Name: Yogendra Pokhrel

Student ID: 617585

1. Show all steps of QuickSort in sorting the array [1, 6, 2, 4, 3, 5]. Use leftmost values as pivots at each step.

Step 1: First Partitioning

- Array: [1, 6, 2, 4, 3, 5]
- Pivot: 1 (leftmost element)
- We compare all elements with 1 and place smaller elements to the left and larger ones to the right.
- Since all elements are greater than 1, the array remains the same.
- Partitioned Array: [1] | [6, 2, 4, 3, 5]
- Left subarray is sorted ([1]), apply QuickSort on [6, 2, 4, 3, 5].

Step 2: Second Partitioning

- Array: [6, 2, 4, 3, 5]
- Pivot: 6 (leftmost element)
- All elements are smaller than 6, so they stay in place.
- Partitioned Array: [1] | [2, 4, 3, 5] | [6]
- Right subarray [6] is sorted, apply QuickSort on [2, 4, 3, 5].

Step 3: Third Partitioning

- Array: [2, 4, 3, 5]
- Pivot: 2 (leftmost element)
- All elements are greater than 2, so no swaps are needed.
- Partitioned Array: [1] | [2] | [4, 3, 5] | [6]
- Left part [2] is sorted, apply QuickSort on [4, 3, 5].

Step 4: Fourth Partitioning

- Array: [4, 3, 5]
- Pivot: 4
- Elements smaller than 4: [3]
- Elements greater than 4: [5]
- Partitioned Array: [1] | [2] | [3] | [4] | [5] | [6]
- All subarrays are now sorted.

Final Sorted Array: [1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6]

- 2. In our average case analysis of QuickSort, we defined a good self-call to be one in which the pivot x is chosen so that number of elements < x is less than 3n/4, and also the number of elements > x is less than 3n/4. We call an x with these properties a good pivot. When n is a power of 2, it is not hard to see that at least half of the elements in an n-element array could be used as a good pivot (exactly half if there are no duplicates). For this exercise, you will verify this property for the array A = [5, 1, 4, 3, 6, 2, 7, 1, 3] (here, n = 9). Note: For this analysis, use the version of QuickSort in which partitioning produces 3 subsequences L, E, R of the input sequence S.
- a. Which x in A are good pivots? In other words, which values x in A satisfy:
 - i. the number of elements < x is less than 3n/4, and also
 - ii. the number of elements > x is less than 3n/4
- b. Is it true that at least half the elements of A are good pivots?

Step 1: Understanding the Condition for a Good Pivot

A number x is a good pivot if:

- 1. The number of elements less than x is less than 3n/4
- 2. The number of elements greater than x is less than 3n/4.

Since n = 9, we compute: R

$$3n/4 = (3 \times 9) / 4 = 6.75$$

This means a pivot x is good if:

- The number of elements less than x is at most 6.
- The number of elements greater than x is at most 6.

Step 2: Counting Elements Less Than and Greater Than Each x in A

We evaluate each unique number in A = [5, 1, 4, 3, 6, 2, 7, 1, 3]:

x	Count of elements < x	Count of elements > x	Good Pivot?
1	0	7	Too many > x

2	2	6	≤6 in both cases
3	4	4	≤6 in both cases
4	5	3	≤6 in both cases
5	6	2	≤6 in both cases
6	7	1	Too many < x
7	8	0	Too many < x

Step 3: Counting Good Pivots

From the table, the good pivots are: 2, 3, 4, and 5.

Total unique elements in A: 7

Total good pivots: 4

Since 4 out of 7 elements (more than half) are good pivots, the claim that at least half of the elements are good pivots holds true for this array.

Conclusion:

(a) Good pivots are: {2, 3, 4, 5}

(b) Yes, at least half the elements in A are good pivots.

3. Give an o(n) ("little-oh") algorithm for determining whether a sorted array A of distinct integers contains an element m for which A[m] = m. You must also provide a proof that your algorithm runs in o(n) time.

O(n) ("Little-oh") Algorithm for Finding an Index m Where A[m] = m

We are given a sorted array A of distinct integers, and we need to check whether there exists an index m such that:

A[m] = m

We want an algorithm that runs in o(n) time, meaning it must run strictly faster than linear time, so an O(n) solution is not sufficient. A binary search-based approach will achieve $O(\log n)$ time complexity, which satisfies the requirement.

Algorithm: Binary Search Approach

Since A is sorted and contains distinct integers, we can leverage binary search to find if there exists an index m where A[m] = m.

Steps:

- 1. **Initialize:** Set low = 0 and high = n 1.
- 2. Binary Search:
 - Compute mid = (low + high) / 2 (integer division).
 - If A[mid] == mid, return mid (found).
 - If A[mid] > mid, search the left half (high = mid 1).
 - If A[mid] < mid, search the right half (low = mid + 1).
- 3. Repeat until low > high. If no match is found, return false.

Proof of O(log n) Complexity

- 1. Binary search repeatedly halves the search space.
 - At each step, we eliminate half of the remaining elements.
 - The number of steps required is at most $log_2(n)$ since after $log_2(n)$ splits, we reduce the array to size 1.
- 2. Time Complexity Calculation:
 - Let T(n) be the runtime of our algorithm.
 - Since each step reduces the problem size by half:

$$T(n) = T(n/2) + O(1)$$

- This recurrence solves to O(log n) using the recurrence tree method or the Master Theorem.
- 3. O(log n) is strictly faster than o(n) ("little-oh"):
 - We require o(n), which means the function must grow strictly slower than O(n).
 - Since O(log n) grows significantly slower than O(n), our algorithm satisfies this condition.

Conclusion:

- Algorithm: Use binary search to check if A[m] = m.
- Time Complexity: O(log n), which is o(n).
- Correctness: Since the array is sorted and contains distinct elements, binary search efficiently narrows the search space.

4. Devise a pivot-selection strategy for QuickSort that will guarantee that your new QuickSort has a worst-case running time of O(nlog n).

To ensure QuickSort always runs in $O(n \log n)$ worst-case time, we must select pivots in a way that avoids worst-case unbalanced partitions (which lead to $O(n^2)$ complexity). The median-of-medians algorithm is an effective strategy that ensures balanced partitions.

Pivot Selection Strategy: Median-of-Medians

Instead of picking a random or fixed-position pivot, we select the pivot strategically using the following steps:

Step 1: Divide the Array into Groups

- Divide the array of n elements into groups of 5 elements (or a constant small size k).
- If n is not a multiple of 5, the last group may contain fewer elements.

Step 2: Find the Median of Each Group

- Sort each group of 5 (which takes O(1) time per group).
- Find the median of each group (the middle element after sorting).

Step 3: Recursively Find the Median of Medians

- Collect all the medians from the groups into a new array.
- Recursively apply the median-of-medians algorithm to find the median of these medians.
- This median is chosen as the pivot.

Step 4: Partition the Array Around the Pivot

- Perform the standard QuickSort partitioning step using this pivot.
- Since the pivot is close to the true median, it ensures that both partitions are at least 30% and at most 70% of the original size.

Why Does This Guarantee O(n log n) Worst-Case?

1. Balanced Partitions:

- The median-of-medians pivot always splits the array into two reasonably balanced parts, ensuring that neither partition is too small.
- Each partition is at least 30% of the original size, avoiding worst-case O(n²) splits (such as when selecting the smallest or largest element).

2. Time Complexity Breakdown:

• **Step 1:** Grouping elements into sets of 5 → O(n)

- Step 2: Sorting each group → O(n) (since sorting groups of 5 is constant time per group)
- **Step 3:** Finding the median of medians recursively \rightarrow T(n/5)
- **Step 4:** Partitioning the array around the pivot \rightarrow O(n)
- Final recurrence relation:

$$T(n) = T(n/5) + T(7n/10) + O(n)$$

• Using the recursion tree method or the Master Theorem, this solves to O(n log n).

Conclusion

Using the median-of-medians pivot selection strategy, we ensure that:

Each partition remains balanced, preventing worst-case O(n²) behavior.

The worst-case time complexity is $O(n \log n)$.

This strategy makes QuickSort a robust deterministic sorting algorithm with guaranteed worst-case efficiency.

5. Show the steps performed by QuickSelect as it attempts to find the median of the arrainty [1, 12, 8, 7, -2, -3, 6]. (The median is the element that is less than or equal to n/2 of the elements in the array. Since n is odd in this case, it is the element whose position lies exactly in the middle. Hint: The median is 6.) For pivots, always use the leftmost element of the current array.

QuickSelect Algorithm to Find the Median of [1, 12, 8, 7, -2, -3, 6]

We need to find the median, which is the 4th smallest element (since the array has 7 elements, and the median is at position (n+1)/2 = 4 when indexed from 1).

We will use QuickSelect, always choosing the leftmost element as the pivot.

Step-by-Step Execution of QuickSelect

Step 1: Initial Array and First Pivot

Array: [1, 12, 8, 7, -2, -3, 6]

Pivot: 1 (leftmost element)

Partitioning Around Pivot (1):

• Elements less than 1: [-2, -3]

• Elements equal to 1: [1]

• Elements greater than 1: [12, 8, 7, 6]

Partitioned Array: [-2, -3] [1] [12, 8, 7, 6]

Rank of pivot (1) in sorted order: 3rd

- Since we need the 4th smallest element, it must be in the right partition [12, 8, 7, 6].
- Recursive call on [12, 8, 7, 6] to find the 1st smallest element in this subarray (since we now need the element at index 4 3 = 1).

Step 2: Recursive Call on [12, 8, 7, 6]

Array: [12, 8, 7, 6]

Pivot: 12 (leftmost element)

Partitioning Around Pivot (12):

• Elements less than 12: [8, 7, 6]

• Elements equal to 12: [12]

• Elements greater than 12: []

Partitioned Array: [8, 7, 6] [12] []

Rank of pivot (12) in sorted order: 4th

• Since we need the 1st smallest element, we recurse on [8, 7, 6], looking for the 1st smallest element.

Step 3: Recursive Call on [8, 7, 6]

Array: [8, 7, 6]

Pivot: 8 (leftmost element)

Partitioning Around Pivot (8):

• Elements less than 8: [7, 6]

• Elements equal to 8: [8]

• Elements greater than 8: []

Partitioned Array: [7, 6] [8] []

Rank of pivot (8) in sorted order: 3rd

• Since we need the 1st smallest element, we recurse on [7, 6], looking for the 1st smallest element.

Step 4: Recursive Call on [7, 6]

Array: [7, 6]

Pivot: 7 (leftmost element)

Partitioning Around Pivot (7):

- Elements less than 7: [6]
- Elements equal to 7: [7]
- Elements greater than 7: []

Partitioned Array: [6] [7] []

Rank of pivot (7) in sorted order: 2nd

• Since we need the 1st smallest element, we recurse on [6], looking for the 1st smallest element.

Step 5: Recursive Call on [6]

Since the array has only one element (6), it is the answer.

Median of [1, 12, 8, 7, -2, -3, 6] is 6.

Conclusion:

- 1. **Pivot = 1**, partitions: [-2, -3] [1] [12, 8, 7, 6], recurse on [12, 8, 7, 6] for 1st smallest.
- 2. **Pivot = 12**, partitions: [8, 7, 6] [12] [], recurse on [8, 7, 6] for 1st smallest.
- 3. **Pivot = 8**, partitions: [7, 6] [8] [], recurse on [7, 6] for 1st smallest.
- 4. **Pivot = 7**, partitions: [6] [7] [], recurse on [6] for 1st smallest.
- 5. **Found 6**, which is the median.

Time Complexity Analysis:

- Each step reduces the problem size by approximately half.
- Worst-case time complexity: O(n) (linear), but expected runtime for random input is O(log n).

QuickSelect successfully finds the median in O(n) time using the leftmost pivot.