

## Chapter 3 ARMA

Definition:  $\{X_t\}$  is an ARMA(p,q) process if  $\{X_t\}$  is stationary and if for every  $t$ ,  $X_t - \phi_1 X_{t-1} - \phi_2 X_{t-2} \dots - \phi_p X_{t-p} = Z_t + \theta_1 Z_{t-1} + \dots + \theta_q Z_{t-q}$  where  $\{Z_t\} \sim WN(0, \sigma^2)$  and  $(1 - \phi_1 z - \dots - \phi_p z^p)$  and  $(1 + \theta_1 z + \dots + \theta_q z^q)$  have no common factors.

$$X_t - \sum_{i=1}^p \phi_i X_{t-i} = Z_t + \sum_{k=1}^q \theta_k Z_{t-k}$$

For AR(p) process,

$$\begin{aligned} X_t &= \phi_1 X_{t-1} + \phi_2 X_{t-2} + \dots + \phi_p X_{t-p} + Z_t \\ \Rightarrow X_t - \phi_1 X_{t-1} - \phi_2 X_{t-2} - \dots - \phi_p X_{t-p} &= Z_t \end{aligned}$$

$\{X_t\}$  is an ARMA(p,q) process with constant mean  $\mu$  if  $\{X_t - \mu\}$  is an ARMA(p,q) process with mean 0.

Shorthand notation

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi(B) X_t &= \Theta(B) Z_t \quad \text{where} \quad \Phi(z) = 1 - \phi_1 z - \phi_2 z^2 - \dots - \phi_p z^p \\ &\quad \Theta(z) = 1 + \theta_1 z + \theta_2 z^2 + \dots + \theta_q z^q \\ \Rightarrow \Phi(B) X_t &= B^0 X_t - \phi_1 B^1 X_t - \phi_2 B^2 X_t - \dots - \phi_p B^p X_t \\ &= X_t - \phi_1 X_{t-1} - \phi_2 X_{t-2} - \dots - \phi_p X_{t-p} \\ \Theta(B) Z_t &= B^0 Z_t + \theta_1 B^1 Z_t + \theta_2 B^2 Z_t + \dots + \theta_q B^q Z_t \\ &= Z_t + \theta_1 Z_{t-1} + \theta_2 Z_{t-2} + \dots + \theta_q Z_{t-q} \end{aligned}$$

If  $\{X_t\}$  is an AR(p), then  $\Theta(z) = 1$

If  $\{X_t\}$  is an MA(q), then  $\Phi(z) = 1$

If  $\{X_t\}$  is a white noise, then  $\Theta(z) = \Phi(z) = 1$

## ① Stationary

Take ARMA(1,1)  $X_t - \phi X_{t-1} = Z_t + \theta Z_{t-1}$

so  $\Phi(B) = 1 - \phi B$  and  $\Theta(B) = 1 + \theta B$

If  $|\phi| < 1$ , let  $\chi(z)$  be the power series expansion of

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{1}{\Phi(z)} &= \frac{1}{1-\phi z} & \frac{1}{1-x} &= 1+x+x^2+\dots \text{ for } -1 < x < 1 \\ &= (z-0)^0 \frac{1}{1-\phi z} \Big|_{z=0} + (z-0)^1 \frac{\phi}{(1-\phi z)^2} \Big|_{z=0} + \dots \\ &= \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} z^j \phi^j \\ &= \chi(z)\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\chi(B) \Phi(B) X_t &= \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \phi^j B^j [1 - \phi B] X_t = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} [\phi^j B^j - \phi^{j+1} B^{j+1}] X_t \\ &= X_t - \phi B X_t + \phi B X_t - \phi^2 B^2 X_t + \dots \\ &= X_t\end{aligned}$$

$$\chi(B) \Phi(B) X_t = \chi(B) \Theta(B) Z_t$$

$$X_t = \chi(B) \Theta(B) Z_t = \varphi(B) Z_t \quad \text{where } \varphi(B) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \varphi_j B^j$$

$$\chi(B) \Theta(B) = (1 + \phi B + \phi^2 B^2 + \dots) (1 + \theta B)$$

$$\Rightarrow \varphi_0 = 1 \quad \varphi_j = (\phi + \theta) \phi^{j-1} \text{ for } j \geq 1$$

$$\Rightarrow X_t = Z_t + (\phi + \theta) \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \phi^{j-1} Z_{t-j}$$

The MA(∞) process

$\Rightarrow$  exists ARMA(1,1) is stationary if  $\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} (\phi + \theta) \phi^{j-1}$  is summable

$\Rightarrow$  stationary if  $|\phi| < 1$  b.c.  $\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |\phi|^j < \infty$

If  $|\phi| > 1$

$$\begin{aligned}\text{Consider } -\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \phi^{-j} z^{-j} &= -\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} (\phi z)^{-j} = -\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{\phi z}\right)^j \\ &= -\left[\frac{1}{1-\frac{1}{\phi z}} - 1\right] \\ &= \frac{1}{1-\phi z}\end{aligned}$$

Let  $x(B) = -\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \phi^{-j} B^{-j}$  sumable for  $|\phi| > 1$

$$x(B)\Phi(B)x_t = x(B)\oplus(B)z_t$$

$$x_t = x(B)\oplus(B)z_t$$

$$x_t = -\theta\phi^{-1}z_t - (\theta+\phi)\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \phi^{-j-1}z_{t+j}.$$

↑  
depend on the future.

If  $|\phi| < 1$  for ARMA(1,1), we call it a causal process

If  $|\phi| > 1$  for ARMA(1,1), we call it a noncausal process

If  $|\phi| = 1 \Rightarrow$  nonstationary

If  $|\theta| < 1$ , let  $\delta(z)$  be the power series expansion of

$$\frac{1}{\Phi(z)} = \frac{1}{1-\theta z} = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} (-\theta)^j z^j$$

Note  $\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} |(-\theta)^j| < \infty$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} \delta(z)\oplus(B) &= \left(\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} (-\theta)^j B^j\right)(1+\theta B) \\ &= \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} (-1)^j \theta^j B^j + \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} (-1)^j \theta^{j+1} B^{j+1} \\ &= \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} (-1)^j \theta^j B^j + \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} (-1)^j \theta^{j+1} B^{j+1} \\ &= 1 \end{aligned}$$



$$\delta(B)\oplus(B)z_t = \delta(B)\Phi(B)x_t$$

$$z_t = \pi(B)x_t$$

$$\text{where } \pi(B) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \pi_j B^j = (1-\theta B + \theta^2 - \dots)(1-\phi B)$$

$$\Rightarrow z_t = x_t - (\phi+\theta)\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} (-\theta)^{j-1} x_{t-j}$$

If  $|\theta| < 1$ , then the ARMA(1,1) process is invertible, and  $z_t$  is expressed in terms of  $x_s$ ,  $s \leq t$

$$z_t = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \pi_j x_{t-j} \text{ where } \sum |\pi_j| < \infty$$

If  $|\theta| > 1$ , then the ARMA(1,1) process is noninvertible, and  $z_t$  is expressed in terms of  $x_s$ ,  $s \leq t$

$$z_t = -\phi\theta^{-1}x_t + (\theta+\phi)\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} (-\theta)^{-j-1} x_{t+j}.$$

The definition of ARMA(p,q) require  $\{X_t\}$  to be stationary.  
 For ARMA(1,1), a stationary solution exists if and only if  $\phi_1 \neq \pm 1$ .  
 $\Rightarrow$  equivalent to the condition that autoregressive polynomial

$$\Phi(z) = 1 - \phi_1 z \neq 0 \text{ for } z = \pm 1$$

The analogous condition for the general ARMA(p,q) process is

$$\Phi(z) = 1 - \phi_1 z - \dots - \phi_p z^p \neq 0 \text{ for all complex } z \text{ with } |z|=1$$

If  $\Phi(z) \neq 0 \forall z$  on unit circle, then  $\exists \delta > 0$  s.t.

$$\frac{1}{\Phi(z)} = \sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} \pi_j z^j \text{ for } -\delta < |z| < 1 + \delta$$

and  $\sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} |\pi_j| < \infty$ . Then define  $\frac{1}{\Phi(B)}$  as a linear filter with absolutely summable coefficients.



Apply the operator  $\pi(B) : \frac{1}{\Phi(B)}$  to both sides of the definition

$$\Rightarrow \pi(B) \Phi(B) X_t = X_t = \pi(B) \oplus(B) Z_t = \pi(B) Z_t = \sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} \pi_j Z_{t-j}$$

$\Rightarrow X_t = \pi(B) Z_t$  is a unique solution.

### Existence Uniqueness

A stationary solution  $\{X_t\}$  exists and is unique iff

$$\Phi(z) = 1 - \phi_1 z - \phi_2 z^2 - \dots - \phi_p z^p \neq 0 \quad \forall |z|=1$$

root criteria  $\sqrt{\alpha^2 + \beta^2} = 1$

$\Rightarrow$  There are no roots of  $\Phi(z)$  on the unit circle.

### Causality

An ARMA(p,q) process is causal if  $\exists \epsilon q_i$  s.t.  $\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} |\pi_j| < \infty$  and  $X_t = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \pi_j Z_{t-j}$  ( $X_t$  only depends on the past).

$$\Leftrightarrow \Phi(z) = 1 - \sum_{j=1}^p \phi_j z^j \neq 0 \quad \forall |z| \leq 1$$

No roots of  $\Phi(z)$  inside the unit circle.

If  $\{X_t\}$  is causal,  $\chi(z) = \frac{1}{\Phi(z)} = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \pi_j z^j$

This can only be done if the roots of  $\Phi(z)$  are outside the unit circle.

If the roots  $\Phi(z)$  are inside the unit circle, then we cannot obtain summable  $\pi_j$  coefficients.

Recall if  $\{X_t\}$  is causal

$$\begin{aligned}\Phi(B)X_t &= \Theta(B)Z_t \\ \varphi(B)\Phi(B)X_t &= \varphi(B)\Theta(B)Z_t \\ X_t &= \psi(B)Z_t\end{aligned}$$

where  $\psi(z) = \varphi(z)\Theta(z) = \frac{1}{\Phi(z)}$   $\Theta(z) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \theta_j z^j$

$$\Rightarrow \Phi(z)\psi(z) = \Theta(z)$$

$$(1 - \phi_1 z - \phi_2 z^2 - \dots - \phi_p z^p) \times (1 + \theta_1 z + \theta_2 z^2 + \dots) = 1 + \theta_1 z + \dots + \theta_q z^q$$

$$\theta_0 = 1 \quad 0\text{-degree terms}$$

$$\theta_1 - \theta_0 \phi_1 = \theta_1 \quad 1\text{-degree terms}$$

$$\theta_2 - \theta_1 \phi_1 - \theta_0 \phi_2 = \theta_2 \quad 2\text{-degree terms}.$$

$$\vdots$$

$$\theta_j - \sum_{k=1}^p \phi_k \theta_{j-k} = \theta_j \quad \text{for } j=0, 1, \dots$$

$$\theta_j = 0 \quad \text{for } j > q$$

### Invertibility

ARMA(p,q) process  $\{X_t\}$  is invertible if  $\exists$  constants  $\{\pi_j\}$  such that

$$\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} |\pi_j| < \infty \text{ and } Z_t = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \pi_j X_{t-j} \quad \text{depends on past and current } X_t's.$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \Theta(z) = 1 + \theta_1 z + \theta_2 z^2 + \dots + \theta_q z^q \neq 0 \quad \forall |z| \leq 1$$

No roots of  $\Theta(z)$  on or inside the unit circle.

$$\theta(z) = \frac{1}{\Theta(z)} = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \theta_j z^j \quad \text{where} \quad \delta(B)\Theta(B)Z_t = \delta(B)\varphi(B)X_t$$

$$\text{where } \pi(z) = \frac{\varphi(z)}{\Theta(z)}$$

$$(1 + \theta_1 z + \theta_2 z^2 + \dots + \theta_q z^q) \times (1 + \pi_1 z + \pi_2 z^2 + \dots) = 1 - \phi_1 z - \phi_2 z^2 - \dots - \phi_p z^p$$

$$\Rightarrow \pi_0 = 1 \quad 0\text{-degree}$$

$$-\phi_1 = \pi_1 + \theta_1 \quad 1\text{-degree}$$

$$\vdots$$

$$-\phi_j = \pi_j + \sum_{k=1}^q \theta_k \pi_{j-k} \quad j\text{-degree}$$

$$\text{where } \phi_0 = -1, \theta_j = 0 \quad \text{for } j > p, \pi_j = 0 \quad \text{for } j < 0, \theta_k = 0 \quad \text{for } k > q.$$

e.g. ARMA(1,1)  $X_t - 0.8X_{t-1} = Z_t + 0.2Z_t$

- ①  $\Phi(z) = 1 - 0.8z$  has zero at  $z = 1.25$ , outside unit circle  
 Thus,  $\exists$  a unique ARMA process that is stationary and causal.

$$q_j - \sum_{k=1}^p \phi_k q_{j-k} = \phi_j$$

$$\begin{cases} q_0 = 1 \\ q_1 = \theta_1 + \phi_1 q_0 = 0.2 + 0.8(1) = 1 \\ q_2 = \theta_2 + \phi_1 q_1 = 0 + 0.8(1) = 0.8 \\ q_3 = \theta_3 + \phi_1 q_2 = 0 + 0.8(0.8) = 0.8^2 \\ \dots \\ q_j = 0.8^{j-1} \end{cases}$$

- ②  $\Phi(z) = 1 + 0.2z$  has zero at  $z = -5$ , outside the circle  
 Thus, invertible

$$-\phi_j = \pi_j + \sum_{k=1}^q \theta_k \pi_{j-k} \Rightarrow \pi_j = -\phi_j - \sum_{k=1}^q \theta_k \pi_{j-k}$$

$$\begin{cases} \pi_0 = 1 \\ \pi_1 = -\phi_1 - \theta_1 \pi_0 = -0.8 - 0.2(1) = -1 \\ \pi_2 = -\phi_2 - \theta_1 \pi_1 = 0 - 0.2(-1) = 0.2 \\ \pi_3 = -\phi_3 - \theta_1 \pi_2 = 0 - 0.2(0.2) = -0.2 \\ \dots \\ \pi_j = -(0.2)^{j-1} \end{cases}$$

e.g. ARMA(2,1)  $X_t - 0.75X_{t-1} + 0.5625X_{t-2} = Z_t + 1.25Z_{t-1}$

$$\Phi(z) = 1 - \frac{3}{4}z + \frac{9}{16}z^2 \Rightarrow r = \frac{-(-\frac{3}{4}) \pm \sqrt{(-\frac{3}{4})^2 - 4(\frac{9}{16})}}{2(\frac{9}{16})} = \frac{2(1 \pm \sqrt{5})}{3}$$

$$|r| = \sqrt{(\frac{2}{3})^2 + (\frac{2}{3\sqrt{5}})^2} > 1 \text{ outside unit circle}$$

Thus, it is a causal process but NOT invertible since  $-0.8$  is inside the unit circle.

## ② ACF and PACF

Assume  $\{X_t\}$  is causal, then  $X_t = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \varphi_j Z_{t-j}$  where

$$\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \varphi_j Z^j = \frac{\alpha(z)}{\Phi(z)}, |z| \leq 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \varphi_j = \theta_j + \sum_{k=1}^P \phi_k \varphi_{j-k} \quad \text{where}$$

$$\theta_0 = 1, \theta_j = 0 \text{ for } j > q, \varphi_j = 0 \text{ for } j < 0$$

Because  $\{X_t\}$  is a linear process,  $\gamma(h) = E(X_t X_{t+h}) = \alpha^2 \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \varphi_j \varphi_{j+h}$

e.g. ARMA(1,1)  $X_t - \phi X_{t-1} = Z_t + \theta Z_{t-1}$  with  $|phi| < 1$  for causal  $\{X_t\}$

$$\varphi_0 = 1$$

$$\varphi_j = \theta_j + \sum_{k=1}^P \phi_k \varphi_{j-k} = \theta + \phi \varphi_{j-1}$$

$$\Rightarrow \varphi_j = (\theta + \phi) \phi^{j-1} \text{ for } j \geq 1$$

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma(0) &= \alpha^2 \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \varphi_j^2 = \alpha^2 [1 + (\theta + \phi)^2 \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \phi^{2j}] \\ &= \alpha^2 [1 + \frac{(\theta + \phi)^2}{1 - \phi^2}] \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma(1) &= \alpha^2 \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \varphi_j \varphi_{j+1} = \alpha^2 [\varphi_0 \varphi_1 + \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \varphi_j \varphi_{j+1}] \\ &= \alpha^2 [\phi + \theta + (\theta + \phi)^2 \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \phi^{j-1} \phi^{j+1}] \\ &= \alpha^2 [\phi + \theta + \frac{(\theta + \phi)^2 \phi}{1 - \phi^2}] \quad \phi \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \phi^{2j} \end{aligned}$$

for  $|h| \geq 1$ ,

$$\gamma(h) = \alpha^2 \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \varphi_j \varphi_{j+h}$$

but

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_{j+h} &= (\theta + \phi) \phi^{j+h-1} = \phi^{h-1} (\theta + \phi) \phi^{j-1} \\ &= \phi^{h-1} \varphi_{j-1} \end{aligned}$$

$$\Rightarrow \gamma(h) = \alpha^2 \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \varphi_j \varphi_{j+h} = \alpha^2 \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \varphi_j \phi^{h-1} \varphi_{j-1} = \phi^{h-1} \gamma(1).$$

The PACF for an ARMA(p,q) process is defined by

$$\alpha(0)=1 \text{ and } \alpha(h) = \phi_{hh}, h \geq 1 \text{ where}$$

$\phi_{hh}$  is the last component of  $\underline{\phi}_h = P_h^{-1} \underline{x}_h$  where

$$P_h = (\delta_{(l-j)})_{j,i=1}^h \text{ and } \underline{x}_h = [\delta_{(1)}, \dots, \delta_{(h)}]^T$$

Partial autocorrelation at lag  $h$  is  $(\underline{\phi}_h)_h = \phi_{hh}$

### Sample PACF

For  $x_1, \dots, x_n$ ,  $\hat{\alpha}(0)=1$  and  $\hat{\alpha}(h) = \hat{\phi}_{hh}$  where

$\hat{\phi}_{hh}$  is the last component of  $\hat{\underline{\phi}}_h = \hat{P}_h^{-1} \hat{\underline{x}}_h$

Most calculations must be done numerically.

### PACF for AR(p)

For the causal AR(p) process, we know that the best linear predictor of  $x_{n+1}$  given  $x_1, \dots, x_n$  is

$$\hat{x}_{n+1} = \phi_1 x_n + \dots + \phi_p x_{n-p}$$

Since  $\phi_{hh}$  of  $x_i$  is  $\phi_j$  if  $h=p$ , and 0 if  $h>p$

Thus, we only need to calculate  $\alpha(h)$  for AR(p) process for  $h=1, \dots, p-1$

PACF measures the correlation between  $x_{n+1}$  and  $x_i$ , adjusting for all  $x_h, x_{h-1}, \dots, x_2$ .

## ② Forecasting

Assume a causal ARMA process,  $\Phi(B)X_t = \Theta(B)Z_t$  where  $\{Z_t\} \sim WN(0, \sigma^2)$

Predict  $X_{t+1}$  using  $X_1, \dots, X_m$

⇒ use innovation algorithm, but transform  $X_t$  in the following way.

$$\text{Let } W_t = \begin{cases} \frac{X_t}{\sigma} & \text{for } t=1, \dots, m \\ \frac{\Phi(B)X_t}{\sigma} = \frac{\Theta(B)Z_t}{\sigma} & \text{for } t>m \end{cases}$$

where  $m = \max(p, q)$

Define  $\theta_0=1, \theta_j=0$  for  $j>q$

Assume  $P \geq 1$  and  $q \geq 1$  (not an AR( $p$ ) and MA( $q$ ))

But can still allow for zero coefficients for  $\theta_k$  or  $\phi_j$ .

$$K(i, j) = E(W_i W_j) \quad \text{ACF for zero mean}$$

(i) For  $1 \leq i, j \leq m$

$$K(i, j) = E\left(\frac{X_i}{\sigma} \frac{X_j}{\sigma}\right) = \frac{1}{\sigma^2} \delta_X(i, j)$$

(ii) For  $m \leq i, j \leq m + \max_b(i, j) \leq 2m$

$$\begin{aligned} K(i, j) &= E(W_i W_j) \\ &= E\left(\frac{X_a}{\sigma} \frac{\Phi(B)X_b}{\sigma}\right) \\ &= \frac{1}{\sigma^2} E[X_a(X_b - \phi_1 X_{b-1} - \phi_2 X_{b-2} - \dots - \phi_p X_{b-p})] \\ &= \frac{1}{\sigma^2} [\delta(i-j) - \sum_{r=1}^p \phi_r \delta(i-j-r)] \end{aligned}$$

(iii) For  $m \leq i, j > m$

$$\begin{aligned} K(i, j) &= E\left(\frac{\Phi(B)X_i}{\sigma} \frac{\Theta(B)Z_j}{\sigma}\right) \\ &= E\left(\frac{\Theta(B)Z_i}{\sigma} \frac{\Theta(B)Z_j}{\sigma}\right) \\ &= \frac{1}{\sigma^2} E\left[\left(\sum_{r=0}^q \theta_r Z_{i-r}\right)\left(\sum_{s=0}^q \theta_s Z_{j-s}\right)\right] \\ &= \frac{1}{\sigma^2} E\left(\sum_{s=0}^q \sum_{r=0}^q \theta_r \theta_s Z_{i-r} Z_{j-s}\right) \xrightarrow{\theta_r \neq 0 \text{ unless } i-r=j-s} \\ &= \frac{1}{\sigma^2} \sum_{r=0}^q \theta_r \theta_{r+i-j} \xrightarrow{\theta_r \neq 0} E(Z_r^2) \\ &= \sum_{r=0}^q \theta_r \theta_{r+i-j} \end{aligned}$$

UV) For  $\min(i,j) \leq m \leq 2m < \max(i,j)$

$$\begin{aligned}
 K(i,j) &= E\left(\frac{\alpha}{\alpha} \frac{\Phi(B)X_b}{\alpha}\right) \\
 &= E\left(\frac{\alpha}{\alpha} \frac{\Phi(B)Z_b}{\alpha}\right) \\
 &= \frac{1}{\alpha} E[X_a(Z_b + Z_{b+1} + \dots + Z_{b+q})] \\
 &= 0 \quad \text{None of } Z_i \text{ is correlated with } X_j \text{ because} \\
 &\quad \text{they all come from the future} \quad \downarrow b+q > q
 \end{aligned}$$

Apply the innovation algorithm to the  $\{W_n\}$  values.

$$\begin{cases} \hat{W}_{n+1} = \sum_{j=1}^n \theta_{nj} (W_{n+1-j} - \hat{W}_{n+1-j}) \text{ for } 1 \leq n < m \\ \hat{W}_{n+1} = \sum_{j=1}^q \theta_{nj} (W_{n+1-j} - \hat{W}_{n+1-j}) \quad n \geq m \end{cases}$$

because  $K(r,s)=0$  for  $r>m$  and  $|r-s|>q$

Recall  $W_t$  is a linear combination of  $X_t$

$\Rightarrow X_t$  is a linear combination of  $W_t$ .

$\Rightarrow$  The best linear prediction of any random variable  $Y$  using  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  is the same as the BLR of  $Y$  using  $W_1, \dots, W_n$ .

Using the linearity of  $P_n$ , we have

$$\begin{cases} \hat{W}_t = \alpha^\top \hat{X}_t \\ \hat{W}_t = \alpha^\top [\hat{X}_t - \phi_1 X_{t-1} - \dots - \phi_p X_{t-p}] \end{cases}$$

$$\Rightarrow X - \hat{X}_t = \alpha(W - \hat{W}_t)$$

$$\Rightarrow \hat{X}_{n+1} = \begin{cases} \sum_{j=1}^n \theta_{nj} (X_{n+1-j} - \hat{X}_{n+1-j}) \text{ for } n < m \\ \phi_1 X_n + \dots + \phi_p X_{n-p} + \sum_{j=1}^q \theta_{nj} (X_{n+1-j} - \hat{X}_{n+1-j}) \end{cases}$$

