

# CHALMERS

## EXAMINATION / TENTAMEN

|                              |                      |                                    |                               |
|------------------------------|----------------------|------------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| Course code/kurskod          | Course name/kursnamn |                                    |                               |
| TIN093                       | Algorithms           |                                    |                               |
| Anonymous code<br>Anonym kod |                      | Examination date<br>Tentamensdatum | Number of pages<br>Antal blad |
| TIN093-0059-5ED              |                      | 26-10-2022                         | 7                             |
|                              |                      |                                    | Grade<br>Betyg                |
|                              |                      |                                    | 5                             |

\* I confirm that I've no mobile or other similar electronic equipment available during the examination.  
Jag intygar att jag inte har mobiltelefon eller annan liknande elektronisk utrustning tillgänglig under examinationen.

| Solved task<br>Behandlade uppgifter<br>No/nr | Points per task<br>Poäng på<br>uppgiften | Observe: Areas with bold contour are to completed by the teacher.<br>Anmärkning: Rutor inom bred kontur ifylles av lärare. |
|--|--|--|
| 1 X  | 10                                       |  |
| 2 X  | 14                                       |  |
| 3 X  | 10                                       |  |
| 4 X  | 10                                       |  |
| 5 X  | 12                                       |  |
| 6  |  |  |
| 7  |  |  |
| 8  |  |  |
| 9  |  |  |
| 10   |  |  |
| 11   |  |  |

inversion if  $a > b$ . Once the sequence is sorted, the number of inversions must be 0. If ~~the~~ each swap at best reduces inversions by one, it stands that at least  $\text{inv}$  swaps are needed. ✓

1.2. Each ~~swap~~<sup>swap</sup> in the algorithm will decrease the number of inversions by one, and will proceed until the sequence is sorted, ~~when~~ at which point the number of inversions must be zero.

~~As such~~ As such, there must be exactly one ~~swap~~<sup>swap</sup> in the algorithm for each inversion, and the total number of swaps is  $\text{inv}$ .

✓

We can formulate our graph as a DAG, where the nodes are sorted by ~~the~~ its distance from B

$A \dots C \dots D \dots B$

Our algorithm can then iterate over the nodes right-to-left, in other words start with B and ~~then~~ pick <sup>stations</sup> ~~nodes~~ further and further away from B.

~~we will be able to find the optimal path~~

For each of these nodes, we compute <sup>OPT</sup> ~~OPT~~(X) where X is the iterated node.

OPT(B) will be the desired arrival time

OPT(X) ~~will~~ for any X except B will be computed from all edges starting in X

We filter through all edges to find those that will arrive in time, more specifically if the edge is from X to Y, we

know that Y is to the right of X in the DAG ie OPT(Y) is already calculated. If the arrival time of the edge is less than OPT(Y), we keep the edge, otherwise we discard the time (because we would not arrive in time)

Of all the edges that are kept, we find the edge



edges that starts on the iterated node,  
And ~~so~~ we <sup>will</sup> iterate over all nodes in polynomial  
time of the total number of edges.

Missing time complexity for topo-  
logical sorting. No correctness.

Otherwise good!

the set to one set with elements smaller than  $p$   
(and thus all have rank ~~more~~<sup>less</sup> than  $r$ ), and a set with larger elements.

We then also split the ~~the~~ set of searched ranks  
into those smaller than  $r$  and those larger than  $r$ .  
We then subtract  $r$  from all larger searched ranks  
and then do a recursive search on the two partitions.

~~then we continue to partition the sets until each~~  
we continue to partition the ~~the~~ sets until ~~each~~  
~~partition~~<sup>only</sup> one rank is looked for in each partition.

If the set is halved every time, the set of  
ranks to find will also halve.

~~the sets of ranks~~ sets of ranks will then be  
at size one after around  $\log_2 k$  recursions.

At this point, each partition can be solved using the  
algorithm to find one ranked element in  $O(n)$  time.

Partitioning will then take  ~~$O(n \log k)$~~  <sup>$O(n \log k)$</sup>  time until  
we ~~are left with one partition~~ need to find one ranked  
element per partition, and then an additional  $O(n)$



correct in polynomial time,

Uniform set packaging problems are a subset of set packaging, which means that the same polynomial algorithm can be used to verify a solution to the uniform set packaging problem. 2

4.2. We consider a reduction from set packaging to uniform set packaging.

The set packaging problem has a set of elements  $U$ ,  $|U|=n$ , an integer  $k$  and a family of  $m$  subsets.

For each subset, we define ~~a~~ new element and add it to the subset until the subset has size  $n$ .

These new subsets form a new family, and we can be certain that all new subsets have the same size  $n$ .

New elements that were defined are ~~add~~ combined with  $U$  to form  $U'$ .

The new family alongside  $k$  and  $U'$  is then a ~~uniform~~ uniform set packaging problem. 1

remain pairwise disjoint in the constructed problem as the reduction will only add elements that no other set has.

If there is a set of  $k$  pairwise disjoint subsets in the constructed problem, it stands that the corresponding subsets in the original problem ~~is~~ are pairwise disjoint, since the original subsets contains no elements that are not in the constructed ~~set~~ subsets.

4



~~The~~ The algorithm will ~~start~~ <sup>proceed</sup> by finding any cycle ~~or~~ <sup>accessible</sup> from  $s$  by performing a depth-first-search. If the algorithm finds a back edge  $(u, v)$ , we have a path along tree edges from  $v$  to  $u$  that together with  $(u, v)$  form a <sup>directed</sup> cycle  $C$ . ~~we~~ we will also have a path along tree edges from  $s$  to  $v$  which is our path  $P$  to the directed cycle. ok

If we do not come across any back edges, we can conclude that there are no directed cycles reachable from  $s$ , we could <sup>potentially</sup> still find a directed cycle elsewhere in the graph with a DFS on unvisited nodes, but these do not matter as they would be unreachable from  $s$ . ok

The time constraint of just the DFS is  $O(m)$ , but that only holds if the search can utilize an adjacency lists, ~~the~~ including the time to create ~~the~~ these adjacency lists gives the entire algorithm a time bound of  $O(n+m)$ . ok