Discrete Mathematics Recitation Class

Tianyu Qiu

University of Michigan - Shanghai Jiaotong University

Joint Institute

Summer Term 2020

Contents

Division Algorithm
Prime Numbers

Algorithms
Algorithms
Time Complexity

Questions in Assignment 2

Questions in Assignment 3

Fermat's Factorication Method

Finding two factors of an odd number Process:

- 1. Find the smallest k that $k^2 > n$.
- 2. Consider successively the numbers $k^2 n$, $(k+1)^2 n$, $(k+2)^2 n$, \cdots until one of these numbers is a square.
- 3. The process must terminate, since

$$\left(\frac{n+1}{2}\right)^2 - n = \left(\frac{n-1}{2}\right)^2$$

Application of Fermat's Factorication Method

e.g.

Find two factors of 12345 with the least difference.

Solution

$$111^2 < 12345 < 112^2$$
$$12345 = 3 \times 5 \times 823 = 419^2 - 404^2$$

Last Digits of Squares

- 1. Last Digit: 0,1,4,5,6,9
- 2. Last Two Digits: 0, 1, 4, 9, 16, 21, 24, 25, 29, 36, 41, 44, 49, 56, 61, 64, 69, 76, 81, 84, 89, 96

Fermat's Little Theorem

Theorem (Fermat's Little Theorem)

Let $p, a \in \mathbb{N}$. If p is prime and $p \nmid a$, then

$$a^{p-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$$

More generally, for any prime $p \in \mathbb{N}$ and $a \in \mathbb{Z}$,

$$a^p \equiv a \pmod{p}$$

The Converse of Fermat's Little Theorem is not true.

Counterexample

 $2^{341-1} \equiv 1 \text{ (mod 341)}, \text{ however } 341 = 11 \times 31 \text{ is not prime}.$

Composite numbers of which $a^{p-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$ are called Fermat pseudoprimes to base a.

Application of Fermat's Little Theorem

Finding the modulo of a very large number

$$5^{38} = 5^{10 \cdot 3 + 8} = (5^10)^3 (5^2)^4 \equiv 1^3 \cdot 3^4 \equiv 81 \equiv 4 \text{ (mod } 11)$$

► Showing that a number *n* is not prime Base on the fact that if for some

$$a \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}, \ a^n \not\equiv a \pmod{n}$$

then n is not prime.

e.g.

 $2^{117} \not\equiv 2 \pmod{117}$, then 117 is not prime.

Fermat's Little Theorem

Lemma

Let $p, q \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}$ be primes such that

$$a^p \equiv a \pmod{q}$$
 and $a^q \equiv a \pmod{p}$

then

$$a^{pq} \equiv pq \pmod{pq}$$

Wilson's Theorem

Theorem (Wilson's Theorem)

Let $p \in \mathbb{N}$ be prime, then

$$(p-1)! \equiv -1 \pmod{p}$$

Classification of Algorithms

- By Function
 - 1. Sorting Algorithm:
 - Binary Sort
 - Insertion Sort
 - Selection Sort
 - Merge Sort
 - Quick Sort
 - 2. Searching Algorithm:
 - Linear Search
 - Binary Search
- By Form
 - Recursive Algorithm
 - Iterative Algorithm



Landau Symbol

Definitions:

- 1. big oh (O): Let A be \mathbb{R} or \mathbb{N} . Let $f: A \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and $g: A \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$. We say f is O(g), pronounced "f is big-oh of g", if there exists $k, C \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for all $x \in A$ with $x > k, |f(x)| \le C|g(x)|$. We call O the Landau symbol big-oh.
- 2. big omega (Ω) : If g is O(f), then f is $\Omega(g)$.
- 3. big theta (Θ) : If f is O(g) and f is $\Omega(g)$, then f is $\Theta(g)$.

Theorem

Let $f: \mathbb{N} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and $g: \mathbb{N} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$. If there exists $C \in \mathbb{R}$ with $C \ge 0$ such that

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\frac{|f(n)|}{|g(n)|}=C$$

then f is O(g).

Landau Symbol

Theorem

ln(n!) is order n ln(n)

Theorem

Let $n \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}$. If $f : \mathbb{R} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a polynomial of degree n, then f is order x^n .

Theorem

Let $p, q \in \mathbb{R}$ with $0 . Then <math>n^q$ is not $O(n^p)$

Theorem

n is not $O(\ln(n))$

Exercise 2.1

Let $(\mathbb{N}, succ)$ be a realization of the natural numbers with successor function succ. We define addition of the numbers 0 and 1 := succ(0) by setting

$$n+0:=n, \qquad n+1:=succ(n), n\in\mathbb{N}$$

- i) Formulate an inductive deffinition for n+m, where $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$.
- ii) Set 2 := succ(1), 3 := succ(2), 4 := succ(3). Verify that

$$2 + 2 = 4$$

iii) Prove by induction that

$$n+m=m+n$$

Cited from Chenyan Zhang

i) Inductive Definition: For $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$

$$n + succ(m) = succ(n + m)$$

ii)

$$2+2 = succ(2+1) = succ(succ(2+0)) = succ(succ(2)) = succ(3) = 4$$

iii)

- 1. Prove Associativity: Apply induction Suppose (a + b) + c = a + (b + c), then for $succ(c) \cdots$
- 2. Prove Communicativity: Apply induction twice Prove that n + 0 = 0 + n, then prove that m + n = n + m

Exercise 2.3

Prove that the induction axiom implies the well-ordering principle.



Cited from Chenyan Zhang

Let $m \in \mathbb{N}$, define S_m to be the set that contains m and the successor of any elements in S_m . By induction axiom, $S_0 = \mathbb{N}$.

Define $A:=\{m:S\subset S_m\}$, where $S\subset \mathbb{N}$ is nonempty. Then $S\subset S_0=\mathbb{N}$, i.e. $0\in A$.

Assume that $\forall_{m \in A} \ succ(m) \in A$, since $0 \in A$, by induction axiom, $A = \mathbb{N}$. Since S is nonempty, suppose $m_0 \in S$. We obtain that $m_0 \notin S_{succ(m_0)}$, thus $succ(m_0) \notin A$. Thus $A \neq \mathbb{N}$, which leads to contradiction.

Thus our assumption is false, we obtain $\exists_{m \in A} succ(m) \notin A$. Since $succ(m) \notin A$, then $S \nsubseteq S_{succ(m)}$ and S_m for this m. It means that $\exists_{m' \in S} \notin S_{succ(m)}$ and $\forall_{m'' \in S} m'' \in S_m$. $m' \in S_m, m' \notin S_{succ(m)}$. Since $S_m \setminus S_{succ(m)} = \{m\}, m' = m$. Since

 $m' \in S$, thus $m \in S$, m is the least element of S. In conclusion,

$$\forall \exists S \in S_m$$

Exercise 3.3

Let

$$m \sim n :\Leftrightarrow 2 \mid (n-m), m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$$

- i) Show that \sim is an equivalence relation.
- ii) What partition $\mathbb{Z}_2 := \mathbb{Z}/\sim$ is induced by \sim ?
- iii) Define addition and multiplication on \mathbb{Z}_2 by the addition and multiplication of class representatives, i.e.

$$[m] + [n] := [m + n],$$
 $[m] \cdot [n] := [m \cdot n]$

Show that these operations are well-defined, i.e. independent of the representatives m and n of each classes.

iv) Show that $(\mathbb{Z}_2, +, \cdot)$ is a field.





- i) Since \sim is reflexive, symmetric and transitive, it is an equivalence relation.
- ii) $[0]_2$ and $[1]_2$.
- iii) For arbitrarily chosen $m, n \in [0]_2; p, q \in [1]_2$, check

$$[m+n]_2, [m+p]_2, [p+q]_2, [mp]_2, [mn]_2, [pq]_2$$

iv)

- 1. Check $(\mathbb{Z}_2,+)$ is an abelian group.
- 2. Check existence of unique multiplicative unit element
- 3. Check associativity, communicativity and distributivity.
- 4. Check additive unit element is not equal to multiplicative unit element.
- 5. Check existence of unique multiplicative inverse element.

Exercise 3.10

Let D be the set of all primes of the form $4 \cdot n + 3$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$. We suppose D to be finite and define $d = 4 \cdot (3 \cdot 7 \cdot \cdots \cdot p) - 1$, where p is the largest prime in D.

- i) Prove that no prime of the form $4 \cdot k + 3$ divides d.
- ii) Prove that d is not divisible by $4 \cdot k + 1$.
- iii) Conclude that there is an infinite number of primes of the form $4 \cdot n + 3$.

- i) Since each prime $q \in D$ has the property that $q \mid (d+1)$. Since d and d+1 are relatively prime. Thus $q \nmid d$, which completes the proof. More generally, no odd numbers in the form of $4 \cdot k + 3$ (except *d* itself) divides *d*.
- ii) If d is prime, then no $4 \cdot k + 1$ (except 1) divides d. If d is Composite, then according to the conclusion of i), we obtain that d can only have factors in the form of $4 \cdot k + 1$. However, we have that $([1]_4)^n \equiv [1]_4 \not\equiv [3]_d \equiv [d]_4$. So it leads to contradiction. Thus d cannot be a composite, which completes the proof. iii) We can contruct the sequence of SOME primes of the form $4 \cdot k + 3$ in the following way:

$$a_1 := 3, a_2 := 7, \qquad a_{n+1} := 4 \cdot \left(\prod_{i=1}^n a_i\right) - 1$$

This sequence is definitely infinite, which completes the proof.