

“I have a dream”

(Version trop détaillée à revoir dans le cadre d’une review sur le FGM)

1 Introduction

1.1 What are fitness landscapes and where do they come from ?

1.1.1 Definition

- Topographic metaphor
- Link between a phenotypic or a genotypic landscape

1.1.2 Wright vs Fisher

- Wright : Genotype to fitness with multipics
- Fisher : Phenotype to fitness with a single pic
- 2 “shape” of landscape (Gravilets 2010) and a link between them

1.2 Fisher’s idea of adaptation

1.2.1 Small mutations in a complex organism and adaptation towards an optimum

- Micro-mutationnism, gradual adaptation
- Single pic with balancing selection
- Pervasive epistasis

1.2.2 The geometry of the model

- The function of fitness
- The complexity
- The distribution of fitness effects

1.3 A renewed interest for a simple but powerful tool

- Kimura, Lande, Orr, Waxman and Welch, Martin...
- Distribution of fitness effects
- Distribution of epistasis
- Speciation
- Species repartition
- Moving optimum (Gradual/abrupt environmental change)
- Dominance
- Sexe conflicts
- Senescence...

2 Basic version of the FGM

2.1 Detailed description of the landscape

2.1.1 Population genetic concepts and hypotheses and parameters

- Height of the pic and unicity of the optimum
- Complexity and pleiotropy
- Variance of selective/mutationnnal effects and isotropy

2.1.2 The geometry of the model across environments and genotypes

- Fitness function
- Phenotypic and fitness distances

2.2 Inference in the FGM

2.2.1 The distribution of fitness effects

- DFE in the FGM
- Fitting DFE from mutation accumulation experiments or “designed mutations”
- Fitting DFE from fluctuation assays
- Fitting DFE from drift load

2.2.2 The distribution of epistasis

- Diminishing return epistasis and constant curvature
- Pairwise epistasis
- Empirical fitness landscape

2.2.3 Autres

- Rate of adaptation
- A preciser

2.3 Mapping genotypes and environments to the landscape

2.3.1 Example from the litterature

- Hietpas 2013
- Harmand 2017

2.3.2 Version simplifiée du FGM

- Reprendre précédent document

2.4 Probability of fixation of a compensatory mutation

2.4.1 “Cost of resistance” and “compensation”

- The concept of cost of resistance (Lenormand et al. 2018)
- The definition of compensation here

2.4.2 Probability of emergence of a mutation considering two envs

- Joint-DFE in two environments (Martin & Lenormand 2015)
- Angle between the environments’ optima

2.4.3 Probability of fixation

- SSWM regime (Extension autour du “2s”)
- WSSM regime (Complicé ?)

3 Extended version of the FGM

3.1 Limits in the basic model and its “simplified” version

3.1.1 Complexity and pleiotropy

- Origin of the differences observed in the dimension of the phenotypic landscape between DFE fitting
- Limitation of universal pleiotropy

3.1.2 Parallel evolution and anisotropy

- Definition of parallel evolution and examples
- Different sort of anisotropy and link with pleiotropy
- Example of Harmand 2017

3.2 Extensions for a single environment

3.2.1 Anisotropy

- Modelling (Chevin et al. 2010)
- Inferring (Chevin et al. 2010)

3.2.2 Restricted pleiotropy

- Modelling (Chevin et al. 2010, Lourenço 2011)
- Inferring (Chevin et al. 2010, Voir autres refs)

3.3 Dealing with multiple environments

3.3.1 Which parameters stay constant across environments ?

- Inferring n
- Inferring r_{\max} in each environments

3.3.2 Anisotropy between environments

- Module and directionnality
- Problem for the mapping for the computation of the phenotypic distances

4 Conclusion

4.1 Summary of the main results available depending on the data

- Table with datas and inference possible

4.2 Extrapolation from the results

4.2.1 Prediction of risk of resistance of a mutation in new environment

4.2.2 Prediction of the risk of evolving a compensatory mutations