_R} (\mathbb{T}(\mathbf{u}, p)\mathbf{n}) \cdot \mathbf{v} \, ds$$

$$= \int_{\Gamma_R} \mathbf{q} \cdot \mathbf{v} \, ds - \int_{\Gamma_R} r\mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{v} \, ds.$$

### Robin boundary condition

• The weak formulation is find  $\mathbf{u} \in H^1(\Omega) \times H^1(\Omega)$  and  $p \in L^2(\Omega)$  such that

$$\int_{\Omega} 2\nu \mathbb{D}(\mathbf{u}) : \mathbb{D}(\mathbf{v}) \ dxdy - \int_{\Omega} p(\nabla \cdot \mathbf{v}) \ dxdy + \int_{\Gamma_R} r \mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{v} \ ds$$

$$= \int_{\Omega} \mathbf{f} \cdot \mathbf{v} \ dxdy + \int_{\Gamma_R} \mathbf{q} \cdot \mathbf{v} \ ds,$$

$$- \int_{\Omega} (\nabla \cdot \mathbf{u}) q \ dxdy = 0,$$

for any  $\mathbf{v} \in H^1_{0D}(\Omega) \times H^1_{0D}(\Omega)$  and  $q \in L^2(\Omega)$ . Here

$$\int_{\Gamma_R} \mathbf{q} \cdot \mathbf{v} \ ds = \int_{\Gamma_R} q_1 v_1 \ ds + \int_{\Gamma_R} q_2 v_2 \ ds,$$

$$\int_{\Gamma_R} r \mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{v} \ ds = \int_{\Gamma_R} r u_1 v_1 \ ds + \int_{\Gamma_R} r u_2 v_2 \ ds,$$

$$H^1_{0D}(\Omega) = \{ v \in H^1(\Omega) : v = 0 \text{ on } \Gamma_D \}.$$

### Robin boundary condition

• Then the Galerkin formulation is to find  $\mathbf{u}_h \in U_h \times U_h$  and  $p_h \in W_h$  such that

$$\int_{\Omega} 2\nu \mathbb{D}(\mathbf{u}_{h}) : \mathbb{D}(\mathbf{v}_{h}) \, dxdy - \int_{\Omega} p_{h}(\nabla \cdot \mathbf{v}_{h}) \, dxdy 
+ \int_{\Gamma_{R}} r \mathbf{u}_{h} \cdot \mathbf{v}_{h} \, ds 
= \int_{\Omega} \mathbf{f} \cdot \mathbf{v}_{h} \, dxdy + \int_{\Gamma_{R}} \mathbf{q} \cdot \mathbf{v}_{h} \, ds, 
- \int_{\Omega} (\nabla \cdot \mathbf{u}_{h}) q_{h} \, dxdy = 0,$$

for any  $\mathbf{v}_h \in U_{h0} \times U_{h0}$  and  $q_h \in W_h$ .

### Robin boundary condition

 For an easier implementation, we use the following Galerkin formulation (without considering the Dirichlet boundary condition, which will be handled later): find  $\mathbf{u}_h \in U_h \times U_h$ and  $p_h \in W_h$  such that

$$\int_{\Omega} 2\nu \mathbb{D}(\mathbf{u}_h) : \mathbb{D}(\mathbf{v}_h) \ dxdy - \int_{\Omega} p_h(\nabla \cdot \mathbf{v}_h) \ dxdy 
+ \int_{\Gamma_R} r \mathbf{u}_h \cdot \mathbf{v}_h \ ds 
= \int_{\Omega} \mathbf{f} \cdot \mathbf{v}_h \ dxdy + \int_{\Gamma_R} \mathbf{q} \cdot \mathbf{v}_h \ ds, 
- \int_{\Omega} (\nabla \cdot \mathbf{u}_h) q_h \ dxdy = 0,$$

for any  $\mathbf{v}_h \in U_h \times U_h$  and  $q_h \in W_h$ .

Weak/Galerkin formulation

• Since  $u_{1h},\ u_{2h}\in U_h=span\{\phi_j\}_{j=1}^{N_b}$  and  $p_h\in W_h=span\{\psi_j\}_{j=1}^{N_{bp}},\ \text{then}$ 

$$u_{1h} = \sum_{j=1}^{N_b} u_{1j}\phi_j, \quad u_{2h} = \sum_{j=1}^{N_b} u_{2j}\phi_j, \quad p_h = \sum_{j=1}^{N_{bp}} p_j\psi_j$$

for some coefficients  $u_{1j}$ ,  $u_{2j}$   $(j=1,\cdots,N_b)$ , and  $p_j$   $(j=1,\cdots,N_{bp})$ .

- For the first equation in the Galerkin formulation, we choose  $\mathbf{v}_h = (\phi_i, 0)^t \ (i=1, \cdots, N_b)$  and  $\mathbf{v}_h = (0, \phi_i)^t \ (i=1, \cdots, N_b)$ . That is, in the first set of test functions, we choose  $v_{1h} = \phi_i \ (i=1, \cdots, N_b)$  and  $v_{2h} = 0$ ; in the second set of test functions, we choose  $v_{1h} = 0$  and  $v_{2h} = \phi_i \ (i=1, \cdots, N_b)$ .
- For the second equation in the Galerkin formulation, we choose  $q_h = \psi_i \ (i = 1, \cdots, N_{bp}).$

Weak/Galerkin formulation

 Then by the same procedure to derive the matrix formulation before, we obtain the following linear system

$$\begin{split} &\sum_{j=1}^{N_b} u_{1j} \left( 2 \int_{\Omega} \nu \frac{\partial \phi_j}{\partial x} \frac{\partial \phi_i}{\partial x} \ dx dy + \int_{\Omega} \nu \frac{\partial \phi_j}{\partial y} \frac{\partial \phi_i}{\partial y} \ dx dy + \int_{\Gamma_R} r \phi_j \phi_i \ ds \right) \\ &+ \sum_{j=1}^{N_b} u_{2j} \left( \int_{\Omega} \nu \frac{\partial \phi_j}{\partial x} \frac{\partial \phi_i}{\partial y} \ dx dy \right) + \sum_{j=1}^{N_{bp}} p_j \left( - \int_{\Omega} \psi_j \frac{\partial \phi_i}{\partial x} \ dx dy \right) \\ &= \int_{\Omega} f_1 \phi_i dx dy + \int_{\Gamma_S} q_1 \phi_i \ ds, \end{split}$$

Dirichlet boundary condition

and

$$\sum_{j=1}^{N_b} u_{1j} \left( \int_{\Omega} \nu \frac{\partial \phi_j}{\partial y} \frac{\partial \phi_i}{\partial x} \, dx dy \right)$$

$$+ \sum_{j=1}^{N_b} u_{2j} \left( 2 \int_{\Omega} \nu \frac{\partial \phi_j}{\partial y} \frac{\partial \phi_i}{\partial y} \, dx dy + \int_{\Omega} \nu \frac{\partial \phi_j}{\partial x} \frac{\partial \phi_i}{\partial x} \, dx dy + \int_{\Gamma_R} \mathbf{r} \phi_j \phi_i ds \right)$$

$$+ \sum_{j=1}^{N_{bp}} p_j \left( - \int_{\Omega} \psi_j \frac{\partial \phi_i}{\partial y} \, dx dy \right)$$

$$= \int_{\Omega} f_2 \phi_i dx dy + \int_{\Gamma_S} \mathbf{q}_2 \phi_i \, ds,$$

$$\sum_{j=1}^{N_b} u_{1j} \left( - \int_{\Omega} \frac{\partial \phi_j}{\partial x} \psi_i \, dx dy \right) + \sum_{j=1}^{N_b} u_{2j} \left( - \int_{\Omega} \frac{\partial \phi_j}{\partial y} \psi_i \, dx dy \right)$$

 $+\sum p_j * 0 = 0.$ 



#### Recall

$$A_{1} = \left[ \int_{\Omega} \nu \frac{\partial \phi_{j}}{\partial x} \frac{\partial \phi_{i}}{\partial x} \, dx dy \right]_{i,j=1}^{N_{b}}, \quad A_{2} = \left[ \int_{\Omega} \nu \frac{\partial \phi_{j}}{\partial y} \frac{\partial \phi_{i}}{\partial y} \, dx dy \right]_{i,j=1}^{N_{b}},$$

$$A_{3} = \left[ \int_{\Omega} \nu \frac{\partial \phi_{j}}{\partial x} \frac{\partial \phi_{i}}{\partial y} \, dx dy \right]_{i,j=1}^{N_{b}},$$

$$A_{5} = \left[ \int_{\Omega} -\psi_{j} \frac{\partial \phi_{i}}{\partial x} \, dx dy \right]_{i=1,j=1}^{N_{b},N_{bp}}, \quad A_{6} = \left[ \int_{\Omega} -\psi_{j} \frac{\partial \phi_{i}}{\partial y} \, dx dy \right]_{i=1,j=1}^{N_{b},N_{bp}}$$

and

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 2A_1 + A_2 & A_3 & A_5 \\ A_3^t & 2A_2 + A_1 & A_6 \\ A_5^t & A_6^t & \mathbb{O}_1 \end{pmatrix}$$

where  $\mathbb{O}_1$  is a zero matrix whose size is  $N_{bp} \times N_{bp}$ .

Recall

$$ec{b} = \left(egin{array}{c} ec{b}_1 \ ec{b}_2 \ ec{0} \end{array}
ight)$$

where

$$\vec{b}_1 = \left[ \int_{\Omega} f_1 \phi_i dx dy \right]_{i=1}^{N_b}, \ \vec{b}_2 = \left[ \int_{\Omega} f_2 \phi_i dx dy \right]_{i=1}^{N_b}, \ \vec{0} = [0]_{i=1}^{N_{bp}}.$$

Recall the unknown vector

$$ec{X} = \left( egin{array}{c} ec{X}_1 \\ ec{X}_2 \\ ec{X}_3 \end{array} 
ight)$$

where 
$$\vec{X}_1 = [u_{1j}]_{j=1}^{N_b}$$
,  $\vec{X}_2 = [u_{2j}]_{j=1}^{N_b}$ ,  $\vec{X}_3 = [p_j]_{j=1}^{N_{bp}}$ .

Define the additional vector from the Robin boundary condition:

$$ec{w} = \left( egin{array}{c} ec{w}_1 \ ec{w}_2 \ ec{0} \end{array} 
ight)$$

where

$$\vec{w}_1 = \left[ \int_{\Gamma_S} q_1 \phi_i \ ds \right]_{i=1}^{N_b}, \quad \vec{w}_2 = \left[ \int_{\Gamma_S} q_2 \phi_i \ ds \right]_{i=1}^{N_b}, \quad \vec{0} = [0]_{i=1}^{N_{bp}}.$$

- Define the new vector  $\widetilde{\vec{b}} = \vec{b} + \vec{w}$
- Since each of  $\vec{w}_1$  and  $\vec{w}_2$  is similar to the  $\vec{w}$  for the Robin condition in Chapter 3, we essentially only need repeat the code of  $\vec{w}$  in Chapter 3 for  $\vec{w}_1$  and  $\vec{w}_2$ .

Weak/Galerkin formulation

Define the additional matrix from the Robin boundary condition

$$R = [r_{ij}]_{i,j=1}^{N_b} = \left[ \int_{\Gamma_R} r \phi_j \phi_i \ ds \right]_{i,j=1}^{N_b}.$$

Dirichlet boundary condition

• Since R is the same as the R in Chapter 3, the code for R is the same. But R needs to be added to the matrix A twice as showed above to obtain  $\widetilde{A}$ .

Define the new matrix:

$$\widetilde{A} = \begin{pmatrix} 2A_1 + A_2 + \mathbf{R} & A_3 & A_5 \\ A_3^t & 2A_2 + A_1 + \mathbf{R} & A_6 \\ A_5^t & A_6^t & \mathbb{O}_1 \end{pmatrix}$$

Then we obtain the linear algebraic system

$$\widetilde{A}\vec{X} = \widetilde{\vec{b}}.$$

Pesudo code? (Part of a project for you)

## Dirichlet/stress/Robin mixed boundary condition

Consider

$$\begin{split} & -\nabla \cdot \mathbb{T}(\mathbf{u}, p) = \mathbf{f} & in \quad \Omega, \\ & \nabla \cdot \mathbf{u} = 0 & in \quad \Omega, \\ & \mathbb{T}(\mathbf{u}, p) \mathbf{n} = \mathbf{p} \quad \text{on } \Gamma_S \subset \partial \Omega, \\ & \mathbb{T}(\mathbf{u}, p) \mathbf{n} + r \mathbf{u} = \mathbf{q} \quad \text{on } \Gamma_R \subseteq \partial \Omega, \\ & \mathbf{u} = \mathbf{g} \quad \text{on } \Gamma_D = \partial \Omega / (\Gamma_S \cup \Gamma_R). \end{split}$$

Recall

$$\int_{\Omega} 2\nu \mathbb{D}(\mathbf{u}) : \mathbb{D}(\mathbf{v}) \ dxdy - \int_{\Omega} p(\nabla \cdot \mathbf{v}) \ dxdy - \int_{\partial\Omega} (\mathbb{T}(\mathbf{u}, p)\mathbf{n}) \cdot \mathbf{v} \ ds = \int_{\Omega} \mathbf{f} \cdot \mathbf{v} \ dxdy, - \int_{\Omega} (\nabla \cdot \mathbf{u}) q \ dxdy = 0.$$

#### Dirichlet/stress/Robin mixed boundary condition

- Since the solution on  $\Gamma_D = \partial \Omega/(\Gamma_S \cup \Gamma_R)$  is given by  $\mathbf{u} = \mathbf{g}$ , then we can choose the test function  $\mathbf{v}(x,y)$  such that  $\mathbf{v} = 0$  on  $\partial \Omega/(\Gamma_S \cup \Gamma_R)$ .
- Then

$$\begin{split} & \int_{\partial\Omega} (\mathbb{T}(\mathbf{u},p)\mathbf{n}) \cdot \mathbf{v} \ ds \\ = & \int_{\Gamma_S} (\mathbb{T}(\mathbf{u},p)\mathbf{n}) \cdot \mathbf{v} \ ds + \int_{\Gamma_R} (\mathbb{T}(\mathbf{u},p)\mathbf{n}) \cdot \mathbf{v} \ ds \\ & + \int_{\partial\Omega/(\Gamma_S \cup \Gamma_R)} (\mathbb{T}(\mathbf{u},p)\mathbf{n}) \cdot \mathbf{v} \ ds \\ = & \int_{\Gamma_S} \mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{v} \ ds + \int_{\Gamma_R} \mathbf{q} \cdot \mathbf{v} \ ds - \int_{\Gamma_R} r \mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{v} \ ds. \end{split}$$

#### Dirichlet/stress/Robin mixed boundary condition

• The weak formulation is to find  $\mathbf{u}\in H^1(\Omega)\times H^1(\Omega)$  and  $p\in L^2(\Omega)$  such that

$$\int_{\Omega} 2\nu \mathbb{D}(\mathbf{u}) : \mathbb{D}(\mathbf{v}) \ dxdy - \int_{\Omega} p(\nabla \cdot \mathbf{v}) \ dxdy + \int_{\Gamma_R} r\mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{v} \ ds 
= \int_{\Omega} \mathbf{f} \cdot \mathbf{v} \ dxdy + \int_{\Gamma_R} \mathbf{q} \cdot \mathbf{v} \ ds + \int_{\Gamma_S} \mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{v} \ ds, 
- \int_{\Omega} (\nabla \cdot \mathbf{u}) q \ dxdy = 0,$$

for any 
$$\mathbf{v} \in H^1_{0D}(\Omega) \times H^1_{0D}(\Omega)$$
 and  $q \in L^2(\Omega)$ . Here  $H^1_{0D}(\Omega) = \{v \in H^1(\Omega) : v = 0 \text{ on } \Gamma_D\}.$ 

 Code? Combine all of the subroutines for Dirichlet/stress/Robin boundary conditions.

#### Consider

Weak/Galerkin formulation

$$-\nabla \cdot \mathbb{T}(\mathbf{u}, p) = \mathbf{f} \qquad in \quad \Omega,$$

$$\nabla \cdot \mathbf{u} = 0 \qquad in \quad \Omega,$$

$$\mathbf{n}^{t} \mathbb{T}(\mathbf{u}, p) \mathbf{n} = p_{n}, \ \tau^{t} \mathbb{T}(\mathbf{u}, p) \mathbf{n} = p_{\tau} \text{ on } \Gamma_{S} \subset \partial \Omega,$$

$$\mathbf{u} = \mathbf{g} \text{ on } \Gamma_{D} = \partial \Omega / \Gamma_{S}.$$

Dirichlet boundary condition

where  $\mathbf{n} = (n_1, n_2)^t$  is the unit outer normal vector of  $\partial \Omega$  and  $\tau = (\tau_1, \tau_2)^t$  is the corresponding unit tangential vector of  $\partial \Omega$ .

Recall

$$\int_{\Omega} 2\nu \mathbb{D}(\mathbf{u}) : \mathbb{D}(\mathbf{v}) \ dxdy - \int_{\Omega} p(\nabla \cdot \mathbf{v}) \ dxdy$$
$$- \int_{\partial\Omega} (\mathbb{T}(\mathbf{u}, p)\mathbf{n}) \cdot \mathbf{v} \ ds = \int_{\Omega} \mathbf{f} \cdot \mathbf{v} \ dxdy,$$
$$- \int_{\Omega} (\nabla \cdot \mathbf{u}) q \ dxdy = 0.$$

Dirichlet boundary condition

• Since the solution on  $\Gamma_D = \partial \Omega / \Gamma_S$  is given by  $\mathbf{u} = \mathbf{g}$ , then we can choose the test function  $\mathbf{v}(x,y)$  such that  $\mathbf{v}=0$  on  $\partial \Omega/\Gamma_S$ .

 Using the above conditions, orthogonal decomposition of a vector, and the definition of unit normal/tangential vector, we obtain

$$\int_{\partial\Omega} (\mathbb{T}(\mathbf{u}, p)\mathbf{n}) \cdot \mathbf{v} \, ds$$

$$= \int_{\Gamma_S} (\mathbb{T}(\mathbf{u}, p)\mathbf{n}) \cdot \mathbf{v} \, ds + \int_{\partial\Omega/\Gamma_S} (\mathbb{T}(\mathbf{u}, p)\mathbf{n}) \cdot \mathbf{v} \, ds$$

$$= \int_{\Gamma_S} (\mathbb{T}(\mathbf{u}, p)\mathbf{n}) \cdot \mathbf{v} \, ds$$

$$= \int_{\Gamma_S} \left[ (\mathbf{n}^t \mathbb{T}(\mathbf{u}, p)\mathbf{n})\mathbf{n} + (\tau^t \mathbb{T}(\mathbf{u}, p)\mathbf{n})\tau \right] \cdot \left[ (\mathbf{n}^t \mathbf{v})\mathbf{n} + (\tau^t \mathbf{v})\tau \right] \, ds$$

$$= \int_{\Gamma_S} (\mathbf{n}^t \mathbb{T}(\mathbf{u}, p)\mathbf{n})(\mathbf{n}^t \mathbf{v}) \, ds + \int_{\Gamma_S} (\tau^t \mathbb{T}(\mathbf{u}, p)\mathbf{n})(\tau^t \mathbf{v}) \, ds$$

$$= \int_{\Gamma_S} p_n(\mathbf{n}^t \mathbf{v}) \, ds + \int_{\Gamma_S} p_{\tau}(\tau^t \mathbf{v}) \, ds.$$

• Then the weak formulation is to find  $\mathbf{u} \in H^1(\Omega) \times H^1(\Omega)$ and  $p \in L^2(\Omega)$  such that

$$\int_{\Omega} 2\nu \mathbb{D}(\mathbf{u}) : \mathbb{D}(\mathbf{v}) \ dxdy - \int_{\Omega} p(\nabla \cdot \mathbf{v}) \ dxdy$$

$$= \int_{\Omega} \mathbf{f} \cdot \mathbf{v} \ dxdy + \int_{\Gamma_{S}} p_{n}(\mathbf{n}^{t}\mathbf{v}) \ ds + \int_{\Gamma_{S}} p_{\tau}(\tau^{t}\mathbf{v}) \ ds,$$

$$- \int_{\Omega} (\nabla \cdot \mathbf{u}) q \ dxdy = 0,$$

for any  $\mathbf{v} \in H^1_{0D}(\Omega) \times H^1_{0D}(\Omega)$  and  $q \in L^2(\Omega)$ .

• Then the Galerkin formulation is to find  $\mathbf{u}_h \in U_h \times U_h$  and  $p_h \in W_h$  such that

$$\int_{\Omega} 2\nu \mathbb{D}(\mathbf{u}_h) : \mathbb{D}(\mathbf{v}_h) \ dxdy - \int_{\Omega} p_h(\nabla \cdot \mathbf{v}_h) \ dxdy$$

$$= \int_{\Omega} \mathbf{f} \cdot \mathbf{v}_h \ dxdy + \int_{\Gamma_S} p_n(\mathbf{n}^t \mathbf{v}_h) \ ds + \int_{\Gamma_S} p_{\tau}(\tau^t \mathbf{v}_h) \ ds,$$

$$- \int_{\Omega} (\nabla \cdot \mathbf{u}_h) q_h \ dxdy = 0,$$

for any  $\mathbf{v}_h \in U_{h0} \times U_{h0}$  and  $q_h \in W_h$ .

Weak/Galerkin formulation

## Stress boundary condition in normal/tangential directions

 For an easier implementation, we use the following Galerkin formulation (without considering the Dirichlet boundary condition, which will be handled later): find  $\mathbf{u}_h \in U_h \times U_h$ and  $p_h \in W_h$  such that

$$\int_{\Omega} 2\nu \mathbb{D}(\mathbf{u}_h) : \mathbb{D}(\mathbf{v}_h) \ dxdy - \int_{\Omega} p_h(\nabla \cdot \mathbf{v}_h) \ dxdy$$

$$= \int_{\Omega} \mathbf{f} \cdot \mathbf{v}_h \ dxdy + \int_{\Gamma_S} p_n(\mathbf{n}^t \mathbf{v}_h) \ ds + \int_{\Gamma_S} p_{\tau}(\tau^t \mathbf{v}_h) \ ds,$$

$$- \int_{\Omega} (\nabla \cdot \mathbf{u}_h) q_h \ dxdy = 0,$$

for any  $\mathbf{v}_h \in U_h \times U_h$  and  $q_h \in W_h$ .

• Since  $u_{1h}$ ,  $u_{2h} \in U_h = span\{\phi_i\}_{i=1}^{N_b}$  and  $p_h \in W_h = span\{\psi_i\}_{i=1}^{N_{bp}}$ , then

$$u_{1h} = \sum_{j=1}^{N_b} u_{1j}\phi_j, \quad u_{2h} = \sum_{j=1}^{N_b} u_{2j}\phi_j, \quad p_h = \sum_{j=1}^{N_{bp}} p_j\psi_j$$

for some coefficients  $u_{1i}$ ,  $u_{2i}$   $(j = 1, \dots, N_b)$ , and  $p_i \ (i = 1, \cdots, N_{bn}).$ 

- For the first equation in the Galerkin formulation, we choose  $\mathbf{v}_h = (\phi_i, 0)^t \ (i = 1, \dots, N_b) \ \text{and} \ \mathbf{v}_h = (0, \phi_i)^t \ (i = 1, \dots, N_b).$ That is, in the first set of test functions, we choose  $v_{1h} = \phi_i \ (i = 1, \dots, N_b)$  and  $v_{2h} = 0$ ; in the second set of test functions, we choose  $v_{1h} = 0$  and  $v_{2h} = \phi_i$   $(i = 1, \dots, N_b)$ .
- For the second equation in the Galerkin formulation, we choose  $q_h = \psi_i \ (i = 1, \cdots, N_{bn}).$

FE Method

#### Stress boundary condition in normal/tangential directions

 Then by the same procedure to derive the matrix formulation before, we obtain the following linear system

$$\sum_{j=1}^{N_b} u_{1j} \left( 2 \int_{\Omega} \nu \frac{\partial \phi_j}{\partial x} \frac{\partial \phi_i}{\partial x} \ dx dy + \int_{\Omega} \nu \frac{\partial \phi_j}{\partial y} \frac{\partial \phi_i}{\partial y} \ dx dy \right) + \sum_{j=1}^{N_b} u_{2j} \left( \int_{\Omega} \nu \frac{\partial \phi_j}{\partial x} \frac{\partial \phi_i}{\partial y} \ dx dy \right)$$

$$+ \sum_{j=1}^{N_{bp}} p_j \left( -\int_{\Omega} \psi_j \frac{\partial \phi_i}{\partial x} \ dx dy \right) = \int_{\Omega} f_1 \phi_i dx dy + \int_{\Gamma_S} p_n \phi_i n_1 \ ds + \int_{\Gamma_S} p_\tau \phi_i \tau_1 \ ds$$

$$\sum_{j=1}^{N_b} u_{1j} \left( \int_{\Omega} \nu \frac{\partial \phi_j}{\partial y} \frac{\partial \phi_i}{\partial x} \ dx dy \right)$$

$$\sum_{j=1}^{N_b} u_{1j} \left( \int_{\Omega} \nu \frac{\partial \phi_j}{\partial y} \frac{\partial \phi_i}{\partial x} \ dx dy \right)$$

$$+\sum_{j=1}^{N_b}u_{2j}\left(2\int_{\Omega}\nu\frac{\partial\phi_j}{\partial y}\,\frac{\partial\phi_i}{\partial y}\,\,dxdy+\int_{\Omega}\nu\frac{\partial\phi_j}{\partial x}\,\frac{\partial\phi_i}{\partial x}\,\,dxdy\right)$$

$$+\sum_{j=1}^{N_{bp}} p_j \left(-\int_{\Omega} \psi_j \frac{\partial \phi_i}{\partial y} \ dxdy\right) = \int_{\Omega} f_2 \phi_i dxdy + \int_{\Gamma_S} p_n \phi_i n_2 \ ds + \int_{\Gamma_S} p_\tau \phi_i \tau_2 \ ds$$

$$\sum_{j=1}^{N_b} u_{1j} \left( -\int_{\Omega} \frac{\partial \phi_j}{\partial x} \psi_i \ dxdy \right) + \sum_{j=1}^{N_b} u_{2j} \left( -\int_{\Omega} \frac{\partial \phi_j}{\partial y} \psi_i \ dxdy \right) + \sum_{j=1}^{N_b} v_{2j} \left( -\int_{\Omega} \frac{\partial \phi_j}{\partial y} \psi_i \ dxdy \right) + \sum_{j=1}^{N_b} v_{2j} \left( -\int_{\Omega} \frac{\partial \phi_j}{\partial y} \psi_i \ dxdy \right) + \sum_{j=1}^{N_b} v_{2j} \left( -\int_{\Omega} \frac{\partial \phi_j}{\partial y} \psi_i \ dxdy \right) + \sum_{j=1}^{N_b} v_{2j} \left( -\int_{\Omega} \frac{\partial \phi_j}{\partial y} \psi_i \ dxdy \right) + \sum_{j=1}^{N_b} v_{2j} \left( -\int_{\Omega} \frac{\partial \phi_j}{\partial y} \psi_i \ dxdy \right) + \sum_{j=1}^{N_b} v_{2j} \left( -\int_{\Omega} \frac{\partial \phi_j}{\partial y} \psi_i \ dxdy \right) + \sum_{j=1}^{N_b} v_{2j} \left( -\int_{\Omega} \frac{\partial \phi_j}{\partial y} \psi_i \ dxdy \right) + \sum_{j=1}^{N_b} v_{2j} \left( -\int_{\Omega} \frac{\partial \phi_j}{\partial y} \psi_i \ dxdy \right) + \sum_{j=1}^{N_b} v_{2j} \left( -\int_{\Omega} \frac{\partial \phi_j}{\partial y} \psi_i \ dxdy \right) + \sum_{j=1}^{N_b} v_{2j} \left( -\int_{\Omega} \frac{\partial \phi_j}{\partial y} \psi_i \ dxdy \right) + \sum_{j=1}^{N_b} v_{2j} \left( -\int_{\Omega} \frac{\partial \phi_j}{\partial y} \psi_i \ dxdy \right) + \sum_{j=1}^{N_b} v_{2j} \left( -\int_{\Omega} \frac{\partial \phi_j}{\partial y} \psi_i \ dxdy \right) + \sum_{j=1}^{N_b} v_{2j} \left( -\int_{\Omega} \frac{\partial \phi_j}{\partial y} \psi_i \ dxdy \right) + \sum_{j=1}^{N_b} v_{2j} \left( -\int_{\Omega} \frac{\partial \phi_j}{\partial y} \psi_i \ dxdy \right) + \sum_{j=1}^{N_b} v_{2j} \left( -\int_{\Omega} \frac{\partial \phi_j}{\partial y} \psi_i \ dxdy \right) + \sum_{j=1}^{N_b} v_{2j} \left( -\int_{\Omega} \frac{\partial \phi_j}{\partial y} \psi_i \ dxdy \right) + \sum_{j=1}^{N_b} v_{2j} \left( -\int_{\Omega} \frac{\partial \phi_j}{\partial y} \psi_i \ dxdy \right) + \sum_{j=1}^{N_b} v_{2j} \left( -\int_{\Omega} \frac{\partial \phi_j}{\partial y} \psi_i \ dxdy \right) + \sum_{j=1}^{N_b} v_{2j} \left( -\int_{\Omega} \frac{\partial \phi_j}{\partial y} \psi_i \ dxdy \right) + \sum_{j=1}^{N_b} v_{2j} \left( -\int_{\Omega} \frac{\partial \phi_j}{\partial y} \psi_i \ dxdy \right) + \sum_{j=1}^{N_b} v_{2j} \left( -\int_{\Omega} \frac{\partial \phi_j}{\partial y} \psi_i \ dxdy \right) + \sum_{j=1}^{N_b} v_{2j} \left( -\int_{\Omega} \frac{\partial \phi_j}{\partial y} \psi_i \ dxdy \right) + \sum_{j=1}^{N_b} v_{2j} \left( -\int_{\Omega} \frac{\partial \phi_j}{\partial y} \psi_j \ dxdy \right) + \sum_{j=1}^{N_b} v_{2j} \left( -\int_{\Omega} \frac{\partial \phi_j}{\partial y} \psi_j \ dxdy \right) + \sum_{j=1}^{N_b} v_{2j} \left( -\int_{\Omega} \frac{\partial \phi_j}{\partial y} \psi_j \ dxdy \right) + \sum_{j=1}^{N_b} v_{2j} \left( -\int_{\Omega} \frac{\partial \phi_j}{\partial y} \psi_j \ dxdy \right) + \sum_{j=1}^{N_b} v_{2j} \left( -\int_{\Omega} \frac{\partial \phi_j}{\partial y} \psi_j \ dxdy \right) + \sum_{j=1}^{N_b} v_{2j} \left( -\int_{\Omega} \frac{\partial \phi_j}{\partial y} \psi_j \ dxdy \right) + \sum_{j=1}^{N_b} v_{2j} \left( -\int_{\Omega} \frac{\partial \phi_j}{\partial y} \psi_j \ dxdy \right) + \sum_{j=1}^{N_b} v_{2j} \left( -\int_{\Omega} \frac{\partial \phi_j}{\partial y} \psi_j \ dxdy \right) + \sum_{j=1}^{N_b} v_{2j} \left( -\int_{\Omega} \frac{\partial \phi_j}{\partial y} \psi_j \ dxdy \right) + \sum_{j=1}^{N_b} v_{2j} \left( -\int_{\Omega}$$

#### Recall

$$A_{1} = \left[ \int_{\Omega} \nu \frac{\partial \phi_{j}}{\partial x} \frac{\partial \phi_{i}}{\partial x} dx dy \right]_{i,j=1}^{N_{b}}, \quad A_{2} = \left[ \int_{\Omega} \nu \frac{\partial \phi_{j}}{\partial y} \frac{\partial \phi_{i}}{\partial y} dx dy \right]_{i,j=1}^{N_{b}},$$

$$A_{3} = \left[ \int_{\Omega} \nu \frac{\partial \phi_{j}}{\partial x} \frac{\partial \phi_{i}}{\partial y} dx dy \right]_{i,j=1}^{N_{b}},$$

$$A_{5} = \left[ \int_{\Omega} -\psi_{j} \frac{\partial \phi_{i}}{\partial x} dx dy \right]_{i=1,j=1}^{N_{b}, N_{bp}}, \quad A_{6} = \left[ \int_{\Omega} -\psi_{j} \frac{\partial \phi_{i}}{\partial y} dx dy \right]_{i=1,j=1}^{N_{b}, N_{bp}}$$

and

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 2A_1 + A_2 & A_3 & A_5 \\ A_3^t & 2A_2 + A_1 & A_6 \\ A_5^t & A_6^t & \mathbb{O}_1 \end{pmatrix}$$

where  $\mathbb{O}_1$  is a zero matrix whose size is  $N_{bp} \times N_{bp}$ .

Recall

$$ec{b} = \left( egin{array}{c} ec{b}_1 \ ec{b}_2 \ ec{0} \end{array} 
ight)$$

where

$$\vec{b}_1 = \left[ \int_{\Omega} f_1 \phi_i dx dy \right]_{i=1}^{N_b}, \ \vec{b}_2 = \left[ \int_{\Omega} f_2 \phi_i dx dy \right]_{i=1}^{N_b}, \ \vec{0} = [0]_{i=1}^{N_{bp}}.$$

Recall the unknown vector

$$ec{X} = \left( egin{array}{c} ec{X}_1 \ ec{X}_2 \ ec{X}_3 \end{array} 
ight)$$

where 
$$\vec{X}_1 = [u_{1j}]_{j=1}^{N_b}$$
,  $\vec{X}_2 = [u_{2j}]_{j=1}^{N_b}$ ,  $\vec{X}_3 = [p_j]_{j=1}^{N_{bp}}$ .

Define the additional vector from the stress boundary condition:

$$\vec{v} = \left( \begin{array}{c} \vec{v}_1 + \vec{v}_2 \\ \vec{v}_3 + \vec{v}_4 \\ \vec{0} \end{array} \right)$$

where

$$\vec{v}_{1} = \left[ \int_{\Gamma_{S}} p_{n} \phi_{i} n_{1} \ ds \right]_{i=1}^{N_{b}}, \ \vec{v}_{2} = \left[ \int_{\Gamma_{S}} p_{\tau} \phi_{i} \tau_{1} \ ds \right]_{i=1}^{N_{b}},$$

$$\vec{v}_{3} = \left[ \int_{\Gamma_{S}} p_{n} \phi_{i} n_{2} \ ds \right]_{i=1}^{N_{b}}, \ \vec{v}_{4} = \left[ \int_{\Gamma_{S}} p_{\tau} \phi_{i} \tau_{2} \ ds \right]_{i=1}^{N_{b}},$$

$$\vec{0} = [0]_{i=1}^{N_{bp}}.$$

 $\bullet$  Define the new vector  $\overset{\sim}{\vec{b}}=\vec{b}+\vec{r}$ 

Weak/Galerkin formulation

Dirichlet boundary condition

• Then we obtain the linear algebraic system

$$A\vec{X} = \widetilde{\vec{b}}.$$

- Since each of  $\vec{v}_i$  (i=1,2,3,4) is similar to the  $\vec{v}$  for the Neumann condition in Chapter 3, we can borrow the code of Neumman condition in Chapter 3 for  $\vec{v}_i$  (i = 1, 2, 3, 4).
- The major difference between  $\vec{v}_i$  (i=1,2,3,4) here and the  $\vec{v}$ for the Neumann condition in Chapter 3 is that here we need to provide the unit normal/tangential vectors. That is, we need to provide  $\mathbf{n}=(n_1,\,n_2)^t$  and  $\tau=(\tau_1,\,\tau_2)^t$ , in the information matrix boundaryedges.

Based on Algorithm VI-3 in Chapter 5, we obtain Algorithm VI-5:

- Initialize the vector:  $v = sparse(2N_b + N_{bp}, 1)$ ;
- Compute the integrals and assemble them into v:

$$FOR \ k = 1, \cdots, nbe$$
:

 $IF\ boundaryedges(1,k)$  shows stress boundary in normal/tangential directions, THEN

$$n_k = boundaryedges(2, k);$$
  
 $FOR \ \beta = 1, \cdots, N_{lb}:$ 

#### Compute

$$\begin{split} r &= \int_{e_k} p_n \frac{\partial^{a+b} \psi_{n_k \beta}}{\partial x^a \partial y^b} n_1 \ ds + \int_{e_k} p_\tau \frac{\partial^{a+b} \psi_{n_k \beta}}{\partial x^a \partial y^b} \tau_1 \ ds; \\ v(T_b(\beta, n_k), 1) &= v(T_b(\beta, n_k), 1) + r; \end{split}$$

#### Compute

$$r = \int_{e_k} p_n \frac{\partial^{a+b} \psi_{n_k \beta}}{\partial x^a \partial y^b} n_2 ds + \int_{e_k} p_\tau \frac{\partial^{a+b} \psi_{n_k \beta}}{\partial x^a \partial y^b} \tau_2 ds;$$
$$v(N_b + T_b(\beta, n_k), 1) = v(N_b + T_b(\beta, n_k), 1) + r;$$

END

ENDIF

END



#### Consider

Weak/Galerkin formulation

$$\begin{split} & -\nabla \cdot \mathbb{T}(\mathbf{u},p) = \mathbf{f} & in \quad \Omega, \\ & \nabla \cdot \mathbf{u} = 0 \quad in \quad \Omega, \\ & \mathbf{n}^t \mathbb{T}(\mathbf{u},p) \mathbf{n} + r \mathbf{n}^t \mathbf{u} = q_n, \ \tau^t \mathbb{T}(\mathbf{u},p) \mathbf{n} + r \tau^t \mathbf{u} = q_\tau \ \text{on} \ \Gamma_R \subseteq \partial \Omega, \\ & \mathbf{u} = \mathbf{g} \ \text{on} \ \Gamma_D = \partial \Omega / \Gamma_R. \end{split}$$

where  $\mathbf{n}=(n_1,\,n_2)^t$  is the unit outer normal vector of  $\partial\Omega$  and  $\tau = (\tau_1, \tau_2)^t$  is the corresponding unit tangential vector of  $\partial \Omega$ .

Dirichlet boundary condition

Recall

Weak/Galerkin formulation

$$\int_{\Omega} 2\nu \mathbb{D}(\mathbf{u}) : \mathbb{D}(\mathbf{v}) \ dxdy - \int_{\Omega} p(\nabla \cdot \mathbf{v}) \ dxdy$$
$$- \int_{\partial\Omega} (\mathbb{T}(\mathbf{u}, p)\mathbf{n}) \cdot \mathbf{v} \ ds = \int_{\Omega} \mathbf{f} \cdot \mathbf{v} \ dxdy,$$
$$- \int_{\Omega} (\nabla \cdot \mathbf{u}) q \ dxdy = 0.$$

• Since the solution on  $\Gamma_D = \partial \Omega / \Gamma_R$  is given by  $\mathbf{u} = \mathbf{g}$ , then we can choose the test function  $\mathbf{v}(x,y)$  such that  $\mathbf{v}=0$  on  $\partial \Omega/\Gamma_R$ .

• Using the above conditions, orthogonal decomposition of a vector, and the definition of unit normal/tangential vector, we obtain

$$\int_{\partial\Omega} (\mathbb{T}(\mathbf{u}, p)\mathbf{n}) \cdot \mathbf{v} \, ds$$

$$= \int_{\Gamma_R} (\mathbb{T}(\mathbf{u}, p)\mathbf{n}) \cdot \mathbf{v} \, ds$$

$$= \int_{\Gamma_R} [(\mathbf{n}^t \mathbb{T}(\mathbf{u}, p)\mathbf{n})\mathbf{n} + (\tau^t \mathbb{T}(\mathbf{u}, p)\mathbf{n})\tau] \cdot [(\mathbf{n}^t \mathbf{v})\mathbf{n} + (\tau^t \mathbf{v})\tau] \, ds$$

$$= \int_{\Gamma_S} (\mathbf{n}^t \mathbb{T}(\mathbf{u}, p)\mathbf{n})(\mathbf{n}^t \mathbf{v}) \, ds + \int_{\Gamma_S} (\tau^t \mathbb{T}(\mathbf{u}, p)\mathbf{n})(\tau^t \mathbf{v}) \, ds$$

$$= \left[ \int_{\Gamma_R} q_n(\mathbf{n}^t \mathbf{v}) \, ds + \int_{\Gamma_R} q_\tau(\tau^t \mathbf{v}) \, ds \right]$$

$$- \left[ \int_{\Gamma_R} (r\mathbf{n}^t \mathbf{u})(\mathbf{n}^t \mathbf{v}) \, ds + \int_{\Gamma_R} (r\tau^t \mathbf{u})(\tau^t \mathbf{v}) \, ds \right],$$

• Then the weak formulation is to find  $\mathbf{u} \in H^1(\Omega) \times H^1(\Omega)$  and  $p \in L^2(\Omega)$  such that

$$\int_{\Omega} 2\nu \mathbb{D}(\mathbf{u}) : \mathbb{D}(\mathbf{v}) \ dxdy - \int_{\Omega} p(\nabla \cdot \mathbf{v}) \ dxdy 
+ \int_{\Gamma_R} (r\mathbf{n}^t \mathbf{u})(\mathbf{n}^t \mathbf{v}) \ ds + \int_{\Gamma_R} (r\tau^t \mathbf{u})(\tau^t \mathbf{v}) \ ds 
= \int_{\Omega} \mathbf{f} \cdot \mathbf{v} \ dxdy + \int_{\Gamma_R} q_n(\mathbf{n}^t \mathbf{v}) \ ds + \int_{\Gamma_R} q_\tau(\tau^t \mathbf{v}) \ ds, 
- \int_{\Omega} (\nabla \cdot \mathbf{u}) q \ dxdy = 0,$$

for any  $\mathbf{v} \in H^1_{0D}(\Omega) \times H^1_{0D}(\Omega)$  and  $q \in L^2(\Omega)$ .

• Then the Galerkin formulation is to find  $\mathbf{u}_h \in U_h \times U_h$  and  $p_h \in W_h$  such that

$$\int_{\Omega} 2\nu \mathbb{D}(\mathbf{u}_{h}) : \mathbb{D}(\mathbf{v}_{h}) \, dxdy - \int_{\Omega} p_{h}(\nabla \cdot \mathbf{v}_{h}) \, dxdy 
+ \int_{\Gamma_{R}} (r\mathbf{n}^{t}\mathbf{u}_{h})(\mathbf{n}^{t}\mathbf{v}_{h}) \, ds + \int_{\Gamma_{R}} (r\tau^{t}\mathbf{u}_{h})(\tau^{t}\mathbf{v}_{h}) \, ds 
= \int_{\Omega} \mathbf{f} \cdot \mathbf{v}_{h} \, dxdy + \int_{\Gamma_{R}} q_{n}(\mathbf{n}^{t}\mathbf{v}_{h}) \, ds + \int_{\Gamma_{R}} q_{\tau}(\tau^{t}\mathbf{v}_{h}) \, ds, 
- \int_{\Omega} (\nabla \cdot \mathbf{u}_{h})q_{h} \, dxdy = 0,$$

for any  $\mathbf{v}_h \in U_{h0} \times U_{h0}$  and  $q_h \in W_h$ .

• For an easier implementation, we use the following Galerkin formulation (without considering the Dirichlet boundary condition, which will be handled later): find  $\mathbf{u}_h \in U_h \times U_h$  and  $p_h \in W_h$  such that

$$\int_{\Omega} 2\nu \mathbb{D}(\mathbf{u}_{h}) : \mathbb{D}(\mathbf{v}_{h}) \ dxdy - \int_{\Omega} p_{h}(\nabla \cdot \mathbf{v}_{h}) \ dxdy 
+ \int_{\Gamma_{R}} (r\mathbf{n}^{t}\mathbf{u}_{h})(\mathbf{n}^{t}\mathbf{v}_{h}) \ ds + \int_{\Gamma_{R}} (r\tau^{t}\mathbf{u}_{h})(\tau^{t}\mathbf{v}_{h}) \ ds 
= \int_{\Omega} \mathbf{f} \cdot \mathbf{v}_{h} \ dxdy + \int_{\Gamma_{R}} q_{n}(\mathbf{n}^{t}\mathbf{v}_{h}) \ ds + \int_{\Gamma_{R}} q_{\tau}(\tau^{t}\mathbf{v}_{h}) \ ds, 
- \int_{\Omega} (\nabla \cdot \mathbf{u}_{h})q_{h} \ dxdy = 0,$$

for any  $\mathbf{v}_h \in U_h \times U_h$  and  $q_h \in W_h$ .

• Since  $u_{1h}$ ,  $u_{2h} \in U_h = span\{\phi_i\}_{i=1}^{N_b}$  and  $p_h \in W_h = span\{\psi_i\}_{i=1}^{N_{bp}}$ , then

$$u_{1h} = \sum_{j=1}^{N_b} u_{1j}\phi_j, \quad u_{2h} = \sum_{j=1}^{N_b} u_{2j}\phi_j, \quad p_h = \sum_{j=1}^{N_{bp}} p_j\psi_j$$

for some coefficients  $u_{1i}$ ,  $u_{2i}$   $(j = 1, \dots, N_b)$ , and  $p_i \ (i = 1, \cdots, N_{bn}).$ 

- For the first equation in the Galerkin formulation, we choose  $\mathbf{v}_h = (\phi_i, 0)^t \ (i = 1, \dots, N_b) \ \text{and} \ \mathbf{v}_h = (0, \phi_i)^t \ (i = 1, \dots, N_b).$ That is, in the first set of test functions, we choose  $v_{1h} = \phi_i \ (i = 1, \dots, N_b)$  and  $v_{2h} = 0$ ; in the second set of test functions, we choose  $v_{1h} = 0$  and  $v_{2h} = \phi_i$   $(i = 1, \dots, N_b)$ .
- For the second equation in the Galerkin formulation, we choose  $q_h = \psi_i \ (i = 1, \cdots, N_{bn}).$

• Then by the same procedure to derive the matrix formulation before, we obtain the following linear system:

$$\sum_{j=1}^{N_{b}} u_{1j} \left( 2 \int_{\Omega} \nu \frac{\partial \phi_{j}}{\partial x} \frac{\partial \phi_{i}}{\partial x} dx dy + \int_{\Omega} \nu \frac{\partial \phi_{j}}{\partial y} \frac{\partial \phi_{i}}{\partial y} dx dy \right)$$

$$+ \int_{\Gamma_{R}} (r n_{1} \phi_{j}) (\phi_{i} n_{1}) ds + \int_{\Gamma_{R}} (r \tau_{1} \phi_{j}) (\phi_{i} \tau_{1}) ds$$

$$+ \sum_{j=1}^{N_{b}} u_{2j} \left( \int_{\Omega} \nu \frac{\partial \phi_{j}}{\partial x} \frac{\partial \phi_{i}}{\partial y} dx dy + \int_{\Gamma_{R}} (r n_{2} \phi_{j}) (\phi_{i} n_{1}) ds \right)$$

$$+ \int_{\Gamma_{R}} (r \tau_{2} \phi_{j}) (\phi_{i} \tau_{1}) ds + \sum_{j=1}^{N_{bp}} p_{j} \left( -\int_{\Omega} \psi_{j} \frac{\partial \phi_{i}}{\partial x} dx dy \right)$$

$$= \int_{\Omega} f_{1} \phi_{i} dx dy + \int_{\Gamma_{R}} q_{n} \phi_{i} n_{1} ds + \int_{\Gamma_{R}} q_{\tau} \phi_{i} \tau_{1} ds,$$

and

$$\sum_{j=1}^{N_{b}} u_{1j} \Big( \int_{\Omega} \nu \frac{\partial \phi_{j}}{\partial y} \frac{\partial \phi_{i}}{\partial x} \, dx dy + \int_{\Gamma_{R}} (r n_{1} \phi_{j}) (\phi_{i} n_{2}) \, ds \Big) + \int_{\Gamma_{R}} (r \tau_{1} \phi_{j}) (\phi_{i} \tau_{2}) \, ds \Big) + \sum_{j=1}^{N_{b}} u_{2j} \Big( 2 \int_{\Omega} \nu \frac{\partial \phi_{j}}{\partial y} \frac{\partial \phi_{i}}{\partial y} \, dx dy \Big) + \int_{\Omega} \nu \frac{\partial \phi_{j}}{\partial x} \frac{\partial \phi_{i}}{\partial x} \, dx dy + \int_{\Gamma_{R}} (r n_{2} \phi_{j}) (\phi_{i} n_{2}) \, ds \Big) + \int_{\Gamma_{R}} (r \tau_{2} \phi_{j}) (\phi_{i} \tau_{2}) \, ds \Big) + \sum_{j=1}^{N_{bp}} p_{j} \left( - \int_{\Omega} \psi_{j} \frac{\partial \phi_{i}}{\partial y} \, dx dy \right) \\ = \int_{\Omega} f_{2} \phi_{i} dx dy + \int_{\Gamma_{R}} q_{n} \phi_{i} n_{2} \, ds + \int_{\Gamma_{R}} q_{\tau} \phi_{i} \tau_{2} \, ds,$$

and

Weak/Galerkin formulation

$$\sum_{j=1}^{N_b} u_{1j} \left( -\int_{\Omega} \frac{\partial \phi_j}{\partial x} \psi_i \, dx dy \right) + \sum_{j=1}^{N_b} u_{2j} \left( -\int_{\Omega} \frac{\partial \phi_j}{\partial y} \psi_i \, dx dy \right) + \sum_{j=1}^{N_{bp}} p_j * 0 = 0.$$

Weak/Galerkin formulation

- Matrix formulation? Pesudo code? (Part of a project for you)
- Similar to the previous ones for Robin condition, we need to add eight sub-matrices and four sub-vectors into the block linear system.
- The major difference is that here we need to provide the unit normal/tangential vectors. That is, we need to provide  $\mathbf{n}=(n_1,\,n_2)^t$  and  $\boldsymbol{\tau}=(\tau_1,\tau_2)^t$ , in the information matrix boundaryedges.

## Dirichlet/stress/Robin mixed boundary condition in normal/tangential directions

#### Consider

$$\begin{split} & -\nabla \cdot \mathbb{T}(\mathbf{u},p) = \mathbf{f} \qquad in \quad \Omega, \\ & \nabla \cdot \mathbf{u} = 0 \qquad in \quad \Omega, \\ & \mathbf{n}^t \mathbb{T}(\mathbf{u},p) \mathbf{n} = p_n, \ \tau^t \mathbb{T}(\mathbf{u},p) \mathbf{n} = p_\tau \ \text{ on } \Gamma_S \subset \partial \Omega, \\ & \mathbf{n}^t \mathbb{T}(\mathbf{u},p) \mathbf{n} + r \mathbf{n}^t \mathbf{u} = q_n, \ \tau^t \mathbb{T}(\mathbf{u},p) \mathbf{n} + r \tau^t \mathbf{u} = q_\tau \ \text{ on } \Gamma_R \subseteq \partial \Omega, \\ & \mathbf{u} = \mathbf{g} \ \text{ on } \Gamma_D = \partial \Omega / (\Gamma_S \cup \Gamma_R). \end{split}$$

where  $\mathbf{n} = (n_1, n_2)^t$  is the unit outer normal vector of  $\partial\Omega$  and  $\tau = (\tau_1, \tau_2)^t$  is the corresponding unit tangential vector of  $\partial\Omega$ .

FE Method

## Dirichlet/stress/Robin mixed boundary condition in normal/tangential directions

Recall

$$\int_{\Omega} 2\nu \mathbb{D}(\mathbf{u}) : \mathbb{D}(\mathbf{v}) \ dxdy - \int_{\Omega} p(\nabla \cdot \mathbf{v}) \ dxdy 
- \int_{\partial\Omega} (\mathbb{T}(\mathbf{u}, p)\mathbf{n}) \cdot \mathbf{v} \ ds = \int_{\Omega} \mathbf{f} \cdot \mathbf{v} \ dxdy, 
- \int_{\Omega} (\nabla \cdot \mathbf{u}) q \ dxdy = 0.$$

• Since the solution on  $\Gamma_D = \partial \Omega/(\Gamma_S \cup \Gamma_R)$  is given by  $\mathbf{u} = \mathbf{g}$ , then we can choose the test function  $\mathbf{v}(x,y)$  such that  $\mathbf{v}=0$ on  $\partial\Omega/(\Gamma_S\cup\Gamma_R)$ .

## Dirichlet/stress/Robin mixed boundary condition in normal/tangential directions

• Combining the above derivation for stress and Robin boundary conditions in normal/tangential directions, we obtain

$$\int_{\partial\Omega} (\mathbb{T}(\mathbf{u}, p)\mathbf{n}) \cdot \mathbf{v} \, ds$$

$$= \int_{\Gamma_S} (\mathbb{T}(\mathbf{u}, p)\mathbf{n}) \cdot \mathbf{v} \, ds + \int_{\Gamma_R} (\mathbb{T}(\mathbf{u}, p)\mathbf{n}) \cdot \mathbf{v} \, ds$$

$$+ \int_{\partial\Omega/(\Gamma_S \cup \Gamma_R)} (\mathbb{T}(\mathbf{u}, p)\mathbf{n}) \cdot \mathbf{v} \, ds$$

$$= \left[ \int_{\Gamma_S} p_n(\mathbf{n}^t \mathbf{v}) \, ds + \int_{\Gamma_S} p_{\tau}(\tau^t \mathbf{v}) \, ds \right]$$

$$+ \left[ \int_{\Gamma_R} q_n(\mathbf{n}^t \mathbf{v}) \, ds + \int_{\Gamma_R} q_{\tau}(\tau^t \mathbf{v}) \, ds \right]$$

$$- \left[ \int_{\Gamma_R} (r\mathbf{n}^t \mathbf{u})(\mathbf{n}^t \mathbf{v}) \, ds + \int_{\Gamma_R} (r\tau^t \mathbf{u})(\tau^t \mathbf{v}) \, ds \right],$$

# Dirichlet/stress/Robin mixed boundary condition in normal/tangential directions

• Weak formulation: find  $\mathbf{u} \in H^1(\Omega) \times H^1(\Omega)$  and  $p \in L^2(\Omega)$  s.t.

$$\int_{\Omega} 2\nu \mathbb{D}(\mathbf{u}) : \mathbb{D}(\mathbf{v}) \, dx dy - \int_{\Omega} p(\nabla \cdot \mathbf{v}) \, dx dy 
+ \int_{\Gamma_R} (r \mathbf{n}^t \mathbf{u}) (\mathbf{n}^t \mathbf{v}) \, ds + \int_{\Gamma_R} (r \tau^t \mathbf{u}) (\tau^t \mathbf{v}) \, ds 
= \int_{\Omega} \mathbf{f} \cdot \mathbf{v} \, dx dy + \int_{\Gamma_R} q_n(\mathbf{n}^t \mathbf{v}) \, ds + \int_{\Gamma_R} q_{\tau}(\tau^t \mathbf{v}) \, ds 
+ \int_{\Gamma_S} p_n(\mathbf{n}^t \mathbf{v}) \, ds + \int_{\Gamma_S} p_{\tau}(\tau^t \mathbf{v}) \, ds, 
- \int_{\Omega} (\nabla \cdot \mathbf{u}) q \, dx dy = 0,$$

for any  $\mathbf{v} \in H^1_{0D}(\Omega) \times H^1_{0D}(\Omega)$  and  $q \in L^2(\Omega)$ .

 Code? Combine all of the subroutines for Dirichlet/stress/Robin boundary conditions.