

Computer experiments Exam

INSA Toulouse – ModIA – 2023 October

- 1) **Authorized documents: a single handwritten A4 sheet (recto-verso).**
- 2) **Electronic devices (mobile phone, calculator, laptop, etc.) are not allowed.**
- 3) **All answers must be justified.**

1 GP engineering (10 pts)

1. (3 pts) Let $X = (X(t))_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$ be a centered Gaussian Process (GP) on \mathbb{R} with kernel k . We denote by $X'(t), X''(t)$ the derivatives of $X(t)$ at t and $\int_{\mathbb{R}} X(t)dt$ the mean over the real line. We assume that the mathematical conditions are satisfied to ensure the validity of these objects, as well as the next computations.

- (a) What is the kernel of the process $X'(t) + X''(t)$?
- (b) To which covariance corresponds $\frac{\partial^2 k(s,t)}{\partial s^2}$?
- (c) What is the covariance between $X'(s)$ and $\int_{\mathbb{R}} X(t)dt$?

(a) Using the linearity of derivation:

$$k_Y(s, t) = \text{cov}(X'(s) + X''(s), X'(t) + X''(t)) = \frac{\partial^2 k}{\partial s \partial t}(s, t) + \frac{\partial^3 k}{\partial s \partial t^2}(s, t) + \frac{\partial^3 k}{\partial s^2 \partial t}(s, t) + \frac{\partial^4 k}{\partial s^2 \partial t^2}(s, t)$$

(b) It is $\text{cov}(X''(s), X(t))$.

(c) Using the linearity of derivative / integration and the bilinearity of covariance, we have:

$$\text{cov}\left(X'(s), \int_{\mathbb{R}} X(t)dt\right) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{\partial k}{\partial s}(s, t)dt$$

2. (3 pts) Let $Y_0(x_1, x_2)$ be a 2-dimensional GP defined on the unit square $\chi = [0, 1]^2$ and denote by Δ the diagonal: $x_1 = x_2$. We aim at defining a GP whose sample paths are symmetric with respect to Δ . Let s be the symmetry with respect to Δ . We propose to consider for all $x = (x_1, x_2) \in \chi$:

$$Y(x) = Y_0(x) + Y_0(s(x))$$

- (a) Give the expression of $s(x)$ in function of x_1 and x_2 (make a picture of χ). Deduce that the sample paths of Y are indeed symmetric with respect to Δ .

We have $s(x_1, x_2) = (x_2, x_1)$. Then a direct computation gives $Y(s(x)) = Y(x)$, which means that the sample paths of Y are symmetric with respect to Δ .

- (b) Let $C = \alpha_1 Y(x^1) + \dots + \alpha_n Y(x^n)$ a linear combination extracted from Y at points $x^1, \dots, x^n \in \chi$. Prove that C is normally distributed. What does it mean for Y ?

We have

$$C = \alpha_1 Y_0(x^1) + \dots + \alpha_n Y_0(x^n) + \alpha_1 Y_0(s(x^1)) + \dots + \alpha_n Y_0(s(x^n))$$

Thus C is a linear combination extracted from Y_0 at points $x^1, \dots, x^n, s(x^1), \dots, s(x^n)$. As Y_0 is a GP, C is normally distributed. As it is true for all linear combination, Y is a GP.

- (c) Let k_0 be the kernel of Y_0 , and k the kernel of Y . Express $k_Y(x, x')$ in function of k_0 , for all $x, x' \in \chi$.

Using the bilinearity of covariance, we have

$$\begin{aligned} k_Y(x, x') &= \text{Cov}(Y_0(x) + Y_0(s(x)), Y_0(x') + Y_0(s(x'))) \\ &= k_0(x, x') + k_0(s(x), x') + k_0(x, s(x')) + k_0(s(x), s(x')) \end{aligned}$$

3. (4 pts) Let us consider the subverse function of the computer lab

$$S = \left(\frac{Q}{BK_s \sqrt{\frac{Z_m - Z_v}{L}}} \right)^{0.6} + Z_v - H_d - C_b$$

In the lab, we have used a centered GP metamodel with a tensor-product kernel k_d in dimension $d = 8$. Assume now that you have access to the expression of S . Contrarily to the lab, where you have played with the trend of the GP, here we consider only GPs with a constant mean. What new GP Y can you propose that will mimick the structure of the function S ? You may define this GP in function of four other "small" GPs Y_1, \dots, Y_4 acting on subsets of variables. Denote by d_i the dimension of Y_i , and choose k_{d_i} as a kernel of Y_i . Compute the kernel of Y in function of k_{d_i} ($i = 1, \dots, 4$). How can you adapt this approach such that the small GPs are defined on *disjoint* subsets of variables?

We can see that S has an additive form, with respect to $x_1 = (Q, B, K_s, Z_m, Z_v, L), x_2 = Z_v, x_3 = H_d, x_4 = C_b$. Indeed,

$$S = g_1(x_1) + g_2(x_2) + g_3(x_3) + g_4(x_4)$$

Hence, an appropriate GP should have the same form. Denoting $x = (x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4)$, we define Y by

$$Y(x) = Y_1(x_1) + Y_2(x_2) + Y_3(x_3) + Y_4(x_4)$$

where Y_1, Y_2, Y_3, Y_4 are independent GPs in dimensions 6, 1, 1, 1 respectively. We know that a sum of independent GPs is a GP, thus Y is a GP. Its kernel can be computed using the bilinearity of the covariance function,

$$k_Y(x, x') = k_6(x_1, x'_1) + k_1(x_2, x'_2) + k_1(x_3, x'_3) + k_1(x_4, x'_4)$$

If we want to have disjoint subsets of variables, we may discard x_2 as it is already contained in x_1 . In particular, S has also the form

$$S = h_1(x_1) + g_3(x_3) + g_4(x_4)$$

with $h_1(x_1) = g_1(x_1) + g_2(x_2)$. Thus Y can be defined as a sum of 3 independent GPs in dimensions 6, 1, 1.

2 Sensitivity analysis and design of experiments (10 pts)

We consider three independent random variables X_1, X_2, X_3 , following the uniform distribution on $[-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}]$. We want to perform a global sensitivity analysis of the 3-dimensional function

$$f(X) = X_1 + aX_2^2 + bX_3^2X_1,$$

where $X = (X_1, X_2, X_3)$ and a, b are given non-zero real numbers.

Recall that for all $i = 1, 2, 3$, we have $\mathbb{E}(X_i) = 0$ and $\text{Var}(X_i) = \frac{1}{12}$. We denote $v_2 = \text{Var}(X_i^2)$.

- (1 pt) A naive idea is that the Sobol-Hoeffding (or ANOVA) decomposition is simply given by $f_0 = 0$, $f_1(X_1) = X_1$, $f_2(X_2) = aX_2^2$ and $f_{1,3}(X_1, X_3) = bX_3^2X_1$. By considering for instance $f_2(X_2)$, explain why it cannot be true.

In an ANOVA decomposition, all terms must be centered, which is not the case of $f_2(X_2)$.

- (3 pts) Compute the global mean $f_0 = \mathbb{E}[f(X)]$ and all the main effects $f_i(X_i) = \mathbb{E}[f(X)|X_i] - f_0$ ($i = 1, 2, 3$). Compute the unnormalized Sobol indices $D_i = \text{Var}(f_i(X_i))$, $i = 1, 2, 3$ in function of v_2 .

By linearity of the expectation, and independence of X_1 and X_3 , we first have:

$$f_0 = \mathbb{E}(X_1) + a\mathbb{E}(X_2^2) + b\mathbb{E}(X_3^2)\mathbb{E}(X_1) = \frac{a}{12}.$$

Then using the properties of conditional expectation, and independence of X_1, X_2, X_3 :

$$\begin{aligned} f_1(X_1) &= X_1 + a\mathbb{E}(X_2^2) + bX_1\mathbb{E}(X_3^2) - f_0 = \left(1 + \frac{b}{12}\right) X_1 \\ f_2(X_2) &= \mathbb{E}(X_1) + aX_2^2 + b\mathbb{E}(X_3^2)\mathbb{E}(X_1) - f_0 = a\left(X_2^2 - \frac{1}{12}\right) \\ f_3(X_3) &= \mathbb{E}(X_1) + a\mathbb{E}(X_2^2) + bX_3^2\mathbb{E}(X_1) - f_0 = 0 \end{aligned}$$

We deduce immediately $D_3 = 0$, $D_1 = \left(1 + \frac{b}{12}\right)^2 \frac{1}{12}$, and $D_2 = a^2v_2$ (since $\text{Var}\left(X_2^2 - \frac{1}{12}\right) = \text{Var}(X_2^2)$).

- (2 pts) Compute $f_{1,3}(X_1, X_3) := \mathbb{E}[f(X)|X_1, X_3] - f_1(X_1) - f_3(X_3) - f_0$ and the corresponding unnormalized Sobol index $D_{1,3} = \text{Var}(f_{1,3}(X_1, X_3))$.

We have

$$f_{1,3}(X_1, X_3) = X_1 + a\mathbb{E}(X_2^2) + bX_3^2X_1 - f_1(X_1) - f_3(X_3) - f_0 = bX_1\left(X_3^2 - \frac{1}{12}\right).$$

As all terms of the ANOVA decomposition are centered, its variance is given by

$$\text{Var}(f_{1,3}(X_1, X_3)) = \mathbb{E}[f_{1,3}^2(X_1, X_3)] = b^2\mathbb{E}[X_1^2]\mathbb{E}\left[\left(X_3^2 - \frac{1}{12}\right)^2\right] = b^2\frac{1}{12}v_2.$$

- (1 pt) We admit that $f_{1,2}(X_1, X_2) = f_{2,3}(X_2, X_3) = 0$. Without further computation, write the ANOVA decomposition of f and give the expression of the global variance $D = \text{Var}[f(X)]$ in function of v_2 .

The ANOVA decomposition of f is thus given by $f(X) = f_0 + f_1(X_1) + f_2(X_2) + f_{1,3}(X_1, X_3)$. By orthogonality of the terms, the global variance is equal to

$$D = D_1 + D_2 + D_{1,3} = \left(1 + \frac{b}{12}\right)^2 \frac{1}{12} + \left(a^2 + \frac{b^2}{12}\right) v_2$$

- (1,5 pts) Explain how we can estimate the main effect $f_i(X_i)$ by simulation (without using the expression of question 1). How can we visualize it as a curve?

We consider a sample X^1, \dots, X^n of X drawn from the uniform distribution on $[-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}]$, obtained by sampling each coordinate independently. Then we can compute $Y^n = f(X^n)$. We can estimate the global mean \hat{f}_0 as the average of Y^1, \dots, Y^n . The conditional expectation $\mathbb{E}[f(X)|X_i]$ is estimated by smoothing the points (X_i^n, Y^n) , and an estimate of the main effect is deduced by removing \hat{f}_0 . Finally, the main effect is visualized as a curve in the scatterplot of $f(X)$ versus X_i .

- (1,5 pts) An experimenter has a computational budget of 27 points to learn f , and in particular to estimate its main effects (see question 5). He proposes to use a grid with 3 points per dimension. Considering for instance the estimation of the main effect $f_2(X_2)$, do you think it is a good idea? Why? If not, what other design could you propose to him to improve the estimation of the main effects of f ?

To estimate the main effect $f_2(X_2)$, we will have only 3 different values of X_2 , compared to the 27 points!! To avoid this drawback, a maximin Latin hypercube design is more appropriate.