

1. (50%) A linear structural equation model (SEM), denoted as **Model I**, is defined as

$$\mathbf{y}_i = \boldsymbol{\mu} + \boldsymbol{\Lambda}\boldsymbol{\omega}_i + \boldsymbol{\epsilon}_i, \quad \boldsymbol{\eta}_i = \boldsymbol{\Pi}\boldsymbol{\eta}_i + \boldsymbol{\Gamma}\boldsymbol{\xi}_i + \boldsymbol{\delta}_i, \quad (1)$$

where  $\mathbf{y}_i$  is a  $p \times 1$  vector of observed variables,  $\boldsymbol{\mu}$  is a vector of intercepts,  $\boldsymbol{\Lambda}$  is a  $p \times q$  factor loading matrix,  $\boldsymbol{\omega}_i = (\boldsymbol{\eta}_i^T, \boldsymbol{\xi}_i^T)^T$ ,  $\boldsymbol{\eta}_i$  and  $\boldsymbol{\xi}_i$  are  $q_1 \times 1$  and  $q_2 \times 1$  vectors of latent variables and  $\boldsymbol{\Pi}$  and  $\boldsymbol{\Gamma}$  are  $q_1 \times q_1$  and  $q_1 \times q_2$  matrices of unknown regression coefficients, respectively, and  $\boldsymbol{\Phi}$ ,  $\boldsymbol{\Psi}$ , and  $\boldsymbol{\Psi}_\delta$  are the covariance matrices of  $\boldsymbol{\xi}_i$ ,  $\boldsymbol{\epsilon}_i$ , and  $\boldsymbol{\delta}_i$ , respectively.

- (10%) Describe the assumptions and identifiability conditions of Model I.
  - (10%) In the classical covariance structural analysis (CSA), the covariance matrix of  $\mathbf{y}_i$  under Model I is formulated as a matrix function of the unknown parameter vector  $\boldsymbol{\theta}$ ,  $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}(\boldsymbol{\theta})$ . Derive the specific form of  $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}(\boldsymbol{\theta})$ .
  - (10%) In CSA, the maximum likelihood estimator of  $\boldsymbol{\theta}$  is obtained through the following discrepancy function  $F(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = \log |\boldsymbol{\Sigma}(\boldsymbol{\theta})| + \text{tr} \mathbf{S} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}(\boldsymbol{\theta})^{-1} - \log |\mathbf{S}| - p$ , where  $\mathbf{S}$  is the sample covariance matrix of  $\mathbf{y}_i$ . Show how to obtain this function.
  - (10%) Explain why the classical CSA approach cannot be applied to the analyses of advanced SEMs, such as nonlinear, multilevel, and mixture SEMs.
  - (10%) Define a nonlinear SEM and describe its statistical inference.
2. (50%) For  $i = 1, \dots, n$ , let  $\mathbf{u}_i = (u_{i1}, \dots, u_{ip})^T$  be a  $p \times 1$  vector of observed variable and  $\boldsymbol{\omega}_i = (\omega_{i1}, \dots, \omega_{iq})^T$  be a  $q \times 1$  random vector of latent variables. A factor analysis model is defined as follows:

$$\mathbf{u}_i = \boldsymbol{\mu} + \boldsymbol{\Lambda}\boldsymbol{\omega}_i + \boldsymbol{\zeta}_i, \quad (2)$$

where  $\boldsymbol{\mu}$  is a  $p \times 1$  vector of intercepts,  $\boldsymbol{\Lambda}$  is a  $p \times q$  factor loading matrix,  $\boldsymbol{\omega}_i \sim N[\mathbf{0}, \boldsymbol{\Phi}]$ , and  $\boldsymbol{\zeta}_i$  is a  $p \times 1$  vector of random errors independent of  $\boldsymbol{\omega}_i$  and distributed as  $N[\mathbf{0}, \boldsymbol{\Psi}]$  with a diagonal covariance matrix  $\boldsymbol{\Psi}$ . Let  $\mathbf{z}_i = (z_{i1}, \dots, z_{is})^T$  be an  $s \times 1$  random vector of ordinal variables, where  $z_{ik}$  takes integer values in  $\{1, 2, \dots, b_k\}$ , and  $\mathbf{y}_i = (y_{i1}, \dots, y_{is})^T$  be the vector of underlying continuous variables. The relationship between  $\mathbf{y}_i$  and  $\mathbf{z}_i$  is defined as follows: for  $i = 1, \dots, n$ ,  $k = 1, \dots, s$ ,

$$z_{ik} = m \quad \text{if} \quad \alpha_{k,m} \leq y_{ik} < \alpha_{k,m+1}, \quad (3)$$

where  $\{-\infty = \alpha_{k,1} < \alpha_{k,2} < \dots < \alpha_{k,b_k} < \alpha_{k,b_k+1} = +\infty\}$  is a set of thresholds. Let  $\mathbf{x}_i = (x_{i1}, \dots, x_{ir})^T$  be an  $r \times 1$  vector of observable covariates. To assess the effects of  $\mathbf{x}_i$  and  $\boldsymbol{\omega}_i$  on  $z_{ij}$ , a regression model is considered as follows:

$$y_{ik} = \beta_{0k} + \beta_{1k}^T \mathbf{x}_i + \beta_{2k}^T \boldsymbol{\omega}_i + \epsilon_{ik}, \quad (4)$$

where  $\beta_{0k}$  is an intercept,  $\beta_{1k}$  and  $\beta_{2k}$  are the  $r \times 1$  and  $q \times 1$  vectors of regression coefficients,  $\epsilon_{ik}$  is a random error distributed as  $N[0, \sigma_k^2]$  and independent of  $\boldsymbol{\omega}_i$ .

Denote by **Model II** the model defined by (2)–(4). Answer the following questions:

- (10%) Draw a path diagram for Model II.
- (10%) Discuss the identifiability issues of Model II.
- (10%) Specify prior distributions for the parameters.
- (10%) Derive the posterior distributions of the unknowns.
- (10%) Discuss the most challenging part of the posterior inference.

说话:

1. Consider a linear regression model

$$y_i = x_{i1}\beta_1 + x_{i2}\beta_2 + \dots + x_{ip}\beta_p + \epsilon_i, \quad i = 1, \dots, n.$$

The ridge regression is to apply squared penalty on the least squares estimate by minimizing

$$\min_{\boldsymbol{\beta}} \sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - \sum_{j=1}^p x_{ij}\beta_j)^2 + \lambda \sum_{j=1}^p \beta_j^2,$$

where  $\lambda \geq 0$  is a tuning parameter. By convention, the response is centered and the covariates are standardized. The error term  $\epsilon$  has zero mean. The resulting estimate is denoted by  $\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}^{\text{ridge}}$ .

- Denote the design matrix by  $\mathbf{X}_{n \times p} = (x_1, \dots, x_p)$ . Derive the explicit expression of  $\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}^{\text{ridge}}$  in detailed steps.
  - Show the details how to compute the ridge solution via the singular value decomposition (SVD).
  - Show that there always exists a  $\lambda$  such that the mean squared error (MSE) of  $\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}^{\text{ridge}}$  is less than the MSE of  $\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}^{\text{ols}}$ , the ordinary least square estimate. (Please provide detailed derivation of each step).
2. In the following,  $\mathbf{I}_m$  is an  $m \times m$  identity matrix,  $\mathbf{0}_m$  is an  $m \times 1$  vector of zero elements, and  $\mathbf{J}_m = \mathbf{1}_m \mathbf{1}_m'$ , where  $\mathbf{1}_m$  is an  $m \times 1$  vector of 1's. You may use, without proof, the fact that

$$[\mathbf{I}_m + \phi \mathbf{J}_m]^{-1} = \left[ \mathbf{I}_m - \frac{\phi}{1 + m\phi} \mathbf{J}_m \right].$$

- i. Consider the following linear model:

$$\begin{aligned} Y_{ijt} &= \gamma_i + \tau_j + \epsilon_{ijt}, \\ \epsilon_{ijt} &\sim N(0, \sigma_E^2), \gamma_i \sim N(0, \sigma_\gamma^2), i = 1, 2; j = 1, 2; t = 1, 2; \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

where all random variables on the right hand side of the model are mutually independent. Write the model as  $\mathbf{Y} = \mathbf{Z}\boldsymbol{\gamma} + \mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\tau} + \boldsymbol{\epsilon}$ , where

$$\mathbf{Y} = [Y_{111}, Y_{112}, Y_{121}, Y_{122}, Y_{211}, Y_{212}, Y_{221}, Y_{222}], \boldsymbol{\gamma} = [\gamma_1, \gamma_2], \boldsymbol{\tau} = [\tau_1, \tau_2]$$

and find  $\mathbf{Z}, \mathbf{X}$ . Next, find the variance-covariance matrix of  $\mathbf{Z}\boldsymbol{\gamma} + \boldsymbol{\epsilon}$ .

- State the distribution of  $\mathbf{Y}$  and find the best linear unbiased estimator of  $\boldsymbol{\tau}$  in part (a). Give a condition for  $\mathbf{C}'\boldsymbol{\tau}$  to be estimable under model (1), where  $\mathbf{C}'$  is  $q \times p$  of rank  $q$  (and  $q \geq 1$ ). Justify your answer.
- For given constant vector  $\mathbf{d}$  and estimable set of functions  $\mathbf{C}'\boldsymbol{\tau}$ , state a test statistic for testing

$$H_0 : \mathbf{C}'\boldsymbol{\tau} = \mathbf{d} \quad \text{versus} \quad H_1 : \mathbf{C}'\boldsymbol{\tau} \neq \mathbf{d},$$

where  $\mathbf{C}'$  is  $q \times p$  of rank  $q$  (and  $q \geq 1$ ). Find the expected value of the numerator of the test statistic.

- Let  $\phi = \sigma_\gamma^2 / \sigma_E^2$  and let  $\mathbf{C}' = [1, -1]$ . Assuming that the distribution of your test statistic in part (c) is non-central  $F$ , does the power of this test depend on the value of  $\sigma_\gamma$ ? If so, in which way?