

CS885 Reinforcement Learning

Module 2: June 6, 2020

Maximum Entropy Reinforcement Learning

Haarnoja, Tang et al. (2017) Reinforcement Learning with Deep Energy Based Policies, *ICML*.

Haarnoja, Zhou et al. (2018) Soft Actor-Critic: Off-Policy Maximum Entropy Deep Reinforcement Learning with a Stochastic Actor, *ICML*.



Maximum Entropy RL

- Why do several implementations of important RL baselines (e.g., A2C, PPO) add an entropy regularizer?
- Why is maximizing entropy desirable in RL?
- What is the Soft Actor Critic algorithm?



Reinforcement Learning

Deterministic Policies

- There always exists an optimal deterministic policy
- Search space is smaller for deterministic than stochastic policies
- Practitioners prefer deterministic policies

Stochastic Policies

- Search space is continuous for stochastic policies (helps with gradient descent)
- More robust (less likely to overfit)
- Naturally incorporate exploration
- Facilitate transfer learning
- Mitigate local optima

Encouraging Stochasticity

Standard MDP

- States: S
- Actions: A
- Reward: $R(s, a)$
- Transition: $\Pr(s' | s, a)$
- Discount: γ

Soft MDP


- States: S
- Actions: A
- Reward: $R(s, a) + \lambda H(\pi(\cdot | s))$
- Transition: $\Pr(s' | s, a)$
- Discount: γ



Entropy

- Entropy: measure of uncertainty
 - Information theory: expected # of bits needed to communicate the result of a sample

$$H(p) = -\sum_x p(x) \log p(x)$$



Optimal Policy

- Standard MDP

$$\pi^* = \operatorname{argmax}_{\pi} \sum_{n=0}^N \gamma^n E_{s_n, a_n | \pi} [R(s_n, a_n)]$$

- Soft MDP

$$\pi_{soft}^* = \operatorname{argmax}_{\pi} \sum_{n=0}^N \gamma^n E_{s_n, a_n | \pi} [R(s_n, a_n) + \lambda H(\pi(\cdot | s_n))]$$



Maximum entropy policy
Entropy regularized policy

Q-function

- Standard MDP

$$Q^\pi(s_0, a_0) = R(s_0, a_0) + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \gamma^n E_{s_n, a_n | s_0, a_0, \pi} [R(s_n, a_n)]$$

- Soft MDP

$$Q_{soft}^\pi(s_0, a_0) = R(s_0, a_0) + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \gamma^n E_{s_n, a_n | s_0, a_0, \pi} [R(s_n, a_n) + \lambda H(\pi(\cdot | s_n))]$$



NB: **No entropy** with first reward term
since action is not chosen according to π



Greedy Policy

- Standard MDP (deterministic policy)

$$\pi_{greedy}(s) = \operatorname{argmax}_a Q(s, a)$$

- Soft MDP (stochastic policy)

$$\begin{aligned}\pi_{greedy}(\cdot | s) &= \operatorname{argmax}_{\pi} \sum_a \pi(a|s) Q(s, a) + \lambda H(\pi(\cdot | s)) \\ &= \frac{\exp(Q(s, \cdot) / \lambda)}{\sum_a \exp(Q(s, a) / \lambda)} = \operatorname{softmax}(Q(s, \cdot) / \lambda)\end{aligned}$$

when $\lambda \rightarrow 0$ then *softmax* becomes regular max



Derivation

- Concave objective (can find global maximum)

$$\begin{aligned} J(\pi, Q) &= \sum_a \pi(a|s)Q(s, a) + \lambda H(\pi(\cdot |s)) \\ &= \sum_a \pi(a|s)[Q(s, a) - \lambda \log \pi(a|s)] \end{aligned}$$

- Partial derivative

$$\frac{\partial J}{\partial \pi(a|s)} = Q(s, a) - \lambda[\log \pi(a|s) + 1]$$

- Setting the derivative to 0 and isolating $\pi(a|s)$ yields

$$\pi(a|s) = \exp(Q(s, a)/\lambda - 1) \propto \exp(Q(s, a)/\lambda)$$

- Hence $\pi_{greedy}(\cdot |s) = \frac{\exp(Q(s, \cdot)/\lambda)}{\sum_a \exp(Q(s, a)/\lambda)} = softmax(Q(s, \cdot)/\lambda)$



Greedy Value function

- What is the value function induced by the greedy policy?
- Standard MDP: $V(s) = \max_a Q(s, a)$
- Soft MDP:

$$\begin{aligned} V_{soft}(s) &= \lambda H \left(\pi_{greedy}(\cdot | s) \right) + \sum_a \pi_{greedy}(a | s) Q_{soft}(s, a) \\ &= \lambda \log \sum_a \exp \left(\frac{Q_{soft}(s, a)}{\lambda} \right) = \widetilde{\max}_a Q_{soft}(s, a) \end{aligned}$$

when $\lambda \rightarrow 0$ then $\widetilde{\max}_a$ becomes regular max



Derivation

$$V_{soft}(s)$$

$$= \lambda H \left(\pi_{greedy}(\cdot | s) \right) + \sum_a \pi_{greedy}(a | s) Q_{soft}(s, a)$$

$$\text{since } \pi_{greedy}(a | s) = \frac{\exp(Q_{soft}(s, a) / \lambda)}{\sum_{a'} \exp(Q_{soft}(s, a') / \lambda)}$$

$$= \lambda H \left(\pi_{greedy}(\cdot | s) \right) + \sum_a \pi_{greedy}(a | s) \lambda \left[\log \pi_{greedy}(a | s) + \log \sum_{a'} \exp \left(\frac{Q_{soft}(s, a')}{\lambda} \right) \right]$$

$$= \lambda H \left(\pi_{greedy}(\cdot | s) \right) + \lambda \sum_a \pi_{greedy}(a | s) \log \pi_{greedy}(a | s) + \lambda \log \sum_{a'} \exp \left(\frac{Q_{soft}(s, a')}{\lambda} \right)$$

$$= \lambda H \left(\pi_{greedy}(\cdot | s) \right) - \lambda H \left(\pi_{greedy}(\cdot | s) \right) + \lambda \log \sum_{a'} \exp \left(\frac{Q_{soft}(s, a')}{\lambda} \right)$$

$$= \lambda \log \sum_{a'} \exp \left(\frac{Q_{soft}(s, a')}{\lambda} \right)$$

$$= \max_a Q_{soft}(s, a)$$



Soft Q-Value Iteration

SoftQValueIteration(MDP, λ)

Initialize π_0 to any policy

$i \leftarrow 0$

Repeat

$$Q_{soft}^{i+1}(s, a) \leftarrow R(s, a) + \gamma \sum_{s'} \Pr(s'|s, a) \widetilde{\max}_{a'} Q_{soft}^i(s', a')$$

$i \leftarrow i + 1$

Until $\left\| Q_{soft}^i(s, a) - Q_{soft}^{i-1}(s, a) \right\|_{\infty} \leq \epsilon$

Extract policy: $\pi_{greedy}(\cdot | s) = \text{softmax}(Q_{soft}^i(s, \cdot) / \lambda)$

Soft Bellman equation:

$$Q_{soft}^*(s, a) = R(s, a) + \gamma \sum_{s'} \Pr(s'|s, a) \widetilde{\max}_{a'} Q_{soft}^*(s', a')$$



Soft Q-learning

- Q-learning based on Soft Q-Value Iteration
- Replace expectations by samples
- Represent Q-function by a function approximator (e.g., neural network)
- Do gradient updates based on temporal differences



Soft Q-learning (soft variant of DQN)

Initialize weights \mathbf{w} and $\bar{\mathbf{w}}$ at random in $[-1,1]$

Observe current state s

Loop

 Select action a and execute it

 Receive immediate reward r

 Observe new state s'

 Add (s, a, s', r) to experience buffer

 Sample mini-batch of experiences from buffer

 For each experience $(\hat{s}, \hat{a}, \hat{s}', \hat{r})$ in mini-batch

$$\text{Gradient: } \frac{\partial \text{Err}}{\partial \mathbf{w}} = \left[Q_{\mathbf{w}}^{\text{soft}}(\hat{s}, \hat{a}) - \hat{r} - \gamma \max_{\hat{a}'} Q_{\mathbf{w}}^{\text{soft}}(\hat{s}', \hat{a}') \right] \frac{\partial Q_{\mathbf{w}}^{\text{soft}}(\hat{s}, \hat{a})}{\partial \mathbf{w}}$$

$$\text{Update weights: } \mathbf{w} \leftarrow \mathbf{w} - \alpha \frac{\partial \text{Err}}{\partial \mathbf{w}}$$

Update state: $s \leftarrow s'$

Every c steps, update target: $\bar{\mathbf{w}} \leftarrow \mathbf{w}$



Soft Actor Critic

- In practice, actor critic techniques tend to perform better than Q-learning.
- Can we derive a soft actor-critic algorithm?
- Yes, idea:
 - Critic: **soft Q-function**
 - Actor: (greedy) **softmax policy**

Soft Policy Iteration

SoftPolicyIteration(MDP, λ)

Initialize π_0 to any policy

$i \leftarrow 0$

Repeat

Policy evaluation:

Repeat until convergence

$$Q_{soft}^{\pi_i}(s, a) \leftarrow R(s, a)$$

$$+ \gamma \sum_{s'} \Pr(s'|s, a) \left[\sum_{a'} \pi_i(a'|s') Q_{soft}^{\pi_i}(s', a') + \lambda H(\pi_i(\cdot | s')) \right] \quad \forall s, a$$

Policy improvement:

$$\pi_{i+1}(a|s) \leftarrow \text{softmax} \left(Q_{soft}^{\pi_i}(s, a) / \lambda \right) = \frac{\exp(Q_{soft}^{\pi_i}(s, a) / \lambda)}{\sum_{a'} \exp(Q_{soft}^{\pi_i}(s, a') / \lambda)} \quad \forall s, a$$

$i \leftarrow i + 1$

Until $\left\| Q_{soft}^{\pi_i}(s, a) - Q_{soft}^{\pi_{i-1}}(s, a) \right\|_{\infty} \leq \epsilon$



Policy improvement

Theorem 1: Let $Q_{soft}^{\pi_i}(s, a)$ be the Q-function of π_i
Let $\pi_{i+1}(a|s) = \text{softmax}(Q_{soft}^{\pi_i}(s, a)/\lambda)$
Then $Q_{soft}^{\pi_{i+1}}(s, a) \geq Q_{soft}^{\pi_i}(s, a) \forall s, a$

Proof: first show that

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_a \pi_i(a|s) Q_{soft}^{\pi_i}(s, a) + \lambda H(\pi_i(\cdot | s)) \\ & \leq \sum_a \pi_{i+1}(a|s) Q_{soft}^{\pi_i}(s, a) + \lambda H(\pi_{i+1}(\cdot | s)) \end{aligned}$$

then use this inequality to show that

$$Q_{soft}^{\pi_{i+1}}(s, a) \geq Q_{soft}^{\pi_i}(s, a) \forall s, a$$



Inequality derivation

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \sum_a \pi_i(a|s) Q_{soft}^{\pi_i}(s, a) + \lambda H(\pi_i(\cdot | s)) \\
 &= \sum_a \pi_i(a|s) \left[Q_{soft}^{\pi_i}(s, a) - \lambda \log \pi_i(a|s) \right] \quad \text{since } \pi_{i+1}(a|s) = \frac{\exp(Q_{soft}^{\pi_i}(s, a)/\lambda)}{\sum_{a'} \exp(Q_{soft}^{\pi_i}(s, a')/\lambda)} \\
 &= \sum_a \pi_i(a|s) [\lambda \log \pi_{i+1}(a|s) - \lambda \log \sum_{a'} \exp(Q_{soft}^{\pi_i}(s, a')/\lambda) - \lambda \log \pi_i(a|s)] \\
 &= \lambda \sum_a \pi_i(a|s) [\log \frac{\pi_{i+1}(a|s)}{\pi_i(a|s)} + \log \sum_{a'} \exp(Q_{soft}^{\pi_i}(s, a')/\lambda)] \\
 &= -\lambda KL(\pi_{i+1} || \pi_i) + \lambda \sum_a \pi_i(a|s) \log \sum_{a'} \exp(Q_{soft}^{\pi_i}(s, a')/\lambda) \\
 &\leq \lambda \sum_a \pi_i(a|s) \log \sum_{a'} \exp(Q_{soft}^{\pi_i}(s, a')/\lambda) \\
 &= \sum_a \pi_{i+1}(a|s) \lambda \log \sum_{a'} \exp(Q_{soft}^{\pi_i}(s, a')/\lambda) \quad \text{since } \pi_{i+1}(a|s) = \frac{\exp(Q_{soft}^{\pi_i}(s, a)/\lambda)}{\sum_{a'} \exp(Q_{soft}^{\pi_i}(s, a')/\lambda)} \\
 &= \sum_a \pi_{i+1}(a|s) \left[Q_{soft}^{\pi_i}(s, a) - \lambda \log \pi_{i+1}(s, a) \right] \\
 &= \sum_a \pi_{i+1}(a|s) Q_{soft}^{\pi_i}(s, a) + \lambda H(\pi_{i+1}(\cdot | s))
 \end{aligned}$$



Proof derivation

$$\begin{aligned} & Q_{soft}^{\pi_i}(s, a) \\ &= R(s, a) + \gamma E_{s'} \left[E_{a' \sim \pi_i} \left[Q_{soft}^{\pi_i}(s', a') \right] + \lambda H(\pi_i(\cdot | s')) \right] \\ &\quad \text{since } E_{a' \sim \pi_i} \left[Q_{soft}^{\pi_i}(s', a') \right] + \lambda H(\pi_i(\cdot | s')) \\ &\quad \leq E_{a' \sim \pi_{i+1}} \left[Q_{soft}^{\pi_i}(s', a') \right] + \lambda H(\pi_{i+1}(\cdot | s')) \\ &\leq R(s, a) + \gamma E_{s'} \left[E_{a' \sim \pi_{i+1}} \left[Q_{soft}^{\pi_i}(s', a') \right] + \lambda H(\pi_{i+1}(\cdot | s')) \right] \\ &\leq \dots \quad \text{repeatedly apply} \\ &\leq \dots \quad Q_{soft}^{\pi_i}(s', a') \leq R(s', a') + \gamma E_{s''} \left[E_{a'' \sim \pi_{i+1}} \left[Q_{soft}^{\pi_i}(s'', a'') \right] + \lambda H(\pi_{i+1}(\cdot | s'')) \right] \\ &\leq Q_{soft}^{\pi_{i+1}}(s, a) \end{aligned}$$



Convergence to Optimal Q_{soft}^* and π_{soft}^*

- Theorem 2: When $\epsilon = 0$, **soft policy iteration converges to optimal Q_{soft}^* and π_{soft}^* .**
- Proof:
 - We know that $Q^{\pi_{i+1}}(s, a) \geq Q^{\pi_i}(s, a) \forall s, a$ according to Theorem 1
 - Since the Q-functions are upper bounded by
$$(\max_{s,a} R(s, a) + H(uniform))/(1 - \gamma)$$
then soft policy iteration converges
 - At convergence, $Q^{\pi_{i-1}} = Q^{\pi_i}$ and therefore the Q-function satisfies Bellman's equation:

$$Q_{soft}^{\pi_{i-1}}(s, a) = Q_{soft}^{\pi_i}(s, a) = R(s, a) + \gamma \sum_{s'} \Pr(s'|s, a) \max_{a'} Q_{soft}^{\pi_{i-1}}(s', a')$$

Soft Actor-Critic

- RL version of soft policy iteration
- Use neural networks to represent policy and value function
- At each policy improvement step, project new policy in the space of parameterized neural nets

Soft Actor Critic (SAC)

Initialize weights \mathbf{w} , $\bar{\mathbf{w}}$, θ at random in $[-1,1]$

Observe current state s

Loop

Sample action $a \sim \pi_{\theta}(\cdot | s)$ and execute it

Receive immediate reward r

Observe new state s'

Add (s, a, s', r) to experience buffer

Sample mini-batch of experiences from buffer

For each experience $(\hat{s}, \hat{a}, \hat{s}', \hat{r})$ in mini-batch

Sample $\hat{a}' \sim \pi_{\theta}(\cdot | \hat{s}')$

$$\text{Gradient: } \frac{\partial \text{Err}}{\partial \mathbf{w}} = \left[Q_{\mathbf{w}}^{\text{soft}}(\hat{s}, \hat{a}) - \hat{r} - \gamma [Q_{\bar{\mathbf{w}}}^{\text{soft}}(\hat{s}', \hat{a}') + \lambda H(\pi_{\theta}(\cdot | \hat{s}'))] \right] \frac{\partial Q_{\mathbf{w}}^{\text{soft}}(\hat{s}, \hat{a})}{\partial \mathbf{w}}$$

$$\text{Update weights: } \mathbf{w} \leftarrow \mathbf{w} - \alpha \frac{\partial \text{Err}}{\partial \mathbf{w}}$$

$$\text{Update policy: } \theta \leftarrow \theta - \alpha \frac{\partial_{\text{KL}}(\pi_{\theta} | \text{softmax}(Q_{\bar{\mathbf{w}}}^{\text{soft}} / \lambda))}{\partial \theta}$$


Update state: $s \leftarrow s'$

Every c steps, update target: $\bar{\mathbf{w}} \leftarrow \mathbf{w}$




Empirical Results


- Comparison on several robotics tasks




(a) Hopper-v1




(b) Walker2d-v1




(c) HalfCheetah-v1



(d) Ant-v1



(e) Humanoid-v1




(f) Humanoid (rllab)

Robustness to Environment Changes

<https://youtu.be/KOObeljzXTY>

Check out this video

Using Soft Actor Critic (SAC),
Minotaur learns to walk quickly
and to generalize to environments
with challenges that it was
not trained to deal with!



SAC on Minotaur - Testing