

## HW5-2 report

I use the read\_csv function to read the dataset

```
def read_csv(path,len,label=False):  
    with open(path, newline='') as csvfile:  
        rows = csv.reader(csvfile)  
        if not label:  
            arr=np.zeros((len,784))  
        else:  
            arr=np.zeros(len)  
        for i,row in enumerate(rows):  
            arr[i]=np.asarray(row)  
    return arr
```

```
x_train=read_csv('X_train.csv',5000)  
y_train=read_csv('Y_train.csv',5000,True)  
x_test=read_csv('X_test.csv',2500)  
y_test=read_csv('Y_test.csv',2500,True)
```

- part1

### a. code with detailed explanations

The svm parameter “-t” is control the kernel type. 0 is linear, 1 is polynomial, 2 is RBF.

```
params={'Linear: ':'0','Polynomial: ':'1','RBF':'2'}  
acc=[]  
for key,val in params.items():  
    prob=svm_problem(y_train,x_train)  
    param=svm_parameter('-t '+val)  
    model=svm_train(prob,param)  
    p_label,p_acc,p_val=svm_predict(y_test,x_test,model)  
    acc.append(p_acc)  
  
print('\nAccuracy')  
i=0  
for key in params:  
    print(key,acc[i])  
    i+=1
```

The formula of different kernel functions:

Linear kernel:  $k(x, y) = \langle x, y \rangle$

Polynomial kernel:  $k(x, y) = (\langle x, y \rangle + c)^d$

Gaussian Radial Basis Function kernel (RBF):  $k(x, y) = e^{-\frac{\|x-y\|^2}{2\sigma^2}}$

## b. experiments settings and results

all using the default parameter

```
Accuracy
Linear: (95.08, 0.1404, 0.931149802516624)
Polynomial: (34.68, 2.6212, 0.14887572191533946)
RBF (95.32000000000001, 0.1492, 0.9271864783823697)
```

The RBF has the best accuracy 95.32%

- part2

### a. code with detailed explanations

I do the grid search for finding parameters of RBF kernel because the RBF kernel has the best performance in part1. And I do the 3-folds cross validation by setting svm parameter "-v 3"

```
C=gamma=['0.5','0.3','0.1','1','3','5']
best_acc=0
for c in C:
    for g in gamma:
        prob=svm_problem(y_train,x_train)
        param=svm_parameter('-v 3 -t 2 -c '+c+' -g '+g)
        acc=svm_train(prob,param)
        print(acc)
        if acc>best_acc:
            best_acc=acc
            optimal_c=c
            optimal_g=g
print('The parameter C is: %s\nThe parameter gamma is: %s\nThe accuracy is: %f' % (optimal_c,optimal_g,best_acc))
```

## b. experiments settings and results

```
The parameter C is: 5
The parameter gamma is: 0.1
The accuracy is: 91.660000
```

- part3

### a. code with detailed explanations

If the programmer wants to use the user-defined kernel in libsvm, the programmer needs to create the precomputed kernel. This precomputed kernel is linear+RBF. The function in image shows how to create the precomputed kernel.

The output of precomputed kernel must include sample serial number as first column. Hence, I use the np.hstack to combine the serial number and kernel.

```
def kernel(Xn,Xm,gamma=2e-3):  
    linear=Xn@Xm.T  
    RBF=np.exp(-gamma*cdist(Xn,Xm,'sqeuclidean'))  
    precomputed_kernel=linear+RBF  
    precomputed_kernel=np.hstack((np.arange(1,len(Xn)+1).reshape(-1,1),precomputed_kernel))  
    return precomputed_kernel
```

After I had created the precomputed kernel, I can use it to do the svm train and predict. In other word, the svm parameter need to set “-t 4”. It means use the precomputed kernel.

```
precomputed_kernel=kernel(x_train,x_train)  
prob=svm_problem(y_train,precomputed_kernel,isKernel=True)  
param=svm_parameter('-t 4')  
model=svm_train([prob,param])  
  
precomputed_kernel=kernel(x_test,x_train)  
p_label,p_acc,p_val=svm_predict(y_test,precomputed_kernel,model)  
print('\n\n',p_acc)
```

### b. experiments settings and results

```
Accuracy = 95.08% (2377/2500) (classification)
```

- **observations and discussion**

I try the different user-defined kernel function ( Polynomial + RBF)

From part1 and this experiment, I find the polynomial kernel function may not suitable in this case.

Linear + RBF

```
Accuracy = 95.08% (2377/2500) (classification)
```

Polynomial + RBF

```
Accuracy = 88.88% (2222/2500) (classification)
```