


Linear models I


Regression

Lecture 04

Supervised learning in practice



Supervised learning in practice



Model Fitting / Training Process

1. Choose a **hypothesis set of models** to train
(e.g. linear regression with 4 predictor variables)
2. Identify a **cost function** to measure the model fit to the training data
(e.g. mean square error)
3. **Optimize** model **parameters** to minimize cost
(e.g. closed form solution using the normal equations for OLS)

How can we...

define what makes a model linear?

fit our model to our training data?

What makes a model **linear**?

Which of the following models are linear?

Model parameters are w_i

Target variable is y

Remaining components are features

A $y = w_0$

B $y = w_0 + w_1x_1$

C $y = w_0 + w_1x_1 + x_2^{w_2}$

D $y = w_0 + w_1x_1^2 + w_2x_2^{0.4}$

E $y = w_0 + w_1x_1 + w_2x_2 + w_3x_1x_2$

F $y = w_0 + w_1 \int \sqrt[3]{x_1} dx_1 + w_2 g(x_2) + w_3 \text{median}(x_1, x_2, x_3)$

Which of the following models are linear?

Model parameters are w_i

Target variable is y

Remaining components are features

ALL except C are linear
in the **parameters, w**

A $y = w_0$

B $y = w_0 + w_1 x_1$

C $y = w_0 + w_1 x_1 + x_2^{w_2}$

D $y = w_0 + w_1 x_1^2 + w_2 x_2^{0.4}$

E $y = w_0 + w_1 x_1 + w_2 x_2 + w_3 x_1 x_2$

F $y = w_0 + w_1 \int \sqrt[3]{x_1} dx_1 + w_2 g(x_2) + w_3 \text{median}(x_1, x_2, x_3)$

We can rewrite these models in terms of transformed features

It becomes clear this is a linear model when we rewrite the features:

$$y = w_0 + w_1 z_1 + w_2 z_2 + w_3 z_3$$

Transformed features:

$$z_1 = \int \sqrt[3]{x_1} dx_1 \quad z_2 = g(x_2) \quad z_3 = \text{median}(x_1, x_2, x_3)$$

F

$$y = w_0 + w_1 \int \sqrt[3]{x_1} dx_1 + w_2 g(x_2) + w_3 \text{median}(x_1, x_2, x_3)$$


Linear models are linear in the **parameters**

A linear combination is quantity where a set of terms are added together, each multiplied by a constant (parameter) and adding the results

Linear regression model

$$y_i = \sum_{j=0}^p w_j x_{i,j} = \mathbf{w}^\top \mathbf{x}$$

Parameters w_j
Target y_i
Features $x_{i,j}$



Sample Feature

$$y_i = w_0 x_{i,0} + w_1 x_{i,1} + w_2 x_{i,2} + \cdots + w_p x_{i,p}$$

Intercept/bias term: $x_{i,0} = 1$

$$y_i = w_0 + w_1 x_{i,1} + w_2 x_{i,2} + \cdots + w_p x_{i,p}$$


Linear regression model

$$y_i = \sum_{j=0}^p w_j x_{i,j} = \mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{x}$$

Parameters w_j

Target y_i

Features $x_{i,j}$



$$y_i = w_0 x_{i,0} + w_1 x_{i,1} + w_2 x_{i,2} + \cdots + w_p x_{i,p}$$

Intercept/bias term: $x_{i,0} = 1$

$$y_i = w_0 + w_1 x_{i,1} + w_2 x_{i,2} + \cdots + w_p x_{i,p}$$

Notation

$x_{i,j}$
↙ ↘
Sample Feature

		Number of features	
		1	p
Number of samples	1	<div><div>$x_{i,j}$</div><div>Shorthand x_i</div></div>	<div><div>$x_i = \begin{bmatrix} x_{i,0} \\ x_{i,1} \\ \vdots \\ x_{i,p} \end{bmatrix}$</div><div>Assume $x_{i,0} = 1$ $x_i = \begin{bmatrix} x_0 \\ x_1 \\ \vdots \\ x_p \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ x_1 \\ \vdots \\ x_p \end{bmatrix}$</div></div>
	N	<div><div>$x = \begin{bmatrix} x_{1,j} \\ x_{2,j} \\ \vdots \\ x_{N,j} \end{bmatrix}$</div><div>Shorthand $x = \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ \vdots \\ x_N \end{bmatrix}$</div></div>	$X = \begin{bmatrix} x_1^T \\ x_2^T \\ \vdots \\ x_N^T \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} x_{1,0} & x_{1,1} & \cdots & x_{1,p} \\ x_{2,0} & x_{2,1} & \cdots & x_{2,p} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ x_{N,0} & x_{N,1} & \cdots & x_{N,p} \end{bmatrix}$

Types of Linear Regression

Here, $x_0 \triangleq 1$

	One feature variable	Two or more feature variables
One target variable	Simple Linear Regression $y_i = w_0 + w_1 x_{i,1}$	Multiple Linear Regression $y_i = \sum_{j=0}^p w_j x_{i,j} \text{ or } y_i = \mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{x}_i$
Two or more target variables	Multivariate (Multiple) Linear Regression $q = \# \text{ target variables}$ $[1 \times q]$ $\mathbf{y}_i = \mathbf{x}_i \mathbf{W}$ $[1 \times p] [p \times q]$ $p = \# \text{ features}$	

Linear models: pros and cons

Pros

Simple to implement and interpret

Excels if the relationship between features and targets is linear or can be expressed in terms of linear combinations of transformed features

Cons


For many applications with complex feature-target relationships, underfits

Requires feature engineering for capturing more complex feature-target relationships


How do we fit the model to the training data?

A winding path to the least squares solution

Linear models and error



simple linear regression




multiple linear regression

How do we fit a linear model to training data?

Training data:

$$D = \{(\mathbf{x}_1, y_1), (\mathbf{x}_2, y_2), \dots, (\mathbf{x}_N, y_N)\}$$

We want the error between
our predictions and
training data to be small



How do we measure error?

The error for one sample is:

$$E_i = \hat{f}(\mathbf{x}_i) - y_i \qquad \hat{y}_i = \hat{f}(\mathbf{x}_i) = \sum_{j=0}^p w_j x_{i,j}$$

We want to minimize the error across all our training data, so...
we use mean squared error to quantify training (in-sample) error:

Training (in-sample) error: $E_{in}(\hat{f}, D) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N (\hat{f}(\mathbf{x}_n) - y_n)^2$

We call this our **Cost Function** (a.k.a. loss, error, or objective)

Cost Function: $C(\overset{\text{model}}{\hat{f}}, \overset{\text{training data}}{D}) = E_{in}(\hat{f}, D) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N (\hat{f}(x_n) - y_n)^2$

Training error is a function of our **model** and the **training data**

We can't change the data; we adjust our model to minimize cost

To adjust the model, we choose model **parameters** that minimize cost

This is an **optimization** problem

How to fit our model to the training data?

Equivalently: how do we choose \mathbf{w} to minimize cost (error)

$$E_{in}(\hat{f}, D) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N (\hat{f}(\mathbf{x}_n) - y_n)^2$$

where $\hat{f}(\mathbf{x}_n) = \mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{x}_n$

We want to minimize

...by varying \mathbf{w}

How do we do that?

$$E_{in}(\mathbf{w}) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N (\mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{x}_n - y_n)^2$$

Once we've determined the form of the model and the training data, the model parameters \mathbf{w} are the only parts we can adjust



Calculus

A moment of calculus

Function of one variable

$$f(x) = ax + bx^2$$

Derivative

$$\frac{df}{dx} = a + 2bx$$

Function of multiple variables

$$f(x_1, x_2) = ax_1 + bx_2$$

Partial Derivative

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_1} = a$$

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_2} = b$$

May also treat parameters as variables and take their partial derivative $\frac{\partial f}{\partial b} = x_2$

Gradient


$$\nabla_x f = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_1} \\ \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_2} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} a \\ b \end{bmatrix}$$

Why set the derivative to 0?

Remember the derivative (and gradient) is the direction and rate of fastest increase

For a continuous, convex function, the function is minimized when that value is zero



How to fit our model to the training data?

Take the gradient with respect to \mathbf{w} , set it to zero, and solve for \mathbf{w}
(think derivative)

$$\nabla_{\mathbf{w}} E_{in}(\mathbf{w}) = \nabla_{\mathbf{w}} \left(\frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N (\mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{x}_n - y_n)^2 \right)$$

p = number of predictors
 N = number of data points

$$\nabla_{\mathbf{w}} E_{in}(\mathbf{w}) = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial E_{in}}{\partial w_0} \\ \frac{\partial E_{in}}{\partial w_1} \\ \vdots \\ \frac{\partial E_{in}}{\partial w_p} \end{bmatrix} = \mathbf{0}$$

Size: $[p + 1 \times 1]$ or $\mathbb{R}^{p+1 \times 1}$

We will walk through the **ordinary least squares** (OLS) closed-form solution.

We could have used another optimization approach like **gradient descent**

How to fit our model to the training data?

Our cost function...

$$E_{in}(\mathbf{w}) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N (\underbrace{\mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{x}_n}_{\text{Scalar}} - y_n)^2$$

$\mathbf{w}^T \in \mathbb{R}^{1 \times p+1}$
 $\mathbf{x}_n \in \mathbb{R}^{p+1 \times 1}$

...can be rewritten as:

$$E_{in}(\mathbf{w}) = \frac{1}{N} (\mathbf{X}\mathbf{w} - \mathbf{y})^T (\mathbf{X}\mathbf{w} - \mathbf{y})$$

Convenient definitions:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{y} &\in \mathbb{R}^{N \times 1} \\ \mathbf{X} &= \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{x}_1^T \\ \mathbf{x}_2^T \\ \vdots \\ \mathbf{x}_N^T \end{bmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^{N \times p+1} \\ \mathbf{w} &= \begin{bmatrix} w_0 \\ w_1 \\ \vdots \\ w_p \end{bmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^{p+1 \times 1} \end{aligned}$$

p = number of predictors
 N = number of data points

1

Assume $p = 2$
 $N = 4$

$$\mathbf{w} = \begin{bmatrix} w_0 \\ w_1 \\ w_2 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\mathbf{x}_i = \begin{bmatrix} x_{i,0} \\ x_{i,1} \\ x_{i,2} \end{bmatrix}$$

p = number of predictors
 N = number of data points

2

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{x}_i &= \begin{bmatrix} w_0 & w_1 & w_2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_{i,0} \\ x_{i,1} \\ x_{i,2} \end{bmatrix} \\ &= w_0 x_{i,0} + w_1 x_{i,1} + w_2 x_{i,2} \end{aligned}$$

3

$$\mathbf{X} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{x}_1^T \\ \mathbf{x}_2^T \\ \mathbf{x}_3^T \\ \mathbf{x}_4^T \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} x_{1,0} & x_{1,1} & x_{1,2} \\ x_{2,0} & x_{2,1} & x_{2,2} \\ x_{3,0} & x_{3,1} & x_{3,2} \\ x_{4,0} & x_{4,1} & x_{4,2} \end{bmatrix}$$

4

$$\mathbf{X} \mathbf{w} = \begin{bmatrix} x_{1,0} & x_{1,1} & x_{1,2} \\ x_{2,0} & x_{2,1} & x_{2,2} \\ x_{3,0} & x_{3,1} & x_{3,2} \\ x_{4,0} & x_{4,1} & x_{4,2} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} w_0 \\ w_1 \\ w_2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{x}_1 \\ \mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{x}_2 \\ \mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{x}_3 \\ \mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{x}_4 \end{bmatrix}$$

**Aside on
algebraic
manipulations**

4

$$X\mathbf{w} = \begin{bmatrix} x_{1,0} & x_{1,1} & x_{1,2} \\ x_{2,0} & x_{2,1} & x_{2,2} \\ x_{3,0} & x_{3,1} & x_{3,2} \\ x_{4,0} & x_{4,1} & x_{4,2} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} w_0 \\ w_1 \\ w_2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{x}_1 \\ \mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{x}_2 \\ \mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{x}_3 \\ \mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{x}_4 \end{bmatrix}$$

5

$$(X\mathbf{w})^T (X\mathbf{w}) = [\mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{x}_1 \quad \mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{x}_2 \quad \mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{x}_3 \quad \mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{x}_4] \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{x}_1 \\ \mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{x}_2 \\ \mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{x}_3 \\ \mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{x}_4 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= \sum_{n=1}^N (\mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{x}_n)^2$$

**Aside on
algebraic
manipulations**

How to fit our model to the training data?

Our cost function...

$$E_{in}(\mathbf{w}) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N (\underbrace{\mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{x}_n}_{\text{Scalar}} - y_n)^2$$

$\mathbf{w}^T \in \mathbb{R}^{1 \times p+1}$
 $\mathbf{x}_n \in \mathbb{R}^{p+1 \times 1}$

...can be rewritten as:

$$E_{in}(\mathbf{w}) = \frac{1}{N} (\mathbf{X}\mathbf{w} - \mathbf{y})^T (\mathbf{X}\mathbf{w} - \mathbf{y})$$

Convenient definitions:

$$\mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{R}^{N \times 1}$$
$$\mathbf{X} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{x}_1^T \\ \mathbf{x}_2^T \\ \vdots \\ \mathbf{x}_N^T \end{bmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^{N \times p+1}$$
$$\mathbf{w} = \begin{bmatrix} w_0 \\ w_1 \\ \vdots \\ w_p \end{bmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^{p+1 \times 1}$$

p = number of predictors
 N = number of data points

How to fit our model to the training data?

$$E_{in}(\mathbf{w}) = \frac{1}{N} (\mathbf{X}\mathbf{w} - \mathbf{y})^T (\mathbf{X}\mathbf{w} - \mathbf{y}) \quad \text{(take gradient, set to 0)}$$

$$\nabla_{\mathbf{w}} E_{in}(\mathbf{w}) = \frac{2}{N} (\mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X}\mathbf{w} - \mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{y}) = \mathbf{0} \quad \text{(solve for } \mathbf{w} \text{)}$$

Univariate analogy:

$$\begin{aligned} f(w) &= \frac{1}{N} (xw - y)^2 \\ &= \frac{1}{N} (x^2 w^2 - 2xyw + y^2) \\ \frac{df(w)}{dw} &= \frac{2}{N} (x^2 w - xy) \end{aligned}$$

$$\mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X}\mathbf{w} - \mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{y} = \mathbf{0}$$

$$\mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X}\mathbf{w} = \mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{y} \quad \text{(normal equation)}$$

$$\mathbf{w}^* = (\mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X})^{-1} \mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{y}$$

Pseudoinverse $\mathbf{X}^\dagger = (\mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X})^{-1} \mathbf{X}^T$

$$\mathbf{w}^* = \mathbf{X}^\dagger \mathbf{y}$$

What is the pseudoinverse?

$$\begin{array}{c} \text{Features} \\ N \times p \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \text{Samples} \\ \left[\begin{array}{c} \\ \\ \end{array} \right] \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \left[\begin{array}{c} \\ \\ \end{array} \right] \\ p \times 1 \end{array} = \begin{array}{c} \left[\begin{array}{c} \\ \\ \end{array} \right] \\ N \times 1 \end{array}$$
$$\mathbf{X} \mathbf{w} = \mathbf{y}$$

If $N = p$, then there are the same number of features as samples

(# equations = # unknowns)

If $N > p$, then the system of equations is overdetermined: more samples than features

(# equations > # unknowns)

Can't invert \mathbf{X} !

Overdetermined systems

Example 1

Fully determined system

equations = # unknowns

$$w_1 = 2$$

$$w_2 = 1$$

Overdetermined system

equations > # unknowns

$$w_1 = 2$$

$$w_2 = 1$$

$$w_2 = 5$$

Example 2

Fully determined system

equations = # unknowns

$$2w_1 + 3w_2 = 2$$

$$6w_1 + 1w_2 = 0.63$$

Overdetermined system


equations > # unknowns

$$2w_1 + 3w_2 = 2$$

$$6w_1 + 1w_2 = 0.63$$

$$3w_1 + 2w_2 = 14$$

$$16w_1 - w_2 = 0.1$$




What is the pseudoinverse?

Consider the case when $N = 3, p = 2$
(Assume no bias term here)

The least squares solution is the best we can do given $N > p$

$$\begin{array}{c} \text{Features} \\ \text{Samples} \end{array} \begin{bmatrix} x_{1,1} & x_{1,2} \\ x_{2,1} & x_{2,2} \\ x_{3,1} & x_{3,2} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} w_1 \\ w_2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} y_1 \\ y_2 \\ y_3 \end{bmatrix}$$
$$X w \neq y$$

We CAN solve the following for w^* , the least squares solution:

$$X^\dagger w^* = y$$


**Much of machine learning is
optimizing a cost function**

Model Fitting / Training Process

1. Choose a **hypothesis set of models** to train
(e.g. linear regression with 4 predictor variables)
2. Identify a **cost function** to measure the model fit to the training data
(e.g. mean square error)
3. **Optimize** model **parameters** to minimize cost
(e.g. closed form solution using the normal equations for OLS)

We now have our model parameters, we can make predictions on unseen test data!

The parameters learned from model fitting

$$\hat{y}_i = \hat{f}(\mathbf{x}_i) = \sum_{j=0}^p w_j^* x_{i,j}$$

Takeaways

Linear models are **linear in the weights**

Model fitting/training (valid beyond linear models):

- Choose a hypothesis set of models to train
- Identify a cost function
- **Optimize the cost function** by adjusting model parameters