

## Theory of Computation

**Release Date:** 18 August 2021

**Due Date:** 23:59pm, 19 September 2021

**Maximum credit:** 100

### Exercise 1

#### Inner Products induce Norms

20 credits

Let  $V$  be a vector space, and let  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle : V \times V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be an inner product on  $V$ . Define  $\|\mathbf{x}\| := \sqrt{\langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x} \rangle}$ . Prove that  $\|\cdot\|$  is a norm.

(Hint: To prove the triangle inequality holds, you may need the Cauchy-Schwartz inequality,  $\langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \rangle \leq \|\mathbf{x}\| \|\mathbf{y}\|$ .)

### Exercise 2

#### Vector Calculus Identities

10+10 credits

1. Let  $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ . Prove that  $\nabla_{\mathbf{x}}(\mathbf{x}^T \mathbf{a} \mathbf{b}^T \mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{a}^T \mathbf{x} \mathbf{b}^T + \mathbf{b}^T \mathbf{x} \mathbf{a}^T$ .
2. Let  $\mathbf{B} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ ,  $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ . Prove that  $\nabla_{\mathbf{x}}(\mathbf{x}^T \mathbf{B} \mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{x}^T (\mathbf{B} + \mathbf{B}^T)$ .

### Exercise 3

#### Properties of Symmetric Positive Definiteness

10 credits

Let  $\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B}$  be symmetric positive definite matrices.<sup>1</sup> Prove that for any  $p, q > 0$  that  $p\mathbf{A} + q\mathbf{B}$  is also symmetric and positive definite.

### Exercise 4

#### General Linear Regression with Regularisation

(10+10+10+10+10 credits)

Let  $\mathbf{A} \in \mathbb{R}^{N \times N}$ ,  $\mathbf{B} \in \mathbb{R}^{D \times D}$  be symmetric, positive definite matrices. From the lectures, we can use symmetric positive definite matrices to define a corresponding inner product, as shown below. From the previous question, we can also define a norm using the inner products.

$$\begin{aligned}\langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \rangle_{\mathbf{A}} &:= \mathbf{x}^T \mathbf{A} \mathbf{y} \\ \|\mathbf{x}\|_{\mathbf{A}}^2 &:= \langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x} \rangle_{\mathbf{A}} \\ \langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \rangle_{\mathbf{B}} &:= \mathbf{x}^T \mathbf{B} \mathbf{y} \\ \|\mathbf{x}\|_{\mathbf{B}}^2 &:= \langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x} \rangle_{\mathbf{B}}\end{aligned}$$

Suppose we are performing linear regression, with a training set  $\{(\mathbf{x}_1, y_1), \dots, (\mathbf{x}_N, y_N)\}$ , where for each  $i$ ,  $\mathbf{x}_i \in \mathbb{R}^D$  and  $y_i \in \mathbb{R}$ . We can define the matrix

$$\mathbf{X} = [\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_N]^T \in \mathbb{R}^{N \times D}$$

and the vector

$$\mathbf{y} = [y_1, \dots, y_N]^T \in \mathbb{R}^N.$$

We would like to find  $\boldsymbol{\theta} \in \mathbb{R}^D$ ,  $\mathbf{c} \in \mathbb{R}^N$  such that  $\mathbf{y} \approx \mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\theta} + \mathbf{c}$ , where the error is measured using  $\|\cdot\|_{\mathbf{A}}$ . We avoid overfitting by adding a weighted regularization term, measured using  $\|\cdot\|_{\mathbf{B}}$ . We define the loss function with regularizer:

$$\mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B}, \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{X}}(\boldsymbol{\theta}, \mathbf{c}) = \|\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\theta} - \mathbf{c}\|_{\mathbf{A}}^2 + \|\boldsymbol{\theta}\|_{\mathbf{B}}^2 + \|\mathbf{c}\|_{\mathbf{B}}^2$$

For the sake of brevity we write  $\mathcal{L}(\boldsymbol{\theta}, \mathbf{c})$  for  $\mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B}, \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{X}}(\boldsymbol{\theta}, \mathbf{c})$ .

For this question:

---

<sup>1</sup>A matrix is *symmetric positive definite* if it is both symmetric and positive definite.

- You may use (without proof) the property that a symmetric positive definite matrix is invertible.
- We assume that there are sufficiently many non-redundant data points for  $\mathbf{X}$  to be full rank. In particular, you may assume that the null space of  $\mathbf{X}$  is trivial (that is, the only solution to  $\mathbf{X}\mathbf{z} = \mathbf{0}$  is the trivial solution,  $\mathbf{z} = \mathbf{0}$ .)

1. Find the gradient  $\nabla_{\boldsymbol{\theta}}\mathcal{L}(\boldsymbol{\theta}, \mathbf{c})$ .

2. Let  $\nabla_{\boldsymbol{\theta}}\mathcal{L}(\boldsymbol{\theta}, \mathbf{c}) = \mathbf{0}$ , and solve for  $\boldsymbol{\theta}$ . If you need to invert a matrix to solve for  $\boldsymbol{\theta}$ , you should prove the inverse exists.

3. Find the gradient  $\nabla_{\mathbf{c}}\mathcal{L}(\boldsymbol{\theta}, \mathbf{c})$ .

We now compute the gradient with respect to  $\mathbf{c}$ .

4. Let  $\nabla_{\mathbf{c}}\mathcal{L}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = \mathbf{0}$ , and solve for  $\mathbf{c}$ . If you need to invert a matrix to solve for  $\mathbf{c}$ , you should prove the inverse exists.

5. Show that if we set  $\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{I}$ ,  $\mathbf{c} = \mathbf{0}$ ,  $\mathbf{B} = \lambda\mathbf{I}$ , where  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ , your answer for 4.2 agrees with the analytic solution for the standard least squares regression problem with L2 regularization, given by

$$\boldsymbol{\theta} = (\mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X} + \lambda \mathbf{I})^{-1} \mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{y}.$$