Obsidian Language Formalism

1 Language Overview

2 Obsidian Core Calculus Grammar

The grammar is shown in Figure ??. In the grammar, assume \overline{I} means a possibly empty sequence of identifiers I; also assume that all items in the sequence are distinct, so that "x, y, z" is a valid sequence, but "x, y, x" is not.

```
x, y, z \in VARIABLES
                                       \ell \in \text{IndirectRefs}
                                                                             o \in \text{ObjectRefs}
                                                                                                                  f \in \text{FIELDNAMES}
Ct := \operatorname{contract} C \left\{ \overline{Ct} \ \overline{St} \ \overline{\operatorname{const} \tau f} \ \overline{\tau f} \right\}
St ::= state S \{ \overline{\tau f} \ \overline{MethDecl\ MethBody} \}
p ::=  owned | readonly | shared
P ::= x \mid P.f
\tau_s ::= C \mid C.S \mid P.C \mid P.C.S
\tau ::= p \rhd \tau_s \mid \mathtt{pack\_to}[S, \tau]
TxLabel := function | transaction
MethDecl ::= TxLabel \ \tau \ m(\overline{\tau \ x}) \hookrightarrow \overline{S}
MethBody ::= \{ e \}
e ::= x \mid \text{let } x = e \text{ in } e \mid \text{new } C.S(\overline{x}) \text{ as } p \mid x.m(\overline{x}) \mid f.m(\overline{x})
       \verb|throw|| \verb|try|| \{e\} \verb|catch|| \{e\}
        \mathtt{pack\_to}\ S(\overline{x})\ \mathtt{returns}\ x\ |\ \mathtt{unpack}\ \{\ e\ \}\ |\ \mathtt{assert}\ x:\tau_s\ \mathtt{in}\ e
         \ell \mid \mathtt{residual}(x) \mid \mathtt{residual}(f)
```

 $\mathbf{Fig.}\,\mathbf{1.}\;\mathbf{Grammar}$

3 Static Semantics

Figure ?? defines auxiliary relations that are helpful in defining the typing relation; Figure ?? defines the typing relation for expressions; Figure ?? defines extra typing judgments to check fields, methods, and contracts.

The typing relation $\Delta \vdash_b e : \tau \dashv \Delta'$ is flow-sensitive: it outputs a typing context, in addition to giving a type to the expression e. The following invariant also holds for the typing relation: $x \in \text{dom}(\Delta)$ if and only if $x \in \text{dom}(\Delta')$. Informally, this says that the contexts Δ and Δ' have the exact same variables in them, only differing perhaps by the type assigned to those variables.

The boolean b in the typing judgment indicates whether the expression e should be typed as if it were inside an unpack statement: inside an unpack, b = t, while outside of an unpack, b = t. Some typing judgments are valid for only one or the other case (e.g. T-PACK and T-INV) but others are valid in either case (e.g. T-LET).

Types can either be of contract type or of a special pack_to type. Only the former sort of types, however, are allowed in the typing context. This is implicitly enforced by:

- T-LET this rule requires the bound variable to be of contract type
- the method Ok judgment this determines the initial value of the typing context when typechecking a method body, and prohibits pack_to types in the initial context
- the field Ok judgment this ensures that fields aren't of type pack_to, and thus pack_to types cannot appear in the typing context via field unpacking.

It is assumed that the type rules have access to the helper function lookup, fields, and methods. These helper functions retrieve, respectively, the contract signature, the set of fields, and the set of methods of a contract given the contract's name. If the type specifies a specific typestate, all the methods and fields that are available in that state (including those defined in the contract as a whole) are retrieved. There is also mutableFields, which retrieves the subset of fields that are not labeled as const.

We say that a context Δ is well-formed if each type in the context is well-formed with respect to the context: a context is well-formed if

4 Dynamic Semantics

Auxiliary definitions for the dynamic semantics are shown in Figure $\ref{eq:condition}$. The small-step evaluation relation is defined in Figure $\ref{eq:condition}$. We augment the set of expressions e in the runtime to make it easier to express the desired semantics for exceptions: it is assumed that whole programs do not make use of the new try-catch and method call constructs.

Fig. 2. Auxiliary Relations

 $\mathbf{Fig.\,3.}\ \mathrm{Statics}$

Fig. 4. Auxiliary Judgments

$$\mu \in \text{LOCATIONS} \to \text{OBJECTS}$$

$$\rho \in \text{VARIABLES} \to \text{LOCATIONS}$$

$$T ::= C.S \mid \ell.C.S$$

$$\text{OBJECTS} = \{(T, f_{map}) \mid f_{map} \in \text{FIELDNAMES} \to \text{LOCATIONS})$$

$$field(f, (T, f_{map})) = f_{map}(f)$$

$$type((T, f_{map})) = T$$

$$(T, f_{map})[f \mapsto \ell] = (T, f_{map}[f \mapsto \ell])$$

$$\ell \in \text{LOCATIONS}$$

$$e ::= \dots \mid \text{try}(\mu) \mid \{e_1\} \text{ catch } \{e_2\} \mid \ell \mid \text{Ex} \mid \text{call}(\rho) \mid \{e\} \}$$

$$v ::= \ell \mid \text{pack_to } S(\overline{\ell}) \text{ returns } \ell$$

$$\mathbb{E}. \exists \ell \mid \text{let } x = \mathbb{E} \text{ in } e \mid \text{let } x = \ell \text{ in } \mathbb{E} \mid \text{unpack } \{\mathbb{E}\} \mid \text{call}(\rho) \mid \{\mathbb{E}\} \}$$

$$c[e] = e$$

$$(\text{let } x = \mathbb{E} \text{ in } e')[e] = (\text{let } x = \mathbb{E}[e] \text{ in } e')$$

$$(\text{let } x = \ell \text{ in } \mathbb{E}[e] = (\text{unpack } \{\mathbb{E}[e]\})$$

$$(\text{unpack } \{\mathbb{E}\})[e] = (\text{unpack } \{\mathbb{E}[e]\})$$

$$(\text{call}(\rho) \mid \{\mathbb{E}\})[e] = (\text{call}(\rho) \mid \{\mathbb{E}[e]\})$$

$$context(\mu, \rho, \mathbb{E}) \text{ Calculates a suitable } \rho' \text{ for evaluation inside of } \mathbb{E}$$

$$context(\mu, \rho, \mathbb{E}) \text{ Calculates a suitable } \rho' \text{ for evaluation inside of } \mathbb{E}$$

$$context(\mu, \rho, \mathbb{E}) \text{ Calculates } \rho \text{ in } \mathbb{E} \text{ ence}(\mu, \rho, \mathbb{E}) \text{ context}(\mu, \rho, \mathbb{E})$$

Fig. 5. Auxiliary Definitions

$$\begin{array}{c} \boxed{\mu,\rho,e\rightarrow\mu,e} \\ \hline \\ \mu,\rho,x\rightarrow\mu,\rho(x) \end{array}^{\text{E-VAR}} & \overline{\mu,\rho,f\rightarrow\mu,field(f,\mu(\rho(\text{this})))}^{\text{E-READ}} \end{array}^{\text{E-READ}} \\ \hline \\ \mu,\rho,\mathbb{E}[\text{Ex}]\rightarrow\mu,\text{Ex}} & \frac{context(\mu,\rho,\mathbb{E})=\rho' \quad \mu,\rho',e\rightarrow\mu',e'}{\mu,\rho,\mathbb{E}[e']\rightarrow\mu',\mathbb{E}[e']} \\ \hline \\ \mu,\rho,\text{let } x=\ell \text{ in } v\rightarrow\mu,v \end{array}^{\text{E-LET}} & \frac{context(\mu,\rho,\mathbb{E})=\rho' \quad \mu,\rho',e\rightarrow\mu',e'}{\mu,\rho,\text{throw}\rightarrow\mu,\text{Ex}} \\ \hline \\ \mu,\rho,\text{let } x=\ell \text{ in } v\rightarrow\mu,v \end{array}^{\text{E-LET}} & \frac{\mu,\rho,\text{throw}\rightarrow\mu,\text{Ex}}{\mu,\rho,\text{throw}\rightarrow\mu,\text{Ex}} \\ \hline \\ \mu,\rho,\text{let } x=\ell \text{ in } v\rightarrow\mu,v \end{array}^{\text{E-LET}} & \frac{\mu,\rho,\text{throw}\rightarrow\mu,\text{Ex}}{\mu,\rho,\text{throw}\rightarrow\mu,\text{Ex}} \\ \hline \\ \mu,\rho,\text{let } x=\ell \text{ in } v\rightarrow\mu,v \end{array}^{\text{E-LET}} & \frac{\mu,\rho,\text{throw}\rightarrow\mu,\text{Ex}}{\mu,\rho,\text{throw}\rightarrow\mu,\text{Ex}} \\ \hline \\ \mu,\rho,\text{let } x=\ell \text{ in } v\rightarrow\mu,v \end{array}^{\text{E-LET}} & \frac{\mu,\rho,\text{throw}\rightarrow\mu,\text{Ex}}{\mu,\rho,\text{throw}\rightarrow\mu,\text{Ex}} \\ \hline \\ \mu,\rho,\text{let } x=\ell \text{ in } v\rightarrow\mu,v \end{array}^{\text{E-LET}} & \frac{\mu,\rho,\text{throw}\rightarrow\mu,\text{Ex}}{\mu,\rho,\text{throw}\rightarrow\mu,\text{Ex}} \\ \hline \\ \mu,\rho,\text{new } C.S(\overline{x}) \text{ in } x=\ell \text{ in }$$

 $\textbf{Fig. 6.} \ \textbf{Dynamics}$