Homework4

Yingshan Li (7937790)

April 26, 2022

```
Load the data
titanic <- read.csv(file = "titanic.csv" )</pre>
titanic1 <- titanic %% mutate(survived = factor(survived, levels = c("Yes", "No"))) %>%
  mutate(pclass = factor(pclass))
set.seed(3435)
Question 1
titanic_split <- initial_split(titanic1, prop = 0.70, strata = survived)</pre>
titanic_train <- training(titanic_split)</pre>
titanic_test <- testing(titanic_split)</pre>
Verify correct number of observations in each data set
dim(titanic_train)
## [1] 623 12
dim(titanic_test)
## [1] 268 12
Create Recipe same as HW3
titanic_recipe <- recipe(survived ~ pclass + sex + age + sib_sp + parch + fare, data = titanic_train) %
  step_impute_linear(age) %>%
  step_dummy(all_nominal_predictors()) %>%
  step_interact(~ starts_with("sex"):fare + age:fare)
Question 2
Fold the training data
titanic_folds <- vfold_cv(titanic_train, k = 10)</pre>
titanic_folds
## # 10-fold cross-validation
## # A tibble: 10 x 2
##
      splits
                        id
##
      t>
                        <chr>>
## 1 <split [560/63] > Fold01
## 2 <split [560/63] > Fold02
## 3 <split [560/63] > Fold03
## 4 <split [561/62] > Fold04
## 5 <split [561/62] > Fold05
## 6 <split [561/62] > Fold06
```

```
## 7 <split [561/62]> Fold07
## 8 <split [561/62]> Fold08
## 9 <split [561/62]> Fold09
## 10 <split [561/62]> Fold10
```

Question 3

k-fold cross-validation is a resampling method. The training data are randomly partitioned into specified sets of roughly equal size for which we called each set the folds. For example, for 10-fold cross validation, for each iterations of resampling, one fold is held out as assessment set to evaluate the model, and all the 9 remaining folds are used as analysis set to fit the model. The final resampling estimate of model performance is the averages of each of the iteration. It is a better model evaluation method because simply fitting and testing models on the training set will result in an artificially optimistic estimate of the performance since the model is built based on the training data set. If we use the entire training set, the resampling method would be the validation set approach.

Question 4

Logistic Regression

```
log_reg <- logistic_reg() %>%
  set_engine("glm") %>%
  set_mode("classification")

log_wkflow <- workflow() %>%
  add_model(log_reg) %>%
  add_recipe(titanic_recipe)
```

Linear discriminant analysis

```
lda_mod <- discrim_linear() %>%
  set_mode("classification") %>%
  set_engine("MASS")

lda_wkflow <- workflow() %>%
  add_model(lda_mod) %>%
  add_recipe(titanic_recipe)
```

Quadratic discriminant analysis

```
qda_mod <- discrim_quad() %>%
  set_mode("classification") %>%
  set_engine("MASS")

qda_wkflow <- workflow() %>%
  add_model(qda_mod) %>%
  add_recipe(titanic_recipe)
```

In total, I will be fitting 30 models, 10 for each type of model because fitting 1 times for each of 10 folds.

Question 5

```
log_res <- log_wkflow %>%
  fit_resamples(resamples = titanic_folds)

lda_res <- lda_wkflow %>%
  fit_resamples(resamples = titanic_folds)
```

```
qda_res <- qda_wkflow %>%
 fit_resamples(resamples = titanic_folds)
log_acc <- collect_metrics(log_res)</pre>
log_acc
## # A tibble: 2 x 6
##
     .metric .estimator mean
                                   n std_err .config
##
     <chr>
              <chr> <dbl> <int>
                                      <dbl> <chr>
## 1 accuracy binary
                         0.809
                                  10 0.0156 Preprocessor1_Model1
## 2 roc_auc binary
                         0.836
                                   10 0.0161 Preprocessor1_Model1
lda_acc <- collect_metrics(lda_res)</pre>
lda_acc
## # A tibble: 2 x 6
     .metric .estimator mean
                                   n std_err .config
             <chr> <dbl> <int> <dbl> <chr>
     <chr>
## 1 accuracy binary
                         0.787
                                  10 0.0172 Preprocessor1 Model1
                                  10 0.0157 Preprocessor1_Model1
                         0.837
## 2 roc_auc binary
qda_acc <- collect_metrics(qda_res)</pre>
qda_acc
## # A tibble: 2 x 6
     .metric .estimator mean
                                  n std_err .config
     <chr> <chr> <chr> <dbl> <int>
                                        <dbl> <chr>
## 1 accuracy binary
                         0.775
                                  10 0.0171 Preprocessor1_Model1
## 2 roc_auc binary
                         0.838
                                  10 0.0137 Preprocessor1_Model1
mean_accuracy <- c(log_acc$mean[1],lda_acc$mean[1], qda_acc$mean[1])</pre>
Standard_error <- c(log_acc$std_err[1],lda_acc$std_err[1], qda_acc$std_err[1])
models <- c("Logistic Regression", "LDA", "QDA")</pre>
results <- tibble(accuracies = mean_accuracy, Standard_error = Standard_error, models = models)
results %>%
 arrange(-accuracies)
## # A tibble: 3 x 3
##
     accuracies Standard_error models
##
          <dbl>
                        <dbl> <chr>
## 1
          0.809
                        0.0156 Logistic Regression
## 2
          0.787
                        0.0172 LDA
## 3
          0.775
                        0.0171 QDA
The logistic regression model performs the best because it has the highest mean accuracy, and its standard
error is only a little bit larger than the QDA model that has the smallest standard error.
Question 7
log_fit <- fit(log_wkflow, titanic_train)</pre>
log_fit %>%
 tidy()
## # A tibble: 10 x 5
##
      term
                       estimate std.error statistic p.value
      <chr>
                       <dbl> <dbl> <dbl> <dbl>
## 1 (Intercept)
                                              -6.45 1.13e-10
                      -4.40
                                 0.683
```

0.0629

0.0136

4.62 3.77e- 6

2 age

```
3.30 9.52e- 4
## 3 sib_sp
                      0.437
                                 0.132
## 4 parch
                      0.151
                                 0.153
                                              0.989 3.23e- 1
## 5 fare
                      -0.00116
                                 0.0107
                                             -0.108 9.14e- 1
## 6 pclass_X2
                                              3.46 5.48e- 4
                       1.25
                                 0.363
## 7 pclass_X3
                       2.44
                                 0.382
                                              6.39 1.62e-10
## 8 sex male
                                 0.303
                                              7.09 1.32e-12
                       2.15
## 9 sex_male_x_fare 0.0139
                                 0.00836
                                              1.66 9.65e- 2
                      -0.000360 0.000206
                                             -1.75 8.03e- 2
## 10 fare_x_age
Question 8
predict(log_fit, new_data = titanic_test, type = "prob")
## # A tibble: 268 x 2
##
      .pred_Yes .pred_No
##
         <dbl>
                  <dbl>
        0.933
##
   1
                 0.0671
                 0.0763
##
   2
        0.924
        0.119
                 0.881
##
   3
##
   4
        0.183
                 0.817
##
  5
        0.230
                 0.770
##
        0.239
                 0.761
  6
##
   7
        0.120
                 0.880
##
  8
        0.119
                 0.881
## 9
        0.0456
                 0.954
## 10
        0.174
                  0.826
## # ... with 258 more rows
augment(log_fit, new_data = titanic_test) %>%
 accuracy(truth = survived, estimate = .pred_class)
## # A tibble: 1 x 3
##
     .metric .estimator .estimate
##
     <chr>
             <chr>
                             <dbl>
## 1 accuracy binary
                             0.832
```

Model's testing accuracy is slightly higher than the average accuracy across folds.