

VARIATION ON A VARIATIONAL PRINCIPLE

ALICE KWON AND YING HONG THAM

ABSTRACT. We prove that alternating links in the thickened torus remaini hyperbolic after certain augmentations.

1. INTRODUCTION

Definitions, Notations, and Conventions

Always assume surface Σ (our case $\Sigma = \mathbb{T}^2$) is oriented.

define cellular decomp of surface

V, E, F are set of vertices, edges, faces.

\vec{E} is the set of oriented edges. We may identify an oriented edge \vec{e} with the pair $(f_{\vec{e}}, e)$, where $f_{\vec{e}}$ is the face to the left of \vec{e} .

2. SET UP?

Recall circle pattern.

A circle pattern is determined by the radius of the circle C_f associated to each face, r_f , and the angle that each edge subtends in adjacent faces, $\varphi_{\vec{e}}$. (see figure TODO) Thus determines, and is determined by a point in $\mathfrak{R} \times \mathfrak{Q}$, where

- $\mathfrak{R} := \mathbb{R}_+^F = \{(r_f)_{f \in F} | r_f \in \mathbb{R}_+\}$
- $\mathfrak{Q} := \mathbb{R}^{\vec{E}} = \{(\varphi_{\vec{e}})_{\vec{e} \in \vec{E}} | \varphi_{\vec{e}} \in \mathbb{R}\}$

but clearly not every point $c \in \mathfrak{R} \times \mathfrak{Q}$ determines a circle pattern.

On $\mathfrak{R} \times \mathfrak{Q}$, there are several functions to consider:

- $\Phi_f = 2 \sum_{\vec{e} \in \partial f} \varphi_{\vec{e}}$, measuring the cone angle at the center of C_f
- $\theta_e = \pi - \varphi_{\vec{e}} - \varphi_{\overleftarrow{e}}$
- $l_{\vec{e}} = r_{f_{\vec{e}}} \sin \varphi_{\vec{e}}$

These fit together to give maps to the following spaces:

- $\underline{\Phi} := \mathbb{R}^F = \{(\Phi_f)_{f \in F} | \Phi_f \in \mathbb{R}\}$
- $\underline{\Theta} := \mathbb{R}^E = \{(\theta_e)_{e \in E} | \theta_e \in \mathbb{R}\}$
- $\underline{\mathcal{L}} := \mathbb{R}^{\vec{E}} = \{(l_{\vec{e}})_{\vec{e} \in \vec{E}} | l_{\vec{e}} \in \mathbb{R}\}$

Our main argument is to deform "degenerate" circle patterns, where adjacent circles may be identical or tangent, into ones that don't look so degenerate, hence it is conveneint to extend the notion of circle pattern:

Definition 2.1. An *extended circle pattern* is $c = ((r_f), (\varphi_{\vec{e}})) \in \mathfrak{R} \times \mathfrak{Q}$ such that $l_{\vec{e}} = l_{\overleftarrow{e}}$ for all edges $e \in E$. We denote by \mathfrak{C} the set of extended circle patterns.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathfrak{C} = \{l_{\vec{e}} = l_{\overleftarrow{e}}\} & \subseteq & \mathfrak{R} \times \mathfrak{Q} \longrightarrow \underline{\Theta} \\ & \downarrow & \\ & \underline{\Phi} & \end{array}$$

[Check this] The usual notion of circle pattern would be restricted to those $c \in \mathfrak{C}$ with $\varphi_{\vec{e}} \in (0, \pi)$ and $\theta_e \in (0, \pi)$. One may consider deforming a circle pattern so as to have some θ_e approach 0 or π . The limit

$\theta_e \rightarrow \pi$ is easy to picture, one simply gets that the two circles $C_f, C_{f'}$ of the adjacent faces become tangent. The limit $\theta_e \rightarrow 0$ is a bit more complex, as the final shape of Q_e (the quadrangle associated to e) depends also on $\varphi_{\vec{e}}$ (which equals $\pi - \varphi_{\vec{e}}$ in the limit. If we parametrize circle patterns by (r_f) and (θ_e) as in TODO [?BS], the limiting shape would depend on how r_f approaches $r_{f'}$, some sort of blow up stuff TODO.

We will mostly be working with extended circle patterns that mostly “look normal”, with all $\varphi_{\vec{e}}$ in some range $(-\varepsilon, \pi + \varepsilon)$.

Definition 2.2. An extended circle pattern is said to be *face non-singular* if $\Phi_f = 2\pi$ for all faces f ; it is said to be *vertex non-singular* if $\sum_{e \ni v} \theta_e = 2\pi$ for all vertices v . Finally it is said to be *non-singular* if it is both.

non-degenerate circle pattern?

Definition 2.3. Given some extended circle pattern $c \in \mathfrak{C}$, we say a face f is *convex* if for all edges $\vec{e} \in \partial f$, we have $\varphi_{\vec{e}} \in [0, \pi/2)$. We say f is *thin* if exactly two edges $\vec{e}, \vec{e}' \in \partial f$ have nonzero φ_{\cdot} , and furthermore, $0 < \varphi_{\vec{e}} = \pi - \varphi_{\vec{e}'} < \pi/2$.

TODO figures for convex, thin faces

Definition 2.4. Given an extended circle pattern $c \in \mathfrak{C}$, an edge e is *short* if it has length 0, $l_{\vec{e}} = l_{\vec{e}'} = 0$; it is *long* otherwise.

Definition 2.5. Given an extended circle pattern $c \in \mathfrak{C}$, a *thick path* is a sequence of faces f_0, f_1, \dots, f_n such that f_i, f_{i+1} share a long edge. A *thick cycle* is a thick path with $f_0 = f_n$.

3. MAIN RESULTS??

Our general strategy for obtaining a (non-singular) circle pattern is to start with an assignment $\underline{\theta} = (\theta_{\cdot})$ for which we know an extended circle pattern exists (say from results of [?BS]), and a path γ in Θ starting at $\underline{\theta}$. We then attempt to lift γ to a path $\tilde{\gamma}$ in \mathfrak{C} , so that $\tilde{\gamma}$ remains (face) non-singular (vertex non-singularity is already determined by γ).

Note that since $\sum_{e \in E} \theta_e = 2\pi|E| - \sum_{\vec{e} \in \vec{E}} \varphi_{\vec{e}} = 2\pi|E| - \sum_{f \in F} \Phi_f$, we see that maintaining face non-singularity of $\tilde{\gamma}$ forces $\sum \theta_e$ to be constant. We will show that this is the only obstruction on γ to the lifting to such $\tilde{\gamma}$.

To that end, let L be the $(|E| - 1)$ -plane distribution on Θ tangent to the level sets of $\sum \theta_v$. The following proposition proves it(?) up to first order at a point:

Proposition 3.1. *Let $c \in \mathfrak{C}$ be an extended circle pattern such that*

- $\Phi_f = 2\pi$ for all $f \in F$;
- all faces are either convex or thin;
- every pair of faces is connected by a thick path.

Let $K_c = \ker(A_|_c : T_c \mathfrak{C} \rightarrow T_{A(c)} \mathfrak{C})$. Then $B_*(K_c) = L_{B(c)}$.*

In other words, for any vector $b = b^e \partial_{\theta_e}$ with sum of coefficients 0, one can vary c so that its first order change in θ_{\cdot} is b , and also remains face non-singular up to first order.

Lemma 3.2. *Let $c \in \mathfrak{C}$ be as in Proposition 3.1. Then A is a submersion in a neighbourhood of c .*

Proposition 3.3. *Let $c \in \mathfrak{C}$ be as in Proposition 3.1. TODO full lifting statement.*

TODO SUNY MARITIME ADDRESS

Email address: TODO email

URL: TODO webpage

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, STONY BROOK UNIVERSITY, STONY BROOK, NY 11794, USA

Email address: yinghong.tham@stonybrook.edu

URL: <http://www.math.sunysb.edu/~yinghong/>