

Table 1: Log likelihood difference to Xiang’s original model without IGC event

	Original( $\tau = 0$ )	Original	Homogenizing( $\tau = 0$ )	Homogenizing
YBL087C YER117W	-1415.291	47.61120	4.2690670	77.70287
YBR191W YPL079W	-1533.397	66.10229	1.4398734	93.51967
YDR418W YEL054C	-1771.216	32.04014	6.1197990	66.48842
YER074W YIL069C	-1361.553	109.58850	2.4961015	149.78506
YER102W YBL072C	-2196.658	137.70209	5.6811225	210.27105
YER131W YGL189C	-1220.877	15.69246	0.9681037	26.49067
YJL177W YKL180W	-1873.678	36.61969	3.3151982	63.19903
YLR333C YGR027C	-1345.646	83.64759	8.6968268	117.36143
YLR406C YDL075W	-1195.082	16.98287	2.1710453	31.25964
YML026C YDR450W	-1444.313	67.06717	3.4916066	107.37750
YMR142C YDL082W	-2197.027	142.97640	2.7407108	195.17656
YMR143W YDL083C	-1246.979	37.22932	0.2301552	48.05735
YNL069C YIL133C	-2381.436	58.60724	7.3969424	90.84687
YNL301C YOL120C	-2215.070	75.76272	14.2992730	142.80202

<sup>a</sup> column 1 is the log-likelihood of Xiang’s original model when no IGC event<sup>b</sup> column 2,3,4 are the log-likelihood from different model minus column 1

Table 2: Yeast data in homogenizing model (left two columns are omega value from Xiang’s original model)

	$\omega_{Xiang}(\tau = 0)$	$\omega_{Xiang}$	$\omega(\tau = 0)$	$\omega$	$\omega_{homo}(\tau = 0)$	$\omega_{homo}$
YBL087C YER117W	0.0501835	0.0560125	0.0344152	0.0476106	0.1486233	4.267583
YBR191W YPL079W	0.0745008	0.0927975	0.0616211	0.0741494	0.1331337	2.376804
YDR418W YEL054C	0.0657367	0.0761819	0.0472974	0.0586073	0.1956581	3.392491
YER074W YIL069C	0.1114456	0.1347958	0.0852852	0.1101637	0.2144116	7.745507
YER102W YBL072C	0.1344739	0.1893864	0.1035332	0.1464481	0.2867003	4.203525
YER131W YGL189C	0.0480913	0.0547472	0.0419952	0.0435486	0.1069096	1.285350
YJL177W YKL180W	0.0833331	0.0952194	0.0675314	0.0757361	0.1922856	2.162597
YLR333C YGR027C	0.1458594	0.1488952	0.1079309	0.1107074	0.5320698	1.794350
YLR406C YDL075W	0.0746413	0.0856412	0.0616983	0.0759538	0.1940438	2.201414
YML026C YDR450W	0.0590055	0.0737382	0.0417186	0.0691450	0.1413366	20.669946
YMR142C YDL082W	0.1525492	0.2240833	0.1276874	0.1321572	0.2630772	1.581019
YMR143W YDL083C	0.0211616	0.0243300	0.0186710	0.0242723	0.0339637	3.127465
YNL069C YIL133C	0.1526547	0.1725505	0.1265653	0.1220085	0.4672969	1.070645
YNL301C YOL120C	0.1097023	0.1267691	0.0766215	0.0921613	0.4540936	3.775036

<sup>a</sup> column 1 and 2 are omega values from Xiang’s original model<sup>b</sup> column 3,4,5,6 are omega values from the homogenizing model<sup>c</sup> omega means the non-homogenizing omega<sup>d</sup> omega\_homo means the homogenizing omega

Table 3: Yeast data: tau value from different model

	$\tau_{Xiang}$	$\tau_{homo}$
YBL087C YER117W	2.810249	3.423181
YBR191W YPL079W	3.832101	4.034674
YDR418W YEL054C	1.409428	1.902595
YER074W YIL069C	7.468530	5.586358
YER102W YBL072C	4.867152	3.579156
YER131W YGL189C	1.357913	2.009551
YJL177W YKL180W	1.765573	2.207274
YLR333C YGR027C	3.281714	2.657503
YLR406C YDL075W	1.652658	2.541288
YML026C YDR450W	3.643633	3.652811
YMR142C YDL082W	5.705848	4.320893
YMR143W YDL083C	3.161876	3.753576
YNL069C YIL133C	1.464768	1.361604
YNL301C YOL120C	2.480315	2.014591

<sup>a</sup> column 1 is the tau value from Xiang's original model

<sup>b</sup> column 2 is the tau value from the homogenizing model