There are three ways of AGEM:

(1) Old version:

accumulate losses of previous tasks and get the gradient based on the accumulated loss and store it in grad[0]

Store the gradient of the current task in grad[1]

Still use the same project2cone2 function as GEM

```
def project2cone2(gradient, memories, margin=0.5):
       Solves the GEM dual QP described in the paper given a proposed
       gradient "gradient", and a memory of task gradients "memories".
        Overwrites "gradient" with the final projected update.
        input: gradient, p-vector
       input: memories, (t * p)-vector
       output: x, p-vector
   memories_np = memories.cpu().t().double().numpy()
   gradient_np = gradient.cpu().contiguous().view(-1).double().numpy()
   t = memories_np.shape[0]
   P = np.dot(memories_np, memories_np.transpose())
   P = 0.5 * (P + P.transpose())
   q = np.dot(memories_np, gradient_np) * -1
   G = np.eye(t)
   h = np.zeros(t) + margin
   v = quadprog.solve_qp(P, q, G, h)[0]
   x = np.dot(v, memories_np) + gradient_np
   gradient.copy_(torch.Tensor(x).view(-1, 1))
```

Result:

```
0.7113 0.0944 0.1177 0.1740 0.1363 0.0949 0.1784 0.1826 0.1790 0.1175 0.4735 0.7152 0.1561 0.1628 0.1445 0.1107 0.1384 0.1701 0.1642 0.1512 0.4753 0.5693 0.6937 0.1477 0.1706 0.1023 0.1404 0.1654 0.1628 0.1462 0.5275 0.5841 0.5896 0.7139 0.1545 0.1052 0.1255 0.1238 0.1520 0.1110 0.5548 0.5944 0.5664 0.6588 0.6923 0.1143 0.1234 0.1513 0.1387 0.1061 0.5686 0.5186 0.5775 0.6371 0.5315 0.5899 0.1222 0.1002 0.1748 0.1575 0.3983 0.3908 0.5018 0.5558 0.4751 0.4343 0.6918 0.0920 0.1850 0.1349 0.3653 0.4004 0.4877 0.5790 0.4715 0.4391 0.5907 0.6702 0.2020 0.1140 0.3810 0.3787 0.4592 0.5695 0.4970 0.4440 0.6271 0.6587 0.6489 0.1089 0.3981 0.3993 0.4670 0.5596 0.4605 0.4498 0.5816 0.6309 0.3657 0.6847 Final Accuracy: 0.4992 Backward: -0.1820 Forward: 0.0152
```

(2) New Version:

Use new project2cone2 function according to the formula in AGEM paper

```
def project2cone2_agem(gradient, memories):
    memories_np = memories.cpu().double().numpy()
    gradient_np = gradient.cpu().double().numpy()
    g_estimate = gradient_np - ((np.matmul(gradient_np.transpose(),memories_np))/(np.matmul(memories_np.transpose(),memories_np)))*memories_np
    gradient.copy_(torch.Tensor(g_estimate).view(-1, 1))
```

The way to get loss and gradient of previous tasks remains the same as GEM

Use the average value of gradients of previous task instead, so notice that the argument memories in new project2cone2 function has the shape [p,1]

Result:

```
0.7113 0.0944 0.1177 0.1740 0.1363 0.0949 0.1784 0.1826 0.1790 0.1175 0.5788 0.6975 0.1473 0.1595 0.1429 0.1123 0.1421 0.1879 0.1580 0.1545 0.5222 0.6254 0.7034 0.1571 0.1683 0.0964 0.1542 0.2035 0.1628 0.1787 0.6049 0.6433 0.6753 0.7142 0.1443 0.1196 0.1311 0.1610 0.1447 0.1534 0.6383 0.6227 0.6055 0.6789 0.6850 0.1078 0.1269 0.1361 0.1397 0.1372 0.6386 0.5665 0.6197 0.6722 0.6264 0.6442 0.1314 0.1333 0.1404 0.1171 0.5674 0.5300 0.6573 0.6531 0.6429 0.6040 0.6987 0.1181 0.1480 0.1286 0.5824 0.4934 0.6558 0.6562 0.5974 0.5231 0.6559 0.7075 0.1765 0.1204 0.4934 0.5141 0.6185 0.6166 0.6581 0.5281 0.5753 0.7026 0.6079 0.1249 0.5330 0.5223 0.5948 0.6461 0.6670 0.5273 0.6090 0.6923 0.5972 0.7113

Final Accuracy: 0.6100 Backward: -0.0781 Forward: 0.0161
```

(3) Another way:

We still use the project2cone2 function and the way to get the loss and gradient of previous tasks. The only change is we directly put the mean value of previous gradients into project2cone2 function to use QP method to solve.

Result:

```
0.7113 0.0944 0.1177 0.1740 0.1363 0.0949 0.1784 0.1826 0.1790 0.1175 0.4735 0.7152 0.1561 0.1628 0.1445 0.1107 0.1384 0.1701 0.1642 0.1512 0.5184 0.5671 0.7078 0.1456 0.1429 0.1075 0.1467 0.1402 0.1583 0.1428 0.5559 0.6138 0.6238 0.6923 0.1441 0.1296 0.1264 0.1048 0.1438 0.1645 0.5663 0.5971 0.5978 0.6610 0.7014 0.1138 0.1333 0.0962 0.1488 0.1245 0.4854 0.4261 0.5987 0.5771 0.5716 0.6278 0.1268 0.0761 0.1212 0.1174 0.5135 0.4874 0.6276 0.6179 0.5894 0.5490 0.6775 0.0686 0.1444 0.1488 0.4924 0.5061 0.6397 0.6099 0.5810 0.55118 0.6536 0.7118 0.1632 0.1189 0.5046 0.5324 0.6115 0.6087 0.6203 0.5122 0.5706 0.6388 0.6088 0.1084 0.5016 0.5067 0.6201 0.6065 0.6234 0.5164 0.5681 0.6174 0.5316 0.7121 Final Accuracy: 0.5804 Backward: -0.1134 Forward: 0.0081
```

All the experiments above use the same command call:

```
python main.py --model Agem --n_tasks 10 --lr 0.01 --n_memories 10 --memory_strength 10 --n_layers 2 --n_hiddens 100 --data_path data/ --save_path results/ --batch_size 1 --log_every 100 --samples_per_task 1000 --cuda no --seed 3 --beta 0.03 --gamma 1.0 --memories 200 --replay_batch_size 10 --batches_per_example 10 --forgetting_mode False --forgetting_task_ids 0,5 --forgetting_resee_size 100 --sign_attacked -1.0 --num_groups 20 --cov_recompute_every 20 --create_random_groups False --divergence von_Neumann --if_output_cov False --cov_first_task_buffer 100 --data_file fashion_mnist_permutations_reduced.pt --ewc_reverse False --create_group_per_unit False
```

(4) The difficulty of revising modularized AGEM in the three cases above:
For all the three ways mention above, the key revision is what kind of memories we use. But as you can see from the figure below, the argument "memories" actually is not involved in the calculation of the function. Instead, pp acts like memories while h stores information about relatedness.

```
project2cone2(gradient, memories, current_Tid, margin=0.5,grads_groups=None,relatedness=None,args=None):
    gradient "gradient", and a memory of task gradients "memories" Overwrites "gradient" with the final projected update.
    input: gradient, p-vector
input: memories, (t * p)-vector
    output: x, p-vector
gradient_np = gradient.cpu().contiguous().view(-1).double().numpy()
h = transform_relatedness(relatedness[current_Tid],args)
for tid in range(current_Tid):
    for pi, p in enumerate(grads_groups[tid]):
    pp = p if ((tid==0) & (pi==0)) else np.concatenate((pp, p), axis=0)
h = np.array(h) + margin
if args.gem_ignore_relatedness:
    h = np.zeros_like(h)
pp = np.expand_dims(np.mean(pp,axis=0),1)
print("pp shape:{}".format(pp.shape))
h = np.expand_dims(np.mean(h),0)
print("h shape:{}".format(h.shape))
P = np.dot(pp, pp.transpose())
print("P shape:{}".format(P.shape))
P = 0.5 * (P + P.transpose()) + np.eye(P.shape[0])*(1e-3)
q = np.dot(gradient.cpu().contiquous().view(-1).double().numpy(), pp.transpose())
G = np.eye(t)
G = np.eye(t) + np.eye(t)*0.00001
    v = quadprog.solve_qp(P, q, G, h)[0]
   pdb.set_trace()
x = np.dot(v, pp) + gradient_np
gradient.copy_(torch.Tensor(x).view(-1, 1))
```

For the old version: because there are only two indexes involved, it can't be aligned to gradient groups or relatedness groups.

For the new version: because we use a new project2cone2 function, it's really difficult to add relatedness information (h) and memories (pp) into the new function. For the another way: because we have to get average of the previous gradients (memories or pp here), the size of pp now is [41, 89610], since the first index corresponds to the number of tasks, we have to average over tasks then pp's shape will become [1,89610], in order to keep the consistence of shape, we also have to transform h (shape: [41,1]) to [1,1]. I am not sure if this idea is correct because average of h means average of relatedness. Also, the code didn't work right. The error is called Killed:9.

Killed: 9

(5) Conclusion:

Since we should modularize AGEM based the correct AGEM code, I think we should first determine which way is correct. Then we can think about the subsequent steps.