

On-Shell Methods for Tree-Level Amplitudes in (De)Constructed Gauge Theory

Su Yingze

Supervisor: ***Prof. Tanabashi Masaharu***

Theoretical Elementary Particle Physics Laboratory
Nagoya University

June 11th, 2025

Contents

- 1 Motivation
- 2 Preliminary
- 3 Model and Computation
- 4 Summary

Why We Study Scattering Amplitudes?

1. **Bridge between theory and experiment**

- Core prediction targets for high-energy collider experiments such as the LHC
- Any new theory (SUSY, GUTs, extra dimensions) must predict observable cross sections

Why We Study Scattering Amplitudes?


1. **Bridge between theory and experiment**

- Core prediction targets for high-energy collider experiments such as the LHC
- Any new theory (SUSY, GUTs, extra dimensions) must predict observable cross sections

2. **Reveal deep structures of quantum field theory**


- Amplitudes exhibit hidden symmetries (e.g., dual conformal, Yangian) not visible in the Lagrangian
- These symmetries suggest deeper theoretical frameworks, such as amplituhedra or AdS/CFT correspondence

Challenges we face befor



| $n =$ | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 |
|-------|---|----|-----|------|-------|--------|----------|
| | 4 | 25 | 220 | 2485 | 34300 | 559405 | 10525900 |

Challenges we face befor



| $n =$ | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 |
|-------|---|----|-----|------|-------|--------|----------|
| | 4 | 25 | 220 | 2485 | 34300 | 559405 | 10525900 |

The answer is On-shell method.



Contents

1 Motivation

2 Preliminary

3 Model and Computation

4 Summary

Conventional VS. Modern

Usually, when we compute the gluon amplitudes by using Feynman diagram, we will obtain something like

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{M}_s(p_1 p_2 \rightarrow p_3 p_4) = & -\frac{g_s^2}{s} f^{abe} f^{cde} \\ & \times \left\{ -4 \epsilon_1 \cdot \epsilon_3^* \epsilon_2 \cdot p_1 \epsilon_4^* \cdot p_3 + 2 \epsilon_1 \cdot \epsilon_2 \epsilon_3^* \cdot p_1 \epsilon_4^* \cdot p_3 \right. \\ & - 2 \epsilon_1 \cdot p_4 \epsilon_2 \cdot p_1 \epsilon_3^* \cdot \epsilon_4^* + \epsilon_1 \cdot \epsilon_2 p_4 \cdot p_1 \epsilon_3^* \cdot \epsilon_4^* \\ & + 4 \epsilon_1 \cdot \epsilon_4^* \epsilon_2 \cdot p_1 \epsilon_3^* \cdot p_4 - 2 \epsilon_1 \cdot \epsilon_2 \epsilon_3^* \cdot p_4 \epsilon_4^* \cdot p_1 \\ & - 2 \epsilon_1 \cdot p_2 \epsilon_2 \cdot p_3 \epsilon_3^* \cdot \epsilon_4^* + \epsilon_1 \cdot \epsilon_2 \epsilon_3^* \cdot \epsilon_4^* p_2 \cdot p_3 \\ & + 4 \epsilon_1 \cdot p_2 \epsilon_2 \cdot \epsilon_3^* \epsilon_4^* \cdot p_3 - 2 \epsilon_1 \cdot \epsilon_2 \epsilon_3^* \cdot p_2 \epsilon_4^* \cdot p_3 \\ & + 2 \epsilon_1 \cdot p_2 \epsilon_2 \cdot p_4 \epsilon_3^* \cdot \epsilon_4^* - \epsilon_1 \cdot \epsilon_2 \epsilon_3^* \cdot \epsilon_4^* p_4 \cdot p_2 \\ & \left. + 2 \epsilon_1 \cdot p_3 \epsilon_2 \cdot p_1 \epsilon_3^* \cdot \epsilon_4^* - \epsilon_1 \cdot \epsilon_2 \epsilon_3^* \cdot \epsilon_4^* p_1 \cdot p_3 \right\}.\end{aligned}$$

If you consider 5point case, it will become worser:

★ There are nearly 10000 terms!



If you consider 5point case, it will become worser:

★ There are nearly 10000 terms!




Parke-Taylor Formula:

$$A_5(1^-, 2^-, 3^+, 4^+, 5^+) = \frac{\langle 12 \rangle^4}{\langle 12 \rangle \langle 23 \rangle \langle 34 \rangle \langle 45 \rangle \langle 51 \rangle}$$

★ It becomes quite simple and compact!

Fantastic result from Cauchy Theorem

BCFW recursion relation


$$A_n = \sum_{\text{diagrams } I} \hat{A}_L(z_I) \frac{1}{P_I^2} \hat{A}_R(z_I) = \sum_{\text{diagrams } I} \hat{A}_L(z_I) \frac{1}{P_I^2} \hat{A}_R(z_I)$$


The diagram illustrates the BCFW recursion relation. It shows two sub-diagrams, L and R, represented as circles. Sub-diagram L has several external lines, and sub-diagram R also has several external lines. They are connected by a horizontal line representing a propagator, labeled with the momentum \hat{P}_I . The entire expression is summed over all possible diagrams I.

Fantastic result from Cauchy Theorem

BCFW recursion relation

$$A_n = \sum_{\text{diagrams } I} \hat{A}_L(z_I) \frac{1}{P_I^2} \hat{A}_R(z_I) = \sum_{\text{diagrams } I} \hat{A}_L^{\wedge} \hat{P}_I \hat{A}_R^{\wedge}$$



★ From lower point to higher point!!

Spinor-Helicity Formalism

Helicity

Helicity is defined as the projection of a particle's spin vector \vec{S} onto the direction of its momentum \vec{p} :

$$h = \frac{\vec{S} \cdot \vec{p}}{|\vec{p}|}$$

Spinor-Helicity Formalism

Helicity

Helicity is defined as the projection of a particle's spin vector \vec{S} onto the direction of its momentum \vec{p} :

$$h = \frac{\vec{S} \cdot \vec{p}}{|\vec{p}|}$$

S-matrix is a function of momentum p_i and helicity h_i

$$\mathcal{M}(p_i, h_i)$$

How can we catch the information of helicity?

Spinor-Helicity Formalism

Helicity

Helicity is defined as the projection of a particle's spin vector \vec{S} onto the direction of its momentum \vec{p} :

$$h = \frac{\vec{S} \cdot \vec{p}}{|\vec{p}|}$$

S-matrix is a function of momentum p_i and helicity h_i

$$\mathcal{M}(p_i, h_i)$$

How can we catch the information of helicity?

Massless Case:


- Momenta in spinor form:

$$p_\mu \sigma^\mu = p_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}} = p_\alpha \tilde{p}_{\dot{\alpha}} = |p\rangle[p|$$


Contents

- 1 Motivation
- 2 Preliminary
- 3 Model and Computation**
- 4 Summary


Introduction of quiver gauge theory



Condensation
→



Introduction of quiver gauge theory





The Lagrangian can be written like

$$\mathcal{L} = - \sum_{i=1}^N \frac{1}{2} \text{Tr}(F_i^2) + \sum_{i=1}^N \text{Tr} \left[(D_\mu \Phi_i)^\dagger (D^\mu \Phi_i) \right],$$



here F_i refers to the i th gauge field strength. The scalar field Φ_i transforms under the **bi-fundamental** representation, and the covariant derivative equals to

$$D_\mu \Phi_i = \partial_\mu \Phi_i - ig_i A_{i\mu} \Phi_i + ig_{i+1} \Phi_i A_{i+1\mu}.$$

It has been proposed that this model actually discretized a five-dimension gauge theory with gauge group $SU(m)$, where only the fifth dimension are latticed. So it is an effective theory for 5d gauge theory.



It has been proposed that this model actually discretized a five-dimension gauge theory with gauge group $SU(m)$, where only the fifth dimension are latticed. So it is an effective theory for 5d gauge theory.



After higgsing the scalar field, we can obtain a spectrum

$$M_k^2 = 4g^2 f_s^2 \sin^2 \left(\frac{\pi k}{N} \right)$$

This is precisely the **Kaluza-Klein** spectrum under S^2 compactification.

Scattering Amplitudes from BCFW

For simplicity, we start from the two-site gauge theory with gauge fields V_1 , V_2 and scalar fields Φ , Φ^\dagger .

$$\mathcal{L} = -\frac{1}{2}\text{Tr}(F_1)^2 - \frac{1}{2}\text{Tr}(F_2)^2 + \text{Tr}[(D_\mu\Phi)^\dagger(D^\mu\Phi)],$$

We only focus on the following amplitudes:

$$nV_1, \quad nV_2, \quad \Phi^\dagger nV_1\Phi, \quad \Phi nV_2\Phi^\dagger, \quad \Phi^\dagger\Phi\Phi^\dagger\Phi$$

here n can be any positive integer.

Basic building block – 3-point

From the previous section, we have known that there are only two kinds of 3 point amplitude

$$\begin{aligned} A[1, 2, 3^+] &= \frac{[23][31]}{[12]}, & A[1, 2, 3^-] &= \frac{\langle 23 \rangle \langle 31 \rangle}{\langle 12 \rangle} \\ A[3^+, 4^+, 5^-] &= \frac{[34]^3}{[45][53]}, & A[3^-, 4^-, 5^+] &= \frac{\langle 34 \rangle^3}{\langle 45 \rangle \langle 53 \rangle} \end{aligned}$$

By using the 3 point building block, we can construct 4 point color-ordered amplitudes from BCFW recursion relation.

- nV_1 or nV_2

This part is completely the same as the pure gluon amplitude, so we can directly borrow the existing results.

$$\text{Parke - Talyor Formula : } A[\cdots, i^-, \cdots, j^-, \cdots] = \frac{\langle ij \rangle^4}{\langle 12 \rangle \langle 23 \rangle \cdots \langle n1 \rangle}$$

Notice that this formula only applies to MHV amplitudes, although the NMHV can be completely solved.

- $\Phi^\dagger V_1 V_1 \Phi$

Here we compute the color-ordered amplitude $A[1, 2, 3^+, 4^-]$. We choose $[2, 3\rangle$ shift

$$\begin{aligned} |\hat{2}] &= |2] - z|3], & |\hat{2}\rangle &= |2\rangle \\ |\hat{3}] &= |3], & |\hat{3}\rangle &= |3\rangle + z|2\rangle \end{aligned}$$

The amplitudes can be computed

$$A[1, 2, 3^+, 4^-] = (-1) \frac{\langle 14 \rangle^2 \langle 24 \rangle^2}{\langle 12 \rangle \langle 23 \rangle \langle 34 \rangle \langle 41 \rangle}$$

- $\Phi^\dagger V_1 V_1 V_1 \Phi$

$$A[1, 2, 3^+, 4^+, 5^-] = \frac{\langle 15 \rangle^2 \langle 25 \rangle^2}{\langle 12 \rangle \langle 23 \rangle \langle 34 \rangle \langle 45 \rangle \langle 51 \rangle}$$

- $\Phi^\dagger(nV_1)\Phi$

$$A[1, 2, \dots, (n+2)^-] = (-1)^{n+1} \frac{\langle 1, n+2 \rangle^2 \langle 2, n+2 \rangle^2}{\langle 12 \rangle \langle 23 \rangle \cdots \langle n+1, n+2 \rangle \langle n+2, 1 \rangle}$$

★ Bonus relation:

$$A[1, 2, 3^+, 4^+] = 0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad A[1, 2, 3^+, \dots, n^+] = 0$$

For the amplitude $\Phi(nV_2)\Phi^\dagger$, we can obtain nearly the same expression.

- $\Phi V_2 \Phi^\dagger V_1$

$$A[1, 2, 3_1^+, 4_2^-] = \frac{\langle 14 \rangle \langle 24 \rangle}{\langle 13 \rangle \langle 23 \rangle}$$

- $\Phi V_2 \Phi^\dagger V_1 V_1$

$$A[1, 2, 3_1^+, 4_1^+, 5_2^-] = (-1) \frac{\langle 2\bar{5} \rangle^2 \langle 1\bar{5} \rangle^2}{\langle 23 \rangle \langle 34 \rangle \langle 41 \rangle \langle 25 \rangle \langle 51 \rangle}$$

- $\Phi V_2 V_2 \Phi^\dagger V_1 V_1$

$$A[1, 2, 3_1^+, 4_1^+, 5_2^+, 6_2^-] = \frac{\langle 2\bar{6} \rangle^2 \langle 1\bar{6} \rangle^2}{\langle 23 \rangle \langle 34 \rangle \langle 41 \rangle \langle 25 \rangle \langle 56 \rangle \langle 61 \rangle}$$

- Compact formula for general case

$$A = \frac{\langle 2a \rangle^2 \langle 1a \rangle^2}{\underbrace{\langle 2\star \rangle \cdots \langle \star 1 \rangle}_{SU(N_1)} \underbrace{\langle 2* \rangle \cdots \langle *1 \rangle}_{SU(N_2)}}$$

Green: Particle with — helicity

Blue: Particle belongs to the first gauge group

Red: Particle belongs to the second gauge group

★: Order for gauge group 1

*: Order for gauge group 2

Contents

- 1 Motivation
- 2 Preliminary
- 3 Model and Computation
- 4 Summary**

- Introduce the on-shell method, including BCFW recursion relation, spinor-helicity formalism, etc.
- Introduce a (de)constructed gauge theory model, which is an effective field theory for 5 dimension gauge theory.
- Much of the scattering amplitudes in this model can be recursively computed by BCFW, and some compact formulas are offered.

Thanks for your attention!

Brief explanation: We choose two momentum to be shifted oppositely

$$p_i \rightarrow \hat{p}_i(z) \equiv p_i - zk, \quad p_j \rightarrow \hat{p}_j(z) \equiv p_j + zk$$

satisfying

$$k^2 = 0, \quad p_i \cdot k = 0, \quad p_j \cdot k = 0$$

We consider amplitude A_n in terms of shifted momentum \hat{p}_i^μ instead of original real momentum.

$$A_n \longrightarrow \hat{A}_n(z)$$

Brief explanation: We choose two momentum to be shifted oppositely

$$p_i \rightarrow \hat{p}_i(z) \equiv p_i - zk, \quad p_j \rightarrow \hat{p}_j(z) \equiv p_j + zk$$

satisfying

$$k^2 = 0, \quad p_i \cdot k = 0, \quad p_j \cdot k = 0$$


We consider amplitude A_n in terms of shifted momentum \hat{p}_i^μ instead of original real momentum.

$$A_n \longrightarrow \hat{A}_n(z)$$

If we consider the meromorphic function $\frac{\hat{A}_n(z)}{z}$ in the complex plane. From Cauchy Theorem, we can obtain

$$A_n = - \sum_{z_I} \text{Res}|_{z=z_I} \frac{\hat{A}_n(z)}{z} + B_n,$$

where B_n is the residue of the pole at $z = \infty$, called boundary term.



★ The most important point here is that

$$\text{Res}|_{z=0} \frac{\hat{A}_n(z)}{z} = \hat{A}_n(0) = A_n$$

and

$$\text{Res}|_{z=z_I} = \hat{A}_L(z_I) \frac{1}{P_I^2} \hat{A}_R(z_I)$$

Large z behavior

In the BCFW formula, the boundary term B_n affects a lot

$$A_n = - \sum_{z_I} \text{Res}|_{z=z_I} \frac{\hat{A}_n(z)}{z} + B_n,$$

In most applications. one assumes or much better, proves $B_n = 0$. This is often justified by declaring a stronger condition

$$\hat{A}_n(z) \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{for} \quad z \rightarrow \infty$$

Here I show the large z behavior for gluon scattering

| $[i \setminus j]$ | + | - |
|-------------------|-------|-------|
| + | $1/z$ | z^3 |
| - | $1/z$ | $1/z$ |

On-shell 3-point can be completely determined

For the complex momentum, we have

$$|1\rangle \propto |2\rangle \propto |3\rangle \quad \text{or} \quad [1] \propto [2] \propto [3]$$

$$A_3^{h_1 h_2 h_3} = c \langle 12 \rangle^{h_3 - h_1 - h_2} \langle 31 \rangle^{h_2 - h_1 - h_3} \langle 23 \rangle^{h_1 - h_2 - h_3} \quad h_1 + h_2 + h_3 < 0$$

$$A_3^{h_1 h_2 h_3} = c' [12]^{h_1 + h_2 - h_3} [23]^{h_2 + h_3 - h_1} [31]^{h_3 + h_1 - h_2} \quad h_1 + h_2 + h_3 > 0$$

★ **All massless on-shell 3-point amplitudes are completely determined by little group scaling!**

Example: 3-gluon amplitude

$$A_3(g_1^-, g_2^-, g_3^+) = g \frac{\langle 12 \rangle^3}{\langle 23 \rangle \langle 31 \rangle}$$