# STATS 790 Assignment 3


Yiran Zhang 400119421

01 March, 2023

### Question 1

ESL Chapter 5 Figure 5.6 is replicated, the code is shown as below.

```
# Question 1
# Replicate ESL Chapter 5 Figure 5.6
# Import dataset
bone <- read.table('https://hastie.su.domains/ElemStatLearn/datasets/bone.data',
                   header = TRUE)
# According to ESL Figure 5.6 description, spnbmd is the target variable
# and age is the predictor.
# Plot the age against relative change in spinal BMD, color separated by gender.
plot(x = bone\$age, y = bone\$spnbmd,
     col = ifelse(bone$gender == 'female','red','blue'),
     pch = 20, xlab = 'Age', ylab = 'Relative Change in Spinal BMD')
# Split male and female.
male_bone <- bone[bone$gender == 'male', ]</pre>
female_bone <- bone[bone$gender == 'female', ]</pre>
# Spline, using degree of freedom = 12 (given by the textbook)
male_spline <- smooth.spline(x = male_bone$age, y = male_bone$spnbmd, df = 12)
female_spline <- smooth.spline(x = female_bone$age, y = female_bone$spnbmd, df = 12)
```



### Question 2 (South Africa coronary heart disease data)

```
# Question 2
# Import libraries
```

```
library(splines)
library(ggplot2)
# Import South Africa coronary heart disease data.
url <- "http://www-stat.stanford.edu/~tibs/ElemStatLearn/datasets/SAheart.data"</pre>
heart <- read.csv(url, row.names = 1)</pre>
# Create a list of 5 knots location for the bases
# Knots that can equally divide the tobacco range are chosen
# There are 107 out of 462 tobacco values are 0, 107/462 = 0.2316
# So the first knot need to be greater than 0.2316 to avoid NA values in glm
knots <- quantile(heart$tobacco, probs = c(0.24, 0.32, 0.48, 0.64, 0.8))
# B-spline base
b_spline <- bs(heart$tobacco, knots = knots)</pre>
# Natural spline base
n_spline <- ns(heart$tobacco, knots = knots)</pre>
# Truncated polynomial base
# Below is the function that creates truncated polynomial spline base
# it is modified based on Dr. Bolker's code
truncpolyspline <- function(x, knots) {</pre>
  trunc_fun \leftarrow function(k) (x > k)*(x-k)^3
 S <- sapply(knots, trunc_fun)</pre>
  S \leftarrow cbind(x, x^2, x^3, S)
  return(S)
}
poly_spline <- truncpolyspline(heart$tobacco, knots) # create basis matrix</pre>
# Fit logistic regression
# b-spline
logistic_b <- glm(chd ~ b_spline, data = heart, family = 'binomial')</pre>
# natural spline
logistic_n <- glm(chd ~ n_spline, data = heart, family = 'binomial')</pre>
```

```
# truncated polynomial spline
logistic_poly <- glm(chd ~ poly_spline, data = heart, family = 'binomial')</pre>
summary(logistic_b)
##
## Call:
## glm(formula = chd ~ b_spline, family = "binomial", data = heart)
##
## Deviance Residuals:
      Min
                1Q
                    Median
                                  ЗQ
                                         Max
## -1.4715 -0.9664 -0.5571 1.1216
                                      2.2391
##
## Coefficients:
##
              Estimate Std. Error z value Pr(>|z|)
## (Intercept) -1.7847
                           0.2754 -6.480 9.2e-11 ***
## b_spline1
                          1.3165 -0.867 0.38614
              -1.1409
## b_spline2
              0.4664
                          0.9044
                                  0.516 0.60610
## b_spline3
              0.9577
                         0.7068 1.355 0.17541
## b_spline4
                         0.6685
                                  2.942 0.00326 **
              1.9666
## b_spline5
              0.8839
                          0.4749
                                  1.861 0.06271 .
## b_spline6
              4.1247
                         1.4180
                                  2.909 0.00363 **
## b_spline7
               -0.6081
                           3.5395 -0.172 0.86359
## b_spline8
                7.8131
                           6.3520
                                   1.230 0.21869
## ---
## Signif. codes: 0 '*** 0.001 '** 0.01 '* 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
##
## (Dispersion parameter for binomial family taken to be 1)
##
      Null deviance: 596.11 on 461 degrees of freedom
##
## Residual deviance: 536.41 on 453 degrees of freedom
## AIC: 554.41
##
## Number of Fisher Scoring iterations: 6
```

#### summary(logistic\_n)

```
##
## Call:
## glm(formula = chd ~ n_spline, family = "binomial", data = heart)
##
## Deviance Residuals:
      Min
                    Median
                1Q
                                  3Q
                                         Max
## -1.6808 -0.9949 -0.5417 1.1911
                                       1.9959
##
## Coefficients:
              Estimate Std. Error z value Pr(>|z|)
##
## (Intercept) -1.8451
                           0.2721 -6.781 1.19e-11 ***
## n_spline1
               1.2904
                          0.6570 1.964 0.049536 *
## n_spline2
               1.7463
                         0.6477 2.696 0.007020 **
## n_spline3
                        0.4130 2.948 0.003193 **
              1.2176
                2.7821
                         0.8006
## n_spline4
                                  3.475 0.000511 ***
## n_spline5
                3.2544
                          1.1073 2.939 0.003292 **
## n_spline6
                3.4619
                           1.7030
                                  2.033 0.042078 *
## ---
## Signif. codes: 0 '*** 0.001 '** 0.01 '* 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' 1
##
## (Dispersion parameter for binomial family taken to be 1)
##
##
      Null deviance: 596.11 on 461 degrees of freedom
## Residual deviance: 538.76 on 455 degrees of freedom
## AIC: 552.76
##
## Number of Fisher Scoring iterations: 4
summary(logistic_poly)
##
## Call:
## glm(formula = chd ~ poly_spline, family = "binomial", data = heart)
##
```

```
## Deviance Residuals:
##
      Min
                1Q
                    Median
                                  3Q
                                          Max
## -1.4715 -0.9664 -0.5571 1.1216
                                       2.2392
##
## Coefficients:
                   Estimate Std. Error z value Pr(>|z|)
##
## (Intercept)
                 -1.785e+00 2.754e-01 -6.480 9.2e-11 ***
## poly_splinex
                 -8.557e+01 9.873e+01 -0.867
                                                  0.386
## poly_spline
                 2.385e+03 2.712e+03 0.880
                                                  0.379
## poly_spline
                 -2.003e+04 2.278e+04 -0.879
                                                  0.379
## poly_spline24% 2.004e+04 2.280e+04 0.879
                                                  0.379
## poly_spline32% -1.358e+01 2.074e+01 -0.655
                                                  0.513
## poly_spline48% 1.729e-01 6.612e-01
                                                  0.794
                                         0.262
## poly_spline64% -1.211e-01 9.278e-02 -1.305
                                                  0.192
## poly_spline80% 3.744e-02 2.453e-02
                                         1.526
                                                  0.127
## ---
## Signif. codes: 0 '*** 0.001 '** 0.01 '* 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' 1
##
## (Dispersion parameter for binomial family taken to be 1)
##
##
       Null deviance: 596.11 on 461 degrees of freedom
## Residual deviance: 536.41 on 453 degrees of freedom
## AIC: 554.41
##
## Number of Fisher Scoring iterations: 6
# Predict without using predict() function on log-odds scale
# b-spline
X_b <- model.matrix(logistic_b) # design matrix for b-spline</pre>
coef_b <- as.vector(logistic_b$coefficients) # coefficients vector for b-spline</pre>
Y_b <- X_b %*% coef_b # predicted value
var_Y_b <- diag(X_b %*% vcov(logistic_b) %*% t(X_b)) # predicted variance</pre>
se_Y_b <- as.matrix(sqrt(var_Y_b)) # predicted se</pre>
upper_b <- Y_b + se_Y_b # upper bound of the CI
lower_b <- Y_b - se_Y_b # lower bound of the CI</pre>
```

```
# Create a dataframe for b-spline x, predicted y, upper and lower bound of y.

df_b <- as.data.frame(cbind(heart$tobacco, Y_b, upper_b, lower_b))

colnames(df_b) <- c('tobacco', 'pred', 'upper', 'lower')

# Plot for b-spline

ggplot(df_b, aes(x=tobacco)) + geom_point(aes(y = pred)) + # scatter plot for Y_b


geom_line(aes(y = upper), linetype = 'dashed', color = 'red') + # add upper bound

geom_line(aes(y = lower), linetype = 'dashed', color = 'red') + # add lower bound

labs(x = "Tobacco consumption", y = "Fitted value in log-odds scale",

title = "B-Spline prediction") # add axis labels</pre>
```

#### B-Spline prediction




```
# natural spline
X_n <- model.matrix(logistic_n) # design matrix for b-spline
coef_n <- as.vector(logistic_n$coefficients) # coefficients vector for b-spline
Y_n <- X_n %*% coef_n # predicted value
var_Y_n <- diag(X_n %*% vcov(logistic_n) %*% t(X_n)) # predicted variance</pre>
```

```
se_Y_n <- as.matrix(sqrt(var_Y_n)) # predicted se
upper_n <- Y_n + se_Y_n # upper bound of the CI
lower_n <- Y_n - se_Y_n # lower bound of the CI

# Create a dataframe for natural spline x, predicted y, upper and lower bound of y.
df_n <- as.data.frame(cbind(heart$tobacco, Y_n, upper_n, lower_n))
colnames(df_n) <- c('tobacco', 'pred', 'upper', 'lower')


# Plot for natural spline
ggplot(df_n, aes(x=tobacco)) + geom_point(aes(y = pred)) + # scatter plot for Y_b
geom_line(aes(y = upper), linetype = 'dashed', color = 'red') + # add upper bound
geom_line(aes(y = lower), linetype = 'dashed', color = 'red') + # add lower bound
labs(x = "Tobacco consumption", y = "Fitted value in log-odds scale",
title = "Natural Spline prediction") # add axis labels</pre>
```

#### Natural Spline prediction



```
# truncated polynomial spline
X_poly <- model.matrix(logistic_poly) # design matrix for b-spline</pre>
coef_poly <- as.vector(logistic_poly$coefficients) # coefficients vector for b-spline</pre>
Y_poly <- X_poly %*% coef_poly # predicted value</pre>
var_Y_poly <- diag(X_poly %*% vcov(logistic_poly) %*% t(X_poly)) # predicted variance</pre>
se Y poly <- as.matrix(sqrt(abs(var Y poly))) # predicted se</pre>
upper_poly <- Y_poly + se_Y_poly # upper bound of the CI</pre>
lower_poly <- Y_poly - se_Y_poly # lower bound of the CI</pre>
# Create a dataframe for truncated polynomial spline x, predicted y,
# upper and lower bound of y.
df_poly <- as.data.frame(cbind(heart$tobacco, Y_poly, upper_poly, lower_poly))</pre>
colnames(df_poly) <- c('tobacco', 'pred', 'upper', 'lower')</pre>
# Plot for truncated polynomial spline
ggplot(df_poly, aes(x=tobacco)) + geom_point(aes(y = pred)) + # scatter plot for Y_b
  geom_line(aes(y = upper), linetype = 'dashed', color = 'red') + # add upper bound
  geom_line(aes(y = lower), linetype = 'dashed', color = 'red') + # add lower bound
  labs(x = "Tobacco consumption", y = "Fitted value in log-odds scale",
       title = "Truncated Polynomial Spline prediction") # add axis labels
```

### Truncated Polynomial Spline prediction



### Question 3

### Question 4

```
# Simulate data from a surface on the unit square with Gaussian noise
my_simulation <- function(n, noise_mean = 0, noise_sd = 1){
    # n is the number of observations required
    # noise_mean is the mean of the noise term, default is 0
    # noise_sd if the sd of the noise term, default is 1

# draw numbers from unit square x-axis
x <- runif(n)
# draw numbers from unit square y-axis
y <- runif(n)</pre>
```

```
# noise term from Gaussian distribution
  noise <- rnorm(n, noise_mean, noise_sd)</pre>
  # create a smooth surface using a high-order bivariate polynomial
  z < -5*x^7*y^6 + 2*x^6*y^5 + x^5 + 7*x^3*y^2 + 2*x^2*y + x*y^2 + y^3 + 3*y^4*x + y^8
  # smooth surface with gaussian noise
  z noise <- z + noise
  # return a data frame with three columns: x, y, and z
  data.frame(x = x, y = y, z = z_noise)
}
# Example of the simulation
# Expect a list of 5 numbers that are generated using the polynomial above
\mbox{\it \#} and with noises from a Gaussian distribution with mean 0.5 and sd 2.5.
my_simulation(5, 0.5, 2.5)$z
Part(a)
## [1] -5.317422 4.576601 15.154259 2.884013 -1.090888
# Import libraries
library(mgcv)
# Function below returns the true value of z, used to compare with the prediction
true z <- function(x, y){</pre>
  5*x^7*y^6 + 2*x^6*y^5 + x^5 + 7*x^3*y^2 + 2*x^2*y + x*y^2 + y^3 + 3*y^4*x + y^8
}
# 250 simulations
simulation_num <- 250
\# (i) method = "GCV.Cp".
# Create an empty matrices to store the computed value for each simulation
comp_time1 <- matrix(NA, nrow=simulation_num, ncol=1)</pre>
bias1 <- matrix(NA, nrow=simulation_num, ncol=1)</pre>
```

variance1 <- matrix(NA, nrow=simulation\_num, ncol=1)</pre>

```
mse1 <- matrix(NA, nrow=simulation_num, ncol=1)</pre>
for (i in 1:simulation_num) {
  # Simulate 500 random samples for each simulation with standard gaussian noise
  my_data <- my_simulation(500, 0, 1)</pre>
  # Fit a GAM model using z \sim te(x,y) formula and method = "GCV.Cp"
  start_time <- Sys.time() # time before running model</pre>
  model1 <- gam(z ~ te(x, y), data = my_data, method = "GCV.Cp")</pre>
  end_time <- Sys.time() # time after running model</pre>
  comp_time1[i] <- as.numeric(end_time - start_time) # computation time</pre>
  # Create test set using runif()
  x_test <- runif(50)</pre>
  y_test <- runif(50)</pre>
  test_set <- as.data.frame(cbind(x = x_test, y = y_test))</pre>
  # Prediction
  pred <- predict(model1, newdata = test_set) # predicted z value</pre>
  true <- true_z(x_test, y_test) # true value of z for comparison</pre>
  # Compute bias, variance, and MSE of the predictions
  bias1[i] <- mean(pred - true)</pre>
  variance1[i] <- mean((pred - mean(pred))^2)</pre>
  mse1[i] <- mean((pred - true)^2)</pre>
mean(comp_time1)
Part (b)
## [1] 0.02651373
mean(bias1)
```

## [1] -0.004182991

```
mean(variance1)
## [1] 8.134426
mean(mse1)
## [1] 0.05729948
\# (ii) method = "REML".
# Create an empty matrices to store the computed value for each simulation
comp_time2 <- matrix(NA, nrow=simulation_num, ncol=1)</pre>
bias2 <- matrix(NA, nrow=simulation_num, ncol=1)</pre>
variance2 <- matrix(NA, nrow=simulation_num, ncol=1)</pre>
mse2 <- matrix(NA, nrow=simulation_num, ncol=1)</pre>
for (i in 1:simulation_num) {
  # Simulate 500 random samples for each simulation with standard gaussian noise
  my_data <- my_simulation(500, 0, 1)</pre>
  # Fit a GAM model using z \sim te(x,y) formula and method = "REML"
  start_time <- Sys.time()</pre>
  model2 <- gam(z ~ te(x, y), data = my_data, method = "REML")</pre>
  end_time <- Sys.time()</pre>
  comp_time2[i] <- as.numeric(end_time - start_time) # computation time</pre>
  # Create test set using runif()
  x_test <- runif(50)</pre>
  y_test <- runif(50)</pre>
  test_set <- as.data.frame(cbind(x = x_test, y = y_test))
  # Prediction
  pred <- predict(model2, newdata = test_set) # predicted z value</pre>
  true <- true_z(x_test, y_test) # true value of z for comparison</pre>
```

# Compute bias, variance, and MSE of the predictions

bias2[i] <- mean(pred - true)</pre>

```
variance2[i] <- mean((pred - mean(pred))^2)</pre>
  mse2[i] <- mean((pred - true)^2)</pre>
}
mean(comp_time2)
## [1] 0.06871702
mean(bias2)
## [1] -0.0008474236
mean(variance2)
## [1] 7.832738
mean(mse2)
## [1] 0.05715363
# Create a comparison table for two methods
compare <- matrix(c(mean(comp_time1), mean(bias1), mean(variance1), mean(mse1),</pre>
                     mean(comp_time2), mean(bias2), mean(variance2), mean(mse2)),
                  ncol = 2, nrow = 4)
colnames(compare) <- c("GCV.Cp", "REML")</pre>
rownames(compare) <- c("computation time", "bias", "variance", "mse")</pre>
compare
##
                                            REML
                           GCV.Cp
## computation time 0.026513728 0.0687170248
## bias
                   -0.004182991 -0.0008474236
## variance
                      8.134426282 7.8327382294
                      0.057299479 0.0571536256
## mse
# Generally, both methods have similar computation time, bias, variance and mse.
# REML has slightly greater values in all outputs.
```

#### Question 5

**ESL 5.4** The natural boundary conditions for natural cubic splines is that "the function is linear beyond the boundary knots". When  $X < \xi_1$ ,  $f(x) = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x + \beta_2 x^2 + \beta_3 x^3$ , to make it linear, we need  $\beta_2$  and  $\beta_3$  equal to 0. Alternatively, we can prove by taking the second derivative of f(x) and set it to 0.  $f''(x) = 2\beta_2 + 6\beta_3 x = 0$ , hence  $\beta_2 = \beta_3 = 0$ .

Similarly, the function f(x) should be linear when  $X > \xi_k$  where  $f(x) = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x + \sum_{k=1}^K \theta_k (X - \xi_k)^3$ , we take second derivative and set it to 0.  $f''(x) = 6\sum_{k=1}^K \theta_k (X - \xi_k) = 6(\sum_{k=1}^K \theta_k X - \sum_{k=1}^K \theta_k \xi_k) = 0$ , then we have  $\sum_{k=1}^K \theta_k X - \sum_{k=1}^K \theta_k \xi_k = 0$ , which implies that  $\sum_{k=1}^K \theta_k = 0$  and  $\sum_{k=1}^K \theta_k \xi_k = 0$ , as required.

Now we derive (5.4) and (5.5). The function we have is  $f(x) = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x + \sum_{k=1}^K \theta_k (X - \xi_k)_+^3 = 0$ , by observing the function, we get that  $N_1(X) = 1$ ,  $N_2(X) = X$ , we now want to prove that  $\sum_{k=1}^K \theta_k (X - \xi_k)_+^3$  can be written is the form of  $N_{k+2}(X) = d_k(X) - d_{k-1}(X)$ .

Given that  $\sum_{k=1}^{K} \theta_k = 0$  and  $\sum_{k=1}^{K} \theta_k \xi_k = 0$ , we know that  $\sum_{k=1}^{K-2} \theta_k = -\theta_K - \theta_{K-1}$  and  $\sum_{k=1}^{K-2} \theta_k \xi_k = -\theta_K \xi_K - \theta_{K-1} \xi_{K-1}$ .

$$\sum_{k=1}^{K} \theta_k (X - \xi_k)_+^3 = \sum_{k=1}^{K-2} \theta_k (X - \xi_k)_+^3 + \theta_{K-1} (X - \xi_{K-1})_+^3 + \theta_K (X - \xi_K)_+^3$$

$$\theta_{K-1}(X - \xi_{K-1})_{+}^{3} = \theta_{K-1}(X - \xi_{K-1})_{+}^{3} \frac{\xi_{K-1} - \xi_{K}}{\xi_{K-1} - \xi_{K}}$$

$$= \frac{(X - \xi_{K-1})_{+}^{3}}{\xi_{K-1} - \xi_{K}} (\theta_{K-1}\xi_{K-1} - \theta_{K-1}\xi_{K})$$

$$= \frac{(X - \xi_{K-1})_{+}^{3}}{\xi_{K-1} - \xi_{K}} (\theta_{K-1}\xi_{K-1} - \theta_{K-1}\xi_{K} + \theta_{K}\xi_{K} - \theta_{K}\xi_{K})$$

$$= \frac{(X - \xi_{K-1})_{+}^{3}}{\xi_{K-1} - \xi_{K}} (\theta_{K-1}\xi_{K-1} + \theta_{K}\xi_{K} - \theta_{K-1}\xi_{K} - \theta_{K}\xi_{K})$$

$$= \frac{(X - \xi_{K-1})_{+}^{3}}{\xi_{K-1} - \xi_{K}} (-\sum_{k=1}^{K-2} \theta_{k}\xi_{k} + \xi_{K} \sum_{k=1}^{K-1} \theta_{k})$$

$$= \frac{(X - \xi_{K-1})_{+}^{3}}{\xi_{K-1} - \xi_{K}} \sum_{k=1}^{K-2} \theta_{k}(\xi_{K} - \xi_{k})$$

$$= \sum_{k=1}^{K-2} \theta_{k}(\xi_{K} - \xi_{k}) \frac{(X - \xi_{K-1})_{+}^{3}}{\xi_{K-1} - \xi_{K}}$$

Similarly,

$$\theta_{K}(X - \xi_{K})_{+}^{3} = \frac{(X - \xi_{K})_{+}^{3}}{\xi_{K-1} - \xi_{K}} \theta_{K}(\xi_{K-1} - \xi_{K})$$

$$= \frac{(X - \xi_{K})_{+}^{3}}{\xi_{K-1} - \xi_{K}} (\theta_{K}\xi_{K-1} - \theta_{K}\xi_{K} + \theta_{K-1}\xi_{K-1} - \theta_{K-1}\xi_{K-1})$$

$$= \frac{(X - \xi_{K})_{+}^{3}}{\xi_{K-1} - \xi_{K}} (-\xi_{K-1} \sum_{k=1}^{K-2} \theta_{K} + \sum_{k=1}^{K-2} \theta_{k}\xi_{k})$$

$$= \sum_{k=1}^{K-2} \theta_{k}(\xi_{k} - \xi_{K-1}) \frac{(X - \xi_{K})_{+}^{3}}{\xi_{K-1} - \xi_{K}}$$

Put them back together and get:

$$\begin{split} \sum_{k=1}^{K} \theta_{k}(X - \xi_{k})_{+}^{3} &= \sum_{k=1}^{K-2} \theta_{k}(X - \xi_{k})_{+}^{3} + \theta_{K-1}(X - \xi_{K-1})_{+}^{3} + \theta_{K}(X - \xi_{K})_{+}^{3} \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^{K-2} \theta_{k}(X - \xi_{k})_{+}^{3} + \sum_{k=1}^{K-2} \theta_{k}(\xi_{K} - \xi_{k}) \frac{(X - \xi_{K-1})_{+}^{3}}{\xi_{K-1} - \xi_{K}} + \sum_{k=1}^{K-2} \theta_{k}(\xi_{k} - \xi_{K-1}) \frac{(X - \xi_{K})_{+}^{3}}{\xi_{K-1} - \xi_{K}} \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^{K-2} \theta_{k}[(X - \xi_{k})_{+}^{3} + (\xi_{K} - \xi_{k}) \frac{(X - \xi_{K-1})_{+}^{3}}{\xi_{K-1} - \xi_{K}} + (\xi_{k} - \xi_{K-1}) \frac{(X - \xi_{K})_{+}^{3}}{\xi_{K-1} - \xi_{K}}] \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^{K-2} \theta_{k}[(X - \xi_{k})_{+}^{3} \frac{\xi_{K} - \xi_{k}}{\xi_{K} - \xi_{k}} + (\xi_{K} - \xi_{k}) \frac{(X - \xi_{K-1})_{+}^{3}}{\xi_{K-1} - \xi_{K}} + (\xi_{k} - \xi_{K-1}) \frac{(X - \xi_{K})_{+}^{3}}{\xi_{K-1} - \xi_{K}} \frac{\xi_{K} - \xi_{k}}{\xi_{K} - \xi_{k}}] \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^{K-2} \theta_{k}(\xi_{K} - \xi_{k}) [\frac{(X - \xi_{k})_{+}^{3}}{\xi_{K} - \xi_{k}} + \frac{(X - \xi_{K-1})_{+}^{3}}{\xi_{K-1} - \xi_{K}} + (X - \xi_{K})_{+}^{3} (\frac{-1}{\xi_{K-1} - \xi_{K}}) \frac{1}{\xi_{K-1} - \xi_{K}}] \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^{K-2} \theta_{k}(\xi_{K} - \xi_{k}) [\frac{(X - \xi_{k})_{+}^{3} - (X - \xi_{K})_{+}^{3}}{\xi_{K} - \xi_{k}} + \frac{(X - \xi_{K-1})_{+}^{3} - (X - \xi_{K})_{+}^{3}}{\xi_{K-1} - \xi_{K}}] \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^{K-2} \theta_{k}(\xi_{K} - \xi_{k}) [\frac{(X - \xi_{k})_{+}^{3} - (X - \xi_{K})_{+}^{3}}{\xi_{K} - \xi_{k}} - \frac{(X - \xi_{K-1})_{+}^{3} - (X - \xi_{K})_{+}^{3}}{\xi_{K-1} - \xi_{K}}] \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^{K-2} \theta_{k}(\xi_{K} - \xi_{k}) [\frac{(X - \xi_{k})_{+}^{3} - (X - \xi_{K})_{+}^{3}}{\xi_{K} - \xi_{k}} - \frac{(X - \xi_{K-1})_{+}^{3} - (X - \xi_{K})_{+}^{3}}{\xi_{K-1} - \xi_{K}}] \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^{K-2} \theta_{k}(\xi_{K} - \xi_{k}) [\frac{(X - \xi_{k})_{+}^{3} - (X - \xi_{K})_{+}^{3}}{\xi_{K} - \xi_{k}} - \frac{(X - \xi_{K-1})_{+}^{3} - (X - \xi_{K})_{+}^{3}}{\xi_{K} - \xi_{K-1}}] \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^{K-2} \theta_{k}(\xi_{K} - \xi_{k}) (d_{k}(X) - d_{K-1}(X)) \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^{K-2} \theta_{k}(\xi_{K} - \xi_{k}) N_{k+2}(X) \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, to conclude, we get  $N_1(X) = 1$ ,  $N_2(X) = X$ ,  $N_{k+2}(X) = d_k(X) - d_{K-1}(X)$  as desired in (5.4) and (5.5).

#### ESL 5.13

## References