

# Efficient computation of the order parameter and director field

Matt Peterson

February 1, 2019

Suppose we know the  $Q$  tensor components at every point in space. The order parameter is defined as the largest eigenvalue of  $Q$ , and the director field the corresponding eigenvector.

The  $Q$  tensor is both traceless and symmetric, and so we can write it as

$$Q = \begin{pmatrix} Q_{xx} & Q_{xy} & Q_{xz} \\ Q_{xy} & Q_{yy} & Q_{yz} \\ Q_{xz} & Q_{yz} & -Q_{xx} - Q_{yy} \end{pmatrix}.$$

The most intuitive approach is to check every point in space and diagonalize  $Q$ , at which point we obtain both the eigenvalues and eigenvectors and can uniquely identify the order parameter and director field. However, this approach is slow, since we cannot necessarily diagonalize  $Q$  at every point in space simultaneously. We instead seek a solution that can be readily parallelized.

We know that the eigenvalues are the roots of the characteristic polynomial

$$P(\lambda) = \det(\lambda I - Q).$$

Since  $Q$  is traceless and symmetric, this equation takes the relatively simple form

$$P(\lambda) = \lambda^3 - \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{tr}(Q^2) \lambda - \frac{1}{3} \operatorname{tr}(Q^3) = \lambda^3 - p\lambda - q$$

where we have defined

$$p = \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{tr}(Q^2) \quad \text{and} \quad q = \frac{1}{3} \operatorname{tr}(Q^3) = \det(Q).$$

Note that  $p \geq 0$  since it is the sum of squares of real eigenvalues (the fact that the eigenvalues are real is guaranteed since  $Q$  is Hermitian). However, we don't necessarily know the sign of  $q$ .

Let  $\lambda = r \cos \theta$ . Then we have that

$$\lambda^3 = r^3 \cos^3 \theta = \frac{1}{4} r^3 [3 \cos \theta + \cos 3\theta] = \frac{3r^3}{4} \cos \theta + \frac{r^3}{4} \cos 3\theta.$$

The cubic can therefore be written as

$$\frac{r^3}{4} \cos(3\theta) + \left( \frac{3r^3}{4} - pr \right) \cos \theta = q.$$

Now, choose  $r = 2\sqrt{p/3}$ . Then this reduces to

$$\cos(3\theta) = 4q/r^3.$$

from which we find

$$\lambda_k = r \cos \left( \frac{1}{3} \arccos(4q/r^3) + 2\pi k/3 \right).$$

Note that  $\arccos(x)$  function only returns real numbers if  $|x| \leq 1$ , and therefore there is the condition

$$27q^2 \leq 4p^3.$$

If this condition holds, then we can guarantee that  $\lambda_0 \geq \lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2$ . Since we know the eigenvalues of  $Q$  must be real, this condition is already guaranteed; however, we'll look at this explicitly for completeness. For the  $Q$  tensor, this condition is

$$54 \det(Q)^2 = 54 \lambda_0^2 \lambda_1^2 \lambda_2^2 \leq \text{tr}(Q^2)^3 = (\lambda_0^2 + \lambda_1^2 + \lambda_2^2)^3.$$

Using the fact that  $\text{tr}(Q) = \lambda_0 + \lambda_1 + \lambda_2 = 0$ , this can be reduced to find

$$(\lambda_0 - \lambda_1)^2 (2\lambda_0 + \lambda_1)^2 (\lambda_0 + 2\lambda_1)^2 \geq 0.$$

This clearly holds for any real eigenvalues.

We now seek to find the director field. We know that  $\mathbf{n}$  satisfies the equation

$$Q \cdot \mathbf{n} = \lambda_0 \mathbf{n}.$$

In full, this is

$$\begin{pmatrix} (Q_{xx} - \lambda_0)n_x + Q_{xy}n_y + Q_{xz}n_z \\ Q_{xy}n_x + (Q_{yy} - \lambda_0)n_y + Q_{yz}n_z \\ Q_{xz}n_x + Q_{yz}n_y - (Q_{xx} + Q_{yy} + \lambda_0)n_z \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

We can solve this to find that

$$\mathbf{n} \propto \begin{pmatrix} Q_{xz}(Q_{yy} - \lambda_0) - Q_{xy}Q_{yz} \\ Q_{yz}(Q_{xx} - \lambda_0) - Q_{xy}Q_{xz} \\ Q_{xy}^2 - (Q_{xx} - \lambda_0)(Q_{yy} - \lambda_0) \end{pmatrix}.$$

After normalization, we will have the director field everywhere.

To summarize, we can easily find the largest eigenvalue of  $Q$  to be

$$\lambda_0 = r \cos \left( \frac{1}{3} \arccos(4q/r^3) \right)$$

where  $r = 2\sqrt{p/3}$  and

$$p = \frac{1}{2} \text{tr}(Q^2) \quad \text{and} \quad q = \det(Q).$$

Since these coefficients can be determined at every point in space simultaneously, the largest eigenvalue  $S$  can also be determined at every point in space very efficiently. Once  $S$  is known, the computation of the director field can be performed easily, giving us  $\mathbf{n}$  and  $S$  without needing to diagonalize  $Q$ .

Note that if  $Q$  is defined as

$$Q = \alpha S(3\mathbf{n}\mathbf{n} - I),$$

then  $\lambda_0 = 2\alpha S$ , and so  $\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 = -2\alpha S$ . Common choices for  $\alpha$  are 1/2 or 1/3. The biaxial order parameter  $b$  is found via

$$b = |\lambda_1 - \lambda_2|/2\alpha.$$

That is, we often take  $\lambda_1 = -\alpha(S - b)$  and  $\lambda_2 = -\alpha(S + b)$ , where  $b \geq 0$ .