Peer Review

3 Favourite parts of the report:

- Clear and Appropriate Subheading:
  Meaningful subheading that split the points clearly make the report readable.
- Like the explanation of how Testrail and github work when QA find the bugs.
- The workflow introduction in 1.0 section is very clear, and have the summary of the tools and features of the tools

Feedbacks:

Gramma

- The aim of this report is to compare the software we currently use for development and testing to existing software that may be more useful and efficient.

(Maybe it's testing of)

- Overall, both GitHub and Visual Studio have good ways to find issues (with GitHub, this is assuming that they are linked to the epic right after logging).

Can change this into : Overall, both GitHub and Visual Studio have good ways to find issues . GitHub are linked to the epic right after logging.

Use Active voice and simple sentence

- Currently, there is some automation being done through Postman and the QA Portal but the far majority of current test cases are manual.

Currently, there are some automations being done through Postman and the QA Portal but the far majority of test cases are manual.

It's a bit of redundant of using two 'current's

Research and citation

- There are a lot of citations from personal interviews, try to quota something from paper work

- Researches are in progress
  Personal feedbacks

  For the 'epic' ,'user stories' maybe have a separate paragraph introduce these IT alignment tools.

  Actually maybe be more specific about manual testing and automation testing(include integration testing(for QA dev) and unit testing (for dev)

Questions:

How is epic link to user stories, is user stories like a sub task that store inside the epic?(not quite sure if you use jira or not)

How QAs interact with Developers and Product managers?

What is the problem you see in your workplace?