

Chapter 2 - Stationary Process

2.1 Basic Properties

Best Prediction: Minimize mean-squared error by choosing $m(x_n)$

Choose m to minimize

$$E[(X_{n+h} - m(x_n))^2] = E_{X_n} [E_{X_{n+h}|X_n} [(X_{n+h} - m(x_n))^2 | X_n]]$$

$$\text{Consider } \min_m E[(Y - c)^2] \Rightarrow c^* = E(Y)$$

Thus, $m(x_n)$ that minimize $E_{X_{n+h}|X_n} [(X_{n+h} - m(x_n))^2 | X_n]$ is

$$m(x_n) = E_{X_{n+h}|X_n} [X_{n+h} | X_n]$$

Assume $\hat{m}(x_n)$ minimizes $E[(X_{n+h} - m(x_n))^2]$.

$$\begin{aligned} & E[(X_{n+h} - \hat{m}(x_n))^2] \\ &= E[(X_{n+h} - E(X_{n+h}|X_n) + E(X_{n+h}|X_n) - \hat{m}(x_n))^2] \\ &= E[(X_{n+h} - E(X_{n+h}|X_n))^2] + E[(X_{n+h} - E(X_{n+h}|X_n))(E(X_{n+h}|X_n) - \hat{m}(x_n))] \\ &\quad + E[(E(X_{n+h}|X_n) - \hat{m}(x_n))^2] \quad \downarrow \\ &\quad 2 E_{X_n} E_{X_{n+h}|X_n} [(X_{n+h} - E(X_{n+h}|X_n))(E(X_{n+h}|X_n) - \hat{m}(x_n)) | X_n] \\ &= 2 E_{X_n} [(E(X_{n+h}|X_n) - \hat{m}(x_n)) \\ &\Rightarrow E[(X_{n+h} - \hat{m}(x_n))^2] = E[(X_{n+h} - E(X_{n+h}|X_n))^2] + E_{X_n} [(E(X_{n+h}|X_n) - \hat{m}(x_n))^2] \\ &\quad > 0 \text{ unless } E(X_{n+h}|X_n) = \hat{m}(x_n) \end{aligned}$$

But this obviously extends to conditioning on (X_1, \dots, X_n)

Choose a model for $E(X_{n+h}|X_n)$, always start with linear.

$$\begin{aligned} E[(X_{n+h} - (a + bX_n))^2] &= E(\hat{X}_{n+h}) - E[X_{n+h}(a + bX_n)] + E[(a + bX_n)^2] \\ &= E(\hat{X}_{n+h}) - (a E(X_{n+h}) + b E(X_{n+h}|X_n)) + a^2 + \\ &\quad b^2 E(X_n^2) + 2ab E(X_n) \end{aligned}$$

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \frac{\partial}{\partial a} : \rightarrow E(X_{n+h}) + 2b E(X_n) = 0 \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial b} : \rightarrow E(X_{n+h}|X_n) + a E(X_n) + 2b E(\hat{X}_{n+h}) = 0 \end{array} \right. \Rightarrow \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \hat{a} = \mu_{n+h} - \hat{b} \mu_n \\ \hat{b} = \frac{\text{Cov}(X_{n+h}, X_n)}{\text{Var}(X_n)} \end{array} \right.$$

$$\hat{X}_{n+h} = \mu_{n+h} + \frac{\text{Cov}(X_{n+h}, X_n)}{\text{Var}(X_n)} (X_n - \mu_n)$$

If $\{X_t\}$ is stationary, then $\hat{X}_{n+h} = \mu + \frac{\gamma(h)}{\gamma(0)} (X_n - \mu) = f(h) X_n + (1 - f(h)) \mu$

Properties of $\gamma(h)$

① $\gamma(0) \geq 0$ Variance

② $|\gamma(h)| \leq \gamma(0)$ Cauchy-Schwarz Inequality $|\langle u, v \rangle|^2 \leq \langle v, v \rangle \cdot \langle u, u \rangle$
Define $\langle u, v \rangle = E(uv)$ for $E(u) = E(v) = 0$

③ $\gamma(h)$ is even $\gamma(h) = \gamma(-h)$

④ $\gamma(h)$ is non-negative definite

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n a_i \gamma(i-j) a_j \geq 0 \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{Z}^+ \text{ and } a \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

Even stronger property Let $\gamma(h)$ be defined on $\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{Z}$

$\gamma(h)$ non-negative definite and even \Leftrightarrow It is the auto-covariance function of some stationary sequence.

- To verify that a given function is nonnegative definite, it is often simpler to find a stationary process that has the given function as its ACVF than to verify the conditions directly.

e.g. $\gamma(h) = \cos(\omega h)$ is nonnegative definite, since it is the ACVF of the stationary process $X_t = A \cos(\omega t) + B \sin(\omega t)$ where A, B are uncorrelated random variables with mean 0 and variance 1.

Strictly Stationary Time Series

$$(X_1, \dots, X_n) \stackrel{d}{=} (X_{1+h}, \dots, X_{n+h}) \quad \forall h \text{ and } n.$$

Two random vectors have the same joint distribution function.

Properties

① All elements of $\{X_t\}$ are identically distributed.

② $(X_t, X_{t+h}) \stackrel{d}{=} (X_1, X_{1+h})$

③ If $E(X_t^2) < \infty$, then $\{X_t\}$ is weakly stationary

④ Weakly stationary doesn't imply strictly stationary

⑤ iid process is strictly stationary

How to make a stationary sequence?

Let $\{z_t\}$ be an iid sequence of random variables.

Let $x_t = g(z_t, z_{t+1}, \dots, z_{t+q})$

$\Rightarrow x_t$ is strictly stationary because $(z_{t+m}, z_{t+m+1}, \dots, z_{t+m+q}) \stackrel{d}{=} (z_t, z_{t+1}, \dots, z_{t+q})$

Time series $\{x_t\}$ is q -dependent.

i.e. x_t and x_s are independent if $|t-s| > q$

Note: An iid sequence is 0-dependent.

Generalize to weakly stationary

Adopting a second-order viewpoint, say that $\{x_t\}$ is q -correlated if

$$\text{cov}(x_t, x_s) = 0 \quad \forall |t-s| > q$$

$$\text{or } \gamma(h) = 0 \quad \forall |h| > q$$

Note: A white noise sequence is 0-correlated and MA(1) is 1-correlated.

Definition The MA(q) process $\xrightarrow{\text{q-correlated}}$

$\{x_t\}$ is a moving-average process of order q if

$$x_t = z_t + \theta_1 z_{t-1} + \dots + \theta_q z_{t-q}$$

where $\{z_t\} \sim WN(0, \sigma^2)$ and $\theta_1, \dots, \theta_q$ are constants.



2) Linear Process.

Every second order $E(X^2)$ weakly stationary process is either a linear process or can be transformed into one by subtracting a deterministic component.

definition $\{X_t\}$ is a linear process if

$$X_t = \sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} \varphi_j Z_{t-j}$$

where $\{Z_t\} \sim WN(0, \sigma^2)$ and $\{\varphi_j\}$ is a sequence of constants with $\sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} |\varphi_j| < \infty$

ensure $E(X_t)$ converge

$$\begin{aligned} E(|X_t|) &\leq E\left[\sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} |\varphi_j| |Z_{t-j}|\right] \\ &\leq \sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} |\varphi_j| E|Z_{t-j}| \\ &\leq \sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} |\varphi_j| [E(Z_{t-j}^2)]^{1/2} \\ &= \sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} |\varphi_j| \sigma = \sigma \sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} |\varphi_j| \\ &< \infty \end{aligned} \quad \text{if } \sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} |\varphi_j| < \infty$$

Can view in terms of backwards shift operator

$$X_t = \varphi(B) Z_t \text{ where } \varphi(B) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \varphi_j B^j \quad \text{recall } B^j Z_t = Z_{t-j}$$

Note: A linear process is called a moving average (MA(∞)) if

$$\varphi(B) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \varphi_j B^j \text{ i.e. } \varphi_j = 0 \text{ } \forall j < 0$$

Not allowed to have any dependence on the future.

$$\text{e.g. } X_t = Z_t + 0.5 Z_{t-1} \quad X_{t-1} = Z_{t-1} + 0.5 Z_{t-2}$$

$$\varphi_0 = 1, \varphi_1 = 0.5, \varphi_j = 0 \text{ } \forall j \neq 0, 1$$

Let Y_t be a stationary process with mean 0 and auto-covariance function $\gamma_Y(h)$.

If $\sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} |\varphi_j| < \infty$, then the time series

$$X_t = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \varphi_j Y_{t-j} = \varphi(B) Y_t$$

is also a stationary sequence with mean 0 and auto-covariance function

$$\gamma_X(h) = \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} \varphi_j \varphi_k \gamma_Y(h+k-j)$$

where $Y_t = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \varphi_j Z_{t-j}$, $\{Z_t\}$ is WN

Proof $\gamma_X(h) = E[X_t X_{t+h}]$ if $E[X_t] = 0$

$$\begin{aligned} &= E\left[\left(\sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} \varphi_k Y_{t-k}\right)\left(\sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} \varphi_j Y_{t+j-h}\right)\right] \\ &= E\left[\sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} \varphi_k Y_{t-k} \varphi_j Y_{t+j-h}\right] \\ &= \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} \varphi_k \varphi_j E[Y_{t-k} Y_{t+j-h}] \\ &\quad \hookrightarrow \gamma_Y(h+k-j) \end{aligned}$$

$\Rightarrow \{X_t\}$ is the linear process

If $\{Y_t\}$ is a $WN(0, \sigma^2)$ process, then $\gamma_Y(l) = 0 \forall l \neq 0$

$$\Rightarrow \gamma_X(h) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \varphi_j \varphi_{j+h} \sigma^2$$

e.g. AR(1)

For $\{X_t\}$ stationary, let $X_t = \phi X_{t-1} + Z_t$ where $\{Z_t\}$ is $WN(0, \sigma^2)$ and $\{X_t\}$ and $\{Z_t\}$ are uncorrelated for $t \geq 0$

Define \hat{X}_t to be the solution to $X_t - \phi X_{t-1} = Z_t$

Consider $X_t = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \phi^j Z_{t-j} \Rightarrow X_t$ is linear with $\phi_i = \phi^i$, $\phi_i = 0$ for $i > 0$

Note: $\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} |\varphi_j| < \infty$ iff $|\phi| < 1$

Claim $X_t - \phi X_{t-1} = Z_t$

$$\begin{aligned} &[\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \phi^j Z_{t-j}] - \phi [\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \phi^j Z_{t-1-j}] \\ &= [\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \phi^j Z_{t-j}] - [\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \phi^{j+1} Z_{t-1-j}] \\ &= [\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \phi^j Z_{t-j}] - [\underbrace{\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \phi^j Z_{t-j}}_{\phi^0 Z_{t-0}}] \\ &= \phi^0 Z_{t-0} = Z_t \end{aligned}$$

$\{Z_t\}$ is stationary $\Rightarrow \{X_t\}$ is stationary with mean θ and ACVF

$$\begin{aligned}\gamma_X(h) &= \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \phi^j \phi^{j+h} \alpha^j \\ &= \frac{\alpha^2 \phi^h}{1 - \phi^2} \quad \text{for } h \geq 0\end{aligned}$$

If $|\phi| > 1$, then no stationary sequence exists that depending on past
use backwards shift operator

$$\text{let } \Phi(B) = 1 - \phi B \quad \text{and} \quad \Pi(B) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \phi^j B^j$$

$$\text{then } \Phi(B) = \Phi(B) \Pi(B) = (1 - \phi B) \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \phi^j B^j = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \phi^j B^j - \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \phi^{j+1} B^{j+1} = \phi^0 B^0 = 1$$

$$Z_t = X_t - \phi X_{t-1} = (1 - \phi B) X_t = \Phi(B) X_t$$

$$\Pi(B) Z_t = \Pi(B) \Phi(B) X_t = X_t$$

$$\Rightarrow X_t = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \phi^j B^j Z_t = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \phi^j Z_{t-j}$$

2.4 Properties of the Sample Mean and Autocorrelation Function.

① Estimation of μ

$$\hat{\mu} = \bar{x}_n = \frac{x_1 + \dots + x_n}{n}$$

- It is unbiased because $E(\bar{x}_n) = \frac{E(x_1) + \dots + E(x_n)}{n} = \mu$

- The mean squared error of \bar{x}_n is

$$E[(\bar{x}_n - \mu)^2] = \text{MSE}(\bar{x}_n) = \text{Var}(\bar{x}_n)$$

$$\text{Var}(\bar{x}_n) = \text{Var}\left(\frac{1}{n}(x_1 + \dots + x_n)\right) = \frac{1}{n^2} \text{Var}(x_1 + \dots + x_n)$$

$$= \frac{1}{n^2} \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n \text{Cov}(x_i, x_j)$$

$$= \frac{1}{n^2} \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n \gamma(i-j) \quad \begin{array}{l} \langle i \leq j \leq n \rangle \\ \gamma(h) = \gamma(-h) \end{array} \quad \text{all values of } i-j$$

$$= \frac{1}{n^2} \sum_{h=-n}^n (n-|h|) \gamma(h) \quad \text{number of possible observed lags.}$$



$$= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{h=-n}^n (1 - \frac{|h|}{n}) \gamma(h)$$

- If $\gamma(h) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, then $\text{Var}(\bar{x}_n) = \text{MSE}(\bar{x}_n) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$

- If $\sum_{h=-\infty}^{\infty} |\gamma(h)| < \infty$, then $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} n \text{Var}(\bar{x}_n) = \sum_{h=-\infty}^{\infty} |\gamma(h)|$

If $\{\epsilon_t\}$ are also Gaussian, then $\bar{x}_n \sim N(\mu, \frac{1}{n} \sum_{|h|<\infty} (1 - \frac{|h|}{n}) \delta(h))$

Testing and Interval Estimation.

For many time series, in particular for linear and ARMA models, \bar{x}_n is approximately normal with mean μ and variance $\frac{1}{n} \sum_{|h|<\infty} \delta(h)$ for large n . An approximate CI for μ is then

$$\bar{x}_n \pm Z_{0.95} \frac{\sqrt{v}}{\sqrt{n}} \quad \text{where } v = \sum_{|h|<\infty} \delta(h) \quad \text{generally unknown}$$

$$\text{Plug in } \hat{v} = \sum_{|h|<5n} \hat{\delta}(h) \quad \hat{v} = \sum_{|h|<n} (1 - \frac{|h|}{n}) \hat{\delta}(h) \quad \text{?}$$

e.g. AR(1) Let $\{\epsilon_t\}$ be stationary

$$\begin{aligned} X_t - \mu &= \phi(X_{t-1} - \mu) + \epsilon_t \quad \text{where } |\phi| < 1 \text{ and } \{\epsilon_t\} \sim WN(0, \sigma^2) \\ \Rightarrow v &= \sum_{|h|<\infty} \delta(h) = \sum_{|h|<\infty} \frac{\sigma^2 \phi^{|h|}}{1 - \phi^2} = (1 + 2 \sum_{h=1}^{\infty} \phi^h) \frac{\sigma^2}{1 - \phi^2} \\ &= (1 + 2\phi \sum_{h=1}^{\infty} \phi^{h-1}) \frac{\sigma^2}{1 - \phi^2} \\ &= (1 + 2\phi \frac{\phi}{1 - \phi}) \frac{\sigma^2}{1 - \phi^2} \\ &= \frac{(1 - \phi + \phi)}{1 - \phi} \frac{\sigma^2}{(1 - \phi)(1 + \phi)} \\ &= \frac{\sigma^2}{(1 - \phi)^2} \end{aligned}$$

$\Rightarrow 95\% \text{ CI for } \mu$

$$\bar{x}_n \pm \frac{1.96}{\sqrt{n}} \frac{\sigma}{1 - \phi}$$

Both are unknown in practice,
they must be replaced by estimated values.

② Estimation of $\delta(\cdot)$ and $\rho(\cdot)$

Sample ACVF and ACF are defined by

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\delta}(h) &= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{t=1}^{n-h} (X_{t+h} - \bar{x}_n)(X_t - \bar{x}_n) \\ \hat{\rho}(h) &= \frac{\hat{\delta}(h)}{\hat{\delta}(0)} \end{aligned}$$

However, both estimators $\hat{\delta}(h)$ and $\hat{\rho}(h)$ are biased in finite sequence.

The sample ACVF has the desirable property that for each $k \geq 1$, the k -dimensional sample covariance matrix

$$\bar{\Gamma}_k = \begin{pmatrix} \hat{\gamma}(0) & \hat{\gamma}(k-1) \\ \hat{\gamma}(1) & \ddots \\ \vdots & \ddots \\ \hat{\gamma}(k-1) & \hat{\gamma}(0) \end{pmatrix}$$

is nonnegative definite.

Without further information beyond the observed data x_1, \dots, x_n , it is impossible to give reasonable estimates of $\gamma(h)$ and $\rho(h)$ for $h > n$. Even for h slightly smaller than n , the estimates $\hat{\gamma}(h)$ and $\hat{\rho}(h)$ are unreliable, since there are so few pairs (x_{t+h}, x_t) available (only one if $h=n-1$). A useful guide is provided by Jenken's rule & theorem, who suggests that n should be at least 50 and $h \leq \frac{n}{4}$.

For large sample without large lag, we can approximate the distribution

$$f(\hat{\rho}(1), \dots, \hat{\rho}(k)) \text{ by } \hat{\rho} \sim MVN(\rho, \frac{1}{n}W)$$

where W is a $k \times k$ covariance matrix with elements computed by a simplification of Bartlett's formula.

$$W_{ij} = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \{ \rho(\kappa+i) + \rho(\kappa-i) - 2\rho(\kappa)\rho(i) \} \times \{ \rho(\kappa+j) + \rho(\kappa-j) - 2\rho(\kappa)\rho(j) \}$$

e.g. iid $\{X_t\} \Rightarrow \rho(0)=1, \rho(h)=0 \quad \forall h \neq 0$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{So } W_{ij} &= \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \{ \rho(\kappa+i) + \rho(\kappa-i) - 2\rho(\kappa)\rho(i) \} \times \{ \rho(\kappa+j) + \rho(\kappa-j) - 2\rho(\kappa)\rho(j) \} \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \rho(\kappa-i)\rho(\kappa-j) \end{aligned}$$

$$\Rightarrow W_{ii} = \rho(0)\rho(0) = 1$$

$$W_{ij} = 0$$

Therefore, $\hat{\rho}(h) \sim N(0, \frac{1}{n})$ as $n=1$

e.g. MA(1)

$$X_t = Z_t + \theta Z_{t-1}, \quad Z_t \sim WN(0, \sigma^2)$$

$$\text{Then } X_t = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \varphi_k Z_{t-k} \Rightarrow \varphi_k = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{for } k=0 \\ \theta & \text{for } k=1 \\ 0 & \text{for } k \neq 0, 1 \end{cases}$$

$$\text{So } \delta_X(h) = \sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} \varphi_j \varphi_{j-h} \sigma^2 \delta_Z(0)$$

$$\text{for } h=0 \quad \delta_X(0) = \sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} \varphi_j^2 \sigma^2 = (1+\theta^2) \sigma^2. \quad j=0 \text{ or } 1$$

$$h=1 \quad \delta_X(1) = \sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} \varphi_j \varphi_{j+1} \sigma^2 = (1+\theta) \sigma^2 = \theta \sigma^2 \quad \text{for } n \geq 0 \quad j=1$$

$$h=-1 \quad \delta_X(-1) = \theta \sigma^2 \quad j=0$$

$$|h| \geq 1 \quad \varphi_j \varphi_{j-h} = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \rho(h) = \begin{cases} 1 & h=0 \\ \frac{\theta}{1+\theta^2} & h=\pm 1 \\ 0 & |h| \geq 1 \end{cases}$$

Therefore,

$$W_{ii} = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} [\rho(i+k) + \rho(i-k) - 2\rho(i) \rho(i)] [\rho(i+k) + \rho(i-k) - 2\rho(i) \rho(i)]$$

$$\text{for } i=1, \quad W_{11} = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \{ \rho(1+k) + \rho(1-k) - 2\rho(1) \rho(1) \}^2$$

$$\cdot \quad W_{11} = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} [\rho(1+k) + \rho(1-k) - 2\rho(1) \rho(1)]^2$$

Strategy: Identify $\rho(i)$ where $\rho(i)$ are not zero

$$[\rho(0) - 2\rho(1) \rho(1)]^2 + [\rho(1)]^2$$

$$= 1 - 3\rho(1)^2 + 4\rho(1)^4$$

- for $i > 1$ always 0 always zero

$$W_{11} = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \{ \rho(1+k) + \rho(1-k) - 2\rho(1) \rho(1) \}^2$$

nonzero
for $k=1, M, -M$

$$= \rho(0)^2 + \rho(1)^2 + \rho(-1)^2$$

$$= 1 + 2\rho(1)^2.$$

Thus, $\hat{\rho}(1) \sim N(\frac{\theta}{1+\theta^2}, \frac{1}{n} (1 - 3\rho(1)^2 + 4\rho(1)^4))$

2.5 Forecasting Stationary Time Series.

Goal: Find linear combination of x_1, \dots, x_n that forecasts x_{n+h} with minimum MSE.

Best linear predictor of x_{n+h}

$$P_n x_{n+h} = a_0 + a_1 x_n + a_2 x_{n-1} + \dots + a_n x_1$$

Find a_0, a_1, \dots, a_n to minimize

$$S(a_0, a_1, \dots, a_n) = E[(x_{n+h} - (a_0 + a_1 x_n + a_2 x_{n-1} + \dots + a_n x_1))^2]$$

S is quadratic, bounded below by zero. Thus, \exists at least one solution to

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial a_i} S(a_0, a_1, \dots, a_n) = 0 \quad \text{for } i=0, \dots, n$$

Assume interchanging $\frac{\partial}{\partial a_i}$ and $E(\cdot)$ safely

$$\begin{aligned} \textcircled{1} \quad E[x_{n+h} - a_0 - \sum_{i=1}^n a_i x_{n+i}] &= 0 \\ \Rightarrow a_0 &= E(x_{n+h}) - \sum_{i=1}^n a_i E(x_{n+i}) \\ &= \mu - \mu \sum_{i=1}^n a_i \quad \text{if } \{x_n\} \text{ are stationary} \\ &= (1 - \sum_{i=1}^n a_i) \mu \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \textcircled{2} \quad E[(x_{n+h} - (1 - \sum_{i=1}^n a_i) \mu - \sum_{i=1}^n a_i x_{n+i}) x_{n+i}] &= 0 \\ \Rightarrow E[(x_{n+h} - \mu) x_{n+i}] &= E[\left(\sum_{i=1}^n a_i (x_{n+i} - \mu) \right) x_{n+i}] \\ &\quad \downarrow \\ \text{bc. } E(x_{n+h} - \mu) &= 0, \text{ then} \\ \text{use } \text{Cov}(X, Y) &= E(XY) - E(X)E(Y) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \Rightarrow \delta_{(n+h)-h} &= \sum_{i=1}^n a_i \delta_{(i-h)} \\ P_n a_n &= \underline{\delta_{n+h}} \quad \text{where } (P_n)_{ij} = \delta_{(i-j)} \\ \underline{\delta_{n+h}} &= (\delta_{(h)}, \delta_{(h+1)}, \dots, \delta_{(h+n)}) \\ \underline{a_n} &= (a_1, \dots, a_n) \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $P_n X_{n+h} = \mu + \sum_{i=1}^n a_i (X_{n+i-h} - \mu)$ where
 \underline{a}_n satisfies $P_n \underline{a}_n = \underline{\gamma}_n(h)$

Obviously, $E [X_{n+h} - (\mu + \sum_{i=1}^n a_i (X_{n+i-h} - \mu))] = 0$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{MSE: } & E [(X_{n+h} - P_n X_{n+h})^2] \\ &= E [(X_{n+h} - (\mu + \sum_{i=1}^n a_i (X_{n+i-h} - \mu)))^2] \\ &= E [(X_{n+h} - \mu) - (\sum_{i=1}^n a_i (X_{n+i-h} - \mu))^2] \\ &= E [(X_{n+h} - \mu)^2] - 2E [(X_{n+h} - \mu)(\sum_{i=1}^n a_i (X_{n+i-h} - \mu))] + \\ &\quad E [\sum_{i=1}^n a_i (X_{n+i-h} - \mu)]^2 \\ &= \sigma(0) - 2 \sum_{i=1}^n a_i \gamma(n+i-1) + \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n a_i \gamma(i-j) a_j \\ &= \sigma(0) - 2 \sum_{i=1}^n a_i \gamma(n+i-1) + \sum_{i=1}^n a_i (\sum_{j=1}^i \gamma(i-j) a_j) \\ &= \sigma(0) - 2 \underline{a}_n \underline{\gamma}_n(h) + \underline{a}_n^\top \underline{\gamma}_n \\ &= \sigma(0) - \underline{a}_n \underline{\gamma}_n(h) \end{aligned}$$

e.g. One-step prediction of AR(1)

$X_t = \phi X_{t-1} + Z_t \quad t=0, 1, \dots$ where $|\phi| < 1$ and $\{Z_t\} \sim WN(0, \sigma^2)$.

$\Rightarrow P_n(X_{n+1}) = \underline{a}_n^\top \underline{x}_n$ where $\underline{x}_0 = (X_0, \dots, X_1)$

$$P_n \underline{a}_n = \underline{\gamma}_n(h)$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} \gamma(0) & \gamma(1) & \gamma(2) & \dots & \gamma(n-1) \\ \gamma(1) & \gamma(0) & \gamma(1) & \dots & \\ \vdots & & & & \\ \gamma(n-1) & & & & \gamma(n) \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} a_1 \\ a_2 \\ \vdots \\ \vdots \\ a_n \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \gamma(1) \\ \gamma(2) \\ \vdots \\ \vdots \\ \gamma(n) \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\Rightarrow \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \phi & \phi^2 & \phi^3 & \dots & \phi^{n-1} \\ \phi & 1 & \phi & & & \\ \phi^2 & \phi & 1 & \phi & & \\ \vdots & \phi & 1 & & \ddots & \\ \phi^{n-1} & & & & & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} a_1 \\ a_2 \\ \vdots \\ \vdots \\ a_n \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \phi \\ \phi^2 \\ \vdots \\ \vdots \\ \phi^n \end{bmatrix}$$

non-negative definite
 \Rightarrow unique solution

Try $\alpha_1 = \phi$, $\alpha_k = 0$ for $k = 2 \dots n$

\rightarrow Solution:

$$\underline{\alpha} = (\phi, 0, 0 \dots 0) \text{ and } P_n X_{n+1} = \underline{\alpha}^T \underline{x}_n = \phi x_n$$

Note: This solution doesn't work for MA(1).

$$\begin{aligned} E[(X_{n+1} - P_n X_{n+1})^2] &= \sigma^2 - \underline{\alpha}^T \underline{\alpha} = \frac{\sigma^2}{1-\phi^2} - \phi \sigma^2 \\ &= \frac{\sigma^2}{1-\phi^2} - \phi \frac{\sigma^2 \phi}{1-\phi^2} \\ &= \frac{\sigma^2 (1-\phi^2)}{1-\phi^2} \\ &= \sigma^2. \end{aligned}$$

① Prediction of second Order Random variable.

Suppose now that Y and $W_1 \dots W_n$ are any random variables with finite second moments and that the mean $\mu = E(Y)$, $\mu_W = E(W_i)$ and covariances $\text{Cov}(Y, W_i)$, $\text{Cov}(W_i, W_j)$ $\forall i, j$ are all known.

Notation

$$\underline{W} = (W_1, \dots, W_n)^T$$

$$\underline{\mu}_W = (\mu_1, \dots, \mu_n)^T$$

$$\underline{\zeta} = \text{Cov}(Y, \underline{W}) = (\text{Cov}(Y, W_1) \dots \text{Cov}(Y, W_n))^T$$

$$\Gamma = \text{Cov}(\underline{W}, \underline{W}) = [\text{Cov}(W_{n-i}, W_{n-j})]_{i,j=1}^n$$

Then by the same method from before, we suggest that

$$P(Y|\underline{W}) = \mu_Y + \underline{\alpha}^T (\underline{W} - \underline{\mu}_W) \text{ where}$$

$$\underline{\alpha} = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) \text{ is any solution of } \Gamma \underline{\alpha} = \underline{\zeta}$$



The mean squared error of the predictor is

$$E[(Y - P(Y|\underline{W}))^2] = \text{Var}(Y) - \underline{\alpha}^T \underline{\zeta}$$

$$\text{Recall: } (\underline{X}^T \underline{X}) \beta = \underline{X}^T Y$$

$$\left[\frac{\sum_{i=1}^n x_{ij} x_{ik}}{n} \right] \quad \left[\frac{\sum_{i=1}^n x_{ij} y_i}{n} \right]$$

e.g. Estimation of missing value (AR(1))

Assume that we obtain x_1 and x_3 , but not x_2

Goal: Find linear predictor for x_2

Let $\gamma = x_2$, $w = (x_1, x_3)$

$$P = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\alpha^2}{1+\phi^2} & \frac{\phi\alpha^2}{1+\phi^2} \\ \frac{\phi\alpha^2}{1+\phi^2} & \frac{\alpha^2}{1+\phi^2} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\Sigma = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\alpha^2}{1+\phi^2} & \phi \\ \phi & \frac{\alpha^2}{1+\phi^2} \end{pmatrix} \quad \begin{matrix} \text{Cov}(x_2, x_1) \\ \text{Cov}(x_2, x_3) \end{matrix}$$

$$\Rightarrow P \underline{a} = \underline{\gamma}$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & \phi^2 \\ \phi^2 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \underline{a} = \begin{pmatrix} \phi \\ \phi \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\Rightarrow \underline{a} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\phi}{1+\phi^2} \\ \frac{\phi}{1+\phi^2} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \Rightarrow P(x_2 | x_1, x_3) &= \frac{\phi}{1+\phi^2} x_1 + \frac{\phi}{1+\phi^2} x_3 \\ &= \frac{\phi}{1+\phi^2} (x_1 + x_3) + (1 - \frac{\phi}{1+\phi^2}) \cdot 0 \\ &= \frac{\phi}{1+\phi^2} (x_1 + x_3) \end{aligned}$$

② The Prediction Operator $P(\cdot | W)$

Properties of the Prediction Operator $P(\cdot | W)$

Suppose that $E(U^2) < \infty$, $E(V^2) < \infty$, $P = \text{Cov}(W, W)$ and $B, \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n$ are constants.

$$\textcircled{1} P(U|W) = E(U) + \alpha^T(W - EW) \quad \text{where } P\underline{a} = \text{Cov}(U, W)$$

$$\textcircled{2} E[(U - P(U|W))W] = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad E[U - P(U|W)] = 0.$$

$$\textcircled{3} E[(U - P(U|W))^2] = \text{Var}(U) - \alpha^T \text{Cov}(U, W)$$

$$\textcircled{4} P(\alpha_1 U + \alpha_2 V + B | W) = \alpha_1 P(U|W) + \alpha_2 P(V|W) + B$$

- ⑤ $P(\sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i W_i + b | \underline{W}) = \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i W_i + b$
- ⑥ $P(U|W) = E(U)$ if $\text{Cov}(U, W) = 0$
- ⑦ $P(U|W) = P(P(U|W, V)|W)$ if V is a random vector s.t. the components of $E(VV^T)$ are all finite.

e.g. AR(4)

$$X_t = \phi_1 X_{t-1} + \dots + \phi_4 X_{t-4} + Z_t$$

We can apply the prediction operator P_n to each side of the defining equations.

Using ④⑤⑦, we get

$$P_n X_{t+1} = \phi_1 X_t + \dots + \phi_4 X_{t-4}$$

Remark 3. In general, if $\{Y_t\}$ is a stationary time series with mean μ and if $\{X_t\}$ is the zero-mean series defined by $X_t = Y_t - \mu$, then since the collection of all linear combinations of $1, Y_n, \dots, Y_1$ is the same as the collection of all linear combinations of $1, X_n, \dots, X_1$, the linear predictor of any random variable W in terms of $1, Y_n, \dots, Y_1$ is the same as the linear predictor in terms of $1, X_n, \dots, X_1$. Denoting this predictor by $P_n W$ and applying P_n to the equation $Y_{n+h} = X_{n+h} + \mu$ gives

$$P_n Y_{n+h} = \mu + P_n X_{n+h}. \quad (2.5.19)$$

Thus the best linear predictor of Y_{n+h} can be determined by finding the best linear predictor of X_{n+h} and then adding μ . Note from (2.5.8) that since $E(X_t) = 0$, $P_n X_{n+h}$ is the same as the best linear predictor of X_{n+h} in terms of X_n, \dots, X_1 only. \square

e.g. AR(1) with Nonzero mean

$\{Y_t\}$ is said to be an AR(1) process with mean μ if $\{X_t = Y_t - \mu\}$ is a zero-mean AR(1) process. Let $Y_t = X_t + \mu$

$$\Rightarrow P_n Y_{n+h} = \mu + \phi^n (Y_n - \mu)$$

Assume $\{X_t\}$ is a stationary process, with mean 0 and covariance $\Gamma(\cdot)$.

Can solve for ϕ to determine $P_n X_{n+h}$ in terms of $\{X_n, \dots, X_1\}$

However, for large n , inverting Γ is NOT fun

\Rightarrow We could use linearity of P_n to do recursive prediction of $P_{n+h} X_{n+h}$ from $P_n X_{n+h}$

If P_n is nonsingular, then

$$P_n X_{n+h} = \underline{\phi_n^T} \underline{X_n} = \phi_1 X_n + \dots + \phi_n X_1$$

③ Durbin-Levinson Algorithm

Assumption

$E(x)=0$, $\{x\}$ stationary

Algorithm

Compute coefficients $\phi_{n1}, \dots, \phi_{nn}$ at step n of the algorithm

At step n , best linear predictor is of the form $P_n x_{n+1} = \sum_{j=1}^n \phi_{nj} x_{n-j+1}$

At the end, $P_n x_{n+1} = \sum_{j=1}^N a_j x_{n-j+1}$ Predict x_{n+1} .

The Durbin-Levinson Algorithm:

The coefficients $\phi_{n1}, \dots, \phi_{nn}$ can be computed recursively from the equations

$$\phi_{nn} = \left[\gamma(n) - \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \phi_{n-1,j} \gamma(n-j) \right] v_{n-1}^{-1}, \quad (2.5.20)$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} \phi_{n1} \\ \vdots \\ \phi_{n,n-1} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \phi_{n-1,1} \\ \vdots \\ \phi_{n-1,n-1} \end{bmatrix} - \phi_{nn} \begin{bmatrix} \phi_{n-1,n-1} \\ \vdots \\ \phi_{n-1,1} \end{bmatrix} \quad (2.5.21)$$

and

$$v_n = v_{n-1} [1 - \phi_{nn}^2], \quad (2.5.22)$$

where $\phi_{11} = \gamma(1)/\gamma(0)$ and $v_0 = \gamma(0)$.

Proof Now let $R_n = \frac{1}{\gamma(0)} P_n$ $R_n = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \phi_{11} & & \\ & \ddots & \ddots & \\ & & \ddots & \\ & & & 1 \end{pmatrix}$
Notation $\rho_{1:n} = (\rho_{11} \dots \rho_{1n})^T$
 $\rho_{n:1} = \rho_{1:n}^R \text{ reverse } = (\rho_{nn} \dots \rho_{1n})^T$

If $P_n \phi_n = \gamma(n)$, then $R_n \phi_n = \rho_{1:n}(n)$ dividing by $\gamma(0)$

① base case

$$R_1 \phi_{11} = \rho_{11} \text{ because } R_1 = P_1 = 1$$

② inductive step

Assume $R_k \phi_k = \rho_{1:k}$ holds $\phi_k = (\phi_{k1} \dots \phi_{kk})^T$

Need to show $R_{k+1} \phi_{k+1} = \rho_{1:k+1}$

Now also define $\phi_{n:1} = \phi_{1:n}^R = (\phi_{nn} \dots \phi_{n1})$

$$R_{K+1} \hat{\phi}_{K+1}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \begin{bmatrix} R_K & \hat{P}_{K+1} \\ \hat{P}_{K+1}^T & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \hat{\phi}_K - \hat{\phi}_{K+1, K+1} \hat{\phi}_K^R \\ \hat{\phi}_{K+1, K+1} \end{bmatrix} \\
&= \begin{bmatrix} R_K (\hat{\phi}_K - \hat{\phi}_{K+1, K+1} \hat{\phi}_K^R) + \hat{P}_{K+1} \hat{\phi}_{K+1} \hat{\phi}_{K+1} \\ \hat{P}_{K+1}^T \hat{\phi}_K - \hat{P}_{K+1}^T \hat{\phi}_K^R \hat{\phi}_{K+1, K+1} + \hat{\phi}_{K+1, K+1} \end{bmatrix} \\
&= \begin{bmatrix} R_K \hat{\phi}_K - R_K \hat{\phi}_{K+1} \hat{\phi}_{K+1} \hat{\phi}_K^R + \hat{P}_{K+1} \hat{\phi}_{K+1} \hat{\phi}_{K+1} \\ \hat{P}_{K+1}^T \hat{\phi}_K + \hat{\phi}_{K+1, K+1} (1 - \hat{P}_{K+1}^T \hat{\phi}_K^R) \end{bmatrix} \\
&= \begin{bmatrix} \hat{P}_{K+1}^T \hat{\phi}_K + \hat{\phi}_{K+1, K+1} (1 - \hat{P}_{K+1}^T \hat{\phi}_K^R) & \xrightarrow{\text{P}_{K+1}} \\ \hat{P}_{K+1}^T \hat{\phi}_K + \hat{\phi}_{K+1, K+1} (1 - \hat{P}_{K+1}^T \hat{\phi}_K^R) & \end{bmatrix} \\
&= \begin{bmatrix} \hat{P}_{K+1}^T \hat{\phi}_K \\ * \end{bmatrix}
\end{aligned}$$

$\hat{\phi}_{K+1, K+1} = \frac{(\delta(K+1) - \hat{P}_{K+1}^T \hat{\phi}_K)}{V_K}$
 where $V_n = E[(X_{n+1} - \sum_{j=1}^n \hat{\phi}_{nj} X_{n-j+1})^2]$
 $= \delta(0) - \hat{\phi}_K^T \hat{\phi}_{K+1}$
 $= \delta(0) (1 - \hat{\phi}_K^T \hat{P}_{K+1})$

 $\hat{\phi}_{K+1, K+1} = \frac{(\delta(K+1) - \hat{P}_{K+1}^T \hat{\phi}_K)}{\delta(0) (1 - \hat{\phi}_K^T \hat{P}_{K+1})}$

Then, * is equal to

$$\begin{aligned}
&\hat{P}_{K+1}^T \hat{\phi}_K + \frac{(\delta(K+1) - \hat{P}_{K+1}^T \hat{\phi}_K)}{\delta(0) (1 - \hat{\phi}_K^T \hat{P}_{K+1})} \xrightarrow{(1 - \hat{P}_{K+1}^T \hat{\phi}_K^R)} \text{equal (reverse of inner product)} \\
&= \hat{P}_{K+1}^T \hat{\phi}_K + \frac{\delta(K+1)}{\delta(0)} - \hat{P}_{K+1}^T \hat{\phi}_K^R \\
&= \hat{P}_{K+1}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
V_n &= E[(X_{n+1} - \sum_{j=1}^n \hat{\phi}_{nj} X_{n-j+1})^2] \\
&= \delta(0) - \hat{\phi}_n^T \hat{\phi}_n \\
&= \delta(0) - \hat{\phi}_{n+1}^T \hat{\phi}_{n+1} + \hat{\phi}_{nn} \hat{\phi}_{n+1}^T \hat{\phi}_{n+1} - \hat{\phi}_{nn} \delta(n) \quad \text{recursion step.} \\
&= \delta(0) - \hat{\phi}_{n+1}^T \hat{\phi}_{n+1} - \hat{\phi}_{nn} (\delta(n) - \hat{\phi}_{n+1}^T \hat{\phi}_{n+1}) \\
&= V_{n+1} - \hat{\phi}_{nn} (\hat{\phi}_{nn} V_{n+1}) \\
&= V_{n+1} (1 - \hat{\phi}_{nn}^2)
\end{aligned}$$

④ Innovation Algorithm

$\{x_t\}$ is zero-mean with $E(x^2) < \infty$ and $E(x_i x_j) = k(i, j)$

Denote the best one-step linear predictors and their MSE by

$$\hat{x}_n = \begin{cases} 0 & n=1 \\ p_{n-1} x_n & n=2, 3, \dots \end{cases}$$

and

$$v_n = E[(x_{n+1} - p_n x_{n+1})^2]$$

We shall introduce the innovations, or one-step prediction error

$$u_n = x_n - \hat{x}_n$$

In terms of the vector $\underline{u}_n = (u_1 \dots u_n)^T$ and $\underline{x}_n = (x_1 \dots x_n)^T$, we then have

$$\underline{u}_n = A_n \underline{x}_n \text{ where } A_n = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & & & & 0 \\ a_{11} & 1 & & & \\ a_{22} & a_{11} & 1 & & \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

i.e. $u_1 = x_1$ for mean-zero sequence

$$u_2 = x_2 + a_{11} x_1$$

$$u_3 = x_3 + (a_{22} x_2 + a_{11} x_1)$$

...

Note if $\{x_t\}$ is stationary, then $a_{ij} = -a_{ji}$ where a_{ij} from $P_n \underline{a} = \underline{x}_n$. This implies that A_n is nonsingular with inverse $C_n = A_n^{-1}$ of the form

$$C_n = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & & & & 0 \\ 0_{11} & 1 & & & \\ 0_{22} & 0_{11} & 1 & & \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad \Theta_n = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & & & & 0 \\ 0_{11} & 0 & & & \\ 0_{22} & 0_{21} & 0 & & \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

The vector of one-step predictors $\hat{\underline{x}}_n = (x_1, p_1 x_2, \dots, p_n x_{n+1})^T$ can therefore be expressed as

$$\hat{x}_n = x_n - u_n = C_n u_n - u_n = \Theta_n (x_n - \hat{x}_n)$$

$$\Rightarrow \hat{x}_{n+1} = \begin{cases} 0 & n=0 \\ \sum_{j=1}^n \theta_{nj} (x_{n+1-j} - \hat{x}_{n+1-j}) & n=1, 2, \dots \end{cases}$$

The Innovations Algorithm:

The coefficients $\theta_{n1}, \dots, \theta_{nn}$ can be computed recursively from the equations

$$v_0 = \kappa(1, 1),$$
$$\theta_{n,n-k} = v_k^{-1} \left(\kappa(n+1, k+1) - \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} \theta_{k,k-j} \theta_{n,n-j} v_j \right), \quad 0 \leq k < n,$$

and

$$v_n = \kappa(n+1, n+1) - \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \theta_{n,n-j}^2 v_j.$$

(It is a trivial matter to solve first for v_0 , then successively for $\theta_{11}, v_1; \theta_{22}, \theta_{21}, v_2; \theta_{33}, \theta_{32}, \theta_{31}, v_3; \dots$)