

# Peer Review

## 3 Favourite parts of the report:

- Clear and Appropriate Subheading:  
Meaningful subheading that split the points clearly make the report readable.
- Very specific analysis in each bullet points
- Detailed introduction in the role and history of the department. And also the purpose of the review.

## Feedbacks:

### Grammar

- These considerations signify that the best approach to providing static content of this nature is one that focuses on easing **the consumers'** route to the information they seek as much as possible. – 2.0
- The principal idea asserted by the analysis is that making forward strides in Digital Communications' efficacy relies on a switch from organizing online content along institutional lines to organizing online content by patterns of audience interaction. – 3.0 Conclusion

Make this sentence simple

Good grammar.

### Research and citation

- There are several well formatted citations from papers followed the faculty guideline and APA
- Mertz, Emily. (2014). Canadians poke fun at government's new Twitter account, eh! Edmonton: Global News. Retrieved Oct 24, 2017 from [www.globalnews.ca](http://www.globalnews.ca)

Why are the years different?

## Questions:

Is there any graphs or data about the audience priorities?

What is the problem you see in your workplace?

Is the Migration make the website more efficient? Is there any data?