Yingkai Li

EC5301

Introduction

Understand the response of different economic agents to changes in the underlying environment or conditions.

- firm's investments in innovations in response to changes in market competitions;
- firm's production in response to changes in market demands or production costs;
- investor's portfolio selections in stock markets in response to income shocks.

Reference:

https://sites.duke.edu/toddsarver/files/2021/07/Micro-Lecture-Notes.pdf

The agent maximizes a parametric objective function $f: X \times T \to \mathbb{R}$.

- *X* : decision variable;
- \bullet T: parameters.

The agent maximizes a parametric objective function $f: X \times T \to \mathbb{R}$.

- *X* : decision variable;
- ullet T: parameters.

For simplicity, assume $X, T \in \mathbb{R}$.

The agent maximizes a parametric objective function $f: X \times T \to \mathbb{R}$.

- *X* : decision variable;
- \bullet T: parameters.

For simplicity, assume $X, T \in \mathbb{R}$.

Example: In monopoly production problem:

- ullet X: set of quantities the monopoly can produce;
- *T* : set of possible cost of production;
- \bullet f: revenue function based on the produced quantity and the production cost.

Assume that X is compact and f(x,t) is continuous in x given any $t \in T$.

• $\max_{x \in X} f(x, t)$ exists given any $t \in T$.

Assume that X is compact and f(x,t) is continuous in x given any $t \in T$.

• $\max_{x \in X} f(x, t)$ exists given any $t \in T$.

Denote the set of optimal choice given parameter $t \in T$ as

$$\mathbf{X}(t) = \operatorname*{argmax}_{x \in X} f(x, t).$$

 $\mathbf{X}(t)$ is a correspondence, and we denote the optimal choice as $\mathbf{x}(t)$ if the optimal choice set is a singleton.

Assume that X is compact and f(x,t) is continuous in x given any $t \in T$.

• $\max_{x \in X} f(x, t)$ exists given any $t \in T$.

Denote the set of optimal choice given parameter $t \in T$ as

$$\mathbf{X}(t) = \operatorname*{argmax}_{x \in X} f(x, t).$$

 $\mathbf{X}(t)$ is a correspondence, and we denote the optimal choice as $\mathbf{x}(t)$ if the optimal choice set is a singleton.

Comparative Statics: how does X(t) changes as a function of t.

Assumption 1:

- *f* is twice continuously differentiable;
- f is strictly concave in x given any $t \in T$.

Assumption 1:

- *f* is twice continuously differentiable;
- f is strictly concave in x given any $t \in T$.

The optimal choice is unique, and $\mathbf{x}(t)$ can be derived by first-order condition.

ullet assume in addition $\mathbf{x}(t)$ is in the interior of X.

Assumption 1:

- *f* is twice continuously differentiable;
- f is strictly concave in x given any $t \in T$.

The optimal choice is unique, and $\mathbf{x}(t)$ can be derived by first-order condition.

ullet assume in addition $\mathbf{x}(t)$ is in the interior of X.

FOC:

$$f_x(\mathbf{x}(t),t) = 0.$$

Theorem (Implicit Function Theorem)

Given Assumption 1, we have

$$\mathbf{x}'(t) = -\frac{f_{xt}(\mathbf{x}(t), t)}{f_{xx}(\mathbf{x}(t), t)}$$

Taking total derivative over t given the FOC:

$$f_{xx}(\mathbf{x}(t),t) \cdot \mathbf{x}'(t) + f_{xt}(\mathbf{x}(t),t) = 0.$$

Theorem (Implicit Function Theorem)

Given Assumption 1, we have

$$\mathbf{x}'(t) = -\frac{f_{xt}(\mathbf{x}(t), t)}{f_{xx}(\mathbf{x}(t), t)}$$

Taking total derivative over t given the FOC:

$$f_{xx}(\mathbf{x}(t),t) \cdot \mathbf{x}'(t) + f_{xt}(\mathbf{x}(t),t) = 0.$$

Corollary

Given Assumption 1, we have $\mathbf{x}'(t) \geq 0$ if and only if $f_{xt}(\mathbf{x}(t), t) \geq 0$.

Proof: by the concavity assumption, $f_{xx}(\mathbf{x}(t), t) < 0$.

Consider a firm who can produce a quantity $x \in \mathbb{R}_+$ to maximize profit

- C(x,t): cost of producing quantity x;
- ullet P(x): inverse demand function / market price given quantity x.

Consider a firm who can produce a quantity $x \in \mathbb{R}_+$ to maximize profit

- C(x,t): cost of producing quantity x;
- P(x): inverse demand function / market price given quantity x.

The firm's problem is

$$\max_{x \in \mathbb{R}_+} \pi(x, t) \triangleq x \cdot P(x) - C(x, t).$$

To apply the implicit function theorem, we need $\pi(x,t)$ is concave in x for all t.

$$\pi_{xx}(x,t) = P''(x) \cdot x + 2P'(x) - C_{xx}(x,t) \le 0.$$

A sufficient condition is that

- **1** $P''(x) \leq 0$;
- **2** $P'(x) \leq 0$;
- **3** $C_{xx}(x,t) \geq 0$.

To apply the implicit function theorem, we need $\pi(x,t)$ is concave in x for all t.

$$\pi_{xx}(x,t) = P''(x) \cdot x + 2P'(x) - C_{xx}(x,t) \le 0.$$

A sufficient condition is that

- **1** $P''(x) \leq 0$;
- **2** $P'(x) \leq 0$;
- **3** $C_{xx}(x,t) \geq 0$.

Note that $\pi_{xt}(x,t) = -C_{xt}(x,t)$.

- \Rightarrow By implicit value theorem, $\mathbf{x}'(t) \geq 0$ if and only if $\pi_{xt}(x,t) \geq 0$, or $C_{xt}(x,t) \leq 0$.
 - with higher t, the marginal cost for production decreases, e.g., $C(x,t)=\frac{x}{t}$.

To apply the implicit function theorem, we need $\pi(x,t)$ is concave in x for all t.

$$\pi_{xx}(x,t) = P''(x) \cdot x + 2P'(x) - C_{xx}(x,t) \le 0.$$

A sufficient condition is that

- **1** $P''(x) \leq 0$;
- **2** $P'(x) \leq 0$;
- **3** $C_{xx}(x,t) \geq 0$.

Note that $\pi_{xt}(x,t) = -C_{xt}(x,t)$.

- \Rightarrow By implicit value theorem, $\mathbf{x}'(t) \geq 0$ if and only if $\pi_{xt}(x,t) \geq 0$, or $C_{xt}(x,t) \leq 0$.
 - with higher t, the marginal cost for production decreases, e.g., $C(x,t)=\frac{x}{t}$.

Question: are all the assumptions necessary for the comparative statics analysis? NO!

• especially $P''(x) \leq 0$ may not fit well with practical application.

Limitations

The implicit function theorem approach requires strong assumption on the objective f.

- twice continuously differentiable;
- ullet concavity in x.

Limitations

The implicit function theorem approach requires strong assumption on the objective f.

- twice continuously differentiable;
- \bullet concavity in x.

Both are not necessary for understanding how x(t) changes in response to t.

Limitations

The implicit function theorem approach requires strong assumption on the objective f.

- twice continuously differentiable;
- \bullet concavity in x.

Both are not necessary for understanding how x(t) changes in response to t.

The intuition on the requirement that $f_{xt}(x,t) \ge 0$ is roughly correct.

• increasing differences in choices and parameters.

Comparing Choice Sets

Optimal choice $\mathbf{X}(t)$ is a correspondence.

Definition (Strong Set Order)

For any $Y,Z\subseteq X$, we say Y dominates Z in strong set order if for any $y\in Y$ and $z\in Z$, $\min\{y,z\}\in Z$ and $\max\{y,z\}\in Y$. We denote this as $Y\geq_s Z$.

Comparing Choice Sets

Optimal choice $\mathbf{X}(t)$ is a correspondence.

Definition (Strong Set Order)

For any $Y, Z \subseteq X$, we say Y dominates Z in strong set order if for any $y \in Y$ and $z \in Z$, $\min\{y, z\} \in Z$ and $\max\{y, z\} \in Y$. We denote this as $Y \geq_s Z$.

In the special case where Y and Z are singletons, i.e., $Y=\{y\}$ and $Z=\{z\}$, strong set order is equivalent to $y\geq z$.

ullet see general graphic illustration on board for examples of Y,Z that satisfy/violate the strong set order.

Definition (Increasing Differences)

A function $f: X \times T \to \mathbb{R}$ has increasing differences in (x,t) if for any x' > x and t' > t,

$$f(x',t') - f(x,t') \ge f(x',t) - f(x,t).$$

Definition (Increasing Differences)

A function $f: X \times T \to \mathbb{R}$ has increasing differences in (x,t) if for any x' > x and t' > t,

$$f(x',t') - f(x,t') \ge f(x',t) - f(x,t).$$

Increasing difference coincides with the definition that $f_{xt}(x,t) \ge 0$ when f is twice continuously differentiable.

• see general graphic illustration on board.

Theorem (Topkis '78)

If function $f: X \times T \to \mathbb{R}$ has increasing differences in (x,t), the optimal choice set $\mathbf{X}(t) = \operatorname*{argmax}_{x \in X} f(x,t)$ is monotone non-decreasing in t in strong set order. That is, for any $t' \geq t$,

$$\mathbf{X}(t') \geq_s \mathbf{X}(t).$$

Theorem (Topkis '78)

If function $f: X \times T \to \mathbb{R}$ has increasing differences in (x,t), the optimal choice set $\mathbf{X}(t) = \operatorname{argmax}_{x \in X} f(x,t)$ is monotone non-decreasing in t in strong set order. That is, for any $t' \geq t$,

$$\mathbf{X}(t') \geq_s \mathbf{X}(t).$$

Proof.

For any $t' \geq t$, and any $x' \in \mathbf{X}(t'), x \in \mathbf{X}(t)$, if $x' \geq x$, the theorem holds.

Theorem (Topkis '78)

If function $f: X \times T \to \mathbb{R}$ has increasing differences in (x,t), the optimal choice set $\mathbf{X}(t) = \operatorname{argmax}_{x \in X} f(x,t)$ is monotone non-decreasing in t in strong set order. That is, for any $t' \geq t$,

$$\mathbf{X}(t') \geq_s \mathbf{X}(t).$$

Proof.

For any $t' \geq t$, and any $x' \in \mathbf{X}(t'), x \in \mathbf{X}(t)$, if $x' \geq x$, the theorem holds. If x' < x,

$$\begin{split} 0 & \leq f(x,t) - f(x',t) & \qquad & \qquad (x \in \mathbf{X}(t)) \\ & \leq f(x,t') - f(x',t') & \qquad & \qquad \text{(increasing differences)} \\ & \leq 0 & \qquad & \qquad (x' \in \mathbf{X}(t')) \end{split}$$

All equalities must hold with equality.



Theorem (Topkis '78)

If function $f: X \times T \to \mathbb{R}$ has decreasing differences in (x,t), the optimal choice set $\mathbf{X}(t) = \operatorname{argmax}_{x \in X} f(x,t)$ is monotone non-increasing in t in strong set order. That is, for any $t' \geq t$,

$$\mathbf{X}(t') \geq_s \mathbf{X}(t)$$
.

Similar argument as increasing differences.

Consider a firm who can produce a quantity $x \in \mathbb{R}_+$ to maximize profit

$$\max_{x \in \mathbb{R}_+} \pi(x, t) \triangleq x \cdot P(x) - C(x, t).$$

Let $\mathbf{X}(t) = \operatorname{argmax}_{x \in \mathbb{R}_+} \pi(x, t)$.

Consider a firm who can produce a quantity $x \in \mathbb{R}_+$ to maximize profit

$$\max_{x \in \mathbb{R}_+} \pi(x,t) \triangleq x \cdot P(x) - C(x,t).$$

Let $\mathbf{X}(t) = \operatorname{argmax}_{x \in \mathbb{R}_+} \pi(x, t)$.

By [Topkis '78], if $-C_{xt}(x,t) \leq 0, \forall x,t, \ \pi(x,t)$ has increasing differences in (x,t),

$$\mathbf{X}(t') \leq_s \mathbf{X}(t), \quad \forall t' \geq t.$$

Consider a firm who can produce a quantity $x \in \mathbb{R}_+$ to maximize profit

$$\max_{x \in \mathbb{R}_+} \pi(x,t) \triangleq x \cdot P(x) - C(x,t).$$

Let $\mathbf{X}(t) = \operatorname{argmax}_{x \in \mathbb{R}_+} \pi(x, t)$.

By [Topkis '78], if $-C_{xt}(x,t) \leq 0, \forall x,t, \ \pi(x,t)$ has increasing differences in (x,t),

$$\mathbf{X}(t') \leq_s \mathbf{X}(t), \quad \forall t' \geq t.$$

If the marginal cost of production decreases, the optimal quantity produced weakly increases.

Consider a firm who can produce a quantity $x \in \mathbb{R}_+$ to maximize profit

$$\max_{x \in \mathbb{R}_+} \pi(x,t) \triangleq x \cdot P(x) - C(x,t).$$

Let $\mathbf{X}(t) = \operatorname{argmax}_{x \in \mathbb{R}_+} \pi(x, t)$.

By [Topkis '78], if $-C_{xt}(x,t) \leq 0, \forall x,t, \ \pi(x,t)$ has increasing differences in (x,t),

$$\mathbf{X}(t') \leq_s \mathbf{X}(t), \quad \forall t' \geq t.$$

If the marginal cost of production decreases, the optimal quantity produced weakly increases.

• Indeed, we don't need π to be concave in x.

Consider a firm who can produce a quantity $x \in \mathbb{R}_+$ of products using $z \in \mathbb{R}_+^k$ as inputs.

- $F: z \in \mathbb{R}^k_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$: production function;
- p > 0: price for the product;
- $w \in \mathbb{R}^k_{++}$: price vector for the inputs.

Consider a firm who can produce a quantity $x \in \mathbb{R}_+$ of products using $z \in \mathbb{R}_+^k$ as inputs.

- $F: z \in \mathbb{R}^k_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$: production function;
- p > 0: price for the product;
- $w \in \mathbb{R}^k_{++}$: price vector for the inputs.

The firm's problem is

$$\max_{z \in \mathbb{R}^k_+} p \cdot F(z) - w \cdot z.$$

The firm's problem is equivalent to

$$\pi(x,p) = \max_{x \in \mathbb{R}_+} \ p \cdot x - C(x).$$
 where $C(x) = \min \left\{ w \cdot z : F(z) \geq x \right\}.$

Applications: Optimal Production II

The firm's problem is equivalent to

$$\pi(x,p) = \max_{x \in \mathbb{R}_+} \ p \cdot x - C(x).$$
 where $C(x) = \min \left\{ w \cdot z : F(z) \geq x \right\}$.

 $\pi(x,p)$ satisfies increasing differences in (x,p) \Rightarrow optimal production satisfies

$$\mathbf{X}(p') \ge_s \mathbf{X}(p), \quad \forall p' \ge p.$$

Applications: Optimal Production II

The firm's problem is equivalent to

$$\pi(x,p) = \max_{x \in \mathbb{R}_+} \ p \cdot x - C(x).$$
 where $C(x) = \min \left\{ w \cdot z : F(z) \geq x \right\}$.

 $\pi(x,p)$ satisfies increasing differences in (x,p) \Rightarrow optimal production satisfies

$$\mathbf{X}(p') \ge_s \mathbf{X}(p), \quad \forall p' \ge p.$$

If the price of the product increases, the optimal quantity produced weakly increases.

A single item, a single agent.

- the agent has value $v \sim F$;
- the agent has utility $u = v \cdot x p$.

A single item, a single agent.

- the agent has value $v \sim F$;
- the agent has utility $u = v \cdot x p$.

Lemma

Given any direct revelation mechanism, the interim allocation of the agent is non-decreasing in his value.

Recall that this can be proved by the Envelope Theorem [Milgrom and Segal '02].

Taxation principle: the agent is offered a menu of allocation-payment pairs $\{m_i = (x_i, p_i)\}$.

Taxation principle: the agent is offered a menu of allocation-payment pairs $\{m_i = (x_i, p_i)\}$.

The utility function u(m, v) has increasing differences in m, v.

• the allocation-payment pairs are ordered according to the allocations.

Taxation principle: the agent is offered a menu of allocation-payment pairs $\{m_i = (x_i, p_i)\}$.

The utility function u(m, v) has increasing differences in m, v.

• the allocation-payment pairs are ordered according to the allocations.

Remark: monotone comparative statics in [Topkis '78] can be applied for $f: X \times T \to \mathbb{R}$ where X is an ordered set.

Taxation principle: the agent is offered a menu of allocation-payment pairs $\{m_i = (x_i, p_i)\}$.

The utility function u(m, v) has increasing differences in m, v.

• the allocation-payment pairs are ordered according to the allocations.

Remark: monotone comparative statics in [Topkis '78] can be applied for $f: X \times T \to \mathbb{R}$ where X is an ordered set.

By [Topkis '78], the optimal menu choice m(v) is non-decreasing in v.

ullet the allocation is non-decreasing in v since higher menu choice represents higher allocations.

Taxation principle: the agent is offered a menu of allocation-payment pairs $\{m_i = (x_i, p_i)\}$.

The utility function u(m, v) has increasing differences in m, v.

• the allocation-payment pairs are ordered according to the allocations.

Remark: monotone comparative statics in [Topkis '78] can be applied for $f: X \times T \to \mathbb{R}$ where X is an ordered set.

By [Topkis '78], the optimal menu choice m(v) is non-decreasing in v.

ullet the allocation is non-decreasing in v since higher menu choice represents higher allocations.

Extension: non-linear utility: u = f(v, x) - p

- f is increasing in v and x;
- f has increasing differences in (v,x), e.g, $f(v,x)=e^{v\cdot x^2}$.

Workers with type $\theta \in \Theta = \{\theta_0, \dots, \theta_n\}$.

- $\theta_0 < \cdots < \theta_n$;
- q_{θ} : prior probability of type θ ;
- $C(e,\theta)$: cost of education/signal $e \ge 0$ given type θ ; increasing in e and decreasing in θ .

Workers with type $\theta \in \Theta = \{\theta_0, \dots, \theta_n\}$.

- $\theta_0 < \cdots < \theta_n$;
- q_{θ} : prior probability of type θ ;
- $C(e,\theta)$: cost of education/signal $e \ge 0$ given type θ ; increasing in e and decreasing in θ .

The workers face a competitive market and receive a wage equal to the posterior mean values of the workers' types.

Workers with type $\theta \in \Theta = \{\theta_0, \dots, \theta_n\}$.

- $\theta_0 < \cdots < \theta_n$;
- q_{θ} : prior probability of type θ ;
- $C(e,\theta)$: cost of education/signal $e \ge 0$ given type θ ; increasing in e and decreasing in θ .

The workers face a competitive market and receive a wage equal to the posterior mean values of the workers' types.

Theorem

If the cost of signaling $-C(e,\theta)$ has increasing differences in (e,θ) , the separating equilibrium exists.

Workers with type $\theta \in \Theta = \{\theta_0, \dots, \theta_n\}$.

- $\theta_0 < \cdots < \theta_n$;
- q_{θ} : prior probability of type θ ;
- $C(e,\theta)$: cost of education/signal $e \ge 0$ given type θ ; increasing in e and decreasing in θ .

The workers face a competitive market and receive a wage equal to the posterior mean values of the workers' types.

Theorem

If the cost of signaling $-C(e,\theta)$ has increasing differences in (e,θ) , the separating equilibrium exists.

Construction by induction: $e(\theta_0) = 0$,

$$\theta_{i-1} - C(e(\theta_{i-1}), \theta_{i-1}) = \theta_i - C(e(\theta_i), \theta_{i-1}), \quad \forall i \in \{1, \dots, n\}.$$

Workers with type $\theta \in \Theta = \{\theta_0, \dots, \theta_n\}$.

- $\theta_0 < \cdots < \theta_n$;
- q_{θ} : prior probability of type θ ;
- $C(e,\theta)$: cost of education/signal $e \ge 0$ given type θ ; increasing in e and decreasing in θ .

The workers face a competitive market and receive a wage equal to the posterior mean values of the workers' types.

Theorem

If the cost of signaling $-C(e,\theta)$ has increasing differences in (e,θ) , the separating equilibrium exists.

Construction by induction: $e(\theta_0) = 0$,

$$\theta_{i-1} - C(e(\theta_{i-1}), \theta_{i-1}) = \theta_i - C(e(\theta_i), \theta_{i-1}), \quad \forall i \in \{1, \dots, n\}.$$

Local IC implies global IC under increasing differences.

Monotone Transformation

There exist applications where f does not satisfy the increasing difference condition.

Monotone Transformation

There exist applications where f does not satisfy the increasing difference condition.

Idea: apply monotone transformation on f to create increasing difference while preserving the optimal solution.

 \bullet see graphical illustrations for adjusting f without affecting choice sets.

Monotone Transformation

There exist applications where f does not satisfy the increasing difference condition.

ldea: apply monotone transformation on f to create increasing difference while preserving the optimal solution.

ullet see graphical illustrations for adjusting f without affecting choice sets.

Let $g: \mathbb{R} \times T \to \mathbb{R}$ be a function that is strictly increasing in its first argument for all $t \in T$.

$$\mathbf{X}(t) \triangleq \underset{x \in X}{\operatorname{argmax}} f(x, t) = \underset{x \in X}{\operatorname{argmax}} g(f(x, t), t), \quad \forall t \in T.$$

If g(f(x,t),t) has increasing differences in (x,t), $\mathbf{X}(t)$ is non-decreasing in t in strong set order.

Consider a market with inverse demand function P(q).

ullet P(q) is decreasing in q.

Consider a market with inverse demand function P(q).

• P(q) is decreasing in q.

Letting N>0 be the market size, the firm's problem is

$$\max_{q \geq 0} \pi(q,N) = \max_{q \geq 0} Nq \cdot P(q) - C(Nq)$$

where ${\cal C}(Nq)$ is the cost of producing quantity Nq.

Consider a market with inverse demand function P(q).

• P(q) is decreasing in q.

Letting N>0 be the market size, the firm's problem is

$$\max_{q \ge 0} \pi(q, N) = \max_{q \ge 0} Nq \cdot P(q) - C(Nq)$$

where C(Nq) is the cost of producing quantity Nq.

Question: how optimal q or P(q) changes with respect to N.

• $\pi(q,N)$ does not have increasing differences in (q,N) in general (without strong assumptions on P(q)).

Let
$$g(\pi(q,N),N)=\frac{\pi(q,N)}{N}.$$

$$\max_{q \ge 0} \pi(q, N) = \max_{q \ge 0} g(\pi(q, N), N) = \max_{q \ge 0} q \cdot P(q) - \frac{C(Nq)}{N}.$$

Let
$$g(\pi(q,N),N)=\frac{\pi(q,N)}{N}.$$

$$\max_{q \ge 0} \pi(q, N) = \max_{q \ge 0} g(\pi(q, N), N) = \max_{q \ge 0} q \cdot P(q) - \frac{C(Nq)}{N}.$$

Assuming C is twice continuously differentiable,

$$g_{qN}(\pi(q,N),N) = -q \cdot C''(Nq).$$

```
If C(Nq) is concave, -q \cdot C''(Nq) \ge 0: \Rightarrow g(q,N) has increasing differences in (q,N);
```

```
If C(Nq) is concave, -q \cdot C''(Nq) \geq 0: \Rightarrow g(q,N) has increasing differences in (q,N); \Rightarrow q^*(N) is weakly increasing in N;
```

```
If C(Nq) is concave, -q \cdot C''(Nq) \geq 0:

\Rightarrow g(q,N) has increasing differences in (q,N);

\Rightarrow q^*(N) is weakly increasing in N;

\Rightarrow P(q^*(N)) is weakly decreasing in N.
```

```
If C(Nq) is concave, -q \cdot C''(Nq) \ge 0:

\Rightarrow g(q,N) has increasing differences in (q,N);

\Rightarrow q^*(N) is weakly increasing in N;

\Rightarrow P(q^*(N)) is weakly decreasing in N.
```

Larger market (\Rightarrow lower marginal cost of production) \Rightarrow larger quantity produced \Rightarrow lower market price.

```
If C(Nq) is concave, -q \cdot C''(Nq) \geq 0: \Rightarrow g(q,N) has increasing differences in (q,N); \Rightarrow q^*(N) is weakly increasing in N; \Rightarrow P(q^*(N)) is weakly decreasing in N. Larger market (\Rightarrow lower marginal cost of production) \Rightarrow larger quantity produced \Rightarrow lower market price. If C(Nq) is convex, -q \cdot C''(Nq) \leq 0: \Rightarrow g(q,N) has decreasing differences in (q,N);
```

```
If C(Nq) is concave, -q \cdot C''(Nq) > 0:
\Rightarrow g(q, N) has increasing differences in (q, N);
\Rightarrow q^*(N) is weakly increasing in N;
\Rightarrow P(q^*(N)) is weakly decreasing in N.
Larger market (\Rightarrow lower marginal cost of production) \Rightarrow larger quantity produced \Rightarrow lower
market price.
If C(Nq) is convex, -q \cdot C''(Nq) < 0:
\Rightarrow q(q, N) has decreasing differences in (q, N);
\Rightarrow q^*(N) is weakly decreasing in N;
```

```
If C(Nq) is concave, -q \cdot C''(Nq) > 0:
\Rightarrow g(q, N) has increasing differences in (q, N);
\Rightarrow q^*(N) is weakly increasing in N;
\Rightarrow P(q^*(N)) is weakly decreasing in N.
Larger market (\Rightarrow lower marginal cost of production) \Rightarrow larger quantity produced \Rightarrow lower
market price.
If C(Nq) is convex, -q \cdot C''(Nq) < 0:
\Rightarrow q(q, N) has decreasing differences in (q, N);
\Rightarrow q^*(N) is weakly decreasing in N;
\Rightarrow P(q^*(N)) is weakly increasing in N.
```

```
If C(Nq) is concave, -q \cdot C''(Nq) > 0:
\Rightarrow g(q, N) has increasing differences in (q, N);
\Rightarrow q^*(N) is weakly increasing in N;
\Rightarrow P(q^*(N)) is weakly decreasing in N.
Larger market (\Rightarrow lower marginal cost of production) \Rightarrow larger quantity produced \Rightarrow lower
market price.
If C(Nq) is convex, -q \cdot C''(Nq) < 0:
\Rightarrow q(q, N) has decreasing differences in (q, N);
\Rightarrow q^*(N) is weakly decreasing in N;
\Rightarrow P(q^*(N)) is weakly increasing in N.
Larger market (\Rightarrow higher marginal cost of production) \Rightarrow smaller quantity produced \Rightarrow higher
market price.
```

By [Topkis '78], differentiability or concavity of f is not necessary for comparative statics.

By [Topkis '78], differentiability or concavity of f is not necessary for comparative statics.

Crucial assumption: increasing differences.

By [Topkis '78], differentiability or concavity of f is not necessary for comparative statics.

Crucial assumption: increasing differences.

Question: is increasing differences necessary for comparative statics?

By [Topkis '78], differentiability or concavity of f is not necessary for comparative statics.

Crucial assumption: increasing differences.

Question: is increasing differences necessary for comparative statics? Not always.

• recall the trick of monotone transformation.

By [Topkis '78], differentiability or concavity of f is not necessary for comparative statics.

Crucial assumption: increasing differences.

Question: is increasing differences necessary for comparative statics? Not always.

• recall the trick of monotone transformation.

The cardinal values of f is not always important for comparative statics.

Single Crossing

A ordinal version for comparative statics:

Definition

A function $f: X \times T \to \mathbb{R}$ has the single crossing property in (x,t) if for any x' > x and t' > t,

$$f(x',t) - f(x,t) \ge 0 \Rightarrow f(x',t') - f(x,t') \ge 0;$$

 $f(x',t) - f(x,t) > 0 \Rightarrow f(x',t') - f(x,t') > 0.$

- The single crossing property is a property based on the ordinal preference.
- $\forall x' > x$, $g(t) \triangleq f(x',t) f(x,t)$ cross 0 from below by at most once.

Single Crossing

A ordinal version for comparative statics:

Definition

A function $f: X \times T \to \mathbb{R}$ has the single crossing property in (x,t) if for any x' > x and t' > t,

$$f(x',t) - f(x,t) \ge 0 \Rightarrow f(x',t') - f(x,t') \ge 0;$$

 $f(x',t) - f(x,t) > 0 \Rightarrow f(x',t') - f(x,t') > 0.$

- The single crossing property is a property based on the ordinal preference.
- $\forall x' > x, \ g(t) \triangleq f(x',t) f(x,t)$ cross 0 from below by at most once.

Remark: f has increasing differences in $(x,t) \Rightarrow f$ has the single crossing property in (x,t).

Theorem (Milgrom and Shannon '94)

If function $f: X \times T \to \mathbb{R}$ has the single crossing property in (x,t), the optimal choice set $\mathbf{X}(t) = \operatorname*{argmax}_{x \in X} f(x,t)$ is monotone non-decreasing in t in strong set order. That is, for any $t' \geq t$,

$$\mathbf{X}(t') \geq_s \mathbf{X}(t)$$
.

Same argument as in [Topkis '78].

Necessity of Single Crossing

Theorem

Suppose $X,T\subseteq\mathbb{R}$ and $f:X\times T\to\mathbb{R}$. The optimal choice set $\mathbf{X}_S(t)=\operatorname{argmax}_{x\in S}f(x,t)$ is monotone non-decreasing in t in strong set order for any $S\subseteq X$ if and only if f has the single crossing property in (x,t).

If direction: [Milgrom and Shannon '94].

Necessity of Single Crossing

Theorem

Suppose $X,T\subseteq\mathbb{R}$ and $f:X\times T\to\mathbb{R}$. The optimal choice set $\mathbf{X}_S(t)=\operatorname{argmax}_{x\in S}f(x,t)$ is monotone non-decreasing in t in strong set order for any $S\subseteq X$ if and only if f has the single crossing property in (x,t).

If direction: [Milgrom and Shannon '94].

Only if direction: prove by contradiction.

(partial proof): there exists x' > x, t' > t such that

$$f(x',t) - f(x,t) \ge 0$$
 and $f(x',t') - f(x,t') < 0$.

Restrict attention to $S = \{x, x'\}.$

- $x' \in \mathbf{X}(t)$;
- $x' \notin \mathbf{X}(t')$.

Optimal production: A firm can generate a revenue of f(x,t) by acquiring an input of $x \in \mathbb{R}_+$. If the price of the input is p, the profit of the firm given input x is

$$g(x,t;p) = f(x,t) - px.$$

Optimal production: A firm can generate a revenue of f(x,t) by acquiring an input of $x \in \mathbb{R}_+$. If the price of the input is p, the profit of the firm given input x is

$$g(x,t;p) = f(x,t) - px.$$

f(x,t) has the single crossing property in (x,t)

 $\not\Rightarrow g(x,t;p)$ has the single crossing property in (x,t).

Optimal production: A firm can generate a revenue of f(x,t) by acquiring an input of $x \in \mathbb{R}_+$. If the price of the input is p, the profit of the firm given input x is

$$g(x,t;p) = f(x,t) - px.$$

f(x,t) has the single crossing property in (x,t) $\Rightarrow g(x,t;p)$ has the single crossing property in (x,t).

Theorem

Suppose $X, T \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ and $f: X \times T \to \mathbb{R}$. Then f(x,t) - px has the single crossing property in (x,t) for all $p \in \mathbb{R}$ if and only if f has increasing differences in (x,t).

If direction:

```
\begin{array}{l} f(x,t) \text{ has increasing differences in } (x,t) \\ \Rightarrow f(x,t) - px \text{ has increasing differences in } (x,t) \\ \Rightarrow f(x,t) - px \text{ has the single crossing property in } (x,t). \end{array}
```

If direction:

```
f(x,t) has increasing differences in (x,t)

\Rightarrow f(x,t) - px has increasing differences in (x,t)

\Rightarrow f(x,t) - px has the single crossing property in (x,t).
```

Only if direction: prove by contradiction.

If direction:

- f(x,t) has increasing differences in (x,t) $\Rightarrow f(x,t) - px$ has increasing differences in (x,t) $\Rightarrow f(x,t) - px$ has the single crossing property in (x,t).
- Only if direction: prove by contradiction.

There exists x' > x, t' > t such that

$$f(x', t') - f(x, t') < f(x', t) - f(x, t).$$

If direction:

f(x,t) has increasing differences in (x,t)

- $\Rightarrow f(x,t) px$ has increasing differences in (x,t)
- $\Rightarrow f(x,t) px$ has the single crossing property in (x,t).

Only if direction: prove by contradiction.

There exists x' > x, t' > t such that

$$f(x', t') - f(x, t') < f(x', t) - f(x, t).$$

Let p > 0 be a real number such that

$$f(x', t') - f(x, t') < p(x' - x) < f(x', t) - f(x, t).$$

By rearranging the terms, the single crossing property is violated.

Multivariate Comparative Statics

The agent maximizes a parametric objective function $f: X \times T \to \mathbb{R}$.

ullet $X\subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ is a lattice.

Multivariate Comparative Statics

The agent maximizes a parametric objective function $f: X \times T \to \mathbb{R}$.

• $X \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ is a lattice.

For any $x, x' \in \mathbb{R}^n$:

- the meet of x and x' is $x \wedge x' \triangleq (\min\{x_1, x_1'\}, \dots, \min\{x_n, x_n'\});$
- the join of x and x' is $x \vee x' \triangleq (\max\{x_1, x_1'\}, \dots, \max\{x_n, x_n'\});$

Multivariate Comparative Statics

The agent maximizes a parametric objective function $f: X \times T \to \mathbb{R}$.

• $X \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ is a lattice.

For any $x, x' \in \mathbb{R}^n$:

- the meet of x and x' is $x \wedge x' \triangleq (\min\{x_1, x_1'\}, \dots, \min\{x_n, x_n'\});$
- the join of x and x' is $x \vee x' \triangleq (\max\{x_1, x_1'\}, \dots, \max\{x_n, x_n'\});$

Definition (Lattice)

 $X \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ is a lattice if for any $x, x' \in X$, both $x \wedge x'$ and $x \vee x'$ are in X.

Comparing Choice Sets

Comparing choices: for any $x, x' \in \mathbb{R}^n$

- $x \ge x' : x_i \ge x'_i$ for all i;
- $x > x' : x_i \ge x_i'$ for all i and $x \ne x'$.

Comparing Choice Sets

Comparing choices: for any $x, x' \in \mathbb{R}^n$

- $x \ge x' : x_i \ge x'_i$ for all i;
- $x > x' : x_i \ge x_i'$ for all i and $x \ne x'$.

Note that it is possible that neither $x \ge x'$ nor $x' \ge x$ is true.

• X is a partially ordered set.

Comparing Choice Sets

Comparing choices: for any $x, x' \in \mathbb{R}^n$

- $x \ge x' : x_i \ge x_i'$ for all i;
- $x > x' : x_i \ge x_i'$ for all i and $x \ne x'$.

Note that it is possible that neither $x \ge x'$ nor $x' \ge x$ is true.

ullet X is a partially ordered set.

Definition (Strong Set Order)

For any $Y,Z\subseteq X$, we say Y dominates Z in strong set order if for any $y\in Y$ and $z\in Z$, $y\wedge z\in Z$ and $y\vee z\in Y$. We denote this as $Y\geq_s Z$.

In the special case where n=1, the reduces to the previous definition of strong set order.

Single Crossing

Definition

Suppose $X \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ is a lattice and $T \subseteq \mathbb{R}$. A function $f: X \times T \to \mathbb{R}$ has the single crossing property in (x,t) if for any x' > x and t' > t,

$$f(x',t) - f(x,t) \ge 0 \Rightarrow f(x',t') - f(x,t') \ge 0;$$

 $f(x',t) - f(x,t) > 0 \Rightarrow f(x',t') - f(x,t') > 0.$

In the special case where n=1, this reduces to the previous definition.

In multivariate comparative statics, the single crossing property is not sufficient for guaranteeing the strong set order in optimal choices.

- Intuition: When different coordinates of choices are substitutes, increasing the parameter may cause the choice variable to increase in one coordinate while decreasing in the other.
- Counterexample: exercise.

In multivariate comparative statics, the single crossing property is not sufficient for guaranteeing the strong set order in optimal choices.

- Intuition: When different coordinates of choices are substitutes, increasing the parameter may cause the choice variable to increase in one coordinate while decreasing in the other.
- Counterexample: exercise.

Definition (Quasisupermodularity)

Suppose $X\subseteq\mathbb{R}^n$ is a lattice and $T\subseteq\mathbb{R}$. A function $f:X\times T\to\mathbb{R}$ is quasisupermodular in x if for any $x,x'\in X$ and $t\in T$,

$$f(x,t) \ge f(x \land x',t) \Rightarrow f(x \lor x',t) \ge f(x',t)$$

$$f(x,t) > f(x \wedge x',t) \Rightarrow f(x \vee x',t) > f(x',t)$$

In multivariate comparative statics, the single crossing property is not sufficient for guaranteeing the strong set order in optimal choices.

- Intuition: When different coordinates of choices are substitutes, increasing the parameter may cause the choice variable to increase in one coordinate while decreasing in the other.
- Counterexample: exercise.

Definition (Quasisupermodularity)

Suppose $X\subseteq\mathbb{R}^n$ is a lattice and $T\subseteq\mathbb{R}$. A function $f:X\times T\to\mathbb{R}$ is quasisupermodular in x if for any $x,x'\in X$ and $t\in T$,

$$f(x,t) \ge f(x \land x',t) \Rightarrow f(x \lor x',t) \ge f(x',t)$$

$$f(x,t) > f(x \land x',t) \Rightarrow f(x \lor x',t) > f(x',t)$$

This definition is vacuous when n = 1.

- intuitively, it implies that the choices in different coordinates are complements;
- implied by supermodularity: $f(x \wedge x', t) + f(x \vee x', t) \ge f(x, t) + f(x', t)$.

Yingkai Li (NUS) Monotone Comparative Statics EC5301 33 / 38

Proposition

Suppose $X,Y \in \mathbb{R}$ and $f: X \times Y \to \mathbb{R}$. If f is quasisupermodular in (x,y), f has the single crossing property in (x,y).

For any x' > x and y' > y, we have

$$f(x',y) \ge f(x,y) \Rightarrow f(x',y') \ge f(x,y').$$

(quasisupermodularity)

Remark: $(x', y) \land (x, y') = (x, y)$ and $(x', y) \lor (x, y') = (x', y')$.

Proposition

Suppose $X,Y\in\mathbb{R}$ and $f:X\times Y\to\mathbb{R}$. If f is quasisupermodular in (x,y), f has the single crossing property in (x,y).

For any x' > x and y' > y, we have

$$f(x',y) \ge f(x,y) \Rightarrow f(x',y') \ge f(x,y').$$

(quasisupermodularity)

Remark:
$$(x',y) \wedge (x,y') = (x,y)$$
 and $(x',y) \vee (x,y') = (x',y')$.

This is identical to the condition in single crossing properties.

Proposition

Suppose $X,Y \in \mathbb{R}$ and $f: X \times Y \to \mathbb{R}$. If f is quasisupermodular in (x,y), f has the single crossing property in (x,y).

For any x' > x and y' > y, we have

$$f(x',y) \ge f(x,y) \Rightarrow f(x',y') \ge f(x,y').$$

(quasisupermodularity)

Remark: $(x', y) \land (x, y') = (x, y)$ and $(x', y) \lor (x, y') = (x', y')$.

This is identical to the condition in single crossing properties.

Same argument applies if the inequalities are strict.

Theorem (Milgrom and Shannon '94)

Suppose $X\subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ is a lattice and $T\subseteq \mathbb{R}$. If function $f:X\times T\to \mathbb{R}$ is quasisupermodular in x and has the single crossing property in (x,t), the optimal choice set $\mathbf{X}(t)=\operatorname{argmax}_{x\in X} f(x,t)$ is monotone non-decreasing in t in strong set order.

Theorem (Milgrom and Shannon '94)

Suppose $X \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ is a lattice and $T \subseteq \mathbb{R}$. If function $f: X \times T \to \mathbb{R}$ is quasisupermodular in x and has the single crossing property in (x,t), the optimal choice set $\mathbf{X}(t) = \operatorname*{argmax}_{x \in X} f(x,t)$ is monotone non-decreasing in t in strong set order.

Given any $t' \ge t, x \in \mathbf{X}(t)$ and $x' \in \mathbf{X}(t')$, if x' > x or x > x', same argument as in [Topkis '78] applies.

• In particular, quasisupermodularity in x is not required.

Theorem (Milgrom and Shannon '94)

Suppose $X \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ is a lattice and $T \subseteq \mathbb{R}$. If function $f: X \times T \to \mathbb{R}$ is quasisupermodular in x and has the single crossing property in (x,t), the optimal choice set $\mathbf{X}(t) = \operatorname*{argmax}_{x \in X} f(x,t)$ is monotone non-decreasing in t in strong set order.

Given any $t' \ge t, x \in \mathbf{X}(t)$ and $x' \in \mathbf{X}(t')$, if x' > x or x > x', same argument as in [Topkis '78] applies.

• In particular, quasisupermodularity in x is not required.

Challenges: x and x' are not ordered

ullet idea: use quasisupermodularity for establishing connections on ordered pairs in X.

Given any
$$t' \geq t, x \in \mathbf{X}(t)$$
 and $x' \in \mathbf{X}(t')$,
$$f(x,t) \geq f(x \wedge x',t)$$

$$\Rightarrow f(x \vee x',t) \geq f(x',t)$$

$$\Rightarrow f(x \vee x',t') \geq f(x',t')$$

$$\Rightarrow x \vee x' \in \mathbf{X}(t').$$

$$ig(x \in \mathbf{X}(t)ig)$$
 (Quasisupermodularity) (Single crossing)

Given any $t' \geq t, x \in \mathbf{X}(t)$ and $x' \in \mathbf{X}(t')$,

$$f(x,t) \ge f(x \land x',t)$$

$$\Rightarrow f(x \lor x',t) \ge f(x',t)$$

$$\Rightarrow f(x \lor x',t') \ge f(x',t')$$

$$\Rightarrow x \lor x' \in \mathbf{X}(t').$$

Suppose by contradiction that $x \wedge x' \notin \mathbf{X}(t)$

$$f(x,t) > f(x \land x',t)$$

$$\Rightarrow f(x \lor x',t) > f(x',t)$$

$$\Rightarrow f(x \lor x',t') > f(x',t')$$

$$\Rightarrow x' \notin \mathbf{X}(t').$$

$$ig(x \in \mathbf{X}(t)ig)$$
 (Quasisupermodularity) (Single crossing)

$$(x \wedge x' \notin \mathbf{X}(t))$$

(Quasisupermodularity)
(Single crossing)

Long run choices are often more elastic than short run ones.

Long run choices are often more elastic than short run ones.

The agent maximizes a function $f: X \times Y \times T \to \mathbb{R}$.

- choice variables: $x \in X \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ and $y \in Y \subseteq \mathbb{R}$;
- parameter $T \subseteq \mathbb{R}$.

Long run choices are often more elastic than short run ones.

The agent maximizes a function $f: X \times Y \times T \to \mathbb{R}$.

- choice variables: $x \in X \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ and $y \in Y \subseteq \mathbb{R}$;
- parameter $T \subseteq \mathbb{R}$.

Let $\mathbf{x}^s(y,t)$ be the short-run optimal choice of x fixing y and t. Let $(\mathbf{x}(t),\mathbf{y}(t))$ be the long-run optimal choice of x,y fixing t.

• break tie by taking the maximum.

Long run choices are often more elastic than short run ones.

The agent maximizes a function $f: X \times Y \times T \to \mathbb{R}$.

- choice variables: $x \in X \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ and $y \in Y \subseteq \mathbb{R}$;
- parameter $T \subseteq \mathbb{R}$.

Let $\mathbf{x}^s(y,t)$ be the short-run optimal choice of x fixing y and t. Let $(\mathbf{x}(t),\mathbf{y}(t))$ be the long-run optimal choice of x,y fixing t.

• break tie by taking the maximum.

Application: x is labor, y is capital, t is the parameter relates to the price of labor.

 in the short run, when the price of labor is changed, the adjustments in capital may not take in effect immediately, and the choice of labor is optimized given the previously optimal capital.

Theorem (Milgrom and Roberts '96)

Suppose $X,Y,T\subseteq\mathbb{R}$ are compact and $f:X\times Y\times T\to\mathbb{R}$. If f is continuous and quasisupermodular in (x,y) and has the single crossing property in (x,y,t), for any $t'\geq t$,

$$\mathbf{x}(t) \le \mathbf{x}^s(\mathbf{y}(t), t') \le \mathbf{x}(t'),$$
 $(t \to t')$

$$\mathbf{x}(t) \le \mathbf{x}^s(\mathbf{y}(t'), t) \le \mathbf{x}(t').$$
 $(t' \to t)$

Note: $\mathbf{y}(t') \ge \mathbf{y}(t)$ for $t' \ge t$ by [Milgrom and Shannon '94].

Theorem (Milgrom and Roberts '96)

Suppose $X,Y,T\subseteq\mathbb{R}$ are compact and $f:X\times Y\times T\to\mathbb{R}$. If f is continuous and quasisupermodular in (x,y) and has the single crossing property in (x,y,t), for any $t' \geq t$,

$$\mathbf{x}(t) \le \mathbf{x}^{s}(\mathbf{y}(t), t') \le \mathbf{x}(t'),$$

$$\mathbf{x}(t) \le \mathbf{x}^{s}(\mathbf{y}(t'), t) \le \mathbf{x}(t').$$

$$(t \to t')$$

$$(t' \to t)$$

$$\mathbf{x}(t) \le \mathbf{x}^s(\mathbf{y}(t'), t) \le \mathbf{x}(t').$$
 $(t' \to t)$

Note: $\mathbf{y}(t') \geq \mathbf{y}(t)$ for $t' \geq t$ by [Milgrom and Shannon '94].

The optimal choice of labor respond more to the change of parameter t in the long run.