

## DOCTORAL SCHOOLS – COURSE EVALUATION

(Please complete this form and leave it with the lecturer/organizer after the course)

**COURSE TITLE:** .....

DATE: .....

**Member of the Doctoral School of**

<input type="radio"/> Arts, Humanities and Law	<input type="radio"/> Natural Sciences
<input type="radio"/> Life Sciences and Medicine	<input type="radio"/> (Bioscience) Engineering
<input type="radio"/> Social and Behavioural Sciences	
<input type="radio"/> I am not a member of any of the above Ghent University Doctoral Schools	

**I prefer to attend classes**

<input type="radio"/> during the week (9-12 am and/or 2-5 pm)	<input type="radio"/> during the academic year (Oct-June)
<input type="radio"/> during lunch breaks	<input type="radio"/> in July
<input type="radio"/> on Saturday morning	<input type="radio"/> in August
	<input type="radio"/> in September

## 1 GENERAL QUESTIONS

### 1.1. How did you find out about this course?

☐ DS newsletter
 ☐ My supervisor informed me about it  
☐ DS website
 ☐ A colleague informed me about it  
☐ Direct mail from the DS
 ☐ Social media (Facebook/Twitter)  
☐ Another newsletter: .....
 ☐ Other: .....  
☐ Another website: .....

**1.2. This course is a suitable component of the doctoral training program**

☐ Fully disagree      ☐ Disagree      ☐ Agree      ☐ Fully agree      ☐ No opinion

### 1.3. This course helped build up my knowledge of this topic

☐ Fully disagree      ☐ Disagree      ☐ Agree      ☐ Fully agree      ☐ No opinion

#### 1.4. This course met my expectations

☐ Fully disagree      ☐ Disagree      ☐ Agree      ☐ Fully agree      ☐ No opinion

## 2 LECTURER(S) AND METHODOLOGY

**2.1. The lecturer(s) had the expertise required to meaningfully elaborate upon the subject of the course**

☐ Fully disagree      ☐ Disagree      ☐ Agree      ☐ Fully agree      ☐ No opinion

## 2.2. The lecturer(s) used subject-fit methods

☐ Fully disagree      ☐ Disagree      ☐ Agree      ☐ Fully agree      ☐ No opinion

### 2.3. The syllabus and/or hand-outs matched the contents of the course

☐ Fully disagree      ☐ Disagree      ☐ Agree      ☐ Fully agree      ☐ No opinion

2.4. The pace of delivery was

☐ Too slow

☐ Perfect

☐ Too fast

2.5. The number of contact hours (teaching) for this course was

☐ Too little

☐ Perfect

☐ Too much

### 3 INFRASTRUCTURE

#### 3.1. The teaching room / lecture theatre was suitable and well-equipped for this course

☐ Fully disagree      ☐ Disagree      ☐ Agree      ☐ Fully agree      ☐ No opinion

#### 3.2. The location lent itself to lunch and coffee breaks

☐ Fully disagree      ☐ Disagree      ☐ Agree      ☐ Fully agree      ☐ No opinion

### 4 STRONG AND WEAK ASPECTS OF THIS COURSE

#### 4.1. Positive aspects (please complete)

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

#### 4.2. Negative aspects (please complete)

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

### 5 FINAL OPINION

#### 5.1. In general, I judge this course to be

☐ Very poor      ☐ Poor      ☐ Good      ☐ Very good      ☐ No opinion

#### 5.2. Would you recommend this course to fellow doctoral students?

☐ Yes  
☐ No

*Thank you very much for your cooperation! Please return this form to the lecturer(s) / organizer(s).*

*The results of this questionnaire will be processed anonymously and will be discussed with the lecturer(s) in order to improve the quality of the course.*